

---

Subject: Logic on a Mac Pro

Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Mon, 21 Apr 2008 16:58:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello again!

My production partner Swen ahs decided that Paris is no longer good enough for him, and wants desperately to switch to Logic on a Mac Pro tower. I do not know why. He has always railed against what he perceives as being the fundamental inadequacy of Paris, though he's never used any other platform, and so has no frame of reference.

Anyway, I know a lot of folks here have experience with other platforms, and I wondered if anyone could give me some vague particulars as to what is possible on a native system these days.

We regularly rack up track counts of 64 or more, with Paris EQ on almost every channel. Can a native Logic system do that? Is latency an issue? Would the system be stable and easy to set up and tweak?

Thanks,  
Jimmy

---

---

Subject: Re: Logic on a Mac Pro

Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 22 Apr 2008 08:25:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

with the right set up sure. just stay away from leopard. you can get a new mac loaded with tiger...though you won't be able to use all 8 cpus if you go that route...plus your ram limit will be 4 gigs.

On 22 Apr 2008 02:58:41 +1000, "Uptown Jimmy"  
<[johnson314@bellsouth.net](mailto:johnson314@bellsouth.net)> wrote:

>

>Hello again!

>

>My production partner Swen ahs decided that Paris is no longer good enough  
>for him, and wants desperately to switch to Logic on a Mac Pro tower. I do  
>not know why. He has always railed against what he perceives as being the  
>fundamental inadequacy of Paris, though he's never used any other platform,  
>and so has no frame of reference.

>

>Anyway, I know a lot of folks here have experience with other platforms,  
>and I wondered if anyone could give me some vague particulars as to what  
>is possible on a native system these days.  
>We regularly rack up track counts of 64 or more, with Paris EQ on almost  
>every channel. Can a native Logic system do that? Is latency an issue? Would

>the system be stable and easy to set up and tweak?

>

>Thanks,

>Jimmy

---

---

Subject: Re: Logic on a Mac Pro

Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Tue, 22 Apr 2008 14:48:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Zan,

You might find some info here:

<http://forum.nuendo.com/phpbb2/>

I didn't see a Mac specific forum. Kerry Galloway seems to have a good bit of experience w/ Logic...

Gantt

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>Can you explain the problems with "Leopard" ?? I am thinking of doing the

>same thing...Anybody use Nuendo with a Mac ??

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:c28r0457q88rgkseco9vsklj4dio1kn7su@4ax.com...

>> with the right set up sure. just stay away from leopard. you can get

>> a new mac loaded with tiger...though you won't be able to use all 8

>> cpus if you go that route...plus your ram limit will be 4 gigs.

>>

>> On 22 Apr 2008 02:58:41 +1000, "Uptown Jimmy"

>> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>>

>> >

>> >Hello again!

>> >

>> >My production partner Swen ahs decided that Paris is no longer good

>enough

>> >for him, and wants desperately to switch to Logic on a Mac Pro tower.

|

>do

>> >not know why. He has always railed against what he perceives as being

the

>> >fundamental inadequacy of Paris, though he's never used any other

>platform,

>> >and so has no frame of reference.

>> >

>> >Anyway, I know a lot of folks here have experience with other platforms,

>> >and I wondered if anyone could give me some vague particulars as to what  
>> >is possible on a native system these days.  
>> >We regularly rack up track counts of 64 or more, with Paris EQ on almost  
>> >every channel. Can a native Logic system do that? Is latency an issue?  
>Would  
>> >the system be stable and easy to set up and tweak?  
>> >  
>> >Thanks,  
>> >Jimmy  
>>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Logic on a Mac Pro  
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Tue, 22 Apr 2008 15:08:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Can you explain the problems with "Leopard" ?? I am thinking of doing the same thing...Anybody use Nuendo with a Mac ??  
"rick" <parnell68athotmail.com> wrote in message  
news:c28r0457q88rgksec09vsklj4dio1kn7su@4ax.com...  
> with the right set up sure. just stay away from leopard. you can get  
> a new mac loaded with tiger...though you won't be able to use all 8  
> cpus if you go that route...plus your ram limit will be 4 gigs.  
>  
> On 22 Apr 2008 02:58:41 +1000, "Uptown Jimmy"  
> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:  
>  
> >  
> >Hello again!  
> >  
> >My production partner Swen ahs decided that Paris is no longer good  
enough  
> >for him, and wants desperately to switch to Logic on a Mac Pro tower. I  
do  
> >not know why. He has always railed against what he perceives as being the  
> >fundamental inadequacy of Paris, though he's never used any other  
platform,  
> >and so has no frame of reference.  
> >  
> >Anyway, I know a lot of folks here have experience with other platforms,  
> >and I wondered if anyone could give me some vague particulars as to what  
> >is possible on a native system these days.  
> >We regularly rack up track counts of 64 or more, with Paris EQ on almost  
> >every channel. Can a native Logic system do that? Is latency an issue?  
Would  
> >the system be stable and easy to set up and tweak?

