
Subject: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 13:26:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)


; Next for me will be hybrids. No doubt about it at

File Attachments

1) [unmetfunding.gif](#), downloaded 86 times

Funding requests unmet

Federal hurricane protection funding for New Orleans has been lower than the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' requests. Here's a look at flood control projects:



Sources: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, office of U.S. Sen. Mary Landrieu (D-La.), Chicago Tribune. Graphics reporting by BRADY MACDONALD and CHERYL BROWNSTEIN-SANTIAGO

RAOUL RAÑO, LORENA IÑIGUEZ Los Angeles Times

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 15:03:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rds.compounded> wrote in message
news:431afebd\$1@linux...
> <http://www.dextrus.com/WWL-AMInterviewNagin.mp3>
>
>Of course not Deej.

The only official that was actually on the ground in NO all week.

ITS HIS FAULT

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b19c2@linux...
>I would like to hear him address the issue of over 250 buses that weren't

> put in to use for an evacuation that he ordered two days before the storm
> hit. I doubt he will voluntarily bring that up.
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrerecords.compounded> wrote in message
> news:431afebd\$1@linux...
>> <http://www.dextrus.com/WWL-AMInterviewNagin.mp3>
>>
>>
>
>I think the discussion here, and the actual situation in New Orleans at hand
is that the levees broke, and wetlands had nothing to do with it - the Lake
just exceeded capacity - a hazard New Orleans has always faced - building a
city below sea level is a nightmare waiting to happen, unless you build
100ft high, 100ft deep concrete barriers all the way around.

Wetlands are not a sufficient barrier between a city and water, and the fact
that part of New Orleans was built on filled in marshlands doesn't help -
saturated ground, surrounded by water, below water line is prime territory
for flooding - it's only a matter of time. There were many articles and
speculation that New Orleans could not survive a major hurricane - the city
was living on borrowed time unfortunately. All the political blame in the
world won't change the fact that this disaster happened because of New
Orleans location. Blaming the government for this disaster is no more
grounded than blaming a the secretary of defense for allowing a tornado to
wipe out a farm in Kansas. Research also takes a bit of objectivity, both
scientific and political.

I'm with you guys on environmental conservation - the more the better
(wetlands included), but developers hate the idea, and an expanding
population isn't conducive to it. Catch 22. I just don't buy that saving
wetlands would have done anything to save New Orleans from a hurricane.
Save cranes and other water fowl? Sure - cool. The city? Not a chance.
If only it was that easy. The real answer is convincing people not to build
houses on &quo

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:08:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> > during the thime the UN had him under sanction.
> >
> > (quote from AP article in the King county Journal on Sept 1)
> >
> > A senior Corps commander discounted the notion the disaster could have
> > been
> > averted by full funding of projects such as new and beefed up levees to

> > protect against hurricane surges from Lake Pontchartrain and improving
> > pumping and drainage capacity in New Orleans.
> >
> > "These (projects) were not funded at the full ability of the Corps of
> > Engineers to execute the project," said Lt. Gen. Carl Strock, commander
of
> > the Army Corps of Engineers. "But the important question is, 'Would that
> > have made a difference?' And my assessment is, no, it would not."
> >
> > But Strock did acknowledge that more funding for the Southeast Louisiana
> > Flood Control Project would allow the Corps to more quickly pump out the
> > floodwaters inundating New Orleans.
> >
> > "Had we had the SELA project finished ... we could more efficiently move
> > the
> > water out of the system because it's a big drainage project," Strock
said.
> >
> > Other presidents also have taken aim at the Corps' budget. President
> > Carters' first veto came against a big water projects bill passed by a
> > Democratic-dominated Congress. And President Clinton squeezed the Corps
> > budget as well. Doing so frees money for other White House priorities.
> >
> > "I fought every ... administration when they tried to use the Corps of
> > Engineers as a piggy bank to pay for other projects," said former House
> > Appropriations Committee Chairman Bob Livingston, a Louisiana Republican
> > who
> > represented the New Orleans suburbs for more than 20 years. "I had major
> > battles with the Clinton administration."
> >
> > "Going back to Carter. They've all sought to draw down the Corps of
> > Engineers and put it elsewhere," he said.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
> > news:431af60d@linux...
> >> worst president ever
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>

>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b202a@linux...

> I'll be the first to call Bush's hand when I see him do something that is
> totally out of line to my way of thinking rather than immediately blaming
> him for things that may be the fault of others.

If I had posted 2 weeks ago that a Metro city would be wiped out and Bush
wouldn't get anyone a meal or a drink for 5-6 days, you would have thought I
was crazy.

Now that it happens and you're willing to completely absolved FEMA of their
chartered mission while pointing the finger at the traumatized local
officials....

get fuckin real."DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:29:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

s wayyyyy too

> late. The governor could have had federal troops on the way to Louisiana
> two days before the storm hit but she apparently didn't request them. She
> has to do her job before Bush can do his. It's the way the law is written
> If Bush had federalized Louisiana before the storm hit in violation of
> federal law you and every other Bush hater in the world would be sitting
> on
> the fence right now criticizing him for spending so much taxpayer money on
> an illegal operation that was just a coverup for violating human rights or
> some other partisan leftist crap.

More fallacy. The fed supercedes the states when it comes to emergency
management, NO MATTER WHAT.

Did the tsunami victims fill out paperwork before the gov stepped in? Did
Iraq fill out paperwork requesting the troops before the gov stepped in?

Partisan crap? Check yourself. When

> you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing your OWN
> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be criticizing any non-bush-apologists

When you sound like Howard Dean, you invite the comaprison.....and you
sound *exactly like Howard DEan. I don't give shit what label you give
yourself, it's your actions that speak for themselves and you're living in
his camp dude. If you want to hate Bush, fine. Why not try to look at both
sides rather than letting left wing interest groups do your tinkering for you?

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message news:431b227b@linux...

>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:431b202a@linux...
> > This is one major reason that the Democrats lost the presidential
> > election.
> > People like you and Howard Dean show up to the party dressed in your
> > insane
> > clown suits and immediately anything you have to say is discounted due
> to
> > your demeanor and previous track recordand that's a shame
> > because
> > everyone should have a say. When you sound like a broken record spouting
> > the
> > same old party line, your say isn't taken as seriously as it might be if
> > it
> > was based on actually trying to see both sides of an issue.

>
> I'm not a democrat asshole... I just hate Bush. I've almost always voted
> Republican, and I voted Green in the last election.

>
> If you dont like what I have to say regarding my opinion, thats fine.
When

> you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing your OWN
> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be critisizing any non-bush-apologists
for.

>
> Bush is the fuckin clown, it pisses me off, and I'm not afraid to say it.

>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b2393@linux...

> When
>> you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing your
>> OWN
>> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be critisizing any non-bush-apologists

>
> When you sound like Howard Dean, you invite the comaprison.....and you
> sound *exactly like Howard DEan. I don't give shit what label you give
> yourself, it's your actions that speak for themselves and you're living in
> his camp dude. If you want to hate Bush, fine. Why not try to look at both
> sides rather than letting left wing interest groups do your tinkering for
> you?

You're absolutely crazy Deej.

My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing mainstream network news.

You're stuck in partisan mode, and somehow you think it's rubbing off on me. When I was living in New Orleans in the 80's there was a lot of discussion about how the depletion of the water table was actually causing the city to sink even farther below sea level.

The original city (the French Quarter and the area long St. Charles and Carrollton known locally as *uptown*) survived this and always has survived hurricanes. This is because the original founders of the city recognized that they needed to build on the high ground. The surrounding suburbs are drained wetlands. This whole area used to be a large dry knoll surrounded by something similar to the everglades.

