

---

Subject: My god!!

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 18:57:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 19:01:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"DJ" <[www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com](http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com)> wrote in message news:4623c81d@linux...

> <http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

>

<http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

Man.....I remember Charles Whitman in 1966. This is just so incredibly sad.

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 19:44:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Unfreaking believable...

"DJ" <[www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com](http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com)> wrote:

>

>"DJ" <[www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com](http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com)> wrote in message news:4623c81d@linux...

>> <http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

>>

>

><http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

>

>Man.....I remember Charles Whitman in 1966. This is just so incredibly

>sad.

>

>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 22:45:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is unbelievable. I have friends who did grad work at Va Tech and probably know staff there. It did seem like one of the safer campuses - Blacksburg seems like a quiet, cool little mountain town, but I guess insanity knows no bounds anymore.

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4623d22d\$1@linux...

>

> Unfreaking believable...

>

>

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>>

>>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4623c81d@linux...

>>> <http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

>>>

>>

>><http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

>>

>>Man.....I remember Charles Whitman in 1966. This is just so incredibly

>

>>sad.

>>

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 00:19:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I just don't understand this kind of thing man, I just don't.

AA

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4623c81d@linux...

> <http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 04:03:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the shooter

had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or drugs were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.

I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.  
"When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William Pollack

Sad, very sad.

Sarah

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not\_here.dude> wrote in message  
news:46241368@linux...

>I just don't understand this kind of thing man, I just don't.

>

> AA

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4623c81d@linux...

>> http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942

>>

>

>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 05:36:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

" I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children. "

Sarah - I realize that the majority of shootings are probably male, but this is a silly sexist thing to say - isn't it? I have no doubt that a girl could do a similar thing. Our society gives us example after example of this destructive behavior with guns (what else are guns for?) and women are no exception to shootings or destruction.

There is also no guaranteed way to stop this kind of violence. Sad but true. It is, unfortunately, one of the very high prices/possibilities that comes with our liberties in the US (guns, guns rights, etc..). At very minimum, at least this particular person won't cause further damage.

Here's my personal edit to your quote.... "I think we need to seriously raise our children."

I know you have good interests at heart Sarah - hope you don't take offense to my post.

Peace be to all the VT family.

rock on,  
-Carl

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 05:39:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dammit Sarah, that's just like saying, after the next psycho kills her children:

Oh, we need to raise our female children better...

I'm sorry, it is despicable to use this as an excuse to attack "men"....

I gotta call you on this one.

DC

"Sarah" <[sarahjane@sarahtonin.com](mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com)> wrote:

>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the shooter

>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or drugs

>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill

>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug

>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.

>

>I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.

>"When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William Pollack

>

>Sad, very sad.

>

>Sarah

>

>"Aaron Allen" <[know-spam@not\\_here.dude](mailto:know-spam@not_here.dude)> wrote in message

>[news:46241368@linux...](mailto:news:46241368@linux...)

>>I just don't understand this kind of thing man, I just don't.

>>

>> AA

>>

>> "DJ" <[www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com](http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com)> wrote in message [news:4623c81d@linux...](mailto:news:4623c81d@linux...)

>>> <http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

>>>

>>  
>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 05:45:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, and let me say this on the gun issue.

Read this:

[http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE\\_ID=55226](http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE_ID=55226)

Now, how many of those poor dead people would have given anything, anything to have had their own gun at the moment just before they died?

Now, show me a proposal to take guns away from only criminals and psychos and I will show you a thousand laws already on the books that have all failed because psychos and criminals do not follow laws.

"Gun control" only applies to the good guys. The ones who would have stood up and saved lives today. The ones that died because they had no effective way to do so.

DC

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [neil\[1\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 05:59:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <[dc@spammersinhell.com](mailto:dc@spammersinhell.com)> wrote:

>  
>Oh, and let me say this on the gun issue.  
>  
>Read this:  
>  
> [http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE\\_ID=55226](http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE_ID=55226)  
>  
>Now, how many of those poor dead people would have given anything,  
>anything to have had their own gun at the moment just before they  
>died?  
>

>Now, show me a proposal to take guns away from only criminals  
>and psychos and I will show you a thousand laws already on the books  
>that have all failed because psychos and criminals do not follow laws.  
>  
>"Gun control" only applies to the good guys. The ones who would  
>have stood up and saved lives today. The ones that died because they  
>had no effective way to do so.

I'm not anti-gun, in fact, I own a gun, but guns have no place in school, so unless you'd also pass a law that said concealed-carry laws preempt school policy, then what you're saying would be irrelevant in any case. Tragic as it is, the risk of something like this happening once every forty-something years is much less than the risk of a bunch of students toting around firearms & one guy seeing another guy hitting on his chick on the way to study hall, and... hey, fucker, get away from my girlfriend or I'll pop a cap in yo' ass"; "oh yeah, you just try it & you'll hafta answer to my two buddies... Smith, and Wesson", multiply that incident by the hundreds of times each day in this country that something like that must happen (sans guns) and and well, you figure out the potential stats.

What blows my mind is that this guy just starts lining people up in a lecture hall & no handful of guys decided to rush him & take him down? Fuck, if you know that you're not necessarily next, but you're 5 or 6 down the row, what have you got to lose?

Neil

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 06:11:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Er.... um... I think I'd feel better if guns weren't legal. Kinda like the British... the penalty for being caught with a gun is something like a mandatory 10 years in prison. I realize this will never be the case in the US, and I'm not arguing to try and take people's guns away - I would, however, just feel a bit better knowing that guns weren't around. That's all. I also don't like mosquitos and brown recluse spiders.

rock on,  
-Carl

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:46245ef4\$1@linux...  
>  
> Oh, and let me say this on the gun issue.  
>

> Read this:  
>  
> [http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE\\_ID=55226](http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE_ID=55226)  
>  
> Now, how many of those poor dead people would have given anything,  
> anything to have had their own gun at the moment just before they  
> died?  
>  
> Now, show me a proposal to take guns away from only criminals  
> and psychos and I will show you a thousand laws already on the books  
> that have all failed because psychos and criminals do not follow laws.  
>  
> "Gun control" only applies to the good guys. The ones who would  
> have stood up and saved lives today. The ones that died because they  
> had no effective way to do so.  
>  
> DC  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 06:15:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <[sarahjane@sarahtonin.com](mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com)> wrote:

>I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.

>"When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William Pollack

I'll agree with Don on this one, Sarah. Besides, we've been letting our boys cry tears since the flower-power era, so who's to say how this kid was raised? Then there's always those people who go bad no matter what you do - you think every single person who's in prison for a serious crime had shitty parents? What are the odds of that being the case?

Neil

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 06:22:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

England is a sh\*tstorm of crime compared to the US. It is an epidemic. BTW, crime went up immediately after they banned guns many years ago and has gone up ever since.

You need to get your facts straight and stop blaming the tool instead the evil f\*ck who used it.

DC

"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Er.... um... I think I'd feel better if guns weren't legal. Kinda like the  
>British... the penalty for being caught with a gun is something like a  
>mandatory 10 years in prison. I realize this will never be the case in the  
>US, and I'm not arguing to try and take people's guns away - I would,  
>however, just feel a bit better knowing that guns weren't around. That's  
>all. I also don't like mosquitos and brown recluse spiders.

>

>rock on,

>-Carl

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:46245ef4\$1@linux...

>>

>> Oh, and let me say this on the gun issue.

>>

>> Read this:

>>

>> [http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE\\_ID=55226](http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE_ID=55226)

>>

>> Now, how many of those poor dead people would have given anything,  
>> anything to have had their own gun at the moment just before they  
>> died?

>>

>> Now, show me a proposal to take guns away from only criminals  
>> and psychos and I will show you a thousand laws already on the books  
>> that have all failed because psychos and criminals do not follow laws.

>>

>> "Gun control" only applies to the good guys. The ones who would  
>> have stood up and saved lives today. The ones that died because they  
>> had no effective way to do so.

>>

>> DC

>>

>

>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 06:29:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

---=\_linux46246940  
Content-Type: text/plain  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

favorite punishment, I have to go with the Marquise de Brinvilliers. She was forced to drink sixteen pints of water, then she was beheaded and burned

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the shooter  
>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or drugs  
>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill  
>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug  
>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.  
>  
>I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.  
  
>"When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William Pollack  
>  
>Sad, very sad.  
>  
>Sarah  
>  
>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not\_here.dude> wrote in message  
>news:46241368@linux...  
>>I just don't understand this kind of thing man, I just don't.  
>>  
>> AA  
>>  
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4623c81d@linux...  
>>> <http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>  
>

---=\_linux46246940  
Content-Type: image/jpeg; name="Bad\_Girls.jpg"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64  
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="Bad\_Girls.jpg"



JOGzautNPzPU5vEGn/B/QtW0DTpTqvjm/URzmOJlgs1wdoDMAWxuJyOCT2Ax  
XCfCjw5bXmqp/asmzSrCN7/VJj0WJOWGf9o4X8a7r44QCf4MeBvGOoAJrmyG  
2llb788LRs3zev3Q3P8AeNUtR0TT9l+GVvoN74t0fQNT18x3uoJeGTzDajPk  
xAlrYBOWOcc8VpKk5SjFL3UcFHHU6dCrVlJuvN8t+ye7/Q7X9nLxRd+KfiX4  
r1e6HlpfW0b28PaKGNyqKPoG/X3ryH4qQ/Zfin4rg6Y1J3/77Ab+tem/s322  
i6b8Q5o7LxdpOqSy6dJEtvaRTg4Do2cuirwB0681w3x9g8j40+IQBgSrby/j  
5QFRiVJ0Ly3OrJnRpZvGNB3ja1+/u6/iclpNnPqWqWunWozPdTJBEP8Abdgo  
/U17j+1PfQaR4T8M+CLFtsRZZHT0hgQKqPsSf/Ha479m3Q/7W+JttDSLmHTY  
nu2443Y2J+rZ/wCA1m/H/Whrvxd1aRZC1vpaLYRnsNnMn/j5YVz0f3eHIPue  
tmr+vZxRw3SGr/N/gkem/sj6QVstc1+RMeZllpET6KN7kfXev/fNeFeMNSTW  
/iD4m1mNg0N1qUvIH1RWKqf++QDX0TbTn4cfswyXpJivWsGIQH73n3DfIPqp  
dfwWvli0T7PpgXGMRIj9SM1piFyUI0zjymTxea1cY9o3f6L8D65+Bdna+Evg  
vHrd9iL7TFJqlyzcEIRlf/HFWvmWxi1zxZqmo6pb6de395dzPeXAt4HkMYkY  
4ztBwOMD6V9EftJanHofwYtdCsMRjU3gsI9v8MQXc3/jqY/GuM/ZEXVm8S66  
FKQ6VDDGz4QbpX+6gLH+FQJDgY5bnPbatTjUaovY8zL8XWw0auYJXk3ZX7vV  
/hp8zyKeyu4b/wCxXNncRXQZf3M0LI4J6cMB1r6D+PDp4R+BuleEbZgk14Yb  
RtvUqo3yt+JHP+9XFeFz/wAJ/wDtGTaif3tot+0o7gQ24wn4FIX/AL6p/wC1  
LrY1D4jW2kl+6LSbQbxnpLL8x/8AHQtY04xw9Ockej8RVzfFYajUSTdm0vP  
X/OIEv7LOkC98fXGosuYtNs2Kn0kkOxf/HRJVP4uaH4t8UfFjWLmy8NavNCj  
raWrC2YI6oMFgxAXBbJznHNdx+z95Phj4Ra/4xuFwHaaYZ43RwlQB/335g/G  
r3hvXdT+LfwUu7e11OXTfE8CBZXTpDEWIHzl3ynhJAMH0O70rWnRjKggcupx  
Y/MqkM1niqavyOyvSrK3/BKmh6ho/wAD/htNHrV5b3HiO+drj7FC+92kKhUT  
jnaoAy3TO7HUV5z+zhpdxrXxWh1K9G+WATajcse8jcD/AMefP4V5jFb7Z5Hu  
lna/VylwbgkyLIOCDnmvoz9IDT47TQte8R3GFVpFgDnssa72P0+YflWcKvt  
aqpxVIE6cRgXhMBPG1Z806uit5u7+elvl89/aR1ZdU+LI5Crbo9LtY7Vcdml  
3t/6Fj8K6T9mvx5p+hSXXhvWJ0tra8mE9tO5wiykBSjHtkAYPqPcV47rF/Pr  
msatrmx5HvLme7YDnCbifwAFQESxusU0LRs8ayoGH342+6w9jWE6s41nVS0P  
WwmAwtfLaeCqTsnL3l3u7tfgfQvxo+D63jXPibwjAPPkJmu7FOkpPV4/9o9S  
vfqOevzfd2le9iuHLBouNv0z/XH5V9C/su+LdXuNYuPCd5NjC2KWbXFfXJYw  
FWVSoP8Adlccdsca5D9prRbPRfiSsikiRjqdoLqSNeMShyrHHbdwfrmqrwU  
4e3p6HLlelnhsV/ZmL95J+75Pdf10PVf2Rv+Sb6h/wBhiT/0TDXsdeOfsjc/  
DfUP+wxJ/wCiYa9jrwn8GJ83nn/ACMKvqFFFFdB5IUUUUAfOP7ZTQL4h8A  
/aZjDDnUNziMyFeLfkKCM/Tlr6Or5v8A2zbWa91/wHbQsrDly6jiUpu24W37  
e9NQc/dSu2a0JOFWMk7Wa23l9a+J3gK7+Fn/AAgthqGs2KC1jtmuv7NDlgpG  
47fMHLyOee5rZrSLv4a6bKs99fel9cSH7tnDpi2yykdAzNlx2+uMVzzeHNdG  
dmr27ezQY/xqN9B8RpjF9prnsGDDP6UVMBi3q6afzPbofV6PNyVZx5t7Ja/i  
d3rPxCOfx14s0688bmbRfD+kuGtdlTrZpmlAx99hgAEAD6cAd64/xjrNv4g8  
caxq4u2vDcT7oZGt2i2xdEQK3TaMD8KoS6R4mXhV01sHoHfmoW0/xOOunWr+  
m24A/nWfBY6cOV0zuy7+zcHiVWVRu3Rx/VHXfB7xTovhX4hWutaxPJa21rH  
IGCWzu8u9CuBtGAATnJlo+OnjDw14j8eN4h007up4Z7SOKWOS1eNldCQDz1B  
BH5GuONt4kQ/NoQP+7doablnaX/WaFdf8BcNXP8AVcXGn7N0nY66k8FUxv1y  
OltLp7jsuh7J8EPiF8P/AALpt5Pf6jqFqxqGobBIYtPbZCq7sKpJy3LEk4Hbi  
ua07VPg5p+sPqmp6l4r8QSee1y1s2npFHNITn58nLDPbIB75HFeeG41BfvaH  
qwz/AHbct/Ko2vpkGX0vVEHq1owpNYiKUXRdl/XYxeCwdSrKq8baUt3a3+R6  
F8YPiff/ABIntrOOxl0vQbRXLHbyEGSaTBAZ8cDAJAA4GScnjHEhhgg8qetZ  
zanb/wAYnj9mhYf0ph1nTEjLPdqMdirZ/LFcNeNepLmlFo9/K3lmAouFOqnf  
dtrU9sj+I/hfxB4CtPCvxB0zVZTZbfsuo6eFeRCowrEEghgODwwPeptC+Jfg  
LwT8P9e03wxea1eanqCu0Mt1ZCMqxQluSD0Xk9K8BXxJ584t9P0+4uZGdY16

DLMcKOM9TTPEeoavaamdKWaKOQOUZoVJHHDHceoBDDIA+7nuK7aTruzIFep8  
vmEcqipKhWIZu/KldX+dke9/Bjxz8Lfh3YT6nqHiG7vdaltvLeKKxkCKNwYq  
m4DcScfMSOnavH/HXjabXfFuteIbGzIFt3RIh+1MN4HA2gD72MY4zgYzXMT  
LbWcEUI3++nc73Z5MtMDjC4/hX1J5OeOOo3iNZryWa4jDqwCBEQKCol2qBjC  
qMDHH5Ek10unGUeVrQ8KGNrUq3tqcmbpX62tb8juW+LHjm4+Gc3gj+zrUaOk  
SJJJFaOJUxeh+Zt2PmPXI5ya2Pgn8TtO8HeNo7vVJ7jTrJomivYvlaQuvVQF  
HOQeQe3PrVLwX47XT7MwJomktblFG64twWP/AF0JYkAdIB5B7da9G0bWvCHj  
jT20g6fo+nTLGfnto4EV2OB3BYc44Bz79qmUU5KT6BTxdWFOdNPSe+hwwxk8  
YeBNY8atr3hS+keHUV3XsMIs8ZjmHG8ZGCGHJxzkH1r1Twn8Rfhlpvwjl8HW  
/i+e3uLq3mjkvv7JuCqyS53EALzjOBz2FeOeNvg/f20zyeHdM126Q52KIIL  
Gx7fvGSPaPqp+teaH+1vD+pvbXVvLazxnEkMqEZwehFNQSk5xWrKWLnVpQw1  
WT5lu/e1z3HX7zwFo/w2Xwx4T8QxavrWrXccN/fPbPbiOAHcAN4+VdwXPJzy  
T046C9+Jvw9vdTi0zUPAC6toWnQR2VrqMR2XRRABnHykoeoG4HvjmvGtMvrb  
UrXzERdykbkPVTVPnqIFQbVHAArgqY5w93lsz67C8KwrtV/b80WtGIZ+Xc+i  
vDvxT+CXg2xnuPDdleQ3VwB5sK2cvnv1wC8hxj23YrxHx/4s1Dxx4wuvEd/C  
LZHRYbS3znyohyAffJJ+pPtWGWJpDWNbGupDISsell3DNPCYj285uTW3T59T  
6p/ZEOftqGP+gxL/wCiYa9krxr9kP8A5JtqP/YYI/8ARMNey16uE/gxPhs9  
/wCRjV9QoooroPJciiiAr51/a/eSPxT4Ckij8x1j1Qqmcbj5cGBn619FV88  
/tb/API3/D85xhdT/wDQIK3w38aPqVD4kcd6LbjSm1S8uri9i8jz0htv3Ku  
Nu4AYO4k/wC8B7VQtdR0xtgvfDeIR2MhGWQLIUB6O2VGR3J7dea2vAl1v0+f  
T3ILWkmEB/55tyv5Hcv/AAGuZubVbWe600r8lvYwD3jlyv/Al6QPwNfIZVh  
pZpmOKwGZ1JOpH4XzNW80IZdnfX15KhQpVqCST30vr53+Ze8SaOmiNHd2bP  
9hlcJJGzFvJY/dKk87SeMdiRjAzVKGOa5uYrS22+fMdqLuigDJY+wH9B3rpN  
HVda8INY3Lbm2NaynuCvCt9cbWrn/BEkj6/B54AlFnMki46OHjDfqDW2U8RY  
zC5XjKFZ3q4e6Te9r2X3P9BYjB0p4ilKmrRqW+XcvXtj4c0horfULe41W8dN  
5Vzv46btpUjUZ6dM++DTZtH0/UNOfUPDYkt5o2KvasSEYjkoVOQjYPBXA5HU  
VR18E+KtTdiScQoPZQmf5k/nWt4Dci41JBnGYn/Ehh/JRXn4jD4rA5NSzqGl  
m6r5W7vRpvaxvTdKti5YRwSjqlprp1uYEMiyxpludrqGGfQ81ITxUB/d3FzG  
oCrHdTooHZVkdY9AKUthfev13DVvbUYVf9pJ/efOy91uL6FHxNq8Wi6NNfSY  
ZIGI0J++56D+v0Brx9PO1nULW61C4Je5u2jImduFUBD07ABj/kVs/E7Wjd6x  
9gjIMFplSOPmcjk/h0/A1y+nia5misYif3j7IGeA2OteHj6/tallsjz69Tnl  
ZbGx4e12Xw3dWtxbwWs00Nwt1mVN2XVXVR9BuJ+uPanXfihHee4jsYxdzxhH  
kZmbC7iSBk9CCB9APck1K08P2dn5bzPPEmVJRhtGcHofQ5GaqfZdEgt4rkXv  
nk8tDjB+7nH/AH0CPyrzrJ6mWqMyWaaZg8rM5lwCe4oUIPnJKsD8vrVybU4J  
LcQLa4RCxQZ6Z61nSyFyCcDjGBTSE2WY76aMjo0akHY3Kk+pHf8AyOnFb93d  
3x0WO/luwgJ2xlt0A+M/88weAPZQPrXKAjua6jwnpkOtR6hLJYZS2tiQyl9q  
N2Jx1OAe4HGTxmlJlauLpXi/U7dgLq6edQMLI8aTOo4wB5glxx7VtX8GleKp  
I5m8eGG7HyiDV7aSOJOP+WbRmQAE9tqj6VwGCCR0570qk85/SjlsFzfvbPVf  
DWpMJ2j8zafmibejr6/Tv+td4dh/tq2D2utw+cBl4ZLbDL/AOPcj3rgtCvR  
Z6vZXc2+WG3mR2QNgsOOWUHtkZFe+X3wq0HxP4dm8b/DXXTDKuZBZvhMPjJ  
GMbT1x1z3xmiMaPNERDmR24bMcTh1y0ptLsmcm3h7VgP+P2ybnvAw/8AZjTG  
0PWAOJLFv+BOP/ZTUHhbxi3lmm6r+5uwdgkPCyNnoR2Ndh075r1qeUZfXjz  
Rj+J6tPO8a1eNVnu37JNrdWnw41CO7EQkOryMPLcsMeTD6gV7FXI37NH/liX  
v/YTk/8ARUveo159WjChN04bl8TFVZ1q0qk3dsKkkkzMAooooAK+ef2uCP8A  
hLfh+O+3Uv8A0CCvoavnn9rgf8Vd8Pz/ALOp/wDoEFdGF/jR9SofEjz3QroW  
Ov20rHEdx/o0n/AvuH/vrj/gVaPjaEQ39peAALcKYGP+2uWX9N/5CufuojNb  
vGp2sR8rf3WHIP4HB/Cupvlk8R+C1mhjBuiizRqTjEyHJXPbkFfxr53ieP8A  
ZGeYbNI6RI7svy/L8j6zAf7ThKmH6rVf1/W5neDbkw63cWZPyXMPmgf7SEKT

+lZf++apaM0cfxGukXhTJcqV1Iidv13VFp9z/ZmoPdv5c96sJhjtYm3rGWIJ  
Mkg+UHgfKCT/AEqW9x9h1a01KZi/ITs9w+Ozghm/Atn6CufG5VPHYjH43DJ+  
znTsunNLRu3dab9WFLExpwo057xlf0XmW/EmU8XX/o0MD/ow/wDZa0vAMmdQ  
1RMDAjt+sv+FQeL9Pum1WPV7SF7q3lt1iEI3su1iysAOWBDHpntVjwfBLZ  
wahqV9E1pFIEA84bWEaBiWYHpyx6+ledjsyw1bhGnh4zTqe7Hl63T7bnZRw9  
SGaubXu3bv0s0Yl6V/tC8xjH2uf/ANGtUTt6c0xJWuS9yyFDPI8209VDsWAP  
uAafgGv1fLqcqWEpQluoxX4l+aqvmnJrueJ+NrkWx8S3qSnklaVGP8AErHI  
/nj6g1nacPmacsyeWCQy9Qe1df8AGJFXULCTHzGJ1P0Df/XNchpNtdX1zHY2  
UUks8zbVRf4v/rV4eKggdSUTzJxtNpFaRy7ImOc1paRoGq6pfCys7R2l2Bzn  
gKpGQT6ZrpLfwH/Zd+x1dQ/2G9tGuXlfs8mctz2DAA16t4Gs4bXxJ4i064d  
l75737QgOAZYGA2EeoHI9s159TEKK906KOEc3aWh49/wr7xSFz/Z69OnmLms  
rVfDet6c5F1p04A/iRdy/mK+o0ton3bZA2GKkgjGR1FNI0yOQEIFPBznntXN  
HGvqjsnlkUtGfJHPQJfDn8Pfeq+HdVWS7817JuJY48ZYHGeV4H/glr1fxR4H  
0C9VgbNIJecND8rDr+deM+JtAuNHn6mS3Y4STGPwl7GuuFWNRHn1KEqT1Kus  
Xy6jqLxerbx2/nyM/IRKFSPJJCqPQDioMnFQg4p4J7j6Vsc5lpHrXYeAviBr  
PhC1urbTpAlrogtkZKMAQCvofmNcYD/OIBGe/XtRZML2Os+JOq6drfiE65p9  
sLb7cggkullws38ZA7A8HHrmut+HOvqvVgbK5ctc2wBDE8unr9R0/KvK5ScA  
YXjHQ1teALqS38V2WwkCVjGw9QR0/PFdeCqulUSWzNKU3GVz7v8A2aDnwJek  
f9BOT/0VFXqNeW/sy/8Alh3v/YUk/wDRUVepVOM/jyHV+NhRRRXMQFFFFABX  
zx+106L4s8AbsDK6lyTjHyQV9D14T+0tYWWp/Ef4c2d/bRXMDppmMciBIJec  
BGQfcU1WVD961fl1+4mdRUoub6HjzTwBeZ4h9XFVTc2yRvC2rf6M7lzAbgLG  
CevAxkcZwcjmu51bTfCFIMbCx8KaTf6kVBFutrGBGD0aRsElv5k9ga5610qw  
1yTSp1sbHS5xZTNMtpZxFPNWUlcq6EEDB61yYrivCzhzV6KajrrZ287HVgcT  
iMVKCpU2ue6Tbsn1MQappMahBqFkijgATKAP1qCbWtHUKHVLIEdR56/413UF  
hqOnnjS/DmpIO/2QWkn5qHUn8FrQXWNMt0zqGgXOn46uLUSoPfdFu49yBWVP  
jmjV0pRT+dn9z1NMZDMsJ8eHdu61X4Hmln4ms7ACox8QpDF18pZEdR9AQcfQ  
YFF34lhvyFudZluYwdwiVMJnsSEUZ/HNegavrcN9caVa+GNXsx9onkE8sCxz  
FFWNjgjt822hrjxFa6xpVq2rWl4t3cJENIsYRqjOzBg/oAOnVhXmSzvL44u  
LeGgqr1WmvXXa3QKeNzOrgZYiMf3cd7y7eRwCanaNnYLI/8AdtZD/Jaet6rf  
6uy1R/8Ad06c/wDslE1ACmyFQyozAM5wgJ5JxkgevAJr03xfiHtTX4nz/wDb  
9R7QR81/FG0nvdBS6XStUjNrJuaWWwljRUBg5ZIAHO2un+Bvg1bHR1166h33  
d4m6E/8APOM9Me56/lXo3xQR5PAWqWqQGea6RbWGPOMvI6ov5FgfwrzjUfBP  
xU0fSkvdK8VzXbW6oPscUxVQoGAFVvkbA7EAn0NZyzKePi5TtFt2PZybETxV  
68qbdux3ms+HrW/mWeePbKqNEZI8HfG3VGBBDKfQ9Oowah/4ROHzlI7ia3vr  
OD/VRX1qJpYl/urJkED6hjWN8NfEjPWWSy1/wALPCI2xNfyAwZH/XMr8zf7  
uBXo6wBgQw+br7gelcUpSpvkbPqo0YVo+0jF/kZ0sYhhjiiVYoUACrGgUAew  
7VGgl8o4B2g8H39P0qDxD4h0LRJxHq+p29lvj8yNZicsvlyAM5OQeB7Vx+o/  
GLwbZL5dq2o6gw7wwBE/NyG/SnCnOesUzOrUp09Jtl6TU7LzAzliOoJ4BHvX  
F+JdKt7u0mhniZo34bjqfWpdP+MHhPUbkW9zBfaaH4EkyiSMfUryPrg1d13U  
LZbfzonVIZN6OpDBI7EEcEH9a6ownB2Z59SVOpG8Xc+db+EW99PAH3iORkDY  
xnBxUQPvVrUg0r/biVH2ktKVbxjLMO1B/SqgPOK9VbHiNDxkCkz05pcgDrT  
c+9NEskDHB710Hw206XVvHGlafFcG3eWbiUIGKYUnODwelc5kY5Nd9+z8Yv+  
Fo6aXAJ2TbM9j5bf0zWWlqSp0pTjukzOrJwpuS6H29+z7osuheDLuzlv3vmf  
UHk8xolTGY4xjA+n616NXI/Cn/kXZ/8Ar7b/ANASuurLCVZ1qMZzd2xYacql  
KMpO7YUUUV0m4UUUUAFelftDDd8UfhupLYaPvGcHB/1UPevb6+eP2udXuNC8  
X/D7VLW2S5lhTUwsbPtB3JAv8iT+FY4iLIRkl2ZFSIOrF04K7ei9TC8IWkFj  
pjWioPOgmeO5c8vLrEF2J5JYYbJ9RUHhfQheXuq7dSvbKayvpoleHlkGOXZ  
MMh0bux6Y6Vj/D/xNd634g1Nb+zhs3nRJo0jblYqAjE++Nn5VU8ba74g8OeK

Zv7GuLeGK/t45ZDLEHO9CycZ9ttf2Goy5nWoTa99X11XR/5n2OOweNxWWU  
l0luNaLWmzTtr9+519rHd22s39hcXz3ccMcTxu8aqwLbsg7QAeg7VFP9lquq  
farqDWRaQrcPFDH9IV+E+UkknP3g36VgfDvUtU1KLVT1i7W5n89VLR EEAVY  
wcYH1rz6PXPELwt5XiLVLeGR2IWKGBYF3sWI4Gepp4XLqU8dXTStGy20u1rp  
byOqeBzjEYGIh6NS1VX5m32039T1ZtN/s/xTYpLcfarqS2ubi4nKBSzFoUUY  
7ALwB/iau6WFuPFNzdyMqw6fbrAjMcDzJCHf8IWP/vo15x8N9Yt7XxFey69r  
kxZrVFilvrgt/GSwDNwOg4+lctrNqPFXimNIEzPq98ql/UpFn734IK66WBdT  
MpSlpGMUk7aa9vRXOLF5ZXhk9TCVqi5k3Kb+f66H0qjK6h0YMrDIIOQRXh37  
StnqkWtaNq1vdyR2widEPmlBDKgZztPQM64A7koBxt9pbw21vFbQII4okWON  
R0VQMAfIWT468M2Xivw1daLeHYJBuilA5ikH3WH9fUEiuzB4iNGupPY/KsJW  
VGqpPY+VtW8UeKrvT1uxrevGxNxsj87UWlw6BWxkAcjcCDj+War+G/EGqpqi  
Rv4n1PTvNbAuFuGKlx7uueV9e4HODjBbrWI6/wCH7q88KX/7gGdJnhdgEIZQ  
wR1Y8Ywzc5GQeelZx0TVhfW9i+m3SXF0wWCN4ipkyf4c9R719ICNPIvFKzPr  
KUrW9n17Hs/hv4t32jrdaJ4q0y6udYspmHjtUUsxUkMHGQMgjqOv8+s0X4t+  
FdSeWGeWfR7qMFmW9XYDjnggkfgcH0zUngzRovCmjT6jqT/atZv3869uGwd7  
nkgewz/M15j8cJRqdlHftbls0Eqx+aFAOwg8fQECvNjSo1ZtJWXf/gH0E8TX  
w9KPNJN9rfqL8TviR4d8QyJbf2ANTW3Y+VczzNEeeuAmDg8dT+Fc3ovjnSdM  
b/kQvD0y5/5aK8jfnlzfyrB060tNSsdaubi6gtr23hW4toiRGso3gOi9twU5  
A7hT3rGl616MaEFHI6HjzxVSU+fr6I9og8VfDrxRp/2PVPD1vokj5Ec3IKqB  
uOBKqggjryAPWuDN1STw/PqGiQ3QvbBt32aQOCFB7jHH/wBcVzVpe3FqsiRP  
mOVSskbco4x3HqOoPUHkYNMhWLyJ2kWRm2gR7R8oYnqT9AR/+qiNGMeugTxE  
qmrWo6aRmUR7j5YYsq+hPX+QrtPAHg7TvEei6jctqO2+hZI7W13qrysUfJwT  
kqH8oZH941wxDKoyrKGGRRkdR61oeHNRGmaxb3bZKxtz1PGMcyj9sjPStnto  
c6I9Vs5tOvpLScESRkZB6jlyM++CKqYrc1oWt++oaxBBqBga42pK0QCEnJ+Y  
jgH/AGR2+IYoLxTTuJoQnA5ro/hjqS6V8QNEvXbai3aI59Fc7CfyY1zjkZHF  
CnawZSQR3qKkVOLI+pEo88XHufpx8KP+Rdn/AOvtv/QErrq8z/Zo1oelPhVZ  
avuDSTufNIP/AC0VEV//AB4GvTK58FBwoRi90Y4WLhRjF9AooorqOgKKKKAC  
vmn9tYZ1/wAA89tS/wDQbevpavmr9tZITXvAJdlUY1Ljj+GCs638OXod+Vt  
LG0m/wCZfmePeHdQGka3aag5YRxSYlwCfkb5W4HXAOfwrW+JOs6PrF1pcumX  
gndBLHIBGy4B2kE5A/umuU+32m/Ykwkf+7GCx/SrUdvqlyMW+lz7T/FMwjH6  
8/pXzSyielxMcRGEuaKt5Wd99PM/SsRicHTqqcqyWzstXp6fcdBoOr2Om+BN  
Zja8gS8mldlot48xiY0UEDr36+1ckEwAAeAMVoS6DqKWs08tzaWzLGzkQxly  
cDuTj+VZliXewt5ZDI3jVmPqSK0q5RUy9yqVFrN3/BF5PjKWlrVFTbfytpd+  
fn5Gxb6boUvga+1SXUIGrbZHgijuwGXHyopjz3Iz0zzW78GdKF54wu9UYBo9  
MtxFH7SS9fyVf/Hq4+RU27pAuF5JPatLw5oVrJpcV/cC4S5uszOyTuhAOdo4  
I6LitcsyutjHUjGe+uvRPojwuKsPVp4N4dT1qfktX16to+gwMetO29zzXiKa  
ZKgxDreuw+yajJ/U1NHHrcRPk+LtfX0DXlcf+PA1vPg/GLaSZ+Wvh+t0kjt/  
HOgaD4ImFlrFilx5Y/dyfePPXDDkftPwD4K+H3hrwn4ke5guJ5Z5rcmAXLA  
+WAfn2kAc42++M+9bemPMyQGeVppSiBnbq5wMk+5rQ1jQLHWIbWS7DeZbMWj  
ZHKspl6gjvz7jrnNcyUqH7lvQ/QqeW0sLhqShFOSS+/qcv4o1mxupZLG3IEs  
idY1I3EjrgdTXXkFYLDxMI1oV1ugkuFJjzwQwJwR6kEZxV3xB8OpppfMW/kV4  
7nzkliGHBzyfr7+taFro9/L4mj1JrA20YIDNlwS2OnA6D1rri4KPus8ycakp  
/vEeOeKPAfifRYPsj6QL23SQvFe2sRZiDxhsc46cEcdjya5VNL1KV9ken3TO  
f4VhYn+VfaeBIMGNWJGBk9KydTuVQP5agN3xVQx0tmtTV5QpaxbSPm7w38N  
Nd1GRJdSibTLXPzGUfvCPZOv54rttc0PStD8OS2NpbRIVQkh1yZGwcFj+HXt  
7V3N1cEksAffPeuQ8VLNcxAspAGed2OOmMVo6s5PUwqYWNGOm54zFd3FtG1p  
IEntQxP2eYEhT6r3U+4I981FNDZyXNNaXbQgZaCb73/AWHDfofY1p+lLNvt0  
pWMquTyAef8APrWXaWUIxL5aAkmu1STVzynFp2LQ1Sa6sLbTby9mSzt/uLyw

UE5O1eBn6nt1qhcGLzn8jf5O47N+N2O2cd60r6xtLawckubgNjkcfcWPK4qk  
7icWhWaj8KT0zXa/DfwPdeLNW06zhSUI4n2OUTIVcMQxPYZUjnH1ocrCSPRh  
9giaST4NaijuWWLXp0QH+EeRA2PzJP419CV8/wD7CiRR/CvXUgOYI8SXAQ+3  
kW+K+gKa2lCiiimAUUUUAFfN37Zotf8AhlfAjXsYkt0i1SR1IzkKkB/pX0jX  
zX+2pZrPgpMcNa6uP/IMNaUfjRcPiR5bZano1vChRVskdQV8yAxAgj1IANX3  
vY3tXmtCl2VGQkUgJNXNAO7RdP4yGtY+v+6Kde6NpNzlrjTbV27P5QDD8RzX  
zkPEJ05OFWjt2f6NH6H/AKoznTjKnUWq6r/hzl7vX1nspUjsZyXjZRIIGCRj  
nmsGzR47OCJxhkjVSPQgV1Gs+GIra2a50dJCQS0sDSM+8eqIjwfbvXOptaMO  
D8prKrnjzWKnfRff8z28oyyngZNaqbWvb1Wi0/Igvg8Is0QRnDkK4UjO0n5s  
ZI5xmuii1+2eeK0isL1nYYVVVDge/wA3A96yLSx1O/TzNOspJog2GI3KoPqF  
3EBj+Iblm8emxlZNH1O2yfknv5u4+paPdXsZDjqdGcqcqsY902r/meLxLy1  
5qdNSbSte3u/lr+RqgHtS55xVCLWdlkl8oajapL/AM85HCP/AN8tg12/hXwD  
4l8RES2tkba16m6u8xR49uMt9QCPcV9q8TRUebmVj5CUIDcfo22GyF1cgRwx  
wh2Y9AAASfwri774halc6oPJu103T1bnbCsgTP3yG747Agds969ih0jwdo9  
h9lub6bxZLgo0Vuwhs+mCGYEIh2I3P7rXIPivxvqdcXugObHwHo93EI57Oz  
gG11GRyQuW3A43KFBAGa/O8RSj7RylLrsj6HB46VZJQp3SW8nZfLe/3Gfrfj  
G8SWGXSPEdxfbhmVLjTYoVX0GAWz36EfWum8JeLbPWkNrcxJZ6gM4VSDsox1  
XP8A6D1HuK43xDqU4sZTb+MrDX0vnRplfTEMg2YxuaSEFR8oGFbnn1rEsbDW  
NZ1ZrmxihjV3BAhi8qGEjHKnJ2+vGfYVi4pO0WdU4RnT5qsFH+9f800n9yue  
x3JaOaOfzJdoRICg4QkkHJHc8cfU1mXczjORkE9M1JZw6iulQLqc0c9yq/O8  
Wdp546gc469PwqvcruTDLyOa0oxSZICp+6uZt4wZWwCB0PNZ7xFl3EZXiZu6  
VeulJAzgDrVWdeOQcFfWt5M8qtre5n3ej2cx3y28THbg5UDjFeaX9j9ivpTA  
FALNwOQo7816jqty1tpFxcspYqnIBx9ea8v1bwzdOJ5ptUgW98vzfsuQSRnA  
A+bP4hce+Oa3w92ePibRa0KF/LHdaY9u3knaCY5W4YBATt/HpXKirk9zELNY  
lkcE8yMx+8fQe1UhXXFWRxSlc0tB0u41e9NvbJwkbSyufuxovVmPYdOa+g7v  
xloHw70q40PwlpznWb2zktVIYB5Ek4hGGHQh/NbHr7GvNdJksfCXhQabexN/  
aWr+Vd6orAgw2KEPFb/70zbGPsU960vAEbaxfXXii9zJNI/IQIsZGB87/ViS  
T7lvWtqFB16iihwi5ux9U/sd6Muh/Cm4ss7pTqkkkzZyC5iizj24A/CvZ68u  
/Zo/5ES9/wCwnJ/6Kir1GtMRBQquK2RNRJSaQUUUUViQFFFFABXzb+2cM+IfA  
o45t9XH/AJChr6Sr5u/bK58UeAF9YtW/9FQ1pR/ilun8SOD8Mnd4e01v+nOL  
/wBBFaEh+XrWP4cnhtvCWITXEgjQ20KZPqQAB9Sa0opornds80Be7xsn5ZAz  
X4ri4P205W0u/wAz92wlaHsacb62X5Gd4m1OfT1tDbuXzFa5P8Adh3BWP5s  
PyNV77w/pVxqLXk5OzAeaAOBGx/vMPw+h75pLvTV1aa9+03FzErD7OI45duU  
APJHuSx+mKpCy1G4ilnRHE80cVpclxwGT07jPcZb8Cfau6go04JQnytaN+tv  
+D+B51aU3NucOaL2Xpe/36addTr4ipWPYgAmPIA6Y7YqwQRGCKrRfe46CrBJ  
KgelfPybuew9LI5rx5ot/rVnBHY3rWjox3ENtBBxnPXOADx71cTxB4nvdMHh  
jWNblvrDS4ILVlWciyYiU5kGSZDgr94n1GK0piS/Jz3rndPlkvdUm/v3rD/v  
hET/ANlr9H4BxNatifq8vghFv53X+Z8VxTgMPTisQI78mvuS7Hd+DrtW0uWF  
jgwPzk4BB5/rVq7hsrtP3xVgOQG/wrhU1B9LI+1CN5YiNsqLzgf3se3f2+lb  
FpqUNxGs0UqSxkcFea+hzTBzo4mT6N3PAwtanKPJM0LjQtFMoISytTIFwCUB  
I/GpoLONCCQy44AAqgJ/mXbLnIHAbt5b3EaoHBAOfxrztS5RhHYvXcjwwOO  
TxzXzXO3UxVgu7B+IXZ7wt+7J4P/ANasrU8M6Nk8Dk1VNWZFSu+Syl5H3YIb  
OTxg1FKjOofGOMrnoadGAWTtgdP8/WnajcJY6Zc3e0EwxNLjHHAJ/pWt7uxz  
N8yuzlPHnifStJtZNPmLT3DxsBEnVcjgk9v1NcHL46TyDcJpsX9qNAbdrk/3  
e3HTGO2PxxxXPYXVpdrcXmoy3E97Nub5CB8x6ZJGAOpPHoBjkjJbBNenSpKC  
seJWqupK4pYscnk1c0qeGzmF7JHHNJEwMMUi5Vm7Fh3A6478A8ZqkPxxS9hW  
piW77ULy+uZ7m8uJp7i4kMk8sjZarj3J7969S+EF0knhyW3B+e3nOR7MAR+u  
a8kAJ4AyfpW74P1ufw/qiXQy1tJ8lxGOrL7e4611YOsqNVN7GIKXK59+/sz

