
Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [DJ](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2007 16:06:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:470f77dc\$1@linux...
> Powerful message. Well worth 8 minutes of your time.
>
> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG2PUZoukfA>

For some reason, I get cold chill down my back when I watch this. Part of it is positive excitement because I really like the message, but part of it is alarm because something about this guy reminds me of Jimmy Carter.....the honesty part, I think. this was absolutely disastrous in the foreign policy realm. Many of our current woes reach back to his blundering. In order to deal with a world full of snakes, can we depend on someone who doesn't speak "snake"?.....oh wait!!!!.....he's been a sitting congressman for a while.

Nevermind.

Subject: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 13:27:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Powerful message. Well worth 8 minutes of your time.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG2PUZoukfA>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 16:06:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He lost me the instant he put up the "don't tase me bro"
a**hole as an example of the loss of personal freedoms.

poof, gone.

DC

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>Powerful message. Well worth 8 minutes of your time.
>
><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG2PUZoukfA>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 16:10:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Your system date is out.... AGAIN! ;-)

David.

DJ wrote:

> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:470f77dc\$1@linux...
>
>> Powerful message. Well worth 8 minutes of your time.
>>
>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG2PUZoukfA>
>
>
> For some reason, I get cold chill down my back when I watch this. Part of it
> is positive excitement because I really like the message, but part of it is
> alarm because something about this guy reminds me of Jimmy
> Carter.....the honesty part, I think. this was absolutely disastrous in
> the foreign policy realm. Many of our current woes reach back to his
> blundering. In order to deal with a world full of snakes, can we depend on
> someone who doesn't speak "snake"?.....oh wait!!!!.....he's been a sitting
> congressman for a while.
>
> Nevermind.
>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [DJ](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 16:27:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"EK Sound" <ask_me@nospam.net> wrote in message news:470f9dfb@linux...
> Your system date is out.... AGAIN! ;-)
>
> David.

sorry.....I forgot to do a couple of things on the 9th so I've been
playing catchup.

;o)

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 16:36:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so are his analogies

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 16:36:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

do you get lost easily? Onstar where do I go???

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 16:43:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nice. Ron Paul is the only one in either party that I can listen to. If you want to know who a man is, look at his past actions. Ron Paul has my vote.

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [DJ](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 16:54:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:470fa27c\$1@linux...

>

> so are his analogies

Yeahthis always happens when I see things that remind me of other things. Relating current possibilities to previous experience is one of the curses of old age I guess.

;o)

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 17:00:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>do you get lost easily? Onstar where do I go???

Do you trust your leaders? Are you easily led?

expiring minds want to know...

DC

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 17:23:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>Do you trust your leaders? Are you easily led?

I would trust Ron Paul over any of the others combined. I would not trust the others. Obama seems more trustworthy than the rest though coming in 2nd to Paul.

Too bad the fix is already in.

X Rudi

X Hillary

I pick neither.

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 17:24:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you're bored later tonight or tomorrow call me so I can tell you about K metering !

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [DJ](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 17:28:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:470fadcf\$1@linux...

>

> If you're bored later tonight or tomorrow call me so I can tell you about

> K metering !

OK dude. will do.

;o)

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 17:32:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Fair enough, but Paul blew it in a couple places in that video.

The first one was the graphic showing in big scary headlines

"POLYGAMISTS IN ROMNEY FAMILY TREE!!!"

Now I don't care about Romney either, but who freakin' CARES about this?

How about your family tree? How about mine!

Holy cow, man! We'd all be in hell.

That sort of stuff, and presenting ass-hat tase-boy as a victim, say that Paul is manipulating me...

no thanks

I am beoming more and more convinced that high-visibility leadership and power positions attract the worst sort of sociopaths and lunatics. And today, I do not see how anyone else has a chance.

DC

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>>Do you trust your leaders? Are you easily led?

>I would trust Ron Paul over any of the others combined. I would not trust
>the others. Obama seems more trustworthy than the rest though coming in
>2nd to Paul.

>

>Too bad the fix is already in.

>

>X Rudi

>X Hillary

>

>I pick neither.

>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 17:50:26 GMT

I think a bit part of his point is that a) we don't need to talk snake nearly as much as we do and b) a lot of the snakes are made larger/faster/smarter by our on blundering. Remember, a fair number of the guns used to shoot US soldiers in Afghanistan show up on CIA receipts.

TCB

"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:

>

>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:470f77dc\$1@linux...

>> Powerful message. Well worth 8 minutes of your time.

>>

>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG2PUZoukfA>

>

>For some reason, I get cold chill down my back when I watch this. Part of it

>is positive excitement because I really like the message, but part of it is

>alarm because something about this guy reminds me of Jimmy

>Carter.....the honesty part, I think. this was absolutely disastrous in

>the foriegn policy realm. Many of our current woes reach back to his

>blundering. In order to deal with a world full of snakes, can we depend on

>someone who doesn't speak "snake"?.....oh wait!!!!.....he's been a sitting

>congressman for a while.

