
Subject: LaMont was right...

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 20:58:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>

This is not good...

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...

Posted by [Deej](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 21:02:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.

;o)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message [news:4783d602\\$1@linux...](news:4783d602$1@linux...)

>
> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>
>
> This is not good...

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...

Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 21:11:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah - check the forum on that link - there are some interesting arguments against it. BUT, this stuff will need to be tested at some level - we will need to know more about these candidates.

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message [news:4783e5ba\\$1@linux...](news:4783e5ba$1@linux...)

> You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.
>
> ;o)
>
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message [news:4783d602\\$1@linux...](news:4783d602$1@linux...)
>>
>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>
>>
>> This is not good...
>

>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 21:21:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not that I only go off my impressions, but I will say that my impression of RP is not one of a racist or neo-Nazi. My impression of Bush in 2000 was an ignorant self-entitled trust-fund baby who lacked any real vision. Now, I don't agree with a few of RP's positions, but I don't get the vibe he's even remotely as dangerous as Bush has been.

peace!,
-Carl

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4783e5ba\$1@linux...
> You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.
>
> ;o)
>
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4783d602\$1@linux...
>>
>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>
>>
>> This is not good...
>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 21:24:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If it's really true - then you are correct. I have doubts of that article from my own impressions of RP, but I suppose we'll see.

-Carl

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4783e896\$1@linux...
>
> This article and those newsletters are a bombshell, despite
> the spin in the forum.
>
> DC

>
> "Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Yeah - check the forum on that link - there are some interesting arguments
>
>> against it. BUT, this stuff will need to be tested at some level - we will
>
>> need to know more about these candidates.
>>
>>
>> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4783e5ba\$1@linux...
>>> You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4783d602\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720 -ac15-4532a7da84ca
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> This is not good...
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 22:18:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This article and those newsletters are a bombshell, despite the spin in the forum.

DC

"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Yeah - check the forum on that link - there are some interesting arguments

> against it. BUT, this stuff will need to be tested at some level - we will

> need to know more about these candidates.
>
>
> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4783e5ba\$1@linux...
>> You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.
>>
>> ;o)

>>
>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4783d602\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720 -ac15-4532a7da84ca
>>>
>>>
>>> This is not good...
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 22:38:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think RP is a raving KKK-type racist or seperatist.

I do think the newsletters show that he has some loony ideas like defending secession, the old south, and attacking Lincoln with the same "unjust war" rhetoric he uses against Iraq. I doubt too many of the anti-Iraq war crowd want to sign on for that.

To defend the south is to say that government has no business trying to end slavery. Now just imagine what would have happened to the south had slavery still been around in say 1915 or so.

1964?

The resulting war would have been even worse than the Civil War and would have been indeed a race war.

The amorality of these people, in the name of small-government is truly astonishing. If there is one thing government is FOR it is protecting all of its citizens against the ruthless.

So, if RP isn't a kluxer, fine. But he did not stand against them did he??

This may be the end of RP as a candidate.

DC

"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Not that I only go off my impressions, but I will say that my impression
of
>RP is not one of a racist or neo-Nazi. My impression of Bush in 2000 was
an
>ignorant self-entitled trust-fund baby who lacked any real vision. Now,
I
>don't agree with a few of RP's positions, but I don't get the vibe he's
even
>remotely as dangerous as Bush has been.
>
>peace!,
>-Carl
>
>
>"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4783e5ba\$1@linux...
>> You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.
>>
>> ;o)
>>
>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4783d602\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>>
>>>
>>> This is not good...
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 22:59:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's not a bombshell if you've been following libertarian politics for any length of time. I've been reading the Rockwell site for years, don't agree with all of it, or even most of it, but there aren't many places and anti-empire conservative can hang out these days.

And don't forget, this article even states that it doesn't appear that RP wrote any of this, though obviously he needs to take the hit for having his name on the publication. Second, The New Republic was once a respectable middle left paper that has become a fiercely neo-con rag. It's right up there with the Weekly Standard as a warmongering mouthpiece for Cheney's office. They'd accuse RP of molesting boys if they thought it might stick, regardless of the validity of the claim.

Mind you, I'm not endorsing RP, I happen to agree with him on a lot of foreign policy issues, as I'm a traditional conservative.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>This article and those newsletters are a bombshell, despite
>the spin in the forum.
>
>DC
>
>"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>Yeah - check the forum on that link - there are some interesting arguments
>
>>against it. BUT, this stuff will need to be tested at some level - we will
>
>>need to know more about these candidates.
>>
>>
>>"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4783e5ba\$1@linux...
>>> You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4783d602\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> This is not good...
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 23:11:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Frankly, the whole game of smearing the source means nothing whatsoever if he wrote, or was responsible for these things. If the research holds up, it could have come from anywhere and it makes no difference at all.

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>It's not a bombshell if you've been following libertarian politics for any
>length of time. I've been reading the Rockwell site for years, don't agree
>with all of it, or even most of it, but there aren't many places and anti-empire
>conservative can hang out these days.

>

>And don't forget, this article even states that it doesn't appear that RP
>wrote any of this, though obviously he needs to take the hit for having
his

>name on the publication. Second, The New Republic was once a respectable
>middle left paper that has become a fiercely neo-con rag. It's right up
there

>with the Weekly Standard as a warmongering mouthpiece for Cheney's office.
>They'd accuse RP of molesting boys if they thought it might stick, regardless
>of the validity of the claim.

>

>Mind you, I'm not endorsing RP, I happen to agree with him on a lot of foreign
>policy issues, as I'm a traditional conservative.

>

>TCB

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>This article and those newsletters are a bombshell, despite
>>the spin in the forum.

>>

>>DC

>>

>>"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>Yeah - check the forum on that link - there are some interesting arguments

>>

>>>against it. BUT, this stuff will need to be tested at some level - we
will

>>

>>>need to know more about these candidates.

>>>

>>>

>>>"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4783e5ba\$1@linux...

>>>> You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.

>>>>

>>>> ;o)

>>>>

>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4783d602\$1@linux...

>>>>>

>>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> This is not good...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 23:12:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This guy was on the news last night blasting Ron Paul, when they asked him if he could prove that Ron Paul had said all these things he couldn't. He went on from there to continue his hearsay hatchet job. It was vary venomous with nothing much to back it up. Keep in mind, there are a lot of deep pockets that would have a lot to lose if Ron Paul would get in to office. The Dems. and the Reps. are the same thing, they are both in bed with the media and big business etc. Don't forget they said Ross Perot was a nut, a kook etc. Perot said if we signed NAFTA, let China in to the WTO, and didn't get rid of the foreign lobbyist we'd hear a huge sucking sound, well we are hearing it loud and clear here in Detroit and around the country. According to them, anybody that wants to change the corrupt way washington is run is a nut.

If you believe in the constitution, if you believe that government should be for WE THE PEOPLE and not the filthy rich monopolistic corporations and foreign governments, if you believe in freedom, equal rights, etc. you might want to think about voting for Ron Paul. At least go check out his web site and read it for yourself. <http://www.ronpaul2008.com>

If you like being lied to, if you like being treated like a mushroom, kept in the dark and fed bullshit, If you like the way this war has been managed, if you like having your country in debt to communist China, if you want a government that is bought and run by the dirtiest lobbyist with the most money, if you like having more and more of you rights taken away, more and more of your money taken away for things you don't believe in, if your Ok with the status quo, just vote in your favorite Democrat or republican and you'll get more of what you've been getting.

They're trying to do a hatchet job on Ron Paul by saying he is a kook and a racest. It's a drive by, what's new?

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>

> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>
>
>This is not good...

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 23:15:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>They're trying to do a hatchet job on Ron Paul by saying he is a kook and
>a racest. It's a drive by, what's new?

<http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=74978161-f730-43a2-91c3-de262573a129>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 23:21:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm sure Ron Paul was not and is not for slavery. I think he feels that states have the right to govern them selves and I believe that is what he was referring to., but I can't speak for him. Since we on the subject, I guess Robert Byrd is Ok, right?

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>I don't think RP is a raving KKK-type racist or seperatist.
>
>I do think the newsletters show that he has some loony ideas like
>defending secession, the old south, and attacking Lincoln
>with the same "unjust war" rhetoric he uses against Iraq.
>I doubt too many of the anti-Iraq war crowd want to
>sign on for that.
>
>To defend the south is to say that government has no
>business trying to end slavery. Now just imagine what would have
>happened to the south had slavery still been around in say 1915
>or so.
>
>1964?
>
>The resulting war would have been even worse than the
>Civil War and would have been indeed a race war.
>

>The amorality of these people, in the name of small-government
>is truly astonishing. If there is one thing government is FOR it
>is protecting all of its citizens against the ruthless.
>
>So, if RP isn't a kluxer, fine. But he did not stand against them
>did he??
>
>This may be the end of RP as a candidate.
>
>DC
>
>
>"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>Not that I only go off my impressions, but I will say that my impression
>of
>>RP is not one of a racist or neo-Nazi. My impression of Bush in 2000 was
>an
>>ignorant self-entitled trust-fund baby who lacked any real vision. Now,
>I
>>don't agree with a few of RP's positions, but I don't get the vibe he's
>even
>>remotely as dangerous as Bush has been.
>>
>>peace!,
>>-Carl
>>
>>
>>"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4783e5ba\$1@linux...
>>> You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4783d602\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> This is not good...
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 23:33:06 GMT

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>I'm sure Ron Paul was not and is not for slavery. I think he feels that states

>have the right to govern them selves and I believe that is what he was referring

>to., but I can't speak for him. Since we on the subject, I guess Robert

>Byrd is Ok, right?

Not running for president. And NO, he is not OK.

The issue is not whether RP has a sheet outfit in his closet, it is about what the legitimate role of the federal government is.

You can believe in small govt; that gov't ought to only build roads, maintain a defense-only army, etc etc and still believe that ending slavery was a virtuous act.

If you do not see that we did the right thing in the Civil War, then the voting public should know that about you.

DC

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 09 Jan 2008 04:27:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As well, the game of smearing Ron Paul is an obvious way to distract from his direct claims about the corruption of currently powerful corporate and government interests.

More smearing to come, no doubt. The game is clearly on.

Cheers,

-Jamie

www.JamieKruz.com

DC wrote:

> Frankly, the whole game of smearing the source means

> nothing whatsoever if he wrote, or was responsible for these

> things. If the research holds up, it could have come from

> anywhere and it makes no difference at all.

>

> DC

>
>
>
> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>> It's not a bombshell if you've been following libertarian politics for any
>> length of time. I've been reading the Rockwell site for years, don't agree
>> with all of it, or even most of it, but there aren't many places and anti-empire
>> conservative can hang out these days.
>>
>> And don't forget, this article even states that it doesn't appear that RP
>> wrote any of this, though obviously he needs to take the hit for having
> his
>> name on the publication. Second, The New Republic was once a respectable
>> middle left paper that has become a fiercely neo-con rag. It's right up
> there
>> with the Weekly Standard as a warmongering mouthpiece for Cheney's office.
>> They'd accuse RP of molesting boys if they thought it might stick, regardless
>> of the validity of the claim.
>>
>> Mind you, I'm not endorsing RP, I happen to agree with him on a lot of foreign
>> policy issues, as I'm a traditional conservative.
>>
>> TCB
>>
>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>> This article and those newsletters are a bombshell, despite
>>> the spin in the forum.
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>> "Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotNOSPAMmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Yeah - check the forum on that link - there are some interesting arguments
>>>> against it. BUT, this stuff will need to be tested at some level - we
> will
>>>> need to know more about these candidates.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4783e5ba\$1@linux...
>>>>> You know you can't trust these neo-con publications Don.
>>>>>
>>>>> ;o)
>>>>>
>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4783d602\$1@linux...
>>>>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> This is not good...
>>>>>>

>>>>

>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...

Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Wed, 09 Jan 2008 19:24:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LaMont, I very much value your opinion. I still need more info about RP, myself. There is one thing he has stated, I believe it's on his website video issues, in which he's explaining his support for guns that, to me, gives some merit to your view. He mentions that we wouldn't have as much violence in inner-cities if we had *more* guns - you'll have to watch it for a more direct quote in context. I found it strange. I also don't agree with support for more guns.

peace!,
-Carl

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47851c76\$1@linux...

>

> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code word
> of Racist. I's a disguised language of codes words. When interviews by
> Russert,
> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about his
> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..

>

> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about the
> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude whats to take America
> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore, he
> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..

> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>

>>

>>

>>This is not good...

>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Wed, 09 Jan 2008 20:11:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code word of Racist. I's a disguised language of codes words. When interviews by Russert, he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about his

past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..

He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about the Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take America back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore, he is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>
>
>This is not good...

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 09 Jan 2008 21:21:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The status quo liberals don't like him because they know he would cut out government hand out programs, especially the ones based on race. So now they are calling him a racist. It's a hatchet job.

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code word
>of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviewed by Russert,
>he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about his
>past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>
>He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about the
>Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take America
>back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore, he
>is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>
>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>
>>
>>This is not good...
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Wed, 09 Jan 2008 21:45:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep..Nothing wrong with status quo programs that are meant to do good. But, he

is a racist.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>The status quo liberals don't like him because they know he would cut out
>government hand out programs, especially the ones based on race. So now
>they are calling him a racist. It's a hatchet job.

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code word
>>of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviewed by
Russert,
>>he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about his
>>past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..

>>

>>He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about
the

>>Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take America
>>back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore, he
>>is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..

>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>>

>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>

>>>

>>>

>>>This is not good...

>>

>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Wed, 09 Jan 2008 22:05:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

James, even the term "Liberals" is a coded word. The Republicans have demonized that word into meaning (now Under the Bush admin) as un-Patriotic, un-American, anybody who is a Democrat, gay, or Black.

These labels do nothing but continue to divide us as a nation. Terms like Evangelicals, Conservatives..yada yada..Rediculous!

Come on now. It's 2008 for pete's sake!!

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>The status quo liberals don't like him because they know he would cut out
>government hand out programs, especially the ones based on race. So now
>they are calling him a racist. It's a hatchet job.
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code word
>>of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviewed by
Russert,
>>he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about his
>>past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>
>>He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about
the
>>Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take America
>>back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore, he
>>is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>>
>>>
>>>This is not good...
>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 09 Jan 2008 22:40:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lamont,

What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know those words.

Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
I did not catch that.

What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree with that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be racial, religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or may not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.

LaMont wrote:

> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code word
> of Racist. It's a disguised language of code words. When interviewed by Russert,
> he started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about his
> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>
> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about the
> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take America
> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore, he
> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>
>>
>> This is not good..
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Wed, 09 Jan 2008 23:58:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know RP's views on race personally (and neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views we would all object to, but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy politics" makes them racist is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.

His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well be because it is failing to do its job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution, but keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain). Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly more successful currently (I have inside info to know this).

Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying to change the education system from the inside out, starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools across the US have an average of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level? That's basic reading and math - 80% are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level, but a lower than realistic level. I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad the education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape. Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools

systems, not political parties.

Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers, community leaders and parents look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning. No, it's a public problem that "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of responsibility on the students for just not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't make someone want to learn. To the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program aims to correct learning problems that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates 20 years to work through the red tape and establishment that is the current public school system. Doing away with it just might save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over 80,000 in a private school system (can't get access to public schools....).

Regards,
Dedric

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...

>
> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views on
> domestic
> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned
> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
> supporting
> those controversial views.
>
> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code word
> for:
> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>
> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the
> so-called
> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old Texas
> style politics..
> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>Lamont,
>>
>>What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know those
>
>>words.
>>

>>Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>
>>I did not catch that.
>>
>>What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree with
>>that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be racial,
>
>>religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or may
>>not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>
>>
>>LaMont wrote:
>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code
>>> word
>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviewed by
> Russert,
>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about
> his
>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>
>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about
> the
>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take
>>> America
>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
> he
>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> This is not good...
>>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 00:23:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views on domestic issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned about those things he tried to deny saying them and voting or even supporting those controversial views.

