
Subject: Fruit computer final

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 18:23:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I had to get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as I could get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512 and not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on OS X.FurryCrittter and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling everything from (new) source? Wow.

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 18:41:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You paid extra for black. I guess some people think it's worth it but I would go with white. About \$500 less here:

<http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B000EPJNHW/weeno/103-7748545-1896629?%5Fencoding=UTF8&camp=1789&link%5Fcode=xml2>

That's a big update alright. On the bright side, Apple has been consistent with regular free updates during each dev cycle and OSX is better for it.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

TCB wrote:

> Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I had to
> get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as I could
> get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512 and
> not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on OS X.FurryCrittter
> and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling everything
> from (new) source? Wow.

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 18:43:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The black one has 2.0 Ghz dual cores and a 20 gb larger hard drive. Bumping the Dell to 2.0 was over \$200 of the upgrade price so the Dell actually does better in terms of bang for buck vs. the \$1299 model.

I'm all for patches, but what kind of patch takes 300MB? Service Pack 2, the most bloated piece of M\$oft crap ever wasn't even that big.

TCB

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>You paid extra for black. I guess some people think it's worth it but I

>would go with white. About \$500 less here:

>

> <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B000EPJNHW/weeno/103-7748545-1896629?%5Fencoding=UTF8&camp=1789&link%5Fcode=xml2>

>

>That's a big update alright. On the bright side, Apple has been
>consistent with regular free updates during each dev cycle and OSX is
>better for it.

>

>Cheers,

> -Jamie

> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>

>

>TCB wrote:

>> Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I had to

>> get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as I could

>> get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512 and
>> not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on OS
X.FurryCritic

>> and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling everything
>> from (new) source? Wow.

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final

Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 18:56:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That Black MacBook looks nice, but I think it is ridiculous that Apple is charging \$200.00 more for a color! I agree with you guys about Apple's prices. I hope it gets better over time.

James

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I had to
>get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as I could
>get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512 and
>not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on OS X.FurryCritic
>and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling everything
>from (new) source? Wow.

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 18:58:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jamie, there's no superDrive on that one.

James

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>You paid extra for black. I guess some people think it's worth it but I

>would go with white. About \$500 less here:

>

> <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B000EPJNHW/weeno/103-7748545-1896629?%5Fencoding=UTF8&camp=1789&link%5Fcode=xml2>

>

>That's a big update alright. On the bright side, Apple has been
>consistent with regular free updates during each dev cycle and OSX is
>better for it.

>

>Cheers,

> -Jamie

> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>

>

>TCB wrote:

>> Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I had to

>> get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as I could

>> get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512 and
>> not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on OS X.FurryCritic

>> and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling everything
>> from (new) source? Wow.

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:09:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The Macbook has things the Dell doesn't have, the Dell has things the Macbook doesn't have. It sounds like you'd be more comfortable buying the Dell. You must be a good friend to suck it up and buy what your friend wants instead of what you want... :^)

Too bad the CompUSA you walked into had such little choice. You could have spent less on a Macbook by going to another store with more stock, by going online like you'd do with the Dell or by following some of the other advice in this thread.

Damn Apple for providing such a significant update (probably a combined update from several rounds completed since that laptop shipped). Those scurvy bastards!

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

TCB wrote:

> The black one has 2.0 Ghz dual cores and a 20 gb larger hard drive. Bumping
> the Dell to 2.0 was over \$200 of the upgrade price so the Dell actually does
> better in terms of bang for buck vs. the \$1299 model.

>

> I'm all for patches, but what kind of patch takes 300MB? Service Pack 2,
> the most bloated piece of M\$oft crap ever wasn't even that big.

>

> TCB

>

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>> You paid extra for black. I guess some people think it's worth it but I

>

>> would go with white. About \$500 less here:

>>

>> <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B000EPJNHW/weeno/103-7748545-1896629?%5Fencoding=UTF8&camp=1789&link%5Fcode=xm2>

>>

>> That's a big update alright. On the bright side, Apple has been
>> consistent with regular free updates during each dev cycle and OSX is
>> better for it.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>

>>
>> TCB wrote:
>>> Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I had
> to
>>> get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as I
> could
>>> get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512 and
>>> not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on OS
> X.FurryCrittter
>>> and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling everything
>>> from (new) source? Wow.
>

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:10:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OS X only, the others (all 11 of them) were between 5 and 60 MB.

