Subject: Partition big audio drives????? Posted by Rod Lincoln on Tue, 10 Oct 2006 19:35:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought the seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody? I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition. Rod

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives????? Posted by John [1] on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 11:17:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use that to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto.

John

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition >them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought the

>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody?
I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.
Rod

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives????? Posted by Rod Lincoln on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 12:38:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ha, that's one I missed then.....I thought I had an epiphany (or whatever). So it works???

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use that

>to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition >when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto.

>

>John

>

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition >>them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought >the

>>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody?
>I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.
>Rod

>

>>

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives????? Posted by John [1] on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 14:10:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't know if it works. It should. I'm too busy crashing in Paris whenever I try to do logical things like loop in freeform while recording. I need a new Daw badly. Paris just pisses me off continually. DJ, Send new DAW quick !!!

john

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>Ha, that's one I missed then.....I thought I had an epiphany (or whatever).

>So it works???

>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>

>>I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use >that

>>to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition >>when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto.

>>

>>John

>>

>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition >>>them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought >>the

>>>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody? >>>l've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.

>>>Rod

>>

>

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives??????

Posted by Deadmeat on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 18:32:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I use very large SATA RAID arrays (over 1TB) and can stream with very high chnl counts. I think that IDE drives work a little better when cut into smaller partitions, but I have seen virtually no diff in the SATA configs. "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote: > >Ha, that's one I missed then....I thought I had an epiphany (or whatever). >So it works??? >"John" <no@no.com> wrote: >> >>I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use >that >>to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition >>when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto. >> >>John >> >>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote: >>> >>>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition >>>them into, oh, say, 2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought >>the >>>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody? >>>l've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition. >>>Rod >> >

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives?????? Posted by Rod Lincoln on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 22:56:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

thanks...good to know

rod

"deadmeat" <scott@postmodernblues.com> wrote:

>

>I use very large SATA RAID arrays (over 1TB) and can stream with >very high chnl counts. I think that IDE drives work a little better when >cut into smaller partitions, but I have seen >virtually no diff in the SATA configs.

>

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>

>>Ha, that's one I missed thenI thought I had an epiphany (or whatever). >>So it works??? >>"John" <no@no.com> wrote: >>></no@no.com>
>>>I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use >that
>>to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition >>when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto.
>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:</rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>
>>>>
>>>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition
>>>them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought >>>the
>>>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody?
>>>>I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.
>>>>Rod
>>>
>>
>