One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code word for: getting rid of public (Inner city) education..

While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the so-called war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old Texas style politics..

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Lamont,

>

>What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know those

>words.

>

>Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?

>I did not catch that.

>

>What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing

>problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree with

>that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be racial,

>religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or may

>not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.

>

>

>LaMont wrote:

>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code word

>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of code words. When interviewed by

Russert,

>> he started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about his

>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..

>>

>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about the

>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take America

>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,

he

>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..

>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>

>>>

>>>

>>> This is not good...

>>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 05:55:58 GMT

Dedric I could debate you on this for decades my friend. I'll only say this:
As product of an urban public school, I can say that there are many social and economical factors that have caused the demised on the public school system.

Since deseegration and bussing, white-flight and it's money have done a lot of damage.

I'll skip forward and say that the American School system as a whole is failing. I live in a pretty affluent neighbor-hood, and it's school system is horrible. The pribate schools are not that much better.

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know RP's

>views on race personally (and
>neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views we

>would all object to,
>but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>politics" makes them racist
> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.

>
>His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well be

>because it is failing
>to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution, but
>keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly

>more
>successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>
>Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying to

>change the education system from the inside out,
>starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools across

>the US have an average
>of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level? That's

>basic reading and math - 80%
>are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level, but a

>lower than realistic level.
>I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad the
>education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.

>Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>systems, not political parties.
>
>Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,

>community leaders and parents
>look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning. No, it's

>a public problem that
>"we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>responsibility on the students for just
>not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't make

>someone want to learn. To
>the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program aims

>to correct learning problems
>that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates 20

>years to work through the
>red tape and establishment that is the current public school system. Doing

>away with it just might
>save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over 80,000

>in a private school system
>(can't get access to public schools....).
>
>Regards,
>Dedric
>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>
>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views on

>> domestic
>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned
>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>> supporting
>> those controversial views.
>>
>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code word

>> for:
>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>
>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the

>> so-called
>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old Texas
>> style politics..
>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>> Lamont,
>>>
>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know those
>>
>>> words.
>>>
>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>>
>>> I did not catch that.
>>>
>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree with
>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be racial,
>>
>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or may
>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>
>>>
>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code

>>>> word
>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of code words. When interviewed
by
>> Russert,
>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about
>> his
>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>
>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about
>> the
>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take
>>>> America
>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
>> he
>>>> is a Kook.. A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> This is not good..
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 06:06:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many public schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded systems).

For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy, or program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality of education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity and sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it will take decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and for pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private innovation is drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a problem.

For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school (IB program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level, problems aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I attended schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing) suburban and urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to our 2 year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago, and people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread at earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more money at the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level, which transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in a given community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or local school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot one another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and becomes a part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities and better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long. Schools that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might be interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the history of the school in your neighborhood.

If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes, it's abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to be managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already are. I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and the realities of what causes problems in society.

We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims rather than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all heard the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day. Teach a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But if he doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll be hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start

considering stealing.

What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only what we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what our environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche for the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority cause.

³No great man ever complains of want of opportunity.²

Ralph Waldo Emerson

In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when they started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful - it takes determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home or in our society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the education system - not just the Gates Foundation.

The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home, but if people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities is the most certain way to solve a problem.

PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your citizenship? :-)

We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this week. Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time allocation for OT this month. ;-))

Regards,
Dedric

On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing) the
> US educational system. It's horrible.
>
> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year) old
> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible. The
> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the teachers.
> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this community,
> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>
> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still students

> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>
> Speaking of the government throwing more money..Well, your President (Bush)
> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole major
> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
> loan(sharks)
> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>
> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last 20 years)
> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology in the
> name of NATional Security. We so behind in so many areas it's saddening to
> think about it.
>
>
>
> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know RP's
>
>> views on race personally (and
>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views we
>
>> would all object to,
>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>> politics" makes them racist
>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>
>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well be
>
>> because it is failing
>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution, but
>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly
>
>> more
>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>
>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying to
>
>> change the education system from the inside out,
>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools across
>
>> the US have an average
>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level? That's
>
>> basic reading and math - 80%
>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level, but a
>
>> lower than realistic level.

>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad the
>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>> systems, not political parties.
>>
>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,
>
>> community leaders and parents
>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning. No, it's
>
>> a public problem that
>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>> responsibility on the students for just
>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't make
>
>> someone want to learn. To
>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program aims
>
>> to correct learning problems
>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates 20
>
>> years to work through the
>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system. Doing
>
>> away with it just might
>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over 80,000
>
>> in a private school system
>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic
>>
>>
>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views on
>
>>> domestic
>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned
>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>> supporting
>>> those controversial views.
>>>
>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code word
>
>>> for:
>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..

>>>
>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the
>>> so-called
>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old Texas
>>> style politics..
>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>> Lamont,
>>>>
>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know those
>>>
>>>> words.
>>>>
>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>>>
>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>
>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree with
>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be racial,
>>>
>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or may
>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code
>
>>>>> word
>>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviews
> by
>>> Russert,
>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about
>>> his
>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>>
>>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about
>>> the
>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take
>>>>> America
>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
>>> he
>>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>> [http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7d](http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca)
>>>>>> a84ca
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> This is not good...

>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 06:15:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing) the US educational system. It's horrible.

My wife and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year) old when she turns five(school age). These suburban schools are horrible. The kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the teachers. Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this community, we did not expect the local school system to be this bad. AND, we're told the private schools are not that much better.

BUT, do I want to kill public education. For its ills, there still are students in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..

Speaking of the government throwing more money..Well, your President (Bush) has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole major funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student loan(sharks) have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)

What's really broke is America. Our Gov has been (for the last 20 years) made a concerted effort to dumb us all down and slow down technology in the name of National Security. We are so behind in so many areas it's saddening to think about it.

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know RP's

>views on race personally (and
>neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views we

>would all object to,
>but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>politics" makes them racist
> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.

>
>His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well be

>because it is failing
>to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution, but
>keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly

>more
>successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>
>Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying to

>change the education system from the inside out,
>starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools across

>the US have an average
>of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level? That's

>basic reading and math - 80%
>are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level, but a

>lower than realistic level.
>I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad the
>education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>systems, not political parties.
>
>Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,

>community leaders and parents
>look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning. No, it's

>a public problem that
>"we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>responsibility on the students for just
>not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't make

>someone want to learn. To
>the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program aims

>to correct learning problems
>that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates 20

>years to work through the
>red tape and establishment that is the current public school system. Doing

>away with it just might
>save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over 80,000

>in a private school system

>(can't get access to public schools....).

>

>Regards,

>Dedric

>

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...

>>

>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views on

>> domestic

>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned

>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even

>> supporting

>> those controversial views.

>>

>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code word

>> for:

>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..

>>

>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the

>> so-called

>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old Texas

>> style politics..

>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>Lamont,

>>>

>>>What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know those

>>>

>>>words.

>>>

>>>Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?

>>>

>>>I did not catch that.

>>>

>>>What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing

>>>problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree with

>>>that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be racial,

>>>

>>>religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or may

>>>not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.

>>>

>>>

>>>LaMont wrote:

>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code

>>>> word

>>>> of Racist. I's a disguised language of codes words. When interviews
by
>> Russert,
>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about
>> his
>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>
>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about
>> the
>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude whats to take
>>>> America
>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
>> he
>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politicion..
>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>> http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720 -ac15-4532a7da84ca
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> This is not good..
>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 08:18:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could and needs to be reworked..

But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People, companys,farmers,this country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you will.

If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a helphand, and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..

Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take out the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been denied (even through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans

So, you can traach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that he can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then what??

I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do believe that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some unbelievable

obstacles that would have made most quit..

Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education is fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids are out of control. Regardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads with this generation teenagers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an major -downside effect on American Teens..

I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka Blue Dog-Christian). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the poor. Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many others,needed that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many public
>schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded systems).

>

>For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy, or
>program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality of
>education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity and
>sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it will
take

>decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and for
>pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private innovation
is

>drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a problem.

>

>For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school (IB
>program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level, problems
>aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I attended
>schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing) suburban
and

>urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to our
2

>year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago, and
>people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
at

>earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more money
at

>the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level, which
>transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in a given
>community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or local
>school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
one

>another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and becomes
a
>part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
and
>better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long. Schools
>that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might be
>interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the history
of
>the school in your neighborhood.
>
>If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes, it's
>abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to be
>managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already are.
>I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and the
>realities of what causes problems in society.
>
>We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims rather
>than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all heard
>the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day. Teach
>a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But if he
>doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
be
>hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>considering stealing.
>
>What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only what
>we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what our
>environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche for
>the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority cause.
>
>
>In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when they
>started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful - it takes
>determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home or in
our
>society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the education
>system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>
>The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home, but
if
>people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then
>voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities is
the
>most certain way to solve a problem.
>

>PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your citizenship?
>:-)
>
>We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this week.
>Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>
>Regards,
>Dedric
>
>On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing
) the
>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>
>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year)
old
>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible. The
>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the teachers.
>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this community,
>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>
>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still students
>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>
>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President (Bush)
>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
major
>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>> loan(sharks)
>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>
>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last 20
years)
>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology in
the
>> name of NAtional Security. We so behind in so many areas it's saddening
to
>> think about it.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know RP's

>>
>>> views on race personally (and
>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
we
>>
>>> would all object to,
>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>> politics" makes them racist
>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>
>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well
be
>>
>>> because it is failing
>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution, but
>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly
>>
>>> more
>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>
>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying
to
>>
>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools across
>>
>>> the US have an average
>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level? That's
>>
>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level, but
a
>>
>>> lower than realistic level.
>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad the
>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>
>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,
>>
>>> community leaders and parents
>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning. No,
it's
>>
>>> a public problem that
>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of

>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't make
>>
>>> someone want to learn. To
>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
aims
>>
>>> to correct learning problems
>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates
20
>>
>>> years to work through the
>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
Doing
>>
>>> away with it just might
>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over
80,000
>>
>>> in a private school system
>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>>
>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views on
>>
>>>> domestic
>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned
>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>> supporting
>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>
>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code word
>>
>>>> for:
>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>
>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the
>>>> so-called
>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old Texas
>>>> style politics..
>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 13:52:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is directed at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and I don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online (that would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical white man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking about. None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever. That's shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping you can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across. It's hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the same way.

To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between helping the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes of changing a society. Monumental difference.

In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to expand what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the effect is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us are guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do the work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for it, when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am guilty of that. Just a thought.

Regards,
Dedric

On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
> I would agree with you that some federal spending on education could and
> needs to be reworked..
>
> But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
> companys,farmers,this
> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you will.
>
> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things
> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a helphand,
> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..

>
> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take out
> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
> I know of folks (African Americans) who have tried but have been denied (even
> through qualified) opportunities, jobs, houses, loans
>
> So, you can teach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that he
> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then what??
>
> I don't buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do believe
> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
> unbelievable
> obstacles that would have made most quit..
>
> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education is
> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids are
> out of control. Regardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads with
> this generation teenagers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an major
> -downside effect on American Teens..
>
> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka Blue
> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the poor.
> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
> others,needed
> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>
>
> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many public
>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded systems).
>>
>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy, or
>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality of
>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity and
>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it will
> take
>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and for
>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private innovation
> is
>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a problem.
>>
>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school (IB
>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level, problems
>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I attended
>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing) suburban

> and
>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to our
> 2
>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago, and
>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
> at
>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more money
> at
>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level, which
>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in a given
>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or local
>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
> one
>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and becomes
> a
>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
> and
>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long. Schools
>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might be
>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the history
> of
>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>
>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes, it's
>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to be
>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already are.
>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and the
>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>
>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims rather
>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all heard
>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day. Teach
>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But if he
>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
> be
>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>> considering stealing.
>>
>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only what
>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what our
>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche for
>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority cause.
>>
>> ³No great man ever complains of want of opportunity.²
>>
Ralph Waldo Emerson
>>

>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when they
>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful - it takes
>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home or in
> our
>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the education
>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>
>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home, but
> if
>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then
>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities is
> the
>> most certain way to solve a problem.
>>
>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your citizenship?
>> :-)
>>
>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this week.
>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic
>>
>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing
>) the
>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>
>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year)
> old
>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible. The
>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the teachers.
>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this community,
>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>
>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still students
>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>
>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President (Bush)
>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
> major
>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>> loan(sharks)

>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>
>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last 20
> years)
>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down and slow down technology in
> the
>>> name of National Security. We so behind in so many areas it's saddening
> to
>>> think about it.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know RP's
>>>
>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
> we
>>>
>>>> would all object to,
>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>
>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well
> be
>>>
>>>> because it is failing
>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution, but
>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly
>>>
>>>> more
>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>
>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying
> to
>>>
>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools across
>>>
>>>> the US have an average
>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level? That's
>>>
>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level, but
> a
>>>

>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad the
>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>
>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,
>>>>
>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning. No,
> it's
>>>>
>>>> a public problem that
>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't make
>>>>
>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
> aims
>>>>
>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates
> 20
>>>>
>>>> years to work through the
>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
> Doing
>>>>
>>>> away with it just might
>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over
> 80,000
>>>>
>>>> in a private school system
>>>> (can't get access to public schools...).>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Dedic
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views on
>>>>>
>>>>> domestic
>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned
>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>> supporting

>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>
>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code word
>>>
>>>> for:
>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>
>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the
>>>> so-called
>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old Texas
>>>> style politics..
>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>
>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know those
>>>>>
>>>>> words.
>>>>>
>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>>>>>
>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>
>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree with
>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be racial,
>>>>>
>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or may
>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code
>>>>>>
>>>>>> word
>>>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviewed
>>>>>> by
>>>>>> Russert,
>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about
>>>>>> his
>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> He's a Texan Racist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take
>>>>>> America
>>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
>>>>>> he
>>>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..

>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>> http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720 -ac15-4532a
>>>>>> 7d
>>>>>> a84ca
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> This is not good...
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 15:37:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Lamont, There are tens of thousands of public schools and only a small number of them are in "inner cities" (isn't that a code word for depressed racial minority areas?). Even supposing Ron Paul is talking about inner city schools (which I don't believe he is), do you think the inner city schools are doing a super wonderful job? For that matter do you think inner city life is so fantastic we should press on with the highly successful status quo?

Personally the last time I was in the South Bronx or Watts or even parts of St Petersburg Florida, I didn't want to move there. Could it be that the "solutions" have not worked? Eventually every solution can become a problem if relied on too long. Maybe a real change that suits the current conditions is needed, not just more of the same.

From my experience any solution that tried to address a mass of people at once will fail because everybody is unique. Change is made by treating every person as an individual and helping them to improve their life, to reach their dreams and to build their own unique future. Attempts to help whole groups end up specializing in problems, especially old problems. When you specialize in problems, you only get more of them and you get people experiencing the same old problems over and over again.

When you specialize in creating the future you get an intentionally created future and it can be new and brilliant and a lot of fun to be in.

LaMont wrote:

> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views on domestic
> issues, they were in line with the good O'I boy politics. When questioned
> about those things he tried to deny saying them and voting or even supporting
> those controversial views.
>
> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code word for:
> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>
> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the so-called
> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old Texas
> style politics..
> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>> Lamont,
>>
>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know those
>
>> words.
>>
>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>
>> I did not catch that.
>>
>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree with
>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be racial,
>
>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or may
>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>
>>
>> LaMont wrote:
>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the code word
>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of code words. When interviewed by
> Russert,
>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on about
> his
>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>
>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things about
> the
>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take America
>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
> he
>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a7da84ca>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>> This is not good...