"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message news:BF40778B.40E0%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

> I think the discussion here, and the actual situation in New Orleans at hand
> is that the levees broke, and wetlands had nothing to do with it - the Lake
> just exceeded capacity - a hazard New Orleans has always faced - building a
> city below sea level is a nightmare waiting to happen, unless you build
> 100ft high, 100ft deep concrete barriers all the way around.
>
>

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:30:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; Wetlands are not a sufficient barrier between a city and water, and the fact
> that part of New Orleans was built on filled in marshlands doesn't help -
> saturated ground, surrounded by water, below water line is prime territory
> for flooding - it's only a matter of time. There were many articles and
> speculation that New Orleans could not survive a major hurricane - the city
> was living on borrowed time unfortunately. All the political blame in the
> world won't change the fact that this disaster happened because of New
> Orleans location. Blaming the government for this disaster is no more
> grounded than blaming a the secretary of defense for allowing a tornado to
> wipe out a farm in Kansas. Research also takes a bit of objectivity, both
> scientific and po

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:36:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

litical.

>

> I'm with you guys on environmental conservation - the more the better
> (wetlands included), but developers hate the idea, and an expanding
> population isn't conducive to it. Catch 22. I just don't buy that saving
> wetlands would have done anything to save New Orleans from a hurricane.
> Save cranes and other water fowl? Sure - cool. The city? Not a chance.
> If only it was that easy. The real answer is convincing people not to
build

> houses on "sinking sand" on the doorstep of powerful ocean. Knowing human
> nature, we'll never learn.

>

> Regards,

> Detric

>

> On 9/4/05 4:38 AM, in article 431ace9b@linux, "jason Miles"

> <Jmiles45@aol.com> wrote:

>

>>

>> I just don't post because I feel like it-I research-I read the army core
of

>> engineer reports-The Wetlands had alot to do with the everyday survival
in

>> N.O. There was also an amazing article in national geographic about
it-Bush

>> is not the most environment friendly president we've had. Then again
I've

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:39:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b251c@linux...

> When I was living in New Orleans in the 80's there was a lot of discussion
> about how the depletion of the water table was actually causing the city
> to

> sink even farther below sea level.

>

> The original city (the French Quarter and the area long St. Charles and
> Carrolton known locally as *uptown*) survived this and always has survived
> hurricanes. This is because the original founders of the city recognized
> that they needed to build on the high ground. The surrounding suburbs are

> drained wetlands. This whole area used to be a large dry knoll surrounded
> by
> something similar to the everglades.
>
>
> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
> news:BF40778B.40E0%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>> I think the discussion here, and the actual situation in New Orleans at
> hand
>> is that the levees broke, and wetlands had nothing to do with it - the
> Lake

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:40:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
> > never felt that Bush
> > was really the man in charge
> > JM
>> My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing mainstream
> network news.

I rest my case.

;o)

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
news:431b23ec@linux...
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:431b2393@linux...
> > When
> >> you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing your
> >> OWN
> >> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be critisizing any non-bush-apologists
> >
> > When you sound like Howard Dean, you invite the comaprison.....and
you
> > sound *exactly like Howard DEan. I don't give shit what label you give
> > yourself, it's your actions that speak for themselves and you're living
in
> > his camp dude. If you want to hate Bush, fine. Why not try to look at
both
> > sides rather than letting left wing interest groups do your tinkling for
> > you?
>

> You're absolutely crazy Deej.
>
> My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing mainstream
> network news.
>
> You're stuck in partisan mode, and somehow you think it's rubbing off on
me.
>
>hence why the Army Engineers were requesting \$25mil per year because the
levees sink every year.

"DJ" <

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:42:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> I just don't post because I feel like it-I research-I read the army
>> > core
> of
>> > engineer reports-The Wetlands had alot to do with the everyday survival
> in
>> > N.O. There was also an amazing article in national geographic about
> it-Bush
>> > is not the most environment friendly president we've had. Then again
> I've
>> > never felt that Bush
>> > was really the man in charge
>> > JM
>>
>
>weak.

I haven't heard Howard Dean for months, but somehow I sound exactly like
him? Still waiting for an explanation for that one.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b256c@linux...
>> My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing mainstream
>> network news.
>
>

Subject: Re: unmet funding

>> just exceeded capacity - a hazard New Orleans has always faced - building
> a
>> city below sea level is a nightmare waiting to happen, unless you build
>> 100ft high, 100ft deep concrete barriers all the way around.
>>
>> Wetlands are not a sufficient barrier between a city and water, and the
> fact
>> that part of New Orleans was built on filled in marshlands doesn't help -
>> saturated ground, surrounded by water, below water line is prime
>> territory
>> for flooding - it's only a matter of time. There were many articles and
>> speculation that New Orleans could not survive a major hurricane - the
> city
>> was living on borrowed time unfortunately. All the political blame in
>> the
>> world won't change the fact that this disaster happened because of New
>> Orleans location. Blaming the government for this disaster is no more
>> grounded than blaming a the secretary of defense for allowing a tornado
>> to
>> wipe out a farm in Kansas. Research also takes a bit of objectivity,
>> both
>> scientific and political.
>>
>> I'm with you guys on environmental conservation - the more the better
>> (wetlands included), but developers hate the idea, and an expanding
>> population isn't conducive to it. Catch 22. I just don't buy that
>> saving
>> wetlands would have done anything to save New Orleans from a hurricane.
>> Save cranes and other water fowl? Sure - cool. The city? Not a chance.
>> If only it was that easy. The real answer is convincing people not to
> build
>> houses on "sinking sand" on the doorstep of powerful ocean. Knowing
>> human
>> nature, we'll never learn.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic
>>
>> On 9/4/05 4:38 AM, in article 431ace9b@linux, "jason Miles"
>> <Jmiles45@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>>

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:50:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ened he was our leader
who lead NY out of the darkness. For this he will always have my repect-Can't
say that about Bush
JMWeak????.....You are taking your *facts* from and article by the LA
Times for chrissakes!!!!

As for the Howard Dean analogy, just listen to yourself. You sound like a
parrot of the left and all I see you post here are trolls promoting a
certain philosophy that is centered around hating Bush, but at the same time
denying that you have any partisan agenda.....sor of like Howard
Dean's following quotes:

"I don't hate Republicans as individuals. But I hate what the Republicans
are doing to this country. I really do."

followed by :

I hate Republicans and everything they stand for.

There's more and whenever I see one of your posts like this I just shake my
head because I know what's coming. It's as predictable as listening to a
speech by Howard Dean. You know what's coming and you know why because
you've heard it all before.

I guess I could blog your posts and those by Dean and find similar and
different positions, but the bottom line similarities are the staleness of
the same old crap.....bomb throwing based on an unquestioned agenda
which seeks to justify itself by the end justifying the means while denying
any attempt at balancing the agenda with reality.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
news:431b25bb\$1@linux...

> weak.

>

> I haven't heard Howard Dean for months, but somehow I sound exactly like
> him? Still waiting for an explanation for that one.

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:431b256c@linux...

> >> My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing mainstream

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:52:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

in New Orleans in the 80's there was a lot of discussion

>> about how the depletion of the water table was actually causing the city

>> to

>> sink even farther below sea level.

>>

>> The original city (the French Quarter and the area long St. Charles and
>> Carrolton known locally as *uptown*) survived this and always has survived
>> hurricanes. This is because the original founders of the city recognized
>> that they needed to build on the high ground. The surrounding suburbs are
>> drained wetlands. This whole area used to be a large dry knoll surrounded
>> by

>> something similar to the everglades.

>>

>>

>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

>> news:BF40778B.40E0%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>>> I think the discussion here, and the actual situation in New Orleans at
>> hand

>>> is that the levees broke, and wetlands had nothing to do with it - the

>> Lake

>>> just exceeded capacity - a hazard New Orleans has always faced - building
>> a

>>> city below sea level is a nightmare waiting to happen, unless you build
>>> 100ft high, 100ft deep concrete barriers all the way around.