>

>Nevermind.

>

>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 18:55:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

well fair enough, i have to agree with you there

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [DJ](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 19:12:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:470fc30b\$1@linux...

>

> well fair enough, i have to agree with you there

Well, since he is fluent in snake, at least presumably as a second language, I'm feeling much more comfortable with this. I mean, I think we need more docors in politics personally. These guys don't f*** around. They get things done in a big way.....I mean, let's take Che Guevara, Francois Duvalier, Al Zawahiri, Bilal Abdulla, Sabeel Ahmed and Joseph Mengele for example.....

I feel safer already

;o)

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 20:41:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>I am beoming more and more convinced that high-visibility
>leadership and power positions attract the worst sort of
>sociopaths and lunatics. And today, I do not see how anyone
>else has a chance.

>DC

It truly pains me to agree with you.

-steve

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 20:49:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJ, Sanity is the ability to perceive differences and similarities. The difference between Ron Paul and those other creeps is Ron Paul delivered babies and was a flight surgeon in the Air Force before he went into politics.

If just one of the changes Paul wants to make gets implemented, the country would be a better place.

The other guys and gals? Bigger government, less freedom. Fuck 'em!

DJ wrote:

> "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:470fc30b\$1@linux...
>> well fair enough, i have to agree with you there
>
> Well, since he is fluent in snake, at least presumably as a second language,
> I'm feeling much more comfortable with this. I mean, I think we need more
> docors in politics personally. These guys don't f*** around. They get things
> done in a big way.....I mean, let's take Che Guevara, Francois Duvalier,
> Al Zawahiri, Bilal Abdulla, Sabeel Ahmed and Joseph Mengele for
> example.....
>
> I feel safer already
>
> ;o)
>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 21:08:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>

>
>I am beoming more and more convinced that high-visibility
>leadership and power positions attract the worst sort of
>sociopaths and lunatics. And today, I do not see how anyone
>else has a chance.

Read up on your Suetonius, Don, that's the underlying theme of 'The Twelve
Caesars.'

TCB

>DC
>
>
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Do you trust your leaders? Are you easily led?
>>I would trust Ron Paul over any of the others combined. I would not trust
>>the others. Obama seems more trustworthy than the rest though coming in
>>2nd to Paul.
>>
>>Too bad the fix is already in.
>>
>>X Rudi

>>X Hillary
>>
>>I pick neither.
>>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 21:20:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>DJ, Sanity

and the Furious Five.

Now that's hip hop!

DC

sorry, that juxtaposition. just caught my eye (and funny bone)

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 21:23:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:

>It truly pains me to agree with you.

>

>-steve

Ah feeel yer pain brudda!

DC

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 22:09:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>Read up on your Suetonius, Don, that's the underlying theme of 'The Twelve
>Caesars.'
>
>TCB

I think Bob Hare is a lot closer...

<http://www.hare.org/>

DC

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 23:35:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hey,,,mengele tried to put monkey glands in one of my uncles...yeah,
he's dead...along with a few others. yup, i've got some euro blood in
me.

On Fri, 12 Oct 2007 13:12:32 -0600, "DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _
net> wrote:

>
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:470fc30b\$1@linux...
>>
>> well fair enough, i have to agree with you there
>
>Well, since he is fluent in snake, at least presumably as a second language,
>I'm feeling much more comfortable with this. I mean, I think we need more
>docors in politics personally. These guys don't f*** around. They get things
>done in a big way.....I mean, let's take Che Guevara, Francois Duvalier,
>Al Zawahiri, Bilal Abdulla, Sabeel Ahmed and Joseph Mengele for
>example.....
>
>I feel safer already
>
>;o)
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [TCB](#) on Sat, 13 Oct 2007 15:13:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Um, Don, you were talking about politicians? Yes? Not workplace shooters?
So again, I suggest Suetonius, but you can take it or leave it. I've read
it already

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>>Read up on your Suetonius, Don, that's the underlying theme of 'The Twelve
>>Caesars.'

>>

>>TCB

>

>

>I think Bob Hare is a lot closer...

>

>

><http://www.hare.org/>

>

>

>DC

>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 13 Oct 2007 15:50:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He didn't have the tools to understand the personality
defects of the Cesears, though as a historical source
he is invaluable and allows us to support the very point
you make.

Hare has a rather startling thesis in "Without Conscience".
that asserts that there are shades of socio/psychopathology
beyond the sane/insane paradigm. Hare believes that there
are millions of moderate to mild sociopaths and psychopaths
in our society. In the case of sociopaths in particular, their
narcissism and lack of conscience lead me to believe that
they are particularly attracted to visible, powerful, and
leadership positions. This thesis is mine, not Hare's and
I may write on it. It seems unavoidable given his assertions.
If you cannot think of a clear example of consistent and
methodical use of conscience in presidents in our lifetimes,
and I cannot (despite the fact that there are a few singular
examples, you still must remember that even Scott Petersen

could cry on demand if he thought it would get him a reward)
then I suspect that our image-driven media and the sheer
size of our population, combined with the enormous power
of the US Presidency, has indeed filled the office with the
conscience-free in recent decades.

