

---

Subject: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [Dimitrios](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 11:14:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

For all of you parisians with no adat cards several mecs multiple digital outputs you oughta consider the WORMHOLE thing.

After exhausted trials and errors I found that wormhole can work totally reliable (on my humble system) ONLY by wrapping it with FXPansion 3.3 !!!

I have posted all these before but is good to remind things.

With my P4 2.6 I achieved 24 channels of wormholed audio tracks from second computer running Cubase and first computer running Paris.

So why not use a SX or Nuendo or whatever shit out there to play your audio tracks with all VST/DX UAD Powercore, NUENDE, fOCUSRITE,etc and the wormhole back 24 (or more if you get yourself a faster than mine computer) to Paris for mixdown ?

24 tracks are sufficient for groups and individual tracks.

The audio tracks ARE PHASE ALLIGNED ONLY WHEN WRAPPED WITH FXPANSION 3.3 ,no Chainer no Spinaudio no FFX4 no other wrapper make it work ALLIGNED !!

Try it.

Regards,

Dimitrios

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [no](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 11:50:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

To use a much hated Americanism..., "I Hear Ya".

I'm gonna try this shit myself. I have two athlon 64 boxes, one running ParisXP, one running SX3, linked via adat and a Frontier Dakota/Montana.

All I wanna do is run my drum submix (1 of 4... I have 4 EDS's) into a slammin' compressor, and still be able to tweak until the "final mix". (If ever there is one....)

"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:

>

>For all of you parisians with no adat cards several mecs multiple digital

>outputs you oughta consider the WORMHOLE thing.

>After exhausted trials and errors I found that wormhole can work totally

>reliable (on my humble system) ONLY by wrapping it with FXPansion 3.3 !!!

>I have posted all these before but is good to remind things.

>With my P4 2.6 I achieved 24 channels of wormholed audio tracks from second

>computer running Cubase and first computer running Paris.

>So why not use a SX or Nuendo or whatever shit out there to play your audio

>tracks with all VST/DX UAD Powercore, NUENDE, fOCUSRITE,etc and the wormhole

>back 24 (or more if you get yourself a faster than mine computer) to Paris  
>for mixdown ?  
>24 tracks are sufficient for groups and individual tracks.  
>The audio tracks ARE PHASE ALLIGNED ONLY WHEN WRAPPED WITH EXPANSION 3.3  
>,no Chainer no Spinaudio no FFX4 no other wrapper make it work ALLIGNED  
!!  
>Try it.  
>Regards,  
>Dimitrios

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [Dimitrios](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 11:57:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear KIM,  
don't even consider sending back an forth.  
The only manageable way of doin it is having your "other" rig (cubase) as  
main daw and JUST send over wormhole to paris individual tracks and groups  
for eq'ing and mixing for that Paris sound whenxed from within.  
Regards,  
Dimitrios

"Kim W." <no@way.knowntoman> wrote:

>  
>To use a much hated Americanism..., "I Hear Ya".  
>I'm gonna try this shit myself. I have two athlon 64 boxes, one  
>running ParisXP, one running SX3, linked via adat and a Frontier  
>Dakota/Montana.  
>All I wanna do is run my drum submix (1 of 4... I have 4 EDS's)  
>into a slammin' compressor, and still be able to tweak until  
>the "final mix". (If ever there is one....)

>  
>  
>  
>"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:

>>  
>>For all of you parisians with no adat cards several mecs multiple digital  
>>outputs you oughta consider the WORMHOLE thing.  
>>After exhausted trials and errors I found that wormhole can work totally  
>>reliable (on my humble system) ONLY by wrapping it with EXPansion 3.3 !!!  
>>I have posted all these before but is good to remind things.  
>>With my P4 2.6 I achieved 24 channels of wormholed audio tracks from second  
>>computer running Cubase and first computer running Paris.  
>>So why not use a SX or Nuendo or whatever shit out there to play your audio  
>>tracks with all VST/DX UAD Powercore, NUENDE, fOCUSRITE,etc and the wormhole  
>>back 24 (or more if you get yourself a faster than mine computer) to Paris  
>>for mixdown ?

>>24 tracks are sufficient for groups and individual tracks.  
>>The audio tracks ARE PHASE ALLIGNED ONLY WHEN WRAPPED WITH FXPANSION 3.3  
>>,no Chainer no Spinaudio no FFX4 no other wrapper make it work ALLIGNED  
>!!  
>>Try it.  
>>Regards,  
>>Dimitrios  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:02:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks, Dimitrios.  
Maybe what I'll do is just keep doing  
what I've done in the past. Bouncedown only the Drums,  
(after automation of individual tracks), give them their own  
stereo pair, and apply whatever native processing I like.  
I could always just slide them forward a bit, and even add back  
some of the original tracks for a bit of life.  
I have done this in the past and it has worked well.  
A bit of rooting around, but hey.. (to quote Ian Anderson.."Nothing Is Easy")  
I don't really relish the thought of going down DJ's road to  
HELLLLLL!!!! (apply Sam Kinison overtones here...)

