
Subject: good lord have mercy
Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 04:07:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t August day since the 70's, then suddenly it went
> warm again.
>
> All this stuff is no coincidence.
>
> People who wont eve

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 04:38:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n sign KYOTO, which in itself goes nowhere near far
> enough,
> make me want to scream, for exactly the reason we're now seeing.
> Frustrating
> as hell.
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>If you're talking about New Orleans, it's because the disaster event isn't
>>over yet. The flood is still happening.
>>
>>IOf you're talking about everything else that's happening in the
>>world.....it's because of France.
>>

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 04:42:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>news:4317d003@linux...
>>> What the fuck is going on? Why is this thing getting so bad?
>>>
>>> Is this the best we can do?
>>>
>>> I don't know what to say, but I feel compelled to scream.
>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>Hi,

I suggest looking at the power supply.

When it distorts just measure the voltage at any chip to see what it gets. Maybe the transformer does not output anymore (or was never) the right power.

Try putting a new better bigger transformer.

I guess it is worth trying.

Regards,

Dimitrios

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:4317e79e\$1@linux...

> Man, I've had it with this crap. I've had two TL Audio Indigo processors
in

> my rack for many years. Either/both of them will work just fine for a
while

> and then just start distorting like crazy.....or stop passing signal
form

> an output, or a pot will fail, not just get scratchy....totally fail. I've

> opened these up, replaced IC's, resistors, caps, tubes, sent the EQ to an

> authorized repair facility (worked fine after th

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy

Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 07:06:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It works under Me and I can have at least 8 tracks of fully synced audio
>tracks coming from second computer via lan.

>You have to use though console wrapper as it is the only yet that sends
>syncing information.

>I wanna know when I finally test this baby that he will get some support
>from us.

>We have to buy some of us (I have already)) to justify all his extra
>efforts he made for us.

>It is cheap anyway...

>Please respond with some positive interest in buying.

>Afterall you will get fuller versions with updates for other systems that
>work great along with Paris version.

>Come on guys we don't expect people to work for us and have nothing for
>return...

>This way we may keep Paris alive for years (I hope) to come...

>Regards,

>Dimitrios

>

>"perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see and

seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me." kinda sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes the norm.

:o(

On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 17:35:20 -0600, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>I do indeed work in the industry. No denials, no apologies. If I am
>stereotyped for not automatically assuming that every anti-industry
>viewpoint is valid, then so be it.....again no apologies. I do question
>both sides. If you have read some of my posts here you might discover that
>I'm a somewhat reluctant conservative, perhaps siding with the least
>dangerous of the two evils I see and seeing very little in the middle that
>looks reasonable to me.

>
>"JimT" <JT@sansun.com> wrote in message news:43174724\$1@linux...

>>
>> No disrespect intended DJ, but your response just reinforced the
>stereotype
>> of someone who works in the industry.

>>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >
>> >"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message
>> >news:43171cbd\$1@linux...

>> >>
>> >> Has anyone noticed that after working five years on the new White House
>> >sponsored
>> >> energy bill, the U.S. Congress passed a bill that fails to reduce
>> >America's
>> >> dependence on oil, fails to address the threat of global warming, fails
>> >to
>> >> make any significant new investments in clean energy, and fails to help
>> >consumers
>> >> at the gas pump.
>> >> What it did do includes:
>> >> >

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 08:10:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;> >
>> >>

>> >> In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business you would
>> have
>> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its energy
>> >efficiency.
>> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a business,
>you
>> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from the IRS.
>(Public
>> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax loophole.)
>> >
>> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree huggers. (Just a
>> >little perspective)
>> >
>> >;o)
>> >>
>> >> Gene
>> >>
>> >> P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability is our absolute
>> >reliance
>> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources are negatively
>> >effected
>> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially enslaves us to the
>large
>> >> foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for Big-Stick diplomacy.
>> >>
>> >> Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in the road.
>> >>
>> >> The people who will profit the most will be pushing the idea that we
>must
>> >> reduce or remove all environmental constraints on energy suppliers to
>> help
>> >> us achieve independence and keep our economy working. This will help
>make
>> >> many of them even richer and will shorten the time before we completely
>> >run
>> >> out of US oil reserves, but will also cause tremendous health issues
>and
>> >> will result in serious environmental impacts. Some of this already
>> >started.
>> >> Only a national level push for new energy sources and energy
>independence,
>> >> similar to the 1960s push to go to the moon, will help us now.
>> >> That plus having a White House and Congress that actually cares more
>about
>> >> saving our economy then their personal wealth.
>> >> Gene
>> >>
>> >

>> >

>>

>Because it takes more money to grow corn, water it, fertilize it with pesticides, harvest it with gas guzzling harvesting machines, haul it to

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy

Posted by [Cujjo](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 13:08:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

an's opinion.

and a post script:

"As democracy is perfected, the office of president represents, more and more closely, the inner soul of the people. On some great and glorious day the plain folks of the land will reach their heart's desire at last and the White House will be adorned by a downright moron."

H.L. Mencken 1880 - 1956

I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is the "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked up buy Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it. Policy aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron.

Do a little research and you'll find a similar "Armageddon" campaign around 1000 AD. Hundreds of thousands died around that time, not because of natural disasters, but because the majority of the people stopped planting crops, and starved to death.

"Kim" <hiddenounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:4318090c@linux...

>

> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote:

>

>>No disrespect to you but what a crock to conclude that a Cat5 'cane has

>

>>anything to do with GW or global warming/cooling.

>

> Well, no disrespect to you, but when I hear ten years of talk about how

> weather

> will get more severe and more extreme, and when I look at weather in my

> own

> city, and not only notice the changes, but also hear constant news reports

> confirming that we're hitting more extremes more often, well it leads me

> to the natural conclusion that maybe, just maybe, all those scientists who

> claimed for years that we should expect worse hurricanes, well maybe they
> were right.
>
> You're right in the simple example that one cat5 does not a global warming
> link make. And records, one would expect, will be broken from time to time
> anyhow.
>
> Personally I start to think something is amiss though when I hear "Warmest
> [month x] on record" every couple of months. I don't expect to change your
> mind Mark, as I've learned that Don C is pretty much dead on when he says
> nobody ever changes their minds in these discussions. None the less, every
> time global warming comes up on this NG I always have a record to resite
> for my home city for the current day, week, or month, and it's more often
> than not something like it was this time...
>
> OK. Let me tell you some stats:
>
> This year, for Melbourne, where I live...
>
> 2004 was the 4th warmest year on record. We finished off 2004 with the
> wettest
> end to a year ever. Then had the coldest February (summer) day on record
> which included the highest rainfall ever in the city by a factor of 20%,
> which was 3 times the average MONTHLY rainfall ALL IN A SINGLE DAY. The
> coldest
> February since 1954. Wettest February since 1973. Driest Autumn since 1900
> since records (1900) for the state. Warmest autumn since 1950. (Autumn
> here
> being Mar, Apr, May of course).
>
> Meanwhile July's minimum temps were the (equal) warmest on record.
>
> We started August by being told 2 weeks in that averages were warmer than
> any August on record. Then week 3 I got snowed on in Ringwood. It doesn't
> snow in Ringwood, period. It was

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 13:08:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the coldest August day since the 70's.
> The
> most extreme August since, oh I don't remember...
>
> Sorry dude. I can see where you're coming from, but honestly,

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [jp](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 13:53:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ely to be the result of cyclical
ocean and atmospheric conditions that produced heightened storms every 20
to 30 years.

William Gray, a Colorado State University meteorologist who is considered
one of the fathers of modern tropical cyclone science, said worldwide weather
records were too inadequate for a thorough examination of trends.

He told The Los Angeles Times: "The people who have a bias in favour of the
argument that humans are making the globe warmer will push any data that
suggests humans are making hurricanes worse, but it just isn't so ... These
are natural cycles."DJ,I disagree we're in a war as equally serious as WWII.Wanting
to see all sides of the issue could you explain how you came to
believe that.All respect intended.

Pete

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>I agree with you. It's more than sad, it's downright scary. The middle would
>work for me except for one thing.....it's refusal to truly acknowledge
>and recognize the fact that we are in a war that is equally as serious as
>WWII. The right recognizes this and also recognizes that you can't fight
a
>war in a half assed effort and you can't win a war unless you first
>acknowledge that you are in the middle of one.

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:rn5gh1lougqaia2rkrb59sio8hf240th5@4ax.com...

>> "perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see and
>> seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me." kinda
>> sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes the
>> norm.

>>

>> :o(

>>

>> On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 17:35:20 -0600, "DJ"

>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>

>> >I do indeed work in the industry. No denials, no apologies. If I am
>> >stereotyped for not automatically assuming that every anti-industry
>> >viewpoint is valid, then so be it.....again no apologies. I do question
>> >both sides. If you have read some of my posts here you might discover
>that

>> >I'm a somewhat reluctant conservative, perhaps siding with the least
>> >dangerous of the two evils I see and seeing very little in the middle

>that
>> >looks reasonable to me.
>> >
>> >"JimT" <JT@sansun.com> wrote in message news:43174724\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> No disrespect intended DJ, but your response just reinforced the
>> >stereotype
>> >> of someone who works in the industry.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:43171cbd\$1@linux...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Has anyone noticed that after working five years on the new White
>House
>> >> >sponsored
>> >> >> energy bill, the U.S. Congress passed a bill that fails to reduce
>> >> >America's
>> >> >> dependence on oil, fails to address the threat of global warming,
>fails
>> >> to
>> >> >> make any significant new investments in clean energy, and fails
>to
>help
>> >> >consumers
>> >> >> at the gas pump.
>> >> >> What it did do includes:
>> >> >> Grants the oil and gas industries an exemption for their
>construction
>> >> >activities
>> >> >> from compliance with Clean Water Act.
>> >> >> Increases America's oil dependence by 130,000 barrels of oil per
>day
>in
>> >> >2014
>> >> >> through extending the 'dual-fuel' loophole.
>> >> >> Authorized billions in new subsidies to the oil industry. (Who are
>all
>> >> >showing
>> >> >> record profits without the government bonuses.)
>> >> >> Give away billions in unrelated pork fat. (The biggest giveaway
>of
>all
>> >> >time.)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The list of pork fat is too long and too funny for me to list but

my
>> >> >personal
>> >> >> favorites are:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Giving \$800 million

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Pete Ruthenburg](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 15:10:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> >> >FYI, MTBE is an additive that the environmental lobby *insisted* on
>the
>> >> >refineries adding to fuel because it reduced certain emissions levels.
>It
>> >> >was never tested properly before this was legislated. Turns out it
was
>> >bad
>> >> >shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when they only
>did
>> >> it
>> >> >because it was federally mandated.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge, but also
>> >similar
>> >> >> areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the Chaney family
>has
>> >> >future
>> >> >> Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.
>> >> >
>> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the eastern slope
of
>> >the
>> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right outside of
>> >Glacier

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 15:15:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it. The fact that
>> >Cheney
>> >> >owns mineral rights there is certainly fortunate fro Cheyney, but
he's
>> >from

>> >> >Wyoming. I doubt he moved his family there 3 or four generations ago
>just
>> >> >because he knew that someday we would have high natural gas prices.
>> >> >
>> >> >His brother is a surveyor in this area and I have worked with him
>before.
>> >> If
>> >> >Cheyney is anything like his brother, it might be a good thing to
get
>to
>> >> >know him before casting stones. Yeh.....I know.....its the
>> >Halliburton
>> >> >thing, right?
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business you
>would
>> >> have
>> >> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its energy
>> >> >efficiency.
>> >> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a business,
>> >you
>> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from the IRS.
>> >(Public
>> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax loophole.)
>> >> >
>> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree huggers. (Just
>a
>> >> >little perspective)
>> >> >
>> >> >;o)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Gene
>> >> >>
>> >> >> P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability is our
>absolute
>> >> >reliance
>> >> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources are negatively
>> >> >effected
>> >> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially enslaves us to
the
>> >large
>> >> >> foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for Big-Stick diplomacy.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in the road.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The people who will profit the most will be pushing the idea that
we

>> >must
>> >> >> reduce or remove all environmental constraints on energy suppliers
>to
>> >> help
>> >> >> us achieve independence and keep our economy working. This will
help
>> >make
>> >> >> many of them even richer and will shorten the time before we
>completely
>> >> >run
>> >> >> out of US oil reserves, but will also cause tremendous health issues
>> >and
>> >> >> will result in serious environmental impacts. Some of this already
>> >> >started.
>> >> >> Only a national level push for new energy sources and energy
&

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [DT](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 15:16:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lobal.net> wrote:

>I'm just ranting as I feel I need to right now.
>
>Pete

I'm with you.

The president is not an inspiring leader at times of diaster, but this f*ckup has to be laid at the feet of the tradition of corruption and poor government in NO. They knew exactly what would happen to the levies, they knew exactly how deep the water would be and where it would go. Why was there not an effective emergency plan? Where was the local leadership? When NO needed a Giuliani they got a worthless whiner and no plan. Bush was slow, as he usually is. No excuses. But compared to the city and state bozos he is a paragon of virtue.

DCCan't disagree with you there. When Katrina first happened I was seeing the governor and mayor on tv pretty often; haven't seen them in a day or two. I hear the mayor is in Baton Rouge now. With the communications the way they are he might need to be there, but I don't think the hungry, thirsty suffering people in NO would think much of their mayor being elsewhere.

Pete

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>

>>I'm just ranting as I feel I need to right now.

>>

>>Pete

>

>

>I'm with you.

>

>The president is not an inspiring leader at times of diaster, but this

>f*ckup has to be laid at the feet of the tradition of corruption and

>poor government in NO. They knew exactly what would happen

>to the levies, they knew exactly how deep the water would be and

>where it would go. Why was there not an effective emergency

>plan? Where was the local leadership? When NO needed a

>Giuliani they got a worthless whiner and no plan. Bush was slow,

>as he usually is. No excuses. But compared to the city and state

>bozos he is a paragon of virtue.

>

>DCWhile I think that the case for global warming has been made to the satisfaction

of most of the world's scientific community, I don't know that it was a

major contributor to the magnitude of this hurricane. I recently read that

there is a 40 year cycle of hurrican activity, and we have entered the not

so pleasant part of that cycle.

New Orleans is one of my favorite cities. I was just there in June for the
Tape Op Con. But I think we need to ask the question "does it make sense
to rebuild it in the same place?".

DTerry <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote:

>jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post script

is

>yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB doesn't

>see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous

>extrapolated hyperbole.

>

>Yes weather goes in cycles. Katrina is the worst hurricane since Camille,

>but Camille was worse - I think 210mph winds there - it was a full cat 5

>when it hit Galvaston in 1900. We only have accurate weather records for

a

>little over 100 years here. Let's be real here. New Orleans is below sea

>level, on the coast. How arrogant could we humans possibly be than to build

>a city in the center of hurricane alley, below sea level? I'm not

>diminishing the catastrophe for the people there, but we have high rises and

>homes right on the beach that get wiped out time after time, yet we build

>right back. Isn't there a measure of personal risk, or just unfortunate

>circumstances involved with where we choose to live? Sure, some people

>really don't have a choice, and for them it's tragic, pure and simple.

No

>blame either way, just reality. People who live next to rivers take the

>same risk - great place to live, but it comes with the chance you might lost

>it someday. The more people do this and the larger populations grow, the

>bigger the catastrophe when a storm or flood does hit. It's been happening

>for centuries - the ice age was a huge natural disaster - but no one was

>around to film such past natural events, so we really don't bother thinking

>about how comparatively normal the hurricane, and even the tsunami are in

>the scope of global weather history.

>

>Kim I'm sorry to hear you had weird weather in Australia, but based on

>scientific reports I've heard, such events are far less likely to be the

>result of global warming than a 20 year trend in averages would be.

>Certainly some odd events could be a result, but it is nearly impossible to

>say for sure, and certainly even harder to predict. We live with highly

>variable weather here in the US. Both the hottest temp and the highest wind

>gust ever have been recorded in the US, since records have been kept at

>least. Highest wind gust - Mt. Washington, NH I believe - I've hiked it,

>but it was only 40F that day, with 20-35mph winds, so a normal day basically

>:-). Hottest temp - probably Death Valley. Good name too.