I don't see how to change it, regardless of what
paradigm we use to understand it.

At any rate, you have badly misunderstood Hare. Start with
"Without Conscience". You will recognize many people
you have dealt with. With the music biz in particular, the
mild to moderate sociopath is the standard-issue personality.

!

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Um, Don, you were talking about politicians? Yes? Not workplace shooters?

>So again, I suggest Suetonius, but you can take it or leave it. I've read

>it already

>

>TCB

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>>Read up on your Suetonius, Don, that's the underlying theme of 'The Twelve

>>>Caesars.'

>>>

>>>TCB

>>

>>

>>I think Bob Hare is a lot closer...

>>

>>

>><http://www.hare.org/>

>>

>>

>>DC

>>

>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 13 Oct 2007 21:24:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1310h3laugoo45j6oe4rm2i8f2r8ekq8k9@4ax.com...
> hey,,,mengele tried to put monkey glands in one of my uncles...yeah,
> he's dead...along with a few others. yup, i've got some euro blood in
> me.
>
> On Fri, 12 Oct 2007 13:12:32 -0600, "DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _
> net> wrote:
>

Yikes!! Good thing the Holacaust never really happened, huh?....don't get me
started.

;o)

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2007 09:30:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Using Taser Boy and Romney's tree may have been questionable decisions on
the part of whoever edited the video clips together, but that was not Ron
Paul, so I wouldn't judge him by the work of one of his fans.

S

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:470fafad\$1@linux...
>
> Fair enough, but Paul blew it in a couple places in that video.
>
> The first one was the graphic showing in big scary headlines
>
> "POLYGAMISTS IN ROMNEY FAMILY TREE!!!"
>
> Now I don't care about Romney either, but who freakin' CARES
> about this?
>
> How about your family tree? How about mine!
>
> Holy cow, man! We'd all be in hell.
>
> That sort of stuff, and presenting assbat tase-boy as a
> victim, say that Paul is manipulating me...

>
> no thanks
>
> I am beoming more and more convinced that high-visibility
> leadership and power positions attract the worst sort of
> sociopaths and lunatics. And today, I do not see how anyone
> else has a chance.
>
> DC
>
>
> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Do you trust your leaders? Are you easily led?
>>I would trust Ron Paul over any of the others combined. I would not trust
>>the others. Obama seems more trustworthy than the rest though coming in
>>2nd to Paul.
>>
>>Too bad the fix is already in.
>>
>>X Rudi
>>X Hillary
>>
>>I pick neither.
>>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2007 09:44:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I liked this guy the first time I heard him open his mouth. He's the only one in those Republican "debates" who comes across like a real human being. I loved that he passionately told them all how utterly insane the idea of attacking Iran is. Eisenhower would be proud. He's intelligent, passionate, has a sense of humor, and seems like he actually gives a crap about something besides his own grandeur.

Personally, I hope it's him against Gore . . . then I won't have to care who wins. :)

Sarah

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:470f77dc\$1@linux...
> Powerful message. Well worth 8 minutes of your time.
>
> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG2PUZoukfA>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2007 11:21:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You are right Sarah, that's because he doesn't have ulterior motives, he's not after power or money. And he's a uniter not a divider. It's a shame so many sheep are out there and listen to the "news" instead of finding the real news. It really goes to show how far we still have to go.

For example: I tell my wife to go on the internet and research something like disability insurance and she has no idea how to do it. Some people have just never learned how to fish for themselves and its so important that people learn real skills but its easier to let the government take care of us but as we all know THEY CAN'T !

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2007 16:28:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well said, Sarah.

Look, folks, our current leaders for the most part suck. They don't even know that was solves nothing. That's about as lame as a leader can be. I have read that, other than Paul and Obama, every one of the candidates voted to invade Iraq.

if we spent our abundant national energies and resources exporting (selling fairly, not giving) our technologies, tractors, cars, science and most of all, our art, we would be loved around the world once again as we once were.

Sarah wrote:

> Using Taser Boy and Romney's tree may have been questionable decisions on
> the part of whoever edited the video clips together, but that was not Ron
> Paul, so I wouldn't judge him by the work of one of his fans.
>
> S
>
>
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:470fafad\$1@linux...
>> Fair enough, but Paul blew it in a couple places in that video.
>>
>> The first one was the graphic showing in big scary headlines
>>
>> "POLYGAMISTS IN ROMNEY FAMILY TREE!!!"
>>
>> Now I don't care about Romney either, but who freakin' CARES

>> about this?
>>
>> How about your family tree? How about mine!
>>
>> Holy cow, man! We'd all be in hell.
>>
>> That sort of stuff, and presenting assbat tase-boy as a
>> victim, say that Paul is manipulating me...
>>
>> no thanks
>>
>> I am becoming more and more convinced that high-visibility
>> leadership and power positions attract the worst sort of
>> sociopaths and lunatics. And today, I do not see how anyone
>> else has a chance.
>>
>> DC
>>
>>
>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>> Do you trust your leaders? Are you easily led?
>>>> I would trust Ron Paul over any of the others combined. I would not trust
>>>> the others. Obama seems more trustworthy than the rest though coming in
>>>> 2nd to Paul.
>>>>
>>>> Too bad the fix is already in.
>>>>
>>>> X Rudi
>>>> X Hillary
>>>>
>>>> I pick neither.
>>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2007 21:15:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

I
>have read that, other than Paul and Obama, every one of the candidates
>voted to invade Iraq.
>

you have to read more sources, Bill!