TCB

"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:

>Was the 300MB just for OSX, or was it the OS plus iTunes, iPhoto, iMovie,

>Garage Band, Mail, Safari, etc., etc.? When Software Update runs on a Mac,

>it looks for updates to all the bundled software. I have my Macs set up
to

>check for updates once a day, and have never had a single OS update alone

>run over 20MB or so, as far as I can recall. Now, if the software was a

>couple of updates old, and included all the bundled stuff, I can see how
it

>may have been pretty big. Subsequent updates should be much more reasonable

>in size.

>

>Tony

>

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message [news:4535243d\\$1@linux...](#)

>>

>> The black one has 2.0 Ghz dual cores and a 20 gb larger hard drive.

>> Bumping

>> the Dell to 2.0 was over \$200 of the upgrade price so the Dell actually

>> does
>> better in terms of bang for buck vs. the \$1299 model.
>>
>> I'm all for patches, but what kind of patch takes 300MB? Service Pack
2,
>> the most bloated piece of M\$oft crap ever wasn't even that big.
>>
>> TCB
>>
>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> You paid extra for black. I guess some people think it's worth it but
I
>>
>>> would go with white. About \$500 less here:
>>>
>>> <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B000EPJNHW/weeno/103-7748545-1896629?%5Fencoding=UTF8&camp=1789&link%5Fcode=xm2>
>>>
>>> That's a big update alright. On the bright side, Apple has been
>>> consistent with regular free updates during each dev cycle and OSX is
>>> better for it.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>
>>>
>>> TCB wrote:
>>>> Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I
had
>> to
>>>> get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as
I
>> could
>>>> get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512
and
>>>> not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on
OS
>> X.FurryCritic
>>>> and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling
>>>> everything
>>>> from (new) source? Wow.
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:14:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Was the 300MB just for OSX, or was it the OS plus iTunes, iPhoto, iMovie, Garage Band, Mail, Safari, etc., etc.? When Software Update runs on a Mac, it looks for updates to all the bundled software. I have my Macs set up to check for updates once a day, and have never had a single OS update alone run over 20MB or so, as far as I can recall. Now, if the software was a couple of updates old, and included all the bundled stuff, I can see how it may have been pretty big. Subsequent updates should be much more reasonable in size.

Tony

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:4535243d\$1@linux...

>

> The black one has 2.0 Ghz dual cores and a 20 gb larger hard drive.

> Bumping

> the Dell to 2.0 was over \$200 of the upgrade price so the Dell actually

> does

> better in terms of bang for buck vs. the \$1299 model.

>

> I'm all for patches, but what kind of patch takes 300MB? Service Pack 2,

> the most bloated piece of M\$oft crap ever wasn't even that big.

>

> TCB

>

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>

>>You paid extra for black. I guess some people think it's worth it but I

>

>>would go with white. About \$500 less here:

>>

>> <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B000EPJNHW/weeno/103-7748545-1896629?%5Fencoding=UTF8&camp=1789&link%5Fcode=xm2>

>>

>>That's a big update alright. On the bright side, Apple has been

>>consistent with regular free updates during each dev cycle and OSX is

>>better for it.

>>

>>Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>>

>>

>>TCB wrote:

>>> Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I had

> to
>>> get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as I
> could
>>> get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512 and
>>> not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on OS
> X.FurryCritic
>>> and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling
>>> everything
>>> from (new) source? Wow.
>

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:19:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's the best bang/buck MacBook at the moment I think. But yes, if you need a superdrive you'd have to go with a superdrive model.

Still you can get a better deal on any of the models by looking around a bit: Amazon's rebate, the free extra RAM/peripheral deals, refurb, developer/edu deals if appropriate or sales - some of the ideas we both suggested earlier.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

James McCloskey wrote:

> Jamie, there's no superDrive on that one.
>
> James
>
> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>> You paid extra for black. I guess some people think it's worth it but I
>
>> would go with white. About \$500 less here:
>>
>> <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B000EPJNHW/weeno/103-7748545-1896629?%5Fencoding=UTF8&camp=1789&link%5Fcode=xml>
>>
>> That's a big update alright. On the bright side, Apple has been
>> consistent with regular free updates during each dev cycle and OSX is
>> better for it.
>>

>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>> TCB wrote:
>>> Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I had
> to
>>> get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as I
> could
>>> get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512 and
>>> not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on OS
> X.FurryCrittter
>>> and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling everything
>>> from (new) source? Wow.
>

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final
Posted by [Nil](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:21:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>I agree about the black model surcharge. I wouldn't pay it.

