
Subject: This could get interesting
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 14 May 2007 16:18:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest

TCB

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Mon, 14 May 2007 16:20:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46488bce\$1@linux...

>
> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>
> TCB

See.....I told you you were using pirated software, but did you listen???.....NOOOOooooooooooo!!! Now, that, if you'll just start sending me that \$100.00 licensing fee, I'll see that it gets to the *right people*.

;o)

Notice to Users: This is a Federal computer system and is the property of the United States Government. It is for authorized use only. Users (authorized or unauthorized) have no explicit or implicit expectation of privacy.

Any or all uses of this system and all files on this system may be intercepted, monitored, recorded, copied, audited, inspected, and disclosed to authorized site, Department of Energy, and law enforcement personnel, as well as authorized officials of other agencies, both domestic and foreign. By using this system, the user consents to such interception, monitoring, recording, copying, auditing, inspection, and disclosure at the discretion of authorized site or Department of Energy personnel.

Unauthorized or improper use of this system may result in administrative disciplinary action and civil and criminal penalties. By continuing to use this system you indicate your awareness of and consent to these terms and conditions of use. LOG OFF IMMEDIATELY if you do not agree to the conditions stated in this warning.

Policy and Disclaimers

Policy Statement: The Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports

academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; therefore, the Laboratory as an institution does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

Disclaimer of Liability: With respect to documents available from this server, neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, including the warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Disclaimer of Endorsement: Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Privacy Notice

Visitors to LANL Web sites have no explicit or implicit expectation of privacy. The Laboratory uses software programs to monitor network traffic to identify unauthorized attempts to upload or change information or otherwise cause damage. Any or all visits to LANL Web sites are subject to the Conditions of Use.

We collect no personal information about you when you visit a LANL Web site, except where otherwise stated, unless you choose to provide this information to us. However, we collect and store certain information automatically:

- a.. the Internet Protocol (IP) address and the name of the host from which you access the Internet (i.e. 123.456.789.012), whether yours individually or provided as a proxy by your Internet Service Provider (ISP),
- b.. the browser type and version you are using to access the site,
- c.. the operating system and version you are running on your machine,
- d.. the date and time you access our site,
- e.. the pages you peruse (recorded by the text and graphics files that compose that page),
- f.. and, the Internet address of the Web site from which you linked directly to our site.

We use the summary statistics to help us make our site more useful to visitors (such as assessing what information is of most and least interest to visitors) and for other purposes such as determining the site's technical design specifications and identifying system performance or problem areas.?

This information is NOT shared with anyone beyond the support staff for LANL

Web sites, except as required for site security purposes, to ensure that LANL Web sites remain available to all users, and when required by law enforcement investigation. We use the information only as a source of anonymous statistical information, and no other attempts are made to identify individual users or their usage habits.

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 14 May 2007 16:34:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is the best line in the article - nearly fell out of my chair reading it:

"The Redmond behemoth asserts that one reason free software is of such high quality is that it violates more than 200 of Microsoft's patents."

bwaahhhaahhaa!!!!

I never knew patents could impede progress and efficient design.

Dedric

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46488bce\$1@linux...

>
> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>
> TCB

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Mon, 14 May 2007 17:51:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yikes scary stuff.

TCB wrote:

> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>
> TCB

--
Chris Ludwig

ADK Pro Audio
(859) 635-5762
www.adkproaudio.com
chrisl@adkproaudio.com

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Mon, 14 May 2007 18:13:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well....once Microsoft takes over the world, Steve Jobs will win the final lawsuit that sues Microsoft for stealing OSX and then we'll all be totally scooterfucked.

;oD

"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message news:4648a305@linux...
> Yikes scary stuff.
>
>
> TCB wrote:
>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>>
>> TCB
>
> --
> Chris Ludwig
>
> ADK Pro Audio
> (859) 635-5762
> www.adkproaudio.com
> chrisl@adkproaudio.com

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 14 May 2007 18:35:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

is that like the paddle/crick thingy?

