
Subject: OT: Should I dump Norton??????

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Tue, 23 May 2006 17:19:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?

I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've had a crash...ever, on this comp.
Rod

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????

Posted by [Mark McDermott](#) on Tue, 23 May 2006 17:25:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Rod,

Check out www.bitdefender.com and a program named Spyware Doctor. That might be all you need. The combo of the two has kept my kids PC free of spyware, malware, and viruses for about a year now. It was a DISASTER before that.

Mark

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran
>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?

>

>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've
>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>Rod

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 23 May 2006 18:08:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have had great success with McAfee. Go to www.salecircular.com and pick your state and on the bottom pick free after rebate. Often antivirus is there for free.

John

"Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:

>
>Hi Rod,
>
>Check out www.bitdefender.com and a program named Spyware Doctor. That might
>be all you need. The combo of the two has kept my kids PC free of spyware,
>malware, and viruses for about a year now. It was a DISASTER before that.

>
>Mark

>
>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>
>>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran
>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>>of

>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?

>>
>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think

I've
>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.

>>Rod

>

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????

Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Tue, 23 May 2006 18:28:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi there Rod...Get rid of ZoneAlarm !!! it is totally useless,and causes all sorts of problems.....I also HATE Norton...their software is very "invasive",and probably mostly useless too....

"john" <no@no.com> wrote in message [news:44734fb6\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:44734fb6$1@linux...)

>
> I have had great success with McAfee. Go to www.salecircular.com and pick

> your state and on the bottom pick free after rebate. Often antivirus is
> there for free.

>

> John
>
> "Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:
> >
> >Hi Rod,
> >
> >Check out www.bitdefender.com and a program named Spyware Doctor. That
might
> >be all you need. The combo of the two has kept my kids PC free of
spyware,
> >malware, and viruses for about a year now. It was a DISASTER before that.
> >
> >Mark
> >
> >"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my
internet
> >>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
ran
> >>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
longer
> >>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
> of
> >>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
> >>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
> >>
> >>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
> >>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
> I've
> >>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
> >>Rod
> >
>

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 23 May 2006 20:48:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on it. BY FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for some kinds of media playback.

If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as an alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as in beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it

to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router. I think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.

But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled you did.

TCB

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran
>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>
>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've
>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>Rod

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [jef knight\[1\]](#) on Tue, 23 May 2006 23:54:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
-----050107040100080908050104
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

hey,
is this stuff superior to AVG?
j

TCB wrote:

>Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on it. BY
>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>some kinds of media playback.
>
>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as an
>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as in
>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside
>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on

>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router. I
>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>
>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled you
>did.
>
>TCB
>
>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran
>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>
>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've
>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>Rod
>>
>>
>
>
>

-----050107040100080908050104
Content-Type: text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
<html>
<head>
  <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
</head>
<body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
hey,<br>
is this stuff superior to AVG?<br>
j<br>
<br>
TCB wrote:
<blockquote cite="mid44737517$1@linux" type="cite">
  <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on it. BY
  FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
  some kinds of media playback.
```

If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as an alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as in beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router. I think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.

But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled you did.

TCB

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

```
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
  <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
  comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran
  out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
  supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
  you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
  to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
```

I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one. I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've had a crash...ever, on this comp.

Rod

```
</pre>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap=""><!---->
</pre>
</blockquote>
</body>
</html>
```

-----050107040100080908050104--

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Wed, 24 May 2006 00:26:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was watching "Call For Help" a couple of weeks ago on cable, and they did some real world benchmarking on anti virus software, and the concluded Norton and Zone Alarm slowed the computer down by as much as 50%, but that the Windows "one care" had no speed hit at all. Just FYI.

--

Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message news:447351c4\$1@linux...

> Hi there Rod...Get rid of ZoneAlarm !!! it is totally useless,and causes

> all

> sorts of problems.....I also HATE Norton...their software is very

> "invasive",and probably mostly useless too....

> "john" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44734fb6\$1@linux...

>>

>> I have had great success with McAfee. Go to www.salecircular.com and

> pick

>> your state and on the bottom pick free after rebate. Often antivirus is

>> there for free.

>>

>> John

>>

>> "Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:

>> >

>> >Hi Rod,

>> >

>> >Check out www.bitdefender.com and a program named Spyware Doctor. That

> might

>> >be all you need. The combo of the two has kept my kids PC free of

> spyware,

>> >malware, and viruses for about a year now. It was a DISASTER before

>> >that.

>> >

>> >Mark

>> >

>> >"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>> >>

>> >>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my

> internet

>> >>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription

> ran

>> >>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no

> longer

>> >>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some

>> of

>> >>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to

>> >>switch

>> >>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?

>> >>

>> >>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.

>> >>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think

>> I've

>> >>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>> >>Rod
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 24 May 2006 08:54:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AVG for anti virus...free
Hijack This for sypware...free

On 24 May 2006 03:19:32 +1000, "Rod Lincoln"
<rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran
>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>
>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've
>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>Rod

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 24 May 2006 12:44:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing scheme. They have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually free (as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over Norton.

TCB

jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:
>
>hey,

>is this stuff superior to AVG?
>j
>
>TCB wrote:
>
>>Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on it.
BY
>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>>some kinds of media playback.
>>
>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as an
>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
in
>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside
>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
I
>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>
>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
you
>>did.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
ran
>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
of
>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>
>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
I've
>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>Rod
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>

>
>
><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
><html>
><head>
> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
></head>
><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>hey,

>is this stuff superior to AVG?

>j

>

>TCB wrote:
><blockquote cite="mid44737517\$1@linux" type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
Ubuntu on
>it. BY
>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>some kinds of media playback.
>
>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as an
>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as in
>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside
>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router. I
>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>
>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
you
>did.
>
>TCB
>
>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>
>wrote:
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002
on my internet
>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran
>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>
>
>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.

>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've
>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>Rod
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->
> </pre>
></blockquote>
></body>
></html>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [jef knight\[1\]](#) on Thu, 25 May 2006 15:48:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----000507050708090802050105

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found
a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid of
them, which sure saved some head aches.

j

TCB wrote:

>AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing scheme. They
>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually free
>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three
>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over Norton.

>

>

>TCB

>

>jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:

>

>

>>hey,

>>is this stuff superior to AVG?

>>j

>>

>>TCB wrote:

>>

>>

>>

>>>Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on it.
>>>
>>>
>BY
>
>
>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>
>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as an
>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
>>>
>>>
>in
>
>
>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside
>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>>
>>>
>I
>
>
>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>
>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>
>>>
>you
>
>
>>>did.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>>>
>>>>
>ran
>

>
>>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>>>>
>>>>
>of
>
>
>>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>>
>>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>>>>
>>>>
>I've
>
>
>>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>>Rod
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>><html>
>><head>
>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>></head>
>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>hey,

>>is this stuff superior to AVG?

>>j

>>

>>TCB wrote:
>><blockquote cite="mid44737517\$1@linux" type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>>
>>
>>Ubuntu on
>
>
>>it. BY
>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for

>>some kinds of media playback.

>>

>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as an
>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as in
>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside
>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router. I
>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.

>>

>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled

>>

>>

>you

>

>

>>did.

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>

>>wrote:

>> </pre>

>> <blockquote type="cite">

>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002

>>

>>

>on my internet

>

>

>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran
>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?

>>

>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.

>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've
>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.

>>Rod

>> </pre>

>> </blockquote>

>> <pre wrap=""><!-->

>> </pre>

>></blockquote>

>></body>

>></html>

>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

-----000507050708090802050105
Content-Type: text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">  
<html>  
<head>  
  <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">  
  <title></title>  
</head>
```

```
<body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">  
thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found  
a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid  
of them, which sure saved some head aches.<br>
```

```
j<br>
```

```
<br>
```