/wDliXuP+gnJ/wCioq9Rryf9lq6gvPh3dXFtKksb6k5DKc9YYj/WvWKMW060  
miavxsKKKK5zMKKKKACvnP8AbBX4u+Hq4zldU/9FwV9GV8zfttS3UWv/D9r  
KRYrgnUgrsu4D5IM8fSqhUjTkpy2WprQhKpUjGKu2zzeyJk+HNhKArGKO3mB  
P+w6t/Sm6guurPdGBxMiSu6qOTCwtyVA9VLMPOfrVnwREI34G0+Cdd0ctrsd  
c4yDkdRTI7pby2kEcTQj7QiSHzmLMvUAt1BzwRX5UptVqsUr2k9/N/8AAP1h  
0r0aTcmrxjs+y9PMrGeVI1s7OMCHyhMXjB3SREpk567uXz34HrSyXly91PBp  
0zFEZtiR8kN5cewEdJLE9s0upweTLbqqTbS8SP5DFGkJDIsEEedwpPNRppq12  
lle/YkZp47jyYo3jkJJDheXYkHjPA6fhVqKmlKEb37+b3Y6knB8tSTVu3ktl  
/WoyzmvP7St4pbqWWGW7kcCS4KAKf8oD/EANh29M59Kg0W/na1tWikInjt0W  
4Ubid0piYeXn/eePj1NPutSu5LC6h08MYmiiaybyt7sWaRmbGPRSB+daFjeG  
4v7a3iVYbM+a6JEsar8sihc557E8VrUuoyk4L+lftvrb7zizac1FTf8Aw7S7  
7aX+40vDW8aQkMrSNJAWiYyDDHDHBI9SuD+Nc5pOu6LBay/adUs4pHuriRla  
Ubhumcjj6EV17eXbiaYKF3Zkc+pAHP5AfIXIOIQR/wBnW8jQx73jV2O0ckjJ  
/nXfwlmH1SvWxey35rL9TszXK54yNLDqduVN337JHTS+L/DisR/asTY/ulzf  
yFUZPEnh0SebbTXccnUtb28gDd+RjBqjsUH5VH4UE5OcV9jW4kqVvyyprzP  
LXCfer+H/BOy0PUYr/T47yORwGJBvKN1x0PStYkKAS2c9Sa5nwh87TQ5Gdo  
Yf1rplW2OB9SO9eYp+0XNY8TGYT6niJUW726j2AYrgjjk1HcR/uxnGcnGam  
yFjqTve8cDCKEMeB7GmkzllJWsRpGMJkAZ/vGuU+J+trZaVJYwANc3a/Z4Y  
l5ZtwTj8fzNdLcEYJUE4U4P9a85gRda+lupx3UghFhYMISfm2/MiOwHqFkk  
YHsQD2rooU+aRyYis4Qsup5blCjFWHIODzmmMeeOtPIKmrIilVJOATnAphBz  
xXpo8gdEjyOqRqXdiFAA5JNTXFpdWyl09vLGrjKF1xnjlx+BH50/TL+WwaRo  
UQs6gbiMlec5H4gVqQeIHuo7az1ZBcWkLkKcKoVgFUJwQP7qj8qTuBjQoWYAK  
zDvjrV7V7iBmW3tiskUPyrLtwXHbl7d63rzWdBt7WEaJpeJyPnkIYMRINpUD  
/eBOOfQiub0qya91KK0wV3Nh/9kDrUOVk5PoaUqcqs1Thq3ofcn7CaFPgtc5R  
VLaxMTgdf3UOCfwxXvleK/scosfwww40G1V1iQKPQCCCvaqMPLnppXc6czof  
V8VOI/Lp+AUUUUVscAUUUUAfM37bGf8AhJfh2O2dSz/3xBX0zXzN+2wSviX4  
eH/sJf8AoNvWdb+HL0Z3ZZ/vIL/FH8zzXwpcT23w1t7mBveeO2k8tT0LBmCj  
88U4arZ3t9BE0MaW8mWgmPLGfev/DBBPrn0pvg1Hl8B2UKKWPmiSP8AZ885  
/TNaI9pkV1eZeNkjVAyPH8pVw+4Ee/fpX5jUIRp4irz780tT9TpU69ShS9m9  
OWOj6/P8/kc/e69Nc3jrbxptt/MliVIO5TGhGWAP3SSR27Vat7i3gv8AyTb2  
kN0ryyzZnYB3BlyinrkMT7e9XIfD9uks7xqEVk2luSzKd29W6cHORzwahtJd  
NukMlhdzRNOhiEb4cSdTktyc5fk5+vSqdTDyjalF2W+/42MpU8VCf76S5ntt  
+F/69SOy1m2lit7z7JbJOzpbD/SAIVBjMgw2OwJGMdeKY2peS13Ba6faB45/  
L3KWaOJlq7nHA/iJHTOD061s22i20SosW6FEbfGsTFdj4wSMccgnlllzz3N  
VG0CQSXDRXWwXTP9pGOHBcsD9QCVPYg444rnhimG5P8AW/f+vma1cNjeVLr5  
W7f5/gO1S4u08F3t3eRiK6WylLBRt5CnBwSSM8HGeM4rilUEUKRg8KoUfgK7  
Pxt+48E3UldnysUALHJbc6pz6nmuMJ5PNeIkqTozmlvJ/kv8z0qcX7Zpu7UY  
r8WKTzQPrTRk04V7BubXhGUpqbIDy8ZA/DB/pXbyor/PwRjOT2rZRJfs+q2  
0mQB5gBz6HivRHCruTcFA6H2rtoP3bHw/EVFrF8/dljAzyAvNVpkPnKT93PI  
75q0NijBJHOPrTHO52AJ+YZHbmtkzwhBWlUhdhipXIGotcJ498PanYaw/iX  
QoTcb4DFdwquTgrjdjuOASPbnlJr0WEDrj1+napo2A+8VAx19ferhNwd0Zz  
oxqR5ZHfyOoMzIEKJkIVJyQPTPep9O0+W9ICKSoPTCcli3sqgEniu6+LvhW4s  
NYudZs4lbT523ybWH7pz1B9iTkY9a4q2udXe4NtaXF081wgt9sTEvInQJkcl  
e23p7V6kZqUOY8ScHGfKaEUGm6cSs9q19cSLi3QHAIJxk47A84HXocV0eteE  
NLh03T799USxurvzJTCyb447WNcCXIGTucbRxhiQRxXMC20eyk+z3eoX32sD  
Es1oEljQ/wBwAkB/AHYNjqACOS7UrUancPdf8JLbX9xIAMXLSRSEDgAlxsGA  
AMb8DFZe2i+/3Mbslbi0nsjYQW5+0TywrG5OdgVQCfxJJ6cV0uWJS+vNUn  
TZPeTPJsz9xWYtj61h6dDr0EZhtNLjvPIALWYVW8D/aiJqb+3ZrVngu4Lq2m

GPIITJGPUHGK5MS51Y8IO1vU+jyKeBwlVVqzfN000R9r/se/8kz1H/sMy/8A  
omGvaK8M/YnvY7/4UahNG6vjWpIYqPHkwevtivc67cLFxpRTPJzirCtjalS  
Dumwooorc80KKKACvmX9tsf8VH8PPrqX/oMFfTVfNv7ZWm3ureLfh1Yac8C  
XUp1IRtNnYPltyc456A1nV+CXozowlaFCvCrPaLTfyZ5V4O1GDTvCtiJ+Fmv  
pLcN2BLuR+ox+Nbtpq+n3dtJlk8ahJGT5nAJw5QH6EqcetYXhjSJZfDv9I34  
SR4NSuYrjyydv/LRWKk89TxUi+HruDVhJFDAtrE2FR24K5I9M8gSA81+a4yh  
hZ1qnPK0rt/iz9SweMxSpUpUo80GI+S/A6CK/slhkdriPaqbjz2wDn8mX8xX  
KQ2sFgkt3G8t1PYQPIZnj2qNsQCKB9G+pINWk0K/eGVzOC4QBN5AJzGikZAw  
MFR2PQVfm06/ks9SgZ4G+1wkKCxJDeWE5bAB6Z6d65qfscPdQqXT3/r0bOqr  
GviZKU6dmtv6v3SF0vX7W6MgMh+ZIMQWNidpjRskgccseuKtjVLUGZJfNh8k  
EyNJEQq4UMct93oQetYP/CLTKL6OO6CQXUpyobDKhckgcf3WK9sVCnhqa7tp  
I5r0NMI9MFMWGRIZRAMQewJPPHYUTwuXzbp2QqeKzGMVF07v8yz4+u4300OB  
c86hHEcjqV+c49vI/SuW471o+NVj0jTNEsry7UhbqaQyucbvlfGff94KxF1H  
T2Py31q30IX/ABr3sroKOGXJqrvX52/Q6sNile0n7aSUtNLrsn+paUe9APzd  
aiWeFvuyxN9GFSg8Zrv5LHYpQezEIIWCJ7I8hIIMjYGcADJ4rvtE1OLUtlS9  
QjKIZoVYZ+nf3615UJBrGtWsFjqtg8Lh4HgeQxvmRShb5wFb73ABJ9q6L4O3  
pm0OfTXBE1nMflPYN2/MNXdRpJRd99D88zrNI4rEqEPHjdX7s74tkflQuO9A  
YkhtxJ75PSoBIFYhxzVbVtStNntWnu54reJckM7AfgPU1ahfY8lz01NAyAMu  
XJ9gawPFvjDSvD0ZW6I827K5W3jOWPpn0Huf1rgvEvxGvbx/sHh2GWPedol2  
5lfP90dv5/Sudg0N1tJdWvkvZlYmS1tpg7Rn+9OwJZR7Ac8/MpFa8kaetR/  
I554hyuqepJquoa540uZLq5eK2sLf7zsSsEAPqerMfQZY9hT2ha0iEHhS8hu  
XdR511HKEuXPdFQ4ZAP9nOe5PQZk3iJ7y0Sx1Oxhms4ixgS2xA0Oeu0gFT/w  
IE+9QJb6LdACHVntXPVL22IUe2+Pdn8VFaOM38asuyV18+v6eu5x3XTV/cy3  
eT6pC4/t3QVuD13XFf0Mh9y6bWY/7xNV3I8Oy4/0PUrVj1KXCTKPopVT/wCP  
VPbWeuWQ/wCJXq8bL2NIqSjP/AQwl/Kry/8ACfSAbotYvB/00RrgfqCKTaWz  
X3tfhsKz6p/dcy/sugN/qtYvI27efYAL+aSMf0q7aXl7BF5Fv4n0+5jHS2uA  
7Rn/AIDNGI6be3WvWnGoaLbRAdfP0iOMn8Qin9aqLqelyDbdeHrY+r2tzLE3  
/jxdf0o5ZTWvfvf8AgL/RCUIF6aff/mz7b/YhNwfhRqjXMFjC512Y/wChmPy2  
HkQc/uyVz249K93rwh9hQ2LfcPVGsI7mOM69NuWd1Zg32e37gDI6dhXv1dtJ  
Wgjnn8TCiitCQooooAK8G/aYOPih8Ljx/rNT/8ARUNe814D+1A4X4nfCzJO  
DNqXf/pnCP61jiP4M/R/kZV/4UvRnmv7IvddjPRNZugP++60JTwxP61oP8A  
DzT5b+9u21fWUW8uXuXhhuRGgZzk4wM/rVbXfCvgXw9pkmp6zbTzxx8Dz7qW  
RpG7KF3YJNfnulyyOlrynz79Ev8Ago+ry3xAw2EwtLDxpOUopLsYt/qun6fb  
vLc3USB90MCzH0A6k1w15q+rX+orfLcvZmM/wCjwqcqo/2x/ET3/SoNVm0y  
51I6hFp9jpyfcgghCjYD0y38TH1/Ae7Oh6V6GGyqjhW38TfVr8j9AwdWeZUI  
UrpR/up3t2u118uh1mk+KY7thbHTNQk1ALue3tbdpuBxuBH8Na8R16YsbXwf  
qg3HOZvKhyffLV53aagsdzHdWl3LBcwNujmjU5VuhwcYPoR0PevZPh545t/E  
YOnXqCDVYlyQqkRzqOrpn9VPT3FY1cnw8E5qD81dnyPE3EOZ5ZaWFqQnDvo5  
L1V/xKXhjQtaufFEWoa9odva2dvaTRxo9wk5d3aPqAMDAVvzrp7nwx4buc+d  
4f0qT/etlz/Std2whqlAluc4pwlyJRhokfkeY5ricwxDxFeXvPtpsc9N4C8F  
yg7/AAvpP/ALZVP5ivK/jfYeGPCdvZx6L4Vt/tLvukmZZGgjTGNhG7aWOenY  
DPpXs/iLvrLQtHuNW1GdYba3XLE56k4AAHPJPavITxYf+KJNYvvEUd+9w1I  
WluLSUvFtPRWX+EAcBXA6dK9PL1UqTu5aebvkdMUrVZ+0IJ8q8zOS48P3r  
qzWz6LdBgVlgJlgB7fKx3r9QzfSumi1WHwx46h1kTQ3WmarB5sptsICW+/jl  
BysgJwRnFcvFfaNqB26xYtazHpd2CAc+rRHCn/gJT8a010pl/D86yytqmky  
ieC708bngc4DLijYaMMMfeHVRjPNetNqnNN3XSz137P/ADfyPpoPsbniT4mG  
YINEtGjJ4E03JH0WuW1W31O5dL3xRez2qyLujSRSZZBn+BOMDPc4H1p9jqmk  
iH7Np6HRbjJ23sn79nHozAZj+qD/ABqG4bXNFhC38SX2nXLbh5jedbzHuUch

hvdSGHetOZp8sVb13fp0/P5Dk+bWTv8AkLBqOiSWTWft9o0VzkNcn9956n+G  
QgBIH+6CD3XvVRdH1mxYajpzGdluVu9PI3hPqV5X8cGIW00PUWzZ3raXOT/q  
L07oifRZVHH/AAID/eqG80rWdEeO7eGe3VjmK6gfKN/uyIcH8DVR5Yvli7N9  
Jf1r+JLu1dr5r+v8h0muzXT51Szs785+Z5I9kh+roVJ/HNCyeHJz80WqWOe6  
Mlww5HYR+ZobxDfzDbfxW2oj1uogX/7+Lh//AB6liutAnwLrTru0bu1rOHUf  
8AcZ/wDHqag4/Za9H+mn5E8yfX7xzaVo1x/qPENqvP3bq2ljP6Kw/Wl/4RyT  
g22p6PPjptvo0P5MQacun+HJ2/ceJPIJ/hvLN0/WPeKVvDcjNi01jRboHptv  
kj/STaah1bPWbXrH/glah/d+5/8ADliDTPF1oN1I9vX3tbjd/wCgMaS5n8X2  
2XvoNQdD1+22hkX/AMiKRUX/AAhniFjmLTDc+hgkSX/0EmgaZ4w0jEgstcsg  
OjBUH5ilz05fag3/Xmw5WujR9mfsI3Ru/hHq0rQW8LDX5gRBGEUn7Pb84HA  
P0xX0BXgX7C19fX/AMJdVl1GeWaZdemTdKctgW9v1Pfq99rup/CjlluFFFF  
WSFFFFABXgX7Tv8AyVH4Wf8AXXUv/RcNe+14D+09x8UfhWeP9dqQ5/3IKxxH  
8KXo/wAjKv8AwpejLaccU5gpABAOPWmc9Mj86coxwa+HZ8gjz/44SpH4atLU  
Kubi+TP0QM/8wK8kLfNmvT/jnZ6llmnXsdq0mn2qymeRefLZtuCR1xgHnt3r  
y4EEZBBB6GvSo6Uon7h4dxgsslaScnJt91poe6/Cgj/hXmjMrg5gLEg9yzGu  
mPPvXzjoer6poVwZ9lvXtmY5ePG6KT/eTofqMH3r0nwz8UdPuNtv4igGmTHg  
XCktbt9T1T/gXH+1XNXoTIJyrc+Fz/hMcBOVZLNg7u66eqPQ2AxyKiwe5p  
8UsU0KzQyJG4yro2VYHuCOoryP47/EWbQrb+wtEU/bJ+J7oplll7ouRhmPQ  
+gPqeMcPQnXnyR3PkKOGnXqckV/wDkPjZ450bxHqjeHlubuKxsZTi5g2yRSy  
4wSycEqQQCD6nBzXmpsdV0r/Almmm3RmtkOPtlk5Krns/Qpn0cDNJGdH1PKz  
40m6P3ZVDPbsf9peWT6rkf7I61Blmr+HdQikDyW0jpmOWNg0cyd8MPldT0I5  
HY19VRpKIh2UPufX0/p+h9nRoRoU1GOy6r9S0L7StU+TVLdNPuj0vLWL5GP/  
AE0iHH4pg+zGkmstc8NTw6laTllpMiG9tJN0Ug7gMP1RsH1FKo0rXQwbyNI1  
l8j+G1nPP/0yb/xz/cFVba81bw9eT23zRE/JcW0y7o5B6Oh4YdwfxB71aTfu  
x+cX+n9Nehrtr+KL63Gha5ldQWPRdRl4uoYz9mlP/TSNfuH/AGk4/wBnvUER  
a74Xl8pjst7kZGds1rdL6jqj9R7Gmtbabq+X054tPu+9nPJiNz/ANM5GPH+  
65+jE8VHZ6nq2gyzafNCTDu/0jT7yLdGx/2kbocfxDB9DUqH2Y6/3X+j1/Ve  
hd+r+9Di+haofmX+xbonkruktW/DI4//AB8fQVIYfEfhqP7VazzxWcxwJ7aU  
SW03sSMo3+6efanSWvh/WPn064Gj3h62I25MDH/Ym/h+j8D+/VWa38R+F7sM  
323TJJI+V0YhJ19iPlkX8xTTUvcX/gMv0f8Aw4mmtfxX9f5E512yuiP7U8PW  
M7H701qTbSH8F+T/AMcqSNfBk4y0+uaex/hMUdyo/EMh/Sqx1i1uSf7U0Szn  
c9ZrU/ZZD+Cgx/8AjlNeDQLjH2bU7u0kP8F5bbkHt5kZYn/vgU+TI6Sj6O6+  
7X8kHNfs/X+v1JzpOgSt+58VW6r/ANPFIMhH/fKtSHRdPUgR+KNlkz6JcL/O  
IVVTSJX/AOPe/wBKn/7fUhP5S7D+ISr4c1xseXpskwPeGRJR/wCOk0cyjvV+  
+3+SFZvaH5ksugQhN0euaPlc9BKy/wDoSin21nrUH/HjrtpGR08nWYoyPw3g  
0f8ACNeJQvzaBqZHqLZiP5VDJ4X8RHLHQtRAHY27Z/LFLnpta1E/WwKMv5Wf  
bn7ER1g/CjU/7buprm4/tyXZJJcCYIPIgx8wJ4zvnXu1fP8A+whYXmn/AAi1  
WC+sri0IovzMEniZGI+z24zgjpwefavoCuyIbkVrfLY5p35ncKKKsg//9k=

---=\_linux46246940--

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 06:33:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Neil" <IOUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>I'm not anti-gun, in fact, I own a gun, but guns have no place  
>in school,

I used to believe this...

>so unless you'd also pass a law that said concealed-  
>carry laws preempt school policy, then what you're saying would  
>be irrelevant in any case. Tragic as it is, the risk of  
>something like this happening once every forty-something years  
>is much less than the risk of a bunch of students toting around  
>firearms & one guy seeing another guy hitting on his chick  
>on the way to study hall, and... hey, fucker, get away from my  
>girlfriend or I'll pop a cap in yo' ass"; "oh yeah, you just try  
>it & you'll hafta answer to my two buddies... Smith, and  
>Wesson", multiply that incident by the hundreds of times each  
>day in this country that something like that must happen (sans  
>guns) and and well, you figure out the potential stats.

It's simply not the case. Concealed permit holders commit so close to zero crime that they have to be considered some of the most law-abiding people on the planet. Concealed carry permits have lowered crime about 20% everywhere they have been tried.

The myth of people just "snapping" and waving guns around is not factual.

Would you? Me neither. No one I know would do that, and criminals and gang members do not apply for permits and usually break the law by owning guns with a felony record anyway.

>  
>What blows my mind is that this guy just starts lining people  
>up in a lecture hall & no handful of guys decided to rush him &  
>take him down? Fuck, if you know that you're not necessarily  
>next, but you're 5 or 6 down the row, what have you got to lose?

I'm with you on that one. Too many of us have been raised to be what some woman thinks men should be and so are weak when we need to be strong and brave. Women are no better at telling men how to be men, then men have been at telling women how to live for all these years.

In the world, there are sheep, there are wolves, and there are sheep dogs. No one likes sheep dogs until you really, really need one...

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 06:41:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<http://www.americanhandgunner.com/GunCrank2.html>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 08:58:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm not offended in the least, but I don't think what I said was sexist. I'm not blaming "men," in fact, I'm not really blaming anyone, except maybe our culture for making violence cool. However, I don't think you can solve a problem if you pretend that an obvious aspect of that problem doesn't matter just because it pushes buttons.

Women aren't "better" than men, or vice versa. Men and women are "equal," but you may have noticed they are very different. One major difference related to aggressive and combative behavior is testosterone, which a male typically has 7 to 10 times as much of as a female. Testosterone levels rise rapidly, sometimes drastically, with threat, anger, and competition.

Just as girls are taught about their special hormonal differences and reproductive cycles, boys should be taught about this special aspect of their physiology, and the emotions it can produce. Instead of thwarting their natural aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it into creative, constructive activity. We should also teach them that empathy and compassion are not "gay," and that having brain and a heart are more important than having "balls," so to speak. I bet most of the men here avoided violent behavior in part when they were growing up by beating the shit out of drums or guitars instead of other guys.

Of course we need to discourage violence in all our children, but it's a bigger problem among boys, and I think some special attention is needed there. Does this make sense?

Sarah

"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotNOSPAMmail.com> wrote in message news:46245d87@linux...

>" I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children. "

>

> Sarah - I realize that the majority of shootings are probably male, but

> this

> is a silly sexist thing to say - isn't it? I have no doubt that a girl

> could

> do a similar thing. Our society gives us example after example of this  
> destructive behavior with guns (what else are guns for?) and women are no  
> exception to shootings or destruction.  
>  
> There is also no guaranteed way to stop this kind of violence. Sad but  
> true.  
> It is, unfortunately, one of the very high prices/possibilities that comes  
> with our liberties in the US (guns, guns rights, etc..). At very minimum,  
> at  
> least this particular person won't cause further damage.  
>  
> Here's my personal edit to your quote.... "I think we need to seriously  
> raise our children."  
>  
> I know you have good interests at heart Sarah - hope you don't take  
> offense  
> to my post.  
>  
> Peace be to all the VT family.  
>  
> rock on,  
> -Carl  
>  
>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 09:11:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Oh gimme a break. I'm not attacking "men," I'm attacking a culture that makes heros of killers.

I love men. I think I have more male friends than female. If I hated men, instead of hanging around here all the time, I'd be exchanging recipes and hair tips over at iVillage.com. (See? Now that was a sexist remark).

It's just a fact . . . the problem of human violence is primarily a problem among the males of the species. What's wrong with recognizing that and trying to understand it and change it? I don't believe men are "bad" or inferior.

Now don't make be come over there and kick your ass nine ways t' sunday. :)

S

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:46245d9f\$1@linux...

>  
> Dammit Sarah, that's just like saying, after the next psycho kills her  
> children:

>  
> Oh, we need to raise our female children better...

>  
> I'm sorry, it is despicable to use this as an excuse to attack "men"....

>  
> I gotta call you on this one.

>  
> DC

>  
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the shooter

>  
>>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or drugs

>  
>>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill

>  
>>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug  
>>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.

>>  
>>I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.

>  
>>"When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
>>Pollack

>>  
>>Sad, very sad.

>>  
>>Sarah

>>  
>>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not\_here.dude> wrote in message  
>>news:46241368@linux...

>>>I just don't understand this kind of thing man, I just don't.

>>>  
>>> AA

>>>  
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4623c81d@linux...

>>>> <http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:25:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>It's just a fact . . . the problem of human violence is primarily a problem  
>among the males of the species. What's wrong with recognizing that and  
>trying to understand it and change it? I don't believe men are "bad" or  
>inferior.

