
Subject: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 02:47:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I know I'm nuts. Cubase 4 has every friggin' thing that Paris does not and my system sounds great. I went ahead and worked out a deal on that Neve 5042 so now it's mine and is a permanent fixture here. I have no complaints. I guess that it's just that having used the Paris system for 9 years, I was so comfortable with certain things about it that I didn't think I'd miss.....like the plate reverbs. I didn't know I liked them that much. Chucks modulation FX sounded great too and NoLimit???....well, it had something that nothing that I have here had. I'm able to use anything I want nowadays as a channel insert or on an aux and I can create as many busses as I wantand don't have to worry about not being able to patch across submixes and the Paris mono delays are just wonderful in a mix. It's easy to take a lot of things for granted I guess.

Would I go back??...I don't think so.....not now. If I had it to do all over again, would I sell the Paris rig and the insane digital routing matrix that was sometimes so confusing and frustrating and full of so many potential clocking disasters, but that I knew so well because I had created it and was familiar with it's quirks???.....I don't think so.....not now.

With the Cubase rig.....I turn it on....it works.

(yawn)

;o)

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 03:44:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

9 years on PARIS. What, a couple of months on SX? Imagine nine years with the same girl. Then you make a break, and three months into your next relationship you're wondering if it was the right call. Maybe it was, maybe it wasn't, but you can't even know by now.

Give it time, there's lots of stuff out there. A few of the really 'whoa' f/x I've found (reverbs in particular) have been with the Creamware card. So you can think of that as an option as well. If after a year or so you're still pining for your ex, Morgan will set you back up.

TCB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>I know I'm nuts. Cubase 4 has every friggin' thing that Paris does not and
>my system sounds great. I went ahead and worked out a deal on that Neve
5042
>so now it's mine and is a permanent fixture here. I have no complaints.
I
>guess that it's just that having used the Paris system for 9 years, I was
so
>comfortable with certain things about it that I didn't think I'd
>miss.....like the plate reverbs. I didn't know I liked them that much.
>Chucks modulation FX sounded great too and NoLimit???.....well, it had
>something that nothing that I have here had. I'm able to use anything I
want
>nowadays as a channel insert or on an aux and I can create as many busses
as
>I wantand don't have to worry about not being able to patch
>acorss submixes and the Paris mono delays are just wonderful in a mix. It's

>easy to take a lot of things for granted I guess.
>
>Would I go back??...I don't think so.....not now. If I had it to do
all
>over again, would I sell the Paris rig and the insane digital routing matrix

>that was sometimes so confusing and frustrating and full of so many
>potential clocking disasters, but that I knew so well because I had created

>it and was familiar with it's quirks???.....I don't think
>so.....not now.
>
>With the Cubase rig.....I turn it on....it works.
>
>(yawn)
>
>;o)
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 04:21:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

..... I have 2 of his ex's here, they aren't leaving (bought the 2 creamware
cards) and a third on it's way for a tryout (mobo/cpu), heh. Til death (or
connection to a Behringer product) do us.....
Seriously, I'm adoring the Creamware stuff if I can just get enough time off

work to wrap my head around it.

AA

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46244296\$1@linux...

>
> 9 years on PARIS. What, a couple of months on SX? Imagine nine years with
> the same girl. Then you make a break, and three months into your next
> relationship
> you're wondering if it was the right call. Maybe it was, maybe it wasn't,
> but you can't even know by now.

>
> Give it time, there's lots of stuff out there. A few of the really 'whoa'
> f/x I've found (reverbs in particular) have been with the Creamware card.
> So you can think of that as an option as well. If after a year or so
> you're
> still pining for your ex, Morgan will set you back up.

>
> TCB

>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>I know I'm nuts. Cubase 4 has every friggin' thing that Paris does not and

>
>>my system sounds great. I went ahead and worked out a deal on that Neve
> 5042

>>so now it's mine and is a permanent fixture here. I have no complaints.