On Mon, 14 May 2007 12:13:48 -0600, "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>Well....once Microsoft takes over the world, Steve Jobs will win the final

>lawsuit that sues Microsoft for stealing OSX and then we'll all be totally
>scooterfucked.
>
>;oD
>
>"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message
>news:4648a305@linux...
>> Yikes scary stuff.
>>
>>
>> TCB wrote:
>>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>>>
>>> TCB
>>
>> --
>> Chris Ludwig
>>
>> ADK Pro Audio
>> (859) 635-5762
>> www.adkproaudio.com
>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 14 May 2007 22:18:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You almost cannot overstate the potential impact of this litigation on the shape and future of the internet, and how business is conducted. In a way, it would be like the railroad barons of the 19th century suing anyone who used a wheel, axles, and brakes, for infringement.

This is really important, and it is really important that MS loses...

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>
>TCB

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 14 May 2007 23:07:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's a useful analogy, Don.

It appears that Microsoft may be attempting to "innovate" the legal system to try to control things they didn't invent.

This would fit with past Microsoft criminal behavior.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

DC wrote:

> You almost cannot overstate the potential impact of this
> litigation on the shape and future of the internet, and how
> business is conducted. In a way, it would be like the railroad
> barons of the 19th century suing anyone who used a wheel,
> axles, and brakes, for infringement.
>
> This is really important, and it is really important that MS loses...
>
> DC
>
>
> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>>
>> TCB
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [Paul Braun](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 00:36:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, 14 May 2007 10:34:59 -0600, "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com>
wrote:

>This is the best line in the article - nearly fell out of my chair reading
>it:
>
>"The Redmond behemoth asserts that one reason free software is of such high
>quality is that it violates more than 200 of Microsoft's patents."

>
>bwaahhhaahhaa!!!!
>

I enjoyed Monkey Boy Ballmer's comment about how Micro\$oft always respects other companies' IP and therefore, other companies should respect theirs as well.

Ahhhhh. It must be fun to live in BallmerWorld.

pab

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [wireline\[1\]](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 01:18:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

scooterfucked?

Seems it really doesn't matter who invented what, its who hold the patents...

If only I had bought Microsoft stock in 1985

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4648a873@linux...

> Well...once Microsoft takes over the world, Steve Jobs will win the final
> lawsuit that sues Microsoft for stealing OSX and then we'll all be totally
> scooterfucked.

>

> ;oD

>

> "Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message

> news:4648a305@linux...

>> Yikes scary stuff.

>>

>>

>> TCB wrote:

>>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest

>>>

>>> TCB

>>

>> --

>> Chris Ludwig

>>

>> ADK Pro Audio

>> (859) 635-5762

>> www.adkproaudio.com

>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com

>
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 01:39:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

True,

I'm just kiddin around here. This one should be really interesting. Letter of the law vs spirit of the free.

;o)

"Wireline" <wirelinestudio@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:46490bf9\$1@linux...

> scooterfucked?

>

> Seems it really doesn't matter who invented what, its who hold the
> patents...

>

> If only I had bought Microsoft stock in 1985

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4648a873@linux...

>> Well....once Microsoft takes over the world, Steve Jobs will win the

>> final lawsuit that sues Microsoft for stealing OSX and then we'll all be

>> totally scooterfucked.

>>

>> ;oD

>>

>> "Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message

>> news:4648a305@linux...

>>> Yikes scary stuff.

>>>

>>>

>>> TCB wrote:

>>>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest

>>>>

>>>> TCB

>>>

>>> --

>>> Chris Ludwig

>>>

>>> ADK Pro Audio

>>> (859) 635-5762

>>> www.adkproaudio.com

>>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com

>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [wireline\[1\]](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 01:44:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Agreed...I just hope that whatever occurs, we the end users don't pay the potentialy billions of dollars in legal fees...

that's how all this crap got started in the 1st place, me thinks.
"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:464910db@linux...

> True,

>

> I'm just kiddin around here. This one should be really interesting. Letter
> of the law vs spirit of the free.

>

> ;o)

>

> "Wireline" <wirelinestudio@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> [news:46490bf9\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:46490bf9$1@linux...)

>> scooterfucked?

>>

>> Seems it really doesn't matter who invented what, its who hold the
>> patents...

>>

>> If only I had bought Microsoft stock in 1985

>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4648a873@linux...

>>> Well...once Microsoft takes over the world, Steve Jobs will win the

>>> final lawsuit that sues Microsoft for stealing OSX and then we'll all be

>>> totally scooterfucked.

>>>

>>> ;oD

>>>

>>> "Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message

>>> news:4648a305@linux...