"Dimitrios" <musurgi@otenet.gr> wrote:

>  
>Dear KIM,  
>don't even consider sending back an forth.  
>The only manageable way of doin it is having your "other" rig (cubase)  
as  
>main daw andJUST send over wormhole to paris individual tracks and groups  
>for eq'ing and mixing for that Paris sound whenxed from within.  
>Regards,  
>Dimitrios  
>  
>"Kim W." <no@way.knowntoman> wrote:  
>>  
>>To use a much hated Americanism..., "I Hear Ya".  
>>I'm gonna try this shit myself. I have two athlon 64 boxes, one  
>>running ParisXP, one running SX3, linked via adat and a Frontier  
>>Dakota/Montana.  
>>All I wanna do is run my drum submix (1 of 4... I have 4 EDS's)  
>>into a slammin' compressor, and still be able to tweak until  
>>the "final mix". (If ever there is one....)

>>  
>>  
>>  
>>"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>For all of you parisians with no adat cards several mecs multiple digital  
>>>outputs you oughta consider the WORMHOLE thing.  
>>>After exhausted trials and errors I found that wormhole can work totally  
>>>reliable (on my humble system) ONLY by wrapping it with FXPansion 3.3  
>>>!!!  
>>>I have posted all these before but is good to remind things.  
>>>With my P4 2.6 I achieved 24 channels of wormholed audio tracks from second  
>>>computer running Cubase and first computer running Paris.  
>>>So why not use a SX or Nuendo or whatever shit out there to play your  
audio  
>>>tracks with all VST/DX UAD Powercore, NUENDE, fOCUSRITE,etc and the wormhole  
>>>back 24 (or more if you get yourself a faster than mine computer) to Paris  
>>>for mixdown ?  
>>>24 tracks are sufficient for groups and individual tracks.  
>>>The audio tracks ARE PHASE ALLIGNED ONLY WHEN WRAPPED WITH FXPANSION 3.3  
>>>,no Chainer no Spinaudio no FFX4 no other wrapper make it work ALLIGNED  
>>>!!  
>>>Try it.  
>>>Regards,  
>>>Dimitrios  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [Dimitrios](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:10:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear Kim,  
Have you tried inserting on Paris Aux1 and Aux2 an eds compressor leaving  
comp on aux1 1:1 no threshold just for dry signal and aux2's eds compressor  
compressing/limiting heavily ?  
Thus you can buy adding aux1 control volume getting more rdy and by adding  
aux2 controlvolume getting more squashed.  
Remember to set aux1 and 2 to PRE and mute the corresponding drumtracks that  
are using these compressors.

Also you get make a native submix where you can use Dx ON AUXES Aand [ut  
your drumtracks there.  
All auxes there are mono but you can use two auxes with same compressor DX  
and pan hard left and right.

Hope these might help.

Regards,  
Dimitrios

"Kim W" <nobody@home.usually> wrote:

>  
>Thanks, Dimitrios.  
>Maybe what I'll do is just keep doing  
>what I've done in the past. Bouncedown only the Drums,  
>(after automation of individual tracks), give them their own  
>stereo pair, and apply whatever native processing I like.  
>I could always just slide them forward a bit, and even add back  
>some of the original tracks for a bit of life.  
>I have done this in the past and it has worked well.  
>A bit of rooting around, but hey.. (to quote Ian Anderson.."Nothing Is Easy")  
>I don't really relish the thought of going down DJ's road to  
>HELLLLLL!!!! (apply Sam Kinison overtones here...)

>  
>  
>  
>"Dimitrios" <musurgi@otenet.gr> wrote:

>>  
>>Dear KIM,  
>>don't even consider sending back an forth.  
>>The only manageable way of doin it is having your "other" rig (cubase)  
>as  
>>main daw andJUST send over wormhole to paris individul tracks and groups  
>>for eq'ing and mixing for that Paris sound whenxed from within.  
>>Regards,  
>>Dimitrios

>>  
>>"Kim W." <no@way.knowntoman> wrote:

>>>  
>>>To use a much hated Americanism..., "I Hear Ya".  
>>>I'm gonna try this shit myself. I have two athlon 64 boxes, one  
>>>running ParisXP, one running SX3, linked via adat and a Frontier  
>>>Dakota/Montana.  
>>>All I wanna do is run my drum submix (1 of 4... I have 4 EDS's)  
>>>into a slammin' compressor, and still be able to tweak until  
>>>the "final mix". (If ever there is one....)

>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:

>>>>  
>>>>For all of you parisians with no adat cards several mecs multiple digital  
>>>>outputs you oughta consider the WORMHOLE thing.  
>>>>After exhausted triels and errors I found that wormhole can work totally  
>>>>reliable (on my humble system) ONLY by wrapping it with FXPansion 3.3

>!!!  
>>>>I have posted all these before but is good to remind things.  
>>>>With my P4 2.6 I achieved 24 channels of wormholed audio tracks from  
second  
>>>>computer running Cubase and first computer running Paris.  
>>>>So why not use a SX or Nuendo or whatever shit out there to play your  
>audio  
>>>>tracks with all VST/DX UAD Powercore, NUENDE, fOCUSRITE,etc and the wormhole  
>>>>back 24 (or more if you get yourself a faster than mine computer) to  
Paris  
>>>>for mixdown ?  
>>>>24 tracks are sufficient for groups and individual tracks.  
>>>>The audio tracks ARE PHASE ALLIGNED ONLY WHEN WRAPPED WITH EXPANSION  
3.3  
>>>>,no Chainer no Spinaudio no FFX4 no other wrapper make it work ALLIGNED  
>>>!!  
>>>>Try it.  
>>>>Regards,  
>>>>Dimitrios  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:51:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Jethro Tull reference !!! Woo Hoo !

