Subject: We all need this. Posted by excelav on Wed, 18 Jul 2007 18:55:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://apnews.excite.com/article/20070718/D8QF4KV81.html

Subject: Re: We all need this. Posted by Dedric Terry on Wed, 18 Jul 2007 21:29:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would settle for 10Mb/s considering the piracy that is internet access in the US compared to the rest of the world. I believe the average in much of Europe, Japan, Australia, Canada and others is 20Mb/s. Here it's a paultry 512k-1M, and as a business I would have to pay \$99/month for that. Fortunately I have access and a much better deal through a business here in our building.

40G though.... dang. Sure it's possible (and I'm glad to see someone making it public), but will AT&T and others make even a fraction of that reality for the public without charging \$2k/month for it? Nope. Maybe in 15 years after they've milked the 1M-10M market for all it's worth and more.

Dedric

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:469e623e\$1@linux...

>

> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20070718/D8QF4KV81.html

Subject: Re: We all need this. Posted by excelav on Wed, 18 Jul 2007 22:08:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>I would settle for 10Mb/s considering the piracy that is internet access in

>the US compared to the rest of the world.

>I believe the average in much of Europe, Japan, Australia, Canada and others

>is 20Mb/s. Here it's a paultry 512k-1M, >and as a business I would have to pay \$99/month for that. Fortunately I

>have access and a much better deal through a business here >in our building. >

>40G though.... dang. Sure it's possible (and I'm glad to see someone making

>it public), but will AT&T and others make even a fraction of that reality

>for the public without charging \$2k/month for it? Nope. Maybe in 15 years

>after they've milked the 1M-10M market for all it's worth and more.

>

>Dedric

Did you see the story on PBS about the city that tried to put in a fiber network and got sued by the big conglomerates? I think it was Montgomery AL.

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message >news:469e623e\$1@linux...

>>

>

>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20070718/D8QF4KV81.html

>

Subject: Re: We all need this. Posted by excelav on Wed, 18 Jul 2007 22:10:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think we all have a need for speed;)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>I would settle for 10Mb/s considering the piracy that is internet access >in

>>the US compared to the rest of the world.

>>I believe the average in much of Europe, Japan, Australia, Canada and others

>>is 20Mb/s. Here it's a paultry 512k-1M,

>>and as a business I would have to pay \$99/month for that. Fortunately

| >

>>have access and a much better deal through a business here >>in our building.

>>

>>40G though.... dang. Sure it's possible (and I'm glad to see someone making >

>>it public), but will AT&T and others make even a fraction of that reality

>

>>for the public without charging \$2k/month for it? Nope. Maybe in 15 years
>
>>after they've milked the 1M-10M market for all it's worth and more.
>>
>>Dedric
>
>Did you see the story on PBS about the city that tried to put in a fiber >network and got sued by the big conglomerates? I think it was Montgomery >AL.
>
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message >>news:469e623e\$1@linux</excelsm@hotmail.com>
>>>
>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20070718/D8QF4KV81.html
>>
>

Subject: Re: We all need this. Posted by Dedric Terry on Wed, 18 Jul 2007 22:54:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I didn't see that - I guess I shouldn't be surprised, but it is a rather sad and embarrasing indication of our country's corporate climate.

```
"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:469e8f57$1@linux...
```

> Did you see the story on PBS about the city that tried to put in a fiber > network and got sued by the big conglomerates? I think it was Montgomery > AL.

> >>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message >>news:469e623e\$1@linux... >>>

>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20070718/D8QF4KV81.html

>> >

Subject: Re: We all need this. Posted by JeffH on Wed, 18 Jul 2007 23:03:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dedric Terry wrote:

> I didn't see that - I guess I shouldn't be surprised, but it is a rather > sad and embarrasing indication of our country's corporate climate. > > "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message > news:469e8f57\$1@linux... > >> Did you see the story on PBS about the city that tried to put in a fiber >> network and got sued by the big conglomerates? I think it was >> Montgomery >> AL. >> >>> >>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message >>> news:469e623e\$1@linux... >>> >>>> >>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20070718/D8QF4KV81.html >>> >>> >> > I heard a little about it. Wasn't there an issue about how that was to be funded? What I remember was the city was still going to charge for access, but in such a way that it gave them an unfair trade advantage.

Jeff

Subject: Re: We all need this. Posted by excelav on Thu, 19 Jul 2007 05:28:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote: >Dedric Terry wrote: >> I didn't see that - I guess I shouldn't be surprised, but it is a rather >> sad and embarrasing indication of our country's corporate climate. >> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message >> news:469e8f57\$1@linux... >> Did you see the story on PBS about the city that tried to put in a fiber >>> network and got sued by the big conglomerates? I think it was >>> Montgomery >>> AL. >>> >>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message >I heard a little about it. Wasn't there an issue about how that was to

>be funded? What I remember was the city was still going to charge for >access, but in such a way that it gave them an unfair trade advantage. >

>Jeff

I may have the details wrong, but I believe it was going to be rolled in to their taxes. The people voted it in. The people wanted it, Verizon and other companies didn't, so they sued to stop it. It was going to cost the people a lot less as I recall.

Subject: Re: We all need this. Posted by erlilo on Thu, 19 Jul 2007 09:04:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I must say the truth really can be different when reading this. I took a research and found out that a fiberoptical cable can handle up to that kind of speed in tests, as they had done with that old lady in Karlstad. But the speed on their internet for the people is yet totally different. I went to their homesite in Karlstad, Sweden, http://www.elnat.karlstad.se/ trying to find out more. So I found out the speed for the people/firms can be up to 100 Mbit/sec up and download but normally is 10/10 Mbit/sec, after what you will pay for.

We have had this kind of fiberoptical cable here in the district for some years now and my children have used it privately from the start with 10/10 Mbit/sec and they can get up to 50/25Mbit/sec speed if they want to pay for that kind of speed. They also have TV and telephone on the same cable. Firms can allready get up to 100/100, so you see it's not any news at all;-) By the way, I have ordered fiberoptical

http://www.lyse.no/category.php?categoryID=5047 and will get it at the end of August/beginning of September.

So as far as I can see, it's just the competition in the marked that's setting the internetspeed with a fiberoptical cable.

Erling

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> skrev i melding news:469e87be@linux...

>I would settle for 10Mb/s considering the piracy that is internet access in >the US compared to the rest of the world.

> I believe the average in much of Europe, Japan, Australia, Canada and > others is 20Mb/s. Here it's a paultry 512k-1M,

> and as a business I would have to pay \$99/month for that. Fortunately I

> have access and a much better deal through a business here

> in our building.

>

> 40G though.... dang. Sure it's possible (and I'm glad to see someone

> making it public), but will AT&T and others make even a fraction of that

> reality for the public without charging \$2k/month for it? Nope. Maybe in

> 15 years after they've milked the 1M-10M market for all it's worth and
 > more.

>

> Dedric

>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> news:469e623e\$1@linux...

>>

>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20070718/D8QF4KV81.html

>

Page 6 of 6 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums