
Subject: ...while I'm at it, I'm also thinking about making the jump to Reaper.

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 19:27:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Man....this app is sweet. Works efficiently with quad cores and has configuration options that allow UAD-1's to operate without sucking CPU cycles. this is very major, especially when one of my main *issues* is being able to operate at low latencies with plugin FX active in a project. I'm hoping a quad CPU will get me there. Midi / VSTi's aren't a huge issue for me right now and I've got a farm system I could use here to just stream the stuff digitally if necessary. Reaper also has some kind of *systemlink* over LAN situation as well.

I'm going to be looking into Reaper *in depth* this weekend. I will be paying special attention operational efficiency at low latencies with my Opteron 185 as opposed to Cubase 4, keeping in mind that operational efficiency of Cubase 4 would only be increased by around 40% by going to a quad core right now as opposed to 100% (theoretically) over my existing dual core if using Reaper. I'm sure Cubase will eventually catch up, but for now, I'm going so far as buring the Reaper .pdf manual to CDR and taking it to office depot to have it professionally printed up and bound.

By the time I calculate my ink and paper costs to print this manual, having it done professionally ain't that much more.

;o)

Subject: Re: ...while I'm at it, I'm also thinking about making the jump to Reaper.

Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 19:38:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The only thing I have against Reaper is it has no ability to sync with anything...it can play master but not slave

It's a cool little app and easy to use and like you I think it's routing matrix is excellent!

Can't wait to see what they do with the look when they finally decide to work on that area.

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:46291515\$1@linux...
> Man....this app is sweet. Works efficiently with quad cores and has
> configuration options that allow UAD-1's to operate without sucking CPU
> cycles. this is very major, especially when one of my main *issues* is
> being able to operate at low latencies with plugin FX active in a project.
> I'm hoping a quad CPU will get me there. Midi / VSTi's aren't a huge issue

> for me right now and I've got a farm system I could use here to just
> stream the stuff digitally if necessary. Reaper also has some kind of
> *systemlink* over LAN situation as well.
>
> I'm going to be looking into Reaper *in depth* this weekend. I will be
> paying special attention operational efficiency at low latencies with my
> Opteron 185 as opposed to Cubase 4, keeping in mind that operational
> efficiency of Cubase 4 would only be increased by around 40% by going to a
> quad core right now as opposed to 100% (theoretically) over my existing
> dual core if using Reaper. I'm sure Cubase will eventually catch up, but
> for now, I'm going so far as buying the Reaper .pdf manual to CDR and
> taking it to office depot to have it professionally printed up and bound.
>
> By the time I calculate my ink and paper costs to print this manual,
> having it done professionally ain't that much more.
>
> ;o)
>
>

Subject: Re: ...while I'm at it, I'm also thinking about making the jump to Reaper.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 19:50:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, it's pretty cool. The MIDI just isn't there for me, and I'm really addicted to Live now. But for a more traditional track/edit/mix scenario I can see it being as good as anything.

It also reminds me of my favorite DJ app, DJ Decks (www.djdecks.be). Instead of paying big bucks for the NI or Stanto stuff and being locked into their hardware this will work with any ASIO card and an RIAA preamp. Cool skins are available and the developer fixes bugs in days, responds to feature requests in the forums. Pretty cool.

TCB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:

>Man....this app is sweet. Works efficiently with quad cores and has
>configuration options that allow UAD-1's to operate without sucking CPU
>cycles. this is very major, especially when one of my main *issues* is being
>able to operate at low latencies with plugin FX active in a project. I'm
>hoping a quad CPU will get me there. Midi / VSTi's aren't a huge issue for
>me right now and I've got a farm system I could use here to just stream

the
>stuff digitally if necessary. Reaper also has some kind of *systemlink*
over
>LAN situation as well.
>
>I'm going to be looking into Reaper *in depth* this weekend. I will be
>paying special attention operational efficiency at low latencies with my

>Opteron 185 as opposed to Cubase 4, keeping in mind that operational
>efficiency of Cubase 4 would only be increased by around 40% by going to
a
>quad core right now as opposed to 100% (theoretically) over my existing
dual
>core if using Reaper. I'm sure Cubase will eventually catch up, but for
now,
>I'm going so far as buring the Reaper .pdf manual to CDR and taking it to

>office depot to have it professionally printed up and bound.
>
>By the time I calculate my ink and paper costs to print this manual, having

>it done professionally ain't that much more.
>
>;o)
>
>

Subject: Re: ...while I'm at it, I'm also thinking about making the jump to Reaper.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 19:51:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It will be interesting to see if I can get my Steiny Houston controller to interface with Reaper in HUI mode via midi. If not, I'm gonna have to snag an MCU. I've been considering that anyway but I'm waiting until the new Mackie USB based CUs hit the streets and the bottom falls out of the prices for the older (and more functional) midi MCU's.

;o)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:462919a1\$1@linux...
>
> Yeah, it's pretty cool. The MIDI just isn't there for me, and I'm really
> addicted
> to Live now. But for a more traditional track/edit/mix scenario I can see
> it being as good as anything.
>
> It also reminds me of my favorite DJ app, DJ Decks (www.djdecks.be).

