

---

Subject: What about Wormhole?

Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 15 Feb 2008 06:10:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What about Wormhole? Did anybody get this to work with paris, with out latency?

Hey Deej, what were the results when you tried Wormhole? Did you try the last version?

FYI, It's now open source.

---

---

Subject: Re: What about Wormhole?

Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Fri, 15 Feb 2008 06:32:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Never tried it James.

You got me.

"James McCloskey" <[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote in message  
news:47b51ecc\$1@linux...

>

> What about Wormhole? Did anybody get this to work with paris, with out  
> latency?

>

> Hey Deej, what were the results when you tried Wormhole? Did you try the  
> last version?

>

> FYI, It's now open source.

---

---

Subject: Re: What about Wormhole?

Posted by [Erling](#) on Fri, 15 Feb 2008 07:49:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I believe Dimitros knows the answer.

Erling

On 15 Feb 2008 18:23:50 +1000, "James McCloskey"  
<[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote:

>

> "Deej" <[noway@jose.net](mailto:noway@jose.net)> wrote:

>> Never tried it James.

>>

>>You got me.  
>  
>Hmmm, I thought you tried it out. Here is the info on Wormhole. Just thinking  
>you might be able to run the UAD-1s on separate computers, but you'd be back  
>to multiple computers.  
>  
><http://plasq.com/wormhole>  
>  
><http://plasq.com/wormhole/paq>  
>  
><http://code.google.com/p/wormhole2/>  
>  
>You can also get it here:  
><http://code.google.com/p/wormhole2/downloads/list>  
>  
><http://www.kvraudio.com/get/1284.html>  
>  
> <http://analoguedigital.wordpress.com/2007/12/17/plasq-wormhole-2-now-open-source/>  
>  
>>  
>>"James McCloskey" <[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote in message  
>>[news:47b51ecc\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:47b51ecc$1@linux...)  
>>>  
>>> What about Wormhole? Did anybody get this to work with paris, with out  
>  
>>> latency?  
>>>  
>>> Hey Deej, what were the results when you tried Wormhole? Did you try  
>the  
>>> last version?  
>>>  
>>> FYI, It's now open source.  
>>  
>>

---

Subject: Re: What about Wormhole?  
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 15 Feb 2008 08:23:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Deej" <[noway@jose.net](mailto:noway@jose.net)> wrote:  
>Never tried it James.  
>  
>You got me.

Hmmm, I thought you tried it out. Here is the info on Wormhole. Just thinking  
you might be able to run the UAD-1s on separate computers, but you'd be back  
to multiple computers.

<http://plasq.com/wormhole>

<http://plasq.com/wormhole/paq>

<http://code.google.com/p/wormhole2/>

You can also get it here:

<http://code.google.com/p/wormhole2/downloads/list>

<http://www.kvraudio.com/get/1284.html>

<http://analoguedigital.wordpress.com/2007/12/17/plasq-wormhole-2-now-open-source/>

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:47b51ecc\$1@linux...

>>

>> What about Wormhole? Did anybody get this to work with paris, with out

>> latency?

>>

>> Hey DeeJ, what were the results when you tried Wormhole? Did you try the

>> last version?

>>

>> FYI, It's now open source.

>

>

---

Subject: Re: What about Wormhole?

Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Fri, 15 Feb 2008 13:56:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
news:47b53e06\$1@linux...

>

> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>>Never tried it James.

>>

>>You got me.

>

> Hmmm, I thought you tried it out. Here is the info on Wormhole. Just

> thinking

> you might be able to run the UAD-1s on separate computers, but you'd be

> back

> to multiple computers.

>  
> http://plasq.com/wormhole  
>  
> http://plasq.com/wormhole/paq  
>  
> http://code.google.com/p/wormhole2/  
>  
> You can also get it here:  
> http://code.google.com/p/wormhole2/downloads/list  
>  
> http://www.kvraudio.com/get/1284.html  
>  
> http://analoguedigital.wordpress.com/2007/12/17/plasq-wormhole-2-now-open-source/  
>  
>>  
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>news:47b51ecc\$1@linux...  
>>>  
>>> What about Wormhole? Did anybody get this to work with paris, with out  
>  
>>> latency?  
>>>  
>>> Hey Deej, what were the results when you tried Wormhole? Did you try  
> the  
>>> last version?  
>>>  
>>> FYI, It's now open source.

The issue with me was and still is latency. If I have to start sliding individual tracks around as I add plugins, it's a no-go. This is the main reason I sold my Paris rig.

---

Subject: Re: What about Wormhole?  
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 15 Feb 2008 20:25:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:  
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>news:47b53e06\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:  
>>>Never tried it James.  
>>>  
>>>You got me.  
>>  
>> Hmmm, I thought you tried it out. Here is the info on Wormhole. Just

>> thinking  
>> you might be able to run the UAD-1s on separate computers, but you'd be

>> back  
>> to multiple computers.  
>>  
>> <http://plasq.com/wormhole>  
>>  
>> <http://plasq.com/wormhole/paq>  
>>  
>> <http://code.google.com/p/wormhole2/>  
>>  
>> You can also get it here:  
>> <http://code.google.com/p/wormhole2/downloads/list>  
>>  
>> <http://www.kvraudio.com/get/1284.html>  
>>  
>> <http://analoguedigital.wordpress.com/2007/12/17/plasq-wormhole-2-now-open-source/>  
>>  
>>>  
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>>news:47b51ecc\$1@linux...  
>>>>  
>>>> What about Wormhole? Did anybody get this to work with paris, with  
out  
>>  
>>>> latency?  
>>>>  
>>>> Hey DeeJ, what were the results when you tried Wormhole? Did you try  
>> the  
>>>> last version?  
>>>>  
>>>> FYI, It's now open source.  
>  
>The issue with me was and still is latency. If I have to start sliding  
>individual tracks around as I add plugins, it's a no-go. This is the main  
  
>reason I sold my Paris rig.  
>  
>

Yeah, it looks like you would have to delay all the tracks by 32000 samples,  
and delay plugins would have to be dealt with separately.

---