

---

Subject: Re: How's yer VST Systemlink workin?

Posted by [DJ](#) on Fri, 07 Dec 2007 05:41:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Jon Jiles" <nojij@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:4758db31\$1@linux...

>

> Hey Deej -

>

> Hows the whole systemlink deal working out for you? (or is it?)

> I'm considering a stab at it here.

>

> ;>)

>

> Jon

It works well, but.....not always. DO NOT trust it for tracking live sessions simultaneously to two computers. I had some wserious glitches while doing this. For VSTi's is reliable enough to reccommend.

Deej

---

---

Subject: How's yer VST Systemlink workin?

Posted by [Jon Jiles](#) on Fri, 07 Dec 2007 06:33:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Deej -

Hows the whole systemlink deal working out for you? (or is it?)

I'm considering a stab at it here.

;>)

Jon

---

---

Subject: Re: How's yer VST Systemlink workin?

Posted by [Jon Jiles](#) on Fri, 07 Dec 2007 07:01:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cool. I wasn't really looking at it for tracking.. more for the VST farm kind of thing (plugs and VSTi's). So you're finding the latency acceptable? Isn't it exponential (or something) as you add more machines?

As always - thanks for the info!

Cheers,

Jon

"DJ" <animix \_ at \_ animas \_ dot \_ net> wrote:

>  
>"Jon Jiles" <nojij@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:4758db31\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> Hey DeeJ -  
>>  
>> Hows the whole systemlink deal working out for you? (or is it?)  
>> I'm considering a stab at it here.  
>>  
>> ;>)  
>>  
>> Jon  
>  
>It works well, but.....not always. DO NOT trust it for tracking  
  
>live sessions simultaneously to two computers. I had some wserious glitches  
  
>while doing this. For VSTi's is reliable enough to reccommend.  
>  
>Deej  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: How's yer VST Systemlink workin?

Posted by [DJ](#) on Fri, 07 Dec 2007 18:33:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Jon Jiles" <nojij@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:4758e1a3\$1@linux...

>  
> Cool. I wasn't really looking at it for tracking.. more for the VST farm  
> kind  
> of thing (plugs and VSTi's). So you're finding the latency acceptable?  
> Isn't  
> it exponential (or something) as you add more machines?  
>  
> As always - thanks for the info!  
>  
> Cheers,  
> Jon  
>

If you are summing to a digital mixer, the latencies will be the same. Ig you are streaming from the slave ato the Master DAW (the way I do it) then if the buffers are set the same on both DAWs, the latency of the audio at the master will be twicethe setting. To remedy this, you will need to set

the latency of the slave DAW to 1/2 the latency of the master DAW.. No biggie when you're mixing.

Deej

```
> "DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:
>>
>>"Jon Jiles" <nojij@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:4758db31$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Hey Deej -
>>>
>>> Hows the whole systemlink deal working out for you? (or is it?)
>>> I'm considering a stab at it here.
>>>
>>> ;)
>>>
>>> Jon
>>
>>It works well, but.....not always. DO NOT trust it for tracking
>
>>live sessions simultaneously to two computers. I had some wserious
>>glitches
>
>>while doing this. For VSTi's is reliable enough to reccommend.
>>
>>Deej
>>
>>
>
```

---