> I
>>guess that it's just that having used the Paris system for 9 years, I was
> so

>>comfortable with certain things about it that I didn't think I'd
>>miss.....like the plate reverbs. I didn't know I liked them that much.
>>Chucks modulation FX sounded great too and NoLimit???....well, it had
>>something that nothing that I have here had. I'm able to use anything I
> want

>>nowadays as a channel insert or on an aux and I can create as many busses
> as

>>I wantand don't have to worry about not being able to patch
>>acorss submixes and the Paris mono delays are just wonderful in a mix.
>>It's

>
>>easy to take a lot of things for granted I guess.

>>
>>Would I go back??...I don't think so.....not now. If I had it to do
> all

>>over again, would I sell the Paris rig and the insane digital routing
>>matrix

>

>>that was sometimes so confusing and frustrating and full of so many
>>potential clocking disasters, but that I knew so well because I had
>>created
>
>>it and was familiar with it's quirks???.....I don't think
>>so.....not now.
>>
>>With the Cubase rig.....I turn it on....it works.
>>
>>(yawn)
>>
>>;o)
>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 05:02:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And if you had been in a wheelchair for a number of years, then
all of sudden be able to walk again, you'd probably miss that
chair for certain things, too (like in your case, being able to
harness the dogs up to it & have your own version of the
Ititarod sled race), but in the end isn't it nicer to be able
to just take off running whenever you want to?

Neil

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>I know I'm nuts. Cubase 4 has every friggin' thing that Paris does not and

>my system sounds great. I went ahead and worked out a deal on that Neve
5042

>so now it's mine and is a permanent fixture here. I have no complaints.

I

>guess that it's just that having used the Paris system for 9 years, I was
so

>comfortable with certain things about it that I didn't think I'd

>miss.....like the plate reverbs. I didn't know I liked them that much.

>Chucks modulation FX sounded great too and NoLimit???....well, it had

>something that nothing that I have here had. I'm able to use anything I
want

>nowadays as a channel insert or on an aux and I can create as many busses
as

>I wantand don't have to worry about not being able to patch
>acorss submixes and the Paris mono delays are just wonderful in a mix. It's

>easy to take a lot of things for granted I guess.
>
>Would I go back??...I don't think so.....not now. If I had it to do
all
>over again, would I sell the Paris rig and the insane digital routing matrix

>that was sometimes so confusing and frustrating and full of so many
>potential clocking disasters, but that I knew so well because I had created

>it and was familiar with it's quirks???.....I don't think
>so.....not now.
>
>With the Cubase rig.....I turn it on....it works.
>
>(yawn)
>
>;o)
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 05:09:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I Love Nuendo, but I much rather mix in Paris..Those tracks take on new life
in Paris ..I'm talking Music tracks.. Ball to the Wall mixing with that sweet
harmonic distortion..Yeah baby!!
Even my producer and Engineering buddies here in town prefer miy Paris Mixes
to my Neundo and Pro Tools mixes.. They say "It's Sound slike a Record and
rounder..

Paris pretty much mixes a song itself. Miuxing in the natives is entirely
differnt skill-set. I love Paris

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>I know I'm nuts. Cubase 4 has every friggin' thing that Paris does not and

>my system sounds great. I went ahead and worked out a deal on that Neve
5042
>so now it's mine and is a permanent fixture here. I have no complaints.
I
>guess that it's just that having used the Paris system for 9 years, I was
so

>comfortable with certain things about it that I didn't think I'd
>miss.....like the plate reverbs. I didn't know I liked them that much.
>Chucks modulation FX sounded great too and NoLimit???....well, it had
>something that nothing that I have here had. I'm able to use anything I
want
>nowadays as a channel insert or on an aux and I can create as many busses
as
>I wantand don't have to worry about not being able to patch
>across submixes and the Paris mono delays are just wonderful in a mix. It's

>easy to take a lot of things for granted I guess.
>
>Would I go back??...I don't think so.....not now. If I had it to do
all
>over again, would I sell the Paris rig and the insane digital routing matrix

>that was sometimes so confusing and frustrating and full of so many
>potential clocking disasters, but that I knew so well because I had created

>it and was familiar with it's quirks???.....I don't think
>so.....not now.
>
>With the Cubase rig.....I turn it on....it works.
>
>(yawn)
>
>;o)
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 07:17:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The reverbs were the first thing I noticed when I got my Pulsar cards. In fact, right after I got mine, I think I posted something here about how I thought that the Pulsar II card would be worth the price just for the reverbs. I'm still liking the RME cards quite a bit though. The new drivers are very good.

