

---

Subject: Re: Proof polls are worthless - heh  
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 29 Dec 2005 23:30:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

uestion put to me, somewhat  
>>>snidely in my opinion, was to prove that I wasn't passing off "urban legend"  
>>>about OS X performance being less than fantastic on PPC processors. This  
>>>came in response to someone saying a 1.3 Ghz Celeron running OS X was  
>  
> out-benchmarking  
>  
>>>much faster/more expensive G5s. I had heard similar things some time back  
>>>and investigated and found articles about how poorly multiple threads  
>  
> are  
>  
>>>managed. Presented with the fact that, surprisingly considering how dense  
>>>I am, I was not peddling "urban legend" Jamie decided that OS X was good  
>>>enough for him. This has

---

---

Subject: Proof polls are worthless - heh  
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 00:15:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

of late. Long term support of a  
>  
>  
>>new OS has paid off. Long term vision has come to fruition. You should  
>>look into it.  
>  
>  
> None of which has anything to do with the original question, which is whether  
> OS X is or is not hindering the possible performance of the hardware on which  
> it runs.  
>  
>  
>>>You should read my other post to Jamie. The q

---

---

Subject: Re: Proof polls are worthless - heh  
Posted by [Mark McDermott](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 00:49:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ion of UNIX maybe more

>>>> efficient

>>>>and more robust as a net work server than Mac OS, however that isn't what  
>>>>Mac OS was geared for. It's nothing more than a pissing match, to say  
>> Mac  
>>>>OSX is inefficient compared to some other version of UNIX, but at what?  
>>>  
>>>>You can say that another version of UNIX is better than Mac OSX, but at  
at  
>>>what???  
>>>>It's not as usable as a Mac. Certainly not for A/V, multi media! Isn't  
>>>>that where the computing world is going???  
>>>>  
>>>> Mac OSX is geare

---

---

Subject: Re: Proof polls are worthless - heh  
Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 01:41:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

me thing about what is going on,FYI  
for  
>>>>the  
>>>>>Mac guys, and you go on the attack. It is obvious you hate Apple  
>>>>>Computer,  
>>>>>so don't try to come off as an equal opportunity hater, it's insulting  
>>> our  
>>>>>intellect.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Apple as a computer company has been probably the biggest innovator  
in  
>>> the  
>>>>>personal computer industry. It's too bad some people refuse to give  
them  
>>>>>credit for all their innovation and vision, not to mention some great  
>  
>>>>>decisions.  
>>>>> Through their history they have made some bad decisions, however the  
>  
>>>>> good  
>>>>>decisions have out weighed the bad ones. That is why they are  
>>>>>successful.  
>>>>> The iPod is a great product, but it is a fact, there is a lot more  
  
>>>>&

---

---

Subject: Re: Proof polls are worthless - heh

Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 02:52:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ome bus mastering

>wierdness happening. I can't find the DMA or bus mastering options anywhere.

>I'm running win XPSP1a with DX9 installed. Where the heck do I check this?

>

>2. Gremlin #2-I've got 4 x matched 1G RAM sticks. This is good CAS2 Corsair

>XMS stuff. They all are functioning normally in pairs and three at a time.

>Any three sticks will show up in any three of my RAM slots.....plus I have

>tested all of them singly to make sure they show up and are doing the things

>that RAM is supposed to do. However, after adding all four RAM sticks to the

>4 x RAM slots on the mobo, only 3 gigs of system memory shows up. I have

>tested every RAM slot in the mobo individually in singles, pairs and

>configurations of three and all four of the slots work fine, but when a

>fourth RAM stick is added, only three G of RAM are available as system

>memory. This suck'

---

---

Subject: Re: Proof polls are worthless - heh

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 03:52:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

f my samples for BFD are on this

>drive. All will be well for a couple of days and then suddenly, for no

>discernable reason, BFD loses the ability to load and stream samples with

>any speed at all. CPU usage goes to around 50% and life just plain sucks.

>Restoring a Ghost of my system drive wherein BFD was working properly prior

>to the Ghosting will fix the problem.

>

>I'm wondering if maybe DMA isn't enabled or there is s

---

---

Subject: Re: Proof polls are worthless - heh

Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Fri, 30 Dec 2005 17:11:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ed at all. CPU usage goes to around 50% and life just plain sucks.

>> Restoring a Ghost of my system drive wherein BFD was working properly

> prior

>> to the Ghosting will fix the problem.

>>

>> I'm wondering if maybe DMA isn't enabled or there is some bus mastering

>> wierdness happening. I can't find the DMA or bus mastering options

> anywhere.

>> I'm running win XPSP1a with DX9 installed. Where the heck do I check

>> this?

---

>>  
>> 2. Gremlin #2-I've got 4 x matched 1G RAM sticks. This is good CAS2  
> Corsair  
>> XMS stuff. They all are functioning normally in pairs and three at a  
> time.  
>> Any three sticks will show up in any three of my RAM slots.....plus I  
> have  
>> tested all of them singly to make sure they show up a

---