Kim W wrote:

> Thanks, Dimitrios.  
> Maybe what I'll do is just keep doing  
> what I've done in the past. Bouncedown only the Drums,  
> (after automation of individual tracks), give them their own  
> stereo pair, and apply whatever native processing I like.  
> I could always just slide them forward a bit, and even add back  
> some of the original tracks for a bit of life.  
> I have done this in the past and it has worked well.  
> A bit of rooting around, but hey.. (to quote Ian Anderson.."Nothing Is Easy")  
> I don't really relish the thought of going down DJ's road to  
> HELLLLLL!!!! (apply Sam Kinison overtones here...)  
>  
>  
>  
> "Dimitrios" <musurgi@otenet.gr> wrote:  
>> Dear KIM,  
>> don't even consider sending back an forth.

>> The only manageable way of doing it is having your "other" rig (Cubase)  
> as  
>> main daw and JUST send over wormhole to Paris individual tracks and groups  
>> for eq'ing and mixing for that Paris sound when xed from within.  
>> Regards,  
>> Dimitrios  
>>  
>> "Kim W." <no@way.knowntoman> wrote:  
>>> To use a much hated Americanism..., "I Hear Ya".  
>>> I'm gonna try this shit myself. I have two Athlon 64 boxes, one  
>>> running ParisXP, one running SX3, linked via ADAT and a Frontier  
>>> Dakota/Montana.  
>>> All I wanna do is run my drum submix (1 of 4... I have 4 EDS's)  
>>> into a slammin' compressor, and still be able to tweak until  
>>> the "final mix". (If ever there is one....)  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:  
>>>> For all of you Parisians with no ADAT cards several mics multiple digital  
>>>> outputs you oughta consider the WORMHOLE thing.  
>>>> After exhausted trials and errors I found that wormhole can work totally  
>>>> reliable (on my humble system) ONLY by wrapping it with FXPansion 3.3  
> !!!  
>>>> I have posted all these before but is good to remind things.  
>>>> With my P4 2.6 I achieved 24 channels of wormholed audio tracks from second  
>>>> computer running Cubase and first computer running Paris.  
>>>> So why not use a SX or Nuendo or whatever shit out there to play your  
> audio  
>>>> tracks with all VST/DX UAD Powercore, NUENDE, FOCUSRITE, etc and the wormhole  
>>>> back 24 (or more if you get yourself a faster than mine computer) to Paris  
>>>> for mixdown ?  
>>>> 24 tracks are sufficient for groups and individual tracks.  
>>>> The audio tracks ARE PHASE ALLIGNED ONLY WHEN WRAPPED WITH FXPANSION  
3.3  
>>>> ,no Chainer no Spinaudio no FFX4 no other wrapper make it work ALLIGNED  
>>> !!  
>>>> Try it.  
>>>> Regards,  
>>>> Dimitrios  
>

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [no](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 14:59:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi, Dimitrios.



I have tried your first suggested method, but the biggest problem with this is that automation goes out the window. (aux sends are not automatable, only returns!).

Also, whilst I have used and actually sometimes like the EDS compressor for bass and backing vocals and such, it is far too "pumpy" and limited for drums, to my ears.

As far as using the native submixes are concerned, yes I have used them in the past for extra tracks when I have needed them. (block BV's doubling the first word of an already layered chorus, for example....).

Admittedly native submixes were always the "last line of defence". (defense to those in the US)

I always found, at least on my old computer, that using native submixes, even without additional native processing, seemed to bog the system down considerably.

I am yet to try native submixes on my new rig, and maybe I could use your second suggested method successfully.

Thanks for your thoughts, Dimitrios, and I will try them next heavy duty mixdown.

Good on you for "Spreading the Lurve".

I have no option but to stick with Paris for a while.

I am considering buying protocols M-powered, just to see if it sucks as badly as PT-free did some years ago.

I realise now that despite the fact that mixing in Paris fits like a glove, I may have to move on to that poxy P.O.S. Digi interface if I am to continue in this (equally poxy) industry!

It's funny, but I can't begin to imagine making my mixes "sing" as easily outside of Paris. (Just ask DeeJ's wife... she knows the poop!)

Over and out.

Kim

"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:

>

>Dear Kim,

>Have you tried inserting on Paris Aux1 and Aux2 an eds compressor leaving  
>comp on aux1 1:1 no threshold just for dry signal and aux2's eds compressor  
>compressing/limiting heavily ?

>Thus you can buy adding aux1 control volume getting more rdy and by adding  
>aux2 controlvolume getting more squashed.

>Remember to set aux1 and 2 to PRE and mute the corresponding drumtracks  
that

>are using these compressors.

>

>Also you get make a native submix where you can use Dx ON AUXES Aand [ut  
>your drumtracks there.

>All auxes there are mono but you can use two auxes with same compressor



DX

>and pan hard left and right.

>

>Hope these might help.

>Regards,

>Dimitrios

>

>"Kim W" <nobody@home.usually> wrote:

>>

>>Thanks, Dimitrios.

>>Maybe what I'll do is just keep doing

>>what I've done in the past. Bouncedown only the Drums,

>>(after automation of individual tracks), give them their own

>>stereo pair, and apply whatever native processing I like.

