

---

Subject: please be aware

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 12:10:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\* posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others not so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.

Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos, life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now on display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your name).

Chuck

---

---

Subject: Re: please be aware

Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 13:00:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeow! Does that mean that all past posts are google-search-able? Kinda like finding out that you've been walking around w/ your fly down - for the past 3 years!

Gantt

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
>posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others not  
>so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.

>

>Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
>life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now on  
>display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your name).

>

>Chuck

---

---

Subject: Re: please be aware

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 13:38:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<http://www.google.com/search?q=Gantt+Kushner+emuensoniqparis-general&hl=en&start=10&sa=N&filter=0>

Chuck

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>  
>Yeow! Does that mean that all past posts are google-search-able? Kinda like

>finding out that you've been walking around w/ your fly down - for the past  
>3 years!

>  
>Gantt

>  
>  
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>  
>>In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>>urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
>>posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others  
not  
>>so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.

>>  
>>Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
>>life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now  
on  
>>display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your name).

>>  
>>Chuck  
>

---

Subject: Re: please be aware

Posted by [TC](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 15:27:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Gantt Kushner wrote:

> Yeow! Does that mean that all past posts are google-search-able? Kinda like  
> finding out that you've been walking around w/ your fly down - for the past  
> 3 years!

>  
> Gantt

>  
>  
> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>> In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
>> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others not  
>> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.

>>  
>> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,

>> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now on  
>> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your name).  
>>  
>> Chuck  
>

Yeah, imagine having a potential employer google you and get some of the general forum politics and religion bickering over the years.. a little too much info.

That's pretty much why I just like to go by "TC". It affords some small level of privacy from the googles.

I heard now that google is creating medical records on people, and they've partnered with certain HMO's in the US? That's a bit alarming if true..

Cheers,

TC

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:12:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, I tried my best to behave through most of the flaming that I've been a part of. Kinda scary though. I wonder if they google people who are applying for security clearances these days!

Gantt (a little late to change my name! I'll use a pseudonym for my next flame war.)

TC <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:

>Gantt Kushner wrote:

>> Yeow! Does that mean that all past posts are google-search-able? Kinda like

>> finding out that you've been walking around w/ your fly down - for the past

>> 3 years!

>>

>> Gantt

>>

>>

>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>> In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>>> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*

>>> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others not  
>>> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.  
>>>  
>>> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
>>> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now on  
>>> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your name).  
>>>  
>>> Chuck  
>>  
>  
>Yeah, imagine having a potential employer google you and get some of the  
  
>general forum politics and religion bickering over the years.. a little  
  
>too much info.  
>  
>  
>That's pretty much why I just like to go by "TC". It affords some small  
  
>level of privacy from the googles.  
>  
>I heard now that google is creating medical records on people, and  
>they've partnered with certain HMO's in the US? That's a bit alarming if  
  
>>true..  
>  
>Cheers,  
>  
>TC

---

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:24:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The one little bit of almost good news is that if I google my name the Paris posts don't begin showing up until the 7th page.

Henceforth, I shall behave myself!

GAntt

"Gantt Kushner" <[ganttmann@comcast.net](mailto:ganttmann@comcast.net)> wrote:

>  
>Well, I tried my best to behave through most of the flaming that I've been

>a part of. Kinda scary though. I wonder if they google people who are applying  
>for security clearances these days!  
>  
>Gantt (a little late to change my name! I'll use a pseudonym for my next  
>flame war.)  
>  
>TC <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:  
>>Gantt Kushner wrote:  
>>> Yeow! Does that mean that all past posts are google-search-able? Kinda  
>like  
>>> finding out that you've been walking around w/ your fly down - for the  
>past  
>>> 3 years!  
>>>  
>>> Gantt  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>>> In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>>>> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
>>>> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others  
>not  
>>>> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.  
>>>>  
>>>> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
>>>> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now  
>on  
>>>> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your  
>name).  
>>>>  
>>>> Chuck  
>>>  
>>  
>>Yeah, imagine having a potential employer google you and get some of the  
>  
>>general forum politics and religion bickering over the years.. a little  
>  
>>too much info.  
>>  
>>  
>>That's pretty much why I just like to go by "TC". It affords some small  
>  
>>level of privacy from the googles.  
>>  
>>I heard now that google is creating medical records on people, and  
>>they've partnered with certain HMO's in the US? That's a bit alarming if  
>

>>true..  
>>  
>>Cheers,  
>>  
>>TC  
>

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [JM](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 18:56:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So, what would happen if Kim wiped out all the past general NG post? Who would like to take a vote on this one? I think our intent was private conversation between the members of our little news group, not for the whole world Viewing. Maybe it's time to start fresh.