>>>> Yikes scary stuff.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> TCB wrote:

>>>>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest

>>>>>

>>>>> TCB

>>>>>

>>>> --
>>>> Chris Ludwig
>>>>
>>>> ADK Pro Audio
>>>> (859) 635-5762
>>>> www.adkproaudio.com
>>>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [audioguy_editout_](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 02:31:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sheeesh... only a coupla' posts in and buddy already has
some 'tude... should fit in nicely! ;-)

David.

DJ wrote:

> True,
>
> I'm just kiddin around here. This one should be really interesting. Letter
> of the law vs spirit of the free.
>
> ;o)
>
> "Wireline" <wirelinestudio@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:46490bf9\$1@linux...
>
>> scooterfucked?
>>
>> Seems it really doesn't matter who invented what, its who hold the
>> patents...
>>
>> If only I had bought Microsoft stock in 1985
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4648a873@linux...
>>
>>> Well....once Microsoft takes over the world, Steve Jobs will win the
>>> final lawsuit that sues Microsoft for stealing OSX and then we'll all be
>>> totally scooterfucked.
>>>

espionage, and out right theft, all make for a nice world.

It's hard for me to support a company like MS.

Speaking of call centers in India, HP is really starting to suck. Their newer drivers for PC and Mac both suck. I hate any software that insists on getting in the way when I'm trying to get some work done. Talk about spyware virus-ware, It's a pain to turn off, and uninstalling HP software is a PITA to, it tries to keep showing up and connecting to the mother ship, reminds me of MS.

I liked the HP video. I thought it was a creative way for our boys to get in their mandatory target practice.

Here's a little SUN shine for HP: <http://youtube.com/watch?v=YOnRji9QJr8&mode=related&search=>

While Americans are out of work, people should let these companies know that we don't appreciate Indian call centers. It really is too bad, HP is not the company it once was.

I still use MS and HP products, but for not too much longer. They don't need anymore of my money anyways.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>
>TCB

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 08:34:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

birds of a feather...

On Mon, 14 May 2007 20:31:57 -0600, "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Sheesh... only a coupla' posts in and buddy already has
>some 'tude... should fit in nicely! ;-)
>

>David.
>
>DJ wrote:
>
>> True,
>>
>> I'm just kiddin around here. This one should be really interesting. Letter
>> of the law vs spirit of the free.
>>
>> ;o)
>>
>> "Wireline" <wirelinestudio@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> news:46490bf9\$1@linux...
>>
>>>scooterfucked?
>>>
>>>Seems it really doesn't matter who invented what, its who hold the
>>>patents...
>>>
>>>If only I had bought Microsoft stock in 1985
>>>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4648a873@linux...
>>>
>>>>Well....once Microsoft takes over the world, Steve Jobs will win the
>>>>final lawsuit that sues Microsoft for stealing OSX and then we'll all be
>>>>totally scooterfucked.
>>>>
>>>>;oD
>>>>
>>>>"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:4648a305@linux...
>>>>
>>>>>Yikes scary stuff.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>>>>>>
>>>>>>TCB
>>>>>>
>>>>>>--
>>>>>>Chris Ludwig
>>>>>>
>>>>>>ADK Pro Audio
>>>>>>(859) 635-5762
>>>>>>www.adkproaudio.com
>>>>>>chrisl@adkproaudio.com

>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 14:37:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The thing is, though, that M\$oft isn't suing anyone. What they're saying is, 'Linux infringes on 235 patents. We won't tell you which ones, but they do, just trust us.' Then they are going to their big corporate (and maybe educational, I'm not sure) customers and saying, 'If you have linux in your server room or even if your geeks use it on their desktops, you're infringing on our patents. However, we're reasonable people and realize you might need more than one platform in your backline. So you can either move your linux servers over to our stuff or you can pay us a licensing fee to indemnify you on the patent infringement.'

The reason they're going this way is if they were to litigate, say sue Red Hat because they're publicly traded and have a balance sheet, then they have to come out and say exactly what's being infringed upon. And it's probably stupid shit like having a menu that can be used to open a file. SCO did something similar, saying that IBM had used SCO code in their linux work and took them to court where IBM has so brutally cleaned their clocks that M\$oft isn't going that route unless they have no choice.