>>I could always just slide them forward a bit, and even add back

>>some of the original tracks for a bit of life.

>>I have done this in the past and it has worked well.

>>A bit of rooting around, but hey.. (to quote Ian Anderson.."Nothing Is Easy"!

>>I don't really relish the thought of going down DJ's road to

>>HELLLLLL!!!! (apply Sam Kinison overtones here...)

>>

>>

>>

>>"Dimitrios" <musurgi@otenet.gr> wrote:

>>>

>>>Dear KIM,

>>>don't even consider sending back an forth.

>>>The only manageable way of doin it is having your "other" rig (cubase)

>>as

>>>main daw andJUST send over wormhole to paris indivindual tracks and groups

>>>for eq'ing and mixing for that Paris sound whenxed from within.

>>>Regards,

>>>Dimitrios

>>>

>>>"Kim W." <no@way.knowntoman> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>To use a much hated Americanism..., "I Hear Ya".

>>>>I'm gonna try this shit myself. I have two athlon 64 boxes, one

>>>>running ParisXP, one running SX3, linked via adat and a Frontier

>>>>Dakota/Montana.

>>>>All I wanna do is run my drum submix (1 of 4... I have 4 EDS's)

>>>>into a slammin' compressor, and still be able to tweak until

>>>>the "final mix". (If ever there is one....)

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:

>>>>>  
>>>>>For all of you parisians with no adat cards several mecs multiple digital  
>>>>>outputs you oughta consider the WORMHOLE thing.  
>>>>>After exhausted triels and errors I found that wormhole can work totally  
>>>>>reliable (on my humble system) ONLY by wrapping it with FXPansion 3.3  
>>!!!  
>>>>>I have posted all these before but is good to remind things.  
>>>>>With my P4 2.6 I achieved 24 channels of wormholed audio tracks from  
>second  
>>>>>computer running Cubase and first computer running Paris.  
>>>>>So why not use a SX or Nuendo or whatever shit out there to play your  
>>audio  
>>>>>tracks with all VST/DX UAD Powercore, NUENDE, fOCUSRITE,etc and the  
wormhole  
>>>>>back 24 (or more if you get yourself a faster than mine computer) to  
>Paris  
>>>>>for mixdown ?  
>>>>>24 tracks are sufficient for groups and indivindual tracks.  
>>>>>The audio tracks ARE PHASE ALLIGNED ONLY WHEN WRAPPED WITH FXPANSION  
>3.3  
>>>>>,no Chainer no Spinaudio no FFX4 no other wrapper make it work ALLIGNED  
>>>>>!!  
>>>>>Try it.  
>>>>>Regards,  
>>>>>Dimitrios  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [TC](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 16:45:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Dimitrios,

I tried this last week with the latest Wormhole sending from DP5 OSX to Paris PC.

I couldn't get it passing audio, so I finally gave up (the connections in wormhole showed up but just didn't work).

I ended up just bouncing stems via spdif with both computers synced up.

I'll have to look at it again as I really wanted to get that working.

Cheers,

TC

Dimitrios wrote:

- > For all of you parisians with no adat cards several mecs multiple digital
- > outputs you oughta consider the WORMHOLE thing.
- > After exhausted trials and errors I found that wormhole can work totally
- > reliable (on my humble system) ONLY by wrapping it with FXPansion 3.3 !!!
- > I have posted all these before but is good to remind things.
- > With my P4 2.6 I achieved 24 channels of wormholed audio tracks from second
- > computer running Cubase and first computer running Paris.
- > So why not use a SX or Nuendo or whatever shit out there to play your audio
- > tracks with all VST/DX UAD Powercore, NUENDE, fOCUSRITE,etc and the wormhole
- > back 24 (or more if you get yourself a faster than mine computer) to Paris
- > for mixdown ?
- > 24 tracks are sufficient for groups and individual tracks.
- > The audio tracks ARE PHASE ALLIGNED ONLY WHEN WRAPPED WITH FXPANSION 3.3
- > ,no Chainer no Spinaudio no FFX4 no other wrapper make it work ALLIGNED !!
- > Try it.
- > Regards,
- > Dimitrios

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [Dimitrios](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 18:21:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Don't forget to wrap wormhole with FXpansion 3.3.

It will work.

Regards,

Dimitrios

TC <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:

>Hi Dimitrios,

>

>I tried this last week with the latest Wormhole sending from DP5 OSX to Paris PC.

>

>I couldn't get it passing audio, so I finally gave up (the connections in wormhole showed

>up but just didn't work).

>

>I ended up just bouncing stems via spdif with both computers synced up.

>

>I'll have to look at it again as I really wanted to get that working.

