
Subject: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 15:49:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom containing wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It was labled "Data CD".

I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy could drag them in to his session.

Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to listen to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't play".

To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"

"Oh....it's a data CD?"

"Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"

"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"

So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.

The things you have to put up with sometimes.

Rod

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 21:24:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I assume that client just went on the "I give you your files when you give me my money list" for next time. ;>)

Tony

"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43fa3258\$1@linux...

>

> The EXACT same thing happened to me last week. The client is

> so convinced that nothing is on the CD I gave him, that he

> still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his

> studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a

> stereo.

>

>

>

> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>

>>A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom

>>containing

>>wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It was
>>labeled "Data CD".
>>I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy
> could
>>drag them in to his session.
>>Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to
>>listen
>>to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't
>>play".
>>To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>>"Oh....it's a data CD?"
>>"Yes, would you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>>"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>>So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>>
>>
>>The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>>
>>Rod
>>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Chris Lang](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 22:19:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The EXACT same thing happened to me last week. The client is so convinced that nothing is on the CD I gave him, that he still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a stereo.

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom containing
>wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It was
>labeled "Data CD".
>I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy
could
>drag them in to his session.
>Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to listen
>to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't
>play".
>To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>"Oh....it's a data CD?"

>"Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>
>
>The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>
>Rod
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 23:20:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sometimes I wonder how some people manage to change channels on their TV...

....mind you, strange I should say that, because now that we have digital television over here and digital set to boxes are becoming more common, a lot of people are actually beginning to have trouble with that too. "But, but why can't I just press 9 on the TV remote to watch channel 9?"...

Cheers,
Kim.

"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote:

>
>The EXACT same thing happened to me last week. The client is
>so convinced that nothing is on the CD I gave him, that he
>still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his
>studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a
>stereo.

>
>
>
>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>
>>A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom containing
>>wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It was
>>labeled "Data CD".
>>I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy
>could
>>drag them in to his session.
>>Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to listen
>>to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't

>>play".
>>To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>>"Oh....it's a data CD?"
>>"Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>>"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>>So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>>
>>
>>The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>>
>>Rod
>>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 01:09:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.

Jimmy

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...

>
> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
containing
> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It was
> labled "Data CD".
> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protools guy
could
> drag them in to his session.
> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to
listen
> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't
> play".
> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>
>
> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>
> Rod
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [cujo](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 03:10:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OMFG!

"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote:

>

>The EXACT same thing happened to me last week. The client is

>so convinced that nothing is on the CD I gave him, that he

>still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his

>studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a

>stereo.

>

>

>

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>

>>A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom containing

>>wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It was

>>labeled "Data CD".

>>I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy could

>>drag them in to his session.

>>Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to listen

>>to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't play".

>>To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"

>>"Oh....it's a data CD?"

>>"Yes, would you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"

>>"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"

>>So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.

>>

>>

>>The things you have to put up with sometimes.

>>

>>Rod

>>

>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 09:39:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

they're channels????????????????

On 21 Feb 2006 09:20:04 +1000, "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>
>Sometimes I wonder how some people manage to change channels on their TV...

>
>...mind you, strange I should say that, because now that we have digital
>television over here and digital set top boxes are becoming more common, a
>lot of people are actually beginning to have trouble with that too. "But,
>but why can't I just press 9 on the TV remote to watch channel 9?"...

>
>Cheers,
>Kim.

>
>"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote:

>>
>>The EXACT same thing happened to me last week. The client is
>>so convinced that nothing is on the CD I gave him, that he
>>still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his
>>studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a
>>stereo.

>>
>>
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>>
>>>A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
>>>containing
>>>wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It
>>>was
>>>labeled "Data CD".
>>>I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy
>>>could
>>>drag them in to his session.
>>>Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to
>>>listen
>>>to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't
>>>play".
>>>To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>>>"Oh....it's a data CD?"
>>>"Yes, would you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>>>"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>>>So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>>>
>>>
>>>The things you have to put up with sometimes.

>>>
>>>Rod
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 09:43:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so that's what the judge meant when he said "no more for you". no one would tell me...thanks.

On Mon, 20 Feb 2006 20:09:52 -0500, "uptown jimmy"
<johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
>
>Jimmy
>
>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
>>
>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
>containing
>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It was
>> labled "Data CD".
>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protools guy
>could
>> drag them in to his session.
>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to
>listen
>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't
>> play".
>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>>
>>
>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>>
>> Rod
>>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [justcron](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 14:37:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not to be a dick but thats a newbie miscommunication you guys could have anticipated :)

peace

"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43fa3258\$1@linux...

>

> The EXACT same thing happened to me last week. The client is

> so convinced that nothing is on the CD I gave him, that he

> still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his

> studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a

> stereo.

>

>

>

> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

> >

> >A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom containing

> >wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It was

> >labeled "Data CD".

> >I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy could

> >drag them in to his session.

> >Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to listen

> >to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't

> >play".

> >To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"

> >"Oh....it's a data CD?"

> >"Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"

> >"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"

> >So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.

> >

> >

> >The things you have to put up with sometimes.

> >

> >Rod

> >

>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 16:44:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tie the tubes, no more rubes...

Jimmy

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

news:s3olv1lr7tbd3v9l2u72phg8ink2iqlgo2@4ax.com...

> so that's what the judge meant when he said "no more for you". no one

> would tell me...thanks.

>

> On Mon, 20 Feb 2006 20:09:52 -0500, "uptown jimmy"

> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>

> >Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.

> >

> >Jimmy

> >

> >"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message

> >news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom

> >>containing

> >> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It was

> >> labled "Data CD".

> >> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protools guy

> >>could

> >> drag them in to his session.

> >> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to

> >>listen

> >> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't

> >> play".

> >> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"

> >> "Oh....it's a data CD?"

> >> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"

> >> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"

> >> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.

> >>

> >>

> >> The things you have to put up with sometimes.

> >>

> >> Rod

> >>

> >

>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [justcron](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 17:36:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hehe... constructively my friend, not to put you down but the 'artists'
ALWAYS want a CD they can play in the car for the chicks.

"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43fb4dc6\$1@linux...

>

> You are assuming that this was not communicated before the files

> were given to the client. Understandable, but you are wrong.

> No big deal...

>

> "justcron" <paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote:

> >Not to be a dick but thats a newbie miscommunication you guys could have

> >anticipated :)

> >

> >peace

> >

> >"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43fa3258\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> The EXACT same thing happened to me last week. The client is

> >> so convinced that nothing is on the CD I gave him, that he

> >> still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his

> >> studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a

> >> stereo.

> >>

> >>

> >>

> >> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

> >> >

> >> >A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom

> >> containing

> >> >wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It

> >> >was

> >> >labeled "Data CD".

> >> >I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols
guy

> >> >could

> >> >drag them in to his session.

> >> >Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to

> >> >listen

> >> >to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it
won't

> >> >play".

> >> >To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"

> >> >"Oh....it's a data CD?"