>

>We do need to address our environmental habits - there is without a doubt an

>impact we are paying for, whether we really know it or not. But launching

>blame against governments for not doing something sooner in the face of a

>natural disaster is ludicrous and ill-informed. Let's fix the real problem

>- name one person in a one developed country that could live without a car

>if we really did what it takes to solve this quickly - cut fossil fuel usage

>by 50-100% in a year, not 10, or 20 like Kyoto, but 1 or 2. We've created

>societies (Australia, Europe, Canada, Asia, Russia, etc included) that would

>have a hard time surviving economically if we really addressed environmental

>issues head on, with real mandates or laws, and real results. It would

hurt

>bad - very bad. That's the crux of the seemingly wishy washy policy
>approach to it. It won't happen until the people of this world decide to
do
>something. It's simply lame to sit around waiting for governments to not
>only force us, but convince us that whatever legislation is passed is a
>beautiful thing and we'll love the results. That's what we are doing to
be
>truthful - waiting for someone else to take the situation in hand and
>convince everyone else it's the greatest idea since the wheel, which is
>really the one that started this mess.
>
>Regards,
>Dedric
>
>On 9/2/05 7:53 AM, in article 4318598a\$1 @linux, "jp" <no@mail.please> wrote:
>
>> I am not out to change anyone's mind, but I have done my own research
on
>> climate. With my understanding of cyclical world climate changes,
>> understanding of the gulf stream mechanism, as well as the scope of
>> naturally occurring co2, I am confident that the earth has a tendency
to
>> keep itself in balance, even if that means shedding off certain species
>> (including us). The earth doesn't need our help. It's been doing fine
for a
>> few billion years.
>>
>> I think it's a bit short sighted to use a 2-300 year period of record
>> keeping to define "normal" (1/.000000075th or so of the earths life).
The
>> earth isn't going to stop evolving just because George Bush found Jesus.
>>
>> You think "global warming" is bad, then you'll be in shock when the sun
goes
>> through another 100 year solar flare cycle (think about it, computers
>> weren't around during the last cycle). Just imagine a world with no wireless
>> transmission for several weeks or months. We'll be replacing ICs in the
>> majority of computer appliances (including just about every vehicle within
>> the sun's line of sight) and a lifetime of UV damage will be nothing
>> compared to what 5 minutes exposure to a large flare can do.
>>
>> The earth's a dangerous place. You need to be educated and prepared in
order
>> to survive.
>>
>> Just one man's opinion.
>>
>> and a post script:

>>
>> "As democracy is perfected, the office of president represents, more and
>> more closely, the inner soul of the people. On some great and glorious
day
>> the plain folks of the land will reach their heart's desire at last and
the
>> White House will be adorned by a downright moron."
>>
>> H.L. Mencken 1880 - 1956
>>
>> I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is the
>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked up
by
>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it. Policy
>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron.
>>
>> Do a little research and you'll find a similar "Armageddon" campaign around
>> 1000 AD. Hundreds of thousands died around that time, not because of natural
>> disasters, but because the majority of the people stopped planting crops,
>> and starved to death.

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 15:23:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:4318090c@linux...
>>>
>>> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> No disrespect to you but what a crock to conclude that a Cat5 'cane
has
>>>
>>>> anything to do with GW or global warming/cooling.
>>>
>>> Well, no disrespect to you, but when I hear ten years of talk about how
>>> weather
>>> will get more severe and more extreme, and when I look at weather

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Pete Ruthenburg](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 15:39:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

in
my
>>> own
>>> city, and not only notice the changes, but also hear constant news reports
>>> confirming that we're hitting more extremes more often, well it leads
me
>>> to the natural conclusion that maybe, just maybe, all those scientists
who
>>> claimed for years that we should expect worse hurricanes, well maybe
they
>>> were right.
>>>
>>> You're right in the simple example that one cat5 does not a global warming
>>> link make. And records, one would expect, will be broken from time to
time
>>> anyhow.
>>>
>>> Personally I start to think something is amiss though when I hear "Warmest
>>> [month x] on record" every couple of months. I don't expect to change
your
>>> mind Mark, as I've learned that Don C is pretty much dead on when he
says
>>> nobody ever changes their minds in these discussions. None the less,
every
>>> time global warming comes up on this NG I always have a record to resite
>>> for my home city for the current day, week, or month, and it's more often
>>> than not something like it was this time...
>>>
>>> OK. Let me tell you some stats:
>>>
>>> This year, for Melbourne, where I live...
>

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Gary Flanigan](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 15:51:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>
>>> 2004 was the 4th warmest year on record. We finished off 2004 with the
>>> wettest
>>> end to a year ever. Then had the coldest February (summer) day on record
>>> which included the highest rainfall ever in the city by a factor of 20%,
>>> which was 3 times the average MONTHLY rainfall ALL IN A SINGLE DAY. The
>>> coldest
>>> February since 1954. Wettest February since 1973. Driest Autumn since
1900
>>> since records (1900) for the state. Warmest autumn since 1950. (Autumn

>>> here
>>> being Mar, Apr, May of course).
>>>
>>> Meanwhile July's minimum temps were the (equal) warmest on record.
>>>
>>> We started August by being told 2 weeks in that averages were warmer than
>>> any August on record. Then week 3 I got snowed on in Ringwood. It doesn't
>>> snow in Ringwood, period. It was the coldest August day since the 70's.
>>> The
>>> most extreme August since, oh I don't remember...
>>>
>>> Sorry dude. I can see where you're coming from, but honestly, where I'm
>>> sitting,
>>> things just aren't normal. And more and more people are saying it...
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> Kim.
>>
>>

>I tend to agree on this point. The first thing that started really scaring me was the fact that the governor of LA was near hysterics in a televised news conference. That was Tuesday, and things have gotten much worse since then. And the mayor of NO doesn't seem entirely competent to handle the situation, either...to put it nicely...

LA leadership is not up the task, never could have been. It's just so obvious the whole place got caught with a uppercut it never bothered to take seriously.

It is unconscionable, however, how slow and lazy the Federal response has been. The various leaders of various agencies, including our not-so-esteemed boy king, have spent more time making excuses, it seems, than in making things better.

I am simply horrified with what is unfolding. The political repercussions will be massive. I predict the head of FEMA will be jobless very soon.

Jimmy

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:43186e80\$1@linux...

> I'm with you.
>
> The president is not an inspiring leader at times of diaster, but this
> f*ckup has to be laid at the feet of the tradition of corruption and
> poor government in NO. They knew exactly what would happen
> to the levies, they knew exactly how deep the water would be and

> where it would go. Why was there not an effective emergency
> plan? Where was the local leadership? When NO needed a
> Giuliani they got a worthless whiner and no plan. Bush was slow,
> as he usually is. No excuses. But compared to the city and state
> bozos he is a paragon of virtue.
>
> DCPull an "Office Space" on those bitches. Do it in slow-mo. Play some really
nasty gangsta rap while you do it, maybe some Ghetto Boys.

Jimmy

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:4317e79e\$1@linux...

> Man, I've had it with this crap. I've had two TL Audio Indigo processors
in
> my rack for many years. Either/both of them will work just fine for a
while
> and then just start distorting like crazy.....or stop passing signal
form
> an output, or a pot will fail, not just get scratchy....totally fail. I've
> opened these up, replaced IC's, resistors, caps, tubes, sent the EQ to an
> authorized repair facility (worked fine after that for about 6 months,
then
> started having the same issues), you name it.
>
> When they are working, they sound very nice and that's why I have kept
them
> and babied them along, but I just can't put up with this unreliable
garbage
> any more. They are worthless to me if I can't depend on them.
>
> I'm thinking about selling them *as is* with a truthful statement about
> their condition, but I might also just enjoy the hell out of video taped
> sledge hammering or maybe a target practice session with these as the
> target. I could send the pics to Fletcher so he can put this up alongside
> the dead Mackie mixer and ADAT shit on a stick memorial. I recall posts
> indicating that he has no love for this product either.
>
> I did own a couple of PA2 preamps a while back that sounded darned good. I
> sold them in good condition. I hope they aren't acting like these old
Indigo
> series processors now.
>
> ;o(
>
>but we are fighting a half assed war in a half assed fashion just as
we did in vietnam.

On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 06:14:46 -0600, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>I agree with you. It's more than sad, it's downright scary. The middle would
>work for me except for one thing.....it's refusal to truly acknowledge
>and recognize the fact that we are in a war that is equally as serious as
>WWII. The right recognizes this and also recognizes that you can't fight a
>war in a half assed effort and you can't win a war unless you first
>acknowlodge that you are in the middle of one.

>
>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:rn5gh1lougqai2rkrb59sio8hf240th5@4ax.com...
>> "perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see and
>> seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me." kinda
>> sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes the
>> norm.

>>
>> :o(
>>
>> On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 17:35:20 -0600, "DJ"
>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>
>> >I do indeed work in the industry. No denials, no apologies. If I am
>> >stereotyped for not automatically assuming that every anti-industry
>> >viewpoint is valid, then so be it.....again no apologies. I do question
>> >both sides. If you have read some of my posts here you might discover
>that
>> >I'm a somewhat reluctant conservative, perhaps siding with the least
>> >dangerous of the two evils I see and seeing very little in the middle
>that
>> >looks reasonable to me.

>> >
>> >"JimT" <JT@sansun.com> wrote in message news:43174724\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> No disrespect intended DJ, but your response just reinforced the
>> >stereotype
>> >> of someone who works in the industry.

>> >>
>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:43171cbd\$1@linux...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Has anyone noticed that after working five years on the new White
>House
>> >> >sponsored

>> >> >> energy bill, the U.S. Congress passed a bill that fails to reduce
>> >> >America's
>> >> >> dependence on oil, fails to address the threat of global warming,
>fails
>> >> to
>> >> >> make any significant new investments in clean energy, and fails to
>help
>> >> >consumers
>> >> >> at the gas pump.
>> >> >> What it did do includes:
>> >> >> Grants the oil and gas industries an exemption for their
>construction
>> >> >activities
>> >> >> from compliance with Clean Water Act.
>> >> >> Increases America's oil dependence by 130,000 barrels of oil per day
>in
>> >> >2014
>> >> >> through extending the 'dual-fuel' loophole.
>> >> >> Authorized billions in new subsidies to the oil industry. (Who are
>all
>> >> >showing
>> >> >> record profits without the government bonuses.)
>> >> >> Give away billions in unrelated pork fat. (The biggest giveaway of
>all
>> >> >time.)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The list of pork fat is too long and too funny for me to list but my
>> >> >personal
>> >> >> favorites are:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Giving \$800 million for companies in Texas and Louisiana to
>compensate
>> >> for
>> >> >> their phase out of the gasoline additive MTBE, which studies have
>> >> >concluded
>> >> >> contaminates ground water and causes cancer.
>> >> >> Since we will now longer allow you to poison us we will give you
>\$800
>> >> >million
>> >> >> for your trouble. "Coincidentally", the Bush family has considerable
>> >> >holdings
>> >> >> in one of the companies.
>> >> >
>> >> >FYI, MTBE is an additive that the environmental lobby *insisted* on
>the
>> >> >refineries adding to fuel because it reduced certain emissions levels.
>It
>> >> >was never tested properly before this was legislated. Turns out it was

>> >bad
>> >> >shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when they only
>did
>> >> it
>> >> >because it was federally mandated.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge, but also
>> >similar
>> >> >> areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the Chaney family
>has
>> >> >future
>> &g

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 15:53:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;> >> Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.
>> >> >
>> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the eastern slope of
>> >the
>> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right outside of
>> >Glacier
>> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it. The fact that
>> >Cheney
>> >> >owns mineral rights there is certainly fortunate fro Cheyney, but he's
>> >from
>> >> >Wyoming. I doubt he moved his family there 3 or four generations ago
>just
>> >> >because he knew that someday we would have high natural gas prices.
>> >> >
>> >> >His brother is a surveyor in this area and I have worked with him
>before.
>> >> If
>> >> >Cheyney is anything like his brother, it might be a good thing to get
>to
>> >> >know him before casting stones. Yeh.....I know.....its the
>> >Halliburton
>> >> >thing, right?
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business you
>would
>> >> have
>> >> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its energy
>> >> >efficiency.
>> >> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a business,

>> >you
>> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from the IRS.
>> >(Public
>> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax loophole.)
>>

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Pete Ruthenburg](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 16:23:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Regards,
Dedric

On 9/2/05 10:37 AM, in article 43187fd1\$1@linux, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com>
wrote:

>
> Here's what I don't understand... a day or two before the
> hurricane made landfall, when they knew it was going to be a bad
> one, but didn't necessarily know where it was going hit exactly,
> why didn't the feds start sending emergency vehicles & supplies
> to a staging area in like Kansas, or Oklahoma, or something?
>
> I mean, you can't send things right down into the storm itself,
> at that point of course, all that stuff would've been destroyed
> along with everything else, but start shipping truckloads of non-
> perishable food/MRE's, deploy gasoline tankers full of fuel,
> flatbeds with emergency generators mounted on the backs, medical
> personnel & supplies, water tankers, tents, etc.
>
> If they had done this, then all this stuff wouldn't have to
> start trickling in from all over the country several days
> later... they could've had supplies & personnel there the day
> after the storm subsided, and if they'd stage at some point
> that's far enough away to be safe, but close enough to where it
> cuts a day or two off the time that relief starts to arrive,
> they could've been in any of those cities down there much faster.
>
> I don't get why we don't do that, especially when they know
> there's going to be SOME level of damage and that SOME people
> are going to need help. It's not like this thing just came out
> of nowhere & surprised everyone. Why isn't something like that
> part of FEMA's planning?
>
> Neil
>

>
> "Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>
>> Agree with you Jimmy;I think some heads are gonna roll.When you
>> see Bush saying the response has been "unacceptable";whether or
>> not that means he is taking any blame there are bound to be
>> changes as there should be.
>>
>> Pete
>&g

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 16:37:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e magic solution but someone can use paris one card system for
realtime mixing device running his cubase program from another pc over
lan...
Regards,
Dimitrios

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:43180ecc\$1@linux...

>
>
> Hmm, it's only \$50US... I'm very tempted. ;o)
>
> What's the cost of this Console wrapper. Did a quick google. I take it
this
> is it at \$54...
>
> <http://www.kvraudio.com/get/267.html> ?
>
> So it's \$104US for the whole shebang. Not too shabby at all...
>
> I have been, in my mental peripheral vision, partly aware that you've been
> working on this. It does sound interesting. I don't mean to be a pain, but
> could you take us through exactly what you've acheived here? Why Console?
> Are you running VSTi's in Cubase on another box over the LAN interfacing
> back to Paris? I read talk somewhere that Console can clock to MIDI...?
>
> What exactly are you able to do with this setup?
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.
>
> "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:
> >Hi,

> >After some good tries I came up with a paris wormhole version.
> >There is one specific version (which Adrian from wormhole has tailored to
> >our needs) that will work best with Paris.
> >It works under Me and I can have at least 8 tracks of fully synced audio
> >tracks coming from second computer via lan.
> >You have to use though console wrapper as it is the only yet that sends
> >syncing information.
> >I wanna know when I finally test this baby that he will get some support
> >from us.
> >We have to buy some of us (I have already) to justify all his extra
> >efforts he made for us.
> >It is cheap anyway...
> >Please respond with some positive interest in buying.
> >Afterall you will get fuller versions with updates for other systems that
> >work great along with Paris version.
> >Come on guys we don't expect people to work for us and have nothing for
> >return...
> >This way we may keep Paris alive for years (I hope) to come...
> >Regards,
> >Dimitrios
> >
> >

>What I found today working with wormhole is that although FXpansion latest
or Cakewalk adapters do not work directly with FREE FFX4 DX chainer you can
wrap your DX plugins and then use FFX4 to make them work for you !!
Working wrapper though is Spinaudio and console.
Spinaudio is simpler and very light to use.

Regards,

Dimitrios[http://www.livejournal.com/users/interdictor/"DTerry"](http://www.livejournal.com/users/interdictor/) <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote
in message

news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post script
> is

> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB doesn't
> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
> extrapolated hyperbole.

Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements for the
purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see how my
quote...

"I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is the
"Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked up buy
Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it. Policy
aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."

....is false in any way shape or form, unless of c

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [DT](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 17:06:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

course YOU actually know
what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated as my
personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as this:

"I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but I
sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I know
it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."

"God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he instructed
me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am determined to
solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act, and if not,
the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."

as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster, leads me to
this opinion.

I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more slanderous
than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous to those
who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.

I also believe that the majority of organized religions were spawned as a
crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become temporally
aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware of our
own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people who are
simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the herd
actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may agree, and
exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my beliefs will
remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.