Unless you are a child, huh? Then it is "women" you are in danger of..  
Oh, and lesbians, those most female of people, according to many feminists,  
have the highest partner abuse on the planet...

It's bull. All of it.

Men are also most likely the ones to stand up and stop the killer.  
You are reducing pure, poisonous evil to gender and biochemistry  
Making young men into a womans version of themselves is not the  
solution. Teaching men to cry more??? What?

Unbelievable.

The problem is evil, not how we raise men...

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:28:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Does this make sense?

>  
>Sarah

Decidedly. This seems like a good time for honest discussion. Not just here,  
but nationally.  
Gene

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarhtonin.com> wrote:

>I'm not offended in the least, but I don't think what I said was sexist.

>I'm not blaming "men," in fact, I'm not really blaming anyone, except maybe

>our culture for making violence cool. However, I don't think you can solve

>a problem if you pretend that an obvious aspect of that problem doesn't

>matter just because it pushes buttons.

>

>Women aren't "better" than men, or vice versa. Men and women are "equal,"

>but you may have noticed they are very different. One major difference

>related to aggressive and combative behavior is testosterone, which a male

>typically has 7 to 10 times as much of as a female. Testosterone levels

>rise rapidly, sometimes drastically, with threat, anger, and competition.

>

>Just as girls are taught about their special hormonal differences and

>reproductive cycles, boys should be taught about this special aspect of

>their physiology, and the emotions it can produce. Instead of thwarting

>their natural aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it  
into

>creative, constructive activity. We should also teach them that empathy  
and

>compassion are not "gay," and that having brain and a heart are more

>important than having "balls," so to speak. I bet most of the men here

>avoided violent behavior in part when they were growing up by beating the

>shit out of drums or guitars instead of other guys.

>

>Of course we need to discourage violence in all our children, but it's a

>bigger problem among boys, and I think some special attention is needed

>there. Does this make sense?

>

>Sarah

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:34:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <[sarahjane@sarahtonin.com](mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com)> wrote:

>Women aren't "better" than men, or vice versa. Men and women are "equal,"

>but you may have noticed they are very different. One major difference

>related to aggressive and combative behavior is testosterone, which a male

>typically has 7 to 10 times as much of as a female. Testosterone levels

>rise rapidly, sometimes drastically, with threat, anger, and competition.

And genuine heroism and righteousness.

ooops

>Just as girls are taught about their special hormonal differences and

>reproductive cycles, boys should be taught about this special aspect of

>their physiology, and the emotions it can produce. Instead of thwarting

>their natural aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it  
into

>creative, constructive activity. We should also teach them that empathy  
and

>compassion are not "gay," and that having brain and a heart are more  
>important than having "balls," so to speak. I bet most of the men here

>avoided violent behavior in part when they were growing up by beating the

>shit out of drums or guitars instead of other guys.

And there you have it. A nearly complete version of a "man" as  
determined by women... One problem, it's all wrong.

I avoided violence, as my daughter is, by years and years of martial  
arts training. Oh, and shooting... Oh, and my relationship with Jesus  
Christ.

In short, by becoming a better man, not a womans version of one.  
Ironic huh?

Traditional martial artists are the least violent people on the planet,  
as are shooters. As were the real people of the old west, not the

Hollywood lie that has replaced them.

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:36:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

"The problem is evil, not how we raise men..."

Gene

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>

>>It's just a fact . . . the problem of human violence is primarily a problem

>

>>among the males of the species. What's wrong with recognizing that and

>

>>trying to understand it and change it? I don't believe men are "bad" or

>

>>inferior.

>

>Unless you are a child, huh? Then it is "women" you are in danger of..

>Oh, and lesbians, those most female of people, according to many feminists,

>have the highest partner abuse on the planet...

>

>It's bull. All of it.

>

>Men are also most likely the ones to stand up and stop the killer.

>You are reducing pure, poisonous evil to gender and biochemistry

>Making young men into a womans version of themselves is not the

>solution. Teaching men to cry more??? What?

>

>Unbelievable.  
>  
>The problem is evil, not how we raise men...  
>  
>DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:40:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Forgive me but this sounds like the making of a C&W song.

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>I avoided violence, as my daughter is, by years and years of martial  
>arts training. Oh, and shooting... Oh, and my relationship with Jesus  
>Christ.  
>  
>In short, by becoming a better man, not a womans version of one.  
>Ironic huh?  
>  
>Traditional martial artists are the least violent people on the planet,  
>as are shooters. As were the real people of the old west, not the  
>Hollywood lie that has replaced them.  
>  
>  
>DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:44:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>Oh gimme a break. I'm not attacking "men," I'm attacking a culture that  
  
>makes heros of killers.

Well, in order to escape that, you'd need to become part of  
some entirely diffeent species. Prior to our current, "evil",  
modern culture even being on the horizon, the ONLY heroes were  
killers - you didn't become leader of the tribe or a kingdom or  
the Roman Empire a Mongol Horde if you didn't "kill your way  
there" one way or the other, either by proving your self in

battle, or murdering the hated bastard that was in your way, earning some applause & respect for awhile, until YOU became the bastard & someone killed you LOL!

Yeah, pity our modern society that produces pop art that glorifies guys that protect the weak, whether it's with mad kung-fu skilz or guns... we'd be much better off without all the influences our society produces, like comic books, movies, running water and vaccines.

Neil

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:49:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

Then all this hatred of masculinity in the last 40 years or so should have lowered violence right?

Where's your results?

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:50:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>

>Forgive me but this sounds like the making of a C&W song.

I'll share the publishing with you.

Sure beats the hell (literally) out of the alternative.

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:52:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We teach Jesus, Karate and Guns  
to our daughters, and not just our sons.  
It takes bibles and carbines  
to help form their young minds.  
And we throw in a Black-Belt for fun.

Just kidding.

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 14:03:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>

>We teach Jesus, Karate and Guns  
>to our daughters, and not just our sons.  
>It takes bibles and carbines  
>to help form their young minds.  
>And we throw in a Black-Belt for fun.

When the world has failed us again  
we teach kindness to women and men  
It takes Bibles and virtue  
to make a good life  
and respect will rub off on our friends.

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 14:35:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Some of y'all might be surprised by this, but I'm mostly with Don on this one. I have a concealed carry permit (though I don't carry all that often) and if I ever had daughters they'd be little Annie Oakleys with their own pistol as soon as they could legally carry and any asshole who tried to hurt them would get one through the brain. The asymmetry of rape and abuse visited upon women is in large part simply that they can't physically defend themselves. A small clip 9 mm in her purse levels that playing field in a hurry.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

---

>  
>"Neil" <IOUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:  
>  
>>I'm not anti-gun, in fact, I own a gun, but guns have no place  
>>in school,  
>  
>I used to believe this...  
>  
>  
>>so unless you'd also pass a law that said concealed-  
>>carry laws preempt school policy, then what you're saying would  
>>be irrelevant in any case. Tragic as it is, the risk of  
>>something like this happening once every forty-something years  
>>is much less than the risk of a bunch of students toting around  
>>firearms & one guy seeing another guy hitting on his chick  
>>on the way to study hall, and... hey, fucker, get away from my  
>>girlfriend or I'll pop a cap in yo' ass"; "oh yeah, you just try  
>>it & you'll hafta answer to my two buddies... Smith, and  
>>Wesson", multiply that incident by the hundreds of times each  
>>day in this country that something like that must happen (sans  
>>guns) and and well, you figure out the potential stats.  
>  
>It's simply not the case. Concealed permit holders commit so  
>close to zero crime that they have to be considered some of the  
>most law-abiding people on the planet. Concealed carry permits  
>have lowered crime about 20% everywhere they have been tried.  
>  
>The myth of people just "snapping" and waving guns around is not  
>factual.  
>  
>Would you? Me neither. No one I know would do that, and criminals  
>and gang members do not apply for permits and usually break the law  
>by owning guns with a felony record anyway.  
>  
>>  
>>What blows my mind is that this guy just starts lining people  
>>up in a lecture hall & no handful of guys decided to rush him &  
>>take him down? Fuck, if you know that you're not necessarily  
>>next, but you're 5 or 6 down the row, what have you got to lose?  
>  
>I'm with you on that one. Too many of us have been raised to be  
>what some woman thinks men should be and so are weak when we  
>need to be strong and brave. Women are no better at telling  
>men how to be men, then men have been at telling women how to  
>live for all these years.  
>  
>In the world, there are sheep, there are wolves, and there are sheep  
>dogs. No one likes sheep dogs until you really, really need one...

>  
>DC  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 15:05:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Here's another example of excess testosterone...

[http://www.nydailynews.com/news/crime\\_file/2007/04/17/2007-04-17\\_courageous\\_final\\_act\\_of\\_professor.html](http://www.nydailynews.com/news/crime_file/2007/04/17/2007-04-17_courageous_final_act_of_professor.html)

Balls from here to Mars, and he was 77.

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 15:54:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <[sarahjane@sarahtonin.com](mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com)> wrote in message <news:462447ec@linux...>

>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the shooter  
>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or drugs  
>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill  
>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug  
>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.

>  
> I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.

> "When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
> Pollack

>  
> Sad, very sad.

>  
> Sarah

>No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill people.

Hmmmmmm..... there seems to be a few online training films that specialize  
in this sort of thing.....

<http://www.mortalkombatonline.com/>

.....now mix in a kid who feels alienated (and maybe a few hallucenogens

just to enhance the experience) and .....voila!

I wouldn't mind if idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug addicts didn't have the right to bear these kind of arms.....and in the process of disarming these folks we would probably cull a few of them from the population, along with quite a few of the "cullors". I think it would be pretty easy to identify the drug addicts and drunks and we should legalize them in order to make all of these folks more identifiable. If we did, that would probably cover about 40% of our national population (a conservative estimate....sorry, for the "c" word ;o).....and psychos usually aren't identified until they act out, which often happens when they are drunk and/or on drugs. so this would accelerate the process .....Yeah!!! that's the ticket!!!.....and the criteria be for classifying someone as an idiot .....well, that decision should be left up to me, I think.

;o)

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 16:17:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

But this ignores the fact that tens of millions, maybe hundreds of millions, of people play violent video games and don't shoot anyone. And also doesn't explain the billions of people who are occasional users of drugs, ranging from caffeine to chewing coca leaves to ecstasy to heroin, and live normal, well adjusted lives, again without shooting anyone. And, to go further, how is it that the Romans could enjoy entertainments that other ancient societies (far more tolerant of blood and gore than we are) found so disturbing they would be physically ill at performances?

It's not video games or pot smoking that's the problem. The problem is a tiny, tiny, microscopic, so small you need a societal electron microscope to see them group of people are really messed up. They're tough to identify, and no amount of denying the rest of us who aren't nuts access to things we may enjoy (video games, drugs, guns) will change that. So we need to get better at identifying those people.

For example, the main thing that stopped copycat Columbine events was that kids started telling parents and school officials when they thought another kid might be about to go off the deep end. In essence, they were 'human intelligence' for the authorities.

In the same way 'human intelligence' operatives are the best defense against terrorism, other random acts of violence, suicides, and a lot of other things. Banning shampoo on airplanes, in case you're wondering, is not.

TCB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:

>

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:462447ec@linux...

>>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the shooter

>>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or drugs

>>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill

>>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug

>>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.

>>

>> I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.

>> "When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William

>> Pollack

>>

>> Sad, very sad.

>>

>> Sarah

>

>>No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill people.

>

>Hmmmm..... there seems to be a few online training films that specialize

>in this sort of thing.....

>

><http://www.mortalkombatonline.com/>

>

>.....now mix in a kid who feels alienated (and maybe a few hallucinogens

>just to enhance the experience) and .....voila!

>

>I wouldn't mind if idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug addicts didn't have  
the

>right to bear these kind of arms.....and in the process of disarming these

>folks we would probably cull a few of them from the population, along with

>quite a few of the "cullors". I think it would be pretty easy to identify

>the drug addicts and drunks and we should legalize them in order to make  
all

>of these folks more identifiable. If we did, that would probably cover about

>40% of our national population (a conservative estimate....sorry, for the

>"c" word ;o).....and psychos usually aren't identified until they act out,  
>which often happens when they are drunk and/or on drugs. so this would  
>accelerate the process .....Yeah!!! that's the ticket!!!.....and  
>the criteria be for classifying someone as an idiot .....well, that  
>decision should be left up to me, I think.  
>  
>;o)  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 16:32:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well....shit!!!.....Thad, I didn't say that we should ban video games now did I? If you've ever paid attention to the bottom line of any of my environmental rants, it always comes down to global population control. Even the tiniest bit of help we can get, from video games and from legalizing drugs in order to identify the latent psychos, works for me.....and if they just happen to get wasted during the \*disarmament process\*, well, shit happens now doesn't it???.....and I used to have a carry permit when I lived in Texas. Street violence was significantly reduced once these became legal. Up here in Colorado, people just do it anyway (though I probably should get one here as well) ..... but I still get dibs on being able to make the call on who's an idiot.

;o)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:4624f32a\$1@linux...

>  
> But this ignores the fact that tens of millions, maybe hundreds of  
> millions,  
> of people play violent video games and don't shoot anyone. And also  
> doesn't  
> explain the billions of people who are occasional users of drugs, ranging  
> from caffeine to chewing coca leaves to ecstasy to heroin, and live  
> normal,  
> well adjusted lives, again without shooting anyone. And, to go further,  
> how  
> is it that the Romans could enjoy entertainments that other ancient

> societies  
> (far more tolerant of blood and gore than we are) found so disturbing they  
> would be physically ill at performances?  
>  
> It's not video games or pot smoking that's the problem. The problem is a  
> tiny, tiny, microscopic, so small you need a societal electron microscope  
> to see them group of people are really messed up. They're tough to  
> identify,  
> and no amount of denying the rest of us who aren't nuts access to things  
> we may enjoy (video games, drugs, guns) will change that. So we need to  
> get  
> better at identifying those people.  
>  
> For example, the main thing that stopped copycat Columbine events was that  
> kids started telling parents and school officials when they thought  
> another  
> kid might be about to go off the deep end. In essence, they were 'human  
> intelligence'  
> for the authorities.  
>  
> In the same way 'human intelligence' operatives are the best defense  
> against  
> terrorism, other random acts of violence, suicides, and a lot of other  
> things.  
> Banning shampoo on airplanes, in case you're wondering, is not.  
>  
> TCB  
>  
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:462447ec@linux...  
>>>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the  
>>>shooter  
>  
>>>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or drugs  
>  
>>>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill  
>  
>>>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug  
>>>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.  
>>>  
>>> I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.  
>  
>>> "When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
>>> Pollack  
>>>  
>>> Sad, very sad.  
>>>

>>> Sarah  
>>  
>>>No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill people.  
>>  
>>Hmmmmm..... there seems to be a few online training films that  
>>specialize  
>  
>>in this sort of thing.....  
>>  
>><http://www.mortalkombatonline.com/>  
>>  
>>.....now mix in a kid who feels alienated (and maybe a few hallucenogens  
>  
>>just to enhance the experience) and .....voila!  
>>  
>>I wouldn't mind if idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug addicts didn't have  
> the  
>>right to bear these kind of arms.....and in the process of disarming  
>>these  
>  
>>folks we would probably cull a few of them from the population, along with  
>  
>>quite a few of the "cullors". I think it would be pretty easy to identify  
>  
>>the drug addicts and drunks and we should legalize them in order to make  
> all  
>>of these folks more identifyable. If we did, that would probably cover  
>>about  
>  
>>40% of our national population (a conservative estimate....sorry, for the  
>  
>>"c" word ;o).....and psychos usually aren't identified until they act out,  
>  
>>which often happens when they are drunk and/or on drugs. so this would  
>>accelerate the process .....Yeah!!! that's the  
>>ticket!!!.....and  
>  
>>the criteria be for classifying someone as an idiot .....well, that  
>>decision should be left up to me, I think.  
>>  
>>;o)  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>

>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 16:42:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Here's one look at how concealed carry affected crime statistics for a three year period:

<http://www.startribune.com/462/story/1089112.html>

"Between 2002, the year before the law was changed, and 2005, the most recent year for which state figures are available, Minnesota's violent crime rose 13 percent.

The 174 crimes committed by permit holders, according to a recent state report, represent only a tiny fraction of the surge, which experts say owes more to demographic trends and gangs.

Only 23 of the crimes by permit holders involved a pistol.

Meanwhile, the single "lawful and justifiable" use of a firearm reported among Minnesota's 42,189 permit holders over the past four years did not involve self-defense or efforts to stop a crime, but rather a Wabasha County man who drew complaints about target shooting near someone's property but faced no charges.

"There was an awful lot of hype on both sides before the law passed," said state Public Safety Commissioner Michael Campion. "It just hasn't materialized. I never believed there'd be a decrease in crime because people carry guns."

Sheriffs, who are issuing hundreds of new handgun permits each month, agree that the law's impact on public safety, which ignited intense debate for years leading up to its passage, has been negligible."

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKruz.com](http://www.JamieKruz.com)

DC wrote:

> "Neil" <[IOUOIU@OIU.com](mailto:IOUOIU@OIU.com)> wrote:

>

>> I'm not anti-gun, in fact, I own a gun, but guns have no place

>> in school,

>

> I used to believe this...  
>  
>  
>> so unless you'd also pass a law that said concealed-  
>> carry laws preempt school policy, then what you're saying would  
>> be irrelevant in any case. Tragic as it is, the risk of  
>> something like this happening once every forty-something years  
>> is much less than the risk of a bunch of students toting around  
>> firearms & one guy seeing another guy hitting on his chick  
>> on the way to study hall, and... hey, fucker, get away from my  
>> girlfriend or I'll pop a cap in yo' ass"; "oh yeah, you just try  
>> it & you'll hafta answer to my two buddies... Smith, and  
>> Wesson", multiply that incident by the hundreds of times each  
>> day in this country that something like that must happen (sans  
>> guns) and and well, you figure out the potential stats.  
>  
> It's simply not the case. Concealed permit holders commit so  
> close to zero crime that they have to be considered some of the  
> most law-abiding people on the planet. Concealed carry permits  
> have lowered crime about 20% everywhere they have been tried.  
>  
> The myth of people just "snapping" and waving guns around is not  
> factual.  
>  
> Would you? Me neither. No one I know would do that, and criminals  
> and gang members do not apply for permits and usually break the law  
> by owning guns with a felony record anyway.  
>  
>> What blows my mind is that this guy just starts lining people  
>> up in a lecture hall & no handful of guys decided to rush him &  
>> take him down? Fuck, if you know that you're not necessarily  
>> next, but you're 5 or 6 down the row, what have you got to lose?  
>  
> I'm with you on that one. Too many of us have been raised to be  
> what some woman thinks men should be and so are weak when we  
> need to be strong and brave. Women are no better at telling  
> men how to be men, then men have been at telling women how to  
> live for all these years.  
>  
> In the world, there are sheep, there are wolves, and there are sheep  
> dogs. No one likes sheep dogs until you really, really need one...  
>  
> DC  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 17:10:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So - guns \*are\* banned in England? Then... didn't I have my facts straight?  
I simply said that \*I\* would certainly feel better without guns around.  
Don't put words into my mouth man.

-Carl

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:462467b2\$1@linux...

>

> England is a sh\*tstorm of crime compared to the US. It is an  
> epidemic. BTW, crime went up immediately after they banned  
> guns many years ago and has gone up ever since.

>

> You need to get your facts straight and stop blaming the tool instead  
> the evil f\*ck who used it.

>

> DC

>

> "Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote:

> >Er.... um... I think I'd feel better if guns weren't legal. Kinda like  
the

> >British... the penalty for being caught with a gun is something like a  
> >mandatory 10 years in prison. I realize this will never be the case in  
the

> >US, and I'm not arguing to try and take people's guns away - I would,  
> >however, just feel a bit better knowing that guns weren't around. That's  
> >all. I also don't like mosquitos and brown recluse spiders.

> >

> >rock on,

> >-Carl

> >

> >"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:46245ef4\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> Oh, and let me say this on the gun issue.

> >>

> >> Read this:

> >>

> >> [http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE\\_ID=55226](http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/printer-friendly.asp?ARTICLE_ID=55226)

> >>

> >> Now, how many of those poor dead people would have given anything,  
> >> anything to have had their own gun at the moment just before they  
> >> died?

> >>

> >> Now, show me a proposal to take guns away from only criminals  
> >> and psychos and I will show you a thousand laws already on the books  
> >> that have all failed because psychos and criminals do not follow laws.

> >>

> >> "Gun control" only applies to the good guys. The ones who would  
> >> have stood up and saved lives today. The ones that died because they  
> >> had no effective way to do so.  
> >>  
> >> DC  
> >>  
> >  
> >  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 17:45:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Deal. You get to decide who we get rid of for being an idiot, I get to decide  
who we bump off for being an asshole. I believe that will leave you and Amy  
(and Crash) as the new Adam and Eve (and Fido) 'cause I'm sure no idiot but  
. . .

TCB

"DJ" <[www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com](http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com)> wrote:

>Well....shit!!!.....Thad, I didn't say that we should ban video games now

>did I? If you've ever paid attention to the bottom line of any of my  
>environmental rants, it always comes down to global population control.  
Even

>the tiniest bit of help we can get, from video games and from legalizing

>drugs in order to identify the latent psychos, works for me.....and  
if

>they just happen to get wasted during the \*disarmament process\*, well, shit

>happens now doesn't it???.....and I used to have a carry permit when I lived

>in Texas. Street violence was significantly reduced once these became legal.

>Up here in Colorado, people just do it anyway (though I probably should  
get

>one here as well) ..... but I still get dibs on being able to

>make the call on who's an idiot.

>

>;0)

>

>"TCB" <[nobody@ishere.com](mailto:nobody@ishere.com)> wrote in message [news:4624f32a\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:4624f32a$1@linux...)

