
Subject: cluster size?

Posted by [Edna Sloan](#) on Fri, 01 Sep 2006 15:00:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anyone have a recommendation for optimum cluster size for audio work? Seems like larger sizes might be appropriate. Also, any issues with SATA and PARIS?

Thanks,
Edna

Subject: Re: cluster size?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 01 Sep 2006 15:48:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

* /Z:64 option: Format your AUDIO drive with "Format X: /Z:64" for 32k clusters.

<http://www.kfocus.com/paris/>

"Edna" <edna@texomaonline.com> wrote:

> Anyone have a recommendation for optimum cluster size for audio work? Seems

> like larger sizes might be appropriate. Also, any issues with SATA and

> PARIS?

> Thanks,

> Edna

>

>

Subject: Re: cluster size?

Posted by [Edna Sloan](#) on Fri, 01 Sep 2006 19:05:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks John. . .

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message [news:44f85652\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:44f85652$1@linux...)

>

> * /Z:64 option: Format your AUDIO drive with "Format X: /Z:64" for 32k clusters.

>

>

> <http://www.kfocus.com/paris/>

>

> "Edna" <edna@texomaonline.com> wrote:

> > Anyone have a recommendation for optimum cluster size for audio work?

Seems

> >like larger sizes might be appropriate. Also,any issues with SATA and
> >PARIS?
> >Thanks,
> >Edna
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: cluster size?

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Fri, 01 Sep 2006 23:58:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doesn't the usefulness of that kinda depend on what OS you're running?

AA

"Edna" <edna@texomaonline.com> wrote in message news:44f882f0@linux...

> Thanks John. . .

>

> "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44f85652\$1@linux...

>>

>> * /Z:64 option: Format your AUDIO drive with "Format X: /Z:64" for 32k
> clusters.

>>

>>

>> <http://www.kfocus.com/paris/>

>>

>> "Edna" <edna@texomaonline.com> wrote:

>> >Anyone have a recommendation for optimum cluster size for audio work?

> Seems

>> >like larger sizes might be appropriate. Also,any issues with SATA and

>> >PARIS?

>> >Thanks,

>> >Edna

>> >

>> >

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: cluster size?

Posted by [Edna Sloan](#) on Sat, 02 Sep 2006 02:40:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm running XP Pro, SP1. 320GB Seagate SATA drive.

The install software from Seagate only allows up to 4K clusters.

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message news:44f8c788@linux...

> Doesn't the usefulness of that kinda depend on what OS you're running?

> AA

>

>

> "Edna" <edna@texomaonline.com> wrote in message news:44f882f0@linux...

>> Thanks John. . .

>>

>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44f85652\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> * /Z:64 option: Format your AUDIO drive with "Format X: /Z:64" for 32k

>> clusters.

>>>

>>>

>>> <http://www.kfocus.com/paris/>

>>>

>>> "Edna" <edna@texomaonline.com> wrote:

>>> >Anyone have a recommendation for optimum cluster size for audio work?

>> Seems

>>> >like larger sizes might be appropriate. Also,any issues with SATA and

>>> >PARIS?

>>> >Thanks,

>>> >Edna

>>> >

>>> >

>>>

>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: cluster size?

Posted by [Edna Sloan](#) on Sat, 02 Sep 2006 02:43:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, and on NTFS. . .

"RiverLake Farms" <edna@texomaonline.com> wrote in message news:44f8ed8a@linux...

> I'm running XP Pro, SP1. 320GB Seagate SATA drive.

> The install software from Seagate only allows up to 4K clusters.

> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message

> news:44f8c788@linux...

>> Doesn't the usefulness of that kinda depend on what OS you're running?

>> AA

>> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>> news:44f8c788@linux...
>> > Doesn't the usefulness of that kinda depend on what OS you're running?
>> > AA
>> >
>> >
>> > "Edna" <edna@texomaonline.com> wrote in message news:44f882f0@linux...
>> > > Thanks John. . .
>> > >
>> > > "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44f85652\$1@linux...
>> > >>
>> > >> * /Z:64 option: Format your AUDIO drive with "Format X: /Z:64" for
>> > >>32k
>> > > clusters.
>> > >>
>> > >>
>> > >> http://www.kfocus.com/paris/
>> > >>
>> > >> "Edna" <edna@texomaonline.com> wrote:
>> > >> >Anyone have a recommendation for optimum cluster size for audio
>> > >>work?
>> > > Seems
>> > >> >like larger sizes might be appropriate. Also,any issues with SATA
>> > >>and
>> > >> >PARIS?
>> > >> >Thanks,
>> > >> >Edna
>> > >> >
>> > >> >
>> > >>
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: cluster size?
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Sat, 02 Sep 2006 17:03:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Edna,

This will have all the info you need. Anything larger than default(512)
is really meant for huge terabyte plus size drives. There will be no

measurable benefit to using anything larger.
Use the Disk Management Console for doing this not a command line or boot disk. Much safer and easier.

Chris

http://www.microsoft.com/resources/documentation/windows/xp/all/proddocs/en-us/choosing_between_ntfs_fat_and_fat32.mspx?mfr=true

<http://technet2.microsoft.com/WindowsServer/en/library/8cc5891d-bf8e-4164-862d-dac5418c59481033.mspx?mfr=true>

<http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=kb;EN-US;314463>

<http://support.microsoft.com/kb/309000/>

Chris

Edna wrote:

> Anyone have a recommendation for optimum cluster size for audio work? Seems
> like larger sizes might be appropriate. Also, any issues with SATA and
> PARIS?
> Thanks,
> Edna
>
>

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>

www.adkproaudio.com <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>

(859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: cluster size?

Posted by [Edna Sloan](#) on Sat, 02 Sep 2006 21:53:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for the info

"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message
news:44f9b7c7@linux...

> HI Edna,

>

> This will have all the info you need. Anything larger than default(512)

> is really meant for huge terabyte plus size drives. There will be no

> measurable benefit to using anything larger.

> Use the Disk Management Console for doing this not a command line or

> boot disk. Much safer and easier.

>

> Chris

>

>

>

>

>

>

> http://www.microsoft.com/resources/documentation/windows/xp/all/proddocs/en-us/choosing_between_ntfs_fat_and_fat32.mspx?mfr=true

>

>

> <http://technet2.microsoft.com/WindowsServer/en/library/8cc5891d-bf8e-4164-862d-dac5418c59481033.mspx?mfr=true>

>

> <http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=kb;EN-US;314463>

>

> <http://support.microsoft.com/kb/309000/>

>

>

>

>

> Chris

>

>

> Edna wrote:

>> Anyone have a recommendation for optimum cluster size for audio work?

Seems

>> like larger sizes might be appropriate. Also,any issues with SATA and

>> PARIS?

>> Thanks,

>> Edna

>>

>>

>

> --

> Chris Ludwig

> ADK

> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>
> www.adkproaudio.com <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>
> (859) 635-5762