> >  
> >Thanks,  
> >Jimmy  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Logic on a Mac Pro  
Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 23 Apr 2008 09:02:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

it's pretty much a stay away OS on any audio forum for pro use. some do not experience problems but a majority do. my experience is with logic and not nuendo and out of the five people running 10.5 and logic only one has it working without too many problems. the other 4 went back to tiger. the problems are too numerous to list...once you can even get logic to boot consistently.

On Tue, 22 Apr 2008 11:08:47 -0400, "tonehouse" <[zmcleod@comcast.net](mailto:zmcleod@comcast.net)> wrote:

>Can you explain the problems with "Leopard" ?? I am thinking of doing the  
>same thing...Anybody use Nuendo with a Mac ??  
>"rick" <[parnell68@hotmail.com](mailto:parnell68@hotmail.com)> wrote in message  
>[news:c28r0457q88rgkseco9vsklj4dio1kn7su@4ax.com](mailto:news:c28r0457q88rgkseco9vsklj4dio1kn7su@4ax.com)...  
>> with the right set up sure. just stay away from leopard. you can get  
>> a new mac loaded with tiger...though you won't be able to use all 8  
>> cpus if you go that route...plus your ram limit will be 4 gigs.  
>>  
>> On 22 Apr 2008 02:58:41 +1000, "Uptown Jimmy"  
>> <[johnson314@bellsouth.net](mailto:johnson314@bellsouth.net)> wrote:  
>>  
>> >  
>> >Hello again!  
>> >  
>> >My production partner Swen ahs decided that Paris is no longer good  
>enough  
>> >for him, and wants desperately to switch to Logic on a Mac Pro tower. I  
>do  
>> >not know why. He has always railed against what he perceives as being the  
>> >fundamental inadequacy of Paris, though he's never used any other  
>platform,  
>> >and so has no frame of reference.  
>> >  
>> >Anyway, I know a lot of folks here have experience with other platforms,  
>> >and I wondered if anyone could give me some vague particulars as to what  
>> >is possible on a native system these days.  
>> >We regularly rack up track counts of 64 or more, with Paris EQ on almost  
>> >every channel. Can a native Logic system do that? Is latency an issue?

>Would  
>> >the system be stable and easy to set up and tweak?  
>> >  
>> >Thanks,  
>> >Jimmy  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Logic on a Mac Pro  
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Wed, 23 Apr 2008 17:45:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Rick,  
We haven't seen those issues at all with logic so far.  
We are installing them on fresh out of the box machines not ones that people have had a chance to screw up. :)

Nuendo has been working great on the leopard side from what I've seen or heard from our customers so far. That is also true for Logic.  
I think alot of the people reporting issues with Logic are coming from Tiger to leopard upgrades and not fresh installs.  
They also may have 3rd party plug ins, sound cards and other non audio apps already installed on the machine that may not like Logic.

Chris

rick wrote:

> it's pretty much a stay away OS on any audio forum for pro use. some  
> do not experience problems but a majority do. my experience is with  
> logic and not nuendo and out of the five people running 10.5 and logic  
> only one has it working without too many problems. the other 4 went  
> back to tiger. the problems are too numerous to list...once you can  
> even get logic to boot consistently.  
>  
> On Tue, 22 Apr 2008 11:08:47 -0400, "tonehouse" <[zmcleod@comcast.net](mailto:zmcleod@comcast.net)>  
> wrote:  
>  
>> Can you explain the problems with "Leopard" ?? I am thinking of doing the  
>> same thing...Anybody use Nuendo with a Mac ??  
>> "rick" <[parnell68@hotmail.com](mailto:parnell68@hotmail.com)> wrote in message  
>> [news:c28r0457q88rgkseco9vsklj4dio1kn7su@4ax.com](mailto:news:c28r0457q88rgkseco9vsklj4dio1kn7su@4ax.com)...  
>>> with the right set up sure. just stay away from leopard. you can get  
>>> a new mac loaded with tiger...though you won't be able to use all 8  
>>> cpus if you go that route...plus your ram limit will be 4 gigs.  
>>>  
>>> On 22 Apr 2008 02:58:41 +1000, "Uptown Jimmy"  
>>> <[johnson314@bellsouth.net](mailto:johnson314@bellsouth.net)> wrote:

>>>  
>>>> Hello again!  
>>>>  
>>>> My production partner Swen ahs decided that Paris is no longer good  
>> enough  
>>>> for him, and wants desperately to switch to Logic on a Mac Pro tower. I  
>> do  
>>>> not know why. He has always railed against what he perceives as being the  
>>>> fundamental inadequacy of Paris, though he's never used any other  
>> platform,  
>>>> and so has no frame of reference.  
>>>>  
>>>> Anyway, I know a lot of folks here have experience with other platforms,  
>>>> and I wondered if anyone could give me some vague particulars as to what  
>>>> is possible on a native system these days.  
>>>> We regularly rack up track counts of 64 or more, with Paris EQ on almost  
>>>> every channel. Can a native Logic system do that? Is latency an issue?  
>> Would  
>>>> the system be stable and easy to set up and tweak?  
>>>>  
>>>> Thanks,  
>>>> Jimmy  
>

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK Pro Audio  
(859) 635-5762  
www.adkproaudio.com  
chrisl@adkproaudio.com

---

Subject: Re: Logic on a Mac Pro  
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 23 Apr 2008 18:07:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I've had some issues installing Safari and OSX on top of existing software.  
I totally agree with Chris, a clean install of OSX is the way to go!

Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:

>Hi Rick,  
>We haven't seen those issues at all with logic so far.  
>We are installing them on fresh out of the box machines not ones that people  
have had  
>a chance to screw up. :)  
>  
>Nuendo has been working great on the leopard side from what I've seen or

heard from  
>our customers so far. That is also true for Logic.  
>I think alot of the people reporting issues with Logic are coming from  
Tiger to leopard  
>upgrades and not fresh installs.  
>They also may have 3rd party plug ins, sound cards and other non audio apps  
already  
>installed on the machine that may not like Logic.  
>  
>Chris  
>  
>rick wrote:  
>> it's pretty much a stay away OS on any audio forum for pro use. some  
>> do not experience problems but a majority do. my experience is with  
>> logic and not nuendo and out of the five people running 10.5 and logic  
>> only one has it working without too many problems. the other 4 went  
>> back to tiger. the problems are too numerous to list...once you can  
>> even get logic to boot consistently.  
>>  
>> On Tue, 22 Apr 2008 11:08:47 -0400, "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net>  
>> wrote:  
>>  
>>> Can you explain the problems with "Leopard" ?? I am thinking of doing  
the  
>>> same thing...Anybody use Nuendo with a Mac ??  
>>> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>> news:c28r0457q88rgkseco9vsklj4dio1kn7su@4ax.com...  
>>>> with the right set up sure. just stay away from leopard. you can get  
>>>> a new mac loaded with tiger...though you won't be able to use all 8  
>>>> cpus if you go that route...plus your ram limit will be 4 gigs.  
>>>>  
>>>> On 22 Apr 2008 02:58:41 +1000, "Uptown Jimmy"  
>>>> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>> Hello again!  
>>>>>  
>>>>> My production partner Swen ahs decided that Paris is no longer good  
>>> enough  
>>>>> for him, and wants desperately to switch to Logic on a Mac Pro tower.  
I  
>>> do  
>>>>> not know why. He has always railed against what he perceives as being  
the  
>>>>> fundamental inadequacy of Paris, though he's never used any other  
>>> platform,  
>>>>> and so has no frame of reference.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Anyway, I know a lot of folks here have experience with other platforms,

>>>> and I wondered if anyone could give me some vague particulars as to what  
>>>> is possible on a native system these days.  
>>>> We regularly rack up track counts of 64 or more, with Paris EQ on almost  
>>>> every channel. Can a native Logic system do that? Is latency an issue?  
>>> Would  
>>>> the system be stable and easy to set up and tweak?  
>>>>  
>>>> Thanks,  
>>>> Jimmy  
>>  
>  
>--  
>Chris Ludwig  
>  
>ADK Pro Audio  
>(859) 635-5762  
>www.adkproaudio.com  
>chrisl@adkproaudio.com

---

---

Subject: Re: Logic on a Mac Pro  
Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 23 Apr 2008 19:24:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

he just did one on his quad and it's totally doa. the drive with tiger on it works just fine. sadly his imac took a shit today but that i think is from using that idrive (or whatever it's called) and shutting down during the transfer. now booting takes 20 minutes. personally i think it's trying to finish the upload while booting as it says it's on line but if you try to boot safari it says it's unable to connect to the server.  
it's a good thing he's a doctor cuz i don't think he could make it any where else. ;o)

On Wed, 23 Apr 2008 13:45:14 -0400, Chris Ludwig  
<chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:

>Hi Rick,  
>We haven't seen those issues at all with logic so far.  
>We are installing them on fresh out of the box machines not ones that people have had a chance to screw up. :)  
>  
>Nuendo has been working great on the leopard side from what I've seen or heard from our customers so far. That is also true for Logic.  
>I think allot of the people reporting issues with Logic are coming from Tiger to leopard upgrades and not fresh installs.  
>They also may have 3rd party plug ins, sound cards and other non audio apps already installed



on the machine that may not like Logic.

>

>Chris

>

>rick wrote:

>> it's pretty much a stay away OS on any audio forum for pro use. some  
>> do not experience problems but a majority do. my experience is with  
>> logic and not nuendo and out of the five people running 10.5 and logic  
>> only one has it working without too many problems. the other 4 went  
>> back to tiger. the problems are too numerous to list...once you can  
>> even get logic to boot consistently.

>>

>> On Tue, 22 Apr 2008 11:08:47 -0400, "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net>  
>> wrote:

>>

>>> Can you explain the problems with "Leopard" ?? I am thinking of doing the  
>>> same thing...Anybody use Nuendo with a Mac ??

>>> "rick" <parnell68athotmail.com> wrote in message

>>> news:c28r0457q88rgkseco9vsklj4dio1kn7su@4ax.com...

>>>> with the right set up sure. just stay away from leopard. you can get  
>>>> a new mac loaded with tiger...though you won't be able to use all 8  
>>>> cpus if you go that route...plus your ram limit will be 4 gigs.

>>>>

>>>> On 22 Apr 2008 02:58:41 +1000, "Uptown Jimmy"

>>>> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>> Hello again!

>>>>>

>>>>> My production partner Swen ahs decided that Paris is no longer good  
>>> enough

>>>>> for him, and wants desperately to switch to Logic on a Mac Pro tower. I  
>>> do

>>>>> not know why. He has always railed against what he perceives as being the  
>>>>> fundamental inadequacy of Paris, though he's never used any other  
>>> platform,

>>>>> and so has no frame of reference.

>>>>>

>>>>> Anyway, I know a lot of folks here have experience with other platforms,  
>>>>> and I wondered if anyone could give me some vague particulars as to what  
>>>>> is possible on a native system these days.

>>>>> We regularly rack up track counts of 64 or more, with Paris EQ on almost  
>>>>> every channel. Can a native Logic system do that? Is latency an issue?

>>> Would

>>>>> the system be stable and easy to set up and tweak?

>>>>>

>>>>> Thanks,

>>>>> Jimmy

>>

---