>

>Cheers,

>

>TC

>  
>Dimitrios wrote:  
>> For all of you parisians with no adat cards several mecs multiple digital  
>> outputs you oughta consider the WORMHOLE thing.  
>> After exhausted trials and errors I found that wormhole can work totally  
>> reliable (on my humble system) ONLY by wrapping it with FXPansion 3.3  
!!!  
>> I have posted all these before but is good to remind things.  
>> With my P4 2.6 I achieved 24 channels of wormholed audio tracks from second  
>> computer running Cubase and first computer running Paris.  
>> So why not use a SX or Nuendo or whatever shit out there to play your  
audio  
>> tracks with all VST/DX UAD Powercore, NUENDE, fOCUSRITE,etc and the wormhole  
>> back 24 (or more if you get yourself a faster than mine computer) to Paris  
>> for mixdown ?  
>> 24 tracks are sufficient for groups and individual tracks.  
>> The audio tracks ARE PHASE ALLIGNED ONLY WHEN WRAPPED WITH FXPANSION 3.3  
>> ,no Chainer no Spinaudio no FFX4 no other wrapper make it work ALLIGNED  
!!  
>> Try it.  
>> Regards,  
>> Dimitrios

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [TC](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 18:42:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Oh,

VST to DX wrapper? I don't own that. I'll have to check it out.

Is that why it wouldn't pass audio? It did show up as an insert with the connection name in Paris as a vst.

Cheers,

TC

Dimitrios wrote:  
> Don't forget to wrap wormhole with FXpansion 3.3.  
> It will work.  
> Regards,  
> Dimitrios

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:31:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sorry TC, DX is a PC only plugin format, so I don't think you'll have any luck. Unless you only need the VST to DX wrapper on the PC side. Also, DP doesn't support VST without a wrapper. FXpansion sells an AU to VST wrapper. So the scenario would be AU to VST wrapper out of DP on the Mac, then VST to DX wrapper into PARIS on the PC. Sounds like a train wreck waiting to happen. I could be wrong though. ;>)

Tony

"TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message  
news:4535221e\$1@linux...

> Oh,

>

> VST to DX wrapper? I don't own that. I'll have to check it out.

>

> Is that why it wouldn't pass audio? It did show up as an insert with the  
> connection name in Paris as a vst.

>

> Cheers,

>

> TC

>

>

>

> Dimitrios wrote:

>> Don't forget to wrap wormhole with FXpansion 3.3.

>> It will work.

>> Regards,

>> Dimitrios

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [TC](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 20:29:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Tony,

To complicate the scenario a bit more, since I'm using DP5 under DAE mode, I can only use rtas.

What I did was wrap the vst or au version of wormhole on the mac to rtas.

The question then is what do I need on the PC side? I was just inserting it as a vst in Paris. Do I need to wrap it to DX?

Cheers,

TC

Tony Benson wrote:

> Sorry TC, DX is a PC only plugin format, so I don't think you'll have any  
> luck. Unless you only need the VST to DX wrapper on the PC side. Also, DP  
> doesn't support VST without a wrapper. FXpansion sells an AU to VST wrapper.  
> So the scenario would be AU to VST wrapper out of DP on the Mac, then VST to  
> DX wrapper into PARIS on the PC. Sounds like a train wreck waiting to  
> happen. I could be wrong though. ;>)

>

> Tony

>

>

> "TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message  
> news:4535221e\$1@linux...

>> Oh,

>>

>> VST to DX wrapper? I don't own that. I'll have to check it out.

>>

>> Is that why it wouldn't pass audio? It did show up as an insert with the  
>> connection name in Paris as a vst.

>>

>> Cheers,

>>

>> TC

>>

>>

>>

>> Dimitrios wrote:

>>> Don't forget to wrap wormhole with FXpansion 3.3.

>>> It will work.

>>> Regards,

>>> Dimitrios

>

>

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 20:45:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, it sounds like the only thing to try if it's not passing signal as a VST in PARIS. I've only just slightly messed around with Wormhole and then it was between two Macs, one running PARIS, and one running SXle. I don't

even remember if I got it working or not. At any rate, it sounds like the scenario that Dimitrios has working is with Wormhole on two PC's, both wrapped with VST to DX (unless I'm understanding it wrong). You're in DeeJ's "mad scientist" territory trying to get it working between a Mac and a PC it seems. ;>)

Tony

"TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message  
news:45353b32@linux...

> Hi Tony,  
> To complicate the scenario a bit more, since I'm using DP5 under DAE mode,  
> I can only use rtas.  
> What I did was wrap the vst or au version of wormhole on the mac to rtas.  
>  
> The question then is what do I need on the PC side? I was just inserting  
> it as a vst in Paris. Do I  
> need to wrap it to DX?  
>  
> Cheers,  
>  
> TC  
>  
>  
> Tony Benson wrote:  
>> Sorry TC, DX is a PC only plugin format, so I don't think you'll have any  
>> luck. Unless you only need the VST to DX wrapper on the PC side. Also, DP  
>> doesn't support VST without a wrapper. FXpansion sells an AU to VST  
>> wrapper. So the scenario would be AU to VST wrapper out of DP on the Mac,  
>> then VST to DX wrapper into PARIS on the PC. Sounds like a train wreck  
>> waiting to happen. I could be wrong though. ;>)  
>>  
>> Tony  
>>  
>>  
>> "TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message  
>> news:4535221e\$1@linux...  
>>> Oh,  
>>>  
>>> VST to DX wrapper? I don't own that. I'll have to check it out.  
>>>  
>>> Is that why it wouldn't pass audio? It did show up as an insert with the  
>>> connection name in Paris as a vst.  
>>>  
>>> Cheers,  
>>>  
>>> TC



>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> Dimitrios wrote:  
>>>> Don't forget to wrap wormhole with FXpansion 3.3.  
>>>> It will work.  
>>>> Regards,  
>>>> Dimitrios  
>>

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [TC](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 21:58:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Tony,

Thanks, yes it sounds like I maybe need to use wormhole as a dx plug in paris.