So it's not something being litigated now, it's a classic shakedown. 'Good Morning Mr. Don. My name Vinnie 'the Firestarter' Corleone. I was just noticing your lovely house and all of the wood it happens to have in it. I'm sure you have insurance but you have no idea how inconvenient it is when your house catches on fire, it'd a terrible strain on you and your lovely family. So I was thinking, my brother is in the fire department, and he only lives a few doors down, for only \$150 per week I'm sure he'd be happy to keep an extra close eye on your lovely house and be sure nothing happened to it.'

All of which to me shows how hollow M\$oft is becoming. While companies like Google are pressing out and expanding on what you can do with your computer, M\$oft is reduced to crudely shaking down their own customers. Not much of a business model.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinredmond.com> wrote:

>

>You almost cannot overstate the potential impact of this

>litigation on the shape and future of the internet, and how
>business is conducted. In a way, it would be like the railroad
>barons of the 19th century suing anyone who used a wheel,
>axles, and brakes, for infringement.
>
>This is really important, and it is really important that MS loses...
>
>DC
>
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>>
>>TCB
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 15:50:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I totally agree. They are the kings of unethical business practices. I bet they start suing the small guys that can't fight them. Any chance to sue and win is like blood in the water to their legal department. After all, they are entitled.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>The thing is, though, that M\$oft isn't suing anyone. What they're saying is,
>'Linux infringes on 235 patents. We won't tell you which ones, but they do,
>just trust us.' Then they are going to their big corporate (and maybe educational,
>I'm not sure) customers and saying, 'If you have linux in your server room
>or even if your geeks use it on their desktops, you're infringing on our
>patents. However, we're reasonable people and realize you might need more
>than one platform in your backline. So you can either move your linux servers
>over to our stuff or you can pay us a licensing fee to indemnify you on the
>patent infringement.'
>
>The reason they're going this way is if they were to litigate, say sue Red
>Hat because they're publicly traded and have a balance sheet, then they have
>to come out and say exactly what's being infringed upon. And it's probably
>stupid shit like having a menu that can be used to open a file. SCO did

something

>similar, saying that IBM had used SCO code in their linux work and took them

>to court where IBM has so brutally cleaned their clocks that M\$oft isn't

>going that route unless they have no choice.

>

>So it's not something being litigated now, it's a classic shakedown. 'Good

>Morning Mr. Don. My name Vinnie 'the Firestarter' Corleone. I was just noticing

>your lovely house and all of the wood it happens to have in it. I'm sure

>you have insurance but you have no idea how inconvenient it is when your

>house catches on fire, it'd a terrible strain on you and your lovely family.

>So I was thinking, my brother is in the fire department, and he only lives

>a few doors down, for only \$150 per week I'm sure he'd be happy to keep

an

>extra close eye on your lovely house and be sure nothing happened to it.'

>

>All of which to me shows how hollow M\$oft is becoming. While companies like

>Google are pressing out and expanding on what you can do with your computer,

>M\$oft is reduced to crudely shaking down their own customers. Not much of

>a business model.

>

>TCB

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinredmond.com> wrote:

>>

>>You almost cannot overstate the potential impact of this

>>litigation on the shape and future of the internet, and how

>>business is conducted. In a way, it would be like the railroad

>>barons of the 19th century suing anyone who used a wheel,

>>axles, and brakes, for infringement.

>>

>>This is really important, and it is really important that MS loses...

>>

>>DC

>>

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>

>>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest

>>>

>>>TCB

>>

>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 18:02:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Read what I said more closely, James. I don't think they'll sue anyone, because if they do then they patents can be contested/dismissed or worked around. The free software community is very accustomed to such situations and has done so before lots of times. They have nothing to gain from suing and everything to lose, but lots to gain from threatening to sue.

Contrary to popular opinion IP rights are central to free software. Without them the GPL (or the Debian license or whatever) becomes unenforceable. Most free software people question software patents in general, but the enforcement of copyright is the only thing that allows the GPL to have teeth.

TCB

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>I totally agree. They are the kings of unethical business practices. I

bet

>they start suing the small guys that can't fight them. Any chance to sue

>and win is like blood in the water to there legal department. After all,

>they are entitled.