> >> >"Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"

> >> >"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
> >> >So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >The things you have to put up with sometimes.
> >> >
> >> >Rod
> >> >
> >> >
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Chris Lang](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 18:28:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You are assuming that this was not communicated before the files
were given to the client. Understandable, but you are wrong.
No big deal...

"justcron" <paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote:
>Not to be a dick but thats a newbie miscommunication you guys could have
>anticipated :)
>
>peace
>
>"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43fa3258\$1@linux...
>>
>> The EXACT same thing happened to me last week. The client is
>> so convinced that nothing is on the CD I gave him, that he
>> still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his
>> studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a
>> stereo.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
>> containing
>> >wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It
>> was
>> >labeled "Data CD".
>> >I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy
>> could
>> >drag them in to his session.

>> >Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to
>listen
>> >to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it won't
>> >play".
>> >To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>> >"Oh....it's a data CD?"
>> >"Yes, would you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>> >"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>> >So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>> >
>> >
>> >The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>> >
>> >Rod
>> >
>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Chris Lang](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 20:36:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That is a great point. I will remember that- Chris

"justcron" <paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote:
>hehe... constructively my friend, not to put you down but the 'artists'
>ALWAYS want a CD they can play in the car for the chicks.
>
>
>"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43fb4dc6\$1@linux...
>>
>> You are assuming that this was not communicated before the files
>> were given to the client. Understandable, but you are wrong.
>> No big deal...
>>
>> "justcron" <paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote:
>> >Not to be a dick but thats a newbie miscommunication you guys could have
>> >anticipated :)
>> >
>> >peace
>> >
>> >"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43fa3258\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> The EXACT same thing happened to me last week. The client is
>> >> so convinced that nothing is on the CD I gave him, that he

>> >> still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his
>> >> studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a
>> >> stereo.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
>> >containing
>> >> >wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work.
It
>> >was
>> >> >labeled "Data CD".
>> >> >I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols
>guy
>> >> could
>> >> >drag them in to his session.
>> >> >Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried
to
>> >listen
>> >> >to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it
>won't
>> >> >play".
>> >> >To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>> >> >"Oh....it's a data CD?"
>> >> >"Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>> >> >"Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>> >> >So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>> >> >
>> >> >Rod
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Wed, 22 Feb 2006 19:08:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the native

intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot as well.

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:43fa69db\$1@linux...

> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.

>

> Jimmy

>

> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message

> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...

> >

> > A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
> containing

> > wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It
was

> > labled "Data CD".

> > I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protools guy
> could

> > drag them in to his session.

> > Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to
> listen

> > to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it
won't

> > play".

> > To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"

> > "Oh....it's a data CD?"

> > "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"

> > "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"

> > So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.

> >

> >

> > The things you have to put up with sometimes.

> >

> > Rod

> >

>

>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 22 Feb 2006 21:23:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without that it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the native
> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot as
> well.
>
> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...
>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
>>
>> Jimmy
>>
>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
>> containing
>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It
> was
>>> labled "Data CD".
>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy
>> could
>>> drag them in to his session.
>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to
>> listen
>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it
> won't
>>> play".
>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>>>
>>>
>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>>>
>>> Rod
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Wed, 22 Feb 2006 21:51:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Now there you go again.....

;o)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...

>

> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a

> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without that

> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>

>

> DJ wrote:

> > I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the native

> > intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot as

> > well.

> >

> > "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

> > news:43fa69db\$1@linux...

> >> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.

> >>

> >> Jimmy

> >>

> >> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message

> >> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...

> >>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom

> >> containing

> >>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It

> > was

> >>> labled "Data CD".

> >>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols
guy

> >> could

> >>> drag them in to his session.

> >>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to

> >> listen

> >>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it

> > won't

> >>> play".

> >>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"

> >>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"

> >>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"

> >>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
> >>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
> >>>
> >>> Rod
> >>>
> >>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 22 Feb 2006 22:30:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nice quote DeeJ, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private, voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.

As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green, Independent or other.

The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad fiasco. Out of the frying pan into the fire.

More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> Now there you go again.....
>
> ;o)
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...
>> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a
>> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without that
>> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.
>>

>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the native
>>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot as
>>> well.
>>>
>>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...
>>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
>>>>
>>>> Jimmy
>>>>
>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
>>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
>>>>> containing
>>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It
>>> was
>>>>> labled "Data CD".
>>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols
> guy
>>>> could
>>>>> drag them in to his session.
>>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried to
>>>> listen
>>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it
>>> won't
>>>>> play".
>>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
>>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>>>>>
>>>>> Rod
>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 02:44:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm with you there Jamie. It just seems like there is so much potential for fraud, not only with voting machines and punch cards but also with the additional problem of registration fraud and the inevitable muddying of the waters by the various interest groups who are always bitching about state laws which disallow those convicted of felons to vote. Rather than working to have the laws changed, they seem to want to keep them in place so that they can use them opportunistically at election time in order to paint the *establishment* as unfair and hard hearted. Then after the election is over, the whole issue is forgotten. It's incredibly hippocritical.

What would you suggest as a bulletproof electoral system, keeping in mind that since this is a representative republic with an electoral college, the electors will have the final vote?

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fce77a@linux...

>

> Nice quote Deej, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still
> around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private,
> voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.

>

> As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're
> not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and
> taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green, Independent
> or other.

>

> The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were
> proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad fiasco.
> Out of the frying pan into the fire.

>

> More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>

>

>

> DJ wrote:

> > Now there you go again.....

> >

> > ;o)

> >

> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...

> >> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a
> >> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without that
> >> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.

> >>

> >> Cheers,

> >> -Jamie

> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

> >>

> >>

> >> DJ wrote:

> >>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the native
> >>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot
as

> >>> well.

> >>>

> >>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...

> >>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.

> >>>>

> >>>> Jimmy

> >>>>

> >>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> >>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...

> >>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a
CD-Rom

> >>>>> containing

> >>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work.

It

> >>> was

> >>>> labled "Data CD".

> >>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols

> > guy

> >>>> could

> >>>>> drag them in to his session.

> >>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried
to

> >>>>> listen

> >>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it

> >>> won't

> >>>>> play".

> >>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"

> >>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"

> >>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"

> >>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"

> >>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.

> >>>>>

> >>>>
> >>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
> >>>>
> >>>> Rod
> >>>>
> >>>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 03:01:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Deej,

Are you saying that all those that are elected went to College?
Surely not

Cheers
Martin Harrington

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:43fd22bf\$1@linux...