I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human comprehension
(in fact He is beyond the limits of time itself). I believe most religions
attempt to humanize GOD in order to understand him. This is the issue with
religion that I find appalling. I believe that there is room for both an
creator and evolutionary process. I have no problem stating that anyone
outside this view is in my own opinion, a moron.

again, just my opinion. It's certainly looking that way isn't it?.....and this is because of the
middle I was talking about. We don't have the balls to stand up and fight
anymore and the enemies of this country know that all they need to do is
wait for the leftists to get their various *activist movements* in gear and
undermine the military effort while spouting off their quasitreasonous crap
while wrapping it in pseudopatriotic bullshit.

It's as predictable as the sun rising and setting.

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bssgh1pu1n27anqr51elceg798jqcdggq@4ax.com...
> but we are fighting a half assed war in a half assed fashion just as
> we did in vietnam.
>
>
> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 06:14:46 -0600, "DJ"
> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>
> >I agree with you. It's more than sad, it's downright scary. The middle
would
> >work for me except for one thing.....it's refusal to truly acknowledge
> >and recognize the fact that we are in a war that is equally as serious as
> >WWII. The right recognizes this and also recognizes that you can't fight
a
> >war in a half assed effort and you can't win a war unless you first
> >acknowledge that you are in the middle of one.
> >
> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:rn5gh1lougqia2rkrb59sio8hf240th5@4ax.com...
> >> "perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see and
> >> seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me." kinda
> >> sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes the
> >> norm.
> >>
> >> :o(
> >>
> >> On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 17:35:20 -0600, "DJ"
> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I do indeed work in the industry. No denials, no apologies. If I am
> >> >stereotyped for not automatically assuming that every anti-industry
> >> >viewpoint is valid, then so be it.....again no apologies. I do
question
> >> >both sides. If you have read some of my posts here you might discover
> >> >that
> >> >I'm a somewhat reluctant conser

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [jp](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 18:01:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;> >> >refineries adding to fuel because it reduced certain emissions
levels.
> >It
> >> >> >was never tested properly before this was legislated. Turns out it
was

> >> >bad
> >> >> >shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when they only
> >did
> >> >> it
> >> >> >because it was federally mandated.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge, but also
> >> >similar
> >> >> >> areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the Chaney family
> >has
> >> >> >future
> >> >> >> Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the eastern slope
of
> >> >the
> >> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right outside of
> >> >Glacier
> >> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it. The fact
that
> >> >Cheney
> >> >> >owns mineral rights there is certainly fortunate fro Cheney, but
he's
> >> >from
> >> >> >Wyoming. I doubt he moved his family there 3 or four generations
ago
> >just
> >> >> >because he knew that someday we would have high natural gas prices.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >His brother is a surveyor in this area and I have worked with him
> >before.
> >> >> If
> >> >> >Cheney is anything like his brother, it might be a good thing to
get
> >to
> >> >> >know him before casting stones. Yeh.....I know.....its the
> >> >Halliburton
> >> >> >thing, right?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business you
> >would
> >> >> have
> >> >> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its energy
> >> >> >efficiency.
> >> >> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a
business,
> >> >you

> >> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from the IRS.
> >> >(Public
> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax loophole.)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree huggers.
(Just
> >a
> >> >> >little perspective)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >;o)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Gene
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> P

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 19:39:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

am [Hussein], which I did, and now I am determined to
> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act, and if not,
> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>
> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster, leads me to
> this opinion.
>
> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more slanderous
> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous to those
> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>
> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were spawned as a
> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become temporally
> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware of our
> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people who are
> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the herd
> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may agree, and
> exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my beliefs will
> remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.
>
> I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human comprehension
> (in fact He is beyond the limits of time itself). I believe most religions
> attempt to humanize GOD in order to understand him. This is the issue with
> religion that I find appalling. I believe that there is room for both an
> creator and evolutionary process. I have no problem stating that anyone
> outside this view is in my own opinion, a moron.
>
> again, just my opinion.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> <http://www.cnn.com/2005/US/09/02/katrina.response/index.html>

I am not a partisan person. I wish to god we could have a discussion about what is going on in the world without all the sanctimony and self-righteousness.

This link is to a CNN compare/contrast piece which succinctly summarizes the radical disconnect between the Feds and reality over the last few days.

I repeat: heads will roll. I have a feeling they will include the head of FEMA, Micheal Brown.

I don't know how to account for the 5-day Federal bungling of this horrible mess, but I will watch with full attention as certain public officials are excoriated over this. Some of these folks will be pariahs for a long time to come.

JimmySeymour Duncan makes a couple of humbucking models that fit in the single coil pickup space. I have one in the bridge position of my strat that I love. Don't remember which model it is. Be warned though, they sound like a humbucker not a single coil.

-Dave

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>1. What's a good plugin for noise removal? Anything that will work in Paris?
>Standalone?

>
>2.What are my options for replacing one or both pickups in my Telecaster
>with humbuckers? I love the way my guitar sounds, and wouldn't want to
>necessarily change the sound itself, but I sure would love to kill the
>noise...

>
>I play through an old silver-face Fender Twin that a local amp guru has
>rewired to black-face specs. It sounds amazing, and it's in top shape, but
>I'd love to get that Tele-Twin combo a little quieter...

>
>Jimmy

>
>I agree.....and because the middle did nothing, the war that started in 1991 never ended.

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:26ahh19c5chmg8si9bhcluakm6ibg3eo6@4ax.com...
> first, we don't have the manpower to pull off what would be need to be
> done.
> second, we'd have to lose the "nice guy" approach to a war of this
> kind.
> third, i believe all sides have the not only the right but the duty to
> speak up. imho, it's when the middle says and does nothing is when
> you get a sadam or hitler...cuz it's only the extremes who do all the
> talking and taking.
>
> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 12:41:22 -0600, "DJ"
> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>
> >It's certainly looking that way isn't it?.....and this is because of
the
> >*middle* I was talking about. We don't have the balls to stand up and
fight
> >anymore and the enemies of this country know that all they need to do is
> >wait for the leftists to get their various *activist movements* in gear
and
> >undermine the military effort while spouting off their quasitreasonous
crap
> >while wrapping it in pseudopatriotic bullshit.
> >
> >It's as predictable as the sun rising and setting.
> >
> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:bssgh1pu1n27anqr51elceg798jqjcdggq@4ax.com...
> >> but we are fighting a half assed war in a half assed fashion just as
> >> we did in vietnam.
> >>
> >>
> >> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 06:14:46 -0600, "DJ"
> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I agree with you. It's more than sad, it's downright scary. The middle
> >would
> >> >work for me except for one thing.....it's refusal to truly
acknowledge
> >> >and recognize the fact that we are in a war that is equally as serious
as
> >> >WWII. The right recognizes this and also recognizes that you can't
fight
> >a
> >> >war in a half assed effort and you can't win a war unless you first
> >> >acknowledge that you are in the middle of one.

> >> >
> >> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:rn5gh1lougqaia2rkrb59sio8hf240th5@4ax.com...
> >> >> "perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see and
> >> >> seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me."
kinda
> >> >> sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes the
> >> >> norm.
> >> >>
> >> >> :o(
> >> >>
> >> >> On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 17:35:20 -0600, "DJ"
> >> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >I do indeed work in the industry. No denials, no apologies. If I am
> >> >> >stereotyped for not automatically assuming that every anti-industry
> >> >> >viewpoint is valid, then so be it.....again no apologies. I do
> >> >> >question
> >> >> >both sides. If you have read some of my posts here you might
discover
> >> >> >that
> >> >> >I'm a somewhat reluctant conservative, perhaps siding with the
least
> >> >> >dangerous of the two evils I see and seeing very little in the
middle
> >> >> >that
> >> >> >looks reasonable to me.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >"JimT" <JT@sansun.com> wrote in message news:43174724\$1@linux...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> No disrespect intended DJ, but your response just reinforced the
> >> >> >stereotype
> >> >> >> of someone who works in the industry.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> >news:43171cbd\$1@linux...
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Has anyone noticed that after working five years on the new
White
> >> >> >House
> >> >> >> >sponsored
> >> >> >> >> energy bill, the U.S. Congress passed a bill that fails to
reduce
> >> >> >> >America's
> >> >> >> >> dependence on oil, fails to address the threat of global

warming,
> >> >fails
> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> >> make any significant new investments in clean energy, and
fails
> >>to
> >> >help
> >> >> >> >consumers
> >> >> >> >> at the gas pump.
> >> >> >> >> What it did do includes:
> >> >> >> >> Grants the oil and gas industries an exemption for their
> >> >construction
> >> >> >> >activities
> >> >> >> >> from compliance with Clean Water Act.
> >> >> >> >> Increases America's oil dependence by 130,000 barrels of oil
per
> >>day
> >> >in
> >> >> >> >2014
> >> >> >> >> through extending the 'dual-fuel' loophole.
> >> >> >> >> Authorized billions in new subsidies to the oil industry. (Who
> >>are
> >> >all
> >> >> >> >showing
> >> >> >> >> record profits without the government bonuses.)
> >> >> >> >> Give away billions in unrelated pork fat. (The biggest
giveaway
> >>of
> >> >all
> >> >> >> >time.)
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> The list of pork fat is too long and too funny for me to list
but
> >>my
> >> >> >> >personal
> >> >> >> >> favorites are:
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Giving \$800 million for companies in Texas and Louisiana to
> >> >compensate
> >> >> >> for
> >> >> >> >>

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Jason Miles](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 23:10:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>

>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> Giving \$800 million for companies in Texas and Louisiana to
>> >> >compensate
>> >> >> >> for
>> >> >> >> >> their phase out of the gasoline additive MTBE, which studies
>have
>> >> >> >> >concluded
>> >> >> >> >> contaminates ground water and causes cancer.
>> >> >> >> >> Since we will now longer allow you to poison us we will give
>you
>> >> >\$800
>> >> >> >> >million
>> >> >> >> >> for your trouble. "Coincidentally", the Bush family has
>> >considerable
>> >> >> >> >holdings
>> >> >> >> >> in one of the companies.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >FYI, MTBE is an additive that the environmental lobby *insisted*
>on
>> >> >the
>> >> >> >> >refineries adding to fuel bec

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 02:32:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;right" for
> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support and
(in
> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is dangerous
> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle - that's why
it
> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not (faith
> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone else".
> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc) re-enacted over
> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more decadent
> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same path by
> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported belief
> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion. You
> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to appeal
> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a law
> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
without
> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
another
> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and preference -
> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide, etc, etc.

> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his beliefs
are
> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are supposed
to
> allow non-Christians.
>
> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason "in the
> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be a decent
> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However, every
> soldier returning from Iraq that I have

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Chris Latham](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 03:00:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

plenty of white folk
who
> > are completely devastated in MS and beyond, but it's mostly black folk
who
> > were trapped in NO, with no cars and no money and no buses to take them
out,
> > no water and no food and no place to lay the dead and dying.
> >
> > I watched David Brookes and Tom Oliphant and Clarence Page at a round
table
> > discussion tonight, all of them horrified at the almost total failure of
> > government to take care of so many citizens. The consensus was that this
is
> > a major turning point in all our lives, not just because of the
suffering
> > and pain, but because of the collective recoil in horror that we will
see in
> > the coming days, the renewed interest in how to further promote and
> > encourage equality.
> >
> > Ultimately, it's a class thing. We all know that. As soon as enough poor
> > white and poor black people in America figure out that they have more in
> > common than not, things are gonna change. This just might be the time
for
> > that, I don't know, but I know there's a fast-growing perception on both
> > sides of the political isle, as we speak, that poor people are suffering
out
> > of proportion, that we have, as a nation, been neglecting our duties as
> > citizens, that government at every level, city/state/federal, has failed
> > these people.
> >

> > Jimmy
> >
> >
> > "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> > news:43190186@linux...
> >
> >
> >>I agree with the politics. Who said anything about race?
> >
> >
> >
> >You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.

Bush's fruits are death and destruction.

"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message
news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...
> While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person thinks
> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without
> knowing
> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't necessarily
> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth about
> someone else without permission is gossip.
>
>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it. Policy
>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>
> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated as
> fact.
> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is a chance
> our
> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid. We all do
> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we feel
> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.
>
> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians. A faith
> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs adopted as a
> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about the
> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief in a
> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by people.
> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or intellect.
> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would be
> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide me, it
> would
> also be meaningless.
>

> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without works is
 > dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than acting
 > under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow a few
 > rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian is between
 > the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and prayer).
 > Other
 > religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith as a
 > Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were another
 > form
 > of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open for
 > variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society, a
 > religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there is no
 > absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells us there
 > has
 > to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So where do
 > those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
 >
 > The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all sin
 > (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn homosexuals
 > as
 > fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow make it
 > "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right" for
 > them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support and
 > (in
 > effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is dangerous
 > for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle - that's why
 > it
 > enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not (faith
 > without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone else".
 > It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc) re-enacted over
 > and over throughout history as societies accept more and more decadent
 > behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same path by
 > making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported belief
 > anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion. You
 > wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to appeal
 > custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a law
 > already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
 > without
 > a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
 > another
 > isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and preference -
 > that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide, etc, etc.
 > So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
 > politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his beliefs
 > are
 > Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are supposed
 > to
 > allow non-Christians.

>
> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason "in the
> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be a decent
> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However, every
> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the Iraqis
> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could post
> quotes
> and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason for
> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short for me
> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will play a
> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about - left to
> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand, just as
> a
> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>
> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and He does
> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing to do
> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability of a
> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>
>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but I
>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I
>> know
>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>
> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President isn't
> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same leading
> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing the risk
> to
> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it for God's
> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do things
> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or financ

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 03:06:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

imself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>
>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements for the
>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see how my
>> quote...
>>
>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is the
>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked up
>> buy

>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it. Policy
>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>
>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually know
>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated as my
>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as this:
>>
>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but I
>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I
>> know
>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>
>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he
>> instructed
>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am determined to
>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act, and if
>> not,
>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>
>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster, leads me
>> to
>> this opinion.
>>
>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>> slanderous
>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous to
>> those
>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>
>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were spawned as a
>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become temporally
>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware of
>> our
>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people who are
>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the herd
>> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may agree,
>> and
>> exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my beliefs
>> will
>> remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.
>>
>> I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human
>> comprehension
>> (in fact He is beyond the limits of time itself). I believe most
>> religions
>> attempt to humanize GOD in order to understand him. This is the issue
>> with
>> religion that I find appalling. I believe that there is room for both an

>> creator and evolutionary process. I have no problem stating that anyone
>> outside this view is in my own opinion, a moron.

>>

>> again, just my opinion.

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a track you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply sampleslide (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for either of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get you sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but most guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any rate.

You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide. I'm not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either will work.

Rod

There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I do it.

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion VST
>Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the plug-in...The
>included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done to
>make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around
>25%....1 Gig of RAM

>

>And that's precisely why it's the governors fault. Thgere were troops available to help. She didn't have it together enough to know how to utilize the resources available to her.....next door in Texas.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:43191065@linux...

> everyones got a finger to point, eh?

>

> yeah its the governors fault 80% of the countries national guard and
> helicopters are in Iraq.

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:4318f10d@linux...

> >I agree. I also think there is way too much generalization in using the

> >word

> > *looter* in this disaster. Hell, I'd be breaking down doors too if I was
> > starving/distressed in whatever way. Even items that aren't consumables
> > might be bartered for life sustaining things in a situation like this.
> > It's
> > becoming obvious that the Governor of LA totally dropped the ball. She
had
> > 6000 national guard troops available but on eyes on the ground in NO to
> > tell
> > hher where they would be needed. She also had access to federal troops
> > from
> > Ft. Hood Texas but didn't request help until it was too late,
> > communications
> > were down and no one knew what was going on. Even after news reports
> > started
> > hitting the airwaves, it appears that she hesitated. These Texas units
> > are
> > the troops that are just arriving. They could have been there the day
the
> > levees broke if she had been on top of things. Of course, with all the
> > confusion right now, there could be other mitigating factors to her
> > seemingly flawed decision making process. I don't know what political
> > party
> > she bleongs to, but I'm sure it will eventually get partisan and ugly
and
> > then we will never know because all objectivity will fly away while the
> > interest groups try to cover their asses.
> >
> > "DC" <dc@spamyeruncle.org> wrote in message news:4318eb36\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> He ought to get a medal. And perhaps a job running the evac.
> >>
> >> [http://www.newschannel5.tv/2005/9/1/4255/Taking-refuge-in-th e-Astrodome](http://www.newschannel5.tv/2005/9/1/4255/Taking-refuge-in-the-Astrodome)
> >>
> >> DC
> >>
> >
> >
>
>Dedric,

Ditto, I appreciated it, and it was well said.

