Subject: External Processing Posted by Clifford Coulter on Tue, 03 Jan 2006 21:21:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message hannels of I/O of your choice.

```
>> LaMont
>>
>> John <no@no.com> wrote:
>> > Does PT LE have autocompensation for plugs? How much can I get 16 I/Os
>>
>> >for ?
>> > LaMont wrote:
>> > Hey guys,
>> >>
>> > I know that this is an old thread, but I have
```

Subject: Re: External Processing Posted by John [1] on Tue, 03 Jan 2006 21:37:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

em to disprove

- > personal experience the test is faulted instead of the experience. That's
- > fine, ears are very fine instruments and some are just better than others.

>

- > That said, I now work a good bit of my time in the finance world where fortunes
- > are made and lost according to the certitude of people in their experiences
- > or mathmatical models. Over time this has lead me to believe more in emperical
- > results than my experience. So if I do my own blind tests with the Nashville
- > CD and can't generate statistically significant data that DAWs sound different
- > then *I* will believe they sound the same. This could mean a flawed test
- > or flawed ears but that's my belief until someone can present me with more
- > convincing data.

>

- > But hey, that's just me. I've also done mixes of 30+ tracks in SX and think
- > they sound quite nice.

>

> TCB

>

> "LaMont" < jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote: > >Hey guys, > > > > I know that this is an old thread, but I have to disagree with assessments > >on Pro Tools sound quality. > >First, I mix with Pro Tools HD at our Church's studio for major release > Gospel > >Cds. Pro Tools HD sounds wonderful with low and high track counts and ITB > >(in the Box) or summed to the SSL, > > > >At my home studio, I have PT LE & Nuendo and while I love Nuendo's elequent > >editing and nice soft sound, it's 32 bit floating mixer in a major pain >>!@# to mix aggresive Rock, R &B, Hip hop with. Onthe other hand, those > same > >mixes done in PT LE, have that sparkle and width. In Nuendo, after 30 tracks. > >things start's getting "smearded" and tracks levels tends to get lost or > >they don't sit right. > >However, in PT Le, (same songs, tracks are not smeared, levels stay intacked. > > and the overall mix sounds very professional, just like mixes in Paris... >>Some local Engineer friends in the area(Motown), have been in discussions > >about the state of current DAWs and what's working and what's not.

Subject: Re: External Processing Posted by Clifford Coulter on Thu, 05 Jan 2006 08:58:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Opinions > >varied.b

```
>>>>>they don't sit right.
>>>>>However, in PT Le, (same songs, tracks are not smeared, levels stay
>>>>>>intacked,
>>>>>and the overall mix sounds very professional, just like mixes in
>>>>>Paris..
>>>>>
>>>>Some local Engineer friends in the area( Motown), have been in
>>>>>discussions
>>>>>about the state of current DAWs and what's working and what's not.
>>>>>Opinions
>>>>>varied, but the one constant opinion that was stated was how dificult
>it
>>>>
>>>> was
>>>>
>>>>>to mix in Cubase and Nuendo on mixes over 30 tracks. No matter what
>i/o
>>>>
>>>> converters(Apogee,Lucid,Motu,
>>>>
>>>>>RME) mixing Rock, R&B, Hip-Hop is a dificult chore in
>>>>>SX/Nuendo..Where
>>>>>as mixing in Paris and Pro Tools is not.. Hey, Just one Engineer's
>>>>>pinon.
>>>>P.S
>>>>>Jsut for geekdum sakes, the new Sonar 5 uses a newly coded 64 to 32bit
>>>>
>>>> floating
>>>>
>>>>>point mixer..
>>>>>
>>>>> "Martin Harrington" < lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yukkk,
>>>>>Don't do it..PT I mean.
>>>>>I've just been playing with PT M-Powered 6.8, using my Delta 1010,
>and
>>>>
>>>> |
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>have to say...I don't like it.
>>>>>The interface is (IMNSHO), horrible, confusing, and convoluted.
>>>>>Moves that come easily in Paris, and more easily in Nuendo, are
>>>>>>tiresome
```

```
>>>>>
>>>>>in
>>>>>
>>>>
```

Subject: Re: External Processing
Posted by John [1] on Thu, 05 Jan 2006 12:19:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
.I just asked him what he used, and that's what he said. I didn't
know there was a diff in VLZ and VLZpro.
Rod
"Miguel Vigil" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>> > Right now his chain is a Mackie 1402 vlz into the Digi 002.
>> > Any suggestions?
>
>Is this Mackie the VLZpro series?
>I don't know how the new Onyx pres compare.
>
>He could try to audition the cheap Behringer ADA8000 with it.
>http://www.studioprojects.com/sp828.html
>This is an 8 channel mic pre about $800, that needs to be run into an ADC.
>Just ideas to keep cost in check.
>
>
>Regards,
>El Miguel
>
>
>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message news:43bca3d6@linux...
>> Yeah, avoid that LT man.. cheap hardware, inconsistent volumes across
it
>and
>> just generally felt shoddy.
>> I'd take a Behr ADA8000 over it 'any day' to be honest, and it's a whole
>lot
>> less money.
>> Not sure what the current recommend is though bro, I've been out of that
>> market for a while now and I'd hate to mislead ya.
>>
```