At least one of the candidates proudly voted against the Iraq war at every opportunity.

Of course, no candidate with such unorthodox views has a chance in a zillion.

-steve the ever-more jaded.

story of the day (ok, of yesterday):

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/pakistan/Story/0,,2188777,00.html>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2007 22:14:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I believe Kucinich also voted against the Iraq shindig, but he's a little too much of a goofball for my tastes. :)

S

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message [news:47124530\\$1@linux...](#)

> Well said, Sarah.

>

> Look, folks, our current leaders for the most part suck. They don't even
> know that was solves nothing. That's about as lame as a leader can be. I
> have read that, other than Paul and Obama, every one of the candidates
> voted to invade Iraq.

>

> if we spent our abundant national energies and resources exporting
> (selling fairly, not giving) our technologies, tractors, cars, science and
> most of all, our art, we would be loved around the world once again as we
> once were.

>

> Sarah wrote:

>> Using Taser Boy and Romney's tree may have been questionable decisions on
>> the part of whoever edited the video clips together, but that was not Ron
>> Paul, so I wouldn't judge him by the work of one of his fans.

>>

>> S

>>

>>

>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message [news:470fafad\\$1@linux...](#)

>>> Fair enough, but Paul blew it in a couple places in that video.

>>>

>>> The first one was the graphic showing in big scary headlines

>>>

>>> "POLYGAMISTS IN ROMNEY FAMILY TREE!!!"
>>>
>>> Now I don't care about Romney either, but who freakin' CARES
>>> about this?
>>>
>>> How about your family tree? How about mine!
>>>
>>> Holy cow, man! We'd all be in hell.
>>>
>>> That sort of stuff, and presenting ass-hat tase-boy as a
>>> victim, say that Paul is manipulating me...
>>>
>>> no thanks
>>>
>>> I am becoming more and more convinced that high-visibility
>>> leadership and power positions attract the worst sort of
>>> sociopaths and lunatics. And today, I do not see how anyone
>>> else has a chance.
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>>
>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>> Do you trust your leaders? Are you easily led?
>>>>> I would trust Ron Paul over any of the others combined. I would not
>>>>> trust
>>>>> the others. Obama seems more trustworthy than the rest though coming
>>>>> in
>>>>> 2nd to Paul.
>>>>>
>>>>> Too bad the fix is already in.
>>>>>
>>>>> X Rudi
>>>>> X Hillary
>>>>>
>>>>> I pick neither.
>>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Mon, 15 Oct 2007 12:33:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good about Kucinich. I'm glad to hear there is one more person of sense in Washington. I think many politicians are good people. Unfortunately they are the ones who seem to have a hard time raising money and getting support from the media.

Sarah wrote:

> I believe Kucinich also voted against the Iraq shindig, but he's a little

> too much of a goofball for my tastes. :)

>

> S

>

>

> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47124530\$1@linux...

>> Well said, Sarah.

>>

>> Look, folks, our current leaders for the most part suck. They don't even
>> know that was solves nothing. That's about as lame as a leader can be. I
>> have read that, other than Paul and Obama, every one of the candidates
>> voted to invade Iraq.

>>

>> if we spent our abundant national energies and resources exporting
>> (selling fairly, not giving) our technologies, tractors, cars, science and
>> most of all, our art, we would be loved around the world once again as we
>> once were.

>>

>> Sarah wrote:

>>> Using Taser Boy and Romney's tree may have been questionable decisions on
>>> the part of whoever edited the video clips together, but that was not Ron
>>> Paul, so I wouldn't judge him by the work of one of his fans.

>>>

>>> S

>>>

>>>

>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:470fafad\$1@linux...

>>>> Fair enough, but Paul blew it in a couple places in that video.

>>>>

>>>> The first one was the graphic showing in big scary headlines

>>>>

>>>> "POLYGAMISTS IN ROMNEY FAMILY TREE!!!"

>>>>

>>>> Now I don't care about Romney either, but who freakin' CARES
>>>> about this?

>>>>

>>>> How about your family tree? How about mine!

>>>>

>>>> Holy cow, man! We'd all be in hell.

>>>>

>>>> That sort of stuff, and presenting assbat tase-boy as a
>>>> victim, say that Paul is manipulating me...