> I'm with you there Jamie. It just seems like there is so much potential
> for
> fraud, not only with voting machines and punch cards but also with the
> additional problem of registration fraud and the inevitable muddying of
> the
> waters by the various interest groups who are always bitching about state
> laws which disallow those convicted of felons to vote. Rather than working
> to have the laws changed, they seem to want to keep them in place so that
> they can use them opportunistically at election time in order to paint the
> *establishment* as unfair and hard hearted. Then after the election is
> over,
> the whole issue is forgotten. It's incredibly hypocritical.
>
> What would you suggest as a bulletproof electoral system, keeping in mind
> that since this is a representative republic with an electoral college,
> the
> electors will have the final vote?
>
> Deej
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fce77a@linux...
>>
>> Nice quote Deej, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still

>> around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private,
>> voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.
>>
>> As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're
>> not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and
>> taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green, Independent
>> or other.
>>
>> The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were
>> proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad fiasco.
>> Out of the frying pan into the fire.
>>
>> More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>> > Now there you go again.....
>> >
>> > ;o)
>> >
>> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>> > news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...
>> >> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a
>> >> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without
>> >> that
>> >> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.
>> >>
>> >> Cheers,
>> >> -Jamie
>> >> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> DJ wrote:
>> >>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the
>> >>> native
>> >>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot
>> > as
>> >>> well.
>> >>>
>> >>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> >>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...
>> >>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
>> >>>>

>> >>>> Jimmy
>> >>>>
>> >>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>> >>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
>> >>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a
> CD-Rom
>> >>>> containing
>> >>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work.
> It
>> >>>> was
>> >>>>> labled "Data CD".
>> >>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols
>> > guy
>> >>>> could
>> >>>>> drag them in to his session.
>> >>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried
> to
>> >>>> listen
>> >>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it
>> >>> won't
>> >>>>> play".
>> >>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>> >>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
>> >>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your
>> >>>>> wife?"
>> >>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>> >>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Rod
>> >>>>>
>> >>>
>> >
>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 04:04:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Deej, you can read this long rant. But go here, too:

<http://www.votersunite.org/>

There is no bulletproof election system. I favor voting systems that are run publicly, not privately. I wouldn't outsource elections across the country to a few companies. It just invites corruption.

In Canada they vote with paper ballots. The count is quick and the opportunity for fraud is much less than with invisibly hackable computer-based systems.

An electronic voting machine with a removable memory card that can be easily hacked on site is the wrong way to go. Any system hackable via the internet is the wrong way to go. Any system with proprietary software that cannot be tested for vote altering routines is the wrong way to go. Any system that has a central counting server that can be hacked is the wrong way to go.

Beyond making fraud easier, and difficult or impossible to trace, computer-based systems also suffer software and hardware bugs and failures that could change vote totals accidentally.

Proposals to tie the strengths of each together include touchscreen systems that generate a voter-verifiable paper ballot. IF the paper ballot is what is actually counted, that has potential. Some of these schemes just have the paper as a backup for 1% random recounts in an attempt to catch fraud, but they use the electronic count as THE count. That is iffy. Some count paper ballots with scanners. The scanners can be hacked, so testing with manual counts is important.

Right now there are a few companies wining and dining county clerks and landing huge contracts. These companies count your vote. I've seen the claim that 80% of all votes in America are counted by only two companies: Diebold and ES&S. FWIW the vice president of Diebold and the president of ES&S are reportedly brothers.

You mentioned felons, it's been reported that Diebold has five felons on their development team and a Senior VP who was convicted of 23 counts of felony theft.

Proprietary code runs on the machines. No one is allowed to look at the code. Their technicians have way too much opportunity on-site and in tabulation centers because the county people don't know how to run them. Systems are inadequately tested and then given approval without real tests for security and reliability.

Why is this happening? Follow the money.

Computer-based voting machines are sold as a way to make elections easier for the clerks (clerks eat that up), but democracy isn't about being easy. They are taking advantage of HAVA laws that spend large

amounts of your federal tax money on systems that are supposed to be easier for handicapped voters, while making voting less secure and accurate for all voters. The handicapped voters I have heard speak about this do NOT like being used to promote poor systems. They want secure, accurate, voter-verifiably systems, too. It doesn't have to be one or the other.

Where I live, the county uses touchscreen voting systems with no paper trail. I have no idea if my vote is registering accurately or being counted at all. I testified about this in the state leg. We made some progress in Colorado but much more needs to be done.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

PS. Other kinds of fraud exist, too. There are fights about registration as you point out, and other tricks to slant the turnout. It just goes to show how much the temptation to cheat brings out cheating. No amount of fraud in one area justifies making the system worse in another. We shouldn't have to accept systems that invite invisible electronic fraud, especially knowing how strong the temptation is to cheat.

We're talking about the potential for hidden, major fraud opportunities in all elections on every level. Not just presidential/electoral college situations. It's not good.

DJ wrote:

> I'm with you there Jamie. It just seems like there is so much potential for
> fraud, not only with voting machines and punch cards but also with the
> additional problem of registration fraud and the inevitable muddying of the
> waters by the various interest groups who are always bitching about state
> laws which disallow those convicted of felons to vote. Rather than working
> to have the laws changed, they seem to want to keep them in place so that
> they can use them opportunistically at election time in order to paint the
> *establishment* as unfair and hard hearted. Then after the election is over,
> the whole issue is forgotten. It's incredibly hypocritical.
>
> What would you suggest as a bulletproof electoral system, keeping in mind
> that since this is a representative republic with an electoral college, the
> electors will have the final vote?
>
> Deej
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fce77a@linux...
>> Nice quote Deej, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still

>> around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private,
>> voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.
>>
>> As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're
>> not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and
>> taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green, Independent
>> or other.
>>
>> The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were
>> proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad fiasco.
>> Out of the frying pan into the fire.
>>
>> More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> Now there you go again.....
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...
>>>> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a
>>>> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without that
>>>> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the native
>>>>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot
> as
>>>>> well.
>>>>>
>>>>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...
>>>>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Jimmy
>>>>>>

>>>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
>>>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a
> CD-Rom
>>>>>> containing
>>>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work.
> It
>>>>> was
>>>>>> labled "Data CD".
>>>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols
>>> guy
>>>>>> could
>>>>>> drag them in to his session.
>>>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried
> to
>>>>>> listen
>>>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it
>>>>> won't
>>>>>> play".
>>>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>>>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
>>>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>>>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>>>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rod
>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 05:49:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paper ballots would be fine by me. Redundant oversight by election officials representing all parties would be fine too. I wouldn't give a flip if it took a week or two to count the votes. The media would probably hate this because of the *need it now* mindset, but too bad. I think exit polls should be outlawed. the voters need to be responsible enough to show up at the proper polling stations during the hours when the polls are open.....no excuses, no exceptions and no one should be allowed to vote without a voter registration and verifiable picture ID/ proof of citizenship. All voter registration should be completed 60 days so that registrants can be vetted

and validated.during that time. Provisional balloting should be severely restricted or disallowed altogether. If you can't prove who your are and that you have the right to vote and you aren't capable of keeping your affairs in order to properly exercise you voting right within the legal guidelines and timeframe, you're SOL.

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fd3595@linux...