>>

>> But this ignores the fact that tens of millions, maybe hundreds of  
>> millions,  
>> of people play violent video games and don't shoot anyone. And also  
>> doesn't  
>> explain the billions of people who are occasional users of drugs, ranging  
>> from caffeine to chewing coca leaves to ecstasy to heroin, and live  
>> normal,  
>> well adjusted lives, again without shooting anyone. And, to go further,

>> how  
>> is it that the Romans could enjoy entertainments that other ancient  
>> societies  
>> (far more tolerant of blood and gore than we are) found so disturbing  
>> they  
>> would be physically ill at performances?  
>>  
>> It's not video games or pot smoking that's the problem. The problem is  
>> a  
>> tiny, tiny, microscopic, so small you need a societal electron microscope  
>> to see them group of people are really messed up. They're tough to  
>> identify,  
>> and no amount of denying the rest of us who aren't nuts access to things  
>> we may enjoy (video games, drugs, guns) will change that. So we need to

>> get  
>> better at identifying those people.  
>>  
>> For example, the main thing that stopped copycat Columbine events was  
>> that  
>> kids started telling parents and school officials when they thought  
>> another  
>> kid might be about to go off the deep end. In essence, they were 'human  
>> intelligence'  
>> for the authorities.  
>>  
>> In the same way 'human intelligence' operatives are the best defense  
>> against  
>> terrorism, other random acts of violence, suicides, and a lot of other  
>> things.  
>> Banning shampoo on airplanes, in case you're wondering, is not.  
>>  
>> TCB  
>>  
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:462447ec@linux...

>>>>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the  
>>>>shooter  
>>  
>>>>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or drugs  
>>  
>>>>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill  
>>  
>>>>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug  
>>>>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.  
>>>>  
>>>> I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.  
>>  
>>>> "When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
>>>> Pollack  
>>>>  
>>>> Sad, very sad.  
>>>>  
>>>> Sarah  
>>>  
>>>>No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill people.  
>>>  
>>>Hmmmmmm..... there seems to be a few online training films that  
>>>specialize  
>>  
>>>in this sort of thing.....  
>>>  
>>><http://www.mortalkombatonline.com/>  
>>>  
>>>.....now mix in a kid who feels alienated (and maybe a few hallucenogens  
>>  
>>>just to enhance the experience) and .....voila!  
>>>  
>>>I wouldn't mind if idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug addicts didn't have  
>> the  
>>>right to bear these kind of arms.....and in the process of disarming  
  
>>>>these  
>>  
>>>>folks we would probably cull a few of them from the population, along  
with  
>>  
>>>>quite a few of the "cullors". I think it would be pretty easy to identify  
>>  
>>>>the drug addicts and drunks and we should legalize them in order to make  
>> all  
>>>>of these folks more identifyable. If we did, that would probably cover  
  
>>>>about

>>  
>>>40% of our national population (a conservative estimate....sorry, for  
the  
>>  
>>>"c" word ;o).....and psychos usually aren't identified until they act  
out,  
>>  
>>>which often happens when they are drunk and/or on drugs. so this would  
>>>accelerate the process .....Yeah!!! that's the  
>>>ticket!!!.....and  
>>  
>>>the criteria be for classifying someone as an idiot .....well, that  
>>>decision should be left up to me, I think.  
>>>  
>>>;o)  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 17:52:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nobody carries a gun because it's statistically a smart thing to do. At least if they're being honest with themselves they aren't. In fact, we're far more likely to hurt/kill ourselves or a family member with it than a threatening stranger. We carry because in the incredibly unlikely event we need one at one point in our lives--probably a 4-5 standard deviation event--we have it, and that unlikely event usually involves the carrier's imminent death. Also, there are ways to mitigate the risk to selves and others, not eliminate, but mitigate.

If one of those kids at VA Tech were carrying there might have been far fewer killed.

TCB

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>  
>Here's one look at how concealed carry affected crime statistics for a

>three year period:  
>  
><http://www.startribune.com/462/story/1089112.html>  
>  
>"Between 2002, the year before the law was changed, and 2005, the most  
>recent year for which state figures are available, Minnesota's violent  
>crime rose 13 percent.  
>  
>The 174 crimes committed by permit holders, according to a recent state  
  
>report, represent only a tiny fraction of the surge, which experts say  
>owes more to demographic trends and gangs.  
>  
>Only 23 of the crimes by permit holders involved a pistol.  
>  
>Meanwhile, the single "lawful and justifiable" use of a firearm reported  
  
>among Minnesota's 42,189 permit holders over the past four years did not  
  
>involve self-defense or efforts to stop a crime, but rather a Wabasha  
>County man who drew complaints about target shooting near someone's  
>property but faced no charges.  
>  
>"There was an awful lot of hype on both sides before the law passed,"  
>said state Public Safety Commissioner Michael Campion. "It just hasn't  
>materialized. I never believed there'd be a decrease in crime because  
>people carry guns."  
>  
>Sheriffs, who are issuing hundreds of new handgun permits each month,  
>agree that the law's impact on public safety, which ignited intense  
>debate for years leading up to its passage, has been negligible."  
>  
>Cheers,  
> -Jamie  
> [www.JamieKruz.com](http://www.JamieKruz.com)  
>  
>  
>DC wrote:  
>> "Neil" <IOUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>> I'm not anti-gun, in fact, I own a gun, but guns have no place  
>>> in school,  
>>  
>> I used to believe this...  
>>  
>>  
>>> so unless you'd also pass a law that said concealed-  
>>> carry laws preempt school policy, then what you're saying would

>>> be irrelevant in any case. Tragic as it is, the risk of  
>>> something like this happening once every forty-something years  
>>> is much less than the risk of a bunch of students toting around  
>>> firearms & one guy seeing another guy hitting on his chick  
>>> on the way to study hall, and... hey, fucker, get away from my  
>>> girlfriend or I'll pop a cap in yo' ass"; "oh yeah, you just try  
>>> it & you'll hafta answer to my two buddies... Smith, and  
>>> Wesson", multiply that incident by the hundreds of times each  
>>> day in this country that something like that must happen (sans  
>>> guns) and and well, you figure out the potential stats.  
>>  
>> It's simply not the case. Concealed permit holders commit so  
>> close to zero crime that they have to be considered some of the  
>> most law-abiding people on the planet. Concealed carry permits  
>> have lowered crime about 20% everywhere they have been tried.  
>>  
>> The myth of people just "snapping" and waving guns around is not  
>> factual.  
>>  
>> Would you? Me neither. No one I know would do that, and criminals  
>> and gang members do not apply for permits and usually break the law  
>> by owning guns with a felony record anyway.  
>>  
>>> What blows my mind is that this guy just starts lining people  
>>> up in a lecture hall & no handful of guys decided to rush him &  
>>> take him down? Fuck, if you know that you're not necessarily  
>>> next, but you're 5 or 6 down the row, what have you got to lose?  
>>  
>> I'm with you on that one. Too many of us have been raised to be  
>> what some woman thinks men should be and so are weak when we  
>> need to be strong and brave. Women are no better at telling  
>> men how to be men, then men have been at telling women how to  
>> live for all these years.  
>>  
>> In the world, there are sheep, there are wolves, and there are sheep  
>> dogs. No one likes sheep dogs until you really, really need one...  
>>  
>> DC  
>>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 19:02:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well OK then. I'm glad we've arrived a a logical, sane solution to all of this. I do think that a few intelligent topless dancers should be spared though.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:462507a3\$1@linux...  
>  
> Deal. You get to decide who we get rid of for being an idiot, I get to  
> decide  
> who we bump off for being an asshole. I believe that will leave you and  
> Amy  
> (and Crash) as the new Adam and Eve (and Fido) 'cause I'm sure no idiot  
> but  
> ..  
>  
> TCB  
>  
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>Well....shit!!!.....Thad, I didn't say that we should ban video games now  
>  
>>did I? If you've ever paid attention to the bottom line of any of my  
>>environmental rants, it always comes down to global population control.  
> Even  
>>the tiniest bit of help we can get, from video games and from legalizing  
>  
>>drugs in order to identify the latent psychos, works for me.....and  
> if  
>>they just happen to get wasted during the \*disarmament process\*, well,  
>>shit  
>  
>>happens now doesn't it???.....and I used to have a carry permit when I  
>>lived  
>  
>>in Texas. Street violence was significantly reduced once these became  
>>legal.  
>  
>>Up here in Colorado, people just do it anyway (though I probably should  
> get  
>>one here as well) ..... but I still get dibs on being able to  
>  
>>make the call on who's an idiot.  
>>  
>>;o)  
>>  
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:4624f32a\$1@linux...  
>>>  
>>> But this ignores the fact that tens of millions, maybe hundreds of  
>>> millions,  
>>> of people play violent video games and don't shoot anyone. And also

>>> doesn't  
>>> explain the billions of people who are occasional users of drugs,  
>>> ranging  
>>> from caffeine to chewing coca leaves to ecstasy to heroin, and live  
>>> normal,  
>>> well adjusted lives, again without shooting anyone. And, to go further,  
>  
>>> how  
>>> is it that the Romans could enjoy entertainments that other ancient  
>>> societies  
>>> (far more tolerant of blood and gore than we are) found so disturbing  
> they  
>>> would be physically ill at performances?  
>>>  
>>> It's not video games or pot smoking that's the problem. The problem is  
> a  
>>> tiny, tiny, microscopic, so small you need a societal electron  
>>> microscope  
>>> to see them group of people are really messed up. They're tough to  
>>> identify,  
>>> and no amount of denying the rest of us who aren't nuts access to things  
>>> we may enjoy (video games, drugs, guns) will change that. So we need to  
>  
>>> get  
>>> better at identifying those people.  
>>>  
>>> For example, the main thing that stopped copycat Columbine events was  
> that  
>>> kids started telling parents and school officials when they thought  
>>> another  
>>> kid might be about to go off the deep end. In essence, they were 'human  
>  
>>> intelligence'  
>>> for the authorities.  
>>>  
>>> In the same way 'human intelligence' operatives are the best defense  
>>> against  
>>> terrorism, other random acts of violence, suicides, and a lot of other  
>  
>>> things.  
>>> Banning shampoo on airplanes, in case you're wondering, is not.  
>>>  
>>> TCB  
>>>  
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message  
>>>>news:462447ec@linux...

>>>>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the  
>>>>shooter  
>>>  
>>>>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or  
>>>>drugs  
>>>  
>>>>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly  
>>>>kill  
>>>  
>>>>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug  
>>>>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.  
>>>>  
>>>> I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male  
>>>> children.  
>>>  
>>>> "When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
>>>> Pollack  
>>>>  
>>>> Sad, very sad.  
>>>>  
>>>> Sarah  
>>>>  
>>>>No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill people.  
>>>>  
>>>>Hmmmmmm..... there seems to be a few online training films that  
>>>>specialize  
>>>  
>>>>in this sort of thing.....  
>>>>  
>>>><http://www.mortalkombatonline.com/>  
>>>>  
>>>>.....now mix in a kid who feels alienated (and maybe a few  
>>>>hallucenogens  
>>>  
>>>>just to enhance the experience) and .....voila!  
>>>>  
>>>>I wouldn't mind if idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug addicts didn't have  
>>> the  
>>>>right to bear these kind of arms.....and in the process of disarming  
>  
>>>>these  
>>>  
>>>>folks we would probably cull a few of them from the population, along  
> with  
>>>  
>>>>quite a few of the "cullors". I think it would be pretty easy to  
>>>>identify  
>>>



>  
>  
>  
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:462507a3\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> Deal. You get to decide who we get rid of for being an idiot, I get to  
  
>> decide  
>> who we bump off for being an asshole. I believe that will leave you and  
  
>> Amy  
>> (and Crash) as the new Adam and Eve (and Fido) 'cause I'm sure no idiot  
  
>> but  
>> . .  
>>  
>> TCB  
>>  
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>>Well....shit!!!.....Thad, I didn't say that we should ban video games  
now  
>>  
>>>did I? If you've ever paid attention to the bottom line of any of my  
>>>environmental rants, it always comes down to global population control.  
>> Even  
>>>the tiniest bit of help we can get, from video games and from legalizing  
>>  
>>>drugs in order to identify the latent psychos, works for me.....and  
>> if  
>>>they just happen to get wasted during the \*disarmament process\*, well,  
  
>>>shit  
>>  
>>>happens now doesn't it???.....and I used to have a carry permit when I  
  
>>>lived  
>>  
>>>in Texas. Street violence was significantly reduced once these became  
  
>>>legal.  
>>  
>>>Up here in Colorado, people just do it anyway (though I probably should  
>> get  
>>>one here as well) ..... but I still get dibs on being able  
to  
>>  
>>>make the call on who's an idiot.  
>>>

>>>;o)  
>>>  
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:4624f32a\$1@linux...  
>>>>  
>>>> But this ignores the fact that tens of millions, maybe hundreds of  
>>>> millions,  
>>>> of people play violent video games and don't shoot anyone. And also  
>>>> doesn't  
>>>> explain the billions of people who are occasional users of drugs,  
>>>> ranging  
>>>> from caffeine to chewing coca leaves to ecstasy to heroin, and live  
>>>> normal,  
>>>> well adjusted lives, again without shooting anyone. And, to go further,  
>>  
>>>> how  
>>>> is it that the Romans could enjoy entertainments that other ancient  
>>>> societies  
>>>> (far more tolerant of blood and gore than we are) found so disturbing  
>> they  
>>>> would be physically ill at performances?  
>>>>  
>>>> It's not video games or pot smoking that's the problem. The problem  
is  
>> a  
>>>> tiny, tiny, microscopic, so small you need a societal electron  
>>>> microscope  
>>>> to see them group of people are really messed up. They're tough to  
>>>> identify,  
>>>> and no amount of denying the rest of us who aren't nuts access to things  
>>>> we may enjoy (video games, drugs, guns) will change that. So we need  
to  
>>  
>>>> get  
>>>> better at identifying those people.  
>>>>  
>>>> For example, the main thing that stopped copycat Columbine events was  
>> that  
>>>> kids started telling parents and school officials when they thought  
>>>> another  
>>>> kid might be about to go off the deep end. In essence, they were 'human  
>>  
>>>> intelligence'  
>>>> for the authorities.  
>>>>  
>>>> In the same way 'human intelligence' operatives are the best defense  
>>>> against  
>>>> terrorism, other random acts of violence, suicides, and a lot of other  
>>

>>>> things.  
>>>> Banning shampoo on airplanes, in case you're wondering, is not.  
>>>>  
>>>> TCB  
>>>>  
>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.com> wrote in message  
>>>>>news:462447ec@linux...  
>>>>>>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the  
>>>>>>shooter  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or  
  
>>>>>>drugs  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly  
  
>>>>>>kill  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug  
>>>>>>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male  
>>>>>> children.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> "When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
>>>>>> Pollack  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Sad, very sad.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Sarah  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill people.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Hmmmmm..... there seems to be a few online training films that  
>>>>>>specialize  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>in this sort of thing.....  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>><http://www.mortalkombatonline.com/>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>.....now mix in a kid who feels alienated (and maybe a few  
>>>>>>hallucenogens  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>just to enhance the experience) and .....voila!  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>I wouldn't mind if idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug addicts didn't



Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Steve Helm](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 19:25:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

As the only Blacksburg (former) Paris user I thought I'd chime in here.

Yes thankfully my immediate family and close friends are all fine. But as you can imagine the community is shocked beyond belief. Blacksburg is, as many others have said in interviews, a small peaceful and safe place in which to live. And now this...

This massacre was very very close to home ... It is amazing that not only did this happen here in Blacksburg and at VT. But the shootings began on the 4th floor of AJ .. the very floor where my old band buddies Jim, Dwight and I lived and jammed back in '79-80.

My daughter Sammie is classmates with one of Kevin Granata's kids. Everyone here knows someone personally effected by this senseless act of violence

Jamie Bishop, the German professor shot down was one of us. In addition to teaching German, he was a technology guy, and an budding audio engineer. Check out his website: <http://www.memory39.com/>

Unfortunately, way before all the facts are in, many in the media are trying to blame VT Administration and police over not warning students for two hours, yada yada... Maybe! but can't the debate on that and gun control, etc. wait for the victims to all be identified, and families notified?

I have been extremely impressed with the actions, and interviews with all the VT students and faculty. They are (for now) only blaming the shooter, even with the reporters pressing them to slam the VT police and president. VT students have classy attitudes and all instinctively seem to be on the same page without being told what to say. The pride and unity seen weekly in Lane Stadium runs deeper than I ever imagined. Check out the remarkable Hokie experience of 70 thousand Hokies jumping in unison to Metallica's "Enter the Sandman"!

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5h1EW5z1wdc>

As Nikki Giovanni just said" We are the Hokies and we will prevail."

Peace,  
Steve

"DJ" <[www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com](http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com)> wrote:

><http://abcnews.go.com/Video/playerIndex?id=3045942>

>

>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 19:33:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

i have no problem with what you say but...

<http://www.wpaonline.org/institute/hardhit/index.htm>

On Tue, 17 Apr 2007 01:58:46 -0700, "Sarah" <[sarahjane@sarahtonin.com](mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com)> wrote:

>I'm not offended in the least, but I don't think what I said was sexist.

>I'm not blaming "men," in fact, I'm not really blaming anyone, except maybe

>our culture for making violence cool. However, I don't think you can solve

>a problem if you pretend that an obvious aspect of that problem doesn't

>matter just because it pushes buttons.

>

>Women aren't "better" than men, or vice versa. Men and women are "equal,"

>but you may have noticed they are very different. One major difference

>related to aggressive and combative behavior is testosterone, which a male

>typically has 7 to 10 times as much of as a female. Testosterone levels

>rise rapidly, sometimes drastically, with threat, anger, and competition.

>

>Just as girls are taught about their special hormonal differences and

>reproductive cycles, boys should be taught about this special aspect of

>their physiology, and the emotions it can produce. Instead of thwarting

>their natural aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it into

>creative, constructive activity. We should also teach them that empathy and

>compassion are not "gay," and that having brain and a heart are more

>important than having "balls," so to speak. I bet most of the men here

>avoided violent behavior in part when they were growing up by beating the

>shit out of drums or guitars instead of other guys.

>

>Of course we need to discourage violence in all our children, but it's a

>bigger problem among boys, and I think some special attention is needed

>there. Does this make sense?

>

>Sarah

>

>

>"Carl Amburn" <[carlamburn@hotmail.com](mailto:carlamburn@hotmail.com)> wrote in message

>[news:46245d87@linux...](mailto:news:46245d87@linux...)

>>" I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children. "

>>

>> Sarah - I realize that the majority of shootings are probably male, but

>> this

>> is a silly sexist thing to say - isn't it? I have no doubt that a girl

>> could

>> do a similar thing. Our society gives us example after example of this  
>> destructive behavior with guns (what else are guns for?) and women are no  
>> exception to shootings or destruction.  
>>  
>> There is also no guaranteed way to stop this kind of violence. Sad but  
>> true.  
>> It is, unfortunately, one of the very high prices/possibilities that comes  
>> with our liberties in the US (guns, guns rights, etc..). At very minimum,  
>> at  
>> least this particular person won't cause further damage.  
>>  
>> Here's my personal edit to your quote.... "I think we need to seriously  
>> raise our children."  
>>  
>> I know you have good interests at heart Sarah - hope you don't take  
>> offense  
>> to my post.  
>>  
>> Peace be to all the VT family.  
>>  
>> rock on,  
>> -Carl  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 20:27:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

..allowances can be made, rules bent and broken and contingencies allowed for.....damn!!! now I'm starting to sound like Cheyney.....or Clinton.

;oO

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46251eef\$1@linux...  
>  
> Including the one I'm taking to see Son Volt tomorrow night in NYC? Who  
> also  
> works as a bank teller and regularly causes multi-car pileups in Queens?  
> Who is obviously insane, blind, or both?  
>  
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>Well OK then. I'm glad we've arrived a a logical, sane solution to all of

>  
>>this. I do think that a few intelligent topless dancers should be spared  
>  
>>though.  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:462507a3\$1@linux...  
>>>  
>>> Deal. You get to decide who we get rid of for being an idiot, I get to  
>  
>>> decide  
>>> who we bump off for being an asshole. I believe that will leave you and  
>  
>>> Amy  
>>> (and Crash) as the new Adam and Eve (and Fido) 'cause I'm sure no idiot  
>  
>>> but  
>>> . .  
>>>  
>>> TCB  
>>>  
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>>>Well....shit!!!.....Thad, I didn't say that we should ban video games  
> now  
>>>  
>>>>did I? If you've ever paid attention to the bottom line of any of my  
>>>>environmental rants, it always comes down to global population control.  
>>> Even  
>>>>the tiniest bit of help we can get, from video games and from legalizing  
>>>  
>>>>drugs in order to identify the latent psychos, works for me.....and  
>>> if  
>>>>they just happen to get wasted during the \*disarmament process\*, well,  
>  
>>>>shit  
>>>  
>>>>happens now doesn't it???.....and I used to have a carry permit when I  
>  
>>>>lived  
>>>  
>>>>in Texas. Street violence was significantly reduced once these became  
>  
>>>>legal.  
>>>  
>>>>Up here in Colorado, people just do it anyway (though I probably should  
>>> get

>>>>one here as well) ..... but I still get dibs on being able  
> to  
>>>  
>>>>make the call on who's an idiot.  
>>>>  
>>>>;o)  
>>>>  
>>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:4624f32a\$1 @linux...  
>>>>>  
>>>>> But this ignores the fact that tens of millions, maybe hundreds of  
>>>>> millions,  
>>>>> of people play violent video games and don't shoot anyone. And also  
>>>>> doesn't  
>>>>> explain the billions of people who are occasional users of drugs,  
>>>>> ranging  
>>>>> from caffeine to chewing coca leaves to ecstasy to heroin, and live  
>>>>> normal,  
>>>>> well adjusted lives, again without shooting anyone. And, to go  
>>>>> further,  
>>>  
>>>>> how  
>>>>> is it that the Romans could enjoy entertainments that other ancient  
>>>>> societies  
>>>>> (far more tolerant of blood and gore than we are) found so disturbing  
>>> they  
>>>>> would be physically ill at performances?  
>>>>>  
>>>>> It's not video games or pot smoking that's the problem. The problem  
> is  
>>> a  
>>>>> tiny, tiny, microscopic, so small you need a societal electron  
>>>>> microscope  
>>>>> to see them group of people are really messed up. They're tough to  
>>>>> identify,  
>>>>> and no amount of denying the rest of us who aren't nuts access to  
>>>>> things  
>>>>> we may enjoy (video games, drugs, guns) will change that. So we need  
> to  
>>>  
>>>>> get  
>>>>> better at identifying those people.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> For example, the main thing that stopped copycat Columbine events was  
>>> that  
>>>>> kids started telling parents and school officials when they thought  
>>>>> another  
>>>>> kid might be about to go off the deep end. In essence, they were  
>>>>> 'human

>>>  
>>>> intelligence'  
>>>> for the authorities.  
>>>>  
>>>> In the same way 'human intelligence' operatives are the best defense  
>>>> against  
>>>> terrorism, other random acts of violence, suicides, and a lot of other  
>>>  
>>>> things.  
>>>> Banning shampoo on airplanes, in case you're wondering, is not.  
>>>>  
>>>> TCB  
>>>>  
>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message  
>>>>>news:462447ec@linux...  
>>>>>>I don't think anyone understands it, Aaron. We may learn that the  
>>>>>>shooter  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>had a history of mental problems. We may learn that alcohol and/or  
>  
>>>>>>drugs  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>were involved. No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly  
>  
>>>>>>kill  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>people. I also don't understand why idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug  
>>>>>>addicts need to have the right to bear this kind of arms.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male  
>>>>>> children.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> "When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
>>>>>> Pollack  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Sad, very sad.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Sarah  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>No, I don't understand how anyone can just randomly kill people.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Hmmmmm..... there seems to be a few online training films that  
>>>>>>>specialize  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>in this sort of thing.....  
>>>>>>>

>>>>>http://www.mortalkombatonline.com/  
>>>>>  
>>>>>.....now mix in a kid who feels alienated (and maybe a few  
>>>>>hallucenogens  
>>>>>  
>>>>>just to enhance the experience) and .....voila!  
>>>>>  
>>>>>I wouldn't mind if idiots, psychos, drunks, or drug addicts didn't  
> have  
>>>>> the  
>>>>>right to bear these kind of arms.....and in the process of disarming  
>>>  
>>>>>these  
>>>>>  
>>>>>folks we would probably cull a few of them from the population, along  
>>> with  
>>>>>  
>>>>>quite a few of the "cullors". I think it would be pretty easy to  
>>>>>identify  
>>>>>  
>>>>>the drug addicts and drunks and we should legalize them in order to  
> make  
>>>>> all  
>>>>>of these folks more identifiable. If we did, that would probably cover  
>>>  
>>>>>about  
>>>>>  
>>>>>40% of our national population (a conservative estimate....sorry, for  
>>> the  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"c" word ;o).....and psychos usually aren't identified until they act  
>>> out,  
>>>>>  
>>>>>which often happens when they are drunk and/or on drugs. so this would  
>>>>>accelerate the process .....Yeah!!! that's the  
>>>>>ticket!!!.....and  
>>>>>  
>>>>>the criteria be for classifying someone as an idiot .....well, that  
>>>>>decision should be left up to me, I think.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>;o)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>

>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 21:41:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>Nobody carries a gun because it's statistically a smart thing to do. At  
least  
>if they're being honest with themselves they aren't. In fact, we're far  
more  
>likely to hurt/kill ourselves or a family member with it than a threatening  
>stranger.