TCB wrote:

```
<blockquote cite="mid4474552d$1@linux" type="cite">  
  <pre wrap="">AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing scheme. They  
  have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually free  
  (as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three  
  work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over Norton.
```

TCB

```
jef knight <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"  
href="mailto:thestudio@allknightmusic.com">&lt;thestudio@allknightmusic.com&gt;</a> wrote:
```

```
</pre>
```

```
<blockquote type="cite">
```

```
<pre wrap="">hey,
```

```
is this stuff superior to AVG?
```

```
j
```

TCB wrote:

```
</pre>
```

```
<blockquote type="cite">
```

```
<pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on it.
```

```
</pre>
```

```
</blockquote>
```

</blockquote>

<pre wrap=""><!-->BY

</pre>

<blockquote type="cite">

<blockquote type="cite">

<pre wrap="">FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for some kinds of media playback.

If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as an alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as

</pre>

</blockquote>

</blockquote>

<pre wrap=""><!-->in

</pre>

<blockquote type="cite">

<blockquote type="cite">

<pre wrap="">beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.

</pre>

</blockquote>

</blockquote>

<pre wrap=""><!-->I

</pre>

<blockquote type="cite">

<blockquote type="cite">

<pre wrap="">think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.

But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled

</pre>

</blockquote>

</blockquote>

<pre wrap=""><!-->you

</pre>

<blockquote type="cite">

<blockquote type="cite">

<pre wrap="">did.

TCB

"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-rcf2396E"

href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

</pre>

<blockquote type="cite">


```
<pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
</pre>
</blockquote>
</blockquote>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap=""><!-->ran
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
  <blockquote type="cite">
    <blockquote type="cite">
      <pre wrap="">out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
</pre>
</blockquote>
</blockquote>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap=""><!-->of
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
  <blockquote type="cite">
    <blockquote type="cite">
      <pre wrap="">you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
</pre>
</blockquote>
</blockquote>
</blockquote>
```

I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think

```
</pre>
</blockquote>
</blockquote>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap=""><!-->I've
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
  <blockquote type="cite">
    <blockquote type="cite">
      <pre wrap="">had a crash...ever, on this comp.
</pre>
```

Rod

```
</pre>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap="">
```

```
</pre>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap="">
```

<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">

<html>
<head>
 <meta content="text/html;charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
</head>
<body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
hey,

is this stuff superior to AVG?

j

TCB wrote:

<blockquote cite="mid44737517\$1 @linux" type="cite">
<pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
 </pre>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap=""><!-->Ubuntu on
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
 <pre wrap="">it. BY
FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
some kinds of media playback.

If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as an alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as in beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router. I think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.

But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
</pre>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap=""><!-->you
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
 <pre wrap="">did.

TCB

"Rod Lincoln" ><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>
wrote:
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
 <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002
 </pre>

</blockquote>

<pre wrap=""><!---->on my internet

</pre>

<blockquote type="cite">

<pre wrap="">comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?

I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.

I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've had a crash...ever, on this comp.

Rod

</pre>

</blockquote>

<pre wrap=""><!---->

</pre>

</blockquote>

</body>

</html>

</pre>

</blockquote>

<pre wrap=""><!---->

</pre>

</blockquote>

</body>

</html>

-----000507050708090802050105--

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Thu, 25 May 2006 17:12:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm curious, what do you mean by "Raped" your system. I've never experienced what other people are saying about Norton. That being said, I'm not trying to defend it either. If there's something better, I would like to be using it.

Rod

jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:

>

>thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found

>a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid of

>them, which sure saved some head aches.

>j

>

>TCB wrote:

>

>>AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing scheme.

They

>>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually free

>>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three

>>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over Norton.

>>

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>>hey,

>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?

>>>j

>>>

>>>TCB wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>>Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on it.

