
Subject: Terrorist plot foiled

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 18:19:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on the NY times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from happening. I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.

Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all these relavent audio posts and all.

There goes the neighborhood.....

;o)

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled

Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 18:29:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Correction, one terrorist plot foiled. I'm sure there are plenty more already well into the R&D phase.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on the NY

>times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from happening.

>I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.

>

>Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all these

>relavent audio posts and all.

>

>There goes the neighborhood.....

>

>;o)

>

>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 18:55:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, OK then,.....it was a multiplane plot. Anyway, I'm sure you're right. I'm wondering what day the suicide bombers have decided to show up at the shopping malls.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:44db7b05\$1@linux...

>
> Correction, _one_ terrorist plot foiled. I'm sure there are plenty more
already
> well into the R&D phase.
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on the
> NY
> >times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from happening.
> >I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
> >
> >Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all
these
> >relavent audio posts and all.
> >
> >There goes the neighborhood.....
> >
> >;o)
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 19:16:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

??? You lost me man.. DJ, just turn off the TV. It sweeps week.. The Isreali/hezbollah war was getting low-ratings. Now, we have to be bored to death with terrorist plots.....Until, the new Fall line up come out at end this month..

I'm not biting on anymore scare tactics..yep, that's it..Turn off

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on the
NY
>times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from happening.
>I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>
>Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all these
>relavent audio posts and all.
>
>There goes the neighborhood.....
>
>;o)

>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 19:20:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can't.....the TV has imbedded itself in my forehead like an ingrown toenail. I'm doomed.

"LaMont Davis" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:44db860e\$1@linux...

>
> ??? You lost me man.. DJ, just turn off the TV. It sweeps week.. The
Isreali/hezbollah
> war was getting low-ratings. Now, we have to be bored to death with
terrorist
> plots.....Until, the new Fall line up come out at end this month..
>
> I'm not biting on anymore scare tactics..yep, that's it..Turn off
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on the
> NY
> >times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from happening.
> >I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
> >
> >Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all
these
> >relavent audio posts and all.
> >
> >There goes the neighborhood.....
> >
> >;o)
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 19:21:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lol!!! :)

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>I can't.....the TV has imbedded itself in my forehead like an ingrown
>toenail. I'm doomed.
>
>
>"LaMont Davis" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:44db860e\$1@linux...
>>
>> ??? You lost me man.. DJ, just turn off the TV. It sweeps week.. The
>Isreali/hezbolla
>> war was getting low-ratings. Now, we have to be bored to death with
>terrorist
>> plots.....Until, the new Fall line up come out at end this month..
>>
>> I'm not biting on anymore scare tactics..yep, that's it..Turn off
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on
the
>> NY
>> >times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from happening.
>> >I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>> >
>> >Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all
>these
>> >relavent audio posts and all.
>> >
>> >There goes the neighborhood.....
>> >
>> >;0)
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 19:26:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

---=_linux44db8871
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

03H6T6d23bjVvP6a+z9Jmf8AA/o0R3Kj2DndPxmCr14D3vJlfpDh++1zd3vd
+e/ctGrEtuldX+iyGw6qxnLHNO9jm8fzbtv5/wCYuod/i8wMXplFfSiKOoY9
TWve4u9Hle0e92VX7/Tfa/8A7VUs9ej/Alaj9VUeldLzKw31KrMayxpFjrQ3
dWAdllVG11rX3bm/0j+a9H9Jj+r6nqUom1tU9D0PMzcrDIz62sy6Hmm59ZBq
sc0NPr4+pc2t+/31WfpKL/Vp/wAH6tmiqGJ6eMwUsAZW36PaFeaZEoJBXSSS
SSpJJJJSkkkkIKSSSSU//9H0nqfS8XqeP6ORuaRJurdsY79+t//ouzfTb/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L9aMIOYRR1TGB+yZBnaQfccbJ2/Sos/e/nMd/wClq/wtN3TJJKfmPOwcvp+X
bh5tTqMmh222p2ha7wn85rvzHt9j6/5v9Gp9Pz8rAy6szDtdj5VDt9VzeWu+
j9E/SY9vsurs9lft84vbrf59R6PrLijlxw2rq2O2KbToLG/S+zXH93d/NWf4
J/8A1xeH5GLfjZNMpKMN9JLbKnaOaWfmuDvzk4FD7t9S/rri/WXFNVgbj9V
x2g5OMD7XD6P2rF3e5+M93/XMaz9Dd/grbumXzRgZ+XgZdOVh2ux8uh26i5s
AsPH53sdW9rtl1Vn6Oyte6/Uz644n1mwZlBR1GgD7Viz/wCD0z7nY9n/AIF/
NWIEJeiSSSQU/wD/1PVUkkkIKSSSSUpJJJJSkkkkIKXG/X36gUfWOv7fg7ae
r1NgE6MvaPo03fu2N/wN/wD1uz9H/Ndkkkp+ZMvEycLldjZdZpurcW2VO+m0
jT9I2fb/AK/4NH6T1fN6Rn05uFYa8ikyw8iPz2Pb+dVY3+dYvafrx9RcT6y4
/wBooDaeq0tim46NsA/wGRt/M/0dn+B/qfo14bnYuVg5dmLk1Oovoiu6l8Nc
CAA76H0t30mO/P8A5xOBU/QP1T+tWB9ZunDjxyK8qqG5eKTLq3n/AKui36VF
3+E/4z1K1uL5q6L1nP6N1CvN6faab6u41aW/n021/wCEof8A6P8A9GL3D6m/
Xfp/1noNemP1OloRIE8j/T4zv8AC0bv+uU/4b/BPtBCn//V9VSSSSUpJJJJ
SkkkkIKSSSSUpJJJJSlxn+MT6iN+seJ9u6e1resY7fZJ2i9g1+zvd9Ftn/ce
x3/FW/on+pV2aSSn5dLX1OfXa1zC2Q+t4llcDsdp+a+ty7L/ABW9Oz+ofWXH
yahtxem7rsi0NDY3Vvx6qWva33WX79z9/wDgvVXonXP8Wf1a631N3U7/AF8e
+wh17cd4ayxwgb7Gvrt2uc1vv9D0f+3Peuh6Z0vp/ScNmD06huNjV/RrZ4/v
Pc6X2Pd+dZZ70bU//9k4QkINBAYAAAAAAAcAAgAAAAEABAP/iDFhJQ0NfUFJP
RkIMRQABAQAADeHMaW5vAhAAAG1udHJSR0IgwFflaAfOAAIACQAGADEAAGFj
c3BNU0ZUAAAAAEIFQyBzUkdCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAD21gABAAAAANMtSFAg
IAAA
AAAAEWNwcnQAAAFQAAAAM2Ric2MAAAGEAAAAbHd0cHQAAAHwAAAAFGJrcHQA
AAIEAAAAFHJYWVoAAAIYAAAAFGdYWVoAAAIaAAAAFGJYWVoAAAJAAAAAFGRt
bmQAAAJUAAAAcGRtZGQAAALEAAAAiHZ1ZWQAAANMAAAHnZpZXcAAAPUAAAA
JGx1bWkAAAP4AAAAFG1IYXMAAAQMAAAAJHRIY2gAAQwAAAADHJUUKMAAAQ8
AAIDGdUUKMAAAQ8AAIDGJUUKMAAAQ8AAIDHRleHQAAAAAQ29weXJpZ2h0
IChjKSAxOTk4IEhld2xldHQQtUGFja2FyZCBDb21wYW55AABkZXNjAAAAAAA
ABJzUkdCIEIFQzYxOTY2LTIuMQAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAEnNSR0IlgSUVDNjE5NjYt
Mi4xAA
AAAAAAAAABYVWvogaAAAAAAAA81EAAQAAAAEWzFhZWIAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAWFlaIAAAAAAAAAAG+iAAA49QAAA5BYVWvogaAAAAAAAAAYpkAALeFAAAAY2lhZ
WiAAAAAAAAAAkoAAAD4QAALbPZGVzYwAAAAAAAAAAWSUVDIGh0dHA6Ly93d3cu

aWVjLmNoAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAWSUVDIGh0dHA6Ly93d3cuaWVjLmNoAAAAAAAA
AAGRic2MA
AAAAAAAAALkIFQyA2MTk2Ni0yLjEgRGVmYXVsdCBSR0lgY29sb3VyIHlwYWNl
IC0gc1JHQgAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAALkIFQyA2MTk2Ni0yLjEgRGVmYXVsdCBSR0lg
Y29sb3VyIHlwYWNlIC0gc1JHQgAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAABkZXNj
AAAAAAAAACxSZWZlcmVuY2UgVmllZ2luZyBDb25kaXRpb24gaW4gSUVDNjE5
NjYtMi4xAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAsUmVmZXJlbnNlIFZpZXdpbmcgQ29uZGI0aW9u
IGluEIFQzYxOTY2LTl1MQAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAdmll
dwAAAAAAE6T+ABRfLgAQzxQAA+3MAAQTCwADXJ4AAAABWFlalAAAAAATAIW
AFAAAABXH+dtZWFzAAAAAAAAAAEAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAACjwAAAAJz
aWcgAAAAAENSVCBjdXJ2AAAAAAAAABAAAAAFAAoADwAUABkAHgAjACgALQAY
ADcAOwBAAEUASgBPAFQAWQBeAGMAaABtAHIAdwB8AIEAhgCLAJAAIQCaj8A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CylLOQtRC2kLgAuYC7ALyAvhC/kMEgwqDEMMXAx1DI4MpwzADNkM8w0NDSYN
QA1aDXQNjg2pDcMN3g34DhMOLg5JDmQOfw6bDrYO0g7uDwkPJQ9BD14Peg+W
D7MPzw/sEAKQJhBDEGEQfhCbELkQ1xD1ERMRFPEW0RjBGqEckR6BIHEiYS
RRJkEoQSoxLDEuMTAxMjE0MTYxODE6QTxRPIFAYUJxRJFGouixStFM4U8BUS
FTQVVhV4FZsVvRXgFgMWJhZJFmwWjxayFtYW+hcdF0EXZReJF64X0hf3GBsY
QBhIGloYrxjVGPoZIBIFGWSzkrM3Gd0aBBoqGIEadxqeGsUa7BsUGzsbYxuk
G7lb2hwCHCocUhx7HKMczBz1HR4dRx1wHZkdwX3sHhYeQB5qHpQevh7pHxMf
Ph9pH5Qfvx/qIBUgQSBslJggxCDwIRwhSCF1IaEhziH7liciVSKClq8i3SMK
IzgjZiOUI8lj8CQfJE0kfcSrJNoICSU4JWgllYXHJfcmJyZXJocmyboJxgn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aD9olmjsaUNpmmnxakhqn2r3a09rp2v/bFdsr20lbWBtuW4SbmtuxG8eb3hv
0XArclZw4HE6cZVx8HJLcqZzAXNdc7h0FHRwdMx1KHWFdeF2PnabdvH3Vnez
eBF4bnjMeSp5iXnnekZ6pXsEe2N7wnwhfIF84X1BfaF+AX5ifsJ/l3+Ef+WA
R4CogQqBa4HNgcCckoL0g1eDuoQdhICE44VHhauGDoZyhteHO4efiASlaYjO
iTOJmYn+imSKyoswi5aL/lxjJMqNMY2Yjf+OZo7OjzaPnpAGkG6Q1pE/kaiS
EZJ6kuOTTZO2ICCUipT0IV+VyZY0lp+XCpd1I+CYTJi4mSSzkJn8mmia1ZtC
m6+cHJyJnPedZJ3SnkCerp8dn4uf+qBpoNihR6G2oiaillqMGo3aj5qRWpMel

OKWpphqmi6b9p26n4KhSqMSPn6mpqhyqj6sCq3Wr6axcrNCtRK24ri2uoa8W
r4uwALB1sOqxYLHWskuywrM4s660JbSctRO1irYBtnm28Ldot+C4WbjRuUq5
wro7urW7LrunvCG8m70VvY++Cr6Evv+/er/1wHDA7MFnwePCX8Lbw1jD1MRR
xM7FS8XlXkbGw8dBx7/IPci8yTrJuco4yrfLNsuzDXMtc01zbXONs62zzfP
uNA50LrRPNG+0j/SwdNE08bUSdTL1U7V0dZV1tjXXNfg2GTY6Nls2fHadtr7
24DcBdyK3RDdlt4c3qLfKd+v4DbgveFE4cziU+Lb42Pj6+Rz5PzlhOYN5pbn
H+ep6DLovOIG6dDqW+r163Dr++yG7RHtnO4o7rTvQQ/M8Fjw5fFy8f/yjPMZ
86f0NPTC9VD13vZt9vv3ivgZ+Kj5OPnH+lf65/t3/Af8mP0p/br+S/7c/23/
///uAA5BZG9iZQBkgAAAAAH/2wCEAAgGBgYGBggGBggMCAcIDA4KCAgKDhAN

DQ4NDRARDAwMDAwMEQwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwBCQgl
CQoJCwkJCw4LDQsOEQ4ODg4REQwMDAwMEREMDAwMDAwRDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwM
DAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDP/AABEIAMgAyAMBIGACEQEDEQH/3QAEAA3/xAGi
AAAABwEBAQEBAIAAAAAAAAAAEBQMCBgEABwgJCgsBAAICAwEBAQEBAIAAAAA
AAEAAgMEBQYHCAkKcAAAgEDAwIEAgYHAWQCBgJzAQIDEQQABSESMUFRBhNh
lnGBFDKR0qCvSUIjwVLR4TMWYvAkcoLxJUM0U5KismNzWjVEJ5OjszYXVGR0
w9LiCCaDCQoYGYSURUaktFbTVSga8uPzxNTk9GV1hZWltcXV5fVmdoaWprbG
1ub2N0dXZ3eHl6e3x9fn9zhIWgh4iJiouMjY6Pg5SVIpeYmZqbnJ2en5Kjpk
Slpqqeoqaqrk2ur6EQACAgECAwUFBAUGBAgDA20BAIRAwQhEjFBBVETYSIG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tPqRpxop3KlgV4/5P7eAfOev6/511W70WEGw0uzkaOYluNSnwt6jjx/ycjlz
qFn5ZgigjjUzRqHFu6kmV/2ZrnrxIX7cUDfa+H1Ph+2Ab5MiK582B+bdT8w3
szjWbmSdgY1czOzuCFPAfGafTbi7jiP9b48L9PjLwKQNWptJ0Br+1SuG9zpu
qebLye9H7y6k5SzTuRxoAPikY/CvwxT7P8Ak4VabpGrza1HounRmTUJWEaQ
qNmalafGB8P+X9nj8f2MnYYHdk1nCqwqUVRIwBkao+2enw9Ps/F9nBMbSuwW
nFAagHcVO29a4L1LRNf8sejb+ZrP6n6xpBdoRJayPSoj9YFhHLxH93L9vFoY
1Hagp1G4P39t8ShTSz+sKUAJJ3UodwSaqR+0GX7StnR/y71bWNCe00LWBJPp
9+zrpl2lyFRwV/0eQqPTi6n0l+FeHwliemkeE+h6Zbi3mvrpxFbRD4iepJHw
qij7TH9njkw0CC4nulLm5ZvQt9raNwRudubLWnqEf8Bka3W3oGbEo32AY+wP
8DiuLIG3Zs2bFXZs2bFXZs2bFXZs2bFXZs2bFXZs2bFXZs2bFXZs2bFX/9KZ

>> >I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>> >
>> >Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all
>these
>> >relavent audio posts and all.
>> >
>> >There goes the neighborhood.....
>> >
>> >;o)
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 20:32:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I haven't heard much from my old cronies, the Democrats. I'm sure that once a bunch of polls have been done and it's safe to know what to think, Howard Dean will pop up and say something stupid.

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44db8871\$1@linux...
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >I can't.....the TV has imbedded itself in my forehead like an ingrown
> >toenail. I'm doomed.
>
>
> There's a fix for that...
>
> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Dubya Mark Wilson](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 20:44:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think another one of those Jerry Clower styled "hawwwwws" would be perfect.
DubyaMW

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:44db9814@linux...

>I haven't heard much from my old cronies, the Democrats. I'm sure that once
> a bunch of polls have been done and it's safe to know what to think,
> Howard
> Dean will pop up and say something stupid.

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 21:07:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually, we heard loud and clear from the dems last night when they kicked out a good man in exchange for a preppy dilettante who won't dare argue with Dean and the MoveOn/Kos crowd who have taken over their party.

Here's a good piece, from the left, no less, on what that situation may add up to.

<http://www.slate.com/id/2147395>

I am not afraid of a democrat administration "waging peace", I am very afraid of their foolishness and appeasement backing all of us in a corner until there is no other option but massive, quite possibly nuclear, war.

There is no negotiation with these jihadi ghouls. There is only slavery or victory. I choose victory.

DC

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>I haven't heard much from my old cronies, the Democrats. I'm sure that once
>a bunch of polls have been done and it's safe to know what to think, Howard
>Dean will pop up and say something stupid.

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44db8871\$1@linux...

>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >I can't.....the TV has imbedded itself in my forehead like an ingrown
>> >toenail. I'm doomed.

>>

>>

>> There's a fix for that...

>>
>> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
>> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
>> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
>> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 23:26:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That is the most annoying commercial ever..... it actually makes me trigger paranoid to punch that remote.

-Carl

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44db8871\$1@linux...
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >I can't.....the TV has imbedded itself in my forehead like an ingrown
> >toenail. I'm doomed.
>
>
> There's a fix for that...
>
> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 10 Aug 2006 23:27:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yeah, it makes me really remember that product.

Remember to never ever ever buy it...

DC

"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotNOSPAMmail.com> wrote:
>That is the most annoying commercial ever..... it actually makes me trigger

>paranoid to punch that remote.
>
>-Carl
>
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44db8871\$1@linux...
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >I can't.....the TV has imbedded itself in my forehead like an ingrown
>> >toenail. I'm doomed.
>>
>>
>> There's a fix for that...
>>
>> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
>> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
>> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
>> BALLPEEN! APPLY DIRECTLY TO THE FOREHEAD
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 11 Aug 2006 09:38:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

how the hell did you get an ingrown toenail in your head???
oH....ewww.....okay, i admire your flexibility but the image now in my
head is causing me great discomfort...

On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:20:15 -0600, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>I can't.....the TV has imbedded itself in my forehead like an ingrown
>toenail. I'm doomed.
>
>
>"LaMont Davis" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:44db860e\$1@linux...
>>
>> ??? You lost me man.. DJ, just turn off the TV. It sweeps week.. The
>> >Isreali/hezbolla
>> war was getting low-ratings. Now, we have to be bored to death with
>> >terrorist
>> plots.....Until, the new Fall line up come out at end this month..
>>
>> I'm not biting on anymore scare tactics..yep, that's it..Turn off
>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on the
>> NY
>> >times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from happening.
>> >I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>> >
>> >Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all
>these
>> >relavent audio posts and all.
>> >
>> >There goes the neighborhood.....
>> >
>> >;o)
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 05:48:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!? Weren't they foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting "them" there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police could never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right? How does this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists in the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in England, but those are the last ones. Really.

