
Subject: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 23:35:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the USSR, leaving Afghanistan in ruins ever since.

The same can be said of Damascus, another beautiful Arab city. And let's not forget the meddling in Iran.

Durring the hostage criss at the US embassy in '79, President Carter made it clear that we were all supposed to forget the misdeads of the US, labeling them as "ancient history", but also reminding us that dwelling on Americas's faults only helped the enemy.

The idea here is classic, psychopathic thinking:: I may have robbed you yesterday, but that doesn't give you the right to retaliate. If you think this type of thinking is appropriot you should be on the FBI list of people to watch.

> Perhaps, as Sen. Patty Murray intoned, we needed
>to match the good works of bin Laden to capture the hearts
>and minds of Muslim peoples.

>

>

Pointless rhetoric. Bin Laden may have not done any comunity service by any US standard, but he has stated in his writtings that his purpose is to free the Arab people from US oppression and tyranny. So, in this regard, yes, maybe the US should capture the hearts and minds of the Muslim people by leaving them the hell alone.

>The fable continues that the United States itself was united
>after the attack even during its preparations to retaliate in
>Afghanistan.

>

Correct, it is a fable. Not only was the US not united, but everyone with a mind and some human compassion was against this Invasion. Afghanistan didn't bomb the US. There's even a smattering of evidence that the Israeli Mossad was involved.
Iraq had nothing to do with it.

> But then George Bush took his eye off the ball.
>He let bin Laden escape, and worst of all, unilaterally and

>for oil, hegemony, Israel, or Halliburton, something in

>

>

All the smoking guns point to this conclusion.

>In any case, there was no connection between al Qaeda

>and Saddam, and thus terrorists only arrived in Iraq after
>we did.

>

>

Oops....apples and oranges. Bin Laden openly stated that he would not deal with Saddam because he was heretical to Islam, having been in the pocket of the US for so many years.

So it's only natural than in a post-Saddam Iraq Al Qaeda should do it's part to rid the middle east of US invaders.

>That tale goes on. The Iraqi fiasco is now a hopeless quagmire.

>

>

Which it is.

>The terrorists are paying us back for it in places like London and Madrid.

>

>

Which they are.

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 23:40:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can tell you it's not finished. My good friend is there right now shooting women and children (so he says) that get within 1/2 km of their operations.

>In this fable, we are not safer as a nation.

>

You arn't safer as a nation. This is one of the stated goals of the anti-US movement in the middle east: make the US as un-free and forshortened as their countries have been made by the US. You've destroyed their home and people and it's made them quite angry. How can you possibly not realize that if they don't come and get you, and they'll try, that your HSA has given your gov't powers over you that will come back to bite your ass. But wait, you're not guilty of anything (that you know of...)! Well the HSA isn't about guilt now, is it, vis-a-vis Guantanamo Bay.

>have increased the terror threat as we saw recently in the

>London bombing.

>

True, but Blair is responsible also. Don't forget: it's easier to get to Europe than the US so, of course, they're going to target these people first. If the US were closer, they'd be in a lot more trouble.

> We have now been at war longer than
>World War II. We still have no plan to defeat our enemies,
>and thus must set a timetable to withdraw from Iraq.
>
>
But that's not the goal. The US / Haliburton is currently building a massive military base in Iraq. The goal is control of the middle east oil reserves.

>Islamic terrorism cannot be defeated militarily nor can

>
True words were never spoken.

>So it is time to return
>to seeing the terrorist killing as a criminal justice matter

>while we give more money to the Middle East and begin

>
>
Only a moron would think that this is untrue. US intervention in the middle east IS the cause of their attempts to punish/oust the foreign invaders.

>That is the dominant narrative of the Western Left and
>at times it finds its way into mainstream Democratic
>-party thinking. Yet every element of it is false.
>
>
This guy is just about the least informed/intelligent writer I've had the miserable displeasure to read in recent memory.
The idea that US action can be divorced from the discussion is just not an option. Except in the US.

>Prior to 9/11, the United States had given an aggregate
>of over \$50 billion to Egypt,
>
so....?

> and had allotted about the
>same amount of aid to Israel as to its frontline enemies.
>
>
so...?

>We had helped to save Muslims in Bosnia,

>

The Bosnian war started because Croatia moved to cut off the cheap oil Bosnia was getting from Slovenia, in the hope of forcing it to buy more expensive Croatian oil The US save the oil not the people.