BTW....sorta' OT but I'm gonna be recording some lap steel OD's tomorrow night using my Lexicon 284 and the stereo cab with a '57 on one cone and an SE ribbon on the other. The cab will be isolated in my Eckel Industries audiologist booth (like an anechoic chamber). The direct outs of the amp will probably be used simultaneously as well and I'll likely split the guitar

output to a Radial Tonebone and send one of the leads to my POD for a different tone.

Should be interesting.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46244296\$1@linux...

>
> 9 years on PARIS. What, a couple of months on SX? Imagine nine years with
> the same girl. Then you make a break, and three months into your next
> relationship
> you're wondering if it was the right call. Maybe it was, maybe it wasn't,
> but you can't even know by now.

>
> Give it time, there's lots of stuff out there. A few of the really 'whoa'
> f/x I've found (reverbs in particular) have been with the Creamware card.
> So you can think of that as an option as well. If after a year or so
> you're
> still pining for your ex, Morgan will set you back up.

>
> TCB

>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>I know I'm nuts. Cubase 4 has every friggin' thing that Paris does not and
>
>>my system sounds great. I went ahead and worked out a deal on that Neve
> 5042

>>so now it's mine and is a permanent fixture here. I have no complaints.
> I

>>guess that it's just that having used the Paris system for 9 years, I was
> so

>>comfortable with certain things about it that I didn't think I'd
>>miss.....like the plate reverbs. I didn't know I liked them that much.
>>Chucks modulation FX sounded great too and NoLimit???....well, it had
>>something that nothing that I have here had. I'm able to use anything I
> want

>>nowadays as a channel insert or on an aux and I can create as many busses
> as

>>I wantand don't have to worry about not being able to patch
>>across submixes and the Paris mono delays are just wonderful in a mix.
>>It's

>
>>easy to take a lot of things for granted I guess.

>>
>>Would I go back??...I don't think so.....not now. If I had it to do
> all

>>over again, would I sell the Paris rig and the insane digital routing
>>matrix

>
>>that was sometimes so confusing and frustrating and full of so many
>>potential clocking disasters, but that I knew so well because I had
>>created
>
>>it and was familiar with it's quirks???.....I don't think
>>so.....not now.
>>
>>With the Cubase rig.....I turn it on....it works.
>>
>>(yawn)
>>
>>;o)
>>
>>
>>
>

File Attachments

1) [Eckel.lnk](#), downloaded 57 times

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 11:12:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If it helps, I haven't missed Paris for 10 seconds. Oh, and I've been with the same girl for 20 years.

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:21:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That does sound like some interesting geetar tracking. Since I've just gone back (after many, many years as a Top Hat monogamist I'm now a Savage polygamist) to a two amp setup I'm not sure how I'm going to record Monkies guitars. When I was a one amp guy I was going to go with the pure Steve Albini approach, one bright mic and one dark mic, both roughly a foot off the cab, pointed right at the cone. Now do close mic each cab with a bright/dark mic? Move the two mics I was planning on using back a few inches? Pick one amp per overdub but use the pair live? More testing to do.

Here's an odd one. I think drummer should have to justify, in writing, every

time they hit a tom, because they hit them a lot less. This assumes, of course, the drummers can write, so I might be starting from false assumptions, but I just think toms get in the way and that fills should be Charlie Watts/Al Jackson kick/snare/cymbal things. However, our drummer uses toms quite a bit so we were doing some testing on mics. We tried four mics, a 57, a Rode NT5, an AKG 412, and a Behringer pencil condenser that I think cost less than \$150 for the pair. I wouldn't be telling this story if the Behringer didn't win. It has a tighter pattern so there's less extraneous trash (the NT5 had by far the most trash) and the tom sounded just fine through it. So Behringer it is, and that frees up the pair of NT5s to do other jobs.