>>>>

>>>> no thanks

>>>>

>>>> I am beoming more and more convinced that high-visibility
>>>> leadership and power positions attract the worst sort of
>>>> sociopaths and lunatics. And today, I do not see how anyone
>>>> else has a chance.
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>> Do you trust your leaders? Are you easily led?
>>>>> I would trust Ron Paul over any of the others combined. I would not
>>>>> trust
>>>>> the others. Obama seems more trustworthy than the rest though coming
>>>>> in
>>>>> 2nd to Paul.
>>>>>
>>>>> Too bad the fix is already in.
>>>>>
>>>>> X Rudi
>>>>> X Hillary
>>>>>
>>>>> I pick neither.
>>>>>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 15 Oct 2007 19:53:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Suetonius lacked the tools to understand personality defects??? That's like saying Greek sculptors lacked the ability to understand art because they couldn't attend RISD. That's insane. Some of the tools we use to analyze personality were built into us when we were still chimps. And you even Suetonius wrong as far as his usefulness, there is a great deal in the Twelve Caesars that is quite obviously historical nonsense, and he clearly cants his opinions in the direction of some (Octavian, Titus, Vespasian) while emphasizing the most grotesque of the most ogre-like (Caligula, Nero). What we DO get from him is some genuine psychological insight as well as a long and considered discussion on the (very much then current) topic of what kind of leader is the best one.

Next time I want to read a book about psychopaths I'll check out the one you linked to. But my understanding is that a mild/minor psychopath is what we refer to with the less than scientific term of 'asshole' and leave it at that. And yes, if 4% of the population are psychopaths then there are 12 million of them kicking around the USA. That's a little longer than a 1 in 20 shot, so most of them must stay out of the serial killing/ritual

torturing business.

As for the personality type that is attracted to political power, I still go to the classics, despite their lack of formal training at modern US universities.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>He didn't have the tools to understand the personality
>defects of the Cesears, though as a historical source
>he is invaluable and allows us to support the very point
>you make.

>

>Hare has a rather startling thesis in "Without Conscience".
>that asserts that there are shades of socio/psychopathology
>beyond the sane/insane paradigm. Hare believes that there
>are millions of moderate to mild sociopaths and psychopaths
>in our society. In the case of sociopaths in particular, their
>narcissism and lack of conscience lead me to believe that
>they are particularly attracted to visible, powerful, and
>leadership positions. This thesis is mine, not Hare's and
>I may write on it. It seems unavoidable given his assertions.
>If you cannot think of a clear example of consistent and
>methodical use of conscience in presidents in our lifetimes,
>and I cannot (despite the fact that there are a few singular
>examples, you still must remember that even Scott Petersen
>could cry on demand if he thought it would get him a reward)
>then I suspect that our image-driven media and the sheer
>size of our population, combined with the enormous power
>of the US Presidency, has indeed filled the office with the
>conscience-free in recent decades.

>

>I don't see how to change it, regardless of what
>paradigm we use to understand it.

>

>At any rate, you have badly misunderstood Hare. Start with
>"Without Conscience". You will recognize many people
>you have dealt with. With the music biz in particular, the
>mild to moderate sociopath is the standard-issue personality.

>

>!

>

>

>DC

>

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>Um, Don, you were talking about politicians? Yes? Not workplace shooters?
>>So again, I suggest Suetonius, but you can take it or leave it. I've read
>>it already
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Read up on your Suetonius, Don, that's the underlying theme of 'The Twelve
>>>>Caesars.'
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>
>>>
>>>I think Bob Hare is a lot closer...
>>>
>>>
>>>http://www.hare.org/
>>>
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 15 Oct 2007 20:35:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
>Suetonius lacked the tools to understand personality defects??? That's like
>saying Greek sculptors lacked the ability to understand art because they
>couldn't attend RISD. That's insane.

Actually, it very much like saying Greek sculptors lacked the tools in predict computer art. As with Suetonius, we can do some rewarding guesswork, of course.

>Some of the tools we use to analyze
>personality were built into us when we were still chimps.

We were never chimps. The chimp line is a dead end with no connection to humans, despite them being a close DNA match. To claim so is to advocate a hopeful and orthodox, but sadly ignorant Darwinism in the face of the fossil record.

>What we DO get from
>him is some genuine psychological insight as well as a long and considered
>discussion on the (very much then current) topic of what kind of leader
is
>the best one.

Based upon our perspective. But, given our perspective, he would have described them differently.

>Next time I want to read a book about psychopaths I'll check out the one
>you linked to. But my understanding is that a mild/minor psychopath is what
>we refer to with the less than scientific term of 'asshole' and leave it
>at that.

Ah, but many would call it other things. Hare's brilliance is opening one's eyes to a systematic look at asshole-ness as pathology. There are other perspectives too, but they involve subjects that atheists find discomfiting. (Hare does not raise moral issues BTW)

>And yes, if 4% of the population are psychopaths then there are
>12 million of them kicking around the USA. That's a little longer than a
>1 in 20 shot, so most of them must stay out of the serial killing/ritual
>torturing business.