Sarah

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:44db78dd@linux...
> We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on the
> NY
> times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from happening.
> I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>
> Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all these
> relavent audio posts and all.
>
> There goes the neighborhood.....
>
> ;o)

>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 05:59:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists in
> the world.

Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then why are
you asking why they were foiled?
I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say so.

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!? Weren't they
> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting "them"
> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police could
> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right? How does
> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists in
> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in England,
but
> those are the last ones. Really.

>
> Sarah

>
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:44db78dd@linux...

> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on
the

> > NY

> > times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from
happening.

> > I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.

> >

> > Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all
these

> > relavent audio posts and all.

> >

> > There goes the neighborhood.....

> >

> > ;o)

> >

> >

>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 11:11:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I didn't ask why they were foiled, silly. You said, "we need to know exactly how these plots were foiled," so I was just repeating that and offering up an answer that I, of course, do not believe at all. I was merely making fun of those people who apparently do believe these things, and attempting to satirically point out the particular absurdity of the "fighting them there so we don't have to fight them here," justification for Iraq. I don't actually have "my own personal Jesus," but somehow I just don't think he would approve of all the "collateral damage."

Perhaps you knew I was being "tongue in cheek," and you were too and I just missed the subtlety. :)

Shalom,

Sarah J. Burke, RN

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:44e01125\$1@linux...

> Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists in
>> the world.

>
> Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then why
> are
> you asking why they were foiled?
> I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say so.

>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
> news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
>> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!? Weren't
>> they
>> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting
>> "them"
>> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police could
>> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right? How does
>> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists in
>> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in England,
> but
>> those are the last ones. Really.

>>
>> Sarah
>>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:44db78dd@linux...
>> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending on
> the
>> > NY
>> > times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from
> happening.
>> > I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>> >
>> > Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all
> these
>> > relavent audio posts and all.
>> >
>> > There goes the neighborhood.....
>> >
>> > ;o)
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 18:09:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Sarah,

Well, I suspect there are all sorts of things in our world that Jesus disapproves of, including slavery, female circumciscion, sharia, burqa's, the deliberate targeting of civilians, and Islamofascism in general. (Oh, and not to mention those who don't believe in him, attributing positions to him to make political points)

But there is certainly lots of guilt to go around isn't there?

That's why Christians believe these to be the last days of earth's history. There are no easy answers. Maybe no answers at all, except to hold fast and warn everyone of what is coming.

Please notice though, that we are not "fighting them here", we are, quite successfully *catching* them here, before any fighting

is needed. Weakening the ghouls and head choppers makes us safer, it is clear. Give them ground, and they use the ground for more attacks. Appease them and you get more of the same. This is not disputable.

Just take them at their own words. They clearly see your version of "peace" as weakness and an opportunity to kill more of us.

Yet, it is really hard to take a purely right wing position, I know. I DO NOT trust our gummint one little bit. Too many soldiers died in Vietnam for no reason to do that. Venal, cowardly, and amoral leaders are responsible for the deaths of too many great young men and women to trust them. (Bill Clinton was no better than Nixon and Johnson BTW, just read "Blackhawk Down") At least W is committed to victory.

The only thing even worse than blind patriotism is the insanity being peddled by the left.

And as a Christian I admit to the strong possibility of a time in the near future, when there is no difference, morally, between the right and left whatsoever.

And then shall the end come.

DC

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.om> wrote:

>I didn't ask why they were foiled, silly. You said, "we need to know
>exactly how these plots were foiled," so I was just repeating that and
>offering up an answer that I, of course, do not believe at all. I was
>merely making fun of those people who apparently do believe these things,

>and attempting to satirically point out the particular absurdity of the

>"fighting them there so we don't have to fight them here," justification
for

>Iraq. I don't actually have "my own personal Jesus," but somehow I just

>don't think he would approve of all the "collateral damage."
>

>Perhaps you knew I was being "tongue in cheek," and you were too and I just

>missed the subtlety. :)

>
>Shalom,
>
>Sarah J. Burke, RN
>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>news:44e01125\$1@linux...
>> Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists
in
>>> the world.
>>
>> Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then why

>> are
>> you asking why they were foiled?
>> I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say so.
>>
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>> news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
>>> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!? Weren't

>>> they
>>> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting
>>> "them"
>>> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police could
>>> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right? How
does
>>> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists
in
>>> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in England,
>> but
>>> those are the last ones. Really.
>>>
>>> Sarah
>>>
>>>
>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>> news:44db78dd@linux...
>>> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending
on
>> the
>>> > NY
>>> > times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from
>> happening.
>>> > I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>>> >

>>> > Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all
>> these
>>> > relavent audio posts and all.
>>> >
>>> > There goes the neighborhood.....
>>> >
>>> > ;o)
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 18:46:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As a believeing Christian,
why should we do "Anything at to save oursleves form the inevitable"??
If Jesus is soon to return, then why are so worried about the end times??

We are to pray and help those who are not saved, to get saved..
Jesus goes on record to say that " No one but the Father(God) knows the end
of time(earth), to be ready (Spiritually) when he (Jesus) comes..
He aslo states, "There will be wars AND Rumors of wars, daughters against
mothers, sons against fathers, nations against nations..But "FEAR Not"..

It seems that we (Christians) are fearing for the Jesus return instead of
Celebrating his coming..
We(Christians) need to read the test again..

Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies"..I know, what
a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his (Jesus)
teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits or
fit us depending on the situation. LAD

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>Hi Sarah,
>
>Well, I suspect there are all sorts of things in our world that Jesus
>disapproves of, including slavery, female circumciscion,
>sharia, burqa's, the deliberate targeting of civilians, and