>
> Deej, you can read this long rant. But go here, too:
>
> <http://www.votersunite.org/>
>
> There is no bulletproof election system. I favor voting systems that are
> run publicly, not privately. I wouldn't outsource elections across the
> country to a few companies. It just invites corruption.
>
> In Canada they vote with paper ballots. The count is quick and the
> opportunity for fraud is much less than with invisibly hackable
> computer-based systems.
>
> An electronic voting machine with a removable memory card that can be
> easily hacked on site is the wrong way to go. Any system hackable via
> the internet is the wrong way to go. Any system with proprietary
> software that cannot be tested for vote altering routines is the wrong
> way to go. Any system that has a central counting server that can be
> hacked is the wrong way to go.
>
> Beyond making fraud easier, and difficult or impossible to trace,
> computer-based systems also suffer software and hardware bugs and
> failures that could change vote totals accidentally.
>
> Proposals to tie the strengths of each together include touchscreen
> systems that generate a voter-verifiable paper ballot. IF the paper
> ballot is what is actually counted, that has potential. Some of these
> schemes just have the paper as a backup for 1% random recounts in an
> attempt to catch fraud, but they use the electronic count as THE count.
> That is iffy. Some count paper ballots with scanners. The scanners can
> be hacked, so testing with manual counts is important.
>
> Right now there are a few companies wining and dining county clerks and
> landing huge contracts. These companies count your vote. I've seen the
> claim that 80% of all votes in America are counted by only two
> companies: Diebold and ES&S. FWIW the vice president of Diebold and the
> president of ES&S are reportedly brothers.

>

> You mentioned felons, it's been reported that Diebold has five felons on
 > their development team and a Senior VP who was convicted of 23 counts of
 > felony theft.

>

> Proprietary code runs on the machines. No one is allowed to look at the
 > code. Their technicians have way too much opportunity on-site and in
 > tabulation centers because the county people don't know how to run them.
 > Systems are inadequately tested and then given approval without real
 > tests for security and reliability.

>

> Why is this happening? Follow the money.

>

> Computer-based voting machines are sold as a way to make elections
 > easier for the clerks (clerks eat that up), but democracy isn't about
 > being easy. They are taking advantage of HAVA laws that spend large
 > amounts of your federal tax money on systems that are supposed to be
 > easier for handicapped voters, while making voting less secure and
 > accurate for all voters. The handicapped voters I have heard speak about
 > this do NOT like being used to promote poor systems. They want secure,
 > accurate, voter-verifiably systems, too. It doesn't have to be one or
 > the other.

>

> Where I live, the county uses touchscreen voting systems with no paper
 > trail. I have no idea if my vote is registering accurately or being
 > counted at all. I testified about this in the state leg. We made some
 > progress in Colorado but much more needs to be done.

>

> Cheers,
 > -Jamie
 > <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>

> PS. Other kinds of fraud exist, too. There are fights about registration
 > as you point out, and other tricks to slant the turnout. It just goes to
 > show how much the temptation to cheat brings out cheating. No amount of
 > fraud in one area justifies making the system worse in another. We
 > shouldn't have to accept systems that invite invisible electronic fraud,
 > especially knowing how strong the temptation is to cheat.

>

> We're talking about the potential for hidden, major fraud opportunities
 > in all elections on every level. Not just presidential/electoral college
 > situations. It's not good.

>

>

> DJ wrote:

> > I'm with you there Jamie. It just seems like there is so much potential
 for

> > fraud, not only with voting machines and punch cards but also with the

> > additional problem of registration fraud and the inevitable muddying of the
> > waters by the various interest groups who are always bitching about state
> > laws which disallow those convicted of felons to vote. Rather than working
> > to have the laws changed, they seem to want to keep them in place so that
> > they can use them opportunistically at election time in order to paint the
> > *establishment* as unfair and hard hearted. Then after the election is over,
> > the whole issue is forgotten. It's incredibly hypocritical.
> >
> > What would you suggest as a bulletproof electoral system, keeping in mind
> > that since this is a representative republic with an electoral college, the
> > electors will have the final vote?
> >
> > Deej
> >
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fce77a@linux...
> >> Nice quote Deej, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still
> >> around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private,
> >> voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.
> >>
> >> As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're
> >> not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and
> >> taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green, Independent
> >> or other.
> >>
> >> The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were
> >> proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad
fiasco.
> >> Out of the frying pan into the fire.
> >>
> >> More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> DJ wrote:
> >>> Now there you go again.....

> >>>
 > >>> ;o)
 > >>>
 > >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
 > > news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...
 > >>>> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a
 > >>>> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without
 that
 > >>>> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.
 > >>>>
 > >>>> Cheers,
 > >>>> -Jamie
 > >>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
 > >>>>
 > >>>>
 > >>>> DJ wrote:
 > >>>>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the
 native
 > >>>>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot
 > > as
 > >>>>> well.
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
 > >>>>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...
 > >>>>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> Jimmy
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
 > >>>>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
 > >>>>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a
 > > CD-Rom
 > >>>>>>> containing
 > >>>>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other
 work.
 > > It
 > >>>>> was
 > >>>>>>> labled "Data CD".
 > >>>>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the
 protocols
 > >>> guy
 > >>>>>>> could
 > >>>>>>>> drag them in to his session.
 > >>>>>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just
 tried
 > > to
 > >>>>>>> listen
 > >>>>>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and

it
> >>>> won't
> >>>>> play".
> >>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
> >>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
> >>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your
wife?"
> >>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
> >>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Rod
> >>>>>
> >>>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 06:28:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJ wrote:

> Paper ballots would be fine by me. Redundant oversight by election officials
> representing all parties would be fine too. I wouldn't give a flip if it
> took a week or two to count the votes. The media would probably hate this
> because of the *need it now* mindset, but too bad.

Agreed, absolutely. And it's entirely possible to count paper ballots in
a few hours.

> I think exit polls should
> be outlawed.

That's a tough one. First amendment issue.

> the voters need to be responsible enough to show up at the
> proper polling stations during the hours when the polls are open.....no
> excuses, no exceptions and no one should be allowed to vote without a voter
> registration and verifiable picture ID/ proof of citizenship. All voter
> registration should be completed 60 days so that registrants can be vetted
> and validated.during that time. Provisional balloting should be severely
> restricted or disallowed altogether. If you can't prove who your are and
> that you have the right to vote and you aren't capable of keeping your
> affairs in order to
> properly exercise you voting right within the legal guidelines and

> timeframe, you're SOL.

I agree somewhat, except if shenanigans are being pulled to disenfranchise voters by underserving certain areas (long lines discourage voting), or if ID systems amount to a poll tax, which is illegal. ID is important, though. Also, 60 days is probably much longer than necessary.

We can agree or disagree here and there, but the gigantic issue towering over the rest is that the voting system is fast becoming untraceably corruptible on a very wide scale. That must **MUST** be fixed, and soon.

Unfortunately the chairman of the committee who needs to approve changes is blocking any attempt to fix HAVA, (he's from Ohio, home of Diebold). Counties are itching to spend HAVA money now if they haven't already, most interpret the law as mandating immediate spending which leaves them choosing insecure systems. It's not only a huge waste of tax money but a direct threat to your vote.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

> Deej

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fd3595@linux...