>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 15:47:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here is a very interesting link to a story about a guy who is doing something cool in our troubled urban areas:

http://susanstroh.com/hello_Somebody.html

I know Reverend Johnson and he is an awesome guy. check it out.

LaMont wrote:

> Good Morning,

>

> I apologize if my statements come off as racist, they are not..Just a fact
> from a Black man in America. Our view points are differnt because our cultural
> upbringing.

>

> I disagree with you about giving and so-called gov freebies. First, it's
> not the Govs money. It's our. So, we the people have a right to choose how
> to spend it. Helping people in need is Trait from God. He (God) does not
> turn his back on us when we ar e in need, so why are to turn a blind eye
> to someone who is?

>

> Not to mention, Corp bailouts all in name ad cause of "good for the economy"..Oh
> Yeah, what about all those who have been and are facing foreclosure.. Should
> we not help them?

>

> You are speweing right-wing libritarian nonsense that keeps us poor folks
> arguing over "scraps".Meanwhile the rich just keep getting richer and richer.
> And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it on..

>

> It's all about the 'Distribution Of Wealth". \$\$\$\$ Nothing more, nothing less.
> Those Billionairs love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save..

>

> Unless you are rich, you have no right to "fight/debate a richman's fight".

>

>

>

>

>

> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>> Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and

>> prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is directed
>> at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and
> I
>> don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online (that
>> would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>> someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical white
>> man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking about.
>> None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever. That's
>> shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>> observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping you
>> can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across. It's
>> hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the
>> same way.
>>
>> To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between helping
>> the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes
> of
>> changing a society. Monumental difference.
>>
>> In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>> community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to expand
>> what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the effect
>> is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>> personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us
> are
>> guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do the
>> work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for
> it,
>> when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>> guilty of that. Just a thought.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic
>>
>> On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> I would agree with you that some federal spending on education could
> and
>>> needs to be reworked..
>>>
>>> But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>>> companys,farmers,this
>>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
> will.
>>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things
>>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a helphand,
>>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..

>>>
>>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take
> out
>>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>>> I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been denied
> (even
>>> through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans
>>>
>>> So, you can traach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
> he
>>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then
> what??
>>> I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do belive
>>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
>>> unbelievable
>>> obstacales that would have made most quit..
>>>
>>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education
> is
>>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids
> are
>>> out of control. Reguardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads
> with
>>> this generation teeangers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
> major
>>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>>
>>> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka Blue
>>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
> poor.
>>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
>>> others,needed
>>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>>
>>>
>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
> public
>>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded systems).
>>>>
>>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
> or
>>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality of
>>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity and
>>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it will
>>> take

>>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
> for
>>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private innovation
>>> is
>>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a problem.
>>>>
>>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school
> (IB
>>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level, problems
>>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I attended
>>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing) suburban
>>> and
>>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
> our
>>> 2
>>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago, and
>>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
>>> at
>>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more money
>>> at
>>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
> which
>>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
> a given
>>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or local
>>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
>>> one
>>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and becomes
>>> a
>>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
>>> and
>>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long. Schools
>>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might be
>>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the history
>>> of
>>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>>
>>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes,
> it's
>>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to be
>>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
> are.
>>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and
> the
>>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>>
>>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims rather

>>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all
> heard
>>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
> Teach
>>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But if
> he
>>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
>>> be
>>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>>>> considering stealing.
>>>>
>>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only
> what
>>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what
> our
>>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche
> for
>>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
> cause.

>>>> Ralph Waldo Emerson

>>>>
>>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when they
>>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful - it
> takes
>>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home or
> in
>>> our
>>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the education
>>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>>>
>>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,
> but
>>> if
>>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then
>>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities
> is
>>> the
>>>> most certain way to solve a problem.
>>>>
>>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your citizenship?
>>>> :-)
>>>>
>>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this
> week.
>>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))

>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Detric
>>>>
>>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing
>>>>) the
>>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>>
>>>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year
>>>>)
>>>> old
>>>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible.
>>>> The
>>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the teachers.
>>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this community,
>>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>>
>>>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still
>>>> students
>>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>>
>>>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President
>>>> (Bush)
>>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
>>>> major
>>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>>
>>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last 20
>>>> years)
>>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology
>>>> in
>>>> the
>>>>> name of NAtional Security. We so behind in so many areas it's saddening
>>>> to
>>>>> think about it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Detric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know
>>>> RP's
>>>>>> views on race personally (and

>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
>>> we
>>>>> would all object to,
>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>
>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well
>>> be
>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
> but
>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly
>>>>> more
>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>
>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying
>>> to
>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
> across
>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?
> That's
>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level, but
>>> a
>>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad the
>>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>>
>>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,
>>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.
> No,
>>> it's
>>>>> a public problem that
>>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
> make
>>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
>>> aims
>>>>> to correct learning problems

>>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates
>>> 20
>>>>> years to work through the
>>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
>>> Doing
>>>>> away with it just might
>>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over
>>> 80,000
>>>>> in a private school system
>>>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views
> on
>>>>>> domestic
>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned
>>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>>> supporting
>>>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code
> word
>>>>>> for:
>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the
>>>>>> so-called
>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old
> Texas
>>>>>> style politics..
>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know
> those
>>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree
> with
>>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be

> racial,
>>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or
> may
>>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the
> code
>>>>>>>> word
>>>>>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviews
>>>>> by
>>>>>>> Russert,
>>>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on
> about
>>>>>>> his
>>>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things
> about
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take
>>>>>>>>> America
>>>>>>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
>>>>>>> he
>>>>>>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720 -ac15-4532a
>>>>>>>>>> 7d
>>>>>>>>>> a84ca
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> This is not good...
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 15:50:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So are you poor or just looking for sympathy at someone else's expense?
Sorry to be so blunt, but that's what it sounds like. To paraphrase your
admonishment,
if you aren't poor, then you have no right to fight a poor man's fight.
You are also still
making "your types" generalizations, and I don't appreciate it. You don't
know what you
are talking about and again, no offense, but you are using race as a crutch,

and I find that offensive.

I personally know people who've seen more hard times and challenges than you could imagine, and I know for certain they would be insulted by your approach and attitude to what one needs to do personally to succeed in this country or any other, regardless of race. I'm not going to state their race because imho, their race isn't important - who they are and what they've accomplished in life is (no, these aren't millionaires - just people who've refused to use race as an excuse).

1 - we were talking about education, not welfare, health care or feeding the poor. Handouts don't make people want to become more educated, and lack of handouts don't prevent people from going to the public library, doing their homework, or listening in class. Granted not all schools have great teachers, but all schools have students that don't try. Sorry, but you won't get any sympathy by claiming that the "pull yourself up" mentality is wrong and a "white man's" point of view. See my previous paragraph for the reasons why.

2 - you said: "But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work". People, companys,farmers,this country needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you will."

Therein lies the problem - "have expected handouts". Any program that gives handouts on a regular basis with no effective method of turning that handout into a basis for an individual to work on their own just creates a dependancy rather than true effective change. Like I said, helping each other is one of the best things we can do with our time and resources, but creating dependancy on handouts is dangerous. We all need help from time to time, and as a society I agree - the balance of wealth is dangerously skewed in many areas: artist, entertainers, and sports stars are at the top of my list, yet that's one area most people are happy to spend money on without a second thought of that imbalance, regardless of their economic capabilities. No need to talk about corporate greed - we're on the same page there, but I think your view that "Those Billionairs love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers, while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save.." is about is victim minded as I've heard. Really Lamont, if you feel that oppressed by corporate America, just buy Japanese and Chinese. ;-)) It's easier than buying American. ;-)) Hope you see the sad humor in that given you are from the Detroit area - the home

of companies that have for years resisted alternative fuels, more efficient and enduring engines, supporting the one industry that has more wealth than our country, and holds the whole world by the cohomones - oil.

Oh.... 3 - you actually don't know what my race is, so aren't you just reading my post, point of view, and judging my race based on your own perception of stereotypes? How much more racist could one possibly be? Imho the place to stop racism is by individually ceasing to judge people's potential based on skin color, and judging their skin color based on their point of view. The rest will follow. Don't judge just because you think it makes your argument stronger. Quite the opposite.

Regards,
Dedric

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:478633ad@linux...

>
> Good Morning,
>
> I apologize if my statements come off as racist, they are not..Just a fact
> from a Black man in America. Our view points are differnt because our
> cultural
> upbringing.
>
> I disagree with you about giving and so-called gov freebies. First, it's
> not the Govs money. It's our. So, we the people have a right to choose how
> to spend it. Helping people in need is Trait from God. He (God) does not
> turn his back on us when we ar e in need, so why are to turn a blind eye
> to someone who is?
>
> Not to mention, Corp bailouts all in name ad cause of "good for the
> economy"..Oh
> Yeah, what about all those who have been and are facing foreclosure..
> Should
> we not help them?
>
> You are speweing right-wing libritarian nonsense that keeps us poor folks
> arguing over "scraps".Meanwhile the rich just keep getting richer and
> richer.
> And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it
> on..
>
> It's all about the 'Distribution Of Wealth". \$\$\$\$ Nothing more, nothing
> less.
> Those Billionaires love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,

> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save..
>
> Unless you are rich, you have no right to "fight/debate a richman's
> fight".
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and
>>prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is directed
>>at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and
> I
>>don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online
>>(that
>>would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>>someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical white
>>man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking
>>about.
>>None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever.
>>That's
>>shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>>observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping you
>>can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across. It's
>>hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the
>>same way.
>>
>>To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between helping
>>the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes
> of
>>changing a society. Monumental difference.
>>
>>In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>>community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to expand
>>what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the
>>effect
>>is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>>personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us
> are
>>guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do the
>>work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for
> it,
>>when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>>guilty of that. Just a thought.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Detric

>>
>>On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>><jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could
> and
>>> needs to be reworked..
>>>
>>> But, I totaly disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>>> companys,farmers,this
>>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
> will.
>>>
>>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things
>>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a
>>> helphand,
>>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..
>>>
>>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take
> out
>>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>>> I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been denied
> (even
>>> through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans
>>>
>>> So, you can traach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
> he
>>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then
> what??
>>>
>>> I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do
>>> believe
>>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
>>> unbelievable
>>> obstacales that would have made most quit..
>>>
>>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education
> is
>>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids
> are
>>> out of control. Reguardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads
> with
>>> this generation teeangers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
> major
>>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>>

>>> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka
>>> Blue
>>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
> poor.
>>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
>>> others,needed
>>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>>
>>>
>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
> public
>>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded
>>>> systems).
>>>>
>>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
> or
>>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality of
>>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity and
>>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it will
>>> take
>>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
> for
>>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private
>>>> innovation
>>> is
>>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a
>>>> problem.
>>>>
>>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school
> (IB
>>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level,
>>>> problems
>>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I
>>>> attended
>>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing)
>>>> suburban
>>> and
>>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
> our
>>> 2
>>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago, and
>>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
>>> at
>>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more

>>>> money
>>> at
>>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
> which
>>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
> a given
>>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or
>>>> local
>>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
>>> one
>>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and
>>>> becomes
>>> a
>>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
>>> and
>>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long.
>>>> Schools
>>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might be
>>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the
>>>> history
>>> of
>>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>>
>>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes,
> it's
>>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to be
>>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
> are.
>>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and
> the
>>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>>
>>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims
>>>> rather
>>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all
> heard
>>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
> Teach
>>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But if
> he
>>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
>>> be
>>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>>>> considering stealing.
>>>>
>>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only
> what
>>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what

> our
>>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche
> for
>>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
> cause.
>>>>

>>>> Ralph Waldo Emerson
>>>>
>>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when
>>>> they
>>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful - it
> takes
>>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home or
> in
>>> our
>>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the
>>>> education
>>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>>>
>>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,
> but
>>> if
>>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then
>>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities
> is
>>> the
>>>> most certain way to solve a problem.
>>>>
>>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your
>>>> citizenship?
>>>> :-)
>>>>
>>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this
> week.
>>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Detric
>>>>
>>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing

>>>) the
>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>
>>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year
>)
>>> old
>>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible.
> The
>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the
>>>> teachers.
>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this
>>>> community,
>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>
>>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still
> students
>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>
>>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President
> (Bush)
>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
>>> major
>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>
>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last 20
>>> years)
>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology
> in
>>> the
>>>> name of NAtional Security. We so behind in so many areas it's
>>>> saddening
>>> to
>>>> think about it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know
> RP's
>>>>
>>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
>>> we
>>>>
>>>>> would all object to,

>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>
>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well
>>> be
>>>>>
>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
> but
>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are
>>>>> significantly
>>>>>
>>>>> more
>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>
>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company)
>>>>> trying
>>> to
>>>>>
>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
> across
>>>>>
>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?
> That's
>>>>>
>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level, but
>>> a
>>>>>
>>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad the
>>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>>
>>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless
>>>>> teachers,
>>>>>
>>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.
> No,
>>> it's
>>>>>
>>>>> a public problem that

>>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
> make
>>>>>
>>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
>>> aims
>>>>>
>>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates
>>> 20
>>>>>
>>>>> years to work through the
>>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
>>> Doing
>>>>>
>>>>> away with it just might
>>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over
>>> 80,000
>>>>>
>>>>> in a private school system
>>>>> (can't get access to public schools...).>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views
> on
>>>>>
>>>>>> domestic
>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When
>>>>>> questioned
>>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>>> supporting
>>>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code
> word
>>>>>
>>>>>> for:
>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the
>>>>>> so-called

>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old
> Texas
>>>>>> style politics..
>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know
> those
>>>>>>
>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race
>>>>>> relations?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree
> with
>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be
> racial,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or
> may
>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the
> code
>>>>>
>>>>>>> word
>>>>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When
>>>>>>> interviews
>>>>> by
>>>>>> Russert,
>>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on
> about
>>>>>> his
>>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things
> about
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take
>>>>>>>> America
>>>>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good.
>>>>>>>> Therefore,

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and
>prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is directed
>at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and

I
>don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online (that
>would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical white
>man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking about.
>None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever. That's
>shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping you
>can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across. It's
>hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the
>same way.

>
>To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between helping
>the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes
of
>changing a society. Monumental difference.

>
>In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to expand
>what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the effect
>is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us
are
>guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do the
>work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for
it,
>when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>guilty of that. Just a thought.

>
>Regards,
>Dedric

>
>On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>wrote:

>
>>
>> I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could
and
>> needs to be reworked..