Just call it "MacBook Pro".

:D

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:24:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree about the black model surcharge. I wouldn't pay it. MacBook prices are better for the white ones. I think the white looks better, too.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

James McCloskey wrote:
> That Black MacBook looks nice, but I think it is ridiculous that Apple is
> charging \$200.00 more for a color! I agree with you guys about Apple's prices.
> I hope it gets better over time.

>
> James
>
> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>> Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I had
> to
>> get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as I could
>> get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512 and
>> not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on OS X.FurryCritic
>> and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling everything
>>from (new) source? Wow.
>

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:40:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow! The software on that MacBook must have been several updates old. Even at that it seems too huge. I have no explanation. At any rate, future updates should be much smaller. Geez, I would hope anyway! :>)

Tony

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:45352aaf@linux...
>
> OS X only, the others (all 11 of them) were between 5 and 60 MB.
>
> TCB
>
> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:
>>Was the 300MB just for OSX, or was it the OS plus iTunes, iPhoto, iMovie,
>
>>Garage Band, Mail, Safari, etc., etc.? When Software Update runs on a Mac,
>
>>it looks for updates to all the bundled software. I have my Macs set up
> to
>>check for updates once a day, and have never had a single OS update alone
>
>>run over 20MB or so, as far as I can recall. Now, if the software was a
>
>>couple of updates old, and included all the bundled stuff, I can see how
> it
>>may have been pretty big. Subsequent updates should be much more
>>reasonable
>

>>in size.
>>
>>Tony
>>
>>
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:4535243d\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> The black one has 2.0 Ghz dual cores and a 20 gb larger hard drive.
>>> Bumping
>>> the Dell to 2.0 was over \$200 of the upgrade price so the Dell actually
>
>>> does
>>> better in terms of bang for buck vs. the \$1299 model.
>>>
>>> I'm all for patches, but what kind of patch takes 300MB? Service Pack
> 2,
>>> the most bloated piece of M\$oft crap ever wasn't even that big.
>>>
>>> TCB
>>>
>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>You paid extra for black. I guess some people think it's worth it but
> I
>>>
>>>>would go with white. About \$500 less here:
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B000EPJNHW/weeno/103-7748545-1896629?%5Fencoding=UTF8&camp=1789&link%5Fcode=xm2>
>>>>
>>>>That's a big update alright. On the bright side, Apple has been
>>>>consistent with regular free updates during each dev cycle and OSX is
>>>>better for it.
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>TCB wrote:
>>>>> Went to CompUSA last night, they didn't have the white MacBook so I
> had
>>> to
>>>>> get the \$1499 black one. Spec'd a core duo Dell 640m to as close as
> I
>>> could
>>>>> get it. \$944 for the same CPU, twice as much RAM (though still 2x512
> and

>>>> not a single 1gb stick), one inch larger screen. Ran the updates on
> OS
>>> X.FurryCritic
>>>> and there was a 300MB(!!!) OS patch waiting. Are they recompiling
>>>> everything
>>>> from (new) source? Wow.
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Fruit computer final
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 19:44:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

More like "MacBook Fashion Accessory Version." Apple marketing likes to play with colors. That's been a big thing for the iPod, for example.

BTW, I'm not sure the actual MacBook Pro is worth the extra over the MacBook basic white for audio uses. Granted the MBP models do have an expansion slot, better graphics and larger screen options, and are incrementally faster last I looked. But I'll wait and see what's up with the next round...I don't need another laptop until the 64 bit models comes out. I'd also be interested in an integrated flash drive for fast booting and a Blu-ray drive for archiving and HDTV use - probably MBP features when they first appear.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Neil wrote:

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>> I agree about the black model surcharge. I wouldn't pay it.
>
> Just call it "MacBook Pro".
>
> :D
>