Are you using DP5 these days?

Cheers,

TC

Tony Benson wrote:

> Well, it sounds like the only thing to try if it's not passing signal as a  
> VST in PARIS. I've only just slightly messed around with Wormhole and then  
> it was between two Macs, one running PARIS, and one running SXle. I don't  
> even remember if I got it working or not. At any rate, it sounds like the  
> scenario that Dimitrios has working is with Wormhole on two PC's, both  
> wrapped with VST to DX (unless I'm understanding it wrong). You're in DeeJ's  
> "mad scientist" territory trying to get it working between a Mac and a PC it  
> seems. ;>  
>  
> Tony

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 22:41:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, I use DP 5 and love it! I run under the MAS engine though and use mostly AU plugins. I still can't get my mixes to sound as good as I can in PARIS, but the midi, AU instruments, and advanced editing features are killer IMHO. Although I don't use it much, the new built-in pitch correction

is very cool. It seems to do almost everything Melodyne does, and it's included in the program. It's worked very well, the few times I've needed it. I also like the tight integration with the 828MKII and I suppose all MOTU interfaces. Solid program also. I think the only time I've crashed it is with "suspect" freebie plugins.

Tony

"TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message  
news:45355025\$1@linux...

> Hi Tony,

>

> Thanks, yes it sounds like I maybe need to use wormhole as a dx plug in  
> paris.

>

> Are you using DP5 these days?

>

> Cheers,

>

> TC

>

>

> Tony Benson wrote:

>> Well, it sounds like the only thing to try if it's not passing signal as  
>> a VST in PARIS. I've only just slightly messed around with Wormhole and  
>> then it was between two Macs, one running PARIS, and one running SXle. I  
>> don't even remember if I got it working or not. At any rate, it sounds  
>> like the scenario that Dimitrios has working is with Wormhole on two  
>> PC's, both wrapped with VST to DX (unless I'm understanding it wrong).  
>> You're in Dee's "mad scientist" territory trying to get it working  
>> between a Mac and a PC it seems. ;>)

>>

>> Tony

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [TC](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 22:52:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, I'm really impressed with the new editing features. It's very close to PT in that respect, but with much better midi support.

I'm running it under DAE so there are a few quirks with rtas plugs (some don't work, and no multi-outs).

I did try the pitch functions, but I found Melodyne to be easier, since I'm already used to it.

So are you mixing in Paris? I tried mixing in DP but ended up going back to Paris for that, and I'm much happier. Essentially I'm just using Paris for summing and track levels, most of the plugins and processing are done in DP, since I can use all my RTAS and TDM plugins, so it's ultimately a powerful combination of DP, Pro Tools and Paris.

I'm also thinking of using logic Pro on my laptop for the Logic instruments, still doing all midi in DP, and lightpiping Logic to Paris also for mixing.

Then I'll have all my baskets for one egg ;-)

Cheers,

TC

Tony Benson wrote:

> Yes, I use DP 5 and love it! I run under the MAS engine though and use  
> mostly AU plugins. I still can't get my mixes to sound as good as I can in  
> PARIS, but the midi, AU instruments, and advanced editing features are  
> killer IMHO. Although I don't use it much, the new built-in pitch correction  
> is very cool. It seems to do almost everything Melodyne does, and it's  
> included in the program. It's worked very well, the few times I've needed  
> it. I also like the tight integration with the 828MKII and I suppose all  
> MOTU interfaces. Solid program also. I think the only time I've crashed it  
> is with "suspect" freebie plugins.  
>  
> Tony

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 04:00:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Here's my post from the recent Drumagog thread. You must have missed it.

I started using DP about a year ago, and so far here's what I've been doing. Tracking in DP taking advantage of VSTi's, the UAD-1, and the various other things that PARIS can't do. I freeze the tracks in DP. Then I copy the 24 bit SDII files to my PARIS drive and convert to 24 bit paf's using Soundapp under OS9.2. Fly the tracks into PARIS and mix there. The downside is if I want to use the UAD-1 comps, EQ's, etc. in DP I have to make those decisions before actually "mixing" in PARIS. It's really not any different than applying EQ or Compression during tracking with hardware though. I mean you'd have to make those decisions before mixing in that scenario also.

Anyway, so far I've been satisfied with that workflow. I am going to try to do some tracking in PARIS, fly those tracks to DP, add VSTi's, plugins, etc., and fly those tracks back over to PARIS for mixing. Several people here think that some of PARIS's magic also happens on the way in, and I'd like to test that out. On occasion, I've done everything in DP, but I've never been as happy with my all native mixes. I also really miss the PARIS EQ, nolimit, and other various things that aren't available in DP. I'm kind of old school with mixing in that I don't really have a need for elaborate automation, etc. The fader automation in PARIS is all I use 99% of the time. The only real complaints I have about PARIS are the lack of automatic plugin compensation and not being able to use VSTi's. Anyway, I know it's a little more time, but I'm a solo "artist" and don't really do much recording for "clients", so I've got the extra time to make it work.