>Islamofascism in general. (Oh, and not to mention those who don't
>believe in him, attributing positions to him to make political points)
>
>But there is certainly lots of guilt to go around isn't there?
>
>That's why Christians believe these to be the last days of earth's
>history. There are no easy answers. Maybe no answers at all,
>except to hold fast and warn everyone of what is coming.
>
>Please notice though, that we are not "fighting them here", we
>are, quite successfully *catching* them here, before any fighting
>is needed. Weakening the ghouls and head choppers makes us
>safer, it is clear. Give them ground, and they use the ground for
>more attacks. Appease them and you get more of the same.
>This is not disputable.
>Just take them at their own words. They clearly see your version
>of "peace" as weakness and an opportunity to kill more of us.
>
>Yet, it is really hard to take a purely right wing position, I know. I
>DO NOT trust our gummint one little bit. Too many soldiers died
>in Vietnam for no reason to do that. Venal, cowardly, and amoral
>leaders are responsible for the deaths of too many great young men
>and women to trust them. (Bill Clinton was no better than
>Nixon and Johnson BTW, just read "Blackhawk Down") At least
>W is committed to victory.
>
>
>The only thing even worse than blind patriotism is the insanity being
>peddled by the left.
>
>And as a Christian I admit to the strong possibility of a time in the
>near future, when there is no difference, morally, between the
>right and left whatsoever.
>
>And then shall the end come.
>
>
>DC
>
>
>
>
>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.om> wrote:
>>I didn't ask why they were foiled, silly. You said, "we need to know
>>exactly how these plots were foiled," so I was just repeating that and

>>offering up an answer that I, of course, do not believe at all. I was

>>merely making fun of those people who apparently do believe these things,
>
>>and attempting to satirically point out the particular absurdity of the
>
>>"fighting them there so we don't have to fight them here," justification
>for
>>Iraq. I don't actually have "my own personal Jesus," but somehow I just
>
>>don't think he would approve of all the "collateral damage."
>>
>>Perhaps you knew I was being "tongue in cheek," and you were too and I
just
>
>>missed the subtlety. :)
>>
>>Shalom,
>>
>>Sarah J. Burke, RN
>>
>>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>news:44e01125\$1@linux...
>>> Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists
>in
>>>> the world.
>>>
>>> Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then why
>
>>> are
>>> you asking why they were foiled?
>>> I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say so.
>>>
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
>>>> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!? Weren't
>
>>>> they
>>>> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting

>>>> "them"
>>>> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police could
>>>> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right? How
>does
>>>> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists
>in
>>>> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in England,
>>> but

>>>> those are the last ones. Really.
>>>>
>>>> Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:44db78dd@linux...
>>>> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending
>on
>>> the
>>>> > NY
>>>> > times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from
>>> happening.
>>>> > I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>>>> >
>>>> > Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with all
>>> these
>>>> > relavent audio posts and all.
>>>> >
>>>> > There goes the neighborhood.....
>>>> >
>>>> > ;o)
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 20:36:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good points LaMont.

One thing to be clear on is that Jesus never said anything about nations loving their enemies, only individuals. He also never defined loving your enemies as letting them murder your family, nor turning the other cheek as giving the rapist the other daughter.

Despite all that, you are right. It is likely that we will have to stop supporting any government at some point and concentrate on our mission. Maybe that point has come, but I am not ready to cede the west to the jihadis as long as I believe our government to be

trustworthy. I am not sure they are not trustworthy yet.

best,

DC

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>As a believeing Christian,

>why should we do "Anything at to save ourselves form the inevitable"??

>If Jesus is soon to return, then why are so worried about the end times??

>

>We are to pray and help those who are not saved, to get saved..

>Jesus goes on record to say that " No one but the Father(God) knows the end

>of time(earth), to be ready (Spiritualy) when he (Jesus) comes..

>He aslo states, "There will be wars AND Rumors of wars, daughters against

>mothers, sons against fathers, nations against nations..But "FEAR Not" ..

>

>It seems that we (Christians) are fearing for the Jesus return instead of

>Celebrating his coming..

>We(Christians) need to read the test again..

>

>Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies" ..I know, what

>a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his (Jesus)

>teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits or

>fit us depending on the situation. LAD

>

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>Hi Sarah,

>>

>>Well, I suspect there are all sorts of things in our world that Jesus

>>disapproves of, including slavery, female circumciscion,

>>sharia, burqa's, the deliberate targeting of civilians, and

>>Islamofascism in general. (Oh, and not to mention those who don't

>>believe in him, attributing positions to him to make political points)

>>

>>But there is certainly lots of guilt to go around isn't there?

>>

>>That's why Christians believe these to be the last days of earth's

>>history. There are no easy answers. Maybe no answers at all,

>>except to hold fast and warn everyone of what is coming.

>>

>>Please notice though, that we are not "fighting them here", we

>>are, quite successfuly *catching* them here, before any fighting

>>is needed. Weakening the ghouls and head choppers makes us
>>safer, it is clear. Give them ground, and they use the ground for
>>more attacks. Appease them and you get more of the same.
>>This is not disputable.
>>Just take them at their own words. They clearly see your version
>>of "peace" as weakness and an opportunity to kill more of us.
>>
>>Yet, it is really hard to take a purely right wing position, I know. I
>>DO NOT trust our gummint one little bit. Too many soldiers died
>>in Vietnam for no reason to do that. Venal, cowardly, and amoral
>>leaders are responsible for the deaths of too many great young men
>>and women to trust them. (Bill Clinton was no better than
>>Nixon and Johnson BTW, just read "Blackhawk Down") At least
>>W is committed to victory.
>>
>>
>>The only thing even worse than blind patriotism is the insanity being
>>peddled by the left.
>>
>>And as a Christian I admit to the strong possibility of a time in the
>>near future, when there is no difference, morally, between the
>>right and left whatsoever.
>>
>>And then shall the end come.
>>
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.om> wrote:
>>>I didn't ask why they were foiled, silly. You said, "we need to know

>>>exactly how these plots were foiled," so I was just repeating that and
>
>>>offering up an answer that I, of course, do not believe at all. I was
>
>>>merely making fun of those people who apparently do believe these things,
>>
>>>and attempting to satirically point out the particular absurdity of the
>>
>>>"fighting them there so we don't have to fight them here," justification
>>for
>>>Iraq. I don't actually have "my own personal Jesus," but somehow I just
>>
>>>don't think he would approve of all the "collateral damage."
>>>

>>>Perhaps you knew I was being "tongue in cheek," and you were too and I
>just
>>
>>>missed the subtlety. :)
>>>
>>>Shalom,
>>>
>>>Sarah J. Burke, RN
>>>
>>>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>>news:44e01125\$1@linux...
>>>> Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>>>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists
>>in
>>>>> the world.
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then
why
>>
>>>> are
>>>> you asking why they were foiled?
>>>> I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say so.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
>>>>>> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!? Weren't
>>
>>>>>> they
>>>>>> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting
>
>>>>>> "them"
>>>>>> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police could
>>>>>> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right? How
>>does
>>>>>> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>>>>>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists
>>in
>>>>>>> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in England,
>>>>> but
>>>>>>> those are the last ones. Really.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>>> news:44db78dd@linux...
>>>>>>>>>>>> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending
>>on

What happens when a man turns the the proverbial cheek?
Is he the weaker one?? Even if the aggressor keeps hitting you, eventually, he starts to understand that he cannot break you down" and thus he starts to honor your calm strength..