JH

Chris Latham wrote:

> Dedric,
>

> Thank you for such a straight forward statement of TRUTH!!! God bless you
> for taking a stand!
>
> Chris
>
> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message
> news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...
>
>>While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person thinks
>>without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without
>
> knowing
>
>>someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't necessarily
>>>true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
>>knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth about
>>someone else without permission is gossip.
>>
>>
>>>Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>
> Policy
>
>>>aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>
>>Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated as
>
> fact.
>
>>But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is a chance
>
> our
>
>>opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid. We all do
>>it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we feel
>>personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.
>>
>>You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians. A faith
>>not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs adopted as a
>>hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
>>generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about the
>>distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief in a
>>God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by people.
>>Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or intellect.
>>Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would be
>>useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide me, it
>
> would

>
>>also be meaningless.
>>
>>Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without works is
>>dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than acting
>>under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow a few
>>rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian is between
>>the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and prayer).
>
> Other
>
>>religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith as a
>>Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were another
>
> form
>
>>of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open for
>>variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society, a
>>religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there is no
>>absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells us there
>
> has
>
>>to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So where do
>>those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>
>>The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all sin
>>(lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn homosexuals
>
> as
>
>>fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow make it
>>"right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right" for
>>them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support and
>
> (in
>
>>effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is dangerous
>>for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle - that's why
>
> it
>
>>enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not (faith
>>without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone else".
>>It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc) re-enacted over
>>and over throughout history as societies accept more and more decadent
>>behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same path by
>>making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported belief

>>anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion. You
>>wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to appeal
>>custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a law
>>already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
>
> without
>
>>a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
>
> another
>
>>isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and preference -
>>that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide, etc, etc.
>>So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
>&

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [JeffH](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 03:36:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

age with our speech, our
>>actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or condemnation.
>>Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of
>>well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design than to
>>discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
>>
>>Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnggg post.
>>Back to work, and best regards.
>>
>>Dedric
>
>
>I suppose so. Funny though, when I think of NO, I don't think of race. It's
the one place I've lived where it is less an issue with the populace than I
guess anywhere else I know of in the US. It was one of my favorite places
for just this reason.lots of acceptance of cultural differences
without a lot of ugliness. I guess that networks who don't know the town
could see a lot of misbehaviour, and automatically start the slanting
(purposely or not) coverage just because so many African Americans live
there.....but they are the majority of the population and I assure you
there are many thousands who are not in the criminal element and are being
victimized by those who are, no matter what their race. If this was
happening in Branson MO and there were lots of white folks starving and
freezing their asses off in a blizzard, I guarantee you they would be
breaking into Wal Mart and covering their asses too.

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:43190837\$1@linux...

> You didn't mention race, Deej. But lots of folks are mentioning it.

>

> I've watched hundreds of hours of TV footage in the last 6 days, and very,
> very few of those hardest hit are white. There are plenty of white folk
who

> are completely devastated in MS and beyond, but it's mostly black folk who
> were trapped in NO, with no cars and no money and no buses to take them
out,

> no water and no food and no place to lay the dead and dying.

>

> I watched David Brookes and Tom Oliphant and Clarence Page at a round
table

> discussion tonight, all of them horrified at the almost total failure of

> government to take care of so many citizens. The consensus was that this
is

> a major turning point in all our lives, not just because of the suffering

> and pain, but because of the collective recoil in horror that we will see

in

> the coming days, the renewed interest in how to further promote and

> encourage equality.

>

> Ultimately, it's a class thing. We all know that. As soon as enough poor

> white and poor black people in America figure out that they have more in

> common than not, things are gonna change. This just might be the time for

> that, I don't know, but I know there's a fast-growing perception on both

> sides of the political isle, as we speak, that poor people are suffering

out

> of proportion, that we have, as a nation, been neglecting our duties as

> citizens, that government at every level, city/state/federal, has failed

> these people.

>

> Jimmy

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:43190186@linux...

>

>> I agree with the politics. Who said anything about race?

>

>

>Its still just pointing fingers though... I dont know enough of the actual
specifics to do much more than repeat someone elses finger pointing.

I dont care who's fault it is. However, it's obvious that our national
guard is in Iraq not in the US where they should be.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:431919e5\$1@linux...
> And that's precisely why it's the governors fault. Thgere were troops
> available to help. She didn't have it together enough to know how to
> utilize
> the resources available to her.....next door in Texas.
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:43191065@linux...
>> everyones got a finger to point, eh?
>>
>> yeah its the governors fault 80% of the countries national guard and
>> helicopters are in Iraq.
>>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:4318f10d@linux...
>> >I agree. I also think there is way too much generalization in using the
>> >word
>> > *looter* in this disaster. Hell, I'd be breaking down doors too if I
>> > was
>> > starving/distressed in whatever way. Even items that aren't consumables
>> > might be bartered for life sustaining things in a situation like this.
>> > It's
>> > becoming obvious that the Governor of LA totally dropped the ball. She
> > had
>> > 6000 national guard troops available but on eyes on the ground in NO to
>> > tell
>> > hher where they would be needed. She also had access to federal troops
>> > from
>> > Ft. Hood Texas but didn't request help until it was too late,
>> > communications
>> > were down and no one knew what was going on. Even after news reports
>> > started
>> > hitting the airwaves, it appears that she hesitated. These Texas units
>> > are
>> > the troops that are just arriving. They could have been there the day
> > the
>> > levees broke if she had been on top of things. Of course, with all the
>> > confusion right now, there could be other mitigating factors to her
>> > seemingly flawed decision making process. I don't know what political
>> > party
>> > she bleongs to, but I'm sure it will eventually get partisan and ugly
> > and
>> > then we will never know because all objectivity will fly away while the
>> > interest groups try to cover their asses.
>> >
>> > "DC" <dc@spamyeruncle.org> wrote in message news:4318eb36\$1@linux...

>> >>

>> >> He ought to get a medal. And perhaps a job running the evac.

>> >>

>> >> <http://www.newschannel5.tv/2005/9/1/4255/Taking-refuge-in-the-Astrodome>

>> >>

>> >> DC

>> >>

>> >

>> >

>>

>>

>

>Well.....can you blame a guy for asking his dad to cut him some slack?

;oP

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:fvuhh19emndrfsh551vp3pabnu6iqjn8d6@4ax.com...

> my friend, everybody lies. there has Never been a human being that
> has not lied about something. even when christ asked his father if
> this was all necessary while he was on the cross temporarily negated
> all the holy truths to make a point.

>

> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 17:38:50 -0600, "DJ"

> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>

> >HmMMM.....I agree with the 40 centuries thing. As for the lying, maybe
we

> >should look at who was doing the lying.....like the UN, the French,
Sadaam,

> >quite a few scumbags of various ilk here and in other countries,
including

> >our own Oscar Wyatt of Coastal Energy and ol' whatsisname who never had

> >sexual relations with that woman..... depending on what the meaning of

> >"is" is, of course, his criminal buddy Mark Rich whom he pardoned and
whose

> >wife is Hilary's good buddy.....and so on. If Mr. Bill would have been

> >minding the store, there wouldn't have been a Sadaam or any of this Iraq

> >crap now because he could have attended to it through the UN
(theoretically)

> >before the was was so conveniently forgotten and Sadaam had a chance to

> >bribe his way out of the sanctions.

> >

> >

> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> >news:2onhh1dlam54a0u1i9t7g64rbcfjSpl94m@4ax.com...

> >> true, cuz they felt the whatever right would never lie to them. hey,

> >> everybody lies about everything if they see a big enough benefit for
> >> themselves for whatever reason...and i gots 40 centuries of history on
> >> my side for this statement.
> >>
> >> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 16:33:20 -0600, "DJ"
> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I agree.....and because the middle did nothing, the war that started
in
> >1991
> >> >never ended.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.co

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 04:48:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> > We are in a war. It is part of the job of the national guard to fight in
> > wars. There were plenty of troops available here, but the feds can't
just
> > send the army into a state without the governor requesting it, just as
she
> > would call up the national guard. It's just a different request line and
> > one
> > she should have known about if she was doing her job.
>
> Yeah a fake "war". The feds wouldn't have to send their troops if there
> were more national guard around...
>
> My only point I guess is that the fingerpointing back and forth at the
> governor vs. the feds is completely ridiculous.
>
> > I don't think *anyone* bears all the blame though. It's like everyone
was
> > in
> > denial that this could happen.....so who is responsible for the Cat
3
> > levees?
>
> I dunno... Bush?
>
> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> > news:43191d0b@linux...
> >> Its still just pointing fingers though... I dont know enough of the

> >> actual
> >> specifics to do much more than repeat someone elses finger pointing.
> >>
> >> I dont care who's fault it is. However, it's obvious that our national
> >> guard is in Iraq not in the US where they should be.
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> news:431919e5\$1@linux...
> >> > And that's precisely why it's the governors fault. Thgere were troops
> >> > available to help. She didn't have it together enough to know how to
> >> > utilize
> >> > the resources available to her.....next door in Texas.
> >> >
> >> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> >> > news:43191065@linux...
> >> >> everyones got a finger to point, eh?
> >> >>
> >> >> yeah its the governors fault 80% of the countries national guard and
> >> >> helicopters are in Iraq.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:4318f10d@linux...
> >> >> >I agree. I also think there is way too much generalization in using
> > the
> >> >> >word
> >> >> > *looter* in this disaster. Hell, I'd be breaking down doors too if
|
> >> >> > was
> >> >> > starving/distressed in whatever way. Even items that aren't
> > consumables
> >> >> > might be bartered for life sustaining things in a situation like
> > this.
> >> >> > It's
> >> >> > becoming obvious that the Governor of LA totally dropped the ball.
> > She
> >> > had
> >> >> > 6000 national guard troops available but on eyes on the ground in
NO
> > to
> >> >> > tell
> >> >> > hher where they would be needed. She also had access to federal
> > troops
> >> >> > from
> >> >> > Ft. Hood Texas but didn't request help until it was too late,
> >> >> > communications
> >> >> > were down and no one knew what was going on. Even after news
reports

> >> >> > started
> >> >> > hitting the airwaves, it appears that she hesitated. These Texas
> > units
> >> >> > are
> >> >> > the troops that are just arriving. They could have been there the
> >> >> > day
> >> > the
> >> >> > levee

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [DT](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 05:49:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to reach
>> Him
>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness through His
>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace we as
>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the world
>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech, our
>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or condemnation.
>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of
>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design than to
>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
>>
>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnggg post.
>> Back to work, and best regards.
>>
>> Dedic
>>
>>
>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05 1:01 PM:
>>
>>>
>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post script
>>>> is
>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB
>>>> doesn't
>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>
>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements for the
>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see how my
>>> quote...
>>>
>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is the

>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked up
>>> buy
>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it. Policy
>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>
>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually know
>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated as my
>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as this:
>>>
>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but I
>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I
>>> know
>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>
>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he
>>> instructed
>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am determined to
>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act, and if
>>> not,
>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>
>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster, leads me
>>> to
>>> this opinion.
>>>
>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>> slanderous
>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous to
>>> those
>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>
>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were spawned as a
>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become temporally
>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware of
>>> our
>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people who are
>>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the herd
>>> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may agree,
>>> and
>>> exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my beliefs
>>> will
>>> remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.
>>>
>>> I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human
>>> comprehension
>>> (in fact He is beyond the limits of time itself). I believe most
>>> religions
>>> attempt to humanize GOD in order to understand him. This is the issue

>>> with
>>> religion that I find appalling. I believe that there is room for both an
>>> creator and evolutionary process. I have no problem stating that anyone
>>> outside this view is in my own opinion, a moron.
>>>
>>> again, just my opinion.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the Pharasees to
suicide bombers.

Take it how you want.

"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
news:BF3E9585.4072%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
> You know that isn't fair. That statement could equate Bush with Saddam
> Hussein and Hitler. And by the same evaluation, David (of David and
> Goliath) would fall into the same category for slaying Goliath and later
> conquering the Philistines, even though there is plenty of scripture to
> paint a different picture of him, faults and all.
>
> The same would also have to be said of Presidents Madison, Polk, Lincoln,
> McKinley, Wilson, Hoover, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson, Nixon,
> Ford, Reagan, Bush Sr., and Clinton, as well as a long list of other
> countries' leaders, both good and bad. But that isn't fair to them
> either.
>
> Please don't use the Bible to conveniently cast stones at people you don't
> agree with. You are above this line of reasoning.
>
> Regards,
> Detric
>
> On 9/2/05 9:06 PM, in article 43191349@linux, "justcron"
> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>> You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.
>>
>> Bush's fruits are death and destruction.
>>
>> "Detric Terry" <detric@echomg.com> wrote in message

>> news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...
>>> While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person
>>> thinks
>>> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without
>>> knowing
>>> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't necessarily
>>> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
>>> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth
>>> about
>>> someone else without permission is gossip.
>>>
>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>> Policy
>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>
>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated as
>>> fact.
>>> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is a chance
>>> our
>>> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid. We all
>>> do
>>> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we feel
>>> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.
>>>
>>> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians. A
>>> faith
>>> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs adopted as
>>> a
>>> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
>>> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about the
>>> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief in
>>> a
>>> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by people.
>>> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or intellect.
>>> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would be
>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide me, it
>>> would
>>> also be meaningless.
>>>
>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without works
>>> is
>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than
>>> acting
>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow a
>>> few
>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian is
>>> between
>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and prayer).

>>> Other
>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith as a
>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were another
>>> form
>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open for
>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society, a
>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there is no
>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells us there
>>> has
>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So where do
>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>
>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all sin
>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn homosexuals
>>> as
>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow make
>>> it
>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right" for
>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support and
>>> (in
>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>> dangerous
>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle - that's
>>> why
>>> it
>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not (faith
>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone
>>> else".
>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc) re-enacted
>>> over
>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more decadent
>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same path
>>> by
>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported belief
>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion. You
>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to
>>> appeal
>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a law
>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
>>> without
>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
>>> another
>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>> preference -
>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide, etc,
>>> etc.
>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his beliefs

>>> are
>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>> supposed
>>> to
>>> allow non-Christians.
>>>
>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason "in the
>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be a
>>> decent
>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However, every
>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the Iraqis
>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could post
>>> quotes
>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason for
>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short for me
>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will play
>>> a
>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about - left to
>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand, just
>>> as
>>> a
>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>
>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and He
>>> does
>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing to do
>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability of a
>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>
>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but
>>>> I
>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I
>>>> know
>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>
>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President
>>> isn't
>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>> leading
>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing the
>>> risk
>>> to
>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it for
>>

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 05:59:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> God's

>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do things
>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or financial
>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote, but
>>> in

>>> a

>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to an
>>> opposite

>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.

>>>

>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart and
>>> mind,

>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs, sound
>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this forum
>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news, much
>>> less believing your perception is accurate.

>>>

>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with President
>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan, Nixon,
>>> etc),

>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their books,
>>> etc.

>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the one
>>> you

>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their best to
>>> lead

>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but no one
>>> on

>>> this forum is any more perfect either.

>>>

>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to reach
>>> Him

>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness through
>>> His

>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace we
>>> as

>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the world
>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech, our
>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or condemnation.

>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of
>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design than
>>> to

>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?

>>>

>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnggg post.

>>> Back to work, and best regards.