> Kosovo, Somalia,

>

>

Here's an interesting and accurate summary of this conflict from Paul Phillips of the University of Manitoba, Canada:

Kosovo is a

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 23:43:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

part of Serbia/Yugoslavia, just like Vermont is a part of the United States. Serbs consider it to be the cradle of their civilization. Until early in this decade, Kosovo had a tremendous amount of autonomy, due to its large Albanian population. This autonomy was granted by the previous government of Yugoslavia--an independent socialist government with Marshall Tito as its president. When President Tito died, Milosevic was elected to the presidency and revoked the autonomous rule. At the same time, the International Monetary Fund, western banks, and the G

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 00:02:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>was straight down the autobahn the comes out of Berlin, through
>Potsdam, and straight on towards Wittenburg, then Hannover
>(I think it's A-24, but check me on that). In both cases, we
>had rivers in our way, fucking up our maneuvering
>capabilites... in the first instance, it was the Fulda River;
>in the 2nd area, it was the Leine... how many bridges over the
>Leine are there in or near Hannover? Is it still just four? So,
>we'd have been toast once we got backed up to the river there.
>I can't remember how many bridges there are around Fulda, but
>again, we would have been toast if the Russians struck first...
>very little maneuvering room to defend - if you've never been
>

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)

Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 00:05:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

out to that area, you ought to go & see what I'm talking about.

>It's more or less an open-air museum now.

>

>It was definitely a first-strike scenario if there were no

>nukes involved... whoever would have hit first would have won.

>Conversely, if we had hit first out of Fulda, the Soviets would

>have been backed up to the Weser River; if we had hit first out

>of Braunschweig, they would have been backed up into the Elbe.

>However, everyone knows we wouldn't s

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)

Posted by [justcron](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 00:07:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trike first, therefore

>probably damn near all of our ground forces would have been

>killed.

>

>You ****DO**** know when they would have done this, don't you? They

>would have done this:

>

>a.) In August, when most of you guys go on vacation - which

>means more of your reserve troops would have been away in

>Spain, Italy, etc. Even some of your active troops would try to

>get away then to be with friends & family, etc.; so mainly

>skeleton staffs would have been left to man their posts in the

>German element.

>b.) On a ****weekend**** in August... since you guys like to take

>off at noon on Fridays (at least you did then - dunno if that's

>still a common practice), so that there would be even less

>troops in place. Coming over at 3am on a Sunday Morning would

>be nice & quiet & stealthy, don't you think? Or since they

>didn't have much in the way of night vision capabilities, a

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)

Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 00:31:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n be any more appealing to

you is beyond me. i guess its a question of what one

believes in as always.

>because you are steeped in atheist ideology about
>cultural relativity so you cannot see when a culture has become
>degraded by hate and blood-lust.

im not an atheist. atheism is a religion of its own.
an atheist would say "i KNOW there is no god".
i personally would say "its laughably arrogant that people
believe that they know whether there is a god or not,
and if that wasnt enough, what kind of god it is".
that makes me more or less an agnostic. tough id
make that one clear.

>That was painful for you wasn't it? I should appreciate the effort,
>but you really should admit how awful their actions are more often,
>and leave off the excuses.

it of course wasnt painful in the slightest, youre
projecting again. its not too hard to look at the
slaughtering down there and give an "im an idiot"

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)
Posted by [justcron](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 00:37:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

badge
to both sides. that not taking one side doesnt
seem neutral to you, who is quite obviously on one
side, thats natural and i cant do anything about it.

> Do you have any
>idea how patronizing, not to say racist, it is to hold a double
>standard where you understand terrorists, but condemn the only
>democracy in the middle east?

you must be talking to someone else. i dont hold a double standard. i dont
understand terrorists. as i said above,
ill take sides with whoever comes up and says "lets stop
the violence". thats the side im on. because it has the best
track record. end of story.

>>from their point of view, theyre actually doing exactly

>>the same thing - use brute force. they dont have planes,
>>so they use suicide bombers.
>
>They don't have planes because their mullahs told them they don't
>need scientific progress 1000 years ago

doesnt make a difference why they dont have planes. the
logical decision for a religious fanatic is to use
violence. depending on the tools at your disposal,
you choose. its the way violence works.

> and they use suicide
>bombers because they are a degraded culture

love it when things can be so easy. this forum is full
of easy solutions...

>BULLSHIT! If I was on their side, I would advise them to go Ghandi,
>renounce all violence, and appeal to the wolrd for justice.

no you wouldnt, because from your (in that case muslim) view,
the w

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 01:21:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

attack anyway.

>But there are millions of muslims (I've known a few myself) who
>would never join the ghouls. Maybe the ghouls are using a religion
>as an excuse for what they want to do anyway. Seems to me
>that Hitler and Stalin, Mao and Pol Pot managed to kill a few
>people without any religion...

well, yeah, there are a couple of things one can use as
fuel to make people go to war. one of them is monotheistic
religion. only because there are also other fuel types
doesnt make it any better.