Well you say " Our enemies only no one way and that their aggression". Well, what has that gotten the USA?? Once we gave into their aggression, we now have "Lowered" our standards" to their level.. Now, who's running who..??

The Late Dr Martin Luther King Jr, used Ghandi's method of change their "Non-Violence" and it was effective. Some in our race (Islam) wanted to use Force, which would've only incited a full-out race war. What good would that have done??What would've been gained if the African American populous would've listened to the Nation of Islam and gone "Guns Blazing"?? We'd still be killing each other. Thanks God cooler heads prevailed..

All in all, God ways are the best way and not Man's way.
LAD..

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>Good points Lamont.

>

>One thing to be clear on is that Jesus never said anything about
>nations loving their enemies, only individuals. He also never defined
>loving your enemies as letting them murder your family, nor turning
>the other cheek as giving the rapist the other daughter.

>

>Despite all that, you are right. It is likely that we will have to stop
>supporting any government at some point and concentrate on our
>mission. Maybe that point has come, but I am not ready to cede the
>west to the jihadis as long as I believe our government to be
>trustworthy. I am not sure they are not trustworthy yet.

>

>best,

>

>DC

>

>

>"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>As a believing Christian,
>>why should we do "Anything at to save ourselves form the inevitable"??

>>If Jesus is soon to return, then why are so worried about the end times??

>>
>>We are to pray and help those who are not saved, to get saved..
>>Jesus goes on record to say that " No one but the Father(God) knows the
>end
>>of time(earth), to be ready (Spiritually) when he (Jesus) comes..
>>He also states, "There will be wars AND Rumors of wars, daughters against
>>mothers, sons against fathers, nations against nations..But "FEAR Not"..
>>
>>It seems that we (Christians) are fearing for the Jesus return instead
of
>>Celebrating his coming..
>>We(Christians) need to read the test again..
>>
>>Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies"..I know,
what
>>a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his (Jesus)
>>teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits
or
>>fit us depending on the situation. LAD
>>
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Hi Sarah,
>>>
>>>Well, I suspect there are all sorts of things in our world that Jesus
>>>disapproves of, including slavery, female circumcision,
>>>sharia, burqas, the deliberate targeting of civilians, and
>>>Islamofascism in general. (Oh, and not to mention those who don't
>>>believe in him, attributing positions to him to make political points)
>>>
>>>But there is certainly lots of guilt to go around isn't there?
>>>
>>>That's why Christians believe these to be the last days of earth's
>>>history. There are no easy answers. Maybe no answers at all,
>>>except to hold fast and warn everyone of what is coming.
>>>
>>>Please notice though, that we are not "fighting them here", we
>>>are, quite successfully *catching* them here, before any fighting
>>>is needed. Weakening the ghouls and head choppers makes us
>>>safer, it is clear. Give them ground, and they use the ground for
>>>more attacks. Appease them and you get more of the same.
>>>This is not disputable.
>>>Just take them at their own words. They clearly see your version
>>>of "peace" as weakness and an opportunity to kill more of us.
>>>
>>>Yet, it is really hard to take a purely right wing position, I know.
|

>>>DO NOT trust our gummint one little bit. Too many soldiers died
>>>in Vietnam for no reason to do that. Venal, cowardly, and amoral
>>>leaders are responsible for the deaths of too many great young men
>>>and women to trust them. (Bill Clinton was no better than
>>>Nixon and Johnson BTW, just read "Black hawk Down") At least
>>>W is committed to victory.
>>>
>>>
>>>The only thing even worse than blind patriotism is the insanity being

>>>peddled by the left.
>>>
>>>And as a Christian I admit to the strong possibility of a time in the
>>>near future, when there is no difference, morally, between the
>>>right and left whatsoever.
>>>
>>>And then shall the end come.
>>>
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.om> wrote:
>>>>I didn't ask why they were foiled, silly. You said, "we need to know
>
>>>>exactly how these plots were foiled," so I was just repeating that and
>>
>>>>offering up an answer that I, of course, do not believe at all. I was
>>
>>>>merely making fun of those people who apparently do believe these things,
>>>
>>>>and attempting to satirically point out the particular absurdity of
the
>>>
>>>>"fighting them there so we don't have to fight them here," justification
>>>for
>>>>Iraq. I don't actually have "my own personal Jesus," but somehow I just
>>>
>>>>don't think he would approve of all the "collateral damage."
>>>>
>>>>Perhaps you knew I was being "tongue in cheek," and you were too and
I
>>just
>>>
>>>>missed the subtlety. :)
>>>>

>>>>Shalom,
>>>>
>>>>Sarah J. Burke, RN
>>>>
>>>>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:44e01125\$1@linux...
>>>>> Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>>>>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists
>>>>in
>>>>>> the world.
>>>>>>
>>>>> Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then
>why
>>>
>>>>> are
>>>>> you asking why they were foiled?
>>>>> I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say so.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
>>>>>> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!? Weren't
>>>>
>>>>>> they
>>>>>> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting
>>>>
>>>>>> "them"
>>>>>> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police
could
>>>>>> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right? How
>>>>does
>>>>>> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>>>>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists
>>>>in
>>>>>> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in England,
>>>>> but
>>>>>> those are the last ones. Really.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:44db78dd@linux...
>>>>>> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending
>>>>on
>>>>> the
>>>>>> > NY
>>>>>> > times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from
>>>>> happening.

I would hope you would rise up and take the lives of any ku kluxers who would try to do that. I would consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't you?

>What kind of life is that for any African American to live, hating Whites.??
>Holding on to past generations wrong doings only "kills you" on the inside.
>Not forgiving, actually does you more harm than the one doing the evil deeds..

Bingo. Listen, I was really angry after the latest bunch of jihadis were caught trying to blow up airplanes, and I read Dr. Yeagley's web site.

<http://www.badeagle.com/>

He is convinced that it is time to deport muslims.. I thought about that, and sure enough, when I picked my kid up from karate that night there was a new student there, with his family, all muslim.

Nice people.

Coincidence? nah. I don't usually go in to pick her up. It was clear to me that Jesus would not deport muslims. (I told Yeagley about this too)

Hating muslims is simply evil. I will not do it. I don't even need to forgive those nice folks at karate since they were not responsible.