TCB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>The reverbs were the first thing I noticed when I got my Pulsar cards. In

>fact, right after I got mine, I think I posted something here about how

I

>thought that the Pulsar II card would be worth the price just for the

>reverbs. I'm still liking the RME cards quite a bit though. The new drivers

>are very good.

>

>

>BTW....sorta' OT but I'm gonna be recording some lap steel OD's tomorrow

>night using my Lexicon 284 and the stereo cab with a '57 on one cone and an

>SE ribbon on the other. The cab will be isolated in my Eckel Industries

>audiologist booth (like an anechoic chamber). The direct outs of the amp will

>probably be used simultaneously as well and I'll likely split the guitar

>output to a Radial Tonebone and send one of the leads to my POD for a

>different tone.

>

>Should be interesting.

>

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46244296\$1@linux...

>>

>> 9 years on PARIS. What, a couple of months on SX? Imagine nine years with

>> the same girl. Then you make a break, and three months into your next

>> relationship

>> you're wondering if it was the right call. Maybe it was, maybe it wasn't,

>> but you can't even know by now.
>>
>> Give it time, there's lots of stuff out there. A few of the really 'whoa'
>> f/x I've found (reverbs in particular) have been with the Creamware card.
>> So you can think of that as an option as well. If after a year or so

>> you're
>> still pining for your ex, Morgan will set you back up.
>>
>> TCB
>>
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>I know I'm nuts. Cubase 4 has every friggin' thing that Paris does not
and
>>
>>>my system sounds great. I went ahead and worked out a deal on that Neve
>> 5042
>>>so now it's mine and is a permanent fixture here. I have no complaints.
>> I
>>>guess that it's just that having used the Paris system for 9 years, I
was
>> so
>>>comfortable with certain things about it that I didn't think I'd
>>>miss.....like the plate reverbs. I didn't know I liked them that much.
>>>Chucks modulation FX sounded great too and NoLimit???....well, it had
>>>something that nothing that I have here had. I'm able to use anything
I
>> want
>>>nowadays as a channel insert or on an aux and I can create as many busses
>> as
>>>I wantand don't have to worry about not being able to patch
>>>across submixes and the Paris mono delays are just wonderful in a mix.

>>>It's
>>
>>>easy to take a lot of things for granted I guess.
>>>
>>>Would I go back??...I don't think so.....not now. If I had it to
do
>> all
>>>over again, would I sell the Paris rig and the insane digital routing

>>>matrix
>>
>>>that was sometimes so confusing and frustrating and full of so many
>>>potential clocking disasters, but that I knew so well because I had
>>>created
>>

>>>it and was familiar with it's quirks???.....I don't think
>>>so.....not now.
>>>
>>>With the Cubase rig.....I turn it on....it works.
>>>
>>>(yawn)
>>>
>>>;o)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:53:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>The reverbs were the first thing I noticed when I got my Pulsar cards. In

>fact, right after I got mine, I think I posted something here about how
I

>thought that the Pulsar II card would be worth the price just for the

>reverbs. I's still liking the RME cards quite a bit though. The new drivers

>are very good.

Deej, I never did try those RME beta drivers you posted that
link for, because it occurred to me after I downloaded them...
those aren't for the Multiface, are they (or ARE they?)

>BTW....sorta' OT but I'm gonna be recording some lap steel OD's tomorrow

>night using my Lexicon 284 and the stereo cab with a '57 on one cone and
an

>SE ribbon on the other. The cab will be isolated in my Eckel Industries

>audiologist booth (like an anechoic chamber). The direct outs of the amp
will

>probably be used simultaneously as well and I'll likely split the guitar

>output to a Radial Tonebone and send one of the leads to my POD for a
>different tone.

Four tracks for a single pass of lap steel?

Don't forget to double it afterwards.

:D

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 16:02:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes.....they are definitely for the Multiface.

Back up your system and give them a spin. You will have to do a firmware update for your PCI card so make sure you know where your old firmware flash is located, just in case.

;o)

"Neil" <OIUO@OIU.om> wrote in message news:4624d15a\$1@linux...