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>The thing is, though, that M\$oft isn't suing anyone. What they're saying

>is,

>>'Linux infringes on 235 patents. We won't tell you which ones, but they

>do,

>>'just trust us.' Then they are going to their big corporate (and maybe educational,

>>'I'm not sure) customers and saying, 'If you have linux in your server room

>>or even if your geeks use it on their desktops, you're infringing on our

>>patents. However, we're reasonable people and realize you might need more

>>than one platform in your backline. So you can either move your linux servers

>>over to our stuff or you can pay us a licensing fee to indemnify you on

>the

>>patent infringement.'

>>

>>The reason they're going this way is if they were to litigate, say sue

Red

>>Hat because they're publicly traded and have a balance sheet, then they

>have

>>to come out and say exactly what's being infringed upon. And it's probably

>>stupid shit like having a menu that can be used to open a file. SCO did

>something

>>similar, saying that IBM had used SCO code in their linux work and took

>them

>>to court where IBM has so brutally cleaned their clocks that M\$oft isn't

More MS fans!

<http://www.macworld.co.uk/business/news/index.cfm?newsid=18034>

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Read what I said more closely, James. I don't think they'll sue anyone, because

>if they do then they patents can be contested/dismissed or worked around.

>The free software community is very accustomed to such situations and has

>done so before lots of times. They have nothing to gain from suing and everything

>to lose, but lots to gain from threatening to sue.

>

>Contrary to popular opinion IP rights are central to free software. Without

>them the GPL (or the Debian license or whatever) becomes unenforceable.

Most

>free software people question software patents in general, but the enforcement

>of copyright is the only thing that allows the GPL to have teeth.

>

>TCB

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>I totally agree. They are the kings of unethical business practices.

|

>bet

>>they start suing the small guys that can't fight them. Any chance to sue

>>and win is like blood in the water to there legal department. After all,

>>they are entitled.

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>The thing is, though, that M\$oft isn't suing anyone. What they're saying

>>is,

>>>'Linux infringes on 235 patents. We won't tell you which ones, but they

>>do,

>>>just trust us.' Then they are going to their big corporate (and maybe educational,

>>>I'm not sure) customers and saying, 'If you have linux in your server room

>>>or even if your geeks use it on their desktops, you're infringing on our

>>>patents. However, we're reasonable people and realize you might need more

>>>than one platform in your backline. So you can either move your linux servers

>>>over to our stuff or you can pay us a licensing fee to indemnify you on

>>the

>>>patent infringement.'
>>>
>>>The reason they're going this way is if they were to litigate, say sue
>Red
>>>Hat because they're publicly traded and have a balance sheet, then they
>>have
>>>to come out and say exactly what's being infringed upon. And it's probably
>>>stupid shit like having a menu that can be used to open a file. SCO did
>>something
>>>similar, saying that IBM had used SCO code in their linux work and took
>>them
>>>to court where IBM has so brutally cleaned their clocks that M\$oft isn't
>>>going that route unless they have no choice.
>>>
>>>So it's not something being litigated now, it's a classic shakedown. 'Good
>>>Morning Mr. Don. My name Vinnie 'the Firestarter' Corleone. I was just
>noticing
>>>your lovely house and all of the wood it happens to have in it. I'm sure
>>>you have insurance but you have no idea how inconvenient it is when your
>>>house catches on fire, it'd a terrible strain on you and your lovely family.
>>>So I was thinking, my brother is in the fire department, and he only lives
>>>a few doors down, for only \$150 per week I'm sure he'd be happy to keep
>>an
>>>extra close eye on your lovely house and be sure nothing happened to it.'
>>>
>>>All of which to me shows how hollow M\$oft is becoming. While companies
>like
>>>Google are pressing out and expanding on what you can do with your computer,
>>>M\$oft is reduced to crudely shaking down their own customers. Not much
>of
>>>a business model.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinredmond.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>You almost cannot overstate the potential impact of this
>>>>litigation on the shape and future of the internet, and how
>>>>business is conducted. In a way, it would be like the railroad
>>>>barons of the 19th century suing anyone who used a wheel,
>>>>axles, and brakes, for infringement.
>>>>
>>>>This is really important, and it is really important that MS loses...
>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>>
>>>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 15 May 2007 20:50:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I realize this is tooting my own horn a bit, but here's a link to what Linus has to say, which is damn to close to what I wrote before I read this piece.

