Subject: FYI: Liquid Mix v2.0 Now Available
Posted by excelav on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 16:37:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=5023

Subject: Re: FYI: Liquid Mix v2.0 Now Available
Posted by LaMont on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 18:37:01 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Saw that.. Nice update and free..

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>http://sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=5023

Subject: Re: FYI: Liquid Mix v2.0 Now Available
Posted by Bill L on Tue, 17 Jul 2007 01:53:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What is the skinny on Liquid Mix? Is it equal, better or worse than UAD1
in quality?

James McCloskey wrote:
> http://sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=5023

Subject: Re: FYI: Liquid Mix v2.0 Now Available
Posted by DJ on Tue, 17 Jul 2007 03:01:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thad seems to be liking it, last I heard.

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:469c22e2@linux...
> What is the skinny on Liquid Mix? Is it equal, better or worse than UAD1
> in quality?
>
> James McCloskey wrote:
>> http://sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=5023

Subject: Re: FYI: Liquid Mix v2.0 Now Available
Posted by Ted Gerber on Tue, 17 Jul 2007 04:14:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I like it better-

Can't really vouch for the "reality" factor, as in "sounds just like the original",
but it produces 3 dimensional results with lots of control and variations.

I just can't use it with Paris on Mac. I use it with Logic, Soundtrack Pro etc...

T

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>What is the skinny on Liquid Mix? Is it equal, better or worse than UAD1

>in quality?
>
>James McCloskey wrote:
>> http://sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=5023

Subject: Re: FYI: Liquid Mix v2.0 Now Available
Posted by TCB on Tue, 17 Jul 2007 18:59:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The more I use it the more I like it. I haven't gotten really deep into it,
it has a cool feature where you can select different emulations of the EQ
section for up to seven bands. So you can have the low end shelf from a Focusrite
and the mid range band from a Pultec and the high from a Neve console.

I've been planning on running some drums and vox through the emulations that
are on both the UAD and the LM. I'll post a link that here when I get around
to it.

TCB

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>What is the skinny on Liquid Mix? Is it equal, better or worse than UAD1

>in quality?
>
>James McCloskey wrote:
>> http://sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=5023

Subject: Re: FYI: Liquid Mix v2.0 Now Available
Posted by BradLyons on Tue, 17 Jul 2007 20:37:25 GMT

I had (3) Liquid Channels at one point, so I'm quite familir with the Liquid
Technology and am aware of what's coming down the pipeline (it's awesome!!).
 But the LiquidMix is truly unique in a world of other DSP-based processors
and one I also love to use.

Brad Lyons
Sweetwater

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
>The more I use it the more I like it. I haven't gotten really deep into
it,
>it has a cool feature where you can select different emulations of the EQ
>section for up to seven bands. So you can have the low end shelf from a
Focusrite
>and the mid range band from a Pultec and the high from a Neve console.
>
>I've been planning on running some drums and vox through the emulations
that
>are on both the UAD and the LM. I'll post a link that here when I get around
>to it.
>
>TCB
>
>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>What is the skinny on Liquid Mix? Is it equal, better or worse than UAD1
>
>>in quality?
>>
>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>> http://sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=5023
>

Subject: Re: FYI: Liquid Mix v2.0 Now Available
Posted by TCB on Tue, 17 Jul 2007 21:59:23 GMT

It's really quite nice. With so many machines starved for PCI slots the firewire
interface is great.

The only thing I wish they would improve is the interface. The UAD thing,
a photo version of the actual device, would be best, but at least in the
manual they should have pictures of the originals and explanations about
how to use the plugs. Things like a Pultec is not always easy to get one's
head around. I'm working on getting pics of everything next to where I mix

so I'll have a reference.

TCB

P.S. Also, I don't have many of the originals, but I'll say this, the damn
thing sounds great and the different models sound different. It isn't 12
variations on a theme.

"Brad Lyons" <brad@audioandmidi.com> wrote:
>
>I had (3) Liquid Channels at one point, so I'm quite familir with the Liquid
>Technology and am aware of what's coming down the pipelire (it's awesome!!).
> But the LiquidMix is truly unique in a world of other DSP-based processors
>and one I also love to use.
>
>Brad Lyons
>Sweetwater
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>The more I use it the more I like it. I haven't gotten really deep into
>it,
>>it has a cool feature where you can select different emulations of the
EQ
>>section for up to seven bands. So you can have the low end shelf from a
>Focusrite
>>and the mid range band from a Pultec and the high from a Neve console.

>>
>>I've been planning on running some drums and vox through the emulations
>that
>>are on both the UAD and the LM. I'll post a link that here when I get around
>>to it.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>What is the skinny on Liquid Mix? Is it equal, better or worse than UAD1
>>
>>>in quality?
>>>
>>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>>> http://sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=5023
>>
>