>>
>> But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>> companys,farmers,this
>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
will.
>>
>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things
>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a helphand,
>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..
>>
>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take
out
>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>> I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been denied
(even
>> through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans
>>
>> So, you can traach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
he
>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then
what??
>>
>> I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do belive
>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
>> unbelievable
>> obstacales that would have made most quit..
>>
>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education
is
>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids
are
>> out of control. Reguardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads
with
>> this generation teeangers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
major
>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>
>> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka Blue
>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
poor.
>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
>> others,needed
>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>
>>
>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
public

>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded systems).
>>>
>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
or
>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality of
>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity and
>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it will
>> take
>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
for
>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private innovation
>> is
>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a problem.
>>>
>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school
(IB
>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level, problems
>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I attended
>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing) suburban
>> and
>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
our
>> 2
>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago, and
>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
>> at
>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more money
>> at
>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
which
>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
a given
>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or local
>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
>> one
>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and becomes
>> a
>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
>> and
>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long. Schools
>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might be
>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the history
>> of
>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>

>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes,
it's
>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to be
>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
are.
>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and
the
>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>
>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims rather
>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all
heard
>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
Teach
>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But if
he
>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
>> be
>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>>> considering stealing.
>>>
>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only
what
>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what
our
>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche
for
>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
cause.
>>>

>>>
>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when they
>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful - it
takes
>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home or
in
>> our
>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the education
>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>>
>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,
but
>> if
>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then
>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities

is
>> the
>>> most certain way to solve a problem.
>>>
>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your citizenship?
>>> :-)
>>>
>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this week.
>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing
>>) the
>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>
>>>> My wife and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year
)
>> old
>>>> when she turns five(school age). These suburban schools are horrible.
The
>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the teachers.
>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this community,
>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>> AND, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>
>>>> BUT, do I want to kill public education. For its ills, there still
students
>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>
>>>> Speaking of the government throwing more money..Well, your President
(Bush)
>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
>> major
>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>
>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last 20
>> years)
>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down and slow down technology

in
>> the
>>>> name of NAational Security. We so behind in so many areas it's saddening
>> to
>>>> think about it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know
RP's
>>>>
>>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
>> we
>>>>
>>>>> would all object to,
>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>
>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well
>> be
>>>>
>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
but
>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly
>>>>
>>>>> more
>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>
>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying
>> to
>>>>
>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
across
>>>>
>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?
That's
>>>>
>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level, but
>> a
>>>>

>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad the
>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>
>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,
>>>>
>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.
No,
>> it's
>>>>
>>>> a public problem that
>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
make
>>>>
>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
>> aims
>>>>
>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates
>> 20
>>>>
>>>> years to work through the
>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
>> Doing
>>>>
>>>> away with it just might
>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over
>> 80,000
>>>>
>>>> in a private school system
>>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Dedic
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views
on
>>>>
>>>>> domestic

>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'I boy politics. When questioned
>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>> supporting
>>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>>
>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code
word
>>>>
>>>>> for:
>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>
>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and the
>>>>> so-called
>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old
Texas
>>>>> style politics..
>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know
those
>>>>>>
>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree
with
>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be
racial,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or
may
>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the
code
>>>>
>>>>>>> word
>>>>>>> of Racist. I's a disguised language of codes words. When interviews
>>>> by
>>>>>>> Russert,
>>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on

about
>>>>> his
>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things
about
>>>>> the
>>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude whats to take
>>>>>>> America
>>>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
>>>>>> he
>>>>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720 -ac15-4532a
>>>>>>>> 7d
>>>>>>>> a84ca
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> This is not good...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 17:58:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'll bet that if we got you two (LaMont and Dedic) in a room together,
you would quickly find some common ground. Sometimes a text forum isn't
the best form of communication...

Hey LaMont, on the off chance you could come on out to Colorado this
Friday, we're having a little PARIS get-together that DeeJ inspired (but
DeeJ can't come after all - we'll miss you DeeJ!).

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

LaM0nt wrote:
> Let me just state, I totally disagree with you points..All Of them.

>
> That's why we have a divided nation..
>
> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> So are you poor or just looking for sympathy at someone else's expense?
>> Sorry to be so blunt, but that's what it sounds like. To paraphrase your
>
>> admonishment,
>> if you aren't poor, then you have no right to fight a poor man's fight.
>
>> You are also still
>> making "your types" generalizations, and I don't appreciate it. You don't
>
>> know what you
>> are talking about and again, no offense, but you are using race as a crutch,
>
>> and I find that offensive.
>>
>> I personally know people who've seen more hard times and challenges than
> you
>> could imagine,
>> and I know for certain they would be insulted by your approach and attitude
>
>> to what one needs to do personally to
>> succeed in this country or any other, regardless of race. I'm not going
> to
>> state their race because imho, their race isn't important - who they are
> and
>> what they've accomplished in life is (no, these aren't millionaires - just
>
>> people who've refused
>> to use race as an excuse).
>>
>> 1 - we were talking about education, not welfare, health care or feeding
> the
>> poor. Handouts don't make people want to become more educated, and lack
> of
>> handouts don't prevent people from going to the public library, doing their
>
>> homework, or listening in class. Granted not all schools have great
>> teachers, but all schools have students that don't try. Sorry, but you
>
>> won't get any sympathy by claiming that the "pull yourself up" mentality
> is
>> wrong and a "white man's" point of view. See my previous paragraph for
> the
>> reasons why.
>>

>> 2 - you said: "But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work
>
>> ". People, companys,farmers,this
>> country needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
>
>> will."
>>
>> Therein lies the problem - "have expected handouts". Any program that gives
>
>> handouts on a regular basis
>> with no effective method of turning that handout into a basis for an
>> individual to work on their own just creates a dependancy
>> rather than true effective change. Like I said, helping each other is one
>
>> of the best things we can do
>> with our time and resources, but creating dependancy on handouts is
>> dangerous. We all need help from time to
>> time, and as a society I agree - the balance of wealth is dangerously skewed
>
>> in many areas: artist, entertainers, and sports stars
>> are at the top of my list, yet that's one area most people are happy to
>
>> spend money on without a second thought of that imbalance, regardless of
>
>> their economic capabilities. No need to talk about corporate greed - we're
>
>> on the same
>> page there, but I think your view that "Those Billionairs love to keep us
>
>> scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
>> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save.."
> is
>> about is victim minded as I've heard.
>> Really Lamont, if you feel that oppressed by corporate America, just buy
>
>> Japanese and Chinese. ;-)) It's easier
>> than buying American. ;-)) Hope you see the sad humor in that given you
> are
>>from the Detroit area - the home
>> of companies that have for years resisted alternative fuels, more efficient
>
>> and enduring engines, supporting the one
>> industry that has more wealth than our country, and holds the whole world
> by
>> the cohones - oil.
>>
>> Oh.... 3 - you actually don't know what my race is, so aren't you just
>> reading my post, point of view,

>> and judging my race based on your own perception of stereotypes? How much
>
>> more racist could one possibly be?
>> Imho the place to stop racism is by individually ceasing to judge people's
>
>> potential based on skin color, and judging their
>> skin color based on their point of view. The rest will follow. Don't judge
>
>> just because you think it makes your argument
>> stronger. Quite the opposite.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic
>>
>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:478633ad@linux...
>>> Good Morning,
>>>
>>> I apologize if my statements come off as racist, they are not..Just a
> fact
>>> from a Black man in America. Our view points are differnt because our
>
>>> cultural
>>> upbringing.
>>>
>>> I disagree with you about giving and so-called gov freebies. First, it's
>>> not the Govs money. It's our. So, we the people have a right to choose
> how
>>> to spend it. Helping people in need is Trait from God. He (God) does not
>>> turn his back on us when we ar e in need, so why are to turn a blind eye
>>> to someone who is?
>>>
>>> Not to mention, Corp bailouts all in name ad cause of "good for the
>>> economy"..Oh
>>> Yeah, what about all those who have been and are facing foreclosure..
>
>>> Should
>>> we not help them?
>>>
>>> You are speweing right-wing libritarian nonsense that keeps us poor folks
>>> arguing over "scraps".Meanwhile the rich just keep getting richer and
>
>>> richer.
>>> And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it
>
>>> on..
>>>
>>> It's all about the 'Distribution Of Wealth'. \$\$\$\$ Nothing more, nothing
>

>>> less.
>>> Those Billionaires love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
>>> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save..
>>>
>>> Unless you are rich, you have no right to "fight/debate a richman's
>>> fight".
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and
>>>> prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is directed
>>>> at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and
>>> I
>>>> don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online
>
>>>> (that
>>>> would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>>>> someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical white
>>>> man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking
>>>> about.
>>>> None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever.
>>>> That's
>>>> shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>>>> observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping
> you
>>>> can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across.
> It's
>>>> hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the
>>>> same way.
>>>>
>>>> To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between helping
>>>> the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes
>>> of
>>>> changing a society. Monumental difference.
>>>>
>>>> In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>>>> community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to expand
>>>> what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the
>>>> effect
>>>> is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>>>> personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us
>>> are
>>>> guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do
> the
>>>> work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for

>>> it,
>>>> when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>>>> guilty of that. Just a thought.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Detric
>>>>
>>>> On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could
>>> and
>>>>> needs to be reworked..
>>>>>
>>>>> But, I totaly disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>>>>> companys,farmers,this
>>>>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
>>> will.
>>>>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things
>>>>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a
>
>>>>> helphand,
>>>>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..
>>>>>
>>>>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take
>>> out
>>>>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>>>>> I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been denied
>>> (even
>>>>> through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans
>>>>>
>>>>> So, you can traach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
>>> he
>>>>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then
>>> what??
>>>>> I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do
>
>>>>> belive
>>>>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
>>>>> unbelievable
>>>>> obstacales that would have made most quit..
>>>>>
>>>>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education
>>> is
>>>>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids
>>> are
>>>>> out of control. Reguardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads

>>> with
>>>> this generation teeangers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
>>> major
>>>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>>>
>>>> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka
>
>>>> Blue
>>>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
>>> poor.
>>>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
>>>> others,needed
>>>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
>>> public
>>>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded
>>>>> systems).
>>>>>
>>>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>>>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
>>> or
>>>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality
> of
>>>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity
> and
>>>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it
> will
>>>>> take
>>>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>>>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
>>> for
>>>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private
>>>>> innovation
>>>>> is
>>>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a
>
>>>>> problem.
>>>>>
>>>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school
>>> (IB
>>>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level,
>>>>> problems
>>>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I
>>>>> attended

>>>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing)
>>>>> suburban
>>>>> and
>>>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
>>> our
>>>>> 2
>>>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago,
> and
>>>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
>>>>> at
>>>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more
>
>>>>> money
>>>>> at
>>>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
>>> which
>>>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
>>> a given
>>>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or
>
>>>>> local
>>>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
>>>>> one
>>>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and
>>>>> becomes
>>>>> a
>>>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
>>>>> and
>>>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long.
>>>>> Schools
>>>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might
> be
>>>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the
>>>>> history
>>>>> of
>>>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes,
>>> it's
>>>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to
> be
>>>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
>>> are.
>>>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and
>>> the
>>>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>>>
>>>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims

>
>>>>> rather
>>>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all
>>> heard
>>>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
>>> Teach
>>>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But
> if
>>> he
>>>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
>>>>> be
>>>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>>>>> considering stealing.
>>>>>
>>>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only
>>> what
>>>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what
>>> our
>>>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche
>>> for
>>>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
>>> cause.

>>>>> Ralph Waldo Emerson
>>>>>
>>>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when
>
>>>>> they
>>>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful -
> it
>>> takes
>>>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home
> or
>>> in
>>>>> our
>>>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the
>>>>> education
>>>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>>>>
>>>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,
>>> but
>>>>> if
>>>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then
>>>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities
>>> is
>>>>> the
>>>>> most certain way to solve a problem.

>>>>>
>>>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your
>>>>> citizenship?
>>>>> :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this
>>> week.
>>>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>>>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>
>>>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing
>>>>>) the
>>>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year
>>>>>)
>>>>>> old
>>>>>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible.
>>> The
>>>>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the
>>>>>>> teachers.
>>>>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this
>>>>>>> community,
>>>>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>>>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ill, there still
>>> students
>>>>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President
>>> (Bush)
>>>>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
>>>>> major
>>>>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last
>>> 20
>>>>> years)

>>>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down and slow down technology
>>> in
>>>>> the
>>>>>> name of National Security. We so behind in so many areas it's
>>>>>> saddening
>>>>> to
>>>>>> think about it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know
>>> RP's
>>>>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
>>>>> we
>>>>>>> would all object to,
>>>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may
> well
>>>>> be
>>>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
>>> but
>>>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are
>>>>>>> significantly
>>>>>>> more
>>>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company)
>>>>>>> trying
>>>>> to
>>>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
>>> across
>>>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?
>>> That's
>>>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level,
> but
>>>>> a
>>>>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad

> the
>>>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless
>>>>>> teachers,
>>>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.
>>> No,
>>>>> it's
>>>>>> a public problem that
>>>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
>>> make
>>>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
>>>>> aims
>>>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates
>>>>> 20
>>>>>> years to work through the
>>>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
>>>>> Doing
>>>>>> away with it just might
>>>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with
> over
>>>>> 80,000
>>>>>> in a private school system
>>>>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>> domestic
>>>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When
>>>>>>>> questioned
>>>>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>>>>> supporting
>>>>>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code
>>>>>>>>> word

>>>>>>> for:
>>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and
> the
>>>>>>> so-called
>>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old
>>> Texas
>>>>>>> style politics..
>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know
>>> those
>>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race
>>>>>>> relations?
>>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree
>>> with
>>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be
>>> racial,
>>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may
> or
>>> may
>>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the
>>> code
>>>>>>>> word
>>>>>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When
>>>>>>>> interviews
>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>> Russert,
>>>>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on
>>> about
>>>>>>>> his
>>>>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things
>>> about
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude whats to

> take
>>>>>>>>>> America
>>>>>>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good.
>>>>>>>>>> Therefore,
>>>>>>>>>> he
>>>>>>>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>>>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720 -ac15-4532a
>>>>>>>>>> 7d
>>>>>>>>>> a84ca
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This is not good...
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 18:06:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow. You even disagree that you don't know my race? You disagree that we agree about the problems with corporate greed? that education needs improvement? You did say *all* of my points....

No, Lamont, we don't have a divided nation. We have a nation increasingly filled with people (of all races) unwilling to take responsibility for improving it by working together, putting differences aside, not waiting for someone else to do it for them (McDonalds' syndrome); People not willing to look past their own baggage, prejudices, and perception of "the man", regardless of cultural background, to seek a positive solution; People not willing to recognize ill-placed pride and instead carry an attitude that it's always someone else's fault - "sue whoever hurts you or makes your coffee too hot", "blame whoever you disagree with", and if all else fails, blame the government, all the time talking about equality, freedom to choose, and empowering individuality. Pretty contradictory imho.

"And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it on.."

Before you go accusing others of "blaming others", take a look at your own words my friend.

Let this cool down. We aren't enemies, but it saddens me that too many discussions seem to head down this road here. You are right, we will never be of like-mind until you are willing to see us as more alike than different.

"LaM0nt" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47865796\$1 @linux...

>

> Let me just state, I totally disagree with you points..All Of them.

>

> That's why we have a divided nation..

>

> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>So are you poor or just looking for sympathy at someone else's expense?

>>Sorry to be so blunt, but that's what it sounds like. To paraphrase your

>

>>admonishment,

>>if you aren't poor, then you have no right to fight a poor man's fight.

>

>>You are also still

>>making "your types" generalizations, and I don't appreciate it. You don't

>

>>know what you

>>are talking about and again, no offense, but you are using race as a

>>crutch,

>

>>and I find that offensive.

>>

>>I personally know people who've seen more hard times and challenges than

> you

>>could imagine,

>>and I know for certain they would be insulted by your approach and

>>attitude

>

>>to what one needs to do personally to

>> succeed in this country or any other, regardless of race. I'm not going

> to

>>state their race because imho, their race isn't important - who they are

> and

>>what they've accomplished in life is (no, these aren't millionaires - just

>

>>people who've refused

>>to use race as an excuse).