>> Deej, you can read this long rant. But go here, too:

>>

>> <http://www.votersunite.org/>

>>

>> There is no bulletproof election system. I favor voting systems that are
>> run publicly, not privately. I wouldn't outsource elections across the
>> country to a few companies. It just invites corruption.

>>

>> In Canada they vote with paper ballots. The count is quick and the
>> opportunity for fraud is much less than with invisibly hackable
>> computer-based systems.

>>

>> An electronic voting machine with a removable memory card that can be
>> easily hacked on site is the wrong way to go. Any system hackable via
>> the internet is the wrong way to go. Any system with proprietary
>> software that cannot be tested for vote altering routines is the wrong
>> way to go. Any system that has a central counting server that can be
>> hacked is the wrong way to go.

>>

>> Beyond making fraud easier, and difficult or impossible to trace,
>> computer-based systems also suffer software and hardware bugs and

>> failures that could change vote totals accidentally.
>>
>> Proposals to tie the strengths of each together include touchscreen
>> systems that generate a voter-verifiable paper ballot. IF the paper
>> ballot is what is actually counted, that has potential. Some of these
>> schemes just have the paper as a backup for 1% random recounts in an
>> attempt to catch fraud, but they use the electronic count as THE count.
>> That is iffy. Some count paper ballots with scanners. The scanners can
>> be hacked, so testing with manual counts is important.
>>
>> Right now there are a few companies wining and dining county clerks and
>> landing huge contracts. These companies count your vote. I've seen the
>> claim that 80% of all votes in America are counted by only two
>> companies: Diebold and ES&S. FWIW the vice president of Diebold and the
>> president of ES&S are reportedly brothers.
>>
>> You mentioned felons, it's been reported that Diebold has five felons on
>> their development team and a Senior VP who was convicted of 23 counts of
>> felony theft.
>>
>> Proprietary code runs on the machines. No one is allowed to look at the
>> code. Their technicians have way too much opportunity on-site and in
>> tabulation centers because the county people don't know how to run them.
>> Systems are inadequately tested and then given approval without real
>> tests for security and reliability.
>>
>> Why is this happening? Follow the money.
>>
>> Computer-based voting machines are sold as a way to make elections
>> easier for the clerks (clerks eat that up), but democracy isn't about
>> being easy. They are taking advantage of HAVA laws that spend large
>> amounts of your federal tax money on systems that are supposed to be
>> easier for handicapped voters, while making voting less secure and
>> accurate for all voters. The handicapped voters I have heard speak about
>> this do NOT like being used to promote poor systems. They want secure,
>> accurate, voter-verifiably systems, too. It doesn't have to be one or
>> the other.
>>
>> Where I live, the county uses touchscreen voting systems with no paper
>> trail. I have no idea if my vote is registering accurately or being
>> counted at all. I testified about this in the state leg. We made some
>> progress in Colorado but much more needs to be done.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>> PS. Other kinds of fraud exist, too. There are fights about registration

>> as you point out, and other tricks to slant the turnout. It just goes to
>> show how much the temptation to cheat brings out cheating. No amount of
>> fraud in one area justifies making the system worse in another. We
>> shouldn't have to accept systems that invite invisible electronic fraud,
>> especially knowing how strong the temptation is to cheat.
>>
>> We're talking about the potential for hidden, major fraud opportunities
>> in all elections on every level. Not just presidential/electoral college
>> situations. It's not good.
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> I'm with you there Jamie. It just seems like there is so much potential
> for
>>> fraud, not only with voting machines and punch cards but also with the
>>> additional problem of registration fraud and the inevitable muddying of
> the
>>> waters by the various interest groups who are always bitching about
> state
>>> laws which disallow those convicted of felons to vote. Rather than
> working
>>> to have the laws changed, they seem to want to keep them in place so
> that
>>> they can use them opportunistically at election time in order to paint
> the
>>> *establishment* as unfair and hard hearted. Then after the election is
> over,
>>> the whole issue is forgotten. It's incredibly hypocritical.
>>>
>>> What would you suggest as a bulletproof electoral system, keeping in
> mind
>>> that since this is a representative republic with an electoral college,
> the
>>> electors will have the final vote?
>>>
>>> Deej
>>>
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fce77a@linux...
>>>> Nice quote Deej, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still
>>>> around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private,
>>>> voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.
>>>>
>>>> As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're
>>>> not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and
>>>> taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green, Independent
>>>> or other.
>>>>

>>>> The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were
>>>> proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad
> fiasco.
>>>> Out of the frying pan into the fire.
>>>>
>>>> More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> Now there you go again.....
>>>>>
>>>>> ;o)
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...
>>>>>> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a
>>>>>> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without
> that
>>>>>> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the
> native
>>>>>>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot
>>> as
>>>>>>> well.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...
>>>>>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Jimmy
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
>>>>>>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a
>>>> CD-Rom
>>>>>>>>> containing

>>>>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other
> work.
>>> It
>>>>>>> was
>>>>>>> labled "Data CD".
>>>>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the
> protools
>>>>> guy
>>>>>>> could
>>>>>>> drag them in to his session.
>>>>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just
> tried
>>> to
>>>>>>> listen
>>>>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and
> it
>>>>>>> won't
>>>>>>> play".
>>>>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>>>>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
>>>>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your
> wife?"
>>>>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>>>>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Rod
>>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 16:51:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Non-electronic voting systems are usually used fraudulently in ham fisted
> ways like taking sacks of Joe Kennedy's cash to West Virginia to buy it
for
> his boy.

.....not to mention Uncle Sam (Giancana) giving his fellow mobster's
son a push in the right direction in Illinois.....and the dead have
participated in a few elections in south Texas.....and were fond of LBJ
IIRC.

;o).

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:43fde748\$1@linux...

>

> Bruce Schneir has written about this extensively. Both electronic and non-electronic

> voting systems can be used fraudulently, and both have been.

>

> http://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2004/11/the_problem_wit.html

>

> Non-electronic voting systems are usually used fraudulently in ham fisted

> ways like taking sacks of Joe Kennedy's cash to West Virginia to buy it for

> his boy. Electronic ones are used differently when fraud is the goal. Any

> rational US citizen should want accurate vote tallying and good electronic

> systems (as Schneir points out) can make that result _more_ likely. Bad systems

> almost assure bad tallies.

>

> BTW - Democrat bellyaching about voter fraud rings rather hollow to one with

> a solid understanding of US electoral history. Capitol D Democratic political

> machines all over the East Coast and in Chicago and Ohio regularly brokered

> presidential elections with deeply questionable tactics. The current Republican

> administrations are using similar tactics to those they suffered for years

> as the minority party. Payback's a bitch.

>

> I voted for Nader last time, in case anyone is wondering, because he actually

> _does_ want an accurate as opposed to winning vote count.