Wrong. read Hare.

>As for the personality type that is attracted to political power, I still
>go to the classics, despite their lack of formal training at modern US universities.

Well, we agree there.

DC

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>Suetonius lacked the tools to understand personality defects??? That's like

>>saying Greek sculptors lacked the ability to understand art because they

>>couldn't attend RISD. That's insane.

>

>

>Actually, it very much like saying Greek sculptors lacked the

>tools in predict computer art. As with Suetonius, we can do

>some rewarding guesswork, of course.

I'll skip the stuff about chimps, but your analogy is simply wrong. You said Suetonius lacked the tools to understand the defects in his subjects. That's nothing like Greek sculptors not being able to predict computer art. The ability to create art is something that all cultures in history have had, they didn't need anything other than themselves to do it. Same goes for personality, it might not use the technical language of modern psychology but from Montaigne to Aquinas to Suetonius all the way back to Sophocles and Homer and those predating even them people have been able to understand personality flaws. Can I understand Plato in exactly the same way a third century BC Athenian did? Of course not. But to claim that this robs me of any chance of gathering something from his writing, and that he can't possibly have the tools to understand personality defects because he was denied the opportunity to read Hare, that is reductivist solipsism dressed in its most jarring, garish vestments.

TCB

>>Some of the tools we use to analyze

>>personality were built into us when we were still chimps.

>

>We were never chimps. The chimp line is a dead end with no

>connection to humans, despite them being a close DNA match.

>To claim so is to advocate a hopeful and orthodox,

>but sadly ignorant Darwinism in the face of the fossil record.

>

>

>>What we DO get from

>>him is some genuine psychological insight as well as a long and considered

>>discussion on the (very much then current) topic of what kind of leader

>is

>>the best one.

>

>Based upon our perspective. But, given our perspective, he

>would have described them differently.
>
>
>>Next time I want to read a book about psychopaths I'll check out the one
>>you linked to. But my understanding is that a mild/minor psychopath is
what
>>we refer to with the less than scientific term of 'asshole' and leave it
>>at that.
>
>
>Ah, but many would call it other things. Hare's brilliance is
>opening one's eyes to a systematic look at asshole-ness as
>pathology. There are other perspectives too, but they involve
>subjects that atheists find discomfiting. (Hare does not
>raise moral issues BTW)
>
>
>>And yes, if 4% of the population are psychopaths then there are
>>12 million of them kicking around the USA. That's a little longer than
a
>>1 in 20 shot, so most of them must stay out of the serial killing/ritual
>>torturing business.
>
>Wrong. read Hare.
>
>
>>As for the personality type that is attracted to political power, I still
>>go to the classics, despite their lack of formal training at modern US
universities.
>
>Well, we agree there.
>
>DC
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 15 Oct 2007 21:30:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>Can I understand Plato in exactly the same way a third century BC Athenian
>did? Of course not.

Well, there it is, isn't it? It works the other way 'round too.

>But to claim that this robs me of any chance of gathering
>something from his writing, and that he can't possibly have the tools to
>understand personality defects because he was denied the opportunity to
>read
>Hare, that is reductivist solipsism dressed in its most jarring, garish
>vestments.

Ahhh, discount sale at the strawman shop today, eh?

DC

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 15 Oct 2007 22:26:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nope, but apparently someone had his text cutting shears handy to be sure
he didn't have to answer my argument!

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
>>Can I understand Plato in exactly the same way a third century BC Athenian
>>did? Of course not.
>
>Well, there it is, isn't it? It works the other way 'round too.
>
>
>>But to claim that this robs me of any chance of gathering
>>something from his writing, and that he can't possibly have the tools to
>>understand personality defects because he was denied the opportunity to
>>read
>>Hare, that is reductivist solipsism dressed in its most jarring, garish
>>vestments.
>
>Ahhh, discount sale at the strawman shop today, eh?
>
>DC
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 15 Oct 2007 22:42:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But why? You summarized the issue so well in a couple of

sentences. They are so succinct and elegant, that they almost represent proof that PoMo is dead!

<grin>

DC

assuming of course that the text has any meaning in itself...

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Nope, but apparently someone had his text cutting shears handy to be sure

>he didn't have to answer my argument!

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>>Can I understand Plato in exactly the same way a third century BC Athenian

>>>did? Of course not.

>>

>>Well, there it is, isn't it? It works the other way 'round too.

>>

>>

>>>But to claim that this robs me of any chance of gathering

>>>something from his writing, and that he can't possibly have the tools

to

>>>understand personality defects because he was denied the opportunity to

>>read

>>>Hare, that is reductivist solipsism dressed in its most jarring, garish

>>vestments.

>>

>>Ahhh, discount sale at the strawman shop today, eh?

>>

>>DC

>>

>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [DJ](#) on Wed, 17 Oct 2007 18:08:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You guys are so smart'n stuff. Gives me a headache just trying to follow this exchange. I'm still just tryin to learn how to spell "nukular".