>>

>>1 - we were talking about education, not welfare, health care or feeding

> the

>>poor. Handouts don't make people want to become more educated, and lack

> of

>>handouts don't prevent people from going to the public library, doing

>>their

>

>>homework, or listening in class. Granted not all schools have great

>>teachers, but all schools have students that don't try. Sorry, but you

>
>>won't get any sympathy by claiming that the "pull yourself up" mentality
> is
>>wrong and a "white man's" point of view. See my previous paragraph for
> the
>>reasons why.
>>
>>2 - you said: "But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work
>
>>". People, companys,farmers,this
>> country needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
>
>>will."
>>
>>Therein lies the problem - "have expected handouts". Any program that
>>gives
>
>>handouts on a regular basis
>>with no effective method of turning that handout into a basis for an
>>individual to work on their own just creates a dependancy
>>rather than true effective change. Like I said, helping each other is one
>
>>of the best things we can do
>>with our time and resources, but creating dependancy on handouts is
>>dangerous. We all need help from time to
>>time, and as a society I agree - the balance of wealth is dangerously
>>skewed
>
>>in many areas: artist, entertainers, and sports stars
>>are at the top of my list, yet that's one area most people are happy to
>
>>spend money on without a second thought of that imbalance, regardless of
>
>>their economic capabilities. No need to talk about corporate greed -
>>we're
>
>>on the same
>>page there, but I think your view that "Those Billionairs love to keep us
>
>>scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
>> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save.."
> is
>>about is victim minded as I've heard.
>>Really Lamont, if you feel that oppressed by corporate America, just buy
>
>>Japanese and Chinese. ;-)) It's easier
>>than buying American. ;-)) Hope you see the sad humor in that given you
> are

>>from the Detroit area - the home
>>of companies that have for years resisted alternative fuels, more
>>efficient
>
>>and enduring engines, supporting the one
>>industry that has more wealth than our country, and holds the whole world
> by
>>the cohonos - oil.
>>
>>Oh.... 3 - you actually don't know what my race is, so aren't you just
>>reading my post, point of view,
>>and judging my race based on your own perception of stereotypes? How much
>
>>more racist could one possibly be?
>>Imho the place to stop racism is by individually ceasing to judge people's
>
>>potential based on skin color, and judging their
>>skin color based on their point of view. The rest will follow. Don't
>>judge
>
>>just because you think it makes your argument
>>stronger. Quite the opposite.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Dedric
>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:478633ad@linux...
>>>
>>> Good Morning,
>>>
>>> I apologize if my statements come off as racist, they are not..Just a
> fact
>>> from a Black man in America. Our view points are differnt because our
>
>>> cultural
>>> upbringing.
>>>
>>> I disagree with you about giving and so-called gov freebies. First, it's
>>> not the Govs money. It's our. So, we the people have a right to choose
> how
>>> to spend it. Helping people in need is Trait from God. He (God) does not
>>> turn his back on us when we ar e in need, so why are to turn a blind eye
>>> to someone who is?
>>>
>>> Not to mention, Corp bailouts all in name ad cause of "good for the
>>> economy"..Oh
>>> Yeah, what about all those who have been and are facing foreclosure..
>

>>> Should
>>> we not help them?
>>>
>>> You are speweing right-wing libritarian nonsense that keeps us poor
>>> folks
>>> arguing over "scraps".Meanwhile the rich just keep getting richer and
>
>>> richer.
>>> And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it
>
>>> on..
>>>
>>> It's all about the 'Distribution Of Wealth'. \$\$\$\$ Nothing more, nothing
>
>>> less.
>>> Those Billionairs love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing
>>> fingers,
>>> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save..
>>>
>>> Unless you are rich, you have no right to "fight/debate a richman's
>>> fight".
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and
>>>>prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is
>>>>directed
>>>>at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and
>>> I
>>>>don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online
>
>>>>(that
>>>>would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>>>>someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical
>>>>white
>>>>man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking
>>>>about.
>>>>None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever.
>>>>That's
>>>>shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>>>>observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping
> you
>>>>can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across.
> It's
>>>>hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the

>>>>same way.
>>>>
>>>>To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between
>>>>helping
>>>>the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes
>>> of
>>>>changing a society. Monumental difference.
>>>>
>>>>In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>>>>community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to
>>>>expand
>>>>what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the
>>>>effect
>>>>is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>>>>personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us
>>> are
>>>>guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do
> the
>>>>work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for
>>> it,
>>>>when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>>>>guilty of that. Just a thought.
>>>>
>>>>Regards,
>>>>Dedric
>>>>
>>>>On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>><jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could
>>> and
>>>>> needs to be reworked..
>>>>>
>>>>> But, I totaly disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>>>>> companys,farmers,this
>>>>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
>>> will.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for
>>>>> things
>>>>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a
>
>>>>> helphand,
>>>>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..
>>>>>
>>>>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take

>>> out
>>>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>>>> I know of folks (African Americans) who have tried but have been
>>>> denied
>>> (even
>>>> through qualified) opportunities, jobs, houses, loans
>>>>
>>>> So, you can teach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
>>> he
>>>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then
>>> what??
>>>>
>>>> I don't buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do
>
>>>> believe
>>>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
>>>> unbelievable
>>>> obstacles that would have made most quit..
>>>>
>>>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle
>>>> education
>>> is
>>>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students.. These kids
>>> are
>>>> out of control. Regardless of race. The teachers are of at
>>>> cross-roads
>>> with
>>>> this generation teenagers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
>>> major
>>>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>>>
>>>> I don't have an answer to that.. BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat (Aka
>
>>>> Blue
>>>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
>>> poor.
>>>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Didn't stay on it, but like many
>>>> others, needed
>>>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
>>> public
>>>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded
>>>>> systems).
>>>>>
>>>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to

>>>>> this
>>>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
>>> or
>>>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality
> of
>>>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity
> and
>>>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it
> will
>>>>> take
>>>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>>>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
>>> for
>>>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private
>>>>> innovation
>>>>> is
>>>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a
>
>>>>> problem.
>>>>>
>>>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school
>>> (IB
>>>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level,
>>>>> problems
>>>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I
>>>>> attended
>>>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing)
>>>>> suburban
>>>>> and
>>>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
>>> our
>>>>> 2
>>>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago,
> and
>>>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more
>>>>> widespread
>>>>> at
>>>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more
>
>>>>> money
>>>>> at
>>>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
>>> which
>>>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
>>> a given
>>>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or
>

>>>>> local
>>>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant,
>>>>> shoot
>>>>> one
>>>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and
>>>>> becomes
>>>>> a
>>>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better
>>>>> opportunities
>>>>> and
>>>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long.
>>>>> Schools
>>>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might
> be
>>>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the
>>>>> history
>>>>> of
>>>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus
>>>>> proposes,
>>> it's
>>>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to
> be
>>>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
>>> are.
>>>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and
>>> the
>>>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>>>
>>>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims
>
>>>>> rather
>>>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all
>>> heard
>>>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
>>> Teach
>>>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But
> if
>>> he
>>>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one,
>>>>> he'll
>>>>> be
>>>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>>>>> considering stealing.
>>>>>
>>>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are
>>>>> only

>>> what
>>>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only
>>>>> what
>>> our
>>>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte
>>>>> blanche
>>> for
>>>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
>>> cause.
>>>>>

>>>>> Ralph Waldo Emerson
>>>>>
>>>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when
>
>>>>> they
>>>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful -
> it
>>> takes
>>>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home
> or
>>> in
>>>>> our
>>>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the
>>>>> education
>>>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>>>>
>>>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,
>>> but
>>>>> if
>>>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change,
>>>>> then
>>>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities
>>> is
>>>>> the
>>>>> most certain way to solve a problem.
>>>>>
>>>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your
>>>>> citizenship?
>>>>> :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for
>>>>> this
>>> week.
>>>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>>>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>>>>>

>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates
>>>>>> (re-inventing
>>>>>) the
>>>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year
>>>)
>>>>> old
>>>>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible.
>>> The
>>>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the
>>>>>> teachers.
>>>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this
>>>>>> community,
>>>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ill, there still
>>> students
>>>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President
>>> (Bush)
>>>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then,
>>>>>> stole
>>>>> major
>>>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last
> 20
>>>>> years)
>>>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology
>>> in
>>>>> the
>>>>>> name of NAtional Security. We so behind in so many areas it's
>>>>>> saddening
>>>>> to
>>>>>> think about it.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know
>>> RP's
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have
>>>>>>> views
>>>>> we
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> would all object to,
>>>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may
> well
>>>>> be
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
>>> but
>>>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are
>>>>>>> significantly
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> more
>>>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company)
>>>>>>> trying
>>>>> to
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
>>> across
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?
>>> That's
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level,
> but
>>>>> a
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad
> the
>>>>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from
>>>>>>> schools
>>>>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless
>>>>>>> teachers,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.
>>> No,
>>>> it's
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> a public problem that
>>>>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
>>> make
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's
>>>>>>> program
>>>>> aims
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he
>>>>>>> estimates
>>>>> 20
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> years to work through the
>>>>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school
>>>>>>> system.
>>>>> Doing
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> away with it just might
>>>>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with
> over
>>>>> 80,000
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> in a private school system
>>>>>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views
>>> on
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> domestic
>>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When
>>>>>>> questioned
>>>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>>>> supporting
>>>>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code
>>> word
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> for:
>>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and
> the
>>>>>>> so-called
>>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old
>>> Texas
>>>>>>> style politics..
>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know
>>> those
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race
>>>>>>> relations?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in
>>>>>>> addressing
>>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree
>>> with
>>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be
>>> racial,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may
> or

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 18:31:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have no doubt whatsoever that you are right Jamie. And Lamont, hopefully I'll have the pleasure of meeting you in person someday - perhaps a future group trip/meet at a NAMM show or AES. And I'll ditto that invite for Friday, even though I know it's probably not realistic, it's still just as sincere.

Regards,
Dedric

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:47865d69@linux...

>
> I'll bet that if we got you two (LaMont and Dedric) in a room together,
> you would quickly find some common ground. Sometimes a text forum isn't
> the best form of communication...

>
> Hey LaMont, on the off chance you could come on out to Colorado this
> Friday, we're having a little PARIS get-together that DeeJ inspired (but
> DeeJ can't come after all - we'll miss you DeeJ!).

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com

>
>
>
> LaM0nt wrote:

>> Let me just state, I totally disagree with you points..All Of them.

>>
>> That's why we have a divided nation..

>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> So are you poor or just looking for sympathy at someone else's expense?
>>> Sorry to be so blunt, but that's what it sounds like. To paraphrase
>>> your

>>
>>> admonishment,
>>> if you aren't poor, then you have no right to fight a poor man's fight.

>>
>>> You are also still
>>> making "your types" generalizations, and I don't appreciate it. You
>>> don't

>>
>>> know what you
>>> are talking about and again, no offense, but you are using race as a
>>> crutch,

>>
>>> and I find that offensive.
>>>
>>> I personally know people who've seen more hard times and challenges than
>> you
>>> could imagine,
>>> and I know for certain they would be insulted by your approach and
>>> attitude
>>
>>> to what one needs to do personally to
>>> succeed in this country or any other, regardless of race. I'm not going
>> to
>>> state their race because imho, their race isn't important - who they are
>> and
>>> what they've accomplished in life is (no, these aren't millionaires -
>>> just
>>
>>> people who've refused
>>> to use race as an excuse).
>>>
>>> 1 - we were talking about education, not welfare, health care or feeding
>> the
>>> poor. Handouts don't make people want to become more educated, and lack
>> of
>>> handouts don't prevent people from going to the public library, doing
>>> their
>>
>>> homework, or listening in class. Granted not all schools have great
>>> teachers, but all schools have students that don't try. Sorry, but you
>>
>>> won't get any sympathy by claiming that the "pull yourself up" mentality
>> is
>>> wrong and a "white man's" point of view. See my previous paragraph for
>> the
>>> reasons why.
>>>
>>> 2 - you said: "But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never
>>> work
>>
>>> ". People, companys,farmers,this
>>> country needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
>>
>>> will."
>>>
>>> Therein lies the problem - "have expected handouts". Any program that
>>> gives
>>
>>> handouts on a regular basis

>>> with no effective method of turning that handout into a basis for an
>>> individual to work on their own just creates a dependency
>>> rather than true effective change. Like I said, helping each other is
>>> one
>>
>>> of the best things we can do
>>> with our time and resources, but creating dependency on handouts is
>>> dangerous. We all need help from time to
>>> time, and as a society I agree - the balance of wealth is dangerously
>>> skewed
>>
>>> in many areas: artist, entertainers, and sports stars
>>> are at the top of my list, yet that's one area most people are happy to
>>
>>> spend money on without a second thought of that imbalance, regardless of
>>
>>> their economic capabilities. No need to talk about corporate greed -
>>> we're
>>
>>> on the same
>>> page there, but I think your view that "Those Billionaires love to keep
>>> us
>>
>>> scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
>>> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save.."
>> is
>>> about is victim minded as I've heard.
>>> Really Lamont, if you feel that oppressed by corporate America, just buy
>>
>>> Japanese and Chinese. ;-)) It's easier
>>> than buying American. ;-)) Hope you see the sad humor in that given you
>> are
>>>from the Detroit area - the home
>>> of companies that have for years resisted alternative fuels, more
>>> efficient
>>
>>> and enduring engines, supporting the one
>>> industry that has more wealth than our country, and holds the whole
>>> world
>> by
>>> the cohones - oil.
>>>
>>> Oh.... 3 - you actually don't know what my race is, so aren't you just
>>> reading my post, point of view,
>>> and judging my race based on your own perception of stereotypes? How
>>> much
>>
>>> more racist could one possibly be?

>>> Imho the place to stop racism is by individually ceasing to judge
>>> people's
>>
>>> potential based on skin color, and judging their
>>> skin color based on their point of view. The rest will follow. Don't
>>> judge
>>
>>> just because you think it makes your argument
>>> stronger. Quite the opposite.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:478633ad@linux...
>>>> Good Morning,
>>>>
>>>> I apologize if my statements come off as racist, they are not..Just a
>> fact
>>>> from a Black man in America. Our view points are differnt because our
>>
>>>> cultural
>>>> upbringing.
>>>>
>>>> I disagree with you about giving and so-called gov freebies. First,
>>>> it's
>>>> not the Govs money. It's our. So, we the people have a right to choose
>> how
>>>> to spend it. Helping people in need is Trait from God. He (God) does
>>>> not
>>>> turn his back on us when we ar e in need, so why are to turn a blind
>>>> eye
>>>> to someone who is?
>>>>
>>>> Not to mention, Corp bailouts all in name ad cause of "good for the
>>>> economy"..Oh
>>>> Yeah, what about all those who have been and are facing foreclosure..
>>
>>>> Should
>>>> we not help them?
>>>>
>>>> You are speweing right-wing libritarian nonsense that keeps us poor
>>>> folks
>>>> arguing over "scraps".Meanwhile the rich just keep getting richer and
>>
>>>> richer.
>>>> And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it
>>
>>>> on..