This is incredibly misleading.

Did you know that this "fact" includes the defense of one's self or family from someone who just happens to be related to you? It also fails to take into account the 4-5 million times a year that guns are used to end a crime with no shots being fired.

In reality, armed citizens do better than cops in shootouts with criminals, and no one is trying to take cops guns away...

<http://hematite.com/dragon/gcmythexplode.html#myth2>

[http://hematite.com/dragon/gunclock\\_stats.html](http://hematite.com/dragon/gunclock_stats.html)

People with carry permits are part of the solution, not part of the problem. And I think they are pretty smart.

I applaud your decision to be able to defend yourself, and I wish they would authorized permits here in California...

DC

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by Sarah on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 08:33:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks for that link . . . I definitely want to look through that when I haven't just gotten off work. I worked with women in a "halfway house" for recent prison releases. All of them had been in jail for drug offenses. I think if we examine those rising female imprisonment stats, we'll find that the vast majority are there for drugs, or drug-related malfeasance of some kind, and not violent crimes.

S

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
news:648a235llmrkcvmr7ssbf6kjtd8k5vqr0@4ax.com...

>i have no problem with what you say but...

> <http://www.wpaonline.org/institute/hardhit/index.htm>

>

>

>

> On Tue, 17 Apr 2007 01:58:46 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
> wrote:

>

>>I'm not offended in the least, but I don't think what I said was sexist.

>>I'm not blaming "men," in fact, I'm not really blaming anyone, except

>>maybe

>>our culture for making violence cool. However, I don't think you can

>>solve

>>a problem if you pretend that an obvious aspect of that problem doesn't

>>matter just because it pushes buttons.

>>

>>Women aren't "better" than men, or vice versa. Men and women are "equal,"

>>but you may have noticed they are very different. One major difference

>>related to aggressive and combative behavior is testosterone, which a male

>>typically has 7 to 10 times as much of as a female. Testosterone levels

>>rise rapidly, sometimes drastically, with threat, anger, and competition.

>>

>>Just as girls are taught about their special hormonal differences and

>>reproductive cycles, boys should be taught about this special aspect of

>>their physiology, and the emotions it can produce. Instead of thwarting

>>their natural aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it

>>into

>>creative, constructive activity. We should also teach them that empathy

>>and

>>compassion are not "gay," and that having brain and a heart are more

>>important than having "balls," so to speak. I bet most of the men here

>>avoided violent behavior in part when they were growing up by beating the

>>shit out of drums or guitars instead of other guys.

>>

>>Of course we need to discourage violence in all our children, but it's a  
>>bigger problem among boys, and I think some special attention is needed  
>>there. Does this make sense?

>>

>>Sarah

>>

>>

>>"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>news:46245d87@linux...

>>>" I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.  
>>>"

>>>

>>> Sarah - I realize that the majority of shootings are probably male, but

>>> this

>>> is a silly sexist thing to say - isn't it? I have no doubt that a girl

>>> could

>>> do a similar thing. Our society gives us example after example of this

>>> destructive behavior with guns (what else are guns for?) and women are

>>> no

>>> exception to shootings or destruction.

>>>

>>> There is also no guaranteed way to stop this kind of violence. Sad but

>>> true.

>>> It is, unfortunately, one of the very high prices/possibilities that

>>> comes

>>> with our liberties in the US (guns, guns rights, etc.). At very

>>> minimum,

>>> at

>>> least this particular person won't cause further damage.

>>>

>>> Here's my personal edit to your quote.... "I think we need to seriously

>>> raise our children."

>>>

>>> I know you have good interests at heart Sarah - hope you don't take

>>> offense

>>> to my post.

>>>

>>> Peace be to all the VT family.

>>>

>>> rock on,

>>> -Carl

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 09:10:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

actually the incident of violent crime is one of the leading stats,  
though the same can be said for men...drug wise.

On Wed, 18 Apr 2007 01:33:54 -0700, "Sarah" <[sarahjane@sarahtonin.com](mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com)>  
wrote:

>Thanks for that link . . . I definitely want to look through that when I  
>haven't just gotten off work. I worked with women in a "halfway house" for  
>recent prison releases. All of them had been in jail for drug offenses. I  
>think if we examine those rising female imprisonment stats, we'll find that  
>the vast majority are there for drugs, or drug-related malfeasance of some  
>kind, and not violent crimes.

>

>S

>

>

>"rick" <[parnell68@hotmail.com](mailto:parnell68@hotmail.com)> wrote in message  
>news:648a235llmrvcvnr7ssbf6kjtd8k5vqr0@4ax.com...

>>i have no problem with what you say but...

>> <http://www.wpaonline.org/institute/hardhit/index.htm>

>>

>>

>>

>> On Tue, 17 Apr 2007 01:58:46 -0700, "Sarah" <[sarahjane@sarahtonin.com](mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com)>

>> wrote:

>>

>>>I'm not offended in the least, but I don't think what I said was sexist.

>>>I'm not blaming "men," in fact, I'm not really blaming anyone, except

>>>maybe

>>>our culture for making violence cool. However, I don't think you can

>>>solve

>>>a problem if you pretend that an obvious aspect of that problem doesn't

>>>matter just because it pushes buttons.

>>>

>>>Women aren't "better" than men, or vice versa. Men and women are "equal,"

>>>but you may have noticed they are very different. One major difference

>>>related to aggressive and combative behavior is testosterone, which a male

>>>typically has 7 to 10 times as much of as a female. Testosterone levels

>>>rise rapidly, sometimes drastically, with threat, anger, and competition.

>>>

>>>Just as girls are taught about their special hormonal differences and

>>>reproductive cycles, boys should be taught about this special aspect of

>>>their physiology, and the emotions it can produce. Instead of thwarting

>>>their natural aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it

>>>into

>>>creative, constructive activity. We should also teach them that empathy  
>>>and  
>>>compassion are not "gay," and that having brain and a heart are more  
>>>important than having "balls," so to speak. I bet most of the men here  
>>>avoided violent behavior in part when they were growing up by beating the  
>>>shit out of drums or guitars instead of other guys.  
>>>  
>>>Of course we need to discourage violence in all our children, but it's a  
>>>bigger problem among boys, and I think some special attention is needed  
>>>there. Does this make sense?  
>>>  
>>>Sarah  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>>news:46245d87@linux...  
>>>>" I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.  
>>>>"  
>>>>  
>>>> Sarah - I realize that the majority of shootings are probably male, but  
>>>> this  
>>>> is a silly sexist thing to say - isn't it? I have no doubt that a girl  
>>>> could  
>>>> do a similar thing. Our society gives us example after example of this  
>>>> destructive behavior with guns (what else are guns for?) and women are  
>>>> no  
>>>> exception to shootings or destruction.  
>>>>  
>>>> There is also no guaranteed way to stop this kind of violence. Sad but  
>>>> true.  
>>>> It is, unfortunately, one of the very high prices/possibilities that  
>>>> comes  
>>>> with our liberties in the US (guns, guns rights, etc..). At very  
>>>> minimum,  
>>>> at  
>>>> least this particular person won't cause further damage.  
>>>>  
>>>> Here's my personal edit to your quote.... "I think we need to seriously  
>>>> raise our children."  
>>>>  
>>>> I know you have good interests at heart Sarah - hope you don't take  
>>>> offense  
>>>> to my post.  
>>>>  
>>>> Peace be to all the VT family.  
>>>>  
>>>> rock on,  
>>>> -Carl

>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 09:18:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well Don, as is often is the case, you seem to be reading what I write, and translating it into something entirely different. I don't even see how your response contradicts anything I said! I said nothing against martial arts, shooting guns, or Jesus Christ for that matter. Nor did I say testosterone was a bad thing. Testosterone gives men a special power -- I'm just advocating teaching them to use that power for good rather than the evil you correctly refer to. I'm not even against violence, as I think you know, if it's justified.

As for "masculinity," I think definitions may vary greatly from person to person, but you'll have to do some fancy talking to convince me that empathy and compassion make a man less masculine. Are you saying you can't be a "real man" and still express the full range of human emotions?

And I never said anything about "teaching" anyone to cry. You may have noticed that people of both sexes cry naturally at times -- they don't need to be taught. But I've certainly seen enough kids being taught not to cry in my life, sometimes violently. "I'll give you something to cry about!"

Finally, I do not agree that men are more likely than women to step up and protect someone, because my personal experience contradicts that assertion. I don't like "balls" being synonymous with "courage." I've seen too many "ballsy" women.

S

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4624cce1\$1@linux...  
>  
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>  
>>Women aren't "better" than men, or vice versa. Men and women are "equal,"  
>  
>>but you may have noticed they are very different. One major difference  
>

>>related to aggressive and combative behavior is testosterone, which a male  
>  
>>typically has 7 to 10 times as much of as a female. Testosterone levels  
>  
>>rise rapidly, sometimes drastically, with threat, anger, and competition.  
>  
> And genuine heroism and righteousness.  
>  
> ooops  
>  
>  
>>Just as girls are taught about their special hormonal differences and  
>>reproductive cycles, boys should be taught about this special aspect of  
>  
>>their physiology, and the emotions it can produce. Instead of thwarting  
>  
>>their natural aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it  
> into  
>>creative, constructive activity. We should also teach them that empathy  
> and  
>>compassion are not "gay," and that having brain and a heart are more  
>>important than having "balls," so to speak. I bet most of the men here  
>  
>>avoided violent behavior in part when they were growing up by beating the  
>  
>>shit out of drums or guitars instead of other guys.  
>  
> And there you have it. A nearly complete version of a "man" as  
> determined by women... One problem, it's all wrong.  
>  
> I avoided violence, as my daughter is, by years and years of martial  
> arts training. Oh, and shooting... Oh, and my relationship with Jesus  
> Christ.  
>  
> In short, by becoming a better man, not a womans version of one.  
> Ironic huh?  
>  
> Traditional martial artists are the least violent people on the planet,  
> as are shooters. As were the real people of the old west, not the  
> Hollywood lie that has replaced them.  
>  
>  
> DC

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 09:57:28 GMT

I understand you very well Sarah and am with you, but I think it will allways be problems when a mans brain often is in the balls when women and weapons are involved.

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> skrev i en meddelelse  
news:4625e335@linux...

> Well Don, as is often is the case, you seem to be reading what I write,  
> and translating it into something entirely different. I don't even see  
> how your response contradicts anything I said! I said nothing against  
> martial arts, shooting guns, or Jesus Christ for that matter. Nor did I  
> say testosterone was a bad thing. Testosterone gives men a special  
> power -- I'm just advocating teaching them to use that power for good  
> rather than the evil you correctly refer to. I'm not even against  
> violence, as I think you know, if it's justified.

>  
> As for "masculinity," I think definitions may vary greatly from person to  
> person, but you'll have to do some fancy talking to convince me that  
> empathy and compassion make a man less masculine. Are you saying you  
> can't be a "real man" and still express the full range of human emotions?

>  
> And I never said anything about "teaching" anyone to cry. You may have  
> noticed that people of both sexes cry naturally at times -- they don't  
> need to be taught. But I've certainly seen enough kids being taught not  
> to cry in my life, sometimes violently. "I'll give you something to cry  
> about!"

>  
> Finally, I do not agree that men are more likely than women to step up and  
> protect someone, because my personal experience contradicts that  
> assertion. I don't like "balls" being synonymous with "courage." I've  
> seen too many "ballsy" women.

>  
> S

>  
>  
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4624cce1\$1@linux...

>>  
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>  
>>>Women aren't "better" than men, or vice versa. Men and women are  
>>>"equal,"

>>  
>>>but you may have noticed they are very different. One major difference

>>  
>>>related to aggressive and combative behavior is testosterone, which a  
>>>male

>>  
>>>typically has 7 to 10 times as much of as a female. Testosterone levels

>>  
>>>rise rapidly, sometimes drastically, with threat, anger, and competition.  
>>  
>> And genuine heroism and righteousness.  
>>  
>> ooops  
>>  
>>  
>>>Just as girls are taught about their special hormonal differences and  
>>>reproductive cycles, boys should be taught about this special aspect of  
>>  
>>>their physiology, and the emotions it can produce. Instead of thwarting  
>>  
>>>their natural aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it  
>> into  
>>>creative, constructive activity. We should also teach them that empathy  
>> and  
>>>compassion are not "gay," and that having brain and a heart are more  
>>>important than having "balls," so to speak. I bet most of the men here  
>>  
>>>avoided violent behavior in part when they were growing up by beating the  
>>  
>>>shit out of drums or guitars instead of other guys.  
>>  
>> And there you have it. A nearly complete version of a "man" as  
>> determined by women... One problem, it's all wrong.  
>>  
>> I avoided violence, as my daughter is, by years and years of martial  
>> arts training. Oh, and shooting... Oh, and my relationship with Jesus  
>> Christ.  
>>  
>> In short, by becoming a better man, not a womans version of one.  
>> Ironic huh?  
>>  
>> Traditional martial artists are the least violent people on the planet,  
>> as are shooters. As were the real people of the old west, not the  
>> Hollywood lie that has replaced them.  
>>  
>>  
>> DC  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 13:34:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>Well Don, as is often is the case, you seem to be reading what I write,  
and  
>translating it into something entirely different.

You said:

>I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.

>"When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
>Pollack

And I am damn fed up with "men" taking the blame for the evil actions  
of an individual.

You fail to notice that the crime rate is massively higher today, when  
many hold your view of men, than in a time when men decided what we  
are to be and what our values are. To me, we retain that, while adding  
in freedom, equality, and self-determination for women and you have a  
better world.

As soon as some piece of sh\*t does something evil and insane, somebody  
starts talking about testosterone and hardware rather than personal  
ethics and responsibility. Of course no one talks about estrogen and  
the need to raise women better when some sicko stabs her newborn  
100 times. Nah, we whine about depression... Poor women... bad men!!

Bull

Perhaps we have to damned many crying men and not enough heroes.

Just a thought...

DC

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 13:43:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/04/18/AR2007041800162\\_pf.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/04/18/AR2007041800162_pf.html)

Well here's your Mr. Testosteroni...

"Shy" "near tears" "depressed" "terribly lonely" "a loner"  
"wrote disturbing plays" etc etc

Not an honorable, selfless, actual male, bone is his scrawny body...

A whiner and a narcissist.

Surprised?

Not me. Leave "men" out of this discussion. He doesn't qualify.

DC

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 13:46:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I realize the stats can be misleading, and a good statistician can make them say anything anyway. Nevertheless, there are a lot of fatal gun accidents in this country. Also, I'm the least likely demographic to be a victim of violent crime, I white, male, 6'2", and look a little menacing. So for me, having a gun is probably a bad idea, statistically speaking.

But, it's a good idea in the sense that I can walk safely pretty much wherever I want, which is something any citizen ought to expect as a matter of course. Sadly, in this country we can't. Unfortunately New York State doesn't recognize out or state carry permits, so when I'm in NYC I either carry illegally or don't carry. One of my cop friend in New Haven told me he'd rather take a pinch for carrying illegally than be attacked, and that in any case the fact that I have a CT permit would be at least considered by the police if something happens.

Anywho, it's odd. The three states I've lived in--Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Connecticut--are some of the bluest of blue states, but are surprisingly gun friendly. I guess it's the old farm boy traditions in the midwest, in WI one doesn't even need a permit to carry and even handguns don't have to be registered, the law says only that one should 'exercise caution' when carrying a firearm.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>Nobody carries a gun because it's statistically a smart thing to do. At

>least

>>if they're being honest with themselves they aren't. In fact, we're far

>more

>>likely to hurt/kill ourselves or a family member with it than a threatening

>>stranger.  
>  
>This is incredibly misleading.  
>  
>Did you know that this "fact" includes the defense of one's  
>self or family from someone who just happens to be related  
>to you? It also fails to take into account the 4-5 million  
>times a year that guns are used to end a crime with no shots  
>being fired.  
>  
>In reality, armed citizens do better than cops in shootouts  
>with criminals, and no one is trying to take cops guns away...  
>  
><http://hematite.com/dragon/gcmythexplode.html#myth2>  
>  
>[http://hematite.com/dragon/gunclock\\_stats.html](http://hematite.com/dragon/gunclock_stats.html)  
>  
>People with carry permits are part of the solution, not  
>part of the problem. And I think they are pretty smart.  
>  
>I applaud your decision to be able to defend yourself, and I  
>wish they would authorized permits here in California...  
>  
>DC  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 13:49:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

One more thing, as I keep on saying, the people who should really be able to carry are women. Much more likely to be victims of violent crime and also less like to hurt/kill others or themselves accidentally. Oddly, most women I know are the most anti-gun, until I take them to the range. I've made a number of converts ;-)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>I realize the stats can be misleading, and a good statistician can make them  
>say anything anyway. Nevertheless, there are a lot of fatal gun accidents  
>in this country. Also, I'm the least likely demographic to be a victim of  
>violent crime, I white, male, 6'2", and look a little menacing. So for me,  
>having a gun is probably a bad idea, statistically speaking.  
>  
>But, it's a good idea in the sense that I can walk safely pretty much wherever  
>I want, which is something any citizen ought to expect as a matter of course.

>Sadly, in this country we can't. Unfortunately New York State doesn't recognize  
>out or state carry permits, so when I'm in NYC I either carry illegally  
>or  
>don't carry. One of my cop friend in New Haven told me he'd rather take  
>a  
>pinch for carrying illegally than be attacked, and that in any case the  
>fact  
>that I have a CT permit would be at least considered by the police if something  
>happens.

>  
>Anywho, it's odd. The three states I've lived in--Wisconsin, Minnesota,  
>and  
>Connecticut--are some of the bluest of blue states, but are surprisingly  
>gun friendly. I guess it's the old farm boy traditions in the midwest, in  
>WI one doesn't even need a permit to carry and even handguns don't have  
>to  
>be registered, the law says only that one should 'exercise caution' when  
>carrying a firearm.

>  
>TCB

>  
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>  
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>  
>>>Nobody carries a gun because it's statistically a smart thing to do. At  
>>least  
>>if they're being honest with themselves they aren't. In fact, we're far  
>>more  
>>>likely to hurt/kill ourselves or a family member with it than a threatening  
>>>stranger.

>>  
>>This is incredibly misleading.

>>  
>>Did you know that this "fact" includes the defense of one's  
>>self or family from someone who just happens to be related  
>>to you? It also fails to take into account the 4-5 million  
>>times a year that guns are used to end a crime with no shots  
>>being fired.

>>  
>>In reality, armed citizens do better than cops in shootouts  
>>with criminals, and no one is trying to take cops guns away...

>>  
>><http://hematite.com/dragon/gcmythexplode.html#myth2>

>>  
>>[http://hematite.com/dragon/gunclock\\_stats.html](http://hematite.com/dragon/gunclock_stats.html)

>>  
>>People with carry permits are part of the solution, not

>>part of the problem. And I think they are pretty smart.  
>>  
>>I applaud your decision to be able to defend yourself, and I  
>>wish they would authorized permits here in California...  
>>  
>>DC  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 13:52:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>One more thing, as I keep on saying, the people who should really be able  
>to carry are women. Much more likely to be victims of violent crime and  
also  
>less like to hurt/kill others or themselves accidentally. Oddly, most women  
>I know are the most anti-gun, until I take them to the range. I've made  
a  
>number of converts ;-)

Oh yeah...

My teenage daughter loves shooting. Just loves it. My wife believes in  
owning guns, but does not want to shoot them. Oh well.