>>>>

>>>>

>>BY

>>

>>

>>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for

>>>>some kinds of media playback.

>>>>

>>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as an

>>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as

>>>>

>>>>

>>in

>>

>>

>>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside

>>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell

it
>>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
on
>>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>>>
>>>>
>>I
>>
>>
>>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>>
>>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>>
>>>>
>>you
>>
>>
>>>>did.
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>>>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>ran
>>
>>
>>>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
longer
>>>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>of
>>
>>
>>>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>>>
>>>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>
>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>
>>>
>>you
>>
>>
>>>did.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>
>>>wrote:
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
2002
>>>
>>>
>>on my internet
>>
>>
>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
ran
>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
of
>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>
>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
I've
>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>Rod
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->
>>> </pre>
>>></blockquote>
>>></body>
>>></html>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>

```
>>
>
>
><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
><html>
><head>
> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
> <title></title>
></head>
><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found
>a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid
>of them, which sure saved some head aches.<br>
>j<br>
><br>
>TCB wrote:
><blockquote cite="mid447452d$1@linux" type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing
scheme.
>They
>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually
free
>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three
>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over Norton.
>
>
>TCB
>
>jef knight <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
href="mailto:thestudio@allknightmusic.com"><thestudio@allknightmusic.com></a>
>wrote:
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">hey,
>is this stuff superior to AVG?
>j
>
>TCB wrote:
>
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
Ubuntu
>on it.
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->BY
```


> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through
> some hoops
> for
> some kinds of media playback.
>
> If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as an
> alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->in
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's
> GPL'd).
> The downside
> is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
> to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
> my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->I
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>
> But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->you
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">did.
>
> TCB
>
> "Rod Lincoln" rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com
> wrote:
>

```
>
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
>   <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
2002 on my
>internet
>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>   </pre>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->ran
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <blockquote type="cite">
>   <blockquote type="cite">
>     <pre wrap="">out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed
it was
>no longer
>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>   </pre>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->of
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <blockquote type="cite">
>   <blockquote type="cite">
>     <pre wrap="">you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions
on what
>to switch
>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>
>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>   </pre>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->I've
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <blockquote type="cite">
>   <blockquote type="cite">
>     <pre wrap="">had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>Rod
>
```

```
>
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap="">
>
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap="">
><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
><html>
><head>
> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
></head>
><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>hey,<br>
>is this stuff superior to AVG?<br>
>j<br>
><br>
>TCB wrote:
><blockquote cite="mid44737517$1@linux" type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->Ubuntu on
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">it. BY
>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>some kinds of media playback.
>
>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as an
>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as in
>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside
>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router. I
>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>
>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->you
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">did.
>
>TCB
>
```

```
>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E" href=<a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com">"mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"</a>><a
>class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com></a></a>
>wrote:
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->on my internet
> </pre>
> <blockquote type="cite">
> <pre wrap="">comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My
subscription
>ran
>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>
>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've
>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>Rod
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->
> </pre>
></blockquote>
></body>
></html>
>
> </pre>
> </blockquote>
> <pre wrap=""><!-->
> </pre>
></blockquote>
></body>
></html>
>
```

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Thu, 25 May 2006 23:38:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is all just odd to me... reading the great jonesing on McAfee and the

negative reference to Norton as "invasive." I've have always found McAffees stuff to be incredibly invasive and Norton (System works in particular) to be very background, make it do what you want and not what you don't. I recently got a call to fix 2 PC's... each had McCaffee and each was healed with the removal of McAffee. The other thing with McAffee versus any other AV/SystemHealth I've seen is the lack of configurability and the absolute BS it throws in your face that you can't turn off. McAffee has exhibited unwanted unwarranted paranoia about every little thing. Disbale it to install a new app and it turns itself back on to tell you that it believes your neighbor's cat may be using a dial-up connection to email Lex Luther's evil twin in an attempt to have your brother-in-law sent back to prison. Ignore that and it tell you that tomorrow, you're gonna have a wreck and kill 2 nuns and 6 baby ducks if you don't upgrade now. Whereas, Norton just does it job, doesn't fill every available space in your browser bars with marketing hype and your OS with flashing lights and sirens.

Dubya

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message news:447351c4\$1@linux...
> Hi there Rod...Get rid of ZoneAlarm !!! it is totally useless,and causes
> all
> sorts of problems.....I also HATE Norton...their software is very
> "invasive",and probably mostly useless too....
> "john" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44734fb6\$1@linux...
>>
>> I have had great success with Mcafee. Go to www.salescircular.com and
> pick
>> your state and on the bottom pick free after rebate. Often antivirus is
>> there for free.
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >Hi Rod,
>> >
>> >Check out www.bitdefender.com and a program named Spyware Doctor. That
> might
>> >be all you need. The combo of the two has kept my kids PC free of
> spyware,
>> >malware, and viruses for about a year now. It was a DISASTER before
>> >that.
>> >
>> >Mark
>> >
>> >"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my

> internet
>> >>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
> ran
>> >>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
> longer
>> >>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>> of
>> >>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to
>> >>switch
>> >>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>> >>
>> >>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>> >>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>> I've
>> >>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>> >>Rod
>> >
>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Thu, 25 May 2006 23:39:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Same here Rod - never.

Dubya

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4475e595\$1@linux...

>
> I'm curious, what do you mean by "Raped" your system. I've never
> experienced
> what other people are saying about Norton. That being said, I'm not trying
> to defend it either. If there's something better, I would like to be using
> it.
> Rod

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 03:08:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just wondering.. were you using McAfee managed by chance? That thing is a
giant pile of crap, and you'd be dead on to say you get to configure

'nothing' about it. Their A/V I'm ok with, and the firewall I don't like. Zone Alarm and AVG have never once failed me on my personal boxes. Corporate.. well, that's another thing I have to live in a box on. But Norton? Haven't had any kind of luck with it that is good. Nice to hear another POV though, I don't feel quite as trodden upon by Symantec :)
AA

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@integrity.com> wrote in message news:44763ee0@linux...