>>>
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>>
>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05 1:01 PM:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post
>>>>> script
>>>>> is
>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB
>>>>> doesn't
>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>
>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements for
>>>> the
>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see how
>>>> my
>>>> quote...
>>>>
>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is the
>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked up
>>>> buy
>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>> Policy
>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>>
>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually
>>>> know
>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated as
>>>> my
>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as
>>>> this:
>>>>
>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but
>>>> I
>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I
>>>> know
>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>
>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he
>>>> instructed
>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am determined
>>>> to
>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act, and if

>>>> not,
>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>
>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster, leads
>>>> me
>>>> to
>>>> this opinion.
>>>>
>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>>> slanderous
>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous to
>>>> those
>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>
>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were spawned as
>>>> a
>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become temporally
>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware of
>>>> our
>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people who
>>>> are
>>>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the
>>>> herd
>>>> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may agree,
>>>> and
>>>> exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my beliefs
>>>> will
>>>> remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.
>>>>
>>>> I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human
>>>> comprehension
>>>> (in fact He is beyond the limits of time itself). I believe most
>>>> religions
>>>> attempt to humanize GOD in order to understand him. This is the issue
>>>> with
>>>> religion that I find appalling. I believe that there is room for both
>>>> an
>>>> creator and evolutionary process. I have no problem stating that anyone
>>>> outside this view is in my own opinion, a moron.
>>>>
>>>> again, just my opinion.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>It was pure art!

Faith Hill's rendition of Precious Lord was good as well

respect

Nappy

"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>

>Louisiana 1927 on the telethon tonight. Even though he was singing to a TV

>track, it was great. Arron certainly nailed it as did Randy when he wrote >it.

>

>

>What has happened down here is the wind have changed

>Clouds roll in from the north and it started to rain

>Rained real hard and rained for a real long time

>Six feet of water in the streets of Evangeline

>

>The river rose all day

>The river rose all night

>Some people got lost in the flood

>Some people got away alright

>The river have busted through cleared down to Plaquemines

>Six feet of water in the streets of Evangeline

>CHORUS

>Louisiana, Louisiana

>They're tryin' to wash us away

>They're tryin' to wash us away

>Louisiana, Louisiana

>They're tryin' to wash us away

>They're tryin' to wash us away

>

>President Coolidge came down in a railroad train

>With a little fat man with a note-pad in his hand

>The President say, "Little fat man isn't it a shame what the river has

>done

>To this poor crackers land."

>

>CHORUS

>True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed both of those camps directly several times.

On 9/2/05 11:59 PM, in article 43193bd5@linux, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

> Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the Pharasees to
> suicide bombers.

>

> Take it how you want.

>

> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

> news:BF3E9585.4072%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>> You know that isn't fair. That statement could equate Bush with Saddam

>> Hussein and Hitler. And by the same evaluation, David (of David and

>> Goliath) would fall into the same category for slaying Goliath and later

>> conquering the Philistines, even though there is plenty of scripture to

>> paint a different picture of him, faults and all.

>>

>> The same would also have to be said of Presidents Madison, Polk, Lincoln,

>> McKinley, Wilson, Hoover, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson, Nixon,

>> Ford, Reagan, Bush Sr., and Clinton, as well as a long list of other

>> countries' leaders, both good and bad. But that isn't fair to them

>> either.

>>

>> Please don't use the Bible to conveniently cast stones at people you don't

>> agree with. You are above this line of reasoning.

>>

>> Regards,

>> Dedic

>>

>> On 9/2/05 9:06 PM, in article 43191349@linux, "justcron"

>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>

>>> You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.

>>>

>>> Bush's fruits are death and destruction.

>>>

>>> "Dedic Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message

>>> news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...

>>>> While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person

>>>> thinks

>>>> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without

>>>> knowing

>>>> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't necessarily

>>>> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that

>>>> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth

>>>> about

>>>> someone else without permission is gossip.

>>>>

>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.

>>>> Policy
>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>>
>>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated as
>>>> fact.
>>>> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is a chance
>>>> our
>>>> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid. We all
>>>> do
>>>> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we feel
>>>> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.
>>>>
>>>> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians. A
>>>> faith
>>>> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs adopted as
>>>> a
>>>> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
>>>> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about the
>>>> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief in
>>>> a
>>>> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by people.
>>>> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or intellect.
>>>> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would be
>>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide me, it
>>>> would
>>>> also be meaningless.
>>>>
>>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without works
>>>> is
>>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than
>>>> acting
>>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow a
>>>> few
>>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian is
>>>> between
>>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and prayer).
>>>> Other
>>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith as a
>>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were another
>>>> form
>>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open for
>>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society, a
>>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there is no
>>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells us there
>>>> has
>>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So where do
>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>

>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all sin
>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn homosexuals
>>>> as
>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow make
>>>> it
>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right" for
>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support and
>>>> (in
>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>> dangerous
>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle - that's
>>>> why
>>>> it
>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not (faith
>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone
>>>> else".
>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc) re-enacted
>>>> over
>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more decadent
>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same path
>>>> by
>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported belief
>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion. You
>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to
>>>> appeal
>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a law
>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
>>>> without
>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
>>>> another
>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>> preference -
>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide, etc,
>>>> etc.
>>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
>>>> politics. Others do th

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [DT](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 06:22:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

to do
>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability of a
>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>
>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but
>>>>> I

>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I
>>>> know
>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>
>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President
>>>> isn't
>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>> leading
>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing the
>>>> risk
>>>> to
>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it for
>>>> God's
>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do things
>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or financial
>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote, but
>>>> in
>>>> a
>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to an
>>>> opposite
>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>
>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart and
>>>> mind,
>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs, sound
>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this forum
>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news, much
>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>
>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with President
>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan, Nixon,
>>>> etc),
>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their books,
>>>> etc.
>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the one
>>>> you
>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their best to
>>>> lead
>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but no one
>>>> on
>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.
>>>>
>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to reach
>>>> Him
>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness through
>>>> His
>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace we
>>>> as

>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the world
>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech, our
>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or condemnation.
>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of
>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design than
>>>> to
>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
>>>>
>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnggg post.
>>>> Back to work, and best regards.
>>>>
>>>> Detric
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05 1:01 PM:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post
>>>>>> script
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB
>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements for
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see how
>>>>>> my
>>>>>> quote...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is the
>>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked up
>>>>>> buy
>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually
>>>>>> know
>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated as
>>>>>> my
>>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as
>>>>>> this:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but

>>>> I
>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I
>>>> know
>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>
>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he
>>>> instructed
>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am determined
>>>> to
>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act, and if
>>>> not,
>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>
>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster, leads
>>>> me
>>>> to
>>>> this opinion.
>>>>
>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>>> slanderous
>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous to
>>>> those
>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>
>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were spawned as
>>>> a
>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become temporally
>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware of
>>>> our
>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people who
>>>> are
>>>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the
>>>> herd
>>>> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may agree,
>>>> and
>>>> exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my beliefs
>>>> will
>>>> remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.
>>>>
>>>> I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human
>>>> comprehension
>>>> (in fact He is beyond the limits of time itself). I believe most
>>>> religions
>>>> attempt to humanize GOD in order to understand him. This is the issue
>>>> with
>>>> religion that I find appalling. I believe that there is room for both
>>>> an
>>>> creator and evolutionary process. I have no problem stating that anyone

>>>> outside this view is in my own opinion, a moron.

>>>>

>>>> again, just my opinion.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>thats what I said about the fruits...

Expecting Armageddon has been a part of the "Christian Coalition" at least since I had the enlightening experience of working on the Pat Robertson 88 campaign, so I dont think the original point was too far off.

Does Bush have a role to play? Yes. Like Pharoah did back in Moses time. Part of God's plan, but not necessarily serving his will.

I dont know, these are just my thoughts.

"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message news:BF3E9D34.407E%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

> True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed both
> of

> those camps directly several times.

>

> On 9/2/05 11:59 PM, in article 43193bd5@linux, "justcron"

> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>

>> Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the Pharasees to
>> suicide bombers.

>>

>> Take it how you want.

>>

>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

>> news:BF3E9585.4072%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>>> You know that isn't fair. That statement could equate Bush with Saddam

>>> Hussein and Hitler. And by the same evaluation, David (of David and

>>> Goliath) would fall into the same category for slaying Goliath and later

>>> conquering the Philistines, even though there is plenty of scripture to

>>> paint a different picture of him, faults and all.

>>>

>>> The same would also have to be said of Presidents Madison, Polk,
>>> Lincoln,
>>> McKinley, Wilson, Hoover, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson, Nixon,
>>> Ford, Reagan, Bush Sr., and Clinton, as well as a long list of other
>>> countries' leaders, both good and bad. But that isn't fair to them
>>> either.
>>>
>>> Please don't use the Bible to conveniently cast stones at people you
>>> don't
>>> agree with. You are above this line of reasoning.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 9/2/05 9:06 PM, in article 43191349@linux, "justcron"
>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>
>>>> You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.
>>>>
>>>> Bush's fruits are death and destruction.
>>>>
>>>> "Dedic Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...
>>>>> While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person
>>>>> thinks
>>>>> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without
>>>>> knowing
>>>>> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't
>>>>> necessarily
>>>>> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
>>>>> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth
>>>>> about
>>>>> someone else without permission is gossip.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated as
>>>>>> fact.
>>>>>> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is a
>>>>>> chance
>>>>>> our
>>>>>> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid. We
>>>>>> all
>>>>>> do
>>>>>> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we feel
>>>>>> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.

>>>>>
>>>>> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians. A
>>>>> faith
>>>>> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs adopted
>>>>> as
>>>>> a
>>>>> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
>>>>> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about
>>>>> the
>>>>> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief
>>>>> in
>>>>> a
>>>>> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by people.
>>>>> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or intellect.
>>>>> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would be
>>>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide me, it
>>>>> would
>>>>> also be meaningless.
>>>>>
>>>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without works
>>>>> is
>>>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than
>>>>> acting
>>>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow a
>>>>> few
>>>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian is
>>>>> between
>>>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and prayer).
>>>>> Other
>>>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith as a
>>>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were
>>>>> another
>>>>> form
>>>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open
>>>>> for
>>>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society, a
>>>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there is
>>>>> no
>>>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells us
>>>>> there
>>>>> has
>>>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So where
>>>>> do
>>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>>
>>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all sin
>>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn
>>>>> homosexuals

>>>> as
>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow
>>>> make
>>>> it
>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right" for
>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support
>>>> and
>>>> (in
>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>> dangerous
>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle - that's
>>>> why
>>>> it
>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not (faith
>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone
>>>> else".
>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc) re-enacted
>>>> over
>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more decadent
>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same path
>>>> by
>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported belief
>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion.
>>>> You
>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to
>>>> appeal
>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a law
>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
>>>> without
>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
>>>> another
>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>> preference -
>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide, etc,
>>>> etc.
>>>> So, Presid

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 06:37:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ent Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
>>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his
>>>> beliefs
>>>> are
>>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>>> supposed

>>>> to
>>>> allow non-Christians.
>>>>
>>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason "in
>>>> the
>>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be a
>>>> decent
>>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However, every
>>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the Iraqis
>>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could post
>>>> quotes
>>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason
>>>> for
>>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short for
>>>> me
>>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will
>>>> play
>>>> a
>>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about - left
>>>> to
>>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand,
>>>> just
>>>> as
>>>> a
>>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>>
>>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and He
>>>> does
>>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing to
>>>> do
>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability of a
>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>
>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>> but
>>>>> I
>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen...
>>>>> I
>>>>> know
>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>
>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President
>>>> isn't
>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>> leading
>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing the
>>>> risk
>>>> to

>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it for
>>>> God's
>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do
>>>> things
>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or financial
>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote,
>>>> but
>>>> in
>>>> a
>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to an
>>>> opposite
>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>
>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart and
>>>> mind,
>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs,
>>>> sound
>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this
>>>> forum
>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news,
>>>> much
>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>
>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with
>>>> President
>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan, Nixon,
>>>> etc),
>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their books,
>>>> etc.
>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the one
>>>> you
>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their best
>>>> to
>>>> lead
>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but no
>>>> one
>>>> on
>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.
>>>>
>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to
>>>> reach
>>>> Him
>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness through
>>>> His
>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace we
>>>> as
>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the
>>>> world

>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech, our
>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or
>>>> condemnation.
>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of
>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design than
>>>> to
>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
>>>>
>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnnggg
>>>> post.
>>>> Back to work, and best regards.
>>>>
>>>> Detric
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05 1:01
>>>> PM:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post
>>>>>> script
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB
>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements for
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see
>>>>>> how
>>>>>> my
>>>>>> quote...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked
>>>>>> up
>>>>>> buy
>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually
>>>>>> know
>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated as

>>>>> my
>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as
>>>>> this:
>>>>>
>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>> but
>>>>> I
>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen...
>>>>> I
>>>>> know
>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>
>>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he
>>>>> instructed
>>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am
>>>>> determined
>>>>> to
>>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act, and
>>>>> if
>>>>> not,
>>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>>
>>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster,
>>>>> leads
>>>>> me
>>>>> to
>>>>> this opinion.
>>>>>
>>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>>>> slanderous
>>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous to
>>>>> those
>>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>>
>>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were spawned
>>>>> as
>>>>> a
>>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become
>>>>> temporally
>>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware
>>>>> of
>>>>> our
>>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people who
>>>>> are
>>>>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the
>>>>> herd
>>>>> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may
>>>>> agree,

does work under xp ...

Same machine works too but cannot test it because I have not installed anything else in my Me partition...

Regards,

Dimitrios

"espresso" <audio@espressodigital.com> wrote in message

news:4318d422\$1@linux...

> Hi Dimitrios,

>

> I've been watching this one too. so - doesn't work with XP? Doesn't work

> internally

> within the same machine? My hope is to run Nuendo and then bus groups or

> channels to Paris for my favorite Paris 'punch'.

>

> Cheers,

> David.

>

> "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote in message news:43188742@linux...

> > Dear Kim,

> > There is only a specific version of Wormhole that works under Paris in

> > synced mode.

> > In order to have the inntanses synced you have to wrap wormhole with

> > console

> > wrapper.

> > I tried all wrappers, none else sends syncing information or at least

> > syncing information that wormhole can grab.

> > I manage to have around 8 instances of wormhole playing in sync.

> > It is not a perfect world with Paris though.

> > Under Me console does not save its environment but you can save from

> > within

> > console and load so you can load it after Paris has been opened.

> > I ahev once tried and played with vst instruments from within console

with

> > some success but was waiting for a console update to have MTC support

not

> > only midiclock.

> > There are great possibilities but you need time for experiment.

> > It is not the magic solution but someone can use paris one card system

for

> > realtime mixing device running his cubase program from another pc over

> > lan...

> > Regards,

> > Dimitrios

> >

> > "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message

news:43180ecc\$1@linux...