Peace gentlemen,

;o)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4713ecdb\$1@linux...

>

> But why? You summarized the issue so well in a couple of

> sentences. They are so succinct and elegant, that they

> almost represent proof that PoMo is dead!

>

> <grin>

>

> DC

>

> assuming of course that the text has any meaning in itself...

>

>

>

> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>Nope, but apparently someone had his text cutting shears handy to be sure

>>he didn't have to answer my argument!

>>

>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>>Can I understand Plato in exactly the same way a third century BC

>>>>Athenian

>>>>did? Of course not.

>>>

>>>Well, there it is, isn't it? It works the other way 'round too.

>>>

>>>

>>>>But to claim that this robs me of any chance of gathering

>>>>something from his writing, and that he can't possibly have the tools

> to

>>>>understand personality defects because he was denied the opportunity to

>>>read

>>>>Hare, that is reductivist solipsism dressed in its most jarring, garish

>>>vestments.

>>>

>>>Ahhh, discount sale at the strawman shop today, eh?

>>>

>>>DC

>>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 17 Oct 2007 18:25:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nahh, we ain't that smart. It's just that I miss not having SSC around to help me with my needs for a good, vigorous discussion! It's brain exercise, or wanking.

You pick...

How you doing?

DC

"DJ" <[animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net](#)> wrote:

>You guys are so smart'n stuff. Gives me a headache just trying to follow

>this exchange. I'm still just tryin to learn how to spell "nukular".

>

>Peace gentlemen,

>

>;o)

>

>"DC" <[dc@spammersinhell.com](#)> wrote in message [news:4713ecdb\\$1@linux...](#)

>>

>> But why? You summarized the issue so well in a couple of

>> sentences. They are so succinct and elegant, that they

>> almost represent proof that PoMo is dead!

>>

>> <grin>

>>

>> DC

>>

>> assuming of course that the text has any meaning in itself...

>>

>>

>>

>> "TCB" <[nobody@ishere.com](#)> wrote:

>>>

>>> Nope, but apparently someone had his text cutting shears handy to be sure

>>> he didn't have to answer my argument!

>>>

>>> "DC" <[dc@spammersinhell.com](#)> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> "TCB" <[nobody@ishere.com](#)> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>> Can I understand Plato in exactly the same way a third century BC

>>>>> Athenian

>>>>> did? Of course not.

>>>>
>>>>Well, there it is, isn't it? It works the other way 'round too.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>But to claim that this robs me of any chance of gathering
>>>>>something from his writing, and that he can't possibly have the tools
>> to
>>>>>understand personality defects because he was denied the opportunity
to
>>>>read
>>>>>Hare, that is reductivist solipsism dressed in its most jarring, garish
>>>>vestments.
>>>>
>>>>>Ahhh, discount sale at the strawman shop today, eh?
>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 18 Oct 2007 04:09:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There you go DeeJ, it's 'wanking' when Don is losing an argument. But it's 'revealed truth' when he's winning.

If you want some really fun reading, do check out 'The Twelve Caesars.' There's a cheap Penguin edition you can get at Amazon. It's literally a great bathroom book. Sit down to take a piss and read about what Domitian did in the Colosseum. What Vespasian complained about to the Senate. That Octavian wore lifts in his shoes because he thought himself too short. And that's all without even getting into Nero and Caligula. And, there's some psychological insight as well, but you'll have to consult Don about that, he's the expert.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>Nahh, we ain't that smart. It's just that I miss not having
>SSC around to help me with my needs for a good, vigorous
>discussion! It's brain exercise, or wanking.
>
>You pick...
>

>How you doing?
>
>DC
>
>"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:
>>You guys are so smart'n stuff. Gives me a headache just trying to follow
>
>>this exchange. I'm still just tryin to learn how to spell "nukular".
>>
>>Peace gentlemen,
>>
>>;o)
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4713ecdb\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> But why? You summarized the issue so well in a couple of
>>> sentences. They are so succinct and elegant, that they
>>> almost represent proof that PoMo is dead!
>>>
>>> <grin>
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>> assuming of course that the text has any meaning in itself...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Nope, but apparently someone had his text cutting shears handy to be
sure
>>>>he didn't have to answer my argument!
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Can I understand Plato in exactly the same way a third century BC
>>>>>>Athenian
>>>>>>did? Of course not.
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, there it is, isn't it? It works the other way 'round too.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>But to claim that this robs me of any chance of gathering
>>>>>>something from his writing, and that he can't possibly have the tools
>>> to
>>>>>>understand personality defects because he was denied the opportunity

>to
>>>>>read
>>>>>Hare, that is reductivist solipsism dressed in its most jarring, garish
>>>>>vestments.
>>>>>
>>>>>Ahhh, discount sale at the strawman shop today, eh?
>>>>>
>>>>>DC
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 18 Oct 2007 05:33:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>There you go DeeJ, it's 'wanking' when Don is losing an argument. But it's
>'revealed truth' when he's winning.

No, it's wanking when you're in fantasy land once again...