> This is all just odd to me... reading the great jonesing on McAfee and
> the negative reference to Norton as "invasive." I've have always found
> McAffees stuff to be incredibly invasive and Norton (System works in
> particular) to be very background, make it do what you want and not what
> you don't. I recently got a call to fix 2 PC's... each had McCaffee and
> each was healed with the removal of McAfee. The other thing with McAfee
> versus any other AV/SystemHealth I've seen is the lack of configurability
> and the absolute BS it throws in your face that you can't turn off.
> McAfee has exhibited unwanted unwarranted paranoia about every little
> thing. Disbale it to install a new app and it turns itself back on to
> tell you that it believes your neighbor's cat may be using a dial-up
> connection to email Lex Luther's evil twin in an attempt to have your
> brother-in-law sent back to prison. Ignore that and it tell you that
> tomorrow, you're gonna have a wreck and kill 2 nuns and 6 baby ducks if
> you don't upgrade now. Whereas, Norton just does it job, doesn't fill
> every available space in your browser bars with marketing hype and your OS
> with flashing lights and sirens.

>
> Dubya

>
> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:447351c4\$1@linux...

>> Hi there Rod...Get rid of ZoneAlarm !!! it is totally useless,and causes
>> all

>> sorts of problems.....I also HATE Norton...their software is very
>> "invasive",and probably mostly useless too....

>> "john" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44734fb6\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> I have had great success with Mcafee. Go to www.salecircular.com and
>> pick

>>> your state and on the bottom pick free after rebate. Often antivirus is
>>> there for free.

>>>

>>> John

>>>

>>> "Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:

>>> >

>>> >Hi Rod,

>>> >

>>> >Check out www.bitdefender.com and a program named Spyware Doctor. That
>> might
>>> >be all you need. The combo of the two has kept my kids PC free of
>> spyware,
>>> >malware, and viruses for about a year now. It was a DISASTER before
>>> >that.
>>> >
>>> >Mark
>>> >
>>> >"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my
>> internet
>>> >>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>> ran
>>> >>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
>> longer
>>> >>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>>> of
>>> >>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to
>>> >>switch
>>> >>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>> >>
>>> >>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>> >>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>>> I've
>>> >>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>> >>Rod
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 03:23:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Better, to me, means Grisoft. YMMV. I've been witness to the after effect of specifically written viruses going in and dismantling norton w/o norton knowing about it. Norton appears to be running properly / protecting, when in fact it ain't. That is the real bad news for the recipient. Think of it

this way. There is a reason the big guys get put in the sights of every little hacker wanna be. They are dominant, they bring the most 'glory' to the little hacker that could. Same reason MS keeps (and believe me, Mac is going to follow on the hit list) getting pants'd... they're the *big guy* and a big target brings a lot of crosshairs on it's butt to the party. Grisoft, Trend Micro, maybe Panda all fit that big enough to get it done right but not so big they have a target painted on their heads.

AA

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4475e595\$1@linux...

>
> I'm curious, what do you mean by "Raped" your system. I've never
> experienced
> what other people are saying about Norton. That being said, I'm not trying
> to defend it either. If there's something better, I would like to be using
> it.
> Rod
> jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:
>>
>>thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found
>
>>a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid of
>
>>them, which sure saved some head aches.
>>j
>>
>>TCB wrote:
>>
>>>AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing scheme.
> They
>>>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually
> free
>>>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three
>>>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over
>>>Norton.
>>>
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hey,
>>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?
>>>>j

>>>>
>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on
> it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>BY
>>>
>>>
>>>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops
> for
>>>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>>>
>>>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as
> an
>>>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>in
>>>
>>>
>>>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
> downside
>>>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
> it
>>>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
> on
>>>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>I
>>>
>>>
>>>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>>>
>>>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>you
>>>
>>>
>>>>>did.
>>>>>
>>>>>TCB
>>>>>

>>>>hey,

>>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?

>>>>j

>>>>

>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>><blockquote cite="mid44737517\$1@linux" type="cite">
>>>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>>>>
>>>>
>>>Ubuntu on
>>>
>>>
>>>>it. BY
>>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>>
>>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as
> an
>>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
> in
>>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
> downside
>>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
> it
>>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
> on
>>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
> I
>>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>>
>>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>you
>>>
>>>
>>>>did.
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
>>>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>
>>>>wrote:
>>>> </pre>
>>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
> 2002
>>>>

>>>>
>>>on my internet
>>>
>>>
>>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
> ran
>>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
>>>>longer
>>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
> of
>>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>>
>>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
> I've
>>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>>Rod
>>>> </pre>
>>>> </blockquote>
>>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->
>>>> </pre>
>>>></blockquote>
>>>></body>
>>>></html>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>><html>
>><head>
>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>> <title></title>
>></head>
>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found
>>a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid
>>of them, which sure saved some head aches.