>>>>
>>>> It's all about the 'Distribution Of Wealth'. \$\$\$\$ Nothing more, nothing
>>
>>>> less.
>>>> Those Billionairs love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing
>>>> fingers,
>>>> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save..
>>>>
>>>> Unless you are rich, you have no right to "fight/debate a richman's
>>>> fight".
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and
>>>>> prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is
>>>>> directed
>>>>> at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere
>>>>> and
>>>> I
>>>>> don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online
>>
>>>>> (that
>>>>> would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>>>>> someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical
>>>>> white
>>>>> man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking
>>>>> about.
>>>>> None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever.
>>>>> That's
>>>>> shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>>>>> observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping
>> you
>>>>> can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across.
>> It's
>>>>> hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others
>>>>> the
>>>>> same way.
>>>>>
>>>>> To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between
>>>>> helping
>>>>> the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in
>>>>> hopes
>>>> of
>>>>> changing a society. Monumental difference.
>>>>>

>>>> In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>>>> community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to
>>>> expand
>>>> what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the
>>>> effect
>>>> is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>>>> personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of
>>>> us
>>>> are
>>>> guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do
>> the
>>>> work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better
>>>> for
>>>> it,
>>>> when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>>>> guilty of that. Just a thought.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Detric
>>>>
>>>> On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could
>>>> and
>>>>> needs to be reworked..
>>>>>
>>>>> But, I totaly disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>>>>> companys,farmers,this
>>>>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if
>>>>> you
>>>> will.
>>>>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for
>>>>> things
>>>>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a
>>
>>>>> helphand,
>>>>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..
>>>>>
>>>>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You
>>>>> take
>>>> out
>>>>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>>>>> I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been
>>>>> denied
>>>> (even
>>>>> through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans

>>>>>
>>>>> So, you can teach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
>>>> he
>>>>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified,
>>>>> then
>>>> what??
>>>>> I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do
>>
>>>>> believe
>>>>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by
>>>>> some
>>>>> unbelievable
>>>>> obstacles that would have made most quit..
>>>>>
>>>>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle
>>>>> education
>>>> is
>>>>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These
>>>>> kids
>>>> are
>>>>> out of control. Regardless of race. The teachers are of at
>>>>> cross-roads
>>>> with
>>>>> this generation teenagers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
>>>> major
>>>>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka
>>
>>>>> Blue
>>>>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
>>>> poor.
>>>>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
>>>>> others,needed
>>>>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
>>>> public
>>>>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded
>>>>>> systems).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to
>>>>>> this
>>>>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>>>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
>>>> or

>>>>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality
>> of
>>>>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity
>> and
>>>>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it
>> will
>>>>>> take
>>>>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work -
>>>>>> it's
>>>>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
>>>> for
>>>>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private
>>>>>> innovation
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a
>>
>>>>>> problem.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public
>>>>>> school
>>>> (IB
>>>>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level,
>>>>>> problems
>>>>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I
>>>>>> attended
>>>>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing)
>>>>>> suburban
>>>>>> and
>>>>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
>>>> our
>>>>>> 2
>>>>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago,
>> and
>>>>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more
>>>>>> widespread
>>>>>> at
>>>>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more
>>
>>>>>> money
>>>>>> at
>>>>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
>>>> which
>>>>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
>>>> a given
>>>>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or
>>
>>>>>> local
>>>>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant,

>>>>>> shoot
>>>>> one
>>>>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and
>>>>>> becomes
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better
>>>>>> opportunities
>>>>>> and
>>>>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long.
>>>>>> Schools
>>>>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might
>> be
>>>>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the
>>>>>> history
>>>>>> of
>>>>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus
>>>>>> proposes,
>>>> it's
>>>>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to
>> be
>>>>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
>>>> are.
>>>>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts
>>>>>> and
>>>> the
>>>>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims
>>
>>>>>> rather
>>>>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've
>>>>>> all
>>>> heard
>>>>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
>>>> Teach
>>>>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But
>> if
>>>> he
>>>>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one,
>>>>>> he'll
>>>>>> be
>>>>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>>>>>> considering stealing.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are
>>>>>> only

>>>> what
>>>>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only
>>>>>> what
>>>> our
>>>>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>>>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte
>>>>>> blanche
>>>> for
>>>>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
>>>> cause.

>>>>>> Ralph Waldo Emerson
>>>>>>
>>>>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when
>>
>>>>>> they
>>>>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful -
>> it
>>>> takes
>>>>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home
>> or
>>>> in
>>>>>> our
>>>>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the
>>>>>> education
>>>>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,
>>>> but
>>>>>> if
>>>>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change,
>>>>>> then
>>>>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities
>>>> is
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> most certain way to solve a problem.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your
>>>>>> citizenship?
>>>>>> :-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for
>>>>>> this
>>>> week.
>>>>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my
>>>>>> time
>>>>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>>>>>>

>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>
>>>>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates
>>>>>>> (re-inventing
>>>>>>>) the
>>>>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2
>>>>>>> year
>>>>>>>)
>>>>>>> old
>>>>>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a
>>>>>>> horrible.
>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the
>>>>>>> teachers.
>>>>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this
>>>>>>> community,
>>>>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>>>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still
>>>>>>> students
>>>>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President
>>>>>>> (Bush)
>>>>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then,
>>>>>>> stole
>>>>>>> major
>>>>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last
>> 20
>>>>>>> years)
>>>>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> name of NAational Security. We so behind in so many areas it's
>>>>>>> saddening
>>>>>>> to

>>>>>>> think about it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't
>>>>>>> know
>>>> RP's
>>>>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have
>>>>>>> views
>>>>>>> we
>>>>>>> would all object to,
>>>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole
>>>>>>> boy
>>>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may
>> well
>>>>>>> be
>>>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
>>>> but
>>>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are
>>>>>>> significantly
>>>>>>> more
>>>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company)
>>>>>>> trying
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
>>>> across
>>>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?
>>>> That's
>>>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level,
>> but
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad
>> the
>>>>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from

>>>>>>> schools
>>>>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless
>>>>>>> teachers,
>>>>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.
>>>> No,
>>>>> it's
>>>>>>> a public problem that
>>>>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
>>>> make
>>>>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's
>>>>>>> program
>>>>>>> aims
>>>>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he
>>>>>>> estimates
>>>>>>> 20
>>>>>>> years to work through the
>>>>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school
>>>>>>> system.
>>>>>>> Doing
>>>>>>> away with it just might
>>>>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with
>> over
>>>>>>> 80,000
>>>>>>> in a private school system
>>>>>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written
>>>>>>> views
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>> domestic
>>>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When
>>>>>>>> questioned
>>>>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>>>>> supporting
>>>>>>>> those controversial views.

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education:
>>>>>>>>>> Code
>>>> word
>>>>>>>>>> for:
>>>>>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and
>> the
>>>>>>>>>> so-called
>>>>>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is
>>>>>>>>>> old
>>>> Texas
>>>>>>>>>> style politics..
>>>>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to
>>>>>>>>>> know
>>>> those
>>>>>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race
>>>>>>>>>> relations?
>>>>>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in
>>>>>>>>>> addressing
>>>>>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I
>>>>>>>>>> agree
>>>> with
>>>>>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be
>>>> racial,
>>>>>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may
>> or
>>>> may
>>>>>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to
>>>>>>>>>> solutions.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the
>>>> code
>>>>>>>>>> word
>>>>>>>>>> of Racist. I's a disguised language of codes words. When
>>>>>>>>>> interviews
>>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>>>> Russert,

>>>>>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on
>>>> about
>>>>>>>>> his
>>>>>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then
>>>>>>>>>> "cracked"..
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right
>>>>>>>>>> things
>>>> about
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude whats to
>> take
>>>>>>>>>> America
>>>>>>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good.
>>>>>>>>>> Therefore,
>>>>>>>>>> he
>>>>>>>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>>>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720 -ac15-4532a
>>>>>>>>>>> 7d
>>>>>>>>>>> a84ca
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> This is not good...
>>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 18:36:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Let me just state, I totally disagree with you points..All Of them.

That's why we have a divided nation..

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>So are you poor or just looking for sympathy at someone else's expense?

>Sorry to be so blunt, but that's what it sounds like. To paraphrase your

>admonishment,

>if you aren't poor, then you have no right to fight a poor man's fight.

>You are also still

>making "your types" generalizations, and I don't appreciate it. You don't

>know what you

>are talking about and again, no offense, but you are using race as a crutch,

>and I find that offensive.

>

>I personally know people who've seen more hard times and challenges than you

>could imagine,

>and I know for certain they would be insulted by your approach and attitude

>to what one needs to do personally to

> succeed in this country or any other, regardless of race. I'm not going to

>state their race because imho, their race isn't important - who they are and

>what they've accomplished in life is (no, these aren't millionaires - just

>people who've refused

>to use race as an excuse).

>

>1 - we were talking about education, not welfare, health care or feeding the

>poor. Handouts don't make people want to become more educated, and lack of

>handouts don't prevent people from going to the public library, doing their

>homework, or listening in class. Granted not all schools have great

>teachers, but all schools have students that don't try. Sorry, but you

>won't get any sympathy by claiming that the "pull yourself up" mentality is

>wrong and a "white man's" point of view. See my previous paragraph for the

>reasons why.

>

>2 - you said: "But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work

>". People, companys,farmers,this

> country needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you

>will."

>

>Therein lies the problem - "have expected handouts". Any program that gives

>handouts on a regular basis

>with no effective method of turning that handout into a basis for an

>individual to work on their own just creates a dependancy

>rather than true effective change. Like I said, helping each other is one

>of the best things we can do

>with our time and resources, but creating dependancy on handouts is

>dangerous. We all need help from time to
>time, and as a society I agree - the balance of wealth is dangerously skewed

>in many areas: artist, entertainers, and sports stars
>are at the top of my list, yet that's one area most people are happy to

>spend money on without a second thought of that imbalance, regardless of

>their economic capabilities. No need to talk about corporate greed - we're

>on the same
>page there, but I think your view that "Those Billionaires love to keep us

>scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save.." is

>about is victim minded as I've heard.
>Really Lamont, if you feel that oppressed by corporate America, just buy

>Japanese and Chinese. ;-)) It's easier
>than buying American. ;-)) Hope you see the sad humor in that given you are

>from the Detroit area - the home
>of companies that have for years resisted alternative fuels, more efficient

>and enduring engines, supporting the one
>industry that has more wealth than our country, and holds the whole world by

>the cohomones - oil.

>

>Oh.... 3 - you actually don't know what my race is, so aren't you just
>reading my post, point of view,
>and judging my race based on your own perception of stereotypes? How much

>more racist could one possibly be?
>Imho the place to stop racism is by individually ceasing to judge people's

>potential based on skin color, and judging their
>skin color based on their point of view. The rest will follow. Don't judge

>just because you think it makes your argument
>stronger. Quite the opposite.

>

>Regards,
>Dedric

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:478633ad@linux...
>>

>> Good Morning,
>>
>> I apologize if my statements come off as racist, they are not..Just a fact
>> from a Black man in America. Our view points are differnt because our

>> cultural
>> upbringing.
>>
>> I disagree with you about giving and so-called gov freebies. First, it's
>> not the Govs money. It's our. So, we the people have a right to choose
how
>> to spend it. Helping people in need is Trait from God. He (God) does not
>> turn his back on us when we ar e in need, so why are to turn a blind eye
>> to someone who is?
>>
>> Not to mention, Corp bailouts all in name ad cause of "good for the
>> economy"..Oh
>> Yeah, what about all those who have been and are facing foreclosure..

>> Should
>> we not help them?
>>
>> You are speweing right-wing libritarian nonsense that keeps us poor folks
>> arguing over "scraps".Meanwhile the rich just keep getting richer and

>> richer.
>> And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it

>> on..
>>
>> It's all about the 'Distribution Of Wealth'. \$\$\$\$ Nothing more, nothing

>> less.
>> Those Billionairs love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
>> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save..
>>
>> Unless you are rich, you have no right to "fight/debate a richman's
>> fight".
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and
>>>prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is directed
>>>at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and

>> I
>>>don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online

>>>(that
>>>would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>>>someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical white
>>>man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking
>>>about.
>>>None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever.
>>>That's
>>>shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>>>observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping
you
>>>can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across.
It's
>>>hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the
>>>same way.
>>>
>>>To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between helping
>>>the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes
>> of
>>>changing a society. Monumental difference.
>>>
>>>In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>>>community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to expand
>>>what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the
>>>effect
>>>is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>>>personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us
>> are
>>>guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do
the
>>>work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for
>> it,
>>>when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>>>guilty of that. Just a thought.
>>>
>>>Regards,
>>>Dedric
>>>
>>>On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>><jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could
>> and
>>>> needs to be reworked..

>>>>
>>>> But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>>>> companys,farmers,this
>>>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
>> will.
>>>>
>>>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things
>>>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a

>>>> helphand,
>>>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..
>>>>
>>>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take
>> out
>>>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>>>> I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been denied
>> (even
>>>> through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans
>>>>
>>>> So, you can traach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
>> he
>>>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then
>> what??
>>>>
>>>> I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do

>>>> belive
>>>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
>>>> unbelievable
>>>> obstacales that would have made most quit..
>>>>
>>>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education
>> is
>>>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids
>> are
>>>> out of control. Reguardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads
>> with
>>>> this generation teeangers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
>> major
>>>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>>>
>>>> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka

>>>> Blue
>>>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
>> poor.
>>>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
>>>> others,needed

>>>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
>> public
>>>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded
>>>>> systems).
>>>>>
>>>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>>>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
>> or
>>>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality
>>>>> of
>>>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity
>>>>> and
>>>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it
>>>>> will
>>>> take
>>>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>>>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
>> for
>>>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private
>>>>> innovation
>>>> is
>>>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a

>>>>> problem.
>>>>>
>>>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school
>> (IB
>>>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level,
>>>>> problems
>>>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I
>>>>> attended
>>>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing)
>>>>> suburban
>>>> and
>>>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
>> our
>>>> 2
>>>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago,
>>>>> and
>>>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
>>>> at
>>>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more

>>>> money
>>>> at
>>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
>> which
>>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
>> a given
>>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or

>>>> local
>>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
>>>> one
>>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and
>>>> becomes
>>>> a
>>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
>>>> and
>>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long.
>>>> Schools
>>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might
be
>>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the
>>>> history
>>>> of
>>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>>
>>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes,
>> it's
>>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to
be
>>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
>> are.
>>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and
>> the
>>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>>
>>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims

>>>> rather
>>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all
>> heard
>>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
>> Teach
>>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But
if
>> he
>>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
>>>> be
>>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start

>>>> considering stealing.
>>>>
>>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only
>> what
>>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what
>> our
>>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche
>> for
>>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
>> cause.
>>>>

>>>> Ralph Waldo Emerson

>>>>

>>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when

>>>> they

>>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful -
it

>> takes

>>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home
or

>> in

>>>> our

>>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the

>>>> education

>>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.

>>>>

>>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,

>> but

>>>> if

>>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then

>>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities

>> is

>>>> the

>>>> most certain way to solve a problem.

>>>>

>>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your

>>>> citizenship?

>>>> :-)

>>>>

>>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this

>> week.

>>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time

>>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))

>>>>

>>>> Regards,

>>>> Dedic
>>>>
>>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing
>>>>) the
>>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>>
>>>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year
>>)
>>>> old
>>>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible.
>> The
>>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the
>>>>> teachers.
>>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this
>>>>> community,
>>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>>
>>>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still
>> students
>>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>>
>>>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President
>> (Bush)
>>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
>>>> major
>>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>>
>>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last
>>>> 20
>>>> years)
>>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology
>> in
>>>> the
>>>>> name of NATional Security. We so behind in so many areas it's
>>>>> saddening
>>>> to
>>>>> think about it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know
>> RP's
>>>>>
>>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
>>> we
>>>>>
>>>>> would all object to,
>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>
>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may
well
>>>> be
>>>>>
>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
>> but
>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are
>>>>> significantly
>>>>>
>>>>> more
>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>
>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company)
>>>>> trying
>>>> to
>>>>>
>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
>> across
>>>>>
>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?
>> That's
>>>>>
>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level,
but
>>>> a
>>>>>
>>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad
the
>>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.

>>>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless
>>>>>> teachers,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.
>> No,
>>>> it's
>>>>>>
>>>>>> a public problem that
>>>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
>> make
>>>>>>
>>>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
>>>> aims
>>>>>>
>>>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates
>>>> 20
>>>>>>
>>>>>> years to work through the
>>>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
>>>> Doing
>>>>>>
>>>>>> away with it just might
>>>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with
over
>>>> 80,000
>>>>>>
>>>>>> in a private school system
>>>>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views
>> on
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> domestic
>>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When

>>>>>>> questioned
>>>>>>> about those things he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>>>> supporting
>>>>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code
>> word
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> for:
>>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and
the
>>>>>>> so-called
>>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old
>> Texas
>>>>>>> style politics..
>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know
>> those
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race
>>>>>>>> relations?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree
>> with
>>>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be
>> racial,
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may
or
>> may
>>>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the
>> code
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> word
>>>>>>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When

>>>>>>>> interviews
 >>>>> by
 >>>>>>> Russert,
 >>>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on
 >> about
 >>>>>>> his
 >>>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things
 >> about
 >>>>>>>> the
 >>>>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude whats to
 take
 >>>>>>>>> America
 >>>>>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good.
 >>>>>>>>> Therefore,
 >>>>>>>> he
 >>>>>>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
 >>>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
 >>>>>>>>> http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720 -ac15-4532a
 >>>>>>>>>> 7d
 >>>>>>>>>>> a84ca
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>> This is not good...
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>
 >>>
 >>>
 >>
 >>
 >

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 20:03:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well put, Don. Part of the problem is that demonization is stock in trade with some kinds of political talk programming.