Tony

On 10/17/06 5:52 PM, in article 45355cb4@linux, "TC"  
<tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:

> Yes, I'm really impressed with the new editing features. It's very close to PT  
> in that respect, but with  
> much better midi support.  
>  
> I'm running it under DAE so there are a few quirks with rtas plugs (some don't  
> work, and no multi-outs).  
>  
> I did try the pitch functions, but I found Melodyne to be easier, since I'm  
> already used to it.  
>  
> So are you mixing in Paris? I tried mixing in DP but ended up going back to  
> Paris for that, and I'm much  
> happier. Essentially I'm just using Paris for summing and track levels, most  
> of the plugins and processing are done in DP, since  
> I can use all my RTAS and TDM plugins, so it's ultimately a powerful  
> combination of DP, Pro Tools and Paris.  
>  
> I'm also thinking of using logic Pro on my laptop for the Logic instruments,  
> still doing all midi in DP, and lightpiping Logic to  
> Paris also for mixing.  
>  
> Then I'll have all my baskets for one egg ;-)  
>  
> Cheers,  
>  
> TC  
>  
>  
> Tony Benson wrote:

>> Yes, I use DP 5 and love it! I run under the MAS engine though and use  
>> mostly AU plugins. I still can't get my mixes to sound as good as I can in  
>> PARIS, but the midi, AU instruments, and advanced editing features are  
>> killer IMHO. Although I don't use it much, the new built-in pitch correction  
>> is very cool. It seems to do almost everything Melodyne does, and it's  
>> included in the program. It's worked very well, the few times I've needed  
>> it. I also like the tight integration with the 828MKII and I suppose all  
>> MOTU interfaces. Solid program also. I think the only time I've crashed it  
>> is with "suspect" freebie plugins.

>>  
>> Tony

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [TC](#) on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 05:08:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Tony,

Yes, I missed that.

Very cool. What I'm doing for now is just flying stems over in passes via spdif.

What I'm hoping to do soon is lightpipe all tracks and use paris as a realtime mixer for summing and bouncing mixes to disk, that way it's easy to change a UAD plug or whatever in DP and not have to go through that extra step. I've also got a UA card in my G5 that's wrapped to rtas, so I can also use it in DP.

I keep the systems synced up now so if I do need to change a plugin or something in DP it's easy to fly it over again to Paris.

Cheers,

TC

Tony Benson wrote:

> Here's my post from the recent Drumagog thread. You must have missed it.  
>  
> I started using DP about a year ago, and so far here's what I've been doing.  
> Tracking in DP taking advantage of VSTi's, the UAD-1, and the various other  
> things that PARIS can't do. I freeze the tracks in DP. Then I copy the 24  
> bit SDII files to my PARIS drive and convert to 24 bit paf's using Soundapp  
> under OS9.2. Fly the tracks into PARIS and mix there. The downside is if I  
> want to use the UAD-1 comps, EQ's, etc. in DP I have to make those decisions  
> before actually "mixing" in PARIS. It's really not any different than  
> applying EQ or Compression during tracking with hardware though. I mean  
> you'd have to make those decisions before mixing in that scenario also.  
> Anyway, so far I've been satisfied with that workflow. I am going to try to

> do some tracking in PARIS, fly those tracks to DP, add VSTi's, plugins,  
> etc., and fly those tracks back over to PARIS for mixing. Several people  
> here think that some of PARIS's magic also happens on the way in, and I'd  
> like to test that out. On occasion, I've done everything in DP, but I've  
> never been as happy with my all native mixes. I also really miss the PARIS  
> EQ, nolimit, and other various things that aren't available in DP. I'm kind  
> of old school with mixing in that I don't really have a need for elaborate  
> automation, etc. The fader automation in PARIS is all I use 99% of the time.  
> The only real complaints I have about PARIS are the lack of automatic plugin  
> compensation and not being able to use VSTi's. Anyway, I know it's a little  
> more time, but I'm a solo "artist" and don't really do much recording for  
> "clients", so I've got the extra time to make it work.  
>  
> Tony

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 16:34:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Having everything lightpiped would be the most flexible solution, but for me, it's just a bit too daunting. I'd need to add a bunch of ADAT cards and one more MEC to have 48 tracks of lightpipe on my three EDS cards, and then figure out all the syncing, routing, etc. I can't find enough time to actually "make music" as it is, and that kind of a set up seems like it would require more patience to take care of than I have. If I need to change something after importing to PARIS, I can just fly it back over to DP and try again. I actually don't even do that very often.

I'll probably catch some flack for saying this, but I remember a line from the first Jurassic Park movie where Jeff Goldblum's character says "Your scientists were so preoccupied with whether or not they could that they didn't stop to think if they should." Don't get me wrong, I think all the mad scientist stuff is very cool and interesting, and if it helps people get the results they need, that's great. For me though, I'm hoping my gear slut side will calm down to the point where I can just get some of my music done.  
;>)

Tony

"TC" <[tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org](mailto:tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org)> wrote in message  
news:4535b4c5\$1@linux...