>>>

>>> Wetlands are not a sufficient barrier between a city and water, and the

>> fact

>>> that p

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [Jason Miles](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:07:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ink it's rubbing off

> on

>> > me.

>> >>

>> >>

>> >

>> >
>>
>>
>

>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b29fa@linux...

> Weak?????.....You are taking your *facts* from and article by the LA
> Times for chrissakes!!!!

You are hereby requested to proved a list of sources which you view as
credible.

I will quote stats only from your approved list...

Come on,, step up bitch.Guliani was a *Mayor*. He took charge of a situational disaster unlike the
mayor of New Orleans. Bush showed up for that one too in case you didn't
notice. He showed up because he could get to the area. So what was Bush to
do Jason? Rent a pirogue and pole himself into the middle of the Super Dome
without any security detail? Clinton wouldn't have done that either. It was,
and still is, an uncontrolled situat

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:10:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

href="mailto:animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net"
target="_blank">animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b29fa@linux...

> Weak?????.....You are taking your *facts* from and article by the LA
> Times for chrissakes!!!!

and this information is available multiple sources like I said.

LA Times was the ones that put the information into a nice graph.

I'll attach the full image, per your very special request.

I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources, depending
on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically speaking, if you
take the LA times and balance it's coverage with that of any number of other
news sources, you are likely to get something approximating reality, but
never from just one news source. For me to quote ffrom an approved list
would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous sources and try
to cull a semblance of reality from different points of view. You post
articles here by a single source that happens to support your view. Fair
enough. It's suspect though because it's the same old crap every time. I see

no attempt at objectivity.....bitch

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
news:431b2aef@linux...

>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:431b29fa@linux...
> > Weak?????.....You are taking your *facts* from and article by the
LA
> > Times for chrissakes!!!!
>
> You are hereby requested to proved a list of sources which you view as
> credible.
>
> I will quote stats only from your approved list...
>
> Come on,, step up bitch.
>
> So what? It's been known for decades that the city was below sea level. I've
stood on some of those levees myself and looked down into the town, about 20
feet below me and then turned around and looked the other direction and
looked at the water level about 10 feet below me. Nice pictures though.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
news:431b2d78@linux...

>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:431b29fa@linux...
> > Weak?????.....You are taking your *facts* from and article by the
LA
> > Times for chrissakes!!!!
>
> and this information is available multiple sources like I said.
>
> LA Times was the ones that put the information into a nice graph.
>
> I'll attach the full image, per your very special request.
>
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b2d92\$1@linux...
> I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources, depending
> on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically speaking, if
> you
> take the LA times and balance it's coverage with that of any number of

> other
> news sources, you are likely to get something approximating reality, but
> never from just one news source. For me to quote from an approved list
> would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous sources and
> try
> to cull a semblance of reality from different points of view. You post
> articles here by a single source that happens to support your view. Fair
> enough. It's suspect though because it's the same old crap every time. I
> see
> no attempt at objectivity.....bitch

Exactly. So how do you rectify that with your outrage at my source, solely based on the source? You want to talk about credibility, yours is being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.

Keep in mind I'm not a journalist. I'm a person with an opinion. Its not my job to be objective.

Theres 2 sources of info nowadays. There are people with their eyes open who see things for what t

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:11:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ion and very different, logistically,
from what happened in New York.

"jason Miles" <Jmiles45@aol.com> wrote in message [news:431b29ba\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:431b29ba$1@linux...)
>
> I'll tell you what-You can criticize Clinton all you want (i'm not a big
lover
> of him either) But-He would have been on the ground In N.O showing the
people
> that someone is in charge and that people care. Bush flew over the fucking
> place in Airforce 1 and still hasn't shown his face to the people. Nobody
> is perfect and I'm totally turned off to the democrats as well but the new
> hampshire paper got it right. He has shown no leadership and he talks
about
> Trent Lotts fucking house.Step up to the plate.he hasn't.he has mismanaged
> this and Iraq terribly-we as a country are in trouble.
> Oh Yeah he was sure on the case when he immediately flew to washington
along
> with DeLay, Hasstert Frisch and these other hypocrits to promote their
agenda

> to sign a bill for one brain dead white woman in florida-
> Don't get me wrong the democrats have shown me NOTHING!! but Bush
> is a stupid detached man who has let his neocratic friends take
> us to ruin
> I was no Guillian fan either but when the Moment happened he was our
leader
> who lead NY out of the darkness. For this he will always have my
repect-Can't
> say that about Bush
> JMNYC didn't shut down as a city... huge difference.

wow, damn you didn't mention howard dean for a post.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b2b32@linux...

> Guliani was a *Mayor*. He took charge of a situational disaster unlike the
> mayor of New Orleans. Bush showed up for that one too in case you didn't
> notice. He showed up because he could get to the area. So what was Bush to
> do Jason? Rent a pirogue and pole himself into the middle of the Super
> Dome
> without any security detail? Clinton wouldn't have done that either. It
> was,
> and still is, an uncontrolled situation and very different, logistically,
> from what happened in New York.

>
>
>
> "jason Miles" <Jmiles45@aol.com> wrote in message news:431b29ba\$1@linux...

>>
>> I'll tell you what-You can criticize Clinton all you want (i'm not a big
> lover
>> of him either) But-He would have been on the ground In N.O showing the
> people
>> that someone is in charge and that people care. Bush flew over the
>> fucking
>> place in Airforce 1 and still hasn't shown his face to the people. Nobody
>> is perfect and I'm totally turned off to the democrats as well but the
>> new
>> hampshire paper got it right. He has shown no leadership and he talks
> about
>> Trent Lotts fucking house.Step up to the plate.he hasn't.he has
>> mismanaged
>> this and Iraq terribly-we as a country are in trouble.
>> Oh Yeah he was sure on the case when he immediately flew to washington
> along
>> with DeLay, Hasstert Frisch and these other hypocrits to promote their
> agenda
>> to sign a bill for one brain dead white woman in florida-

>> Don't get me wrong the democrats have shown me NOTHING!! but Bush
>> is a stupid detached man who has let his neocratic friends take
>> us to ruin
>> I was no Guillian fan either but when the Moment happened he was our
> leader
>> who lead NY o

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:12:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hey are, and there are pro-Bush supporters who
would overlook any shortcoming of our coward in chief. And the blind
pro-Bush sheep group is shrinking by the day.
>
>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
> news:431b2aef@linux...
>>
>> "DJ" <

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:14:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Wrong again dude. I've been a Democrat most of my life. The folks who have
> hijacked the party have no resemblance to Democrats. They have just found
> an
> empty shell within which to hide.
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
> news:431b27b1@linux...
>> Anti-Democrats (Deej, DC, anyone that mentones Michael Moore or Howard
>> Dean more times than a Democrat actually hears from MM or HD)
>>
>> Anti-Republicans (anyone?)
>>
>> Anti-Bush (I'm running for the nomination, but I hear theres a lot of
>> competition)
>>
>> Anti-Hillary (you know a bunch of people will be joining this party
>> soon)
>>

>> Anti-Clinton (the old party of the Anti-Democrats)

>>

>>

>

>You want to talk about credibility, yours is
> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.

>

So my credibility is suspect because I don't cull my information from a
single source?

I've got more important things to attend to today.

caio

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
news:431b2e9d@linux...

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:431b2d92\$1@linux...