>>j

>>

>>TCB wrote:
>><blockquote cite="mid4474552d\$1 @linux" type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar

>> licensing
> scheme.
>>They
>>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually
> free
>>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three
>>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over
>>Norton.
>>
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>jef knight <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
>>href="mailto:thestudio@allknightmusic.com"><thestudio@allknightmusic.com>
>>wrote:
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">hey,
>>is this stuff superior to AVG?
>>j
>>
>>TCB wrote:
>>
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks?
>> Install
> Ubuntu
>>on it.
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->BY
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">FAR the best option, though you will have to jump
>> through
> some hoops
>>for
>>some kinds of media playback.
>>
>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as an
>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->in

```
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>   <blockquote type="cite">
>>     <pre wrap="">beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech
>> (it's
> GPL'd).
>>The downside
>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>   </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->I
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>   <blockquote type="cite">
>>     <pre wrap="">think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>
>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>   </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->you
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>   <blockquote type="cite">
>>     <pre wrap="">did.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com></a>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>   </pre>
>>   <blockquote type="cite">
>>     <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
> 2002 on my
>>internet
>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>   </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->ran
>> </pre>
```

```
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was
>> informed
> it was
>>no longer
>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->of
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for
>> opinions
> on what
>>to switch
>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>
>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->I've
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>Rod
>>
>>
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap="">
>>
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap="">
>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>><html>
>><head>
```



```
>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>></head>
>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>hey,<br>
>>is this stuff superior to AVG?<br>
>>j<br>
>><br>
>>TCB wrote:
>><blockquote cite="mid44737517$1@linux" type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->Ubuntu on
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">it. BY
>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>>some kinds of media playback.
>>
>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as an
>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as in
>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
>>downside
>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router. I
>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>
>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->you
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">did.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-rfc2396E" href=<a
>>class="moz-txt-link-rfc2396E"
>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com">"mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"</a>><a
>>class="moz-txt-link-rfc2396E"
>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com></a></a>
>>wrote:
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002
>> </pre>
```

```
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->on my internet
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My
>> > subscription
>> ran
>> out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>> supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
>> you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>> to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>
>> I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>> I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>> I've
>> had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>> Rod
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->
>> </pre>
>></blockquote>
>></body>
>></html>
>>
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->
>> </pre>
>></blockquote>
>></body>
>></html>
>>
>
```

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 13:53:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We use Symantec corporate where I work. It's not nearly as invasive as the consumer versions. Remember I'm a professional geek, so for me control is a huge part of what I want from my computing environment, and consumer Norton does spread itself all over the place. It inspects web pages viewed,

tries to scan network drives (big no no in a corporate environment), and if you watch the taks manager it's always muddling around doing something. It's also awfully chatty with the remote Symantec servers, which is understandable (virus definition updates) but the precise thing I would like to know about is when a machine on my network is talking to another machine on the web.

Anti-virus software also creates its own single point of failure in the event that the antivirus software has a problem. As in

<http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1895,1967941,00.asp>

200 million computers running Norton and they may ahve left a huge security hole in their own software. Monocultures are bad for software just like they're bad for nature.

In my experience the free tools are at least as good as the pay tools. They also offer a little security by obscurity improvement because they aren't as common as Norton. I still say, though, that good computing practices + GNU/Linux is the best way to avoid this stuff.

TCB

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>I'm curious, what do you mean by "Raped" your system. I've never experienced
>what other people are saying about Norton. That being said, I'm not trying
>to defend it either. If there's something better, I would like to be using
>it.

>Rod

>jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:

>>
>>thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found

>
>>a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid of

>
>>them, which sure saved some head aches.

>>j

>>

>>TCB wrote:

>>

>>>AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing scheme.

>They

>>>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually
>free

>>>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three

>>>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over Norton.

>>>

>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hey,
>>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?
>>>>j
>>>>
>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on
>it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>BY
>>>
>>>
>>>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops
>for
>>>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>>>
>>>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as
>an
>>>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free
>as
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>in
>>>
>>>
>>>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
>downside
>>>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
>it
>>>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
>on
>>>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>I
>>>
>>>
>>>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>>>

>>>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>you
>>>
>>>
>>>>>did.
>>>>>
>>>>>TCB
>>>>>
>>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>>>>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>ran
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
>longer
>>>>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>of
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>I've
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>>>>Rod
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

```
>>>>
>>>>
>>>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>>>><html>
>>>><head>
>>>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>>>></head>
>>>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>>>hey,<br>
>>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?<br>
>>>>j<br>
>>>><br>
>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>><blockquote cite="mid44737517$1@linux" type="cite">
>>>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>>>>
>>>>
>>>Ubuntu on
>>>
>>>
>>>>it. BY
>>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops
for
>>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>>
>>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as
>an
>>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
>in
>>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
>downside
>>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
>it
>>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
>on
>>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>I
>>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>>
>>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>you
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>did.
>>>>
>>>>TCB
```

```
>>>>
>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com></a>
>>>>wrote:
>>>> </pre>
>>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
>2002
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>on my internet
>>>
>>>
>>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>ran
>>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>of
>>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>>
>>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>I've
>>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>>Rod
>>>> </pre>
>>>> </blockquote>
>>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->
>>>> </pre>
>>>></blockquote>
>>>></body>
>>>></html>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>><html>
>><head>
>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>> <title></title>
>></head>
>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
```

>>thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found
>>a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid
>>of them, which sure saved some head aches.

>>j

>>

>>TCB wrote:
>><blockquote cite="mid4474552d\$1 @linux" type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing
>>scheme.
>>They
>>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually
>>free
>>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three
>>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over Norton.
>>
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>jef knight <a class="moz-txt-link-rcf2396E"
href="mailto:thestudio@allknightmusic.com"><thestudio@allknightmusic.com>
>>wrote:
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">hey,
>>is this stuff superior to AVG?
>>j
>>
>>TCB wrote:
>>
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>>Ubuntu
>>on it.
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->BY
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through
>>some hoops
>>for
>>some kinds of media playback.
>>
>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as an
>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as


```
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->in
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech
(it's
>GPL'd).
>>The downside
>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->I
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>
>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->you
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">did.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com></a>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
>2002 on my
>>internet
>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
```

```
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->ran
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed
>it was
>>no longer
>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->of
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions
>on what
>>to switch
>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>
>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->I've
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>Rod
>>
>>
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap="">
>>
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap="">
>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
```

```

>><html>
>><head>
>> <meta content="text/html;charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>></head>
>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>hey,<br>
>>is this stuff superior to AVG?<br>
>>j<br>
>><br>
>>TCB wrote:
>><blockquote cite="mid44737517$1@linux" type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->Ubuntu on
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">it. BY
>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>>some kinds of media playback.
>>
>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as an
>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
in
>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The downside
>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell it
>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it on
>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
I
>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>
>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!---->you
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">did.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E" href=<a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com">"mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"</a>><a
>>class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com></a></a>
>>wrote:
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">