> Hi Tony,  
>  
> Yes, I missed that.  
> Very cool. What I'm doing for now is just flying stems over in passes via

> spdif.  
>  
> What I'm hoping to do soon is lightpipe all tracks and use paris as a  
> realtime mixer for summing and bouncing mixes to disk, that way it's easy  
> to change a UAD plug or whatever in DP and not have to go through that  
> extra step. I've also got a UA card in my G5 that's wrapped to rtas, so I  
> can also use it in DP.  
>  
> I keep the systems synced up now so if I do need to change a plugin or  
> something in DP it's easy to fly it over again to Paris.  
>  
> Cheers,  
>  
> TC  
>  
> Tony Benson wrote:  
>> Here's my post from the recent Drumagog thread. You must have missed it.  
>>  
>> I started using DP about a year ago, and so far here's what I've been  
>> doing.  
>> Tracking in DP taking advantage of VSTi's, the UAD-1, and the various  
>> other  
>> things that PARIS can't do. I freeze the tracks in DP. Then I copy the 24  
>> bit SDII files to my PARIS drive and convert to 24 bit paf's using  
>> Soundapp  
>> under OS9.2. Fly the tracks into PARIS and mix there. The downside is if  
>> I  
>> want to use the UAD-1 comps, EQ's, etc. in DP I have to make those  
>> decisions  
>> before actually "mixing" in PARIS. It's really not any different than  
>> applying EQ or Compression during tracking with hardware though. I mean  
>> you'd have to make those decisions before mixing in that scenario also.  
>> Anyway, so far I've been satisfied with that workflow. I am going to try  
>> to  
>> do some tracking in PARIS, fly those tracks to DP, add VSTi's, plugins,  
>> etc., and fly those tracks back over to PARIS for mixing. Several people  
>> here think that some of PARIS's magic also happens on the way in, and I'd  
>> like to test that out. On occasion, I've done everything in DP, but I've  
>> never been as happy with my all native mixes. I also really miss the  
>> PARIS  
>> EQ, nolimit, and other various things that aren't available in DP. I'm  
>> kind  
>> of old school with mixing in that I don't really have a need for  
>> elaborate  
>> automation, etc. The fader automation in PARIS is all I use 99% of the  
>> time.  
>> The only real complaints I have about PARIS are the lack of automatic  
>> plugin



>> compensation and not being able to use VSTi's. Anyway, I know it's a  
>> little  
>> more time, but I'm a solo "artist" and don't really do much recording for  
>> "clients", so I've got the extra time to make it work.  
>>  
>> Tony

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS  
Posted by [TC](#) on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:08:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Oh yeah, I hear ya.

That's part of why I was hoping to get Wormhole going, to see if I could get what I need without having to invest in more hardware. The problem with running on XP is that you need basically a MEC per adat card, so it's not so practical trying to get that many realtime tracks.

I'll have to take another look at Wormhole.

Cheers,

TC

Tony Benson wrote:

> Having everything lightpiped would be the most flexible solution, but for  
> me, it's just a bit too daunting. I'd need to add a bunch of ADAT cards and  
> one more MEC to have 48 tracks of lightpipe on my three EDS cards, and then  
> figure out all the syncing, routing, etc. I can't find enough time to  
> actually "make music" as it is, and that kind of a set up seems like it  
> would require more patience to take care of than I have. If I need to change  
> something after importing to PARIS, I can just fly it back over to DP and  
> try again. I actually don't even do that very often.  
>  
> I'll probably catch some flack for saying this, but I remember a line from  
> the first Jurassic Park movie where Jeff Goldblum's character says "Your  
> scientists were so preoccupied with whether or not they could that they  
> didn't stop to think if they should." Don't get me wrong, I think all the  
> mad scientist stuff is very cool and interesting, and if it helps people get  
> the results they need, that's great. For me though, I'm hoping my gear slut  
> side will calm down to the point where I can just get some of my music done.  
> ;>)  
>  
> Tony  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: TO REMIND U ALL -WORMHOLE CAN RESCUE PARIS

Posted by [brandon\[2\]](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2006 13:16:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I would also like to try this.

I think the minimum setup would be 3 eds.

Two submixes - 32 channels - for single instruments and the 3rd submix to bring in

stereo returns(submixes)in from cubase sx using wormhole.

Brandon

"TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message  
news:453687d4\$1@linux...

>

> Oh yeah, I hear ya.

>

> That's part of why I was hoping to get Wormhole going, to see if I could  
get what I need

> without having to invest in more hardware. The problem with running on XP  
is that you need

> basically a MEC per adat card, so it's not so practical trying to get that  
many realtime tracks.

>

> I'll have to take another look at Wormhole.

>

> Cheers,

>

> TC

>

>

> Tony Benson wrote:

> > Having everything lightpiped would be the most flexible solution, but  
for

> > me, it's just a bit too daunting. I'd need to add a bunch of ADAT cards  
and

> > one more MEC to have 48 tracks of lightpipe on my three EDS cards, and  
then

> > figure out all the syncing, routing, etc. I can't find enough time to

> > actually "make music" as it is, and that kind of a set up seems like it

> > would require more patience to take care of than I have. If I need to  
change

> > something after importing to PARIS, I can just fly it back over to DP  
and

> > try again. I actually don't even do that very often.

> >

> > I'll probably catch some flack for saying this, but I remember a line  
from

> > the first Jurassic Park movie where Jeff Goldblum's character says "Your  
> > scientists were so preoccupied with whether or not they could that they  
> > didn't stop to think if they should." Don't get me wrong, I think all  
the  
> > mad scientist stuff is very cool and interesting, and if it helps people  
get  
> > the results they need, that's great. For me though, I'm hoping my gear  
slut  
> > side will calm down to the point where I can just get some of my music  
done.  
> > ;>)  
> >  
> > Tony  
> >

---