> > >

> > >

> > > Hmm, it's only \$50US... I'm very tempted. ;o)
> > >
> > > What's the cost of this Console wrapper. Did a quick google. I take it
> > this
> > > is it at \$54...
> > >
> > > <http://www.kvraudio.com/get/267.html> ?
> > >
> > > So it's \$104US for the whole shebang. Not too shabby at all...
> > >
> > > I have been, in my mental peripheral vision, partly aware that you've
> been
> > > working on this. It does sound interesting. I don't mean to be a pain,
> but
> > > could you take us through exactly what you've acheived here? Why
> Console?
> > > Are you running VSTi's in Cubase on another box over the LAN
interfacing
> > > back to Paris? I read talk somewhere that Console can clock to
MIDI...?
> > >
> > > What exactly are you able to do with this setup?
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > > Kim.
> > >
> > > "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:
> > > >Hi,
> > > >After some good tries I came up with a paris wormhole version.
> > > >There is one specific version (which Adrian from wormhole has
tailored
> to
> > > >our needs) that will work best with Paris.
> > > >It works under Me and I can have at least 8 tracks of fully synced
> audio
> > > >tracks coming from second computer via lan.
> > > >You have to use though console wrapper as it is the only yet that
sends
> > > >syncing information.
> > > >I wanna know when I finally test this baby that he will get some
> support
> > > >from us.
> > > >We have to buy some of us (I have already)) to justify all his extra
> > > >efforts he made for us.
> > > >It is cheap anyway...
> > > >Please respond with some positive interest in buying.
> > > >Afterall you will get fuller versions with updates for other systems
> that

> > > >work great along with Paris version.
> > > >Come on guys we don't expect people to work for us and have nothing
for
> > > >return...
> > > >This way we may keep Paris alive for years (I hope) to come...
> > > >Regards,
> > > >Dimitrios
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>Drumagog works in Me....
Regards,
Dimitrios
"John Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote in message
news:43036b48\$1@linux...
>
> Does it work with ME??
>
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpegio@comcast.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >If you haven't seen it, it is very cool now.
> >Finally got Drumagog 4.02 cooking and it is as good as it ever was.
> >Better graphics although settings are a little too small for=20
> >my taste. Cool new features like a graphic of a stick
> >hitting a drum in time with the trigger instead of a meter.
> >Rim says it is low on the CPU priority chain so not to worry.
> >I'm still figuring out a few things but it looks much better than
> >2.0 which I was using. Seems to trigger more easily and allows for
> >less latency-greater CPU load settings.
> >
> >Great plugin in general.
> >Tom
> >
> ><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
> ><HTML><HEAD>
> ><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
> >charset=3Diso-8859-1">
> ><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
> ><STYLE></STYLE>
> ></HEAD>
> ><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
> ><DIV>If you haven't seen it, it is very cool
> =

> >
> >now.</DIV>
> ><DIV>Finally got Drumagog =
> >4.02 cooking and it=20
> >is as good as it ever was.</DIV>
> ><DIV>Better graphics although settings are a
> =
> >little too=20
> >small for </DIV>
> ><DIV>my taste. Cool new features =
> >like a=20
> >graphic of a stick</DIV>
> ><DIV>hitting a drum in time with the trigger
> =
> >instead of=20
> >a meter.</DIV>
> ><DIV>Rim says it is low on the CPU priority
> =
> >chain so not=20
> >to worry.</DIV>
> ><DIV>I'm still figuring out a few =
> >things but it=20
> >looks much better than</DIV>
> ><DIV>2.0 which I was using. Seems to =
> >trigger more=20
> >easily and allows for</DIV>
> ><DIV>less latency-greater CPU load=20
> >settings.</DIV>
> ><DIV> </DIV>
> ><DIV>Great plugin in general.</DIV>
> ><DIV>Tom</DIV></BODY></HTML>
> >
> >
>hell, i'd be yelling WHY ME WHY ME and who the hell came up with this
damn nailing id

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [DT](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 15:19:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So where
>>>>> do
>>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>>
>>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all sin
>>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn
>>>>> homosexuals

>>>>> as
>>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow
>>>>> make
>>>>> it
>>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right" for
>>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support
>>>>> and
>>>>> (in
>>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>>> dangerous
>>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle - that's
>>>>> why
>>>>> it
>>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not (faith
>>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone
>>>>> else".
>>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc) re-enacted
>>>>> over
>>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more decadent
>>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same path
>>>>> by
>>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported belief
>>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion.
>>>>> You
>>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to
>>>>> appeal
>>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a law
>>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
>>>>> without
>>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
>>>>> another
>>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>>> preference -
>>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide, etc,
>>>>> etc.
>>>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
>>>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his
>>>>> beliefs
>>>>> are
>>>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>>>> supposed
>>>>> to
>>>>> allow non-Christians.
>>>>>
>>>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason "in
>>>>> the
>>>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be a
>>>>> decent

>>>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However, every
>>>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the Iraqis
>>>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could post
>>>>> quotes
>>>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason
>>>>> for
>>>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short for
>>>>> me
>>>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will
>>>>> play
>>>>> a
>>>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about - left
>>>>> to
>>>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand,
>>>>> just
>>>>> as
>>>>> a
>>>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>>>
>>>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and He
>>>>> does
>>>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing to
>>>>> do
>>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability of a
>>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>>
>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>>> but
>>>>>> I
>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen...
>>>>>> I
>>>>>> know
>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President
>>>>>> isn't
>>>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>>>> leading
>>>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing the
>>>>>> risk
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it for
>>>>>> God's
>>>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do
>>>>>> things
>>>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or financial
>>>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote,
>>>>>> but

>>>>> in
>>>>> a
>>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to an
>>>>> opposite
>>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>>
>>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart and
>>>>> mind,
>>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs,
>>>>> sound
>>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this
>>>>> forum
>>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news,
>>>>> much
>>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>>
>>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with
>>>>> President
>>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan, Nixon,
>>>>> etc),
>>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their books,
>>>>> etc.
>>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the one
>>>>> you
>>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their best
>>>>> to
>>>>> lead
>>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but no
>>>>> one
>>>>> on
>>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.
>>>>>
>>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to
>>>>> reach
>>>>> Him
>>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness through
>>>>> His
>>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace we
>>>>> as
>>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the
>>>>> world
>>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech, our
>>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or
>>>>> condemnation.
>>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of
>>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design than
>>>>> to
>>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?

>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnggg
>>>>> post.
>>>>> Back to work, and best regards.
>>>>>
>>>>> Detric
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05 1:01
>>>>> PM:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post
>>>>>> script
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB
>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements for
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see
>>>>>> how
>>>>>> my
>>>>>> quote...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked
>>>>>> up
>>>>>> buy
>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually
>>>>>> know
>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated as
>>>>>> my
>>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as
>>>>>> this:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>>> but
>>>>>> I

>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen...
>>>>>> I
>>>>>> know
>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he
>>>>>> instructed
>>>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am
>>>>>> determined
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act, and
>>>>>> if
>>>>>> not,
>>>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster,
>>>>>> leads
>>>>>> me
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> this opinion.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>>>>> slanderous
>>>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous to
>>>>>> those
>>>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were spawned
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become
>>>>>> temporally
>>>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware
>>>>>> of
>>>>>> our
>>>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people who
>>>>>> are
>>>>>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the
>>>>>> herd
>>>>>> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may
>>>>>> agree,
>>>>>> and
>>>>>> exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my beliefs
>>>>>> will
>>>>>> remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human
>>>>>> comprehension

it's

> > been around for a while and I've been reading the literature on this. It
> > looks like a really nice way to enhance LF elements within a mix while
> > keeping them isolated in the sound stage. Could also be a big asset to
> > mixing tracks that that were recorded in the same space with lots of mic
> > bleed without having to EQ the life out of certain tracks.....maybe?

> >

> >

>

>Agreed with all that.

Dunno if you've ever been privy to the insiders gossip.

They discuss biblical prophecy regarding what is now China and Russia. If you know what I'm talking about you know what I'm talking about. Its an endless undercurrent of religious discussion and philosophy.

The END TIMES!

Are we there?

Do you know your role?

"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
news:BF3F1B29.4096%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

> "Expecting Armageddon" and Christian organizations don't define
> Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows, or
> will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to
> witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.

>

> Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but
> that

> may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in
> the

> single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more).

> I

> believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for
> millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it
> could

> be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand
> years

> - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million,
> or

> it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time value
> whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good
> laugh when we worry about such things).

>

> That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same

> either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than
> "is").
> Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end of
> days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as
> God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our
> own
> choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.
>
> God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with
> Him -
> at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything
> else
> either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just is
> what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of His
> people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.
>
> Regards,
> Dedic
>
> On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"
> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>> thats what I said about the fruits...
>>
>> Expecting Armageddon has been a part of the "Christian Coalition" at
>> least
>> since I had the enlightening experience of working on the Pat Robertson
>> 88
>> campaign, so I dont think the original point was too far off.
>>
>> Does Bush have a role to play? Yes. Like Pharoah did back in Moses
>> time.
>> Part of God's plan, but not necessarily serving his will.
>>
>> I dont know, these are just my thoughts.
>>
>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>> news:BF3E9D34.407E%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>> True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed
>>> both
>>> of
>>> those camps directly several times.
>>>
>>> On 9/2/05 11:59 PM, in article 43193bd5@linux, "justcron"
>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the Pharasees
>>>> to

>>>> suicide bombers.
>>>>
>>>> Take it how you want.
>>>>
>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:BF3E9585.4072%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>> You know that isn't fair. That statement could equate Bush with
>>>>> Saddam
>>>>> Hussein and Hitler. And by the same evaluation, David (of David and
>>>>> Goliath) would fall into the same category for slaying Goliath and
>>>>> later
>>>>> conquering the Philistines, even though there is plenty of scripture
>>>>> to
>>>>> paint a different picture of him, faults and all.
>>>>>
>>>>> The same would also have to be said of Presidents Madison, Polk,
>>>>> Lincoln,
>>>>> McKinley, Wilson, Hoover, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson,
>>>>> Nixon,
>>>>> Ford, Reagan, Bush Sr., and Clinton, as well as a long list of other
>>>>> countries' leaders, both good and bad. But that isn't fair to them
>>>>> either.
>>>>>
>>>>> Please don't use the Bible to conveniently cast stones at people you
>>>>> don't
>>>>> agree with. You are above this line of reasoning.
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Detric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 9/2/05 9:06 PM, in article 43191349@linux, "justcron"
>>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bush's fruits are death and destruction.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Detric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...
>>>>>>> While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person
>>>>>>> thinks
>>>>>>> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without
>>>>>>> knowing
>>>>>>> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't
>>>>>>> necessarily
>>>>>>> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
>>>>>>> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth
>>>>>>> about

>>>>>> someone else without permission is gossip.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> fact.

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 15:22:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

me, my faith would
>>>>>> be
>>>>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide me,
>>>>>> it
>>>>>> would
>>>>>> also be meaningless.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without
>>>>>> works
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than
>>>>>> acting
>>>>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> few
>>>>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian is
>>>>>> between
>>>>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and
>>>>>> prayer).
>>>>>> Other
>>>>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith as
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were
>>>>>> another
>>>>>> form
>>>>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open
>>>>>> for
>>>>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society,
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there is
>>>>>> no
>>>>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells us

>>>>>> there
>>>>>> has
>>>>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So
>>>>>> where
>>>>>> do
>>>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all
>>>>>> sin
>>>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn
>>>>>> homosexuals
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow
>>>>>> make
>>>>>> it
>>>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right"
>>>>>> for
>>>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support
>>>>>> and
>>>>>> (in
>>>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>>>> dangerous
>>>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle -
>>>>>> that's
>>>>>> why
>>>>>> it
>>>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not
>>>>>> (faith
>>>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone
>>>>>> else".
>>>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc)
>>>>>> re-enacted
>>>>>> over
>>>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more
>>>>>> decadent
>>>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same
>>>>>> path
>>>>>> by
>>>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported
>>>>>> belief
>>>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion.
>>>>>> You
>>>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to
>>>>>> appeal
>>>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a
>>>>>> law
>>>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
>>>>>> without

>>>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
>>>>>> another
>>>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>>>> preference -
>>>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide,
>>>>>> etc,
>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
>>>>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his
>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>> are
>>>>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>>>>> supposed
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> allow non-Christians.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason "in
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be a
>>>>>> decent
>>>>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However,
>>>>>> every
>>>>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the
>>>>>> Iraqis
>>>>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could post
>>>>>> quotes
>>>>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason
>>>>>> for
>>>>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short
>>>>>> for
>>>>>> me
>>>>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will
>>>>>> play
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about -
>>>>>> left
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand,
>>>>>> just
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and He
>>>>>> does
>>>>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> do

>>>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability of
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President
>>>>>>> isn't
>>>>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>>>>> leading
>>>>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing the
>>>>>>> risk
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it for
>>>>>>> God's
>>>>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do
>>>>>>> things
>>>>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or
>>>>>>> financial
>>>>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote,
>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to an
>>>>>>> opposite
>>>>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> mind,
>>>>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs,
>>>>>>> sound
>>>>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this
>>>>>>> forum
>>>>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news,
>>>>>>> much
>>>>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with
>>>>>>> President
>>>>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan,

>>>>>> Nixon,
>>>>>> etc),
>>>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their
>>>>>> books,
>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the
>>>>>> one
>>>>>> you
>>>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their best
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> lead
>>>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but no
>>>>>> one
>>>>>> on
>>>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to
>>>>>> reach
>>>>>> Him
>>>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness
>>>>>> through
>>>>>> His
>>>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace
>>>>>> we
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the
>>>>>> world
>>>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech, our
>>>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or
>>>>>> condemnation.
>>>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of
>>>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design
>>>>>> than
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnggg
>>>>>> post.
>>>>>> Back to work, and best regards.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05 1:01
>>>>>> PM:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

>>>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%derry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post
>>>>>>> script
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB
>>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see
>>>>>>> how
>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>> quote...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be
>>>>>>> picked
>>>>>>> up
>>>>>>> buy
>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as
>>>>>>> this:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he
>>>>>>> instructed
>>>>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am

>>>>>>> determined
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act,
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> if
>>>>>>> not,
>>>>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster,
>>>>>>> leads
>>>>>>> me
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> this opinion.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>>>>>> slanderous
>>>>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> those
>>>>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were
>>>>>>> spawned
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become
>>>>>>> temporally
>>>>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>> our
>>>>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people
>>>>>>> who
>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the
>>>>>>> herd
>>>>>>> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may
>>>>>>> agree,
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my
>>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>> will
>>>>>>> remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human
>>>>>>> comprehension
>>>>>>> (in fact He is beyond the limits of time itself). I believe most
>>>>>>> religions
>>>>>>> attempt to humanize GOD in order to understand him. This is the

and I am not going to participate. It is clear that you are less honest fair or balanced than you present yourself as, and I will not be responding to you in the future.

DCThere's two big-picture views of last-day prophecies.

One treats books like Ezekiel, Daniel, Revelation, and others like a code or a set of specific predictions to happen in the future. These folks make numerous predictions about rebuilding the temple and the establishment if the state of Israel is enormously important to them. They argue endlessly about tribulations and milleniums and even sometimes try to set dates in advance for things.

The other is one that follows the advice to the wise virgins to trim your lamp and watch and wait, to stay awake. These people see prophecy fulfilled in hindsight as a way of strengthening their faith rather than as a crystal ball for what will happen next week, and many are agnostic about tribulations, raptures, and milleniums. They are horrified that some Christian leaders pretend to know things that they do not, and when proven wrong, just change the subject. They prefer the Gospel to human speculation, and are in it for the long-term.

I am of the latter group.

DC

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>Agreed with all that.

>

>Dunno if you've ever been privy to the insiders gossip.

>

>They discuss biblical prophecy regarding what is now China and Russia.

If

>you know what I'm talking about you know what I'm talking about. Its an

>endless undercurrent of religious discussion and philosophy.

>

>The END TIMES!

>

>Are we there?

>

>Do you know your role?

>

>"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

>news:BF3F1B29.4096%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>> "Expecting Armageddon" and Christian organizations don't define

>> Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows,
or
>> will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to
>> witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.
>>
>> Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but

>> that
>> may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in

>> the
>> single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more).

>> I
>> believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for
>> millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it

>> could
>> be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand

>> years
>> - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million,

>> or
>> it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time value
>> whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good
>> laugh when we worry about such things).
>>
>> That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same
>> either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than
>> "is").
>> Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end
of
>> days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as
>> God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our

>> own
>> choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.
>>
>> God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with

>> Him -
>> at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything

>> else
>> either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just
is
>> what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of

His

>> people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.

>>

>> Regards,

>> Dedic

>>

>> On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"

>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>

>>> thats what I said about the fruits...

>>>

>>> Expecting Armageddon has been a part of the "Christian Coalition" at

>>> least

>>> since I had the enlightening experience of working on the Pat Robertson

>>> 88

>>> campaign, so I dont think the original point was too far off.

>>>

>>> Does Bush have a role to play? Yes. Like Pharoah did back in Moses

>>> time.

>>> Part of God's plan, but not necessarily serving his will.

>>>

>>> I dont know, these are just my thoughts.

>>>

>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

>>> news:BF3E9D34.407E%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>>>> True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed

>>>> both

>>>> of

>>>> those camps directly several times.

>>>>

>>>> On 9/2/05 11:59 PM, in article 43193bd5@linux, "justcron"

>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>> Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the Pharasees

>>>>> to

>>>>> suicide bombers.

>>>>>

>>>>> Take it how you want.

>>>>>

>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

>>>>> news:BF3E9585.4072%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>>>>>> You know that isn't fair. That statement could equate Bush with

>>>>>> Saddam

>>>>> Hussein and Hitler. And by the same evaluation, David (of David and
>>>>> Goliath) would fall into the same category for slaying Goliath and

>>>>> later

>>>>> conquering the Philistines, even though there is plenty of scripture

>>>>> to

>>>>> paint a different picture of him, faults and all.

>>>>>

>>>>> The same would also have to be said of Presidents Madison, Polk,

>>>>> Lincoln,

>>>>> McKinley, Wilson, Hoover, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson,

>>>>> Nixon,

>>>>> Ford, Reagan, Bush Sr., and Clinton, as well as a long list of other

>>>>> countries' leaders, both good and bad. But that isn't fair to them

>>>>> either.