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>  
>In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
>posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others not  
>so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.  
>  
>Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
>life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now on  
>display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your name).  
>  
>Chuck

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [TC](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 19:25:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That's the thing I've liked about the NG over the last 8 or 9 years, it was relatively private..

Cheers,

TC

JM wrote:

> So, what would happen if Kim wiped out all the past general NG post? Who  
> would like to take a vote on this one? I think our intent was private conversation  
> between the members of our little news group, not for the whole world Viewing.  
> Maybe it's time to start fresh.

>  
> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>> In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
>> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others not  
>> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.  
>>  
>> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
>> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now on  
>> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your name).  
>>  
>> Chuck  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 19:40:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think I'd vote to wipe the General NG and leave this one intact. There's too much valuable information floating around here to lose.

Gantt

"JM" <no@more.com> wrote:

>  
>> So, what would happen if Kim wiped out all the past general NG post? Who  
>> would like to take a vote on this one? I think our intent was private  
conversation  
>> between the members of our little news group, not for the whole world Viewing.  
>> Maybe it's time to start fresh.

>  
> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>  
>> In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
>> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others  
not  
>> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.  
>>  
>> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
>> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now  
on  
>> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your name).  
>>  
>> Chuck  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [JM](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 20:10:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

My thinking is, remove all the old post on the general NG and start with a blank general news group. There are too many things that have been said that could be easily misconstrued, we have had a hard enough time ourselves understanding each-other and what we really meant in some of those past posts. Yes, we should leave the Paris NG in-tact, but the general NG needs sanitizing. I don't think we'd lose much of anything if it were wiped out. What do you think?

"Gantt Kushner" <[ganttmann@comcast.net](mailto:ganttmann@comcast.net)> wrote:

>  
>I think I'd vote to wipe the General NG and leave this one intact. There's  
>too much valuable information floating around here to lose.  
>  
>Gantt  
>  
>"JM" <[no@more.com](mailto:no@more.com)> wrote:  
>>  
>>So, what would happen if Kim wiped out all the past general NG post? Who  
>>would like to take a vote on this one? I think our intent was private  
>conversation  
>>between the members of our little news group, not for the whole world Viewing.  
>> Maybe it's time to start fresh.  
>>  
>>"chuck duffy" <[c@c.com](mailto:c@c.com)> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>>>urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
>>>posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others  
>not  
>>>so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.  
>>>  
>>>Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
>>>life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now  
>on  
>>>display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your  
name).  
>>>  
>>>Chuck  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 21:30:32 GMT

---



[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Gantt Kushner wrote on Mon, 27 April 2009 10:12Kinda scary though. I wonder if they google people who are applying for security clearances these days!

Depends on the level and type of clearance. Lower stuff like the equivalent of Canada's Level 3, probably not much more than a desultory riffle through.

Higher stuff, they tend to turn you a bit more inside out.

- K

---

---

Subject: Re: please be aware

Posted by [kerryg](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 22:52:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Looks like Google's been indexing General through webnews.parisnewsgroup.com, the NG's web interface (obviously it's not doing it through the forums since General's not even mirrored here). Deleting General won't kill stuff that's been cached. But assuming Kim can pick and choose which groups are presented on the web via that interface, I'm guessing simply uncoupling the General NG from that web interface would have every bit as much effect as deleting it, wouldn't it?