```

```
>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->on my internet
>> </pre>
>> <blockquote type="cite">
>> <pre wrap="">comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year.
My
>subscription
>>ran
>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
of
>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>
>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
I've
>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>Rod
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->
>> </pre>
>></blockquote>
>></body>
>></html>
>>
>> </pre>
>> </blockquote>
>> <pre wrap=""><!-->
>> </pre>
>></blockquote>
>></body>
>></html>
>>
>
```

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 14:13:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Aaron. I'll check out those options. You use zone alarm at home, don't you? I think that you turned me on to it, could be wrong though. Anyway, it seems to do the job for me, but there's obviously some negative sentiment about it.

Rod

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>Better, to me, means Grisoft. YMMV. I've been witness to the after effect of

>specifically written viruses going in and dismantling norton w/o norton

>knowing about it. Norton appears to be running properly / protecting, when

>in fact it ain't. That is the real bad news for the recipient. Think of it

>this way. There is a reason the big guys get put in the sights of every

>little hacker wanna be. They are dominant, they bring the most 'glory' to

>the little hacker that could. Same reason MS keeps (and believe me, Mac is

>going to follow on the hit list) getting pants'd... they're the *big guy*

>and a big target brings a lot of crosshairs on it's butt to the party.

>Grisoft, Trend Micro, maybe Panda all fit that big enough to get it done

>right but not so big they have a target painted on their heads.

>

>AA

>

>

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message

>news:4475e595\$1@linux...

>>

>> I'm curious, what do you mean by "Raped" your system. I've never

>> experienced

>> what other people are saying about Norton. That being said, I'm not trying

>> to defend it either. If there's something better, I would like to be using

>> it.

>> Rod

>> jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found

>>

>>>a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid

of

>>

>>>them, which sure saved some head aches.

>>>j

>>>

>>>TCB wrote:

>>>

>>>>AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing scheme.

>> They
>>>>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually
>> free
>>>>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three
>>>>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over
>>>>Norton.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>hey,
>>>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?
>>>>>j
>>>>>
>>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on
>> it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>BY
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops
>> for
>>>>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem,
>> as
>> an
>>>>>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free
>> as
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>in
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
>> downside
>>>>>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
>> it
>>>>>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use
>> it

>> on
>>>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>I
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>>>
>>>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>you
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>did.
>>>>>
>>>>>TCB
>>>>>
>>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my
>>>>>>internet
>>>>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>ran
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
>> longer
>>>>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read
some
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>of
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to

>>>>>>switch
>>>>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think

```
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>I've
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>>>>Rod
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>>>>>><html>
>>>>>><head>
>>>>>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>>>>>></head>
>>>>>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>>>>>hey,<br>
>>>>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?<br>
>>>>>>j<br>
>>>>>><br>
>>>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>>>><blockquote cite="mid44737517$1@linux" type="cite">
>>>>>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Ubuntu on
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>it. BY
>>>>>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops
for
>>>>>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as
>> an
>>>>>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free
as
>> in
>>>>>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
>> downside
>>>>>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
>> it
>>>>>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
```


>> on
>>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>> I
>>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>>
>>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>you
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>did.
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
>>>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>
>>>>wrote:
>>>> </pre>
>>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
>> 2002
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>on my internet
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>> ran
>>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no

>>>>longer
>>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>> of
>>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>>
>>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>> I've
>>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>>Rod
>>>> </pre>
>>>> </blockquote>
>>>> <pre wrap=""><!-->
>>>> </pre>
>>>></blockquote>
>>>></body>


```
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks?

>>> Install
>> Ubuntu
>>>on it.
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->BY
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">FAR the best option, though you will have to jump
>>> through
>> some hoops
>>>for
>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>
>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as
an
>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->in
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech

>>> (it's
>> GPL'd).
>>>The downside
>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
it
>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
on
>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->I
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>
```

```
>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->you
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">did.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
>>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com></a>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
>> 2002 on my
>>>internet
>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->ran
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was

>>> informed
>> it was
>>>no longer
>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->of
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for
```

```
>>> opinions
>> on what
>>>to switch
>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>
>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->I've
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>Rod
>>>
>>>
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap="">
>>>
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap="">
>>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>>><html>
>>><head>
>>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>>></head>
>>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>>hey,<br>
>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?<br>
>>>j<br>
>>><br>
>>>TCB wrote:
>>><blockquote cite="mid44737517$1@linux" type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->Ubuntu on
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">it. BY
>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>>>some kinds of media playback.
```

>>>
>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as
an
>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
in
>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The

>>>downside
>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
it
>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
on
>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
I
>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.

>>>
>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled

>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->you
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">did.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-rfc2396E" href=<a
>>>class="moz-txt-link-rfc2396E"
>>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com">"mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><a
>>>class="moz-txt-link-rfc2396E"
>>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>
>>>wrote:
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
2002
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->on my internet
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year.
My
>> subscription
>>>ran
>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
of

>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>
>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think

```
>>>I've  
>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.  
>>>Rod  
>>> </pre>  
>>> </blockquote>  
>>> <pre wrap=""><!-->  
>>> </pre>  
>>></blockquote>  
>>></body>  
>>></html>  
>>>  
>>> </pre>  
>>> </blockquote>  
>>> <pre wrap=""><!-->  
>>> </pre>  
>>></blockquote>  
>>></body>  
>>></html>  
>>>  
>>  
>  
>  
>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
>http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html  
>  
>
```

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 14:15:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for the clarifacation Thad.