>>>>>

>>>>> Please don't use the Bible to conveniently cast stones at people you

>>>>> don't

>>>>> agree with. You are above this line of reasoning.

>>>>>

>>>>> Regards,

>>>>> Dedric

>>>>>

>>>>> On 9/2/05 9:06 PM, in article 43191349@linux, "justcron"

>>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>> You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Bush's fruits are death and destruction.

>>>>>>

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy

Posted by [DC](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 15:43:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

S a

>>>>>>>> moron."

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated

>>>>>>>> as

>>>>>>>> fact.

>>>>>>>> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is

a

>>>>>>>> chance

>>>>>>> our
>>>>>>> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid.
We

>>>>>>> all
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we

>>>>>>> feel
>>>>>>> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians.

A
>>>>>>> faith
>>>>>>> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs
>>>>>>> adopted
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
>>>>>>> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by
>>>>>>> people.
>>>>>>> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or
>>>>>>> intellect.
>>>>>>> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would

>>>>>>> be
>>>>>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide
me,
>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>> also be meaningless.

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without

>>>>>>> works
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than
>>>>>>> acting
>>>>>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow

>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> few
>>>>>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian
is

>>>>>> between
>>>>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and
>>>>>> prayer).
>>>>>> Other
>>>>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith
as
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were
>>>>>> another
>>>>>> form
>>>>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open
>>>>>> for
>>>>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society,

>>>>>> a
>>>>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there
is
>>>>>> no
>>>>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells
us
>>>>>> there
>>>>>> has
>>>>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So

>>>>>> where
>>>>>> do
>>>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all

>>>>>> sin
>>>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn
>>>>>> homosexuals
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow
>>>>>> make
>>>>>> it
>>>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right"

>>>>>> for
>>>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support
>>>>>> and
>>>>>> (in
>>>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>>>> dangerous
>>>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle -
>>>>>> that's
>>>>>> why

>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not
>>>>>>> (faith
>>>>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone
>>>>>>> else".
>>>>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc)
>>>>>>> re-enacted
>>>>>>> over
>>>>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more
>>>>>>> decadent
>>>>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same

>>>>>>> path
>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported

>>>>>>> belief
>>>>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion.
>>>>>>> You
>>>>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right
to
>>>>>>> appeal
>>>>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such
a
>>>>>>> law
>>>>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point
-
>>>>>>> without
>>>>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay,
and
>>>>>>> another
>>>>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>>>>> preference -
>>>>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide,

>>>>>>> etc,
>>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace
-
>>>>>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his
>>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>>>>>> supposed
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> allow non-Christians.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason

"in
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be
a
>>>>>>> decent
>>>>>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However,

>>>>>>> every
>>>>>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the
>>>>>>> Iraqis
>>>>>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could
post
>>>>>>> quotes
>>>>>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short

>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> me
>>>>>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will
>>>>>>> play
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about -

>>>>>>> left
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand,
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and
He
>>>>>>> does
>>>>>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing

>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability
of
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain
it,
>>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>>> I

>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President
>>>>>>> isn't
>>>>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>>>>> leading
>>>>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing
the
>>>>>>> risk
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it
for
>>>>>>> God's
>>>>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do
>>>>>>> things
>>>>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or
>>>>>>> financial
>>>>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote,
>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to
an
>>>>>>> opposite
>>>>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart

>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> mind,
>>>>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs,
>>>>>>> sound
>>>>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this
>>>>>>> forum
>>>>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news,
>>>>>>> much
>>>>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with
>>>>>>> President
>>>>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan,

>>>>>>> Nixon,
>>>>>>> etc),

>>>>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their
>>>>>>> books,
>>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the

>>>>>>> one
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their
best
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> lead
>>>>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but
no
>>>>>>> one
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to
>>>>>>> reach
>>>>>>> Him
>>>>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness
>>>>>>> through
>>>>>>> His
>>>>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace

>>>>>>> we
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the
>>>>>>> world
>>>>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech,
our
>>>>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or
>>>>>>> condemnation.
>>>>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent
of
>>>>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design

>>>>>>> than
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnggg
>>>>>>> post.
>>>>>>> Back to work, and best regards.

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05
1:01
>>>>>>> PM:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post
>>>>>>> script
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true.
GWB
>>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements

>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't
see
>>>>>>> how
>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>> quote...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he
is
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be
>>>>>>> picked
>>>>>>> up
>>>>>>> buy
>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over
it.
>>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated

>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such
as
>>>>>>> this:

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain
it,
>>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then
he
>>>>>>>> instructed
>>>>>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am
>>>>>>>> determined
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act,

>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>> if
>>>>>>>> not,
>>>>>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster,
>>>>>>>> leads
>>>>>>>> me
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> this opinion.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>>>>>>> slanderous
>>>>>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous

>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> those
>>>>>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were
>>>>>>>> spawned
>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become
>>>>>>>> temporally
>>>>>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware
>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>> our
>>>>>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people

>
>You can insert a UAD Delaycomp on each track, set it to 4 instances, then, after inserting another UAD plugin on that channel, change the delaycomp plugin on that same channel to 3 instances. This will work, but if you are taking visual cues from your scrolling editor while automating mix moves, you will be behind the curve the whole time. Also, the UAD-1 Delaycomp is a VST plugin, uses CPU cycles (it doesn't use the UAD-1 DSP card) and it needs to be used with a VST/DX wrapper so if you have a 48 track project happening with a Delay Comp plugin on each track, you will have a significant amount of CPU involved in running that many Delay Comp plugins and you will only have 16 more plugins available in Paris.

This is why I'm using a second DAW running Cubase SX streaming the tracks via lightpipe and summing in Paris. It's expensive and time consuming to set up and get it all happening, but once you've got your head around it, it's a dream come true for mixdown with 4 x UAD-1 cards.

Deej

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message news:4319a885@linux...

> Wow..that 's a "chore" I thought about moving the track to the
> left...because the sound is "late" ...but the sampleslide moves it to the
> right doesn't it? What about the Track Delay,and Track Advance , plugs
that
> come with UAD? Do you use those? Both of them seem to move the track to
the
> right...

> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:4319180d\$1@linux...

> >

> > You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a
track

> > you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply
> sampleslide

> > (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for
either

> > of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get
you

> > sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but
> most

> > guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any
> rate.

> >

> > You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide. I'm
> > not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either

> will

> > work.

> >

> > Rod
> >
> > There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I do
> it.
> > "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
> > >Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion
VST
> > >Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the
> plug-in...The
> > >included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done
to
> > >make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around
> > >25%....1 Gig of RAM
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>Interesting DC.. thanks.

"DC" <dc@armgeddonsucks.org> wrote in message news:4319c4bc\$1@linux...

>
> There's two big-picture views of last-day prophecies.
>
> One treats books like Ezekiel, Daniel, Revelation, and others like
> a code or a set of specific predictions to happen in the future.
> These folks make numerous predictions about rebuilding the temple
> and the establsihment if the state of Israel is enormously important
> to them. They argue endlessly about tribulations and milleniums
> and even sometimes try to set dates in advance for things.
>
> The other is one that follows the advice to the wise virgins to trim
> your lamp and watch and wait, to stay awake. These people see
> prophecy fulfilled in hindsight as a way of strengthening their
> faith rather than as a crystal ball for what will happen next week,
> and many are agnostic about tribulations, raptures, and milleniums.
> They are horrified that some Christian leaders pretend to know
> things that they do not, and when proven wrong, just change the
> subject. They prefer the Gospel to human speculation, and are in
> it for the long-term.
>
> I am of the latter group.
>
> DC
>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>Agreed with all that.
>>

>>Dunno if you've ever been privy to the insiders gossip.
>>
>>They discuss biblical prophecy regarding what is now China and Russia.
> If
>>you know what I'm talking about you know what I'm talking about. Its an
>
>>endless undercurrent of religious discussion and philosophy.
>>
>>The END TIMES!
>>
>>Are we there?
>>
>>Do you know your role?
>>
>>"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>news:BF3F1B29.4096%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>> "Expecting Armageddon" and Christian organizations don't define
>>> Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows,
> or
>>> will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to
>>> witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.
>>>
>>> Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but
>
>>> that
>>> may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in
>
>>> the
>>> single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more).
>
>>> I
>>> believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for
>>> millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it
>
>>> could
>>> be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand
>
>>> years
>>> - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million,
>
>>> or
>>> it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time
>>> value
>>> whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good
>>> laugh when we worry about such things).
>>>
>>> That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same
>>> either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than

>>> "is").
>>> Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end
> of
>>> days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as
>>> God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our
>
>>> own
>>> choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.
>>>
>>> God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with
>
>>> Him -
>>> at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything
>
>>> else
>>> either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just
> is
>>> what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of
> His
>>> people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"
>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>
>>>> thats what I said about the fruits...
>>>>
>>>> Expecting Armageddon has been a part of the "Christian Coalition" at
>
>>>> least
>>>> since I had the enlightening experience of working on the Pat Robertson
>
>>>> 88
>>>> campaign, so I dont think the original point was too far off.
>>>>
>>>> Does Bush have a role to play? Yes. Like Pharoah did back in Moses
>
>>>> time.
>>>> Part of God's plan, but not necessarily serving his will.
>>>>
>>>> I dont know, these are just my thoughts.
>>>>
>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:BF3E9D34.407E%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>> True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed
>

>>>> both
>>>> of
>>>> those camps directly several times.
>>>&

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 15:45:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uessing what another person
>>>>>>> thinks
>>>>>>> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts.
>>>>>>> Without
>>>>>>> knowing
>>>>>>> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't
>>>>>>> necessarily
>>>>>>> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
>>>>>>> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the
>>>>>>> truth
>>>>>>> about
>>>>>>> someone else without permission is gossip.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over
> it.
>>>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but
>>>>>>>> stated
>
>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>> fact.
>>>>>>>> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is
> a
>>>>>>>> chance
>>>>>>>> our
>>>>>>>> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid.
> We
>>>>>>>> all
>>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we
>
>>>>>>>> feel
>>>>>>>> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians.

> A
>>>>>>>> faith
>>>>>>>> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs
>>>>>>>> adopted
>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
>>>>>>>> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear
>>>>>>>> about
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a
>>>>>>>> belief
>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by
>>>>>>>> people.
>>>>>>>> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or
>>>>>>>> intellect.
>>>>>>>> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith
>>>>>>>> would
>
>>>>>>>> be
>>>>>>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide
> me,
>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>>> also be meaningless.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without
>
>>>>>>>> works
>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than
>>>>>>>> acting
>>>>>>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to
>>>>>>>> follow
>
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> few
>>>>>>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian
> is
>>>>>>>> between
>>>>>>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and
>>>>>>>> prayer).
>>>>>>>> Other
>>>>>>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith
> as
>>>>>>>> a

>>>>>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were
>>>>>>> another
>>>>>>> form
>>>>>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation,
>>>>>>> open
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers,
>>>>>>> society,
>
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there
> is
>>>>>>> no
>>>>>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells
> us
>>>>>>> there
>>>>>>> has
>>>>>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So
>
>>>>>>> where
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all
>
>>>>>>> sin
>>>>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn
>>>>>>> homosexuals
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow
>>>>>>> make
>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right"
>
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to
>>>>>>> support
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> (in
>>>>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>>>>> dangerous
>>>>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle -
>>>>>>> that's
>>>>>>> why
>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not
>>>>>>> (faith
>>>>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on

>>>>>>>> everyone
>>>>>>>> else".
>>>>>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc)
>>>>>>>> re-enacted
>>>>>>>> over
>>>>>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more
>>>>>>>> decadent
>>>>>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same
>
>>>>>>>> path
>>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported
>
>>>>>>>> belief
>>>>>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national
>>>>>>>> religion.
>>>>>>>> You
>>>>>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right
> to
>>>>>>>> appeal
>>>>>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such
> a
>>>>>>>> law
>>>>>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point
> -
>>>>>>>> without
>>>>>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay,
> and
>>>>>>>> another
>>>>>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>>>>>> preference -
>>>>>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide,
>
>>>>>>>> etc,
>>>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace
> -
>>>>>>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his
>>>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>>>>>>> supposed
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> allow non-Christians.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason
> "in
>>>>>>>> the

>>>>>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be
> a
>>>>>>> decent
>>>>>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However,
>
>>>>>>> every
>>>>>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the
>>>>>>> Iraqis
>>>>>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could
> post
>>>>>>> quotes
>>>>>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a
>>>>>>> reason
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short
>
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> me
>>>>>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will
>>>>>>> play
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about -
>
>>>>>>> left
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand,
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and
> He
>>>>>>> does
>>>>>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing
>
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability
> of
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain
> it,
>>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to

>>>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for
>>>>>>>>> President
>>>>>>>>> isn't
>>>>>>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>>>>>>> leading
>>>>>>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing
> the
>>>>>>>>> risk
>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it
> for
>>>>>>>>> God's
>>>>>>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do
>>>>>>>>> things
>>>>>>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or
>>>>>>>>> financial
>>>>>>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact
>>>>>>>>> quote,
>>>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to
> an
>>>>>>>>> opposite
>>>>>>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart
>
>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> mind,
>>>>>>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs,
>>>>>>>>> sound
>>>>>>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this
>>>>>>>>> forum
>>>>>>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the
>>>>>>>>> news,
>>>>>>>>> much
>>>>>>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with
>>>>>>>>> President
>>>>>>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan,
>

>>>>>>> Nixon,
>>>>>>> etc),
>>>>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their
>>>>>>> books,
>>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the
>
>>>>>>> one
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their
> best
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> lead
>>>>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but
> no
>>>>>>> one
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to
>>>>>>> reach
>>>>>>> Him
>>>>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness
>>>>>>> through
>>>>>>> His
>>>>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of
>>>>>>> grace
>
>>>>>>> we
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the
>>>>>>> world
>>>>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech,
> our
>>>>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or
>>>>>>> condemnation.
>>>>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent
> of
>>>>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design
>
>>>>>>> than
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnggg
>>>>>>> post.
>>>>>>> Back to work, and best regards.
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05
> 1:01
>>>>>>> PM:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your
>>>>>>> post
>>>>>>> script
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true.
> GWB
>>>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just
>>>>>>>> ridiculous
>>>>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements
>
>>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't
> see
>>>>>>>> how
>>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>>> quote...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he
> is
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be
>>>>>>>> picked
>>>>>>>> up
>>>>>>>> buy
>>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over
> it.
>>>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU
>>>>>>>> actually
>>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is

>>>>>>>> stated
>
>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such
> as
>>>>>>>> this:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain
> it,
>>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then
> he
>>>>>>>> instructed
>>>>>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am
>>>>>>>> determined
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act,
>
>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>> if
>>>>>>>> not,
>>>>>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster,
>>>>>>>> leads
>>>>>>>> me
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> this opinion.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>>>>>>> slanderous
>>>>>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are
>>>>>>>> slanderous
>
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> those
>>>>>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were
>>>>>>>> spawned

>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become
>>>>>>>> temporally
>>>>>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but
>>>>>>>> aware
>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>> our
>>>>>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people
>
>>>>>>>> who
>>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what
> the
>>>>>>>> herd
>>>>>>>> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may
>>>>>>>> agree,
>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>> exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my
>>>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>>> will
>>>>>>>> remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human
>>>>>>>> comprehension
>>>>>>>> (in fact He is beyond the limits of time itself). I believe most
>>>>>>>> religions
>>>>>>>> attempt to humanize GOD in order to understand him. This is the
>
>>>>>>>> issue
>>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>> religion that I find appalling. I believe that there is room for
>
>>>>>>>> both
>>>>>>>> an
>>>>>>>> creator and evolutionary process. I have no problem stating that
>>>>>>>> anyone
>>>>>>>> outside this view is in my own opinion, a moron.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> again, just my opinion.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

> > > looks like a really nice way to enhance LF elements within a mix while
> > > keeping them isolated in the sound stage. Could also be a big asset to
> > > mixing tracks that that were recorded in the same space with lots of
mic
> > > bleed without having to EQ the life out fo certain tracks.....maybe?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
> "DC" <dc@goodbyetojamie.org> wrote in message news:4319c0d2\$1@linux...
>
> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>>But describing people who disagree with any of the current
>>administration's policies as "you Bush-haters" doing a "little hate
>>litany" is a "partisan knife" way of asking people not to politicize the
>
>>disaster.
>
> When the best the feds could have done, would not have made
> more than 1 day's difference, to then bring up Iraq and Kyoto
> and all these other partisan unrelated issues, to bash the president
> once again using such a tragedy, is is inappropriate and
> unacceptable, even immoral to many of us. Apprently it is just fine
> with you though.