General is not something that concerns the forums; Kim remains the community's actual host and my role's just mirroring the technical and platform-specific side of things. But since this stuff took place on Main before General existed, some contentious threads made it into the forums too. As a general rule I move OT to another subforum when I run across it instead of deleting it. But let me know if you come across threads I'm serving on the forum through my mirroring of "Main" that you reckon aren't in the community's interest. I can delete posts and threads here without affecting the NG at all.

I volunteer-webhost my son's elementary school PAC on kerrygalloway.com (a PAC is a Parent Advisory Council - in America I think the equivalent is a PTA), so I have a particular interest in what gets served alongside them. I'm happy for input that helps keep the forums reasonably on track.

---

---

Subject: Re: please be aware

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 27 Apr 2009 23:06:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OTOH, even if it's more searchable now, it's always been a public forum. It's fun to go back and read some of those discussions.

Cheers,  
-Jamie

JM wrote:

> My thinking is, remove all the old post on the general NG and start with a  
> blank general news group. There are too many things that have been said  
> that could be easily misconstrued, we have had a hard enough time ourselves  
> understanding each-other and what we really meant in some of those past posts.  
> Yes, we should leave the Paris NG in-tact, but the general NG needs sanitizing.  
> I don't think we'd lose much of anything if it were wiped out. What do  
> you think?

>

> "Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>> I think I'd vote to wipe the General NG and leave this one intact. There's  
>> too much valuable information floating around here to lose.

>>

>> Gantt

>>

>> "JM" <no@more.com> wrote:

>>> So, what would happen if Kim wiped out all the past general NG post? Who  
>>> would like to take a vote on this one? I think our intent was private  
>> conversation

>>> between the members of our little news group, not for the whole world Viewing.

>>> Maybe it's time to start fresh.

>>>

>>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>>> In the past google would not full index this site because it used parameterized  
>>>> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*

>>>> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others

>> not

>>>> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.

>>>>

>>>> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,

>>>> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now

>> on

>>>> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your  
> name).

>>>> Chuck

>

---

Subject: Re: please be aware

Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 08:46:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

damn...i just had to let myself go...i wonder if i qualify for unemployment comp or a bailout of some kind...

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 20:21:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks Chuck.very good heads up.  
"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:49f5a656\$1@linux...  
>  
> In the past google would not full index this site because it used  
> parameterized  
> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others not  
> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.  
>  
> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now on  
> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your  
> name).  
>  
> Chuck

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 20:27:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

furthermore, id like to add that "google" is a dumb ass fucking name for a  
search engine.  
"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:49f5a656\$1@linux...  
>  
> In the past google would not full index this site because it used  
> parameterized  
> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others not  
> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.  
>  
> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now on  
> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your  
> name).  
>  
> Chuck

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [TC](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 21:09:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ha ha..

now they've flagged you for sure..

the google monster..

I remember when google was cool.. now it's like big brother.

Cheers,

TC

alex plasko wrote:

> furthermore, id like to add that "google" is a dumb ass fucking name for a  
> search engine.

> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:49f5a656\$1 @linux...

>> In the past google would not full index this site because it used  
>> parameterized

>> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*

>> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others not

>> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.

>>

>> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,

>> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now on

>> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your

>> name).

>>

>> Chuck

>

>

---

Subject: Re: please be aware

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Wed, 29 Apr 2009 00:34:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, I guess so..

googol is a term for  $10^{100}$ . I think the name google is a pun(t) on the  
companys intentions re page indexing.

Chuck

"alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote:

>furthermore, id like to add that "google" is a dumb ass fucking name for a  
>search engine.

>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:49f5a656\$1 @linux...

>>

>> In the past google would not full index this site because it used  
>> parameterized  
>> urls. This is no longer the case, and google is now full indexing \*all\*  
>> posts on this site. In some cases this is a good thing, and in others  
not  
>> so good - think on some of the general threads in years past.  
>>  
>> Some of us post personal information, where we live, kids, dogs, photos,  
>> life, income, love, divorce, death, etc., etc. Be aware that it's now  
on  
>> display for the whole world, searchable by your name (if you use your  
  
>> name).  
>>  
>> Chuck  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: please be aware  
Posted by [Very Deep Productions](#) on Mon, 01 Jun 2009 03:21:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Or is it Gargoyle?

Rich

---