> >I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources,
depending

> > on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically speaking, if

> > you

> > take the LA times and balance it's coverage with that of any number of

> > other

> > news sources, you are likely to get something approximating reality, but

> > never from just one news source. For me to quote from an approved list

> > would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous sources and

> > try

> > to cull a semblance of reality from different points of view. You post

> > articles here by a single source that happens to support your view. Fair

> > enough. It's suspect though because it's the same

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:16:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

as.net" target="_blank">animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

>> news:431b29fa@linux...

>> > Weak????.....You are taking your *facts* from and article by the

> LA

>> > Times for chrissakes!!!!

>>

>> You are hereby requested to proved a list of sources which you view as

>> credible.

>>

>> I will quote stats only from your approved list...

>>
>> Come on,, step up bitch.
>>
>>
>
>Wrong again dude. I've been a Democrat most of my life. The folks who have hijacked the party have no resemblance to Democrats. They have just found an empty shell within which to hide.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message news:431b27b1@linux...

> Anti-Democrats (Deej, DC, anyone that mentiones Michael Moore or Howard Dean more times than a Democrat actually hears from MM or HD)
>
> Anti-Republicans (anyone?)
>
> Anti-Bush (I'm running for the nomination, but I hear theres a lot of competition)
>
> Anti-Hillary (you know a bunch of people will be joining this party soon)
>
> Anti-Clinton (the old party of the Anti-Democrats)
>
>you mean we have something in common?

you used to be a Democrat and you hate them now... I used to be a Republican and I think THAT party has been hijacked!

Shit maybe we're on to something!

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:431b2f76@linux...

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:23:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

old crap every time. I
> > see
> > no attempt at objectivity.....bitch
>
> Exactly. So how do you rectify that with your outragage at my source,
> solely based on the source? You want to talk about credibility, yours is
> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.
>
> Keep in mind I'm not a journalist. I'm a person with an opinion. Its not
> my job to be objective.

>
> Theres 2 sources of info nowadays. There are people with their eyes open
> who see things for what they are, and there are

File Attachments

1) [19282292.gif](#), downloaded 76 times

Fixing the leaks


Amid an outcry over the federal failure to heed warnings about the New Orleans levee system, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers reported progress in plugging leaks in three canals. Here's a look:



*As reported by FEMA


How levees are being repaired

Metal wall cuts flow from rain-swollen Lake Pontchartrain, allowing levee repairs. It will be removed once breach is repaired.



Funding requests unmet

Federal hurricane protection funding for New Orleans has been lower than the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' requests. Here's a look at flood control projects:



Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:26:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pro-Bush supporters who
> would overlook any shortcoming of our coward in chief. And the blind
> pro-Bush sheep group is shrinking by the day.
> >
> >
> >
> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
> > news:431b2aef@linux...
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> news:431b29fa@linux...
> >> > Weak?????.....You are taking your *facts* from and article by
the
> > LA
> >> > Times for chrissakes!!!!
> >>
> >> You are hereby requested to proved a list of sources which you view as
> >> credible.
> >>
> >> I will quote stats only from your approved list...
> >>
> >> Come on,, step up bitch.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>Maybe. I've got a session to set up.

I'll check back in later.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
news:431b2fe5@linux...
> you mean we

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:27:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >> Anti-Republicans (anyone?)
> >>
> >> Anti-Bush (I'm running for the nomination, but I hear theres a lot of
> >> competition)

> >>
> >> Anti-Hillary (you know a bunch of people will be joining this party
> >> soon)
> >>
> >> Anti-Clinton (the old party of the Anti-Democrats)
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>

>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b300e@linux...

> You want to talk about credibility, yours is
>> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.

>>
> So my credibility is suspect because I don't cull my information from a
> single source?

I get the feeling you might just be copping out, but since you seem to be asking me a question, I guess I can spell it out again.

You initially dismissed the simple information graph because it said LA Times on it, then when pressed as to your preferred credible sources, you said LA Times *could* be credible, and that all sources need to be considered and balanced.

So your initial dismissal of the info was the bogus part.

> I've got more important things to attend to today.

no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
> news:431b2e9d@linux...

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:431b2d92\$1@linux...

>> >I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources,
> depending

>> > on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically speaking, if
>> > you

>> > take the LA times and balance it's coverage with that of any number of
>> > other

>> > news sources, you are likely to get something approximating reality,
>> > but

>> > never from just one news source. For me to quote from an approved list

>> > would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous sources and
&

> >

>

>deej is coppin out....

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b359b@linux...

> no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned

>

> I checked back into this thread because I expected a cheap shot from you.

> I'm in the process of setting up 10 mics for a tracking session but I'm

> going to take a second here to clairfy your out - of -context spin of my

> statement.

>

> I said that there is always credibility to a number of new sources

> *depending on what they are reporting*. The obvious bias of the LA times

> calls their agenda into question. Had you been at all objective in your

> thinking, you might have looked to more than one source before showing

> your

> ass with something like this.

>

> Now, whether you beileve it or not, I've got work to do.

> C'ya

>

>

>

>

>

>

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message

> news:431b30d3\$1 @linux...

>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

>> news:431b300e@linux...

>> > You want to talk about credibility, yours is

>> >> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.

>> >>

>> > So my credibility is suspect because I don't cull my information from a

>> > single source?

>>

>> I get the feeling you might just be copping out, but since you seem to be

>> asking me a question, I guess I can spell it out again.

>>

>> You initially dismissed the simple information graph because it said LA

>> Times on it, then when pressed as to your preferred credible sources, you

>> said LA Times *could* be credible, and that all sources need to be

>> considered and balanced.

>>

>> So your initial dismissal of the info was the bogus part.

>>
>> > I've got more important things to attend to today.
>>
>> no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned
>>
>> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
>> > news:431b2e9d@linux...
>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:431b2d92\$1@linux...
>> >> >I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources,
>> > depending
>> >> > on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically speaking,
> if
>> >> > you
>> >> > take the LA times and balance it's coverage with that of any number
> of
>> >> > other
>> >> > news sources, you are likely to get something approximating reality,
>> >> > but
>> >> > never from just one news source. For me to quote from an approved
> list
>> >> > would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous sources
> and
>> >> > try
>> >> > to cull a semblance of reality from different points of view. You
> post
>> >> > articles here by a single source t

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:37:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

checked back into this thread because I expected a cheap shot from you.
I'm in the process of setting up 10 mics for a tracking session but I'm
going to take a second here to clairfy your out - of -context spin of my
statement.

I said that there is always credibility to a number of new sources
depending on what they are reporting. The obvious bias of the LA times
calls their agenda into question. Had you been at all objective in your
thinking, you might have looked to more than one source before showing your
ass with something like this.

Now, whether you beileve it or not, I've got work to do.
C'ya

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
news:431b30d3\$1@linux...

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:431b300e@linux...

> > You want to talk about credibility, yours is
> >> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.

> >>

> > So my credibility is suspect because I don't cull my information from a
> > single source?

>

> I get the feeling you might just be copping out, but since you seem to be
> asking me a question, I guess I can spell it out again.

>

> You initially dismissed the simple information graph because it said LA
> Times on it, then when pressed as to your preferred credible sources, you
> said LA Times *could* be credible, and that all sources need to be
> considered and balanced.

>

> So your initial dismissal of the info was the bogus part.

>

> > I've got more important things to attend to today.

>

> no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned

>

> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
> > news:431b2e9d@linux...

> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> news:431b2d92\$1@linux...

> >> > I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources,
> > > depending

> >> > on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically speaking,
> >> > if

> >> > you

> >> > take the LA times and balance it's coverage with that of any number
> >> > of

> >> > other

> >> > news sources, you are likely to get something approximating reality,

> >> > but

> >> > never from just one news source. For me to quote from an approved
> >> > list

> >> > would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous sources
> >> > and

> >> > try

> >> > to cull a semblance of reality from different points of view. You
post
> >> > articles h

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 18:00:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ole_@animas.net" target="_blank">animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:431b359b@linux...