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>>The reverbs were the first thing I noiced when I got my Pulsar cards. In

>

>>fact, right after I got mine, I think I posted something here about how

> I

>>thought that the Pulsar II card would be worth the price just for the

>>reverbs. I's still liking the RME cards quite a bit though. The new

>>drivers

>

>>are very good.

>

> Deej, I never did try those RME beta drivers you posted that

> link for, because it occurred to me after I downloaded them...

> those aren't for the Multiface, are they (or ARE they?)

>

>

>>BTW.....sorta' OT but I'm gonna be recording some lap steel OD's tomorrow

>

>>night using my Lexicon 284 and the stereo cab with a '57 on one cone and

> an

>>sE ribbon on the other. The cab will be isolated in my Eckel Industries

>

>>audiologist booth (like an anechoc chamber). The direct outs of the amp

> will

>>probably be used simultaneously as well and I'll likely split the guitar
>
>>output to a Radial Tonebone and send one of the leads to my POD for a
>>different tone.
>
> Four tracks for a single pass of lap steel?
>
> Don't forget to double it afterwards.
>
> :D

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 16:18:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thadster, if you're still in "gear acquisition mode",
try a B.L.U.E. Ball and/or an Audix i5 for yer guitar amp, and
some Audix D3's or 4's for your toms.

Neil

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>That does sound like some interesting geetar tracking. Since I've just gone
>back (after many, many years as a Top Hat monogamist I'm now a Savage polygamist)
>to a two amp setup I'm not sure how I'm going to record Monkie guitars.
When
>I was a one amp guy I was going to go with the pure Steve Albini approach,
>one bright mic and one dark mic, both roughly a foot off the cab, pointed
>right at the cone. Now do close mic each cab with a bright/dark mic? Move
>the two mics I was planning on using back a few inches? Pick one amp per
>overdub but use the pair live? More testing to do.
>
>Here's an odd one. I think drummer should have to justify, in writing, every
>time they hit a tom, because they hit them a lot less. This assumes, of
course,
>the drummers can write, so I might be starting from false assumptions, but
>I just think toms get in the way and that fills should be Charlie Watts/Al
>Jackson kick/snare/cymbal things. However, our drummer uses toms quite a
>bit so we were doing some testing on mics. We tried four mics, a 57, a Rode
>NT5, an AKG 412, and a Behringer pencil condenser that I think cost less
>than \$150 for the pair. I wouldn't be telling this story if the Behringer
>didn't win. It has a tighter pattern so there's less extraneous trash (the
>NT5 had by far the most trash) and the tom sounded just fine through it.
>So Behringer it is, and that frees up the pair of NT5s to do other jobs.
>

>
>TCB
>
>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>The reverbs were the first thing I noticed when I got my Pulsar cards. In
>
>>fact, right after I got mine, I think I posted something here about how
>I
>>thought that the Pulsar II card would be worth the price just for the
>>reverbs. I'm still liking the RME cards quite a bit though. The new drivers
>
>>are very good.
>>
>>
>>BTW....sorta' OT but I'm gonna be recording some lap steel OD's tomorrow
>
>>night using my Lexicon 284 and the stereo cab with a '57 on one cone and
>an
>>8" ribbon on the other. The cab will be isolated in my Eckel Industries
>
>>audiologist booth (like an anechoic chamber). The direct outs of the amp
>will
>>probably be used simultaneously as well and I'll likely split the guitar
>
>>output to a Radial Tonebone and send one of the leads to my POD for a
>>different tone.
>>
>>Should be interesting.
>>
>>
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46244296\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> 9 years on PARIS. What, a couple of months on SX? Imagine nine years
with
>>> the same girl. Then you make a break, and three months into your next
>
>>> relationship
>>> you're wondering if it was the right call. Maybe it was, maybe it wasn't,
>>> but you can't even know by now.
>>>
>>> Give it time, there's lots of stuff out there. A few of the really 'whoa'
>>> f/x I've found (reverbs in particular) have been with the Creamware card.
>>> So you can think of that as an option as well. If after a year or so
>
>>> you're
>>> still pining for your ex, Morgan will set you back up.
>>>
>>> TCB