>

> TCB

>

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

> >

> > Nice quote DeeJ, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still

> > around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private,

> > voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.

> >

> > As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're

> > not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and

> > taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green, Independent

>

> > or other.

> >
> >The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were
> >proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad fiasco.
>
> >Out of the frying pan into the fire.
> >
> >More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>
> >
> >Cheers,
> > -Jamie
> > <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >
> >
> >
> >DJ wrote:
> >> Now there you go again.....
> >>
> >> ;o)
> >>
> >> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...
> >>> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a
> >>> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without
that
> >>> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.
> >>>
> >>> Cheers,
> >>> -Jamie
> >>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> DJ wrote:
> >>>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the
native
> >>>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot
> as
> >>>> well.
> >>>>
> >>>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> >>>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...
> >>>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Jimmy
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> >>>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
> >>>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a
CD-Rom

> >>>> containing
> >>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work.
> It
> >>>> was
> >>>>> labled "Data CD".
> >>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols
> >> guy
> >>>>> could
> >>>>> drag them in to his session.
> >>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried
> to
> >>>>> listen
> >>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it
> >>>> won't
> >>>>> play".
> >>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
> >>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
> >>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your
wife?"
> >>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
> >>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Rod
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>
> >>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 17:48:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bruce Schneir has written about this extensively. Both electronic and non-electronic voting systems can be used fradulently, and both have been.

[http://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2004/11/the_problem_wi t.html](http://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2004/11/the_problem_wi_t.html)

Non-electronic voting systems are usually used fraudulently in ham fisted ways like taking sacks of Joe Kennedy's cash to West Virginia to buy it for his boy. Electronic ones are used differently when fraud is the goal. Any rational US citizen should want accurate vote tallying and good electronic systems (as Schneir points out) can make that result more likely. Bad systems almost assure bad tallies.

BTW - Democrat bellyaching about voter fraud rings rather hollow to one with a solid understanding of US electoral history. Capitol D Democratic political machines all over the East Coast and in Chicago and Ohio regularly brokered presidential elections with deeply questionable tactics. The current Republican administrations are using similar tactics to those they suffered for years as the minority party. Payback's a bitch.

I voted for Nader last time, in case anyone is wondering, because he actually does want an accurate as opposed to winning vote count.

TCB

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>Nice quote DeeJ, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still
>around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private,
>voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.

>

>As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're
>not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and
>taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green, Independent

>or other.

>

>The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were
>proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad fiasco.

>Out of the frying pan into the fire.

>

>More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>

>

>Cheers,

> -Jamie

> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>

>

>

>DJ wrote:

>> Now there you go again.....

>>

>> ;o)

>>

>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...

>>> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a

>>> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without that

>>> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.

>>>

>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>
>>>
>>> DJ wrote:
>>>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the native
>>>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot
as
>>>> well.
>>>>
>>>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...
>>>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
>>>>>
>>>>> Jimmy
>>>>>
>>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
>>>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
>>>>>> containing
>>>>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work.
It
>>>>> was
>>>>>> labled "Data CD".
>>>>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protools
>> guy
>>>>>> could
>>>>>>> drag them in to his session.
>>>>>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried
to
>>>>>>>> listen
>>>>>>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and it
>>>>> won't
>>>>>>> play".
>>>>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>>>>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
>>>>>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your wife?"
>>>>>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>>>>>>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Rod
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>

>>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 17:57:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You hit the nail on the head again DJ. To quote the incredibly profound movie Spiderman ;>), "With great power comes great responsibility." Yeh, I know it's corny, but it's true. As soon as you start talking about people being responsible though, someone starts whining about being disenfranchised. It takes too much time and effort and basic intelligence to be responsible yada, yada, yada. Ok, rant over.

Tony

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:43fd4e12@linux...

> Paper ballots would be fine by me. Redundant oversight by election
> officials
> representing all parties would be fine too. I wouldn't give a flip if it
> took a week or two to count the votes. The media would probably hate this
> because of the *need it now* mindset, but too bad. I think exit polls
> should
> be outlawed. the voters need to be responsible enough to show up at the
> proper polling stations during the hours when the polls are open.....no
> excuses, no exceptions and no one should be allowed to vote without a
> voter
> registration and verifiable picture ID/ proof of citizenship. All voter
> registration should be completed 60 days so that registrants can be vetted
> and validated.during that time. Provisional balloting should be severely
> restricted or disallowed altogether. If you can't prove who your are and
> that you have the right to vote and you aren't capable of keeping your
> affairs in order to
> properly exercise you voting right within the legal guidelines and
> timeframe, you're SOL.
>
> Deej
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43fd3595@linux...
>>
>> Deej, you can read this long rant. But go here, too:
>>
>> <http://www.votersunite.org/>
>>
>> There is no bulletproof election system. I favor voting systems that are

>> run publicly, not privately. I wouldn't outsource elections across the
>> country to a few companies. It just invites corruption.
>>
>> In Canada they vote with paper ballots. The count is quick and the
>> opportunity for fraud is much less than with invisibly hackable
>> computer-based systems.
>>
>> An electronic voting machine with a removable memory card that can be
>> easily hacked on site is the wrong way to go. Any system hackable via
>> the internet is the wrong way to go. Any system with proprietary
>> software that cannot be tested for vote altering routines is the wrong
>> way to go. Any system that has a central counting server that can be
>> hacked is the wrong way to go.
>>
>> Beyond making fraud easier, and difficult or impossible to trace,
>> computer-based systems also suffer software and hardware bugs and
>> failures that could change vote totals accidentally.
>>
>> Proposals to tie the strengths of each together include touchscreen
>> systems that generate a voter-verifiable paper ballot. IF the paper
>> ballot is what is actually counted, that has potential. Some of these
>> schemes just have the paper as a backup for 1% random recounts in an
>> attempt to catch fraud, but they use the electronic count as THE count.
>> That is iffy. Some count paper ballots with scanners. The scanners can
>> be hacked, so testing with manual counts is important.
>>
>> Right now there are a few companies wining and dining county clerks and
>> landing huge contracts. These companies count your vote. I've seen the
>> claim that 80% of all votes in America are counted by only two
>> companies: Diebold and ES&S. FWIW the vice president of Diebold and the
>> president of ES&S are reportedly brothers.
>>
>> You mentioned felons, it's been reported that Diebold has five felons on
>> their development team and a Senior VP who was convicted of 23 counts of
>> felony theft.
>>
>> Proprietary code runs on the machines. No one is allowed to look at the
>> code. Their technicians have way too much opportunity on-site and in
>> tabulation centers because the county people don't know how to run them.
>> Systems are inadequately tested and then given approval without real
>> tests for security and reliability.
>>
>> Why is this happening? Follow the money.
>>
>> Computer-based voting machines are sold as a way to make elections
>> easier for the clerks (clerks eat that up), but democracy isn't about
>> being easy. They are taking advantage of HAVA laws that spend large
>> amounts of your federal tax money on systems that are supposed to be

>> easier for handicapped voters, while making voting less secure and
>> accurate for all voters. The handicapped voters I have heard speak about
>> this do NOT like being used to promote poor systems. They want secure,
>> accurate, voter-verifiably systems, too. It doesn't have to be one or
>> the other.

