
Subject: Re: Interesting Daniel Weiss Interview
Posted by Nil on Sun, 17 Dec 2006 17:26:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Mike, great read. Gonna go post this link on the 88.2k thread that DJ started on the Pulsar forum now.

Neil

"Mike R." <emarenot@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
><http://www.gearslutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=93177>
>
>Found this on gearslutz. Weiss has some interesting comments on sampling
>rate. He seems to feel that 60khz would be the "ideal" rate. His comments
>on 96k vs 88.2 are also interesting. Apparently, his latest product is
>an
>ITB processing unit, like Paris, like the new Fairlight thingy, like
>Receptor. I never thought of it this way, but he mentions that his ITB
>product (presumably versus some "native" solution) frees up the developer
>from being so circumscribed by the demands of the computer's hardware and
>softward. That sounds good, but it seems like six of one, half dozen of
>the
>other. Whenever I hear about scalable architecture I think Roland or Korg,
>and how future proof really means one or two years of support/development
>after then sale (if that) and on to the next thing.
>MR
>
>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1555" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
><DIV><A=20
>href=3D"http://www.gearslutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=3D93177">[http://w=
>ww.gearslutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=93177](http://www.gearslutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=93177)</DIV>

>has some=20

>would=20

>unit,=20

>thought=20

>of it this way, but he mentions that his =

>versus some "native" solution) frees up the developer from being so=20

>circumscribed by the demands of the computer's hardware and software. =

>That=20

>sounds good, but it seems like six of one, half dozen of the =

>Whenever I hear about scalable architecture I think Roland or Korg, and

=

>how=20

>future proof really means one or two years of support/development after

=

>then=20

><DIV>MR</DIV></BODY></HTML>

>

>

Subject: Interesting Daniel Weiss Interview

Posted by [emarenot](#) on Sun, 17 Dec 2006 19:15:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0009_01C721CC.A4CEA6C0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

<http://www.gearslutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=93177>

Found this on gearslutz. Weiss has some interesting comments on sampling rate. He seems to feel that 60khz would be the "ideal" rate. His comments on 96k vs 88.2 are also interesting. Apparently, his latest product is an ITB processing unit, like Paris, like the new Fairlight thingy, like Receptor. I never thought of it this way, but he mentions that his ITB product (presumably versus some "native" solution) frees up the developer from being so circumscribed by the demands of the computer's hardware and software. That sounds good, but it seems like six of one, half dozen of the other. Whenever I hear about scalable architecture I think Roland or Korg, and how future proof really means one or two years of support/development after then sale (if that) and on to the next thing.