<http://www.informationweek.com/news/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=199600443>

TCB

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>The thing is, though, that M\$oft isn't suing anyone. What they're saying is,
>'Linux infringes on 235 patents. We won't tell you which ones, but they do,
>just trust us.' Then they are going to their big corporate (and maybe educational, >I'm not sure) customers and saying, 'If you have linux in your server room >or even if your geeks use it on their desktops, you're infringing on our >patents. However, we're reasonable people and realize you might need more >than one platform in your backline. So you can either move your linux servers >over to our stuff or you can pay us a licensing fee to indemnify you on the >patent infringement.'
>
>The reason they're going this way is if they were to litigate, say sue Red >Hat because they're publicly traded and have a balance sheet, then they have >to come out and say exactly what's being infringed upon. And it's probably >stupid shit like having a menu that can be used to open a file. SCO did something >similar, saying that IBM had used SCO code in their linux work and took them >to court where IBM has so brutally cleaned their clocks that M\$oft isn't >going that route unless they have no choice.

>
>So it's not something being litigated now, it's a classic shakedown. 'Good
>Morning Mr. Don. My name Vinnie 'the Firestarter' Corleone. I was just noticing
>your lovely house and all of the wood it happens to have in it. I'm sure
>you have insurance but you have no idea how inconvenient it is when your
>house catches on fire, it'd a terrible strain on you and your lovely family.
>So I was thinking, my brother is in the fire department, and he only lives
>a few doors down, for only \$150 per week I'm sure he'd be happy to keep
an
>extra close eye on your lovely house and be sure nothing happened to it.'
>
>All of which to me shows how hollow M\$oft is becoming. While companies like
>Google are pressing out and expanding on what you can do with your computer,
>M\$oft is reduced to crudely shaking down their own customers. Not much of
>a business model.
>
>TCB
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinredmond.com> wrote:
>>
>>You almost cannot overstate the potential impact of this
>>litigation on the shape and future of the internet, and how
>>business is conducted. In a way, it would be like the railroad
>>barons of the 19th century suing anyone who used a wheel,
>>axles, and brakes, for infringement.
>>
>>This is really important, and it is really important that MS loses...
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> [http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/
05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest](http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest)
>>>
>>>TCB
>>
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Wed, 16 May 2007 00:47:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thad,

I have to say that I am dismayed this has come to pass, but I guess it was

inevitable. They (ms) laughed off/ignored free software as long as possible. That's the first strategy of the entrenched, pretend there is no alternative. It's not possible to ignore free software anymore.

Chuck

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>I realize this is tooting my own horn a bit, but here's a link to what Linus
>has to say, which is damn to close to what I wrote before I read this piece.

>

>

> <http://www.informationweek.com/news/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=199600443>

>

>TCB

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>The thing is, though, that M\$oft isn't suing anyone. What they're saying
>is,

>>'Linux infringes on 235 patents. We won't tell you which ones, but they
>do,

>>'just trust us.' Then they are going to their big corporate (and maybe educational,

>>'I'm not sure) customers and saying, 'If you have linux in your server room

>>or even if your geeks use it on their desktops, you're infringing on our

>>patents. However, we're reasonable people and realize you might need more
>>than one platform in your backline. So you can either move your linux servers

>>over to our stuff or you can pay us a licensing fee to indemnify you on

>the

>>patent infringement.'

>>

>>The reason they're going this way is if they were to litigate, say sue

Red

>>Hat because they're publicly traded and have a balance sheet, then they

>have

>>to come out and say exactly what's being infringed upon. And it's probably

>>stupid shit like having a menu that can be used to open a file. SCO did

>something

>>similar, saying that IBM had used SCO code in their linux work and took

>them

>>to court where IBM has so brutally cleaned their clocks that M\$oft isn't

>>going that route unless they have no choice.

>>

>>So it's not something being litigated now, it's a classic shakedown. 'Good

>>Morning Mr. Don. My name Vinnie 'the Firestarter' Corleone. I was just

noticing

>>your lovely house and all of the wood it happens to have in it. I'm sure

>>you have insurance but you have no idea how inconvenient it is when your

>>house catches on fire, it'd a terrible strain on you and your lovely family.

