Subject: Re: DAWs and analog summing??? Posted by Don Nafe on Tue, 17 Jan 2006 21:37:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In a nutshell I believe analog summing is for people with inferior DAWs

;-)

Plenty of discussion on this throughout the Pro Sound Web forums as of late.

Don

```
"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:43cd5bac$1@linux...
> DAWs and analog summing???
> The latest trend in audio seams to be analog summing boxes. There are
> about
> twenty manufactures putting out analog summing mixers for DAWs. Time to
> discuss the merits and value of such a product.
> Is anybody using such a device?
> Do you guys think there is a real need for this?
> How much better of a final mix do you guys think can be achieved taking
> this
> route?
> What about the loss of life in a mix going through the conversion process
> multiple times?
> Will this help with the mastering process?
> Do you guys think it would be better to send in analog tape to a mastering
> house?
> What are your thoughts?
```

Subject: DAWs and analog summing???
Posted by excelav on Tue, 17 Jan 2006 22:03:40 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

DAWs and analog summing???

The latest trend in audio seams to be analog summing boxes. There are about twenty manufactures putting out analog summing mixers for DAWs. Time to discuss the merits and value of such a product.

Is anybody using such a device?

Do you guys think there is a real need for this?

How much better of a final mix do you guys think can be achieved taking this route?

What about the loss of life in a mix going through the conversion process multiple times?

Will this help with the mastering process?

Do you guys think it would be better to send in analog tape to a mastering house?

What are your thoughts?

Subject: Re: DAWs and analog summing???
Posted by LaMont on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 03:27:09 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey James,

Well now you have gone and started us on the www.gearslutz path. Let me put on my Dreck hat, if you will:

Personally, I could really care less about mixing (OTB-Outside the box or DAW).. At our Church Studio, we have an SSL G series, that all of the Producer love running PT_HD/Logic thru for mixing. To me, I and other engineers who work there can get awsome mixes just using the DAW i/o converters..At most, I'll run a stereo out for the DAW into>Apogee> Masterlink..

Now, to me, a person can get simikar results by just using a decent mackie or soundcraft, Behrignger console to get that same anolog summing performance..really. I'm not crazy. What is crazt to me is this insane new summing \$\$\$\$ bus market.

What I can see spending good money on is analoge ont he from end. Again, you don;t have to spend a fortune to get a nice warmsignal into your DAW. At home,I use a SoundCraft ghost to front end into every DAW I use. But, WhnI only had a mackie 1604, it sound just as good in as it does out.. Now, I'm not naive to think that there's not a difference in BIG Buck \$\$ analog and little buck analog, But when you factor in todays I/O converts, not even the big dollar converts..Rather your, Motus, RME's EMU, M-Audios.. They so

good now, that they make up the deficiencies of the most analog consoles.

I'm not really sold on trrying to mimic an old analog console, I rather like the "new" sounds coming from ITB mixes that I hear on the radio of products I personally know were mixed ITB..

As in the recent, but "great" discussions ont teh "State OF DAW Affairs", just like the gain in cpus, I think the Audio interface products will get better and better, and for not a lot of money.. EMU really spanked the industry the last 2 years with Audio interfaces, with their stellar sound and outragous price point.. That prompted M-audio the redo their line and upgrade their product and dropping the price. It's only going to get better.. DAW software companies are tweaking the "nines" out their software. Upgrading to 64bit just like Sonar5, will yield higher performance DAW mixer and summing output..

So, again, I'm not jummping into this game... I mean let's face it. If for no other reason to a Paris system, having to act as summing converter mixer is iustification.. Take care LaMont "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote: >DAWs and analog summing??? >The latest trend in audio seams to be analog summing boxes. There are about >twenty manufactures putting out analog summing mixers for DAWs. Time to >discuss the merits and value of such a product. >Is anybody using such a device? >Do you guys think there is a real need for this? >How much better of a final mix do you guys think can be achieved taking this >route? >What about the loss of life in a mix going through the conversion process >multiple times? >Will this help with the mastering process? >Do you guys think it would be better to send in analog tape to a mastering >house? >What are your thoughts?

Subject: Re: DAWs and analog summing??? Posted by Gary Flanigan on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:54:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>DAWs and analog summing???

>What are your thoughts?

I think that the folks selling equipment have to have something to sell. As we do more and more inside the box, they have less and less to sell. Thus the mania over mic preamps, and the almost religious belief that everyone must have an assortment. (With GML, API and Fearn, I'm not immune). Now they are trying to suggest that we also need an assortment of AD converters to use with different instruments and signal paths. Never mind that the choice of mic and placement have an order of magnitude more impact on what gets recorded.

Mixing in the box is different, and requires a different approach to mixing through a console or summing box. Folks like George Massenberg mix through a console because they can work much faster, not least because they are very familiar with that process.

I think the quality of converters is so high now (assuming professional units) that multiple trips in and out of the box don't degrade the signal appreciably.

I also think the folks at Gearslutz and elsewhere should stop talking about mic pres and record something.

Now I will go get my coffee and shut up. :)

Subject: Re: DAWs and analog summing??? Posted by excelar on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 19:17:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Gary, I think your right!

"Gary Flanigan" <gary flanigan@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>DAWs and analog summing???

>>What are your thoughts?

