Subject: EFX-Related questions

Posted by Neil on Thu, 31 May 2007 15:39:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Has anyone tried any of these newer Lexicon boxes (MX500 & MX400) that have USB or FW interfaces that allow them to be used as VST plugins? If so, whaddya think? Do they sound good AND work properly in that application?

Neil

Subject: Re: EFX-Related questions

Posted by Deej [4] on Thu, 31 May 2007 15:41:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The MX 300 sounds very good. I was trying to interface it with a PTLE system using the FXpansion RTAS/VST wrapper and it didn't work. Never tried it on my Cubase rig. All the software does is allow you to tweak parameters onscreen for that one instance. This would save you a physical I/O in your rig and make it easier to tweak. What needs to happen is to have one of these where you can instantiate a number of instances of the unit, tweak the parameters, freeze them and then open up another one, do the same, etc. I would think you could somehow pull this off but I never tried.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:465eec24\$1@linux...

>

- > Has anyone tried any of these newer Lexicon boxes (MX500 &
- > MX400) that have USB or FW interfaces that allow them to be used
- > as VST plugins? If so, whaddya think? Do they sound good AND
- > work properly in that application?

>

> Neil

Subject: Re: EFX-Related questions

Posted by Neil on Thu, 31 May 2007 15:51:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I was just thinking of using it as a group send/returntype 'verb; I wouldn't need a bunch of instances of it, just one or two. It says these are dual-engine units; does the USB interface allow you to access two separate instances or sets of i/o's?

Neil

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote: >The MX 300 sounds very good. I was trying to interface it with a PTLE system >using the FXpansion RTAS/VST wrapper and it didn't work. Never tried it >my Cubase rig. All the software does is allow you to tweak parameters >onscreen for that one instance. This would save you a physical I/O in your >rig and make it easier to tweak. What needs to happen is to have one of >these where you can instantiate a number of instances of the unit, tweak the >parameters, freeze them and then open up another one, do the same, etc. >would think you could somehow pull this off but I never tried. >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:465eec24\$1@linux... >> Has anyone tried any of these newer Lexicon boxes (MX500 & >> MX400) that have USB or FW interfaces that allow them to be used >> as VST plugins? If so, whaddya think? Do they sound good AND >> work properly in that application? >> >> Neil >

Subject: Re: EFX-Related questions
Posted by Deej [4] on Thu, 31 May 2007 15:57:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't know. I used the MX 300 and it's just a stereo unit.

;0)

```
"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:465eef0e$1@linux...

> I was just thinking of using it as a group send/return-
> type 'verb; I wouldn't need a bunch of instances of it, just one
> or two. It says these are dual-engine units; does the USB
> interface allow you to access two separate instances or sets of
> i/o's?
> Neil
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>The MX 300 sounds very good. I was trying to interface it with a PTLE
```

```
>>system
>>using the FXpansion RTAS/VST wrapper and it didn't work. Never tried it
> on
>>my Cubase rig. All the software does is allow you to tweak parameters
>>onscreen for that one instance. This would save you a physical I/O in your
>>rig and make it easier to tweak. What needs to happen is to have one of
>>these where you can instantiate a number of instances of the unit, tweak
>>parameters, freeze them and then open up another one, do the same, etc.
>>would think you could somehow pull this off but I never tried.
>>
>>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:465eec24$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Has anyone tried any of these newer Lexicon boxes (MX500 &
>>> MX400) that have USB or FW interfaces that allow them to be used
>>> as VST plugins? If so, whaddya think? Do they sound good AND
>>> work properly in that application?
>>>
>>> Neil
>>
>>
>
```