>Sorry, I got mad for a minute there. I want to hear loud voices of
>condemnation of terrorism from euros, and most of what I hear is
>silence, and

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 05:50:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
westcoast. Maybe not so stable and cold winters, you see we have the =
Golf Stream right outside in the Northern/North Atlantic sea. So it can =
be more rainy than snowing in the wintertime here.

erlilo
"Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> skrev i en meddelelse =
news:42daf0b3@linux...
Hi,

This look like a pretty sweet place to live.
What's the temperature there?

jef

-----=_NextPart_000_0048_01C58B82.8A2D2170
Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD><TITLE></TITLE>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type =
content=3Dtext/html;charset=3DISO-8859-1>
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2668" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY text=3D#000000 bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I think&nbsp;the temperature&nbsp;must =
be something=20
like BC on the Canadian westcoast. Maybe not so stable and&nbsp;cold =
winters,=20
you see we have the Golf Stream right outside in the Northern/North =
Atlantic=20
```



```

sea. So it can be more rainy than snowing in the wintertime =
here.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>erlilo</FONT></DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"Jef Knight" &lt;"Jef Knight"&gt; skrev i en meddelelse <A=20
  =
href=3D"news:42daf0b3@linux">news:42daf0b3@linux</A>...</DIV>Hi,<BR><BR>T=
his=20
  look like a pretty sweet place to live.<BR>What's the temperature=20
  there?<BR><BR>jef<BR>
  <BLOCKQUOTE cite=3Dmid42d96991@linux type=3D"cite">
    <META http-equiv=3DContext-Type=20
    content=3D"text/html; =
    charset=3Diso-8859-1"></BLOCKQUOTE><BR><BR></BLOCKQUOTE> </BODY></HTML>

```

-----=_NextPart_000_0048_01C58B82.8A2D2170--to make up for our "short comings" at birth?

On Sun, 17 Jul 2005 15:45:15 -0400, "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

```

>And we need more than 128 track why?
>Tom
>
> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tu3jd1ls95dmhfd0pehe3gqdnlis9d87rh@4ax.com...
> okay, so who's the gnomite????
>
> On Sat, 16 Jul 2005 09:43:32 -0600, "DJ"
> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>
> >Don Morrell.....but he's in Anchorage.
> >
> >
> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:6n7id1p2s434fdk7himmo3f9e76bcge300@4ax.com...
> >> who has paris in alaska???
> >>
> >> On Sat, 16 Jul 2005 08:02:30 -0600, "Miguel Vigil" <nospam@nospam.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I have it on unimpeachable authority that the eight card limit is a
> >software
> >> >switch.
> >> >
> >> >Ten cards have been successfully tested by a gnome.

```


>is
>>sorted out with all of my various

Subject: Re: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 06:43:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DAW components. I'm just looking for
>>10-15% headroom right now, just in case I need it. Never hurts to have
>it
>>handy, though this DAW seems to be quite happy running 4 x 21" CRT's with
>a
>>pair of them being driven by a Matrox G450 PCI card, a couple of RME HDSP
>>9652's and 3 x UAD-1's. I'm so happy with the way this has finally come
>>together that I might not screw it up for a while.
>>
>>;o)
>>
>>
>>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
>>news:42daf689@linux...
>>> DeeJ that doesn't really seem to be worth it, but have you considered
>>adding
>>> a hamster army spinning a bunch of wheels? Might want to put it in
>>another
>>> room and run some cables, cuz that shit can be loud.
>>>
>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>> news:42daeb52\$1@linux...
>>> > My Cubase DAW is running at 333MHz (2 x gigs of PC 2700 DDR) using an
>>AMD
>>> > XP
>>> > 3000 CPU. It is plugging along at between 60-75% CPU usage when playing
>>> > back
>>> > 48 tracks of audio with little else going on other than plugins from
>my
>>3
>>> > x
>>> > UAD-1 cards. I'm not familiar enough with SX to know if this is about
>>normal
>>> > or not and I'm sure I could optimize the system a little more by turning
>>> > off
>>> > the LAN in the bios when I'm mixing since one of my RME's is sharing
>an
>>> > IRQ
>>> > with it, but anyway, the system seems very stable and definitely usable
>>as

>>> > a
>>> > mixdown platform. I would however, like to squeeze a little more CPU
>>> > headroom out of it and I'm wondering if anyone here has ever substituted
>>> > an
>>> > XP 3200 CPU for an XP 3000 and noticed that the gain in cycles was
>>> > substantial enough to justify the expenditure (as subjective as that
>>is).
>>> > Anyway, it's a longshot, but I thought I'd ask.
>>> >
>>> > Thanks,
>>> >
>>> > DeeJ
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Sorry, I can't afford any gnome retaliation.

Me loves me kneecaps

:)

El Miguel

"rick" <