Next time you hear a self-appointed political pundit use a derisive term for someone he or she merely disagrees with, turn off the radio/TV/web site and walk away.

Demonization is only good for dividing us, and why should we fall for

that? Are we so insecure with out beliefs that we must disrespect others merely for having a different opinion?

And anyway, if the pundits in question had actual factual support for whatever points of view they were flogging, derisive terms would be totally unnecessary.

We're in for a long election season, stand by with the off buttons. That goes for negative political commercials, too.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

DC wrote:

- > The truth is found, not in rhetoric, but in relationship.
- >
- > Too many black people have no white friends, and too many white
- > people have no black friends.
- >
- > Too many lefties have no conservative friends, and of course
- > Too many conservatives have no liberal friends...
- >
- > Relationships will open your eyes.
- >
- > Personally, I think equality for all is a basic conservative
- > position. There are millions of black people who feel this
- > way. They will be an important force in this country in
- > the next decade.
- >
- > I think the right needs to re-embrace
- > both civil rights and black people to be become what it
- > should be.
- >
- > DC

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 20:40:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The truth is found, not in rhetoric, but in relationship.

Too many black people have no white friends, and too many white people have no black friends.

Too many lefties have no conservative friends, and of course

Too many conservatives have no liberal friends...

Relationships will open your eyes.

Personally, I think equality for all is a basic conservative position. There are millions of black people who feel this way. They will be an important force in this country in the next decade.

I think the right needs to re-embrace both civil rights and black people to be become what it should be.

DC

Subject: Re: LaMont was right... RP responds
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 22:22:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, I believe him. Take a look at his congressional voting record and see if it does not align tightly with what he says is his platform. To me a voting record consistent with your candidacy promises and stated beliefs shows integrity and i like that in a candidate.

DC wrote:

> <http://www.ronpaul2008.com/press-releases/125/ron-paul-statement-on-the-new-republic-article-regarding-old-newsletters>

>

> Sorry, I find this unconvincing.

>

> Essentially, RP says:

>

> Old News

>

> Already Dealt With

>

> Rehashed

>

> I didn't write that stuff

>

> Dirty Trick on day of NH primary

>

> I am sorry for not editing those comments more closely

>

> I love MLK!

>

>

> Sorry, no sale... I suspect those newsletters were unattributed
> (no author listed) for precisely these reasons: they would then
> be deniable.
>
> They went out under his name. He is responsible.
>
> Now, if those stupid articles had come out under MY name,
> I would have resigned from the org and LOUDLY denounced the
> author by name the next morning.
> If RP had done that, all he would have to do is quote himself.
> He did not. He is responsible for those stupid and ignorant
> articles.
>
> I don't think he is a closet kluxer,
> but those articles describe how he really feels IMO.
>
> And if it was a dirty trick, why in hell use it now? Paul is not
> a factor in the race at this point. If it was a dirty trick, you save
> it for when he becomes a real threat to win, then you drop
> the bomb.
>
> And, suppose a real kluxer, (or former kluxer) say Dukes or Byrd,
> was running. Would you buy the "old news" defense?
>
> We need a Libertarian candidate to run. Not this one however.
>
> DC

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 22:27:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ron Paul clearly says the we, (our Government) Should take care of our homeless and poor, and he doesn't care what race they are. He also says we should help them get on their feet. As opposed to handing out billions of dollars like breath mints to the rest of the world. He wants to get rid of the useless federal bureaucracies. He wants the government to focus on we the people, and not the bureaucracies. Go check out his web site and watch his speeches.

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>Good Morning,
>
>I apologize if my statements come off as racist, they are not..Just a fact
>from a Black man in America. Our view points are differnt because our cultural

>upbringing.

>

>I disagree with you about giving and so-called gov freebies. First, it's
>not the Govs money. It's our. So, we the people have a right to choose how
>to spend it. Helping people in need is Trait from God. He (God) does not
>turn his back on us when we ar e in need, so why are to turn a blind eye
>to someone who is?

>

>Not to mention, Corp bailouts all in name ad cause of "good for the economy"..Oh
>Yeah, what about all those who have been and are facing foreclosure.. Should
>we not help them?

>

>You are speweing right-wing libritarian nonsense that keeps us poor folks
>arguing over "scraps".Meanwhile the rich just keep getting richer and richer.
>And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it on..

>

>It's all about the 'Distribution Of Wealth". \$\$\$\$ Nothing more, nothing
less.

>Those Billionairs love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
>while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save..

>

>Unless you are rich, you have no right to "fight/debate a richman's fight".

>

>

>

>

>

>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and
>>prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is directed
>>at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and
>I

>>don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online
(that

>>would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>>someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical white
>>man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking about.
>>None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever. That's
>>shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>>observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping
you

>>can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across. It's
>>hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the
>>same way.

>>

>>To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between helping
>>the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes
>of

>>changing a society. Monumental difference.
>>
>>In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>>community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to expand
>>what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the effect
>>is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>>personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us
>are
>>guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do
the
>>work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for
>it,
>>when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>>guilty of that. Just a thought.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Dedric
>>
>>On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could
>and
>>> needs to be reworked..
>>>
>>> But, I totally disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>>> companys,farmers,this
>>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
>will.
>>>
>>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things
>>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a
helphand,
>>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..
>>>
>>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take
>out
>>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>>> I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been denied
>(even
>>> through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans
>>>
>>> So, you can traach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
>he
>>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then
>what??
>>>

>>> I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do believe
>>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
>>> unbelievable
>>> obstacales that would have made most quit..
>>>
>>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education
>is
>>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids
>are
>>> out of control. Reguardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads
>with
>>> this generation teeangers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
>major
>>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>>
>>> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka
>Blue
>>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
>poor.
>>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
>>> others,needed
>>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>>
>>>
>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
>public
>>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded systems).
>>>>
>>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
>or
>>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality
>of
>>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity
>and
>>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it will
>>> take
>>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
>for
>>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private innovation
>>> is
>>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a
>problem.
>>>>
>>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school

>(IB
>>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level, problems
>>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I attended
>>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing) suburban
>>> and
>>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
>our
>>> 2
>>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago, and
>>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
>>> at
>>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more
money
>>> at
>>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
>which
>>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
>a given
>>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or
local
>>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
>>> one
>>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and becomes
>>> a
>>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
>>> and
>>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long. Schools
>>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might be
>>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the history
>>> of
>>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>>
>>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes,
>it's
>>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to
be
>>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
>are.
>>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and
>the
>>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>>
>>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims
rather
>>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all
>heard
>>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
> Teach

>>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But if
>he
>>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
>>> be
>>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>>>> considering stealing.
>>>>
>>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only
>what
>>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what
>our
>>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche
>for
>>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
>cause.
>>>>

>>>>
>>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when
they
>>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful - it
>takes
>>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home or
>in
>>> our
>>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the education
>>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>>>
>>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,
>but
>>> if
>>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then
>>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities
>is
>>> the
>>>> most certain way to solve a problem.
>>>>
>>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your citizenship?
>>>> :-)
>>>>
>>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this
>week.
>>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>>>>
>>>> Regards,

>>>> Dedric
>>>>
>>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing
>>>>) the
>>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>>
>>>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year
>>>>)
>>>> old
>>>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible.
>The
>>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the teachers.
>>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this community,
>>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>>
>>>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still
>students
>>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>>
>>>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President
>(Bush)
>>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
>>>> major
>>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>>
>>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last
>>>> 20
>>>> years)
>>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology
>in
>>>> the
>>>>> name of NAational Security. We so behind in so many areas it's saddening
>>>> to
>>>>> think about it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know
>RP's
>>>>>

>>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
>>> we
>>>>>
>>>>> would all object to,
>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>
>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well
>>> be
>>>>>
>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
>but
>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly
>>>>>
>>>>> more
>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>
>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying
>>> to
>>>>>
>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
>across
>>>>>
>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?
> That's
>>>>>
>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level,
but
>>> a
>>>>>
>>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad
the
>>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>>
>>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,
>>>>>
>>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.

>No,
>>> it's
>>>>
>>>>> a public problem that
>>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
>make
>>>>>
>>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
>>> aims
>>>>>
>>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates
>>> 20
>>>>>
>>>>> years to work through the
>>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
>>> Doing
>>>>>
>>>>> away with it just might
>>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over
>>> 80,000
>>>>>
>>>>> in a private school system
>>>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views
>on
>>>>>
>>>>>> domestic
>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned
>>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>>> supporting
>>>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code
>word
>>>>>
>>>>>>> for:

>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and
the
>>>>>> so-called
>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old
>Texas
>>>>>> style politics..
>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know
>those
>>>>>>
>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree
>with
>>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be
>racial,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or
>may
>>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the
>code
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> word
>>>>>>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviews
>>>>> by
>>>>>>> Russert,
>>>>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on
>about
>>>>>>> his
>>>>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things
>about
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take

>> I apologize if my statements come off as racist, they are not..Just a fact
>>from a Black man in America. Our view points are differnt because our cultural
>> upbringing.
>>
>> I disagree with you about giving and so-called gov freebies. First, it's
>> not the Govs money. It's our. So, we the people have a right to choose how
>> to spend it. Helping people in need is Trait from God. He (God) does not
>> turn his back on us when we ar e in need, so why are to turn a blind eye
>> to someone who is?
>>
>> Not to mention, Corp bailouts all in name ad cause of "good for the economy"..Oh
>> Yeah, what about all those who have been and are facing foreclosure.. Should
>> we not help them?
>>
>> You are speweing right-wing libritarian nonsense that keeps us poor folks
>> arguing over "scraps".Meanwhile the rich just keep getting richer and richer.
>> And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it on..
>>
>> It's all about the 'Distribution Of Wealth". \$\$\$\$ Nothing more, nothing
> less.
>> Those Billionairs love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
>> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save..
>>
>> Unless you are rich, you have no right to "fight/debate a richman's fight".
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and
>>> prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is directed
>>> at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and
>> I
>>> don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online
> (that
>>> would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>>> someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical white
>>> man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking about.
>>> None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever. That's
>>> shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>>> observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping
> you
>>> can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across. It's
>>> hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the
>>> same way.
>>>
>>> To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between helping

>>> the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes
>> of
>>> changing a society. Monumental difference.
>>>
>>> In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>>> community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to expand
>>> what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the effect
>>> is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>>> personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us
>> are
>>> guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do
> the
>>> work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for
>> it,
>>> when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>>> guilty of that. Just a thought.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could
>> and
>>>> needs to be reworked..
>>>>
>>>> But, I totaly disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>>>> companys,farmers,this
>>>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
>> will.
>>>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things
>>>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a
> helphand,
>>>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..
>>>>
>>>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take
>> out
>>>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>>>> I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been denied
>> (even
>>>> through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans
>>>>
>>>> So, you can traach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
>> he
>>>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then
>> what??
>>>> I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do belive

>>>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
>>>> unbelievable
>>>> obstacales that would have made most quit..
>>>>
>>>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education
>> is
>>>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids
>> are
>>>> out of control. Reguardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads
>> with
>>>> this generation teeangers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
>> major
>>>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>>>
>>>> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka
> Blue
>>>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
>> poor.
>>>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
>>>> others,needed
>>>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
>> public
>>>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded systems).
>>>>>
>>>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>>>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
>> or
>>>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality
> of
>>>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity
> and
>>>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it will
>>>> take
>>>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>>>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
>> for
>>>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private innovation
>>>> is
>>>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a
> problem.
>>>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school
>> (IB
>>>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level, problems

>>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I attended
>>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing) suburban
>>>> and
>>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
>> our
>>>> 2
>>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago, and
>>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
>>>> at
>>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more
> money
>>>> at
>>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
>> which
>>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
>> a given
>>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or
> local
>>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
>>>> one
>>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and becomes
>>>> a
>>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
>>>> and
>>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long. Schools
>>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might be
>>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the history
>>>> of
>>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes,
>> it's
>>>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to
> be
>>>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
>> are.
>>>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and
>> the
>>>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>>>
>>>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims
> rather
>>>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all
>> heard
>>>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
>> Teach
>>>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But if
>> he

>>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
>>>> be
>>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>>>> considering stealing.
>>>>
>>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only
>> what
>>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what
>> our
>>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche
>> for
>>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
>> cause.

>>>> Ralph Waldo Emerson
>>>>
>>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when
> they
>>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful - it
>> takes
>>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home or
>> in
>>>> our
>>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the education
>>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>>>
>>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,
>> but
>>>> if
>>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then
>>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities
>> is
>>>> the
>>>> most certain way to solve a problem.
>>>>
>>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your citizenship?
>>>> :-)
>>>>
>>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this
>> week.
>>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Dedic
>>>>
>>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>

>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing
>>>>>) the
>>>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year
>>)
>>>>> old
>>>>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible.
>> The
>>>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the teachers.
>>>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this community,
>>>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still
>> students
>>>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President
>> (Bush)
>>>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
>>>> major
>>>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last
> 20
>>>> years)
>>>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology
>> in
>>>> the
>>>>>> name of NATional Security. We so behind in so many areas it's saddening
>>>> to
>>>>>> think about it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know
>> RP's
>>>>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
>>>> we
>>>>>>> would all object to,
>>>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy

>>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well
>>>> be
>>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
>> but
>>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly
>>>>>> more
>>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying
>>>> to
>>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
>> across
>>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?
>> That's
>>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level,
> but
>>>> a
>>>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad
> the
>>>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,
>>>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.
>
>> No,
>>>> it's
>>>>>> a public problem that
>>>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
>> make
>>>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
>>>> aims
>>>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates

>>>> 20
>>>>>> years to work through the
>>>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
>>>> Doing
>>>>>> away with it just might
>>>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over
>>>> 80,000
>>>>>> in a private school system
>>>>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views
>> on
>>>>>>> domestic
>>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned
>>>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even
>>>>>>> supporting
>>>>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code
>> word
>>>>>>> for:
>>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and
> the
>>>>>>> so-called
>>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old
>> Texas
>>>>>>> style politics..
>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know
>> those
>>>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>>>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree
>> with
>>>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be

>> racial,
>>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or
>> may
>>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the
>> code
>>>>>>> word
>>>>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviewed
>>>>> by
>>>>>>> Russert,
>>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on
>> about
>>>>>>> his
>>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> He's a Texan Racist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things
>> about
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude wants to take
>>>>>>> America
>>>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
>>>>>>> he
>>>>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a>
>>>>>>>> 7d
>>>>>>>> a84ca
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> This is not good...
>

Subject: Re: LaMont was right... RP responds
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 23:09:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.ronpaul2008.com/press-releases/125/ron-paul-state-ment-on-the-new-republic-article-regarding-old-newsletters>

Sorry, I find this unconvincing.

Essentially, RP says:

Old News

Already Dealt With

Rehashed

I didn't write that stuff

Dirty Trick on day of NH primary

I am sorry for not editing those comments more closely

I love MLK!

Sorry, no sale... I suspect those newsletters were unattributed (no author listed) for precisely these reasons: they would then be deniable.

They went out under his name. He is responsible.

Now, if those stupid articles had come out under MY name, I would have resigned from the org and LOUDLY denounced the author by name the next morning.

If RP had done that, all he would have to do is quote himself.

He did not. He is responsible for those stupid and ignorant articles.

I don't think he is a closet kluxer, but those articles describe how he really feels IMO.

And if it was a dirty trick, why in hell use it now? Paul is not a factor in the race at this point. If it was a dirty trick, you save it for when he becomes a real threat to win, then you drop the bomb.