Hold Up. The FEMA budget went where? The FEMA budget was focused on what?

> Balance is not hearing both sides presented here, nor is it partisan
> to expect the anti-Bush tirades to abate for a few days at least
> during this awful event. It's the decent thing to do. It's obvious
> to most people.

Fuck Bush. I'd smash his fuckin face in myself... you know where to find me.

> To obfuscate this point, to simply turn words around into a debate
> is intellectually corrupt. This is what passes for reasoning with you
> and I am not going to participate. It is clear that you are less
> honest fair or balanced than you present yourself as, and I will not
> be responding to you in the future.

> DC

Interesting.<http://www.michellemalkin.com/>

Great, great stories...I've heard speculation in various forms. It's interesting, but for me

that's as far as it goes (at least beyond my own personal pondering from time to time). We don't know for sure, and don't gain anything by trying to figure it out.

God does have a plan for each of us (or knows our future depending on how you look at it), but the only way to figure it out is to just seek His guidance daily and then look back and say - "that seemed to be planned - I did learn something." For me it's usually a dumb decision I made, and wondered why later :-). God works everyday, we just usually get too caught up in our day to day or looking for lightning bolts to notice.

On 9/3/05 9:22 AM, in article 4319bfd2@linux, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

> Agreed with all that.
>
> Dunno if you've ever been privy to the insiders gossip.
>
> They discuss biblical prophecy regarding what is now China and Russia. If
> you know what I'm talking about you know what I'm talking about. Its an
> endless undercurrent of religious discussion and philosophy.
>
> The END TIMES!
>
> Are we there?
>
> Do you know your role?
>
> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
> news:BF3F1B29.4096%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>> "Expecting Armageddon" and Christian organizations don't define
>> Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows, or
>> will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to
>> witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.
>>
>> Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but
>> that
>> may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in
>> the
>> single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more).
>> I
>> believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for
>> millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it
>> could
>> be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand
>> years
>> - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million,
>> or

>> it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time value
>> whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good
>> laugh when we worry about such things).

>>

>> That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same
>> either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than
>> "is").

>> Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end of
>> days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as
>> God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our
>> own

>> choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.

>>

>> God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with
>> Him -

>> at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything
>> else

>> either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just is
>> what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of His
>> people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.

>>

>> Regards,

>> Detric

>>

>> On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"
>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
news:BF3F2A3C.40A9%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

> I've heard speculation in various forms. It's interesting, but for me
> that's as far as it goes (at least beyond my own personal pondering from
> time to time). We don't know for sure, and don't gain anything by trying
> to
> figure it out.

>

> God does have a plan for each of us (or knows our future depending on how
> you look at it), but the only way to figure it out is to just seek His
> guidance daily and then look back and say - "that seemed to be planned -I
> did learn something." For me it's usually a dumb decision I made, and
> wondered why later :-). God works everyday, we just usually get too
> caught
> up in our day to day or looking for lightning bolts to notice.

good stuff DTerry.

God works in my life on a DAILY BASIS.If any Reason users (or fans), Prop heads released
Rebirth free. It's
mothballed now. Seems like it needs a new name now, unless they are
planning on resurrecting it someday. ;-)

FYI, it's a 500M download CD image.

Regards,

Dedric <http://movies.crooksandliars.com/Hannity-Colmes-Smith-Rivera-freak-in-NO.wmv>Doing

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy

Posted by [DT](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 16:23:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

there ain't no

>> tomorrow. Presently, I don't get MPG - I get GPM... the lower the better
>> I always say. Sometimes I just fire it up and let it run just to see if
>> I can hogcall up one of them there K5's or F7. Had my gas cap
>> autographed this week by GW, several big TX oil tycoons - hope to get a
>> few more on the left front fender and right on the tank...

>

> That just confirms how much of a dickhead you are, Dumbya.

><http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9162119Character>. What a concept.

But even if someone disagrees with her politics, those stories are just incredible.

DC

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.n.o.s.p.a.m.net> wrote:

>Don, this gal is something else. I've corresponded with her on some local

>heated political issues in recent years. Very personable, articulate,
>intelligent, collected. There was a local radio talk show host that got

>booted by the stations parent company for making some fair factual but
>politically intemperate statements about a particluar governor's race here

>in WA state. Michelle blasted the parent company - very publicly - at
>length. The talk show host is back on the air with the biggest slot at

>drive time any host has ever had here.

>

>W. Mark Wilson

>

>"DC" <dc@gospamyermama.com> wrote in message [news:4319cdf2\\$1@linux...](news:4319cdf2$1@linux...)

>>

>> <http://www.michellemalkin.com/>

>>

>> Great, great stories...

>
>Hi Rod...Thank you for your help..I tried to contact your address directly,but could not...so I hope you see this..After I nudge the track to the left 100x 4,it seems to sound fine without the "sampleslide" Do you put the "sampleslide" on the SAME track with the plug,or the other tracks that do not have the plus... ..at least until I add a 2nd plug in...The recommended UAD way is to add the "Track delay" VST plug, on all the other tracks that do NOT have a plug-in...that works good ,until you add more plugins.,then you have to change all the values.....I just hooked this up yesterday...
"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message news:4319180d\$1@linux...
>
> You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a track
> you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply sampleslide
> (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for either
> of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get you
> sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but most
> guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any rate.
>
> You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide. I'm
> not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either will
> work.
>
> Rod
>
> There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I do it.
> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
> >Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion VST
> >Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the plug-in...The
> >included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done to
> >make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around
> >25%....1 Gig of RAM
> >
> >
>Put sampleslide with the delay setting at 1536 on the track that you nudged and yo

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 16:29:41 GMT

u will be in the pocket.

don't mess with the drack delay thing in paris.

Rod

rlincoln@kc.rr.com

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>Hi Rod...Thank you for your help..I tried to contact your address

>directly,but could not...so I hope you see this..After I nudge the track
to

>the left 100x 4,it seems to sound fine without the "sampleslide" Do you

>put the "sampleslide" on the SAME track with the plug,or the other tracks

>that do not have the plus... ..at least until I add a 2nd plug in...The

>recommended UAD way is to add the "Track delay" VST plug, on all the other

>tracks that do NOT have a plug-in...that works good ,until you add more

>plugins.,then you have to change all the values.....I just hooked this up

>yesterday...

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrot

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy

Posted by [Jason Miles](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 10:38:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

spam-this-ahole_@animas.net" target="_blank">animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in
message

> >> news:431a3a77\$1@linux...

> >> >

> >> >

> >> > Both (cars) are paid for, and have been for years.

> >> >

> >> >

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy

Posted by [DT](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:06:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

does nothing more than give most reasonable people pause, rather than do
anything to convince them that he has done anything like what he is accused
of doing by interest groups whose entire *raison d'etre* is to hate Bush.

This is one major reason that the Democrats lost the presidential election.

People like you and Howard Dean show up to the party dressed in your insane
clown suits and immediately anything you have to say is discounted due to
your demeanor and previous track recordand that's a shame because
everyone should have a say. When you sound like a broken record spouting the

same old party line, your say isn't taken as seriously as it might be if it was based on actually trying to see both sides of an issue.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message news:431b1c1c@linux...

> you are so blind Deej and everyone else that shoots the messenger. There are plenty of corroborative sources that say the same thing. I could have

> cropped the source off and the point would be just as valid.

>

> you bush apologists are making me sick.

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:431b0c0a@linux...

> > I love it when you quote the LA times. This is like having a bucket of

> > biased shit from which to draw any time someone wants to *sling spin* from

> > the left. Hell yes, we're in a war and it does take funding from other

> > places. This cute little graph you show could likely be used to show the

> > same thing done by other presidents for New Orleans or any number of other

> > things. Let's create a graph to see how many times Clinton made an

> > effective

> > move to proactively protect this country from terrorist threats while

> > we're

> > at it. The graph would never show a spike. Perhaps he could get Mark Rich

> > to

> > donate a couple of the mone that he made brokering oil deals for Sadaam

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:48:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

art of New Orleans was built on filled in marshlands doesn't help -

>>> saturated ground, surrounded by water, below water line is prime

>>> territory

>>> for flooding - it's only a matter of time. There were many articles and

>>> speculation that New Orleans could not survive a major hurricane - the

>> city

>>> was living on borrowed time unfortunately. All the political blame in

>>> the

>>> world won't change the fact that this disaster happened because of New

>>> Orleans location. Blaming the government for this disaster is no more

>>> grounded than blaming a the secretary of defense for allowing a tornado
>>> to
>>> wipe out a farm in Kansas. Research also takes a bit of objectivity,
>>> both
>>> scientific and political.
>>>
>>> I'm with you guys on environmental conservation - the more the better
>>> (wetlands included), but developers hate the idea, and an expanding
>>> population isn't conducive to it. Catch 22. I just don't buy that
>>> saving
>>> wetlands would have done anything to save New Orleans from a hurricane.
>>> Save cranes and other water fowl? Sure - cool. The city? Not a chance.
>>> If only it was that easy. The real answer is convincing people not to
>> build
>>> houses on "sinking sand" on the doorstep of powerful ocean. Knowing
>>> human
>>> nature, we'll never learn.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 9/4/05 4:38 AM, in article 431ace9b@linux, "Jason Miles"
>>> <Jmiles45@aol.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> I just don't post because I feel like it-I research-I read the army
>>>> core
>> of
>>>> engineer reports-The Wetlands had alot to do with the everyday survival
>> in
>>>> N.O. There was also an amazing article in national geographic about
>> it-Bush
>>>> is not the most environment friendly president we've had. Then again
>> I've
>>>> never felt that Bush
>>>> was really the man in charge
>>>> JM
>>>
>>
>>
>
>"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
news:BF4084C6.40F3%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

> \$25mil, or \$250mil - trying to stop nature is futile, but we continue to
> try, and blame governments, lobbyists or activists when we fail. We are
> pretty silly creatures when you think about it.

good point.... theres no way to stop nature.

it would have been nice to keep those 2 levees from breaking.

blame is completely pointless. responsibility is completely priceless.I'll tell you what-You can criticize Clinton all you want (i'm not a big lover of him either) But-He would have been on the ground In N.O showing the people that someone is in charge and that people care. Bush flew over the fucking place in Airforce 1 and still hasn't shown his face to the people. Nobody is perfect and I'm totally turned off to the democrats as well but the new hampshire paper got it right. He has shown no leadership and he talks about Trent Lotts fucking house.Step up to the plate.he hasn't.he has mismanaged this and Iraq terribly-we as a country are in trouble. Oh Yeah he was sure on the case when he immediately flew to washington along with DeLay, Hasstert Frisch and these other hypocrits to promote their agenda to sign a bill for one brain dead white woman in florida- Don't get me wrong the democrats have shown me NOTHING!! but Bush is a stupid detached man who has let his neocratic friends take us to ruin I was no Guilliani fan either but when the Moment happ

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 16:50:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I rest my case.
>
> ;o)
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
> news:431b23ec@linux...
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:431b2393@linux...
>> > When
>> >> you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing your
>> >> OWN
>> >> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be critisizing any
>> >> non-bush-apologists
>> >
>> > When you sound like Howard Dean, you invite the comaprison.....and
> you
>> > sound *exactly like Howard DEan. I don't give shit what label you give
>> > yourself, it's your actions that speak for themselves and you're living
> in
>> > his camp dude. If you want to hate Bush, fine. Why not try to look at
> both

>> > sides rather than letting left wing interest groups do your tinkering for
>> > you?
>>
>> You're absolutely crazy Deej.
>>
>> My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing mainstream
>> network news.
>>
>> You're stuck in partisan mode, and somehow you think it's rubbing off on
> me.
>>
>>
>
>Anti-Democrats (Deej, DC, anyone that mentions Michael Moore or Howard
Dean more times than a Democrat actually hears from MM or HD)

Anti-Republicans (anyone?)

Anti-Bush (I'm running for the nomination, but I hear theres a lot of
competition)

Anti-Hillary (you know a bunch of people will be joining this party soon)

Anti-Clinton (the old party of the Anti-Democrats)There are several reports, including a National
Geographic article stating
that the levees were actually decreasing the wetlands in the Miss. river
delta, which are a natural barrier to storm surges. So in effect, the
man-made attempts to prevent flooding, contributed to it. The wetlands
decrease has been happening for 100 years. This isn't a recent
environmentalist vs. the government issue - it's man's own desire to build
where he probably shouldn't that eventually caused the problem levees were
built to prevent; it is also the natural course of changing coastlines.

\$25mil, or \$250mil - trying to stop nature is futile, but we continue to
try, and blame governments, lobbyists or activists when we fail. We are
pretty silly creatures when you think about it.

On 9/4/05 10:48 AM, in article 431b2576@linux, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote:

> hence why the Army Engineers were requesting \$25mil per year because the
> levees sink every year.
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:431b251c@linux...
>> When I was living

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [DT](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:02:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> news:431b2393@linux...
> >> > When
> >> >> you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing
your
> >> >> OWN
> >> >> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be criticizing any
> >> >> non-bush-apologists
> >> >
> >> > When you sound like Howard Dean, you invite the
comaprison.....and
> > you
> >> > sound *exactly like Howard DEan. I don't give shit what label you
give
> >> > yourself, it's your actions that speak for themselves and you're
living
> > in
> >> > his camp dude. If you want to hate Bush, fine. Why not try to look at
> > both
> >> > sides rather than letting left wing interest groups do your tinkering
for
> >> > you?
> >>
> >> You're absolutely crazy Deej.
> >>
> >> My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing mainstream
> >> network news.
> >>
> >> You're stuck in partisan mode, and somehow you think it's rubbing off
on
> > me.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>The NY times a few weeks ago had a big article on Hybrids
it's mostly more environmental friendly than an actual vehicle that will
save you money on gas
JM"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:431b29fa@linux...
> Weak?????.....You are taking your *facts* from and article by the LA
> Times for chrissakes!!!!
>
> As for the Howard Dean analogy, just listen to yourself. You sound like a

> parrot of the left and all I see you post here are trolls promoting a
> certain philosophy that is centered around hating Bush, but at the same
> time
> denying that you have any partisan agenda.....sor of like Howard
> Dean's following quotes:
>
> "I don't hate Republicans as individuals. But I hate what the Republicans
> are doing to this country. I really do."
>
> followed by :
>
> I hate Republicans and everything they stand for.
>
> There's more and whenever I see one of your posts like this I just shake
> my
> head because I know what's coming. It's as predictable as listening to a
> speech by Howard Dean. You know what's coming and you know why because
> you've heard it all before.
> I guess I could blog your posts and those by Dean and find similar and
> different positions, but the bottom line similarities are the staleness of
> the same old crap.....bomb throwing based on an unquestioned agenda
> which seeks to justify itself by the end justifying the means while
> denying
> any attempt at balancing the agenda with reality.

so now you're trying to put howard dean quotes attributed to me....

hahaha

you are completely out to lunch.

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
> news:431b25bb\$1@linux...
>> weak.
>>
>> I haven't heard Howard Dean for months, but somehow I sound exactly like
>> him? Still waiting for an explanation for that one.
>>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:431b256c@linux...
>> >> My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing
>> >> mainstream
>> >> network news.
>> >
>> > I rest my case.
>> >

>> > ;o)
>> >
>> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
>> > news:431b23ec@linux...
>> >>
>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:431b2393@linux...
>> >> > When
>> >> >> you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing
> your
>> >> >> OWN
>> >> >> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be criticizing any
>> >> >> non-bush-apologists
>> >> >
>> >> > When you sound like Howard Dean, you invite the
> comaprison.....and
>> > you
>> >> > sound *exactly like Howard DEan. I don't give shit what label you
> give
>> >> > yourself, it's your actions that speak for themse

Subject: Re: good lord have mercy
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:05:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lves and you're
> living
>> > in
>> >> > his camp dude. If you want to hate Bush, fine. Why not try to look
>> >> > at
>> > both
>> >> > sides rather than letting left wing interest groups do your tinkering
> for
>> >> > you?
>> >>
>> >> You're absolutely crazy Deej.
>> >>
>> >> My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing
>> >> mainstream
>> >> network news.
>> >>
>> >> You're stuck in partisan mode, and somehow you th