Forgive the jihadi's? What would be the point? Forgiveness must be redemptive. Turning the other cheek can be VERY redemptive, but handing over the other daughter to a rapist is a sin, since it results in nothing but evil for everyone involved.

>Jesus (God) ways are not Man's evil wicked, divisive ways. Jesus say to turn
>the other cheek, because operating under the "Messianic Law" of Eye for and
>Eye yield "Nothing" but 10,000 years of silly fighting with no end in sight.
>
>What happens when a man turns the the proverbial cheek?
>Is he the weaker one?? Even if the aggressor keeps hitting you, eventually,
>he starts to understand that he cannot break you down" and thus he starts
>to honor your calm strength..

Doesn't work with bombs. There is no redemption and no softening

of hearts.

Do you think your application of Jesus' advice was meant for Hitler?
Would it have worked?
Why do you think it will work with Hezbollah?

Who is redeemed when all the good people are dead?

>Well you say " Our enemies only no one way and that their aggression". Well,
>what has that gotten the USA?? Once we gave into their aggression, we now
>have "Lowered" our standards" to their level.. Now, who's running who..??

It has gotten us relative peace since 911. What would
appeasement of evil have gotten us?

We have NOT lowered our standard to their level. We put those
who target civilians on trial, they put them up as martyrs. Israel
pays, every day, with their blood for their desire to not kill
innocents. The jihadis put the rockets in the middle of
neighborhoods so that innocents may be killed and paraded for
cameras.

Israel loses the best and bravest conducting surgical strikes to
protect the innocent when they could have simply leveled the
whole neighborhood and lost none of their own.

If you think the west is no different than the jihadis, you are simply
not morally serious.

>The Late Dr Martin Luther King Jr, used Ghandi's method of change their
"Non-Violence"
>and it was effective.

And you know what? It would work like magic if the jihadi's would
use it. Want their own country? Great. Sit down, go on hunger
strike, march! Nah, they won't because they desire one thing and
one thing only; the destruction of Israel and the death of the Jews.

Take them at their words. Believe them.

BTW, Ghandi's method has limits and in his own case became
nothing but self righteousness to the point he ended up killing
his wife because he would not allow an injection of antibiotics.

He called the injection "violence" and would not permit it, so she

died of an easily-treatable infection.

Think Jesus approved?

>Some in our race (Islam) wanted to use Force, which
>would've only incited a full-out race war. What good would that have done??What
>would've been gained if the African American populous would've listened
to
>the Nation of Islam and gone "Guns Blazing"?? We'd still be killing each
>other. Thanks God cooler heads prevailed..

Wouldn't have happened. The Nation of Islam would have been annihilated and many innocents would have suffered, most of them black. The remaining black americans (the vast majority) would have been operating some of the weapons cutting down the Nation of Islam. We would have gone on as a country, just like we did after the SLA. Sorry, but that's reality.

There is no equivlence between national defense, carried out to the best of our ability, and the potential actions of the Nation of Islam.

>All in all, God ways are the best way and not Man's way.
>LAD..

You are right, and what scares the crap out of me is the idea of being led into war by a corrupt leader and having those deaths on my head. I hope I can see the point at which we can no longer support the govt. but I do not think we have reached it yet.

Hey, BTW. I am African as well. Got my results from the National Geographic Genographic test.

<https://www3.nationalgeographic.com/genographic/>

I thought we were Italian on my Dad's side, but it turns out that Dad's family started in Somalia and Ethiopia. We are most highly reresented in the current population of North Africans and there are Jews, Greeks, Italians, and Spaniards in their too, but it is an African family line.

Do the test if you can, but prepared to be surprised.

DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:53:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies"..I know,
what
> a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his (Jesus)
> teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits or
> fit us depending on the situation. LAD

Forgiving them (terrorists) I believe is God's function. Our job is to
simply arrange the meeting!

- Norman Swartzkoph

;o)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e0c513\$1@linux...

>
> As a believeing Christian,
> why should we do "Anything at to save ourselves form the inevitable"??
> If Jesus is soon to return, then why are so worried about the end times??
>
> We are to pray and help those who are not saved, to get saved..
> Jesus goes on record to say that " No one but the Father(God) knows the
end
> of time(earth), to be ready (Spiritually) when he (Jesus) comes..
> He aslo states, "There will be wars AND Rumors of wars, daughters against
> mothers, sons against fathers, nations against nations..But "FEAR Not"..
>
> It seems that we (Christians) are fearing for the Jesus return instead of
> Celebrating his coming..
> We(Christians) need to read the test again..

>
> Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies"..I know,
what
> a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his (Jesus)
> teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits or
> fit us depending on the situation. LAD

>
>
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

> >
> >Hi Sarah,
> >
> >Well, I suspect there are all sorts of things in our world that Jesus
> >disapproves of, including slavery, female circumciscion,

> >sharia, burqa's, the deliberate targeting of civilians, and
> >Islamofascism in general. (Oh, and not to mention those who don't
> >believe in him, attributing positions to him to make political points)
> >
> >But there is certainly lots of guilt to go around isn't there?
> >
> >That's why Christians believe these to be the last days of earth's
> >history. There are no easy answers. Maybe no answers at all,
> >except to hold fast and warn everyone of what is coming.
> >
> >Please notice though, that we are not "fighting them here", we
> >are, quite successfully *catching* them here, before any fighting
> >is needed. Weakening the ghouls and head choppers makes us
> >safer, it is clear. Give them ground, and they use the ground for
> >more attacks. Appease them and you get more of the same.
> >This is not disputable.
> >Just take them at their own words. They clearly see your version
> >of "peace" as weakness and an opportunity to kill more of us.
> >
> >Yet, it is really hard to take a purely right wing position, I know. I
> >DO NOT trust our gummint one little bit. Too many soldiers died
> >in Vietnam for no reason to do that. Venal, cowardly, and amoral
> >leaders are responsible for the deaths of too many great young men
> >and women to trust them. (Bill Clinton was no better than
> >Nixon and Johnson BTW, just read "Blackhawk Down") At least
> >W is committed to victory.
> >
> >
> >The only thing even worse than blind patriotism is the insanity being
> >peddled by the left.
> >
> >And as a Christian I admit to the strong possibility of a time in the
> >near future, when there is no difference, morally, between the
> >right and left whatsoever.
> >
> >And then shall the end come.
> >
> >
> >DC
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.om> wrote:
> >>I didn't ask why they were foiled, silly. You said, "we need to know
> >>exactly how these plots were foiled," so I was just repeating that and
> >
> >>offering up an answer that I, of course, do not believe at all. I was