```
>> AA
>>
>>
>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:43bc0147$1@linux...
>> >
>> > I've got a friend/client who's looking for an 8 channel mic pre that
has
>> > lightpipe/spdif
>> > outs. He's running a digi 002 rig and wants to bypass the digi A/D
>stage.
>> > He wants it to be good, but affordability is a concern. He was looking
>> > a presonus digimax LT, but I saw that Aaron had some experience with
>those
>> > and wasn't that hot on them.
>> > Right now his chain is a Mackie 1402 vlz into the Digi 002.
>> > Any suggestions?
>> > TIA
>> > Rod
>>
>>
>Aaron, the comp I'm talking about has the Triton board. It's got sata already,
doesn't it? I'm sure it does.
Rod
"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>> Open PCI slot- Whats that? :-)
>> q
>The place where one sticks that last EDS/UAD card, LOL!
>
>On that note, behold.. feel the love on the cheap:
> http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.asp?Item=N82E168161231 04
>
>... or feel it on the bleedin' edge:
> http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.asp?Item=N82E168161180 29
>I didn't even know that they 'had' RAID50... yikes!
>AA
>"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in messag
```

Subject: Re: External Processing Posted by Clifford Coulter on Tue, 10 Jan 2006 07:22:24 GMT

How do you route a fader to spdif

```
John <no@no.com> wrote:
>No, you only have to assign the fader (that you routed to spdif) to
>another fader on the same submix. Not the example routes the processed
>channel 10 to 11 on the same submix.
>Clifford Coulter wrote:
>> Thanks John I think I got it.
>> It looks like you have to assign the track to a seperate sub mix
>> I hope thats it
>>
>> Clifford
>>
>> John <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>You can route to the SPDIF out and then back in on a different channel.
>>>SPDIF Rendering
>>>Patchbay workaround for bouncing tracks and rendering plugins
>>>Tired of zeroing/clearing a mix to bounce a track or tracks to a new
>>>file? Or wish you could render native plugins while listening and
>>>adjusting in context. No problem.
>>>
>>>Open the Patch Bay. Clear all your SPDIF I/O connections. Physically (as
>>
>>
>>>in, on the back of your Paris 442 or MEC) loop your Paris SPDIF in to
>>>your Paris SPDIF out with a short cable. Go back to the Patch Bay.
>>>In the Patch Bay, connect the "Mixer Insert" SEND of the source channel
>>
>>>for the bounce to the left SPDIF output (dL) on your 442 or MEC. Connect
>>
>>
>>>the SPDIF left input (dL) back to the same channel's "Mixer Insert"
>>>Return to complete the loop. Now connect the same left SPDIF output to
>>>the "Submixer Input" of the Destination channel you want to
>>>bounce/record to. Select "External" on the EDS insert on the source channel.
```

```
>>>
>>>Enable Record on the Destination channel. That's it. Record away. You
>>
>>>can use your mixer normally while you bounce with no ill effect. And in
>>
>>
>>>a case of good fortune, since the EDS inserts are after the native
>>>inserts, any active plugins will be printed while bouncing. Great for
>>>rendering AutoTune or various other plugin settings for different song
>>>sections. For the price of time and disk space, you have unlimited plugins.
>>>
>>>Example. You have a track on track 10 and you want to render this to
>>>track 11. In the Patchbay:
      Mixer-A channel 11 connects to MEC-Master-A Digital In Left
      MEC-Master-A Digital In Left connects to Mixer-A-Insert Return10
>>>
      MEC-Master-A Digital Out Left connects to Mixer-A-Insert Send10
      Select "External" on the EDS insert on the source channel.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Clifford Coulter wrote:
>>>
>>>>Hello
>>>>
>>>I have a 2 EDS card Paris System and a Mec. with no extra cards in it
>>>>running on a Macintosh
>>>I would like to know if it is possible to route Channel one to the Digital
>>>out
>>>and send it to my other computer, process it and send back to the Digital
>>>in and route it back into channel one
>>>>
>>>if not how do I get any channel routed to an external device and back
>>
>> into
>>
>>>PARIS
>>>>
>>>>Thanks
>>>>
>>>>Clifford
>>>>
>>
>>
```