Rod

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>We use Symantec corporate where I work. It's not nearly as invasive as the
>consumer versions. Remember I'm a professional geek, so for me control is
>a _huge_ part of what I want from my computing environment, and consumer
>Norton does spread itself all over the place. It inspects web pages viewed,
>tries to scan network drives (big no no in a corporate environment), and
>if you watch the taks manager it's always muddling around doing something.

>It's also awfully chatty with the remote Symantec servers, which is understandable
>(virus definition updates) but the precise thing I _would_ like to know
about
>is when a machine on my network is talking to another machine on the web.
>
>
>Anti-virus software also creates its own single point of failure in the
event
>that the antivirus software has a problem. As in
>
><http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1895,1967941,00.asp>
>
>200 million computers running Norton and they may have left a huge security
>hole in their own software. Monocultures are bad for software just like
they're
>bad for nature.
>
>In my experience the free tools are at least as good as the pay tools. They
>also offer a little security by obscurity improvement because they aren't
>as common as Norton. I still say, though, that good computing practices
+
>GNU/Linux is the best way to avoid this stuff.
>
>TCB
>
>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>I'm curious, what do you mean by "Raped" your system. I've never experienced
>>what other people are saying about Norton. That being said, I'm not trying
>>to defend it either. If there's something better, I would like to be using
>>it.
>>Rod
>>jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found
>>>
>>>a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid
of
>>>
>>>them, which sure saved some head aches.
>>>j
>>>
>>>TCB wrote:
>>>
>>>>AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing scheme.
>>They
>>>>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually
>>free

>>>>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three
>>>>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over Norton.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>jef knight <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>hey,
>>>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?
>>>>>j
>>>>>
>>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install Ubuntu on
>>it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>BY
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops
>>for
>>>>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system,
>>as
>>an
>>>>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free
>>as
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>in
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
>>downside
>>>>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
>>it
>>>>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use
>>it
>>on
>>>>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>I
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>>>
>>>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>you
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>did.
>>>>>
>>>>>TCB
>>>>>
>>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>>>>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>ran
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
>>longer
>>>>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read
some
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>of
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to
switch
>>>>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>I've
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.

```
>>>>>>Rod
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>>>>>><html>
>>>>>><head>
>>>>>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>>>>>></head>
>>>>>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>>>>>hey,<br>
>>>>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?<br>
>>>>>>j<br>
>>>>>><br>
>>>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>>>><blockquote cite="mid44737517$1@linux" type="cite">
>>>>>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Ubuntu on
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>it. BY
>>>>>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops
>for
>>>>>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating system, as
>>an
>>>>>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free
as
>>in
>>>>>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
>>downside
>>>>>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
>>it
>>>>>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
>>on
>>>>>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>I
>>>>>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
```

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>you
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>did.
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-rcf2396E"
href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>
>>>>wrote:
>>>> </pre>
>>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
>>2002
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>on my internet
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>ran
>>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
longer
>>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>>of
>>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>>
>>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>>I've
>>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>>Rod
>>>> </pre>
>>>> </blockquote>
>>>> <pre wrap=""><!-->
>>>> </pre>
>>>></blockquote>
>>>></body>
>>>></html>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

```

>>>
>>>
>>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>>><html>
>>><head>
>>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>>> <title></title>
>>></head>
>>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>>thanks. I agree about Norton. It's raped my system before, then I found
>>>a little app that finds all the Norton entries and files and gets rid
>>>of them, which sure saved some head aches.<br>
>>>j<br>
>>><br>
>>>TCB wrote:
>>><blockquote cite="mid4474552d$1@linux" type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">AVG is roughly on par with AntiVir, and is a similar licensing
>>>scheme.
>>>They
>>>have a free (as in beer) version and a pay version. Clamwin is actually
>>>free
>>>(as in libre or speech) software, source code and all. I'd say all three
>>>work at least as well as the pay software and I'd take any one over Norton.
>>>
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>jef knight <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
href="mailto:thestudio@allknightmusic.com"><thestudio@allknightmusic.com></a>
>>>wrote:
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">hey,
>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?
>>>j
>>>
>>>TCB wrote:
>>>
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks?
Install
>>Ubuntu
>>>on it.
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!-->BY

```

```
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>>   <blockquote type="cite">
>>>     <pre wrap="">FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through
>>>some hoops
>>>for
>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>
>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as
an
>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
>>>   </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!-->in
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>>   <blockquote type="cite">
>>>     <pre wrap="">beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech
>>>(it's
>>>GPL'd).
>>>The downside
>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
it
>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
on
>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>>>   </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!-->I
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>>   <blockquote type="cite">
>>>     <pre wrap="">think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.
>>>
>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>>   </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!-->you
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>>   <blockquote type="cite">
>>>     <pre wrap="">did.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
```

>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
>>2002 on my
>>>internet
>>>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->ran
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was
informed
>>it was
>>>no longer
>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->of
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions
>>on what
>>>to switch
>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>
>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->I've
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">

```

>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>Rod
>>>
>>>
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap="">
>>>
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap="">
>>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>>><html>
>>><head>
>>> <meta content="text/html;charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>>></head>
>>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>>hey,<br>
>>>is this stuff superior to AVG?<br>
>>>j<br>
>>><br>
>>>TCB wrote:
>>><blockquote cite="mid44737517$1 @linux" type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">Best way to deal with viruses and network attacks? Install
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->Ubuntu on
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">it. BY
>>>FAR the best option, though you will have to jump through some hoops for
>>>some kinds of media playback.
>>>
>>>If you insist on paying for an inferior Microsoft operating sytem, as
an
>>>alternative to Norton I suggest AntiVir or Clamwin. AntiVir is free as
>in
>>>beer, Clamwin free as in beer and free as in speech (it's GPL'd). The
downside
>>>is that Clamwin doesn't include a real time scanner--you have to tell
it
>>>to scan something. I think the XP firewall is pretty decent, I use it
on
>>>my machines but I also have my own firewall built into my home router.
>I
>>>think Zonealarm mostly just scares people.

