Subject: Firsthand skinny on this sys anyone?
Posted by wmarkwilson on Sun, 28 Aug 2005 02:38:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> number pirates has had a direct bearing on something or another. It's nice
> that this has finally been definitively pinned down.
>
> It's al

Subject: Re: Firsthand skinny on this sys anyone?
Posted by rick on Sun, 28 Aug 2005 09:41:35 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

 />
>> Thanks all.
>> MR
>
>yea, verily....

I have been touched by his noodly appendage.

....and it was good.Cool. Yup, page 44 of v3.0 manual refers you to Appendix 3 and it's there.
Thanks JS. I've never enjoyed using the menu for this function and the
keyboard shortcut was never added to the menu.

Wayne

"John Shapiro" <nospan-barefoot1972@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Firsthand skinny on this sys anyone?
Posted by wmarkwilson on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 08:13:07 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

;How about .5 terrabyte? Some in, some out of the box.
I remember when a producer friend of mine got one of the early Macs, had
a whopping megabyte on the HD. We both thought, "man, you'd never fill that
up." When will the madness stop!!;-)
MR

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>I've just bought these 2 x 250Gig drives. Plus my old 120Gig is still in

>the box, in addition to my old old 27Gig... all up that's... err... 647Gig!
>
>Surely somebody here has a terrabyte in their Paris box? :o)
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.Not in my Paris box alone, but I'd be willing to bet I have at least that amongst my PC's. Worst part is how do you back up that much info?

AA

"Mike R." <nope@nope> wrote in message news:4313180f$

Subject: Re: Firsthand skinny on this sys anyone?
Posted by rick on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 08:51:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

s for the next couple of years.

;oP

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:62j5h1tgtjb8kdv0mcftck6kvnq0tiarlt@4ax.com...
> that cheap huh...the moth is now poking me while it's laughing.
>
> On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 01:13:07 -0700, "W. Mark Wilson"
> <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> >At $2250-US per channel, I won't be buying anytime soon. Still curious
> >though how the sys sounds. Guess I'll wait to hear Hyatt's new disc.
> >
> >Dubya
> >
> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:vh13h1t326jdlq4m5b234po7l053af2gbs@4ax.com...
> >> for some reason the moth that flew out of my now empty pocket seemed
> >> to be laughing at me...oh...i get it.
> >>
> >> nice stuff.
> >>
> >> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:38:53 -0700, "W. Mark Wilson"

Subject: Re: Firsthand skinny on this sys anyone?
Posted by Deej [1] on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 14:39:52 GMT

ost of you are already using this but maybe

still

> >some never tried...

> >

> >1.You put stereo compressor EDS on AUX1.

> >2.You put on every drumtrack you wanna compress the aux1 in prefader status

> >and control with auxe's panpot level the place you wanna put it in the

> >stereo field.

> >3.You push the fader all the way down -90 as to not have flanging on your

> >drumsounds.

> >4. You control indivindual drumlevels from Aux1 level knob and pannong from

> >panpot knob.

> >

> >5. For you comressor outpout level you have that on Auxe's 1 return knob

> >with panpot again possibilities.

> >6. For EQ you put after the stereo compressor in aux1 the FreakQ which is

> >also stereo to have control over frequencies.

> >

> >7. That makes me happy as opposed to using UAD1

> >

> >Regards,

> >Dimitrios

> >

> >

>I've seen drunken reptiles in the Quarter on sunny days as well. They were wearing sunglasses, spandex and high heels.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:43132f5f$1@linux...

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:43132d9f@linux...

>

> > They're gonna be wrangling gators and snakes in the French Quarter

> > tomorrow.

>

> gonna be some drunkass reptiles :)

>

>Nice job on getting those numbers!

Seems like the same idea I was saying, you are just u

Subject: Re: Firsthand skinny on this sys anyone?
Posted by rick on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 18:33:19 GMT

15b8s5c7ahe7d1u7uaon6vtr9h5cp@4ax.com...
> took the smart money way out...and a steady job.
>
> On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 13:05:17 -0400, John <no@no.com> wrote:
>
>>A long time ago I heard there were family health issues but have not
>>heard a peep. Anyone know how this fine man and family is doing ?
>>
>>John
>I know you were a bit down on UAD but how did the Senderella work for this?

"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:
>Regarding lookahead.
>How do you compensate ?
>Say you have 7 ms lookahead, you nudge 7 times 1ms to the left or one 5ms
>and two 1ms ?
>Is this the same ?
>I read that 1ms is 80 samples but 5 ms is not 5x80=4000 but instead 240
!!
>What do you do ?
>Dimitrios
>
>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.r.com> wrote in message
>news:43134d1c$1@linux...
>>
>> every eds effect (compressor, gate, etc) has 2 samples of latency, and
the
>> aux's have 2 samples also, so you would be correct with 4 samples,
>assuming
>> no look ahead.
>> Rod
>> "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:
>> >One correction, here it goes again...
>> >I was having already btwo instances of compression open with lookahead
so
>> >the 236 was reffering to those two compressors too, sorry...
>> >The actual latency is 4 samples.
>> >Yes only 4 samples when you send via aux to a eds compressor with 0
>> >lookahead.
>> >So to compensate you have to nudge for 1ms (80 samples) , thus voxengo
>> >sample delay should be 76 samples...
>> >I hope now I got this right.
>> >Sorry again for beeing so quick with my posts without double checking
>first.

>> >Dimitrios
>> >"cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message
>news:4313339c$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> May also