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 14:11:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

One of the really funny dynamics at the range is that I'll take a pretty girl  
out to the range. I have a Walter P22, the James Bond gun, that I'll show  
her how to shoot. They're damn near cute so that's why I choose it. So she'll  
take a couple of shots and, almost always, she's the only girl at the range.  
A bunch of times one of the 'creepy gun guys,' the kind who has a arsenal  
in the basement and still lives with his mom, will take notice and start  
to sheepishly chat my girl up. I always do the same thing, 'You know I bet  
[fill in pretty girl's name] really would enjoy the chance to shoot that  
[fill in assault rifle/Dirty Harry revolver/\$5k European sniper rifle/platinum  
plated antique .45 semi-auto/etc. here] you have there.' So my usually very  
respectable, perhaps academic date goes from being mildly horrified I own

a gun to emptying clips out of an AR-15. It's pretty damn funny.

TCB

P.S. If you ever live in a state that's more sane about concealed carry, this <http://www.kel-tec.com/p32.html> is by far my favorite for truly concealed carry. You need to be wearing some real hip huggers for to be even remotely visible in a pocket holster from here <http://www.hedleyholsters.com/keltec.html>. It's far less obvious than my Blackberry.

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>One more thing, as I keep on saying, the people who should really be able

>>to carry are women. Much more likely to be victims of violent crime and >also

>>less like to hurt/kill others or themselves accidentally. Oddly, most women

>>I know are the most anti-gun, until I take them to the range. I've made

>a

>>number of converts ;-)

>

>Oh yeah...

>

>My teenage daughter loves shooting. Just loves it. My wife believes in >owning guns, but does not want to shoot them. Oh well.

>

>DC

>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 14:30:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've never seen any studies that attempt to correlate the odds of someone stepping up and doing a heroic thing with an inability to feel tears. It might just as well be that having a full range of empathy could make someone more likely to act to defend others in such a situation.

I think the assertion that "there are too damned many crying men and not enough heroes" begs that question and is only an assumption, albeit a common one. Part of the Hollywood cowboy characterization, for example.

I also don't know of any studies that attempt to compare hormonal levels with empathy levels.

There's a whole lot we don't yet know about the brain and brain chemistry. But we do know that the brain, like other organs, is not immune to having functional problems.

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
www.JamieKrutz.com

DC wrote:

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>> Well Don, as is often is the case, you seem to be reading what I write,  
> and  
>> translating it into something entirely different.  
>  
> You said:  
>  
>> I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.  
>  
>> "When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
>> Pollack  
>  
>  
> And I am damn fed up with "men" taking the blame for the evil actions  
> of an individual.  
>  
> You fail to notice that the crime rate is massively higher today, when  
> many hold your view of men, than in a time when men decided what we  
> are to be and what our values are. To me, we retain that, while adding  
> in freedom, equality, and self-determination for women and you have a  
> better world.  
>  
> As soon as some piece of sh\*t does something evil and insane, somebody  
> starts talking about testosterone and hardware rather than personal  
> ethics and responsibility. Of course no one talks about estrogen and  
> the need to raise women better when some sicko stabs her newborn  
> 100 times. Nah, we whine about depression... Poor women... bad men!!  
>  
> Bull  
>  
> Perhaps we have to damned many crying men and not enough heroes.  
>  
> Just a thought...  
>  
> DC  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 17:29:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>He often spoke in a whisper, if at all, refused to open up to teachers and  
>classmates, and kept himself locked behind a facade of a hat, sunglasses  
>and silence.<

creepy.....sounds like a cross between Gollum and Elmore Blues.

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 08:39:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey, I love shooting. I got to be a really good shot when I was growing up in Montana. Even in our backyard, my sister and I kept the grasshopper population down with our BB gun. I have really bad grasshopper karma. :)

Sarah

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:462621d4\$1@linux...

>

> One more thing, as I keep on saying, the people who should really be  
> able

> to carry are women. Much more likely to be victims of violent crime and  
> also

> less like to hurt/kill others or themselves accidentally. Oddly, most  
> women

> I know are the most anti-gun, until I take them to the range. I've made a  
> number of converts ;-)

>

> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>I realize the stats can be misleading, and a good statistician can make  
> them

>>say anything anyway. Nevertheless, there are a lot of fatal gun  
>>accidents

>>in this country. Also, I'm the least likely demographic to be a victim of  
>>violent crime, I white, male, 6'2", and look a little menacing. So for me,  
>>having a gun is probably a bad idea, statistically speaking.

>>

>>But, it's a good idea in the sense that I can walk safely pretty much  
>>wherever

>>I want, which is something any citizen ought to expect as a matter of  
>>course.

>>Sadly, in this country we can't. Unfortunately New York State doesn't  
>>recognize

>>out or state carry permits, so when I'm in NYC I either carry illegally  
> or  
>>don't carry. One of my cop friend in New Haven told me he'd rather take  
> a  
>>pinch for carrying illegally than be attacked, and that in any case the  
> fact  
>>that I have a CT permit would be at least considered by the police if  
>>something  
>>happens.  
>>  
>>Anywho, it's odd. The three states I've lived in--Wisconsin, Minnesota,  
> and  
>>Connecticut--are some of the bluest of blue states, but are surprisingly  
>>gun friendly. I guess it's the old farm boy traditions in the midwest, in  
>>WI one doesn't even need a permit to carry and even handguns don't have  
> to  
>>be registered, the law says only that one should 'exercise caution' when  
>>carrying a firearm.  
>>  
>>TCB  
>>  
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>Nobody carries a gun because it's statistically a smart thing to do. At  
>>>>least  
>>>>if they're being honest with themselves they aren't. In fact, we're far  
>>>>more  
>>>>likely to hurt/kill ourselves or a family member with it than a  
>>>>threatening  
>>>>stranger.  
>>>  
>>>This is incredibly misleading.  
>>>  
>>>Did you know that this "fact" includes the defense of one's  
>>>self or family from someone who just happens to be related  
>>>to you? It also fails to take into account the 4-5 million  
>>>times a year that guns are used to end a crime with no shots  
>>>being fired.  
>>>  
>>>In reality, armed citizens do better than cops in shootouts  
>>>with criminals, and no one is trying to take cops guns away...  
>>>  
>>><http://hematite.com/dragon/gcmythexplode.html#myth2>  
>>>  
>>>[http://hematite.com/dragon/gunclock\\_stats.html](http://hematite.com/dragon/gunclock_stats.html)  
>>>

>>>People with carry permits are part of the solution, not  
>>>part of the problem. And I think they are pretty smart.  
>>>  
>>>I applaud your decision to be able to defend yourself, and I  
>>>wish they would authorized permits here in California...  
>>>  
>>>DC  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 09:32:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OK, have it your way:

Men are inherently evil.  
Women are inherently good.  
Testosterone is responsible for all the misery in the world.  
All men should be castrated at birth, except for a few designated breeders.

There, now your arguments make sense. I'm not sure who you're arguing with, however, since I haven't said anything like that.

If you were to describe to me what your view of a "real man" is, I'm 99% certain I would agree with you! I can see that I really hit a sore spot with you by bringing gender into the conversation, and I kind of regret even bringing it up, since the bigger issue here is crazy idiots with guns, and how to better predict and prevent this stuff from happening, regardless of gender.

But riddle me this, Batman: how do you think the world, including yourself, would be responding right now if this lunatic had been a woman?

Just curious.

S

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:46261e48\$1@linux...  
>  
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>>Well Don, as is often is the case, you seem to be reading what I write,  
> and  
>>translating it into something entirely different.  
>

> You said:  
>  
>>I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children.  
>  
>>"When we don't let boys cry tears, some will cry bullets." - William  
>>Pollack  
>  
>  
> And I am damn fed up with "men" taking the blame for the evil actions  
> of an individual.  
>  
> You fail to notice that the crime rate is massively higher today, when  
> many hold your view of men, than in a time when men decided what we  
> are to be and what our values are. To me, we retain that, while adding  
> in freedom, equality, and self-determination for women and you have a  
> better world.  
>  
> As soon as some piece of sh\*t does something evil and insane, somebody  
> starts talking about testosterone and hardware rather than personal  
> ethics and responsibility. Of course no one talks about estrogen and  
> the need to raise women better when some sicko stabs her newborn  
> 100 times. Nah, we whine about depression... Poor women... bad men!!  
>  
> Bull  
>  
> Perhaps we have to damned many crying men and not enough heroes.  
>  
> Just a thought...  
>  
> DC  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 11:24:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The shooter appears to be mentally disturbed which would rule out any arguments about real men or real women. He had problems apparently and totally lost it. A "rational" person would shoot the people who harmed him not innocent people and not compare himself to jesus.

It's just a horrific act and the shooter apparently had major problems that transcend rational thought.

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [neil\[1\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 13:36:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>But riddle me this, Batman: how do you think the world, including yourself,

>would be responding right now if this lunatic had been a woman?

They would've been saying: "why didn't she just have sex with one of her students?"

rofl

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 14:20:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I would have responded the same way. It's mental illness.

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 14:30:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>OK, have it your way:

>

>Men are inherently evil.

>Women are inherently good.

>Testosterone is responsible for all the misery in the world.

>All men should be castrated at birth, except for a few designated breeders.

>

>There, now your arguments make sense. I'm not sure who you're arguing with,

>however, since I haven't said anything like that.

ArrggHHHHHH!

ANDREA DWORKIN HAS RISEN FROM THE GRAVE!!

Ok, excuse me a sec while I drive this stake in what passed for a heart with her....

There, that's better...

My arguments make sense in the context of your points, not your silly strawman above. The raising of boys plays no role in the issue

of crazy mass murderers. Surely you know this by now?

Allowing boys to cry? Please...

Face it, women don't have a clue how to be men. All those thousands of years men tried to make women fit into their cartoon version of themselves, and now women want men to do the same damned thing back to us? Nah... No sale.

Here's what raising boys to be women's version of "men" has caused:  
The highest divorce rate in history.

"Men" who feel no need to tell women the truth. Ever.

"Men's" actual respect for women is lower than ever.

The highest number of young men growing up without a father present.

The lowest percentage enrollment in higher ed by males in modern times.

there's much more...

Take a look:

<http://www.amazon.com/War-Against-Boys-Misguided-Feminism/dp/0684849569>

>But riddle me this, Batman: how do you think the world, including yourself,

>would be responding right now if this lunatic had been a woman?

The discussion would center on depression, and digging into her past to look for abuse.

One of my great examples of successful men and women was an old gunsmith and his wife who became good friends to me in the late 1970's. He was in his 80's then and had been a cowboy and railroad guard as a young man. What a kind, decent, pair they were. And, without talking about it, without ideology, and without lowering anyone, they were implicitly, and purely equal in each other's eyes. This was the case, many more times than anyone talks about, in that generation of westerners. It just seemed like the right thing to do, and so they did it. Such respect and kindness. I hope someday that my marriage lives up to their standard. Did he cry? I'll bet he did, but for damn sure he did not do it in front of others to validate his sensitive-card... He was too busy holding up the world around him to even think about that. I miss him a lot.

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 15:09:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

----- Original Message -----

From: "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com>

Newsgroups: IDEA.EMUEnsoniqPARIS

Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2007 4:30 PM

Subject: Re: My god!!

> I hope someday that my marriage  
> lives up to their standard.

Don, in respect for you as a bombastic writer and the man you are, but with all you have written here about women in the lasts, do you ever believe you can live up to be respected that standard way from now and 'till you'll be 80?-)

erlilo, just curious from another side of our planet;-)

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 15:12:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I can only imagine where I fall on your Manly-Man scale (Or 99% of all the tobacco.

No comment.

Gene

[http://www.alaska.net/~clund/e\\_djublonskopf/Flatearthsociety .htm](http://www.alaska.net/~clund/e_djublonskopf/Flatearthsociety .htm)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>OK, have it your way:

>>

>>Men are inherently evil.  
>>Women are inherently good.  
>>Testosterone is responsible for all the misery in the world.  
>>All men should be castrated at birth, except for a few designated breeders.  
>>  
>>There, now your arguments make sense. I'm not sure who you're arguing with,  
>  
>>however, since I haven't said anything like that.  
>  
>ArrggHHHHHHH!  
>  
>ANDREA DWORKIN HAS RISEN FROM THE GRAVE!!  
>  
>Ok, excuse me a sec while I drive this stake in what passed for a heart  
>with her....  
>  
>There, that's better...  
>  
>My arguments make sense in the context of your points, not your  
>silly strawman above. The raising of boys plays no role in the issue  
>of crazy mass murderers. Surely you know this by now?  
>  
>Allowing boys to cry? Please...  
>  
>Face it, women don't have a clue how to be men. All those thousands of  
>years men tried to make women fit into their cartoon version of  
>themselves, and now women want men to do the same damned thing  
>back to us? Nah... No sale.  
>  
>Here's what raising boys to be women's version of "men" has caused:  
>The highest divorce rate in history.  
>"Men" who feel no need to tell women the truth. Ever.  
>"Men's" actual respect for women is lower than ever.  
>The highest number of young men growing up without a father present.  
>The lowest percentage enrollment in higher ed by males in modern times.  
>  
>there's much more...  
>  
>Take a look:  
>  
> <http://www.amazon.com/War-Against-Boys-Misguided-Feminism/dp/0684849569>  
>  
>  
>>But riddle me this, Batman: how do you think the world, including yourself,  
>  
>>would be responding right now if this lunatic had been a woman?  
>

>The discussion would center on depression, and digging into her past  
>to look for abuse.  
>  
>One of my great examples of successful men and women was an old  
>gunsmith and his wife who became good friends to me in the late 1970's.  
>He was in his 80's then and had been a cowboy and railroad guard as a  
>young man. What a kind, decent, pair they were. And, without talking  
>about it, without ideology, and without lowering anyone, they were  
>implicitly, and purely equal in each other's eyes. This was the case,  
>many more times than anyone talks about, in that generation of  
>westerners. It just seemed like the right thing to do, and so they  
>did it. Such respect and kindness. I hope someday that my marriage  
>lives up to their standard. Did he cry? I'll bet he did, but for damn  
>sure he did not do it in front of others to validate his sensitive-card...  
>He was too busy holding up the world around him to even think about  
>that. I miss him a lot.  
>  
>DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 15:41:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Gene,

Give me a break with your Manly-Man jive ok?

Holy cow what intolerant people liberals can be...

Sad really...

DC

"Gene Lennon" <[glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com](mailto:glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com)> wrote:

>

>

>I can only imagine where I fall on your Manly-Man scale (Or 99% of all the

>tobacco.

>

>

>No comment.

>

>Gene

>

> [http://www.alaska.net/~clund/e\\_djublonskopf/Flatearthsociety .htm](http://www.alaska.net/~clund/e_djublonskopf/Flatearthsociety.htm)

>

>

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>>OK, have it your way:

>>>

>>>Men are inherently evil.

>>>Women are inherently good.

>>>Testosterone is responsible for all the misery in the world.

>>>All men should be castrated at birth, except for a few designated breeders.

>>>

>>>There, now your arguments make sense. I'm not sure who you're arguing

>with,

>>

>>>however, since I haven't said anything like that.

>>

>>ArrggHHHHHH!

>>

>>ANDREA DWORKIN HAS RISEN FROM THE GRAVE!!

>>

>>Ok, excuse me a sec while I drive this stake in what passed for a heart

>>with her....

>>

>>There, that's better...

>>

>>My arguments make sense in the context of your points, not your

>>silly strawman above. The raising of boys plays no role in the issue

>>of crazy mass murderers. Surely you know this by now?

>>

>>Allowing boys to cry? Please...

>>

>>Face it, women don't have a clue how to be men. All those thousands of

>>years men tried to make women fit into their cartoon version of

>>themselves, and now women want men to do the same damned thing

>>back to us? Nah... No sale.

>>

>>Here's what raising boys to be women's version of "men" has caused:

>>The highest divorce rate in history.

>>"Men" who feel no need to tell women the truth. Ever.

>>"Men's" actual respect for women is lower than ever.

>>The highest number of young men growing up without a father present.

>>The lowest percentage enrollment in higher ed by males in modern times.

>>

>>there's much more...

>>

>>Take a look:

>>

>> <http://www.amazon.com/War-Against-Boys-Misguided-Feminism/dp/0684849569>

>>

>>

>>>But riddle me this, Batman: how do you think the world, including yourself,

>>

>>>would be responding right now if this lunatic had been a woman?

>>

>>The discussion would center on depression, and digging into her past

>>to look for abuse.

>>

>>One of my great examples of successful men and women was an old  
>>gunsmith and his wife who became good friends to me in the late 1970's.

>>He was in his 80's then and had been a cowboy and railroad guard as a  
>>young man. What a kind, decent, pair they were. And, without talking

>>about it, without ideology, and without lowering anyone, they were

>>implicitly, and purely equal in each other's eyes. This was the case,

>>many more times than anyone talks about, in that generation of

>>westerners. It just seemed like the right thing to do, and so they

>>did it. Such respect and kindness. I hope someday that my marriage

>>lives up to their standard. Did he cry? I'll bet he did, but for damn

>>sure he did not do it in front of others to validate his sensitive-card...

>>He was too busy holding up the world around him to even think about

>>that. I miss him a lot.

>>

>>DC

>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 15:43:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"erlilo" <[erlingl@tdcadsl.dk](mailto:erlingl@tdcadsl.dk)> wrote:

>Don, in respect for you as a bombastic writer and the man you are, but with

>all you have written here about women in the lasts, do you ever believe  
>you

>can live up to be respected that standard way from now and 'till you'll

>be

>80?-)

In deepest respect for your views as a superior and wiser being than

all others, it must be hard to be you. I guess someone has to do it.

Just a thought...

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 16:02:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No comment

Gene

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>  
>Hey Gene,  
>  
>Give me a break with your Manly-Man jive ok?  
>  
>Holy cow what intolerant people liberals can be...  
>  
>Sad really...  
>  
>DC  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 16:08:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You are not tolerant of others views in the least. Hence all the chewin' tobacco, manly-man and flat earth references...

Now, stop and think of the clever ways I could characterize your views and comments...

Think of the comparisons and websites I could dig up.

Think of the distaste I could imply with a couple of words like "no comment"

Sad really.

DC

"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>

>No comment

>Gene

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>Hey Gene,

>>

>>Give me a break with your Manly-Man jive ok?

>>

>>Holy cow what intolerant people liberals can be...

>>

>>Sad really...

>>

>>DC

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 16:13:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What's the problem Don? I only asked you a question that you didn't answered at all;-)

But never mind, I'm being too old to argue like a offended teenager;-)

erlilo, just curious why there isn't a more serious and respectable answer from the cowboyside of our planet;-)

"DC" <dc@spammersineurope.org> skrev i en meddelelse  
news:46278e2a\$1@linux...

>

> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>

>

>>Don, in respect for you as a bombastic writer and the man you are, but

>>with

>

>>all you have written here about women in the lasts, do you ever believe

> you

>>can live up to be respected that standard way from now and 'till you'll

> be  
>>80?-)   
>  
> In deepest respect for your views as a superior and wiser being than  
> all others, it must be hard to be you. I guess someone has to do it.  
>  
> Just a thought...  
>  
> DC  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 16:24:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:  
>What's the problem Don? I only asked you a question that you didn't answered  
  
>at all;-)  
>But never mind, I'm being too old to argue like a offended teenager;-)  
>  
>erlilo, just curious why there isn't a more serious and respectable answer  
  
>from the cowboyside of our planet;-)

Because, instead of a serious a respectable question, you asked this:

>>>Don, in respect for you as a bombastic writer and the man you are, but  
  
>>>with  
>>  
>>>all you have written here about women in the lasts, do you ever believe  
>> you  
>>>can live up to be respected that standard way from now and 'till you'll  
>> be  
>>>80?-)

We have a saying in english. Ask a stupid question get a stupid answer.

Or in your case, ask a loaded and clearly prejudiced question, and get a  
sarcastic answer.

Fair enough, no?

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 16:33:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

All right, last post on this. Let me make this clear.

I respect you for being intelligent and articulate.

I respect your right to free speech.

I like the fact that anyone can come to this forum and post OT comments.

But

same time I feel it is inappropriate to let you get away with some of your comments without any response.

So personal attacks aside, I may choose to post something like.

"No Comment."

If that makes you sad, so be it.

Gene

"DC" <[dc@spammersinhell.com](mailto:dc@spammersinhell.com)> wrote:

>

>You are not tolerant of others views in the least. Hence all the chewin'

>tobaccy, manly-man and flat earth references...

>

>Now, stop and think of the clever ways I could characterize your views

>and comments...

>

>Think of the comparisons and websites I could dig up.

>

>Think of the distaste I could imply with a couple of words like

>"no comment"

>

>Sad really.

>

>DC

>

>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 16:40:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Gene Lennon" <[glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com](mailto:glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com)> wrote:

>So personal attacks aside, I may choose to post something like.

>"No Comment."

>If that makes you sad, so be it.

>Gene

That's the problem... You did NOT post "no comment", you included "no comment" in the context of snide "chewing tobacco", "manly-man", and "flat earth" references.

Guys, we can accept that others see the world differently, and hang out anyway, or we can fight. We cannot be smartasses and then claim to be "tolerant". It's bullshit, and you can do better.

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 16:58:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

no comment...

<http://tinyurl.com/mzt2n>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 17:04:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Really, really, really,

no comment!

[http://www.zombietime.com/hall\\_of\\_shame/](http://www.zombietime.com/hall_of_shame/)

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 17:22:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

He, he, I can see you're fired up as the cowboy you're trying to be;-) I knew it, if you had a gun, you would try to do shooting before thinking, like you're always trying to shoot people down with words here when something is burning on;-) Relax man, take a deep breath, most of the cowboys are dying young and hard. As I can see, Hollywood have documented it very well up against the years;-)

erlilo

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:462797c8\$1@linux...  
>  
> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:  
>>What's the problem Don? I only asked you a question that you didn't  
>>answered  
>  
>>at all;-)  
>>But never mind, I'm being too old to argue like a offended teenager;-)  
>>  
>>erlilo, just curious why there isn't a more serious and respectable answer  
>  
>>from the cowboyside of our planet;-)  
>  
> Because, instead of a serious a respectable question, you asked this:  
>  
>>>>Don, in respect for you as a bombastic writer and the man you are, but  
>  
>>>>with  
>>>  
>>>>all you have written here about women in the lasts, do you ever believe  
>>> you  
>>>>can live up to be respected that standard way from now and 'till you'll  
>>> be  
>>>>80?-)  
>  
>  
> We have a saying in english. Ask a stupid question get a stupid answer.  
>  
> Or in your case, ask a loaded and clearly prejudiced question, and get a  
> sarcastic answer.  
>  
> Fair enough, no?  
>  
> DC  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 17:52:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

DC wrote:  
> Hey Gene,  
>  
> Give me a break with your Manly-Man jive ok?  
>  
> Holy cow what intolerant people liberals can be...