>If you want some really fun reading, do check out 'The Twelve Caesars.'
There's
>a cheap Penguin edition you can get at Amazon. It's literally a great bathroom
>book. Sit down to take a piss and read about what Domitian did in the Colosseum.
>What Vespasian complained about to the Senate. That Octavian wore lifts
in
>his shoes because he thought himself too short. And that's all without even
>getting into Nero and Caligula. And, there's some psychological insight
as
>well, but you'll have to consult Don about that, he's the expert.

wank wank...

heh heh

Oh hey, I was just kidding. I really do think yer a smart guy...

On thursdays. for a wanker...

DC

```
>
>TCB
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>
>>Nahh, we ain't that smart. It's just that I miss not having
>>SSC around to help me with my needs for a good, vigorous
>>discussion! It's brain exercise, or wanking.
>>
>>You pick...
>>
>>How you doing?
>>
>>DC
>>
>>"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:
>>>You guys are so smart'n stuff. Gives me a headache just trying to follow
>>
>>>this exchange. I'm still just tryin to learn how to spell "nukular".
>>>
>>>Peace gentlemen,
>>>
>>>;o)
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4713ecdb$1 @linux...
>>>>
>>>> But why? You summarized the issue so well in a couple of
>>>> sentences. They are so succinct and elegant, that they
>>>> almost represent proof that PoMo is dead!
>>>>
>>>> <grin>
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>> assuming of course that the text has any meaning in itself...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Nope, but apparently someone had his text cutting shears handy to be
>sure
>>>>>he didn't have to answer my argument!
>>>>>
>>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
```

>>>>>
>>>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Can I understand Plato in exactly the same way a third century BC

>>>>>>Athenian
>>>>>>did? Of course not.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Well, there it is, isn't it? It works the other way 'round too.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>But to claim that this robs me of any chance of gathering
>>>>>>something from his writing, and that he can't possibly have the tools
>>>> to
>>>>>>understand personality defects because he was denied the opportunity
>>to
>>>>>>read
>>>>>>Hare, that is reductivist solipsism dressed in its most jarring, garish
>>>>>>vestments.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Ahhh, discount sale at the strawman shop today, eh?
>>>>>
>>>>>>DC
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Ron Paul Video
Posted by [DJ](#) on Thu, 18 Oct 2007 18:08:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<sigh>.....well.OK then.....

;}

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4716f02f\$1@linux...
>
> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>There you go DeeJ, it's 'wanking' when Don is losing an argument. But it's
>>'revealed truth' when he's winning.

>
> No, it's wanking when you're in fantasy land once again...
>
>
>
>>If you want some really fun reading, do check out 'The Twelve Caesars.'
> There's
>>a cheap Penguin edition you can get at Amazon. It's literally a great
>>bathroom
>>book. Sit down to take a piss and read about what Domitian did in the
>>Colosseum.
>>What Vespasian complained about to the Senate. That Octavian wore lifts
> in
>>his shoes because he thought himself too short. And that's all without
>>even
>>getting into Nero and Caligula. And, there's some psychological insight
> as
>>well, but you'll have to consult Don about that, he's the expert.
>
> wank wank...
>
>
> heh heh
>
> Oh hey, I was just kidding. I really do think yer a smart guy...
>
> On thursdays. for a wanker...
>
> DC
>
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Nahh, we ain't that smart. It's just that I miss not having
>>>SSC around to help me with my needs for a good, vigorous
>>>discussion! It's brain excercise, or wanking.
>>>
>>>You pick...
>>>
>>>How you doing?
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:
>>>>You guys are so smart'n stuff. Gives me a headache just trying to follow
>>>>

>>>>this exchange. I'm still just tryin to learn how to spell "nukular".

>>>>

>>>>Peace gentlemen,

>>>>

>>>>;o)

>>>>

>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4713ecdb\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>>> But why? You summarized the issue so well in a couple of

>>>>> sentences. They are so succinct and elegant, that they

>>>>> almost represent proof that PoMo is dead!

>>>>>

>>>>> <grin>

>>>>>

>>>>> DC

>>>>>

>>>>> assuming of course that the text has any meaning in itself...

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Nope, but apparently someone had his text cutting shears handy to be

>>>>>> sure

>>>>>> he didn't have to answer my argument!

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Can I understand Plato in exactly the same way a third century BC

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Athenian

>>>>>>>> did? Of course not.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Well, there it is, isn't it? It works the other way 'round too.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> But to claim that this robs me of any chance of gathering

>>>>>>>>> something from his writing, and that he can't possibly have the

>>>>>>>>> tools

>>>>>>>>> to

>>>>>>>>>> understand personality defects because he was denied the opportunity

>>>>>>>>>> to

>>>>>>>>>> read

>>>>>>>>>>> Hare, that is reductivist solipsism dressed in its most jarring,

>>>>>>>>>>> garish

>>>>>>>>>>> vestments.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>Ahhh, discount sale at the strawman shop today, eh?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>DC
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