>
> >>merely making fun of those people who apparently do believe these things,
> >
> >>and attempting to satirically point out the particular absurdity of the
> >
> >>"fighting them there so we don't have to fight them here," justification
> >for
> >>Iraq. I don't actually have "my own personal Jesus," but somehow I just
> >
> >>don't think he would approve of all the "collateral damage."
> >>
> >>Perhaps you knew I was being "tongue in cheek," and you were too and I
> just
> >
> >>missed the subtlety. :)
> >>
> >>Shalom,
> >>
> >>Sarah J. Burke, RN
> >>
> >>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >>news:44e01125\$1@linux...
> >>> Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
> >>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be
terrorists
> >in
> >>>> the world.
> >>>
> >>> Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then
why
> >
> >>> are
> >>> you asking why they were foiled?
> >>> I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say so.
> >>>
> >>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
> >>>> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!? Weren't
> >
> >>>> they
> >>>> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting
>
> >>>> "them"
> >>>> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police
could
> >>>> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right? How
> >does

> >>>> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
> >>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be terrorists
> >in
> >>>> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in England,
> >>> but
> >>>> those are the last ones. Really.
> >>>>
> >>>> Sarah
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >>>> news:44db78dd@linux...
> >>>> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending
> >on
> >>> the
> >>>> > NY
> >>>> > times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from
> >>> happening.
> >>>> > I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
> >>>> >
> >>>> > Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with
all
> >>> these
> >>>> > relavent audio posts and all.
> >>>> >
> >>>> > There goes the neighborhood.....
> >>>> >
> >>>> > ;o)
> >>>> >
> >>>> >
> >>>> >
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:55:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would hope you would rise up and take the lives of any ku kluxers who would

try to do that I would consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't you?

"Vengance is mine sayeth the Lord" ..

So,I go out and kill a few Klux(ers) then what?? Does it bring back my loved one? Violence begats violence. Live bu the sword, you die by the sword. Do yu really believe anything in the Bible??

"DC" <dc@spammersinchulavista.com> wrote:

>

>"Lamont Davis" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>DC,

>>You said: "He also never defined loving your enemies as letting them murder >>your family, nor turning"..

>

>

>>If you truly believe your above statement: Then me as an African American(Who's >>Great Grandfather was in-slaved) and who's past ancestors were mammed, tortured,

>>beaten, women repeatedly and brutally raped, hung, castrated, lynched, tared

>>& feathered ,whipped, & murdered. According to your set up biblical rules

>>and interpretation, "African Americans should view all whites(Christians

>>included) as their enemy and never trust them as long as they live.??????????

>

>

>Why would you do that to people who had nothing to do with it?

>That would simply make you as evil as the lynch mobs.

>

>Your reasoning is faulty at any rate. The analogy would only be

>true if the question at hand was you handing over your family

>to ku kluxers for lynching in the name of forgiveness.

>

>I would hope you would rise up and take

>the lives of any ku kluxers who would try to do that. I would

>consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't you?

>

>

>>What kind of life is that for any African American to live, hating Whites.??

>>Holding on to past generations wrong doings only "kills you" on the inside.

>>Not forgiving, actually does you more harm than the one doing the evil deeds..

>

>Bingo. Listen, I was really angry after the latest bunch of jihadis

>were caught trying to blow up airplanes, and I read Dr. Yeagley's

>web site.
>
><http://www.badeagle.com/>
>
>He is convinced that it is time to deport muslims.. I thought about
>that, and sure enough, when I picked my kid up from karate that
>night there was a new student there, with his family, all muslim.
>
>Nice people.
>
>Coincidence? nah. I don't usually go in to pick her up. It was clear
>to me that Jesus would not deport muslims. (I told Yeagley about
>this too)
>
>Hating muslims is simply evil. I will not do it. I don't even need to
>forgive those nice folks at karate since they were not responsible.
>
>Forgive the jihadi's? What would be the point? Forgiveness must
>be redemptive. Turning the other cheek can be VERY redemptive,
>but handing over the other daughter to a rapist is a sin, since it
>results in nothing but evil for everyone involved.
>
>
>
>>Jesus (God) ways are not Man's evil wicked, divisive ways. Jesus say to
>turn
>>the other cheek, because operating under the "Messianic Law" of Eye for
>and
>>Eye yield "Nothing" but 10,000 years of silly fighting with no end in sight.
>>
>>What happens when a man turns the the proverbial cheek?
>>Is he the weaker one?? Even if the aggressor keeps hitting you, eventually,
>>he starts to understand that he cannot break you down" and thus he starts
>>to honor your calm strength..
>
>
>Doesn't work with bombs. There is no redemption and no softening
>of hearts.
>
>Do you think your application of Jesus' advice was meant for Hitler?
>Would it have worked?
>Why do you think it will work with Hezbollah?
>
>Who is redeemed when all the good people are dead?
>
>
>
>>Well you say " Our enemies only no one way and that their aggression". Well,

>>what has that gotten the USA?? Once we gave into their aggression, we now
>>have "Lowered" our standards" to their level.. Now, who's running who..??
>
>It has gotten us relative peace since 911. What would
>appeasement of evil have gotten us?
>
>We have NOT lowered our standard to their level. We put those
>who target civilians on trial, they put them up as martyrs. Israel
>pays, every day, with their blood for their desire to not kill
>innocents. The jihadis put the rockets in the middle of
>neighborhoods so that innocents may be killed and paraded for
>cameras.
>
>Israel loses the best and bravest conducting surgical strikes to
>protect the innocent when they could have simply leveled the
>whole neighborhood and lost none of their own.
>
>If you think the west is no different than the jihadis, you are simply
>not morally serious.
>
>
>>The Late Dr Martin Luther King Jr, used Ghandi's method of change their
>"Non-Violence"
>>and it was effective.
>
>And you know what? It would work like magic if the jihadi's would
>use it. Want their own country? Great. Sit down, go on hunger
>strike, march! Nah, they won't because they desire one thing and
>one thing only; the destruction of Israel and the death of the Jews.
>
>Take them at their words. Believe them.
>
>BTW, Ghandi's method has limits and in his own case became
>nothing but self righteousness to the point he ended up killing
>his wife because he would not allow an injection of antibiotics.
>
>He called the injection "violence" and would not permit it, so she
>died of an easily-treatable infection.
>
>Think Jesus approved?
>
>
>>Some in our