>>So I was thinking, my brother is in the fire department, and he only lives
>>a few doors down, for only \$150 per week I'm sure he'd be happy to keep
>an
>>extra close eye on your lovely house and be sure nothing happened to it.'
>>
>>All of which to me shows how hollow M\$oft is becoming. While companies
like
>>Google are pressing out and expanding on what you can do with your computer,
>>M\$oft is reduced to crudely shaking down their own customers. Not much
of
>>a business model.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinredmond.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>You almost cannot overstate the potential impact of this
>>>litigation on the shape and future of the internet, and how
>>>business is conducted. In a way, it would be like the railroad
>>>barons of the 19th century suing anyone who used a wheel,
>>>axles, and brakes, for infringement.
>>>
>>>This is really important, and it is really important that MS loses...
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> [http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/
05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest](http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest)
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 16 May 2007 13:37:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree, Chuck, but I think the only danger is to other corporate entities having to pay MSoft some money. And in the long run that's going to hurt MSoft more than anyone else. It will make them seem like an untrustworthy, unpredictable partner and will push even the big boys to reconsider how much they rely on them.

TCB

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>Thad,

>

>I have to say that I am dismayed this has come to pass, but I guess it was
>inevitable. They (ms) laughed off/ignored free software as long as possible.
>That's the first strategy of the entrenched, pretend there is no alternative.
>It's not possible to ignore free software anymore.

>

>Chuck

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>I realize this is tooting my own horn a bit, but here's a link to what
Linus

>>has to say, which is damn to close to what I wrote before I read this piece.

>>

>>

>> <http://www.informationweek.com/news/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=199600443>

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>The thing is, though, that M\$oft isn't suing anyone. What they're saying

>>is,

>>>'Linux infringes on 235 patents. We won't tell you which ones, but they

>>do,

>>>just trust us.' Then they are going to their big corporate (and maybe
educational,

>>>'I'm not sure) customers and saying, 'If you have linux in your server
room

>>>or even if your geeks use it on their desktops, you're infringing on our

>>>patents. However, we're reasonable people and realize you might need more

>>>than one platform in your backline. So you can either move your linux
servers

>>>over to our stuff or you can pay us a licensing fee to indemnify you on

>>the

>>>patent infringement.'

>>>

>>>The reason they're going this way is if they were to litigate, say sue

>Red

>>>Hat because they're publicly traded and have a balance sheet, then they

>>have

>>>to come out and say exactly what's being infringed upon. And it's probably

>>>stupid shit like having a menu that can be used to open a file. SCO did

>>something

>>>similar, saying that IBM had used SCO code in their linux work and took
>>them
>>>to court where IBM has so brutally cleaned their clocks that M\$oft isn't
>>>going that route unless they have no choice.
>>>
>>>So it's not something being litigated now, it's a classic shakedown. 'Good
>>>Morning Mr. Don. My name Vinnie 'the Firestarter' Corleone. I was just
>noticing
>>>your lovely house and all of the wood it happens to have in it. I'm sure
>>>you have insurance but you have no idea how inconvenient it is when your
>>>house catches on fire, it'd a terrible strain on you and your lovely family.
>>>So I was thinking, my brother is in the fire department, and he only lives
>>>a few doors down, for only \$150 per week I'm sure he'd be happy to keep
>>an
>>>extra close eye on your lovely house and be sure nothing happened to it.'
>>>
>>>All of which to me shows how hollow M\$oft is becoming. While companies
>like
>>>Google are pressing out and expanding on what you can do with your computer,
>>>M\$oft is reduced to crudely shaking down their own customers. Not much
>of
>>>a business model.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinredmond.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>You almost cannot overstate the potential impact of this
>>>>litigation on the shape and future of the internet, and how
>>>>business is conducted. In a way, it would be like the railroad
>>>>barons of the 19th century suing anyone who used a wheel,
>>>>axles, and brakes, for infringement.
>>>>
>>>>This is really important, and it is really important that MS loses...
>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune_archive/2007/05/28/100033867/index.htm?section=money_latest
>>>>>
>>>>>TCB
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: This could get interesting
Posted by [D-unit](#) on Thu, 17 May 2007 18:23:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Wireline" <wirelinestudio@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:46490bf9\$1@linux...
> scooterfucked?
>
> Seems it really doesn't matter who invented that, its who hold the
> patents...
>

WOW,

You can get a patent on almost anything these days.

Kool.

db~Id like to see that sometime.