```



```
>>>
>>>But try Ubuntu, after a little bit of kicking around you'll be thrilled
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->you
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">did.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E" href=<a class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
>>>href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com">"mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"</a>><a
>>>class="moz-txt-link-/rfc2396E"
href="mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"><rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com></a></a>
>>>wrote:
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks
2002
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->on my internet
>>> </pre>
>>> <blockquote type="cite">
>>> <pre wrap="">comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year.
>My
>>subscription
>>>ran
>>>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>>>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some
>of
>>>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>>>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>
>>>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think
>I've
>>>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>Rod
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->
>>> </pre>
>>></blockquote>
>>></body>
>>></html>
>>>
```

```
>>> </pre>
>>> </blockquote>
>>> <pre wrap=""><!---->
>>> </pre>
>>></blockquote>
>>></body>
>>></html>
>>>
>>
>
```

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 17:13:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm using Norton here along with XSoftspy. Between these two, I'm good. I wouldn't put it on an audio machine though. Works fine for me on an office rig, but there is a small performance hit.....to be expected, IMO. The only problems I've ever had with Norton was getting my head around a few issues with Norton and networking, so I enlisted a young geek'ster to do it for me so I could watch. I think I still remember and IIRC, it was easy.....(now WTF did he do?)

;o)

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@integrity.com> wrote in message
news:44763f38@linux...

> Same here Rod - never.

>

> Dubya

>

> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message

> news:4475e595\$1@linux...

>>

>> I'm curious, what do you mean by "Raped" your system. I've never
>> experienced

>> what other people are saying about Norton. That being said, I'm not
trying

>> to defend it either. If there's something better, I would like to be
using

>> it.

>> Rod

>

>

Subject: Re: OT: Should I dump Norton??????

Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 17:22:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It was their regular "suite" the user had purchased at Costco. And I'm with you on Symantec. I currently use NAV Corp Edition 8.1.x I forget it's there until it notifies me in the manner I told I want notification of a virus or potential virus.

Dubya

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message news:4476703c\$1@linux...

> Just wondering.. were you using McAfee managed by chance? That thing is a
> giant pile of crap, and you'd be dead on to say you get to configure
> 'nothing' about it. Their A/V I'm ok with, and the firewall I don't like.
> Zone Alarm and AVG have never once failed me on my personal boxes.
> Corporate.. well, that's another thing I have to live in a box on. But
> Norton? Haven't had any kind of luck with it that is good. Nice to hear
> another POV though, I don't feel quite as trodden upon by Symantec :)
> AA

>

> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@integrity.com> wrote in message
> news:44763ee0@linux...

>> This is all just odd to me... reading the great jonesing on McAfee and
>> the negative reference to Norton as "invasive." I've have always found
>> McAffees stuff to be incredibly invasive and Norton (System works in
>> particular) to be very background, make it do what you want and not what
>> you don't. I recently got a call to fix 2 PC's... each had McCaffee and
>> each was healed with the removal of McAfee. The other thing with
>> McAfee versus any other AV/SystemHealth I've seen is the lack of
>> configurability and the absolute BS it throws in your face that you can't
>> turn off. McAfee has exhibited unwanted unwarranted paranoia about every
>> little thing. Disbale it to install a new app and it turns itself back
>> on to tell you that it believes your neighbor's cat may be using a
>> dial-up connection to email Lex Luther's evil twin in an attempt to have
>> your brother-in-law sent back to prison. Ignore that and it tell you that
>> tomorrow, you're gonna have a wreck and kill 2 nuns and 6 baby ducks if
>> you don't upgrade now. Whereas, Norton just does it job, doesn't fill
>> every available space in your browser bars with marketing hype and your
>> OS with flashing lights and sirens.

>>

>> Dubya

>>

>> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:447351c4\$1@linux...

>>> Hi there Rod...Get rid of ZoneAlarm !!! it is totally useless,and causes
>>> all

>>> sorts of problems.....I also HATE Norton...their software is very

>>> "invasive",and probably mostly useless too....
>>> "john" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44734fb6\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> I have had great success with McAfee. Go to www.saleircular.com and
>>> pick
>>>> your state and on the bottom pick free after rebate. Often antivirus
>>>> is
>>>> there for free.
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>> "Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:
>>>> >
>>>> >Hi Rod,
>>>> >
>>>> >Check out www.bitdefender.com and a program named Spyware Doctor. That
>>> might
>>>> >be all you need. The combo of the two has kept my kids PC free of
>>> spyware,
>>>> >malware, and viruses for about a year now. It was a DISASTER before
>>>> >that.
>>>> >
>>>> >Mark
>>>> >
>>>> >"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my
>>> internet
>>>> >>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription
>>> ran
>>>> >>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no
>>> longer
>>>> >>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read
>>>> >>some
>>>> of
>>>> >>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to
>>>> >>switch
>>>> >>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>>>> >>
>>>> >>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>>>> >>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't
>>>> >>think
>>>> I've
>>>> >>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>>>> >>Rod
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>
>>
>>
>
>
> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>

Subject: Re: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 21:01:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

http://news.yahoo.com/s/nm/20060526/wr_nm/symantec_worm_dc_3 Check this
out Norton "fans"....Not good news for Symantec

Subject: Re: Should I dump Norton??????
Posted by [snoop bloggy blog](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 23:46:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
> http://news.yahoo.com/s/nm/20060526/wr_nm/symantec_worm_dc_3 Check this
> out Norton "fans"....Not good news for Symantec
>

This is what I'm saying

Paul Reiser