- > I think that the folks selling equipment have to have something to sell.
- > As we do more and more inside the box, they have less and less to sell.
- > Thus the mania over mic preamps, and the almost religious belief that everyone

>they are trying to suggest that we also need an assortment of AD converters
>to use with different instruments and signal paths. Never mind that the
>choice of mic and placement have an order of magnitude more impact on what
>gets recorded.
>Mixing in the box is different, and requires a different approach to mixing
>through a console or summing box. Folks like George Massenberg mix through
>a console because they can work much faster, not least because they are
very
>familiar with that process.
> I think the quality of converters is so high now (assuming professional units)
> that multiple trips in and out of the box don't degrade the signal appreciably.
> I also think the folks at Gearslutz and elsewhere should stop talking about
> mic pres and record something.
> Now I will go get my coffee and shut up. :)

>must have an assortment. (With GML, API and Fearn, I'm not immune). Now

Subject: Re: DAWs and analog summing???
Posted by Chris Wargo on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 21:05:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Amen brother, Amen. :-) "Gary Flanigan" <gary flanigan@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote: >"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote: >> >>DAWs and analog summing??? >>What are your thoughts? > >I think that the folks selling equipment have to have something to sell. > As we do more and more inside the box, they have less and less to sell. > Thus the mania over mic preamps, and the almost religious belief that everyone >must have an assortment. (With GML, API and Fearn, I'm not immune). Now >they are trying to suggest that we also need an assortment of AD converters >to use with different instruments and signal paths. Never mind that the >choice of mic and placement have an order of magnitude more impact on what >gets recorded. >Mixing in the box is different, and requires a different approach to mixing >through a console or summing box. Folks like George Massenberg mix through

>a console because they can work much faster, not least because they are

```
very
>familiar with that process.
>
>I think the quality of converters is so high now (assuming professional units)
>that multiple trips in and out of the box don't degrade the signal appreciably.
>
>I also think the folks at Gearslutz and elsewhere should stop talking about >mic pres and record something.
>
>Now I will go get my coffee and shut up. :)
```

Subject: Re: DAWs and analog summing???
Posted by Chris Wargo on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 01:50:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That being said, I just found a vintage piece of Telefunken gear that I must have for my studio, or else I will die:

http://tinyurl.com/94fb4

```
-Chris
"Chris Wargo" <na@na.na> wrote:
>Amen brother, Amen. :-)
>"Gary Flanigan" <gary flanigan@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>DAWs and analog summing???
>>>What are your thoughts?
>>
>>I think that the folks selling equipment have to have something to sell.
>> As we do more and more inside the box, they have less and less to sell.
>> Thus the mania over mic preamps, and the almost religious belief that
everyone
>>must have an assortment. (With GML, API and Fearn, I'm not immune). Now
>>they are trying to suggest that we also need an assortment of AD converters
>>to use with different instruments and signal paths. Never mind that the
>>choice of mic and placement have an order of magnitude more impact on what
>>gets recorded.
```

>>Mixing in the box is different, and requires a different approach to mixing >>through a console or summing box. Folks like George Massenberg mix through

>>

```
>>a console because they can work much faster, not least because they are
>very
>>familiar with that process.
>>
>> I think the quality of converters is so high now (assuming professional
>units)
>> that multiple trips in and out of the box don't degrade the signal appreciably.
>>
>> I also think the folks at Gearslutz and elsewhere should stop talking about
>>mic pres and record something.
>>
>> Now I will go get my coffee and shut up. :)
>>
```

Subject: Re: DAWs and analog summing??? Posted by Kim on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 02:58:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hehe, now we could all use one of those! ;o)

```
"Chris Wargo" <na@na.na> wrote:
>That being said, I just found a vintage piece of Telefunken gear that I
>have for my studio, or else I will die:
>http://tinyurl.com/94fb4
>-Chris
>"Chris Wargo" <na@na.na> wrote:
>>Amen brother, Amen. :-)
>>
>>"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flanigan@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>DAWs and analog summing???
>>>>What are your thoughts?
>>>
>>>I think that the folks selling equipment have to have something to sell.
>>> As we do more and more inside the box, they have less and less to sell.
>>> Thus the mania over mic preamps, and the almost religious belief that
>>>must have an assortment. (With GML, API and Fearn, I'm not immune). Now
```

>>>they are trying to suggest that we also need an assortment of AD converters >>>to use with different instruments and signal paths. Never mind that the >>>choice of mic and placement have an order of magnitude more impact on what >>>gets recorded. >>> >>>Mixing in the box is different, and requires a different approach to mixing >>>through a console or summing box. Folks like George Massenberg mix through >>>a console because they can work much faster, not least because they are >>verv >>>familiar with that process. >>> >>>I think the quality of converters is so high now (assuming professional >>units) >>>that multiple trips in and out of the box don't degrade the signal appreciably. >>>I also think the folks at Gearslutz and elsewhere should stop talking about >>>mic pres and record something. >>>Now I will go get my coffee and shut up. :) >>> >> >

Subject: Re: DAWs and analog summing???
Posted by tonehouse on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 16:18:43 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Check out this month's issue of "Electronic Musician" for the article on "Analog Summing".....

Subject: Re: DAWs and analog summing???
Posted by John [1] on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 17:24:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

can you post a synopsis?

tonehouse wrote:

- > Check out this month's issue of "Electronic Musician" for the article on "
- > Analog Summing".....
- >
- _