>
> > I said that there is always credibility to a number of new sources
> > *depending on what they are reporting*. The obvious bias of the LA times
> > calls their agenda into question. Had you been at all objective in your
> > thinking, you might have looked to more than one source before showing
> > your
> > ass with something like this.

>
> If you're gonna backpedal and play games, I repeat my earlier request:

> ***** NOTICE TO DEEJ *****

> You are hereby requested to provide a list of sources which you view as
> credible.

> I will quote stats only from your approved list...

> *****

>
> You've failed once now to step up to this request, now's your chance to
save
> face.

>
> Your other option is to stop shooting the messenger.

>
> Either way, don't expect your criticism of a source solely based on the
name
> on the building to carry any weight.

>
>
> I disagree with the generalized *black people* statement. I don't disagree
with the *poor black people* statement.

Please read the editorial in the Most Conservative paper in the country in
> New Hampshire-When they bail on Bush this signals trouble ahead-and they
> bailed Big time
> JM

Will do

"Jason Miles" <JMiles45@aol.com> wrote in message news:431b39f3\$1@linux...

>
> Kanye West said it-Bush doesn't care about Black people let alone poor
black
> people-Then it again Bush is just a name-It's what he represents-rich
affluent
> america. The man was grew up with a silver spoon in his mouth. His own
father
> is appaled at the CIA outing-he has these miserable people like Karl Rove
> in his employe and like I said he saw the devistation from the comfort of
> air force 1
> Please read the editorial in the Most Conservative paper in the country in
> New Hampshire-When they bail on Bush this signals trouble ahead-and they
> bailed Big time
> JMyou've been exposed Deej.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b4b1e@linux...

> Sorry amigo. I never failed to do anything other than play by some
> arbitrary
> rule you have tried to esatblsh here. As I said, I listen to many
> sources.
> I sometimes form opinions from one or a number of them depending on
> whether
> or not they make any rational points.
>
> You won't see me dancing to your tune.

>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
> news:431b37da\$1@linux...

>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:431b359b@linux...
>>
>> > I said that there is always credibility to a number of new sources
>> > *depending on what they are reporting*. The obvious bias of the LA
>> > times
>> > calls their agenda into question. Had you been at all objective in your
>> > thinking, you might have looked to more than one source before showing
>> > your
>> > ass with something like this.
>>
>> If you're gonna backpedal and play games, I repeat my earlier request:

>>
>> ***** NOTICE TO DEEJ *****
>> You are hereby requested to provide a list of sources which you view as
>> credible.
>>
>> I will quote stats only from your approved list...
>> *****
>>
>> You've failed once now to step up to this request, now's your chance to
> save
>> face.
>>
>> Your other option is to stop shooting the messenger.
>>
>> Either way, don't expect your criticism of a source solely based on the
> name
>> on the building to carry any weight.
>>
>>
>>
>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b4c2c\$1@linux...
>I disagree with the generalized *black people* statement. I don't disagree
> with the *poor black people* statement.

LOL... that's the funniest shit I've ever read. Guard's in Iraq, but it's needed here

By CARL HIAASEN

New Orleans is now nearly as dangerous and chaotic as Baghdad, where about 5,000 Louisiana National Guard soldiers have been helplessly watching the hurricane tragedy back home on television.

Their presence would have been mighty useful in deterring the looters on Canal Street and restoring order, but that task now falls to a better-late-than-never coalition of Guardsmen summoned from other states.

The same is true in devastated Mississippi, which has a state National Guard brigade attached to the II Marine Expeditionary Force in Iraq. As a result, two battalions from the Alabama Guard were ordered to back up the 1,600 Mississippi Guardsmen who've been mobilized since Katrina struck.

It's another high price to be paid for the woefully mismanaged war in Iraq -- the cities of our own homeland have been left to fend for themselves after catastrophe strikes.

Everybody in south Miami-Dade who went through Hurricane Andrew in 1992 well remembers that the turmoil and lawlessness subsided only after the National Guard arrived.

Although it took too long for the main force to get here, the problem wasn't a troop shortage caused by a contrived faraway war. The problem was a sluggish government response.

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 18:01:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hat happens to support your view.

>> >> > Fair

>> >> > enough. It's suspect though because it's the same old crap every
> time.

>> >> > I

>> >> > see

>> >> > no attempt at objectivity.....bitch

>> >>

>> >> Exactly. So how do you rectify that with your outragage at my

>> >> source,

>> >> solely based on the source? You want to talk about credibility,

>> >> yours

>> >> is

>> >> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.

>> >>

>> >> Keep in mind I'm not a journalist. I'm a person with an opinion. Its

>> >> not

>> >> my job to be objective.

>> >>

>> >> Theres 2 sources of info nowadays. There are people with their eyes

>> >> open

>> >> who see things for what they are, and there are pro-Bush supporters

>> >> who

>> >> would overlook any shortcoming of our coward in chief. And the blind

>> >> pro-Bush sheep group is shrinking by the day.

>> >> >

>> >> >

>> >> >

>> >> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message

>> >> > news:431b2aef@linux...

>> >> >>

>> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

>> >> >> news:431b29fa@linux...

>> >> >> > Weak?????.....You are taking your *facts* from and article

>> >> >> > by

>> > the
>> >> > LA
>> >> >> > Times for chrissakes!!!!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You are hereby requested to proved a list of sources which you view
> as
>> >> >> credible.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I will quote stats only from your approved list...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Come on,, step up bitch.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b359b@linux...

> I said that there is always credibility to a number of new sources
> *depending on what they are reporting*. The obvious bias of the LA times
> calls their agenda into question. Had you been at all objective in your
> thinking, you might have looked to more than one source before showing
> your
> ass with something like this.

If you're gonna backpedal and play games, I repeat my earlier request:

***** NOTICE TO DEEJ *****

You are hereby requested to proved a list of sources which you view as
credible.

I will quote stats only from your approved list...

You've failed once now to step up to this request, nows your chance to save
face.

Your other option is to stop shooting the messenger.

Either way, dont expect your criticism of a source solely based on the name
on the building to carry any weight.Kanye West said it-Bush doesn't care about Black people let

alone poor black

people-Then it again Bush is just a name-It's what he represents-rich affluent america. The man was grew up with a silver spoon in his mouth. His own father is appaled at the CIA outing-he has these miserable people like Karl Rove in his employe and like I said he saw the devistation from the comfort of air force 1

Please read the editorial in the Most Conservative paper in the country in New Hampshire-When they bail on Bush this signals trouble ahead-and they bailed Big time

JM"Jason Miles" <JMiles45@aol.com> wrote in message news:431b39f3\$1@linux...
> Please read the editorial in the Most Conservative paper in the country in
> New Hampshire-When they bail on Bush this signals trouble ahead-and they
> bailed Big time

Thats my hometown man.... Alternately known as The Union Leader / The Useless Leader.

Either way it's the #1 paper in the state by far and almost 100% conservative i.e. Pro Bush 1 / Anti Clinton... always Pro Republican senators and Anti Democratic governors.

Nackey Loeb has taught me a lot about politics.Sorry amigo. I never failed to do anything other than play by some arbitrary rule you have tried to esatblish here. As I said, I listen to many sources. I sometimes form opinions from one or a number of them depending on whether or not they make any rational points.

You won't see me dancing to your tune.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message news:431b37da\$1@linux...

>
> "DJ" <

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 18:07:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

Once armed soldiers were finally posted on street corners, most of the looters lost their nerve. Those who hadn't already stolen a VCR or stereo speakers suddenly decided they didn't need them.