> Instead
> of paying big bucks for the NI or Stanto stuff and being locked into their
> hardware this will work with any ASIO card and an RIAA preamp. Cool skins
> are available and the developer fixes bugs in days, responds to feature
> requests
> in the forums. Pretty cool.
>
> TCB
>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>Man....this app is sweet. Works efficiently with quad cores and has
>>configuration options that allow UAD-1's to operate without sucking CPU
>
>>cycles. this is very major, especially when one of my main *issues* is
>>being
>
>>able to operate at low latencies with plugin FX active in a project. I'm
>
>>hoping a quad CPU will get me there. Midi / VSTi's aren't a huge issue for
>
>>me right now and I've got a farm system I could use here to just stream
> the
>>stuff digitally if necessary. Reaper also has some kind of *systemlink*
> over
>>LAN situation as well.
>>
>>I'm going to be looking into Reaper *in depth* this weekend. I will be
>>paying special attention operational efficiency at low latencies with my
>
>>Opteron 185 as opposed to Cubase 4, keeping in mind that operational
>>efficiency of Cubase 4 would only be increased by around 40% by going to
> a
>>quad core right now as opposed to 100% (theoretically) over my existing
> dual
>>core if using Reaper. I'm sure Cubase will eventually catch up, but for
> now,
>>I'm going so far as buring the Reaper .pdf manual to CDR and taking it to
>
>>office depot to have it professionally printed up and bound.
>>
>>By the time I calculate my ink and paper costs to print this manual,
>>having
>
>>it done professionally ain't that much more.
>>
>>;o)
>>
>>

>

Subject: Re: ...while I'm at it, I'm also thinking about making the jump to Reaper.

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 20:00:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

O'Yeah....BTW, I just picked up one of these.....I never knew they even existed and I need to interface:

6 x midi I/O ports on 3 x HDSP 9652's

1 x midi I/O port on a Multiface

1 x midi I/O port on Houston

1 x Midi I/O port on PadKontrol

1 x Midi I/O port on MR Rack

1 midi O port on keyboard controller

For a guy who hates and doesn't use much midi, I've got wayyyy too much of it and I'm sick of crawling around repatching things and when I started looking for options with over 8 x midi I/O there wasn't anything. I was lucky to have found this thing.. It will even merge midi on ports 1 & 2 (whatever TF that means ;o)

;o)

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:46291a98\$1@linux...

> It will be interesting to see if I can get my Steiny Houston controller to
> interface with Reaper in HUI mode via midi. If not, I'm gonna have to snag
> an MCU. I've been considering that anyway but I'm waiting until the new
> Mackie USB based CUs hit the streets and the bottom falls out of the
> prices
> for the older (and more functional) midi MCU's.

>

> ;o)

>

> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:462919a1\$1@linux...

>>

>> Yeah, it's pretty cool. The MIDI just isn't there for me, and I'm really
>> addicted

>> to Live now. But for a more traditional track/edit/mix scenario I can see
>> it being as good as anything.

>>

>> It also reminds me of my favorite DJ app, DJ Decks (www.djdecks.be).

>> Instead

>> of paying big bucks for the NI or Stanto stuff and being locked into

>> their

>> hardware this will work with any ASIO card and an RIAA preamp. Cool skins
>> are available and the developer fixes bugs in days, responds to feature
>> requests
>> in the forums. Pretty cool.
>>
>> TCB
>>
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>Man....this app is sweet. Works efficiently with quad cores and has
>>>configuration options that allow UAD-1's to operate without sucking CPU
>>
>>>cycles. this is very major, especially when one of my main *issues* is
>>>being
>>
>>>able to operate at low latencies with plugin FX active in a project. I'm
>>
>>>hoping a quad CPU will get me there. Midi / VSTi's aren't a huge issue
>>>for
>>
>>>me right now and I've got a farm system I could use here to just stream
>> the
>>>stuff digitally if necessary. Reaper also has some kind of *systemlink*
>> over
>>>LAN situation as well.
>>>
>>>I'm going to be looking into Reaper *in depth* this weekend. I will be
>>>paying special attention operational efficiency at low latencies with my
>>
>>>Opteron 185 as opposed to Cubase 4, keeping in mind that operational
>>>efficiency of Cubase 4 would only be increased by around 40% by going to
>> a
>>>quad core right now as opposed to 100% (theoretically) over my existing
>> dual
>>>core if using Reaper. I'm sure Cubase will eventually catch up, but for
>> now,
>>>I'm going so far as buring the Reaper .pdf manual to CDR and taking it to
>>
>>>office depot to have it professionally printed up and bound.
>>>
>>>By the time I calculate my ink and paper costs to print this manual,
>>>having
>>
>>>it done professionally ain't that much more.
>>>
>>>;o)
>>>
>>>
>>

>
>

File Attachments

1) [img.jpg](#), downloaded 42 times