>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>>I know I'm nuts. Cubase 4 has every friggin' thing that Paris does not
>and
>>>
>>>>my system sounds great. I went ahead and worked out a deal on that Neve
>>> 5042
>>>>so now it's mine and is a permanent fixture here. I have no complaints.
>>> I
>>>>guess that it's just that having used the Paris system for 9 years, I
>was
>>> so
>>>>comfortable with certain things about it that I didn't think I'd
>>>>miss.....like the plate reverbs. I didn't know I liked them that much.
>>>>Chucks modulation FX sounded great too and NoLimit???....well, it had
>>>>something that nothing that I have here had. I'm able to use anything
>I
>>> want
>>>>nowadays as a channel insert or on an aux and I can create as many busses
>>> as
>>>>I wantand don't have to worry about not being able to patch
>>>>acorss submixes and the Paris mono delays are just wonderful in a mix.
>
>>>>It's
>>>
>>>>easy to take a lot of things for granted I guess.
>>>>
>>>>Would I go back??...I don't think so.....not now. If I had it to
>do
>>> all
>>>>over again, would I sell the Paris rig and the insane digital routing
>
>>>>matrix
>>>
>>>>that was sometimes so confusing and frustrating and full of so many
>>>>potential clocking disasters, but that I knew so well because I had
>>>>created
>>>
>>>>it and was familiar with it's quirks???.....I don't think
>>>>so.....not now.
>>>>
>>>>With the Cubase rig.....I turn it on....it works.
>>>>
>>>>(yawn)
>>>>
>>>>;o)
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [Neil](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 00:52:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kewl, thanks - I may give 'em a whirl this weekend.

Neil

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>Yes.....they are definitely for the Multiface.

>

>Back up your system and give them a spin. You will have to do a firmware

>update for your PCI card so make sure you know where your old firmware flash

>is located, just in case.

>

>

>;o)

>

>

>"Neil" <OIUO@OIU.om> wrote in message [news:4624d15a\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:4624d15a$1@linux...)

>>

>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>>>The reverbs were the first thing I noiced when I got my Pulsar cards.

In

>>

>>>fact, right after I got mine, I think I posted something here about how

>> I

>>>thought that the Pulsar II card would be worth the price just for the

>>>reverbs. I's still liking the RME cards quite a bit though. The new

>>>drivers

>>

>>>are very good.

>>

>> DeeJ, I never did try those RME beta drivers you posted that

>> link for, because it occurred to me after I downloaded them...

>> those aren't for the Multiface, are they (or ARE they?)

>>

>>

>>>BTW....sorta' OT but I'm gonna be recording some lap steel OD's tomorrow
>>
>>>night using my Lexicon 284 and the stereo cab with a '57 on one cone and
>> an
>>>sE ribbon on the other. The cab will be isolated in my Eckel Industries
>>
>>>audiologist booth (like an anechoc chamber). The direct outs of the amp
>> will
>>>probably be used simultaneously as well and I'll likely split the guitar
>>
>>>output to a Radial Tonebone and send one of the leads to my POD for a
>>>different tone.
>>
>> Four tracks for a single pass of lap steel?
>>
>> Don't forget to double it afterwards.
>>
>> :D
>
>

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 01:09:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You really should try it. You will gain at least 3.5Khz on the top
end, -6db lower noise levels and an extra 14db
of dynamic range

hehehe!!

"Neil" <OIUIOU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:46256bc0\$1@linux...

>
> Kewl, thanks - I may give 'em a whirl this weekend.
>
> Neil
>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>Yes.....they are definitely for the Multiface.
>>
>>Back up your system and give them a spin. You will have to do a firmware
>
>>update for your PCI card so make sure you know where your old firmware
>>flash
>
>>is located, just in case.
>>

>>
>>;0)
>>
>>
>>"Neil" <OIUO@OIU.om> wrote in message news:4624d15a\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>>The reverbs were the first thing I noiced when I got my Pulsar cards.
> In
>>>
>>>>fact, right after I got mine, I think I posted something here about how
>>> I
>>>>thought that the Pulsar II card would be worth the price just for the
>>>>reverbs. I's still liking the RME cards quite a bit though. The new
>>>>drivers
>>>
>>>>are very good.
>>>
>>> Deej, I never did try those RME beta drivers you posted that
>>> link for, because it occurred to me after I downloaded them...
>>> those aren't for the Multiface, are they (or ARE they?)
>>>
>>>
>>>>BTW....sorta' OT but I'm gonna be recording some lap steel OD's tomorrow
>>>
>>>>night using my Lexicon 284 and the stereo cab with a '57 on one cone and
>>> an
>>>>sE ribbon on the other. The cab will be isolated in my Eckel Industries
>>>
>>>>audiologist booth (like an anechoc chamber). The direct outs of the amp
>>> will
>>>>probably be used simultaneously as well and I'll likely split the
>>>>guiitar
>>>
>>>>output to a Radial Tonebone and send one of the leads to my POD for a
>>>>different tone.
>>>
>>> Four tracks for a single pass of lap steel?
>>>
>>> Don't forget to double it afterwards.
>>>
>>> :D
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [Paul Braun](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 01:28:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 17 Apr 2007 19:09:20 -0600, "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com>
wrote:

>You really should try it. You will gain at least 3.5Khz on the top
>end, -6db lower noise levels and an extra 14db
>of dynamic range
>
>hehehe!!

And, oddly enough, when you fire your software back up, you'll
discover the brand-new "Soar" knob.

pab

Subject: Re: Ya know.....this is really strange, but I miss Paris
Posted by [Nil](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 01:40:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good; that, plus my no-patchbay approach should put me well into
high-end frequency ranges that are on the verge of violating FCC
regulations due to interfering with microwave transmitters;
noise levels so low that passing extraterrestrials avoid
getting within 100 light years of my convertors because they
think it's a black hole, and dynamic ranges that will cause
mile-long icebergs to calve off the coast of Antarctica.

I should be relatively happy with that kind of performance for
awhile.

:)

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>You really should try it. You will gain at least 3.5Khz on the top
>end, -6db lower noise levels and an extra 14db
>of dynamic range
>
>hehehe!!

>
>"Neil" <OIUIOU@OIU.com> wrote in message [news:46256bc0\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:46256bc0$1@linux...)
>>

>> Kewl, thanks - I may give 'em a whirl this weekend.
>>

>> Neil
>>
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>Yes.....they are definitely for the Multiface.
>>>
>>>Back up your system and give them a spin. You will have to do a firmware
>>
>>>update for your PCI card so make sure you know where your old firmware

>>>flash
>>
>>>is located, just in case.
>>>
>>>
>>>;o)
>>>
>>>
>>>"Neil" <OIUO@OIU.om> wrote in message news:4624d15a\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>>>The reverbs were the first thing I noiced when I got my Pulsar cards.
>> In
>>>>
>>>>>fact, right after I got mine, I think I posted something here about
how
>>>> I
>>>>>thought that the Pulsar II card would be worth the price just for the
>>>>>reverbs. I's still liking the RME cards quite a bit though. The new
>>>>>drivers
>>>>
>>>>>are very good.
>>>>
>>>> Deej, I never did try those RME beta drivers you posted that
>>>> link for, because it occurred to me after I downloaded them...
>>>> those aren't for the Multiface, are they (or ARE they?)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>BTW....sorta' OT but I'm gonna be recording some lap steel OD's tomorrow
>>>>
>>>>>night using my Lexicon 284 and the stereo cab with a '57 on one cone
and
>>>> an
>>>>>sE ribbon on the other. The cab will be isolated in my Eckel Industries
>>>>
>>>>>audiologist booth (like an anechoc chamber). The direct outs of the
amp
>>>> will
>>>>>probably be used simultaneously as well and I'll likely split the

>>>>>guiitar
>>>>
>>>>>output to a Radial Tonebone and send one of the leads to my POD for
a
>>>>>different tone.
>>>>
>>>> Four tracks for a single pass of lap steel?
>>>>
>>>> Don't forget to double it afterwards.
>>>>
>>>> :D
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>