Among law-abiding citizens, an atmosphere that could almost be described as calm set in when the Guard took over the job of distributing food, water and

ice. Surely no sight was more comforting to the desperate thousands who'd felt so isolated and forgotten in Andrew's dreadful aftermath. Yet nothing we experienced here in South Florida compares to the widespread bedlam and despair now gripping New Orleans and other areas of the Gulf Coast. Katrina made Andrew look like a pipsqueak.

On television the scenes are virtually too staggering to absorb, crosscutting from those breathtakingly heroic rescues to the almost-casual rampage of looting.

To snatch from a shuttered store a few boxes of food, medicine or clothes for one's family is nothing but an act of survival; loading up on DVD players, jewelry and firearms is pure idiot thievery.

By this weekend, up to 30,000 National Guard troops from the coastal states and around the country were supposed to

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Jason Miles](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 18:16:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

be heading toward the Gulf Coast.
That's not nearly enough.

The disaster zone is simply too vast (99,000 square miles), too ravaged and too far gone toward anarchy. What's needed is basically a domestic army of occupation.

As late as last Thursday, there were only 2,800 Guardsmen on the ground in New Orleans. Yet the U.S. Central Command said there were no plans to shift U.S. troops from Iraq to Louisiana and Mississippi.

That means recovery will depend on Guard units borrowed from other states, which are already stretched to

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 18:28:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

o thin. It's a shaky plan, especially with three long months remaining in hurricane season. The chance of another direct hit on the U.S. mainland is not small.

As we Floridians know from our multitude of weather crises, recovery can't >LOL... thats the funniest shit I've ever read.

No it is not the funniest shit I've ever read
JM

>

>[FEMA], run by Brown since 2003, is now at the center of a growing fury over the handling of the New Orleans disaster. ``I look at FEMA and I shake my head," said a furious [Republican] Gov. Mitt Romney yesterday, calling the response ``an embarrassment."

Newt Gingrich, the former House Speaker, is

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 19:32:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

among those who have asked whether Bush's Department of Homeland Security is up to the job. 'If we can't respond faster than this, then why do we think we're prepared to respond to a nuclear or biological attack?' he pointedly asked.

*****"Jason Miles" <Jmiles45@aol.com> wrote in message news:431b50ac\$1@linux...

>

>

>>LOL... thats the funniest shit I've ever read.

>

> No it is not the funniest shit I've ever read

For real...

I meant to say that in parenthesis.Hey all,

The problems this disaster has exposed go way beyond anything the federal govt. or the president have anything to do with.

Entrenched, multi-generational corruption, patronage, cronyism, ticket-punching bureaocrats, incompetence, cowardice, and more corruption, all of it at the local and state levels is where the primary responsibility lies.

No president, from any party, would have done a lot better. Sorry.

Kanye West is as dishonest as can be, and he, and you, Jason, are race-pimping the blame where it does not belong. It is despicable and pathetic. There were BLACK heroes all over NO, who, without fanfare, a new SUV, or any bling, went around saving lives, getting people out of attics and off of roofs. Others, faced with the same needs and opportunities to serve others, instead became evil

predators, and scum like Kanye and other race-pimps excuse them, EXCUSE them, with their hate for the president. It's just like the Rodney riots, some do good, some do evil, but instead of condemning the evil, the race pimps excuse it and blame the president.

Understand this: I care much less about the insults and lies told about Bush than I care about the great, big-hearted black people

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 19:35:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> condemning the evil, the race pimps excuse it and blame the
> president.
>
> Understand this: I care much less about the insults and lies told
> about Bush than I care about the great, big-hearted black people
> who have now become invisible as these shitheads excuse the thugs.
>
> You can't praise the heroes without acknowledging that black
> people have the ability to choose love and righteousness in a
> time of evil, so heroes are ignored so the race-hustlers can take
> another dump on the president.
>
> Shame on you.
>
> If Clinton was in power, hell if Giuliani was in power, we certainly
> would have had a more inspiring leader, and that is important,
> but things would still be about where they are right now.
>
> The hate of you guys. The pure hate you are showing. Man, you
> should be ashamed of yourselves.
>
> Find a good charity, give of yourselves. Make a difference.
>
>
> DCHEY!!!! How 'bout those Mets?!!!!!!!!!!!!

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> I disagree with the generalized *black people* statement. I don't disagree
> with the *poor black people* statement.

>
> Please read the editorial in the Most Conservative paper in the country
in

>> New Hampshire-When they bail on Bush this signals trouble ahead-and they
>> bailed Big time
>> JM
>
>Will do
>
>
>
>
>"Jason Miles" <JMiles45@aol.com> wrote in message news:431b39f3\$1@linux...
>>
>> Kanye West said it-Bush doesn't care about Black people let alone poor
>black
>> people-Then it again Bush is just a name-It's what he represents-rich
>affluent
>> america. The man was grew up with a silver spoon in his mouth. His own
>father
>> is appaled at the CIA outing-he has these miserable people like Karl Rove
>> in his employe and like I said he saw the devistation from the comfort
>of
>> air force 1
>> Please read the editorial in the Most Conservative paper in the country
>in

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 19:37:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
who have now become invisible as these shitheads excuse the thugs.

You can't praise the heroes without acknowledging that black people have the ability to choose love and righteousness in a time of evil, so heroes are ignored so the race-hustlers can take another dump on the president.

Shame on you.

If Clinton was in power, hell if Giuliani was in power, we certainly would have had a more inspiring leader, and that is important, but things would still be about where they are right now.

The hate of you guys. The pure hate you are showing. Man, you should be ashamed of yourselves.

Find a good charity, give of yourselves. Make a difference.

DC"DC" <dc@spamkanye.org> wrote in message news:431b52d5\$1@linux...
> Kanye West is as dishonest as can be, and he, and you, Jason, are
> evil
> predators, and scum like Kanye and other race-pimps excuse them,
> EXCUSE them, with their hate for the president. It's just like the
> Rodney riots, some do good, some do evil, but instead of

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 19:42:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> New Hampshire-When they bail on Bush this signals trouble ahead-and they
>> bailed Big time
>> JM
>
>Amidst this horrible human loss and destruction you bring to the table more
suffering, anger and destruction of personal relationships man.
Think about it, brother.
AA

"justcron" <

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Jason Miles](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 19:53:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

#64;animas.net> wrote in message
>>> >> news:431b2d92\$1@linux...

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 19:56:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; >> > but
>>> >> > never from just one news source. For me to quote from an approved
>> list
>>> >> > would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous sources
>> and
>>> >> > try
>>> >> > to cull a semblance of reality from different points of view. You

>> post
>>>

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [mike claytor\[2\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 20:30:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ade after decade,
to poor people in general and the descendants of African slaves in particular. The world sees New Orleans burning and dying today, but the televised anarchy - the shooting and looting, needless deaths, helpless rage and maddening governmental incompetence - was centuries in the making.

To the casual viewer, the situation is an incomprehensible mess that raises questions about the intelligence, sanity and moral worth of those trapped in the city. Why didn't those people evacuate before the hurricane? Why don't they just walk out of town now? And why should anyone care about people who are stealing and fighting the police?

That hard, unsympathetic view is the traditional American response to the poverty, ignorance and rage that afflict many of us whose great-great-grandparents once made up the captive African slave labor pool. In far too many cities, including New Orleans, the marching orders on the front lines of American race relations are to control and contain the very poor in ghettos as cheaply as possible; ignore them completely if possible; and call in the troops if the brutes get out of line.

By almost every statistical measure, New Orleans is a bad place to be poor. Half the city's households make less than \$28,000 a year, and 28% of the population lives in poverty.

In the late 1990s, the state's school systems ranked dead last in the nation in the number of computers per student (1 per 88), and Louisiana has the nation'

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 20:42:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

s second-highest percentage of adults who never finished high school. By the state's own measure, 47% of the public schools in New Orleans rank as "academically unacceptable."