>  
> Sad really...

Doesn't it seem a bit intolerant to generalize about an entire perceived group of people when you're really just talking to one person?

DC wrote:

> Think of the distaste I could imply with a couple of words like  
> "no comment"  
>  
> Sad really.

Looky there, is that implying distaste with couple of words like "sad really"??

Artful. (I've got it down to one word. ;^)

DC wrote:

> Guys, we can accept that others see the world differently, and hang out  
> anyway, or we can fight. We cannot be smartasses and then claim to  
> be "tolerant". It's bullshit, and you can do better.

We can all do better. And hanging out is great. Happy really.

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKrutz.com](http://www.JamieKrutz.com)

> "Gene Lennon" <[glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com](mailto:glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com)> wrote:

>>  
>> I can only imagine where I fall on your Manly-Man scale (Or 99% of all the

>> tobacco.  
>>

>>  
>> No comment.  
>>  
>> Gene  
>>

>> [http://www.alaska.net/~clund/e\\_djublonskopf/Flatearthsociety .htm](http://www.alaska.net/~clund/e_djublonskopf/Flatearthsociety.htm)  
>>

>>  
>>  
>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>>>> OK, have it your way:  
>>>>  
>>>> Men are inherently evil.  
>>>> Women are inherently good.  
>>>> Testosterone is responsible for all the misery in the world.  
>>>> All men should be castrated at birth, except for a few designated breeders.  
>>>>  
>>>> There, now your arguments make sense. I'm not sure who you're arguing  
>> with,  
>>>> however, since I haven't said anything like that.  
>>> ArrggHHHHHH!  
>>>  
>>> ANDREA DWORKIN HAS RISEN FROM THE GRAVE!!  
>>>  
>>> Ok, excuse me a sec while I drive this stake in what passed for a heart  
>>> with her....  
>>>  
>>> There, that's better...  
>>>  
>>> My arguments make sense in the context of your points, not your  
>>> silly strawman above. The raising of boys plays no role in the issue  
>>> of crazy mass murderers. Surely you know this by now?  
>>>  
>>> Allowing boys to cry? Please...  
>>>  
>>> Face it, women don't have a clue how to be men. All those thousands of  
>>> years men tried to make women fit into their cartoon version of  
>>> themselves, and now women want men to do the same damned thing  
>>> back to us? Nah... No sale.  
>>>  
>>> Here's what raising boys to be women's version of "men" has caused:  
>>> The highest divorce rate in history.  
>>> "Men" who feel no need to tell women the truth. Ever.  
>>> "Men's" actual respect for women is lower than ever.  
>>> The highest number of young men growing up without a father present.  
>>> The lowest percentage enrollment in higher ed by males in modern times.  
>>>  
>>> there's much more...  
>>>  
>>> Take a look:  
>>>  
>>> <http://www.amazon.com/War-Against-Boys-Misguided-Feminism/dp/0684849569>  
>>>  
>>>

>>>> But riddle me this, Batman: how do you think the world, including yourself,  
>>>> would be responding right now if this lunatic had been a woman?  
>>> The discussion would center on depression, and digging into her past  
>>> to look for abuse.  
>>>  
>>> One of my great examples of successful men and women was an old  
>>> gunsmith and his wife who became good friends to me in the late 1970's.  
>>> He was in his 80's then and had been a cowboy and railroad guard as a  
>>> young man. What a kind, decent, pair they were. And, without talking  
>>> about it, without ideology, and without lowering anyone, they were  
>>> implicitly, and purely equal in each other's eyes. This was the case,  
>>> many more times than anyone talks about, in that generation of  
>>> westerners. It just seemed like the right thing to do, and so they  
>>> did it. Such respect and kindness. I hope someday that my marriage  
>>> lives up to their standard. Did he cry? I'll bet he did, but for damn  
>>> sure he did not do it in front of others to validate his sensitive-card...  
>>> He was too busy holding up the world around him to even think about  
>>> that. I miss him a lot.  
>>>  
>>> DC  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 18:52:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks Erling. Excruciatingly clever as always...

DC

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>He, he, I can see you're fired up as the cowboy you're trying to be;-) I  
knew

>it, if you had a gun, you would try to do shooting before thinking, like

>you're always trying to shoot people down with words here when something  
is

>burning on;-) Relax man, take a deep breath, most of the cowboys are dying

>young and hard. As I can see, Hollywood have documented it very well up

>against the years;-)

>

>erlilo

>

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:462797c8\$1@linux...

>>  
>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:  
>>>What's the problem Don? I only asked you a question that you didn't  
>>>answered  
>>  
>>>at all;-)  
>>>But never mind, I'm being too old to argue like a offended teenager;-)  
>>>  
>>>erlilo, just curious why there isn't a more serious and respectable answer  
>>  
>>>from the cowboyside of our planet;-)  
>>  
>> Because, instead of a serious a respectable question, you asked this:  
>>  
>>>>>Don, in respect for you as a bombastic writer and the man you are, but  
>>  
>>>>>with  
>>>>  
>>>>>all you have written here about women in the lasts, do you ever believe  
>>>> you  
>>>>>can live up to be respected that standard way from now and 'till you'll  
>>>> be  
>>>>>80?-)  
>>  
>>  
>> We have a saying in english. Ask a stupid question get a stupid answer.  
>>  
>> Or in your case, ask a loaded and clearly prejudiced question, and get  
a  
>> sarcastic answer.  
>>  
>> Fair enough, no?  
>>  
>> DC  
>>  
>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 19:01:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"> But riddle me this, Batman: how do you think the world, including  
yourself,  
> would be responding right now if this lunatic had been a woman?  
>

> Just curious.

>

.....a national outcry for a PMS vaccine?

;o)

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 19:15:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:4627515f\$1@linux...

>

> The shooter appears to be mentally disturbed which would rule out any

> arguments

> about real men or real women.

Yeah.....this guy was just \*real\* crazy

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 19:41:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No comments;-) ;-) ;-)

erlilo

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:4627ba7a\$1@linux...

>

> Thanks Erling. Excruciatingly clever as always...

>

> DC

>

> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>>He, he, I can see you're fired up as the cowboy youre trying to be;-) I

> knew

>>it, if you had a gun, you would try to do shooting before thinking, like

>

>>you're allways trying to shoot people down with words here when something

> is

>>burning on;-) Relax man, take a deep breath, most of the cowboys are dying

>

>>young and hard. As I can see, Hollywood have documented it very well up

>

>>against the years;-)

>>  
>>erlilo  
>>  
>>  
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse  
>>news:462797c8\$1 @linux...  
>>>  
>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:  
>>>>What's the problem Don? I only asked you a question that you didn't  
>>>>answered  
>>>  
>>>>at all;-)  
>>>>But never mind, I'm being too old to argue like a offended teenager;-)  
>>>>  
>>>>erlilo, just curious why there isn't a more serious and respectable  
>>>>answer  
>>>  
>>>>from the cowboyside of our planet;-)  
>>>  
>>> Because, instead of a serious a respectable question, you asked this:  
>>>  
>>>>>Don, in respect for you as a bombastic writer and the man you are, but  
>>>  
>>>>>with  
>>>>>  
>>>>>all you have written here about women in the lasts, do you ever  
>>>>>believe  
>>>>> you  
>>>>>can live up to be respected that standard way from now and 'till  
>>>>>you'll  
>>>>> be  
>>>>>80?-)  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> We have a saying in english. Ask a stupid question get a stupid answer.  
>>>  
>>> Or in your case, ask a loaded and clearly prejudiced question, and get  
> a  
>>> sarcastic answer.  
>>>  
>>> Fair enough, no?  
>>>  
>>> DC  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 06:08:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Holy crap. You are just going off like Yosemite Sam here because I said, "I think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children," and you have no idea what kind of changes I'm even talking about. You just take off on a rant about "women's idea of what a man should be" as though there is some sort of established standard, assuming angrily that I am in agreement with this undefined standard.

And then, to top it off, you refer me to a book that says essentially that boys are in trouble and need to be raised differently!

From my post of 4/17/2007 1:58 AM: "Instead of thwarting their natural aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it into creative, constructive activity."

From Christina Hoff Sommers' book *The War Against Boys*: "No one denies that boys' aggressive tendencies must be checked and channeled in constructive ways. Boys need discipline, respect, and moral guidance. Boys need love and tolerant understanding."

Gosh, is it my imagination, or is there a similarity in those two assertions?

Regarding "The raising of boys plays no role in the issue of crazy mass murderers. Surely you know this by now?" . . . Are we talking about planet Earth here? Third from the sun? Mostly water, kinda pretty? Are you seriously going to deny that there are any cultural influences contributing to school shootings, gang violence, gay bashings, the "going postal" phenomenon, etc? Next you'll deny the relationship of childhood abuse to violent behavior.

And what's with this huge button on "allowing boys to cry"? Jeez, get over it. People cry. It's an emotional response. Doesn't make a man less manly.

"No one denies that boys' aggressive tendencies must be checked and channeled in constructive ways." Well, Christina, I know this one guy who might deny that . . .

Sarah Dworkin

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 06:26:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OK Neil,

Did you see the front page of the New York Times? The picture of that psycho idiot pointing his two pistols? And I assume you've seen the ad nauseum airplay his pathetic video on our so-called "news media." When I said I was attacking a culture that makes heroes of killers, that's exactly what I was talking about, and exactly what I was expecting. Perhaps I should have used the word "murderers" to avoid confusion with righteous, kick-ass tough guys who "protect the weak" by killing the bad guys.

Where are the Mongol Hordes when we really need them?

S

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:4624cf3b\$1@linux...

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>Oh gimme a break. I'm not attacking "men," I'm attacking a culture that

>

>>makes heroes of killers.

>

> Well, in order to escape that, you'd need to become part of  
> some entirely different species. Prior to our current, "evil",  
> modern culture even being on the horizon, the ONLY heroes were  
> killers - you didn't become leader of the tribe or a kingdom or  
> the Roman Empire or a Mongol Horde if you didn't "kill your way  
> there" one way or the other, either by proving your self in  
> battle, or murdering the hated bastard that was in your way,  
> earning some applause & respect for awhile, until YOU became  
> the bastard & someone killed you LOL!

>

> Yeah, pity our modern society that produces pop art that  
> glorifies guys that protect the weak, whether it's with mad  
> kung-fu skills or guns... we'd be much better off without all  
> the influences our society produces, like comic books, movies,  
> running water and vaccines.

>

> Neil

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 07:59:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Holy crap. You are just going off like Yosemite Sam here because I said,

"I

>think we need to seriously change the way we raise our male children," and  
>you have no idea what kind of changes I'm even talking about. You just  
take  
>off on a rant about "women's idea of what a man should be" as though there  
  
>is some sort of established standard, assuming angrily that I am in  
>agreement with this undefined standard.

And you still haven't gotten it. When you generalize to men, or male  
children from the actions of a psychopath, you slander millions of good  
people.

>And then, to top it off, you refer me to a book that says essentially that  
  
>boys are in trouble and need to be raised differently!

Yes, different from what women say or think. All women. sorry...

You really cannot understand. I have 2 daughters, and what I can do  
for them is to be the best man I can. I cannot tell them how to be  
women. Being a woman is an utter mystery to me, as it is to all men.

I sure as heck can help them make sense of men though, and I  
can try to be honorable so they will look for that trait in a husband.

>From my post of 4/17/2007 1:58 AM: "Instead of thwarting their natural  
  
>aggression and energy, we should teach them to channel it into creative,  
  
>constructive activity."  
>  
>From Christina Hoff Sommers' book The War Against Boys: "No one denies  
that  
>boys' aggressive tendencies must be checked and channeled in constructive  
  
>ways. Boys need discipline, respect, and moral guidance. Boys need love  
and  
>tolerant understanding."  
>  
>Gosh, is it my imagination, or is there a similarity in those two  
>assertions?

As there are between any individual and most any book at some point

or another. The book strongly critiques the negative effects of feminism on the raising and lives of boys. Agree, or are you just mining quotes?

She sees it as I do, that it is not a zero-sum game, but that power given to women is not taken from men, and that the raising of the quality of women's lives does not require the denigration of men. Agree?

>Regarding "The raising of boys plays no role in the issue of crazy mass

>murderers. Surely you know this by now?" . . . Are we talking about planet

>Earth here? Third from the sun? Mostly water, kinda pretty? Are you  
>seriously going to deny that there are any cultural influences contributing

>to school shootings, gang violence, gay bashings, the "going postal"  
>phenomenon, etc? Next you'll deny the relationship of childhood abuse to

>violent behavior.

I see, so the raising of boys is like abuse, but not as far on the same scale?

Ever notice that the same cultural influences affect boys radically differently? Some bash gays and some stand up and defend them? Did you ever notice how much really good raising of boys there is going on? Maybe only a few people need to change their rearing methods. Perhaps you simply need to amend your statement to a gender-neutral one? Perhaps a giant social engineering project (gee aren't we just starting to see the folly of the last one?) isn't really what is needed at all, and might make things worse, regardless of our intentions?

And there are, and will be, the organically insane, and the just plain evil that exist as well.

I can see no excuse for saying that an entire society needs to change the way they raise boys in the context of this mass murder. I think it is a terrible slander.

>And what's with this huge button on "allowing boys to cry"? Jeez, get over

>it. People cry. It's an emotional response. Doesn't make a man less  
>manly.

A good remale response. Actually, sometimes it does. Sometimes a man

has to not cry, and do the hard thing to save a life. Sometimes a man and a woman too, has to stop crying and be a sheepdog and go fight some wolves. Men are generally physically and emotionally stronger and it falls to us to get out of bed and go deal with the asshole who broke in downstairs and the cops are 30 minutes away... I respect women in the extreme who can defend themselves effectively, but they are at the far end of the bell curve. For men, we must know when not to cry, and our standards will differ from women's. If I had a son, I would let him know the difference, and I absolutely would not let him cry when he needs to get the job done. See, I don't even care if you agree. It's none of your damn business. You will never understand. A woman telling men how to live and how to feel ends up with something like a caricature of a man because she is clueless. Same for men telling women how to behave. We men just make asses of ourselves when we do that and some of us finally figured it out. Your turn.

>"No one denies that boys' aggressive tendencies must be checked and >channeled in constructive ways." Well, Christina, I know this one guy who >might deny that . . .

And every father of a boy that I know does exactly that, but in the way of men, not women, and no one should ever slander those good fathers by saying what you said in the context of mass murder. Blame it on Cho, not on men, not on society, not on Judas Priest, and not on how we raise boys.

Now read the rest of the book please. It's quite good.

>Sarah Dworkin

YIPES! What a thought... ! The visual morph I got from reading that will give me nightmares for days...

You are much better looking

DC

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 13:48:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/topstories/tm\\_headline=we-are-g](http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/topstories/tm_headline=we-are-g)

lad-he-is-dead-by-cho-s-family--&method=full&objecti  
d=18931479&siteid=89520-name\_page.html

Autistic. Parents knew he was trouble. No time or money to deal with his problems.

Now everyone gets to deal with it....

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 14:02:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> Where are the Mongol Hordes when we really need them?

Out doing Capitol One commercials these days.

AA

Sorry, we needed some humor.

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:46285de3@linux...

> OK Neil,

>

> Did you see the front page of the New York Times? The picture of that  
> psycho idiot pointing his two pistols? And I assume you've seen the ad  
> nauseum airplay his pathetic video on our so-called "news media." When I  
> said I was attacking a culture that makes heros of killers, that's exactly  
> what I was talking about, and exactly what I was expecting. Perhaps I  
> should have used the word "murderers" to avoid confusion with righteous,  
> kick-ass tough guys who "protect the weak" by killing the bad guys.

>

> Where are the Mongol Hordes when we really need them?

>

> S

>

>

> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:4624cf3b\$1@linux...

>>

>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>> Oh gimme a break. I'm not attacking "men," I'm attacking a culture that

>>>

>>> makes heros of killers.

>>

>> Well, in order to escape that, you'd need to become part of

>> some entirely diffeent species. Prior to our current, "evil",

>> modern culture even being on the horizon, the ONLY heroes were

>> killers - you didn't become leader of the tribe or a kingdom or  
>> the Roman Empire a Mongol Horde if you didn't "kill your way  
>> there" one way or the other, either by proving your self in  
>> battle, or murdering the hated bastard that was in your way,  
>> earning some applause & respect for awhile, until YOU became  
>> the bastard & someone killed you LOL!

>>  
>> Yeah, pity our modern society that produces pop art that  
>> glorifies guys that protect the weak, whether it's with mad  
>> kung-fu skilz or guns... we'd be much better off without all  
>> the influences our society produces, like comic books, movies,  
>> running water and vaccines.

>>  
>> Neil  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 14:03:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://apnews.myway.com/article/20070419/D8OJTJD02.html>

One thing we must absolutely show no tolerance for is bullying in high school and elementary. And today, girls bully about as well as boys.

One of the few things that is consistent in young mass murderers is having been bullied.

Would he have done this had he not been bullied? Who can say? He was pretty crazy. But it seems like an area that might make a difference.

DC

DC

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 15:29:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Aaron Allen" <[know-spam@not\\_here.dude](mailto:know-spam@not_here.dude)> wrote in message [news:4628c8af@linux...](mailto:news:4628c8af@linux...)

>> Where are the Mongol Hordes when we really need them?

>  
> Out doing Capitol One commercials these days.  
> AA  
>  
> Sorry, we needed some humor.  
>  
> Arrrggghh!!!!

Wots in YOUuuuuuuur backpack?

## File Attachments

---

1) [ht\\_vatech\\_cho\\_070417\\_sp.jpg](#), downloaded 100 times



---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 17:52:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I wish my job had those qualities.

Chas. Duncan wrote:

> Agreed! Absolutely. We'd do well in this country to divest ourselves  
> of the notion that the establishment of a "pecking order" is somehow a  
> natural and necessary function of group dynamics in children. Yes,  
> such behavior shows up where it's allowed (or where it's expected).  
> But it doesn't have to be this way.  
>  
> My kids have had the good fortune to attend a small private school  
> that has a core philosophy based on mutual respect and individual  
> rights. Kids feel safe, happy, accepted, and the inevitable conflicts  
> are worked through in a forthright way that often as not makes friends  
> out of antagonists. I've been involved with this school for eleven  
> years now, and have seen this positive approach succeed with sour and  
> withdrawn children time and time again.  
>  
> Not to suggest that simple justice will prevent mental illness -- but,

> man, it's a great place to start.  
>  
> -- chas.  
>  
>  
> On 21 Apr 2007 00:03:59 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>  
>> <http://apnews.myway.com/article/20070419/D8OJTJD02.html>  
>>  
>> One thing we must absolutely show no tolerance for is bullying in  
>> high school and elementary. And today, girls bully about as well as boys.  
>>  
>> One of the few things that is consistent in young mass murderers is  
>> having been bullied.  
>>  
>> Would he have done this had he not been bullied? Who can say?  
>> He was pretty crazy. But it seems like an area that might make a  
>> difference.  
>>  
>> DC  
>>  
>>  
>> DC  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: My god!!  
Posted by [duncan](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 18:21:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Agreed! Absolutely. We'd do well in this country to divest ourselves of the notion that the establishment of a "pecking order" is somehow a natural and necessary function of group dynamics in children. Yes, such behavior shows up where it's allowed (or where it's expected). But it doesn't have to be this way.

My kids have had the good fortune to attend a small private school that has a core philosophy based on mutual respect and individual rights. Kids feel safe, happy, accepted, and the inevitable conflicts are worked through in a forthright way that often as not makes friends out of antagonists. I've been involved with this school for eleven years now, and have seen this positive approach succeed with sour and withdrawn children time and time again.

Not to suggest that simple justice will prevent mental illness -- but, man, it's a great place to start.

-- chas.

On 21 Apr 2007 00:03:59 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>  
><http://apnews.myway.com/article/20070419/D8OJTJD02.html>  
>  
>One thing we must absolutely show no tolerance for is bullying in  
>high school and elementary. And today, girls bully about as well as boys.  
>  
>One of the few things that is consistent in young mass murderers is  
>having been bullied.  
>  
>Would he have done this had he not been bullied? Who can say?  
>He was pretty crazy. But it seems like an area that might make a  
>difference.  
>  
>DC  
>  
>  
>DC

---

Subject: Re: My god!!

Posted by [duncan](#) on Sat, 21 Apr 2007 02:35:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The workplace is another area where a little attention to human dignity would go a long way -- and has been shown to be profitable as well...

-- maybe it's time to jump ship...

-- chas.

On Fri, 20 Apr 2007 13:52:33 -0400, John <no@no.com> wrote:

>I wish my job had those qualities.  
>  
>Chas. Duncan wrote:  
>> Agreed! Absolutely. We'd do well in this country to divest ourselves  
>> of the notion that the establishment of a "pecking order" is somehow a  
>> natural and necessary function of group dynamics in children. Yes,  
>> such behavior shows up where it's allowed (or where it's expected).  
>> But it doesn't have to be this way.  
>>  
>> My kids have had the good fortune to attend a small private school  
>> that has a core philosophy based on mutual respect and individual

>> rights. Kids feel safe, happy, accepted, and the inevitable conflicts  
>> are worked through in a forthright way that often as not makes friends  
>> out of antagonists. I've been involved with this school for eleven  
>> years now, and have seen this positive approach succeed with sour and  
>> withdrawn children time and time again.  
>>  
>> Not to suggest that simple justice will prevent mental illness -- but,  
>> man, it's a great place to start.  
>>  
>> -- chas.  
>>  
>>  
>> On 21 Apr 2007 00:03:59 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>> <http://apnews.myway.com/article/20070419/D8OJTJD02.html>  
>>>  
>>> One thing we must absolutely show no tolerance for is bullying in  
>>> high school and elementary. And today, girls bully about as well as boys.  
>>>  
>>> One of the few things that is consistent in young mass murderers is  
>>> having been bullied.  
>>>  
>>> Would he have done this had he not been bullied? Who can say?  
>>> He was pretty crazy. But it seems like an area that might make a  
>>> difference.  
>>>  
>>> DC  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> DC  
>>

---