>>

>> Where I live, the county uses touchscreen voting systems with no paper
>> trail. I have no idea if my vote is registering accurately or being
>> counted at all. I testified about this in the state leg. We made some
>> progress in Colorado but much more needs to be done.

>>

>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>

>> PS. Other kinds of fraud exist, too. There are fights about registration
>> as you point out, and other tricks to slant the turnout. It just goes to
>> show how much the temptation to cheat brings out cheating. No amount of
>> fraud in one area justifies making the system worse in another. We
>> shouldn't have to accept systems that invite invisible electronic fraud,
>> especially knowing how strong the temptation is to cheat.

>>

>> We're talking about the potential for hidden, major fraud opportunities
>> in all elections on every level. Not just presidential/electoral college
>> situations. It's not good.

>>

>>

>> DJ wrote:

>> > I'm with you there Jamie. It just seems like there is so much potential
> for
>> > fraud, not only with voting machines and punch cards but also with the
>> > additional problem of registration fraud and the inevitable muddying of
> the
>> > waters by the various interest groups who are always bitching about
> state
>> > laws which disallow those convicted of felons to vote. Rather than
> working
>> > to have the laws changed, they seem to want to keep them in place so
> that
>> > they can use them opportunistically at election time in order to paint
> the
>> > *establishment* as unfair and hard hearted. Then after the election is
> over,
>> > the whole issue is forgotten. It's incredibly hypocritical.

>> >

>> > What would you suggest as a bulletproof electoral system, keeping in
> mind
>> > that since this is a representative republic with an electoral college,

> the
>> > electors will have the final vote?
>> >
>> > Deej
>> >
>> >
>> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>> > news:43fce77a@linux...
>> >> Nice quote Deej, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still
>> >> around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private,
>> >> voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.
>> >>
>> >> As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're
>> >> not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and
>> >> taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green,
>> >> Independent
>> >> or other.
>> >>
>> >> The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were
>> >> proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad
> fiasco.
>> >> Out of the frying pan into the fire.
>> >>
>> >> More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>
>> >>
>> >> Cheers,
>> >> -Jamie
>> >> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> DJ wrote:
>> >>> Now there you go again.....
>> >>>
>> >>> ;o)
>> >>>
>> >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>> > news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...
>> >>>> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement a
>> >>>> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without
> that
>> >>>> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Cheers,
>> >>>> -Jamie
>> >>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>

>> >>>> DJ wrote:

>> >>>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the

> native

>> >>>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a

>> >>>> ballot

>> > as

>> >>>> well.

>> >>>>

>> >>>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

>> >>>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...

>> >>>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.

>> >>>>>

>> >>>>> Jimmy

>> >>>>>

>> >>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message

>> >>>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...

>> >>>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a

>> > CD-Rom

>> >>>>> containing

>> >>>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other

> work.

>> > It

>> >>>>> was

>> >>>>>> labled "Data CD".

>> >>>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the

> protocols

>> >>> guy

>> >>>>> could

>> >>>>>> drag them in to his session.

>> >>>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just

> tried

>> > to

>> >>>>>> listen

>> >>>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and

> it

>> >>>>> won't

>> >>>>>> play".

>> >>>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"

>> >>>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"

>> >>>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your

> wife?"

>> >>>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"

>> >>>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.

>> >>>>>>

>> >>>>>>

>> >>>>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.

>> >>>>>>

>> >>>>>> Rod

>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>
>> >
>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 18:01:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The dead _still_ vote in New Haven. A lot of them. We're one of the last Democratic machine towns in the US. The city council is 1 Republican, 17 Democrats, and two independents who caucus with the Dems. It's nuts.

Read the Schneier article. It's long but it's really good and he wrote the blowfish algorithm (and was almost prosecuted for it) so his security/privacy bona fides are unimpeachable.

TCB

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> Non-electronic voting systems are usually used fraudulently in ham fisted
>> ways like taking sacks of Joe Kennedy's cash to West Virginia to buy it
>for
>> his boy.

>
>.....not to mention Uncle Sam (Giancana) giving his fellow mobster's
>son a push in the right direction in Illinois.....and the dead have
>participated in a few elections in south Texas.....and were fond of LBJ
>IIRC.

>
>;o).
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:43fde748\$1@linux...

>>
>> Bruce Schneier has written about this extensively. Both electronic and
>non-electronic
>> voting systems can be used fraudulently, and both have been.

>>
>> http://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2004/11/the_problem_wi_t.html

>>
>> Non-electronic voting systems are usually used fraudulently in ham fisted
>> ways like taking sacks of Joe Kennedy's cash to West Virginia to buy it
>for
>> his boy. Electronic ones are used differently when fraud is the goal.

Any

>> rational US citizen should want accurate vote tallying and good electronic
>> systems (as Schneir points out) can make that result _more_ likely. Bad
>systems
>> almost assure bad tallies.
>>
>> BTW - Democrat bellyaching about voter fraud rings rather hollow to one
>with
>> a solid understanding of US electoral history. Capitol D Democratic
>political
>> machines all over the East Coast and in Chicago and Ohio regularly
>brokered
>> presidential elections with deeply questionable tactics. The current
>Republican
>> administrations are using similar tactics to those they suffered for years
>> as the minority party. Payback's a bitch.
>>
>> I voted for Nader last time, in case anyone is wondering, because he
>actually
>> _does_ want an accurate as opposed to winning vote count.
>>
>> TCB
>>
>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >Nice quote DeeJ, that takes me back. I think if Ronnie were still
>> >around, he would be in strong support of reliable, secure, private,
>> >voter-verified voting systems in the U. S. of A.
>> >
>> >As would any red blooded freedom loving American, like yourself. We're
>> >not there at the moment and it's not a good thing for any voter and
>> >taxpayer, be they Libertarian, Democrat, Republican, Green, Independent
>>
>> >or other.
>> >
>> >The unfortunate thing is that non-secure, non-verifiable systems were
>> >proposed and actually adopted in some places to replace the chad fiasco.
>>
>> >Out of the frying pan into the fire.
>> >
>> >More here: <http://www.blackboxvoting.org>
>> >
>> >Cheers,
>> > -Jamie
>> > <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >DJ wrote:

>> >> Now there you go again.....
>> >>
>> >> ;o)
>> >>
>> >> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>news:43fcd7c0\$1@linux...
>> >>> What about those who don't have the civic mindedness to implement
a
>> >>> reliable, secure, private, voter-verifiable voting system? Without
>that
>> >>> it doesn't matter who votes, it only matters who counts the votes.
>> >>>
>> >>> Cheers,
>> >>> -Jamie
>> >>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> DJ wrote:
>> >>>> I agree. I think this should extend to those who don't have the
>native
>> >>>> intelligence to sign/punch/or otherwise properly manipulate a ballot
>> as
>> >>>> well.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> >>>> news:43fa69db\$1@linux...
>> >>>>> Most humans are stupid, and shouldn't have breeding rights.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Jimmy
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>> news:43f9d6fe\$1@linux...
>> >>>>>> A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a
>CD-Rom
>> >>>>>> containing
>> >>>>>>> wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work.
>> It
>> >>>>>>> was
>> >>>>>>>> labled "Data CD".
>> >>>>>>>> I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols
>> >> guy
>> >>>>>>>> could
>> >>>>>>>>> drag them in to his session.
>> >>>>>>>>>> Five minutes after he left my house he called, saying "I just tried
>> to
>> >>>>>>>>>> listen
>> >>>>>>>>>>> to this in my wife's car, and she has a REAL good CD player, and
it

>> >>>> won't
>> >>>>> play".
>> >>>>> To which I say....."It's a DATA CD"
>> >>>>> "Oh....it's a data CD?"
>> >>>>> "Yes, whould you like an audio CD so you can play it for your
>wife?"
>> >>>>> "Yes, maybe that's a good idea"
>> >>>>> So he came right back and I burnt an audio CD for him.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> The things you have to put up with sometimes.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Rod
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>
>> >>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 18:09:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:43fde748\$1@linux...

> BTW - Democrat bellyaching about voter fraud rings rather hollow to one
> with
> a solid understanding of US electoral history. Capitol D Democratic
> political
> machines all over the East Coast and in Chicago and Ohio regularly
> brokered
> presidential elections with deeply questionable tactics. The current
> Republican
> administrations are using similar tactics to those they suffered for years
> as the minority party. Payback's a bitch.

Does anyone know the term for making a partisan issue out of an issue that
should be of universal concern?

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 19:17:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Agreed that it should be of universal concern. However, that's absolutely correct about the historical aspect and the historical aspect is definitely partisan.

"justcron" <paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote in message
news:43fdfbbc\$1@linux...

>
> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:43fde748\$1@linux...
>
> > BTW - Democrat bellyaching about voter fraud rings rather hollow to one
> > with
> > a solid understanding of US electoral history. Capitol D Democratic
> > political
> > machines all over the East Coast and in Chicago and Ohio regularly
> > brokered
> > presidential elections with deeply questionable tactics. The current
> > Republican
> > administrations are using similar tactics to those they suffered for
years
> > as the minority party. Payback's a bitch.
>
> Does anyone know the term for making a partisan issue out of an issue that
> should be of universal concern?
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 19:41:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

speaking of historical aspects... this goes back to your earlier posts:
<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eugenics>

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:43fe0b8d@linux...

> Agreed that it should be of universal concern. However, that's absolutely
> correct about the historical aspect and the historical aspect is
> definitely
> partisan.
>
> "justcron" <paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote in message
> news:43fdfbbc\$1@linux...
>>
>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:43fde748\$1@linux...
>>
>> > BTW - Democrat bellyaching about voter fraud rings rather hollow to one
>> > with

>> > a solid understanding of US electoral history. Capitol D Democratic
>> > political
>> > machines all over the East Coast and in Chicago and Ohio regularly
>> > brokered
>> > presidential elections with deeply questionable tactics. The current
>> > Republican
>> > administrations are using similar tactics to those they suffered for
> years
>> > as the minority party. Payback's a bitch.
>>
>> Does anyone know the term for making a partisan issue out of an issue
>> that
>> should be of universal concern?
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [tubeguru](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 20:04:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

justcron wrote:

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:43fde748\$1@linux...
>
>
>
>>BTW - Democrat bellyaching about voter fraud rings rather hollow to one
>>with
>>a solid understanding of US electoral history. Capitol D Democratic
>>political
>>machines all over the East Coast and in Chicago and Ohio regularly
>>brokered
>>presidential elections with deeply questionable tactics. The current
>>Republican
>>administrations are using similar tactics to those they suffered for years
>>as the minority party. Payback's a bitch.
>>
>>
>
>Does anyone know the term for making a partisan issue out of an issue that
>should be of universal concern?
>
>
>
>

I believe it's called politics as usual.....

Mike

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 23 Feb 2006 23:32:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Do you think that an entity with so little native intelligence that they cannot function on a level sufficient to allow them to cast a ballot for/against something should be allowed a voice in determining the future government of this country? Sorry, but people who have been legally adjudicated non-compos-mentis are not allowed to vote. Unfortunately, there are many around who are non-compos-mentis, but have not been legally so adjudicated. However, by their obvious inability to function on a competent level, they self-adjudicate, so to speak.

My comments have nothing to do with race. I do have reservations about Darwin Award candidates having a hand in determining my future. If that makes me a Eugenicist in your eyes, then so be it.

All I'm saying is that if you can't get it together to get something so simple right the first time, then you don't have the wherewithall to be a part of the decision making process.

Deej

"justcron" <paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote in message news:43fe1165@linux...

> speaking of historical aspects... this goes back to your earlier posts:

> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eugenics>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:43fe0b8d@linux...

> > Agreed that it should be of universal concern. However, that's absolutely

> > correct about the historical aspect and the historical aspect is

> > definitely

> > partisan.

> >

> > "justcron" <paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote in message

> > news:43fdfbbc\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:43fde748\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> > BTW - Democrat bellyaching about voter fraud rings rather hollow to one

> >> > with

> >> > a solid understanding of US electoral history. Capitol D Democratic

> >> > political

> > > machines all over the East Coast and in Chicago and Ohio regularly
> > > brokered
> > > presidential elections with deeply questionable tactics. The current
> > > Republican
> > > administrations are using similar tactics to those they suffered for
> > years
> > > as the minority party. Payback's a bitch.
> >
> > Does anyone know the term for making a partisan issue out of an issue
> > that
> > should be of universal concern?
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

Subject: Re: The things you have to put up with sometimes
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Fri, 24 Feb 2006 00:54:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good point, cron.

What history shows is that if people can cheat, they will. Doesn't matter the political party. There are plenty of examples to establish the problem.

But what's happening now is the creation of pervasive computer-based systems that offer the following irresistible temptation: Cheating that is potentially easy, quick, undetectable and coast to coast.

For every election.

Dead men voting is child's play compared to this.

I don't care what your political leanings are, if you believe in representative government this is a DISASTER.

Here's another web site:
<http://www.votetrustusa.org/>

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

justcron wrote:

> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:43fde748\$1@linux...

>

>> BTW - Democrat bellyaching about voter fraud rings rather hollow to one

>> with

>> a solid understanding of US electoral history. Capitol D Democratic

>> political

>> machines all over the East Coast and in Chicago and Ohio regularly

>> brokered

>> presidential elections with deeply questionable tactics. The current

>> Republican

>> administrations are using similar tactics to those they suffered for years

>> as the minority party. Payback's a bitch.

>

> Does anyone know the term for making a partisan issue out of an issue that

> should be of universal concern?

>

>