And Louisiana is the only one of the 50 states where the state legislature doesn't allocate money to pay for the legal defense of indigent

defendants. The Associated Press reported this year that it's not unusual for poor people charged with crimes to stay in jail for nine months before getting a lawyer appointed.

These government failures are not merely a matter of incompetence. Louisiana and New Orleans have a long, well-known reputation for corruption: as former congressman Billy Tauzin once put it, "half of Louisiana is under water and the other half is under indictment."

That's putting it mildly. Adjusted for population size, the state ranks third in the number of elected officials convicted of crimes (Mississippi is No. 1). Recent scandals include the conviction of 14 state judges and an FBI raid on the business and personal files of a Louisiana congressman.

In 1991, a notoriously corrupt Democrat named Edwin Edwards ran for governor against Republican David Duke, a former head of the Ku Klux Klan. Edwards, whose winning campaign included bumper stickers saying "Elect the Crook," is currently serving a 10-year prison sentence for taking bribes from casino owners. Duke recently completed his own prison term for tax fraud.

The rot included the New Orleans Police Department, which in the 1990s had the dubious distinction of being the nation's most corrupt police force and the least effective: the city had the highest murder rate in America. More than 50 officers were eventually convicted of crimes including murder, rape and robbery; two are currently on Death Row.

The decision to subject an entire population to poverty, ignorance, injustice and government corruption as a way of life has its ugly moments, as the world is now seeing. New Orleans officials issued an almost cynical evacuation order in a city where they know full well that thousands have no car, no money for airfare or an interstate bus, no credit cards for hotels, and therefore no way to leave town before the deadly storm and flood arrived.

The authorities provided no transportation out of the danger zone, apparently figuring the neglected thousands would somehow weather the storm in their uninsured, low-lying shacks and public housing projects. The poor were expected to remain invisible at the bottom of the pecking order and somehow weather the storm.

But the flood confounded the plan, and the world began to see a tide of human misery rising from the water - ragged, sick, desperate and disorderly. Some foraged for food, some took advantage of the chaos to commit crimes. All in all, they acted exactly the way you could predict people would act who have been locked up in a ghetto for generations.

The world also saw the breezy indifference with which government officials treated these tens of thousands of sick and dying citizens, even as the scope of the disaster became clear. President Bush initially shunned the Gulf Coast and headed to political fund-raisers in the West.

That left matters in the bumbling hands of the director of emergency management, Michael Brown, who ranks No. 1 on the list of officials who ought to be fired when the crisis has passed. Even as local officials were publicly reporting assaults, fires and bedlam at local hospitals, Brown took to the airwaves to declare that "things are going well" as mayhem engulfed the city. When asked about the rising death toll, Brown attributed it to "people who did not heed the advance warnings." Brown's smug ignorance of the conditions of the place he was tasked to save became the final door slammed on the trap that tens of thousands of the city's poorest found themselves.

The challenge for America is to remember the faces of the evacuees who will surely be ushered back into a black hole of public indifference as soon as the White House and local officials can manage it. While pledging ourselves to remember their mistreatment and fight for their cause, we should also be sure to cast a searching, skeptical eye on the money that Bush has pledged for rebuilding.

Ten billion dollars are about to pass into the sticky hands of politicians in the No. 1 and No. 3 most corrupt states in America. Worried about looting? You ain't seen nothing yet.

<http://www.nydailynews.com/front/story/343324p-292991c.html> (stuff it Deej)

OK, this has degenerated into the typical name calling BS that most NG's turn to. I haven't read a single goddam thing about Paris in awhile on here.

Why don't you take your political crap over to general or find another NG to spew this shit in.

Bottom line, what are you PERSONALLY doing to help the situation?

Talk is cheap, especially political BS. Have you got evacuees in your town? Are you doing anything to help? I do and I am.

.....and I take personal offense at you calling my buddy Doug an asshole. Namecalling is the last resort of the chickenshit, so take it somewhere else or do it to someone's face instead of shooting off your mouth on a forum. I guarantee you'll have quite a different experience.

dammit dude, chill out and actually do something to help. This political

tirade crap is tiresome. We all bleed red. This is about humanity, not politics.

Mike Claytor

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote:

>[FEMA], run by Brown since 2003, is now at the center of a growing fury
>over

>the handling of the New Orleans disaster. ``I look at FEMA and I shake my

>head," said a furious [Republican] Gov. Mitt Romney yesterday, calling
>the

>response ``an embarrassment."

>*****

>

>Newt Gingrich, the former House Speaker, is among those who have asked
>whether Bush's Department of Homeland Security is up to the job. 'If we

>can't respond faster than this, then why do we think we're prepared to
>respond to a nuclear or biological attack?' he pointedly asked.

>*****

>

>"mike claytor" <claytor@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:431b5de5\$1@linux...

>and I take personal offense at you calling my buddy Doug an asshole.

> Namecalling is the last resort of the chickenshit, so take it somewhere

> else

> or do it to someone's face instead of shooting off your mouth on a forum.

> I guarantee you'll have quite a different experience.

everyone has a fair chance to discuss their perspective.

your buddy Doug just got stuck with this foot in his mouth blindly defending
Mr. W. orst president ever..

I dont think DJ needs your fugly ass riding for him... LOL

Anyway, whats your take on the situation and the fed response? You gonna be
another Bush apologist that wants to eat their words?not really.... I reply with truth to ignorance....

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message

news:431b5c53\$1@linux...

> Amidst this horrible

Subject: Re: unmet funding

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 21:02:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kes were applied. I thought this to be rather

>strange.....charging a battery on the downside of the motion. I guess
>this might be a good thing for city driving though, since the brakes are un
>use a lot. Not so good for highway driving. We need these engines on the big
>long haul trucks too.

>

>;oP

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:rhglh1h2iomoo2b4i1g1h686epktg49i6k@4ax.com...

>> same here if you pay at the pump with the shut off thing. i agree

>> that hybrids are the way to go but i feel they're taking the wrong

>> approach. i'm no engineer but i think they should be built more along

>> the line of a locomotive engine. in that the gas power plant provides

>> the electricity; a smaller generator and a larger ele. motor

>>

>> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 18:09:42 -0600, "DJ"

>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>

>> >In this area, if you prepay with a credit card, the pump shuts off at

>\$50.00

>> >and you have to reauthorize the card to keep going. I've got a Honda

>> >Passport with a 21 gallon tank. I can get about 400 miles (highway) out

>of

>> >it.. I've also got an Accord with a (I think) 12 gallon tank that I can

>get

>> >almost 400 miles out of on the highway.

>> >

>> >Both are paid for and have been for years.

>> >

>> >Next for me will be hybrids. No doubt about it at all. I may buy an old

>> >pickup truck to park next to the horse trailer to use occasionally for

>short

>> >hauls of horses and hay. That all the action it's going to see.

>> >

>> >

>> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>> >news:sntih154b667rqlqtlbadim0dlqs98p90b@4ax.com...

>> >> for my 14 gal tank when it hits 7 bucks. but, they just dropped it

>> >> .40 a gallon here yesterday so i don't know.

>> >>

>> >> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 01:14:35 -0400, "justcron"

>> >> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>> >>

>> >> >it costs \$100 to fill the tank.

>> >> >

>> >> >how long?

>> >> >

>> >>

>> >

>>

>hydrogen fuel cell use WAY more energy to produce than they provide. although there is a couple in the detroit area that have solved some of the problem but betting dollars to doughnuts the established entities will not let it come to pass any time soon and/or they come to own it.

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 09:45:27 -0600, DTerry <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote:

>What's happening with hydrogen fuel cells? I've searched for some, and know >a couple of manufacturers have successfully tested them. Outside of >distribution limitations, is it on the horizon?

