Subject: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming Posted by Time For a Revolution on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 18:16:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

essentially

- > dried up as it was drained into the Iraq war. In 2004, the Bush
- > administration
- > cut funding requested by the New Orleans district of the U.S. Army Corps
- > of Engineers for holding back the waters of Lake Pontchartrain by more
- > than
- > 80 percent. Additional cuts at the beginning of this year (for a total
- > reduction
- > in funding of 44.2 percent since 2001) forced the New Orleans district of
- > the Corps to impose a hiring freeze. The Senate had debated adding funds
- > for fixing New Orleans' levees, but it was too late.

>

- The New Orleans Times-Picayune, which before the hurricane published
- > a series on the federal funding problem, and whose presses are now
- > underwater.
- > reported online: "No one can say they didn't see it coming ... Now in the
- > wake of one of the worst storms ever, serious questions are being asked
- > about
- > the lack of preparation."

>

- The Bush administration's policy of turning over wetlands to developers
- > almost certainly also contributed to the heightened level of the storm
- > surge.
- > In 1990, a federal task force began restoring lost wetlands surrounding
- > New
- > Orleans. Every two miles of wetland between the Crescent City and the Gulf
- > reduces a surge by half a foot. Bush had promised "no net loss" of
- > wetlands.
- > a policy launched by his father's administration and bolstered by
- > President
- > Clinton. But he reversed his approach in 2003, unleashing the developers.
- > The Army Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency then
- > announced they could no longer protect wetlands unless they were somehow
- > related to interstate commerce.

>

- In response to this potential crisis, four leading environmental groups
- > conducted a joint expert study, concluding in 2004 that without wetlands
- > protection New Orleans could be devastated by an ordinary, much less a
- > Category
- > 4 or 5, hurricane. "There's no way to describe how mindless a policy that
- > is when it comes to wetlands protection," said one of the report's
- > authors.
- > The chairman of the White House's Council on Environmental Quality
- > dismissed

- > the study as "highly questionable," and boasted, "Everybody loves what
- > we're
- > doing."

>

- "My administration's climate change policy will be science based,"
- > President
- > Bush declared in June 2001. But in 2002, when the Environmental Protection
- > Agency submitted a study on global warming to the United Nations
- > reflecting
- > its expert research, Bush derided it as "a report put out by a
- > bureaucracy,"
- > and excised the climate change assessment from the agency's annual report.
- > The next year, when the EPA issued its first comprehensive "Report on the
- > Environment," stating, "Climate change has global consequences for human
- > health and the environment," the White House simply demanded removal of
- > the
- > line and all similar conclusions. At the G-8 meeting in Scotland this
- > Bush successfully stymied any common action on global warming. Scientists,
- > meanwhile, have continued to accumulate impressive data on the rising
- > temperature
- > of the oceans, which has produced more severe hurricanes.

- In February 2004, 60 of the nation's leading scientists, including 20
- > Nobel laureates, warned in a statement, "Restoring Scientific Integrity in
- > Policymaking": "Successful application of science has played a large part
- > in the policies that have made the United States of America the world's
- > most
- > powerful nation and its citizens increasingly prosperous and healthy ...
- > Indeed, this principle has long been adhered to by presidents and
- > administrations
- > of both parties in forming and implementing policies. The administration
- > of George W. Bush has, however, disregarded this principle ... The
- > distortion
- > of scientific knowledge for partisan political ends must cease." Bush
- > completely
- > ignored this statement.

>

- In the two weeks preceding the storm in the Gulf, the trumping of
- > science
- > by ideology and expertise by special interests accelerated. The Federal
- > Drug
- > Administration announced that it was postponing sale of the morning-after
- > contraceptive pill, despite overwhelming scientific evidence of its safety
- > and its approval by the FDA's scientific advisory board. The United
- > Nations
- > special envoy for HIV/AIDS in Africa accused the Bush administration of
- > responsibility

- > for a condom shortage in Uganda -- the result of the administration's
- > evangelical
- > Christian agenda of "abstinence." When the chief of the Bureau of Justice
- > Statistics in the Justice Department was ordered by the White House to
- > delete
- > its study that African-Americans and other minorities are subject to
- > racial
- > profiling in police traffic stops and he refused to buckle under, he was
- > forced out of his job. When the Army Corps of Engineers' chief contracting
- > oversight analyst objected to a \$7 billion no-bid contract awarded for
- > work
- > in Iraq to Halliburton (the firm at which Vice President Cheney was
- > formerly
- > CEO), she was demoted despite her superior professional ratings. At the
- > National
- > Park Service, a former Cheney aide, a political appointee lacking
- > professional
- > background, drew up a plan to overturn past environmental practices and
- > prohibit
- > any mention of evolution while allowing sale of religious materials
- > through
- > the Park Service.

>

- > On the day the levees burst in New Orleans, Bush delivered a speech in
- > Colorado comparing the Iraq war to World War II and himself to Franklin D.
- > Roosevelt: "And he knew that the best way to bring peace and stability to
- > the region was by bringing freedom to Japan." Bush had boarded his very
- > own
- > "Streetcar Named Desire."

>

>No disrespect intended DJ, but your response just reinforced the stereotype of someone who works in the industry.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>

>"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message >news:43171cbd\$1@linux...

>>

- >> Has anyone noticed that after working five years on the new White House >sponsored
- >> energy bill, the U.S. Congress passed a bill that fails to reduce
- >America's
- >> dependence on oil, fails to address the threat of global warming, fails to
- >> make any significant new investments in clean energy, and fails to help >consum