And, suppose a real kluxer, (or former kluxer) say Dukes or Byrd, was running. Would you buy the "old news" defense?

We need a Libertarian candidate to run. Not this one however.

DC

Subject: Re: LaMont was right...
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 11 Jan 2008 12:27:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

at least he didn't say a 1000 years...;o)

On Thu, 10 Jan 2008 17:31:12 -0500, Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com>
wrote:

>Leno had Paul on the other night when he was excluded from the recent
>Fox Republican debate (I love Leno for that) and he said he would pull
>every soldier (about 1/2 mill) we have on foreign soil back home and
>save us hundreds of billions a year. We don't want to and frankly can
>not afford to be the policemen of the world.

>

>Meanwhile McCain says he will stick in Iraq for the next 100 years if it
>takes that long. What the FUCK! That is the stupidest statement I have
>heard form any candidate. I'd vote for Obama over him.

>

>James McCloskey wrote:

>> Ron Paul clearly says the we, (our Government) Should take care of our homeless
>> and poor, and he doesn't care what race they are. He also says we should
>> help them get on their feet. As opposed to handing out billions of dollars
>> like breath mints to the rest of the world. He wants to get rid of the useless
>> federal bureaucracies. He wants the government to focus on we the people,
>> and not the bureaucracies. Go check out his web site and watch his speeches.

>>

>>

>>

>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>> Good Morning,

>>>

>>> I apologize if my statements come off as racist, they are not..Just a fact
>>>from a Black man in America. Our view points are differnt because our cultural
>>> upbrining.

>>>

>>> I disagree with you about giving and so-called gov freebies. First, it's
>>> not the Govs money. It's our. So, we the people have a right to choose how
>>> to spend it. Helping people in need is Trait from God. He (God) does not
>>> turn his back on us when we ar e in need, so why are to turn a blind eye
>>> to someone who is?

>>>

>>> Not to mention, Corp bailouts all in name ad cause of "good for the economy"..Oh
>>> Yeah, what about all those who have been and are facing foreclosure.. Should
>>> we not help them?

>>>

>>> You are speweing right-wing libritarian nonsense that keeps us poor folks
>>> arguing over "scraps".Meanwhile the rich just keep getting richer and richer.
>>> And all your types do is look at a brand or group of folks to blame it on..

>>>

>>> It's all about the 'Distribution Of Wealth". \$\$\$\$ Nothing more, nothing
>> less.

>>> Those Billionaires love to keep us scabbling and blaming, pointing fingers,
>>> while they continue to rape us of what little monies we try to save..
>>>
>>> Unless you are rich, you have no right to "fight/debate a richman's fight".
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> Lamont, don't take offense to this, but your post sounds as racist and
>>>> prejudiced as I've heard, and certainly in the context that it is directed
>>>> at me. I'm not going to get into this further as it's going nowhere and
>>>> I
>>>> don't have any interest in sharing my personal life experiences online
>> (that
>>>> would have to be over coffee in person - perhaps we'll have a chance
>>>> someday), but trust me when I say, when referring to me as "typical white
>>>> man" or "your hero Ron Reagan" you have no idea what you are talking about.
>>>> None. You are judging me without knowing me or any facts whatsoever. That's
>>>> shortsighted at best, racist at worst - not an accusation, just an
>>>> observation. Again, no personal offense intended at all - just hoping
>> you
>>>> can see the reality of how your words and point of view come across. It's
>>>> hard to gain sympathy for a problem you may face if you treat others the
>>>> same way.
>>>>
>>>> To end on a less personal note, there is a huge difference between helping
>>>> the poor and handing out token freebies as a government program in hopes
>>> of
>>>> changing a society. Monumental difference.
>>>>
>>>> In truth it's our society that should be helping one another at the
>>>> community, neighborhood and personal level. We develop programs to expand
>>>> what we want to do personally on a smaller scale, but eventually the effect
>>>> is watered down both financially among other costs, and certainly on a
>>>> personal level as there is no personal interaction. I think most of us
>>> are
>>>> guilty of relying on another organization, church, or government to do
>> the
>>>> work while we drop a check in the mail or the basket to feel better for
>>> it,
>>>> when the person who needs a hand most might be next door. I know I am
>>>> guilty of that. Just a thought.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Detric
>>>>

>>>> On 1/10/08 1:18 AM, in article 4785c6ad\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> I would agree withg you that some federal spending on education could
>>> and
>>>>> needs to be reworked..
>>>>>
>>>>> But, I totaly disagree with you about "Handouts never work ". People,
>>>>> companys,farmers,this
>>>>> country) needs bailouts , and have excpeted handouts, bailouts if you
>>> will.
>>>>> If you are a spiritual man, than you lean to rely on the Lord for things
>>>>> that you can't do. Bottom line, everybody form time to time needs a
>> helphand,
>>>>> and yes a blessing, gift, handout, bailout..
>>>>>
>>>>> Your kind of thinking is a typical white man's point of view. You take
>>> out
>>>>> the race. This country is not fair to all. Do we agree on that?
>>>>> I know of folks (African Americans)who have tried but have been denied
>>> (even
>>>>> through qualified) oportunities,jobs, houses, loans
>>>>>
>>>>> So, you can traach a man to fish hunt, But, if that man, is told that
>>> he
>>>>> can't hunt nor fish on this land or pond, or he is not qualified, then
>>> what??
>>>>> I do'nt buy this pull you self up lingo in this country. Wait, I do belive
>>>>> that if you are white.. And, yes, some of us blacks do make in by some
>>>>> unbelievable
>>>>> obstacales that would have made most quit..
>>>>>
>>>>> Back to education: I would say that our elementary and middle education
>>> is
>>>>> fine...but, it's the high schools, and better the students..These kids
>>> are
>>>>> out of control. Reguardless of race. The teachers are of at cross-roads
>>> with
>>>>> this generation teeangers. This is all behavioral. Hip-Hop has had an
>>> major
>>>>> -downside effect on American Teens..
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't have an answer to that..BTW, I'm a conservative Democrat(Aka
>> Blue
>>>>> Dog-Christain). I give Tithes and offering to help and feed/cloth the
>>> poor.
>>>>> Your hero Ron Reagan was on Welfare. Did'nt stay on it, but like many
>>>>> others,needed

>>>> that helping hand (AKa Hand out)..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> Hi Lamont - so you agree with me that urban school systems, and many
>>> public
>>>>> schools are not working under "status quo" (e.g. federally funded systems).
>>>>>
>>>>> For reference, my info is from people who have dedicated years to this
>>>>> cause, so it isn't idle speculation or personal frustration, and the
>>>>> solution isn't even in the ballpark of a government program, policy,
>>> or
>>>>> program. There are simple solutions that could improve the quality
>> of
>>>>> education for each child in this country, but due to the complexity
>> and
>>>>> sluggish nature of our education system (being federally tied), it will
>>>>> take
>>>>> decades to make a dent, if at all. I've seen the program work - it's
>>>>> phenomenal and could do what no amount of federal funding could, and
>>> for
>>>>> pennies per child, if not free. That's where personal/private innovation
>>>>> is
>>>>> drastically more effective than waiting for a government to solve a
>> problem.
>>>>> For additional reference, my son goes to an alternative public school
>>> (IB
>>>>> program) and it is excellent. Granted, at the elementary level, problems
>>>>> aren't as bad as higher grades (though there are exceptions). I attended
>>>>> schools in all parts of our home town (as a product of bussing) suburban
>>>>> and
>>>>> urban - some were good, some were just okay (5 different ones due to
>>> our
>>>>> 2
>>>>> year system, from first to 12th grade). That was a few years ago, and
>>>>> people have changed; society has changed. Problems are more widespread
>>>>> at
>>>>> earlier ages. Is that the government's fault for not throwing more
>> money
>>>>> at
>>>>> the problem? No, it's a failure at the family and individual level,
>>> which
>>>>> transfers to every job and responsibility taken by any individual in
>>> a given
>>>>> community or society. No one from federal or local governments, or
>> local
>>>>> school systems is encouraging young teenagers to become pregnant, shoot
>>>>> one

>>>>> another, or take drugs. That happens as a product of society and becomes
>>>>> a
>>>>> part of every aspect of that society. We can make better opportunities
>>>>> and
>>>>> better environments with enough money, but it only lasts so long. Schools
>>>>> that are now problematic, were probably great 20 years ago. Might be
>>>>> interesting to check the test scores and pass/fail rates for the history
>>>>> of
>>>>> the school in your neighborhood.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you check what Ron Paul and the Republican Liberty Caucus proposes,
>>> it's
>>>>> abolishing the Federal Department of Education - leaving schools to
>> be
>>>>> managed at the state and local levels, which the pretty much already
>>> are.
>>>>> I'm not making a statement either way - just clarifying the facts and
>>> the
>>>>> realities of what causes problems in society.
>>>>>
>>>>> We are fast becoming a bleeding heart, woe-is-me country of victims
>> rather
>>>>> than innovators and problem solvers. Handouts never work - we've all
>>> heard
>>>>> the Chinese proverb - "Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day.
>>> Teach
>>>>> a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"... it is true. But if
>>> he
>>>>> doesn't want to learn to fish and just wants you to give him one, he'll
>>>>> be
>>>>> hungry the next day. If you don't give him one then, he'll start
>>>>> considering stealing.
>>>>>
>>>>> What I personally would discourage is a victim mentality. We are only
>>> what
>>>>> we are individually out of choice, unless you believe we are only what
>>> our
>>>>> environment allows, and nothing more. Circumstances aren't always
>>>>> favorable, or even tolerable, but blaming the government carte blanche
>>> for
>>>>> the ills of society is in fact part of the problem, if not a majority
>>> cause.

>>>>> Ralph Waldo Emerson

>>>>>
>>>>> In support of this, 2/3 of today's billionaires were dirt poor when
>> they
>>>>> started out... it takes more the open doors to become successful - it

>>> takes
>>>>> determination. That's what isn't being taught in schools, at home or
>>> in
>>>>> our
>>>>> society. I know of other billionaires working on revamping the education
>>>>> system - not just the Gates Foundation.
>>>>>
>>>>> The point is, we can change our government until the cows come home,
>>> but
>>>>> if
>>>>> people who work and live at the local level don't want to change, then
>>>>> voting for change is a fool's folly. Making one's own opportunities
>>> is
>>>>> the
>>>>> most certain way to solve a problem.
>>>>>
>>>>> PS: Why do you say "your President"? Have you changed your citizenship?
>>>>> :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> We don't have to go on with this - probably enough OT threads for this
>>> week.
>>>>> Email me offline if you prefer. I think I've about exhausted my time
>>>>> allocation for OT this month. ;-))
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>
>>>>> On 1/9/08 11:15 PM, in article 4785a9ef\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Cont: I'm a big supporter of the Bill and Melinda Gates (re-inventing
>>>>>) the
>>>>>> US educational system. It's horrible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> My wie and I are agonising over what school to send our baby (2 year
>>>>>)
>>>>> old
>>>>>> when shes turns five(school age). These suburban schools a horrible.
>>> The
>>>>>> kids lack manners. Are having sex (in school). Cursing out the teachers.
>>>>>> Girls dress like strippers. Horrible. When we invested into this community,
>>>>>> we did not expect the local school system to be this bad.
>>>>>> ANd, we're told the private schools are not that much better.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> BUT, do i want to kill public education. For it's ills, there still
>>> students
>>>>>> in this nation who are "learning". Can it improve, yes..
>>>>>>

>>>>>> Speaking of the goverment throwing more money..Well, your President
>>> (Bush)
>>>>>> has underfunded the No Child Left Behind program big time. Then, stole
>>>>> major
>>>>>> funding to our public universities, while letting Predatory Student
>>>>>> loan(sharks)
>>>>>> have their way and in-debt students for life..(I digress) :)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What's really broke is America. Our Gov has has been (for the last
>> 20
>>>>> years)
>>>>>> made a concerted effort to dumb us all down andslow down technology
>>> in
>>>>> the
>>>>>> name of NATional Security. We so behind in so many areas it's saddening
>>>>> to
>>>>>> think about it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> I only read part of the originally posted transcript and don't know
>>> RP's
>>>>>>> views on race personally (and
>>>>>>> neither does anyone else apparently) so he may or may not have views
>>>>> we
>>>>>>> would all object to,
>>>>>>> but just have to interject that just saying someone's "good ole boy
>>>>>>> politics" makes them racist
>>>>>>> is in itself stereotyping and just as prejudiced.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> His reasoning for getting rid of the Department of Education may well
>>>>> be
>>>>>>> because it is failing
>>>>>>> to do it's job (I don't know if getting rid of it is the solution,
>>> but
>>>>>>> keeping it "status quo" is not, for certain).
>>>>>>> Charter schools, private and alternative approach schools are significantly
>>>>>>> more
>>>>>>> successful currently (I have inside info to know this).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Lamont, I worked with an entrepreneur (and his partner company) trying
>>>>> to
>>>>>>> change the education system from the inside out,
>>>>>>> starting with inner city schools. Did you know that urban schools
>>> across
>>>>>>> the US have an average
>>>>>>> of 80% student population falling below a basic proficiency level?

>>> That's
>>>>>> basic reading and math - 80%
>>>>>> are below a very basic level - not a higher than realistic level,
>> but
>>>>> a
>>>>>> lower than realistic level.
>>>>>> I don't recall all of the stats, but it blew me away just how bad
>> the
>>>>>> education system is. Atlanta is in terrible shape.
>>>>>> Others are doing poorly as well. These are their stats from schools
>>>>>> systems, not political parties.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Who's failure is that? Politicians in Washington? Not unless teachers,
>>>>>> community leaders and parents
>>>>>> look to Washington for instruction when they get up each morning.
>>
>>> No,
>>>>> it's
>>>>>> a public problem that
>>>>>> "we the people" are ignoring, and it's hard to not put a bit of
>>>>>> responsibility on the students for just
>>>>>> not caring enough to try harder. All the money in the world can't
>>> make
>>>>>> someone want to learn. To
>>>>>> the support of students who haven't had a chance, my friend's program
>>>>> aims
>>>>>> to correct learning problems
>>>>>> that are holding a lot of kids back - and it works - but he estimates
>>>>> 20
>>>>>> years to work through the
>>>>>> red tape and establishment that is the current public school system.
>>>>> Doing
>>>>>> away with it just might
>>>>>> save a few hundred thousand kids. They are already working with over
>>>>> 80,000
>>>>>> in a private school system
>>>>>> (can't get access to public schools....).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...
>>>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views
>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>> domestic
>>>>>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned
>>>>>>>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even

>>>>>>> supporting
>>>>>>> those controversial views.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code
>>> word
>>>>>>> for:
>>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and
>> the
>>>>>>> so-called
>>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old
>>> Texas
>>>>>>> style politics..
>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lamont,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know
>>> those
>>>>>>> words.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?
>>>>>>> I did not catch that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing
>>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree
>>> with
>>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be
>>> racial,
>>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or
>>> may
>>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>> Being a student of racial affairs of this nation, you learn the
>>> code
>>>>>>>> word
>>>>>>>>> of Racist. It's a disguised language of codes words. When interviews
>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>> Russert,
>>>>>>>>> he RP started saying those coded words. When Russert pressed on
>>> about
>>>>>>>> his
>>>>>>>>> past voting record and writings, he got angry and then "cracked"..
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> He's a Texan Reacist. Period. Yeah, he's saying the right things
>>> about

>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> Iraq situation, but on the domestic front, this dude whats to take
>>>>>>>>> America
>>>>>>>>> back into the 1940-50s on race relations. That's not good. Therefore,
>>>>>>>> he
>>>>>>>>> is a Kook..A hack, a Third rate Racist Texas Politician..
>>>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> <http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=e2f15397-a3c7-4720-ac15-4532a>
>>>>>>>>> 7d
>>>>>>>>> a84ca
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> This is not good...
>>