>

>Hybrids seem to be a stop-gap solution to me, not longterm, but I'm up for >anything that doesn't use gas at this point.

>

>DT

>

>On 9/4/05 3:49 AM, in article rhglh1h2iomoo2b4i1g1h686epktg49i6k@4ax.com, >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>> same here if you pay at the pump with the shut off thing. i agree >> that hybrids are the way to go but i feel they're taking the wrong >> approach. i'm no engineer but i think they should be built more along >> the line of a locomotive engine. in that the gas power plant provides >> the electricity; a smaller generator and a larger ele. motor

>>

>> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 18:09:42 -0600, "DJ"

>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>

>>> In this area, if you prepay with a credit card, the pump shuts off at \$50.00 >>> and you have to reauthorize the card to keep going. I've got a Honda >>> Passport with a 21 gallon tank. I can get about 400 miles (highway) out of >>> it.. I've also got an Accord with a (I think) 12 gallon tank that I can get >>> almost 400 miles out of on the highway.

>>>

>>> Both are paid for and have been for years.

>>>

>>> Next for me will be hybrids. No doubt about it at all. I may buy an old >>> pickup truck to park next to the horse trailer to use occasionally for short >>> hauls of horses and hay. That all the action it's going to see.

>>>

>>>
>>> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:stnih154b667rqlqtlbadim0dlqs98p90b@4ax.com...
>>>> for my 14 gal tank when it hits 7 bucks. but, they just dropped it
>>>> .40 a gallon here yesterday so i don't know.
>>>>
>>>> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 01:14:35 -0400, "justcron"
>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> it costs \$100 to fill the tank.
>>>>>
>>>>> how long?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> whether he is or not i take exception tho that comment...majorily.

On Sun, 4 Sep 2005 15:56:03 -0400, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote:

>[FEMA], run by Brown since 2003, is now at the center of a growing fury over
>the handling of the New Orleans disaster. ``I look at FEMA and I shake my
>head," said a furious [Republican] Gov. Mitt Romney yesterday, calling the
>response ``an embarrassment."

>*****

>

>Newt Gingrich, the former House Speaker, is among those who have asked
>whether Bush's Department of Homeland Security is up to the job. 'If we
>can't respond faster than this, then why do we think we're prepared to
>respond to a nuclear or biological attack?' he pointedly asked.

>*****

>personally i blame motorcycle crash boy but then again how much paris
news is new. quite honestly i'm too lazy to go to the general
forum...more people here.

peace ;o)

On 5 Sep 2005 06:02:29 +1000, "DC" <dc@spamkanye.org> wrote:

>

>Hey all,

>

>The problems this disaster has exposed go way beyond anything
>the federal govt. or the president have anything to do with.

>

>Entrenched, multi-generational corruption, patronage, cronyism,
>ticket-punching bureaocrats, incompetence, cowardice, and more
>corruption, all of it at the local and state levels is where the

>primary responsibility lies.
>
>No president, from any party, would have done a lot better. Sorry.
>
>Kanye West is as dishonest as can be, and he, and you, Jason, are
>race-pimping the blame where it does not belong. It is despicable
>and pathetic. There were BLACK heroes all over NO, who, without
>fanfare, a new SUV, or any bling, went around saving lives,
>getting people out of attics and off of roofs. Others, faced with the
>same needs and opportunities to serve others, instead became evil
>predators, and scum like Kanye and other race-pimps excuse them,
>EXCUSE them, with their hate for the president. It's just like the
>Rodney riots, some do good, some do evil, but instead of
>condemning the evil, the race pimps excuse it and blame the
>president.
>
>Understand this: I care much less about the insults and lies told

Subject: Re: unmet funding
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Mon, 05 Sep 2005 00:09:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;
>>
>>
>
>Touche

--
Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com

"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
news:BF40E35D.4126%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
> Okay, enough. There were some half decent discussion early on, but it's
> gone way beyond that.
>
> Calling forum participants names, calling anyone a racist, and profanity
> are
> completely useless. I really don't care how much you hate any given
> president, some of the stuff that has been posted here is just slanderous
> mudslinging. IMHO, that removes any credibility you have in an otherwise
> respectful debate. If you have to slam people and align yourself with an
> rather poorly outspoken, ill-informed grandstanding rapper to make your
> point, you are probably better off keeping your opinions to yourself.
> None

> of this serves any constructive purpose.
>
> Respect others, or no one will want to respect you.
>
> Regards,
> Detric
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0006_01C5B186.65668A00
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

My Shezero drivers show up under System Devices not Sound,Video and Game =
Controllers

on XP. Is this normal??

My Ensoniq Paris MIDI driver does show up under Sound,Video and Game =
Controllers though.

Everything seems to be working right accept the external inserts.

-----=_NextPart_000_0006_01C5B186.65668A00
Content-Type: text/html;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2722" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY>
<DIV>
<P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>My Shezero drivers show up under System =
Devices not=20
Sound,Video and Game Controllers</FONT></P>
<P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>on XP. Is this normal??</FONT></P>
<P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>My Ensoniq Paris MIDI driver does show up =
under=20
Sound,Video and Game Controllers though.</FONT></P>
<P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Everything seems to be working right =
accept the=20
```

external inserts.</P>
<P> </P></DIV></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0006_01C5B186.65668A00--This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_050E_01C5B18A.06CF9DC0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

You're good. This is how it works with the XP install.

;o)

"Brandon" <brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in message =
news:431b8f42\$1@linux...

My Shezero drivers show up under System Devices not Sound,Video and =
Game Controllers

on XP. Is this normal??

My Ensoniq Paris MIDI driver does show up under Sound,Video and Game =
Controllers though.

Everything seems to be working right accept the external inserts.

-----=_NextPart_000_050E_01C5B18A.06CF9DC0
Content-Type: text/html;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1106" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>You're good. This is how it works with =
the XP=20
install.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o)</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
```


style="padding-right: 0px; padding-left: 5px; margin-left: 5px; border-left: 1px solid black; margin-right: 0px";

<DIV>"Brandon" <mailto:brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in message [news:431b8f42\\$1@linux](news:431b8f42$1@linux)...</DIV>

<P>My Shezero drivers show up under System Devices not Sound, Video and Game Controllers</P>

<P>on XP. Is this normal??</P>

<P>My Ensoniq Paris MIDI driver does show up under Sound, Video and Game Controllers though.</P>

<P>Everything seems to be working right except the external inserts.</P>

<P> </P></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_050E_01C5B18A.06CF9DC0--I would like to discuss Paris - anybody?.... anybody? I hear it's nice this time of year.

"Mike" <spamthis@alltel.net> wrote in message <news:431ba629@linux>...> I would like to discuss Paris - anybody?.... anybody?>

> This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0010_01C5B194.BFABF140
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Thanks DJ.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message [news:431ba3d6\\$1@linux](news:431ba3d6$1@linux)...> You're good. This is how it works with the XP install.

;o)

"Brandon" <brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in message [news:431b8f42\\$1@linux](news:431b8f42$1@linux)...> My Shezero drivers show up under System Devices not Sound, Video and Game Controllers

on XP. Is this normal??

My Ensoniq Paris MIDI driver does show up under Sound, Video and Game =
Controllers though.

Everything seems to be working right except the external inserts.

-----=_NextPart_000_0010_01C5B194.BFABF140

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2722" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Thanks DJ.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20

=

href=3D"mailto:animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net">animix_spam-this-ahole=
_@animas.net>=20

wrote in message <A=20

href=3D"news:431ba3d6\$1@linux">news:431ba3d6\$1@linux...</DIV>

<DIV>You're good. This is how it works =
with the XP=20

install.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>;o)</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Brandon" <<A=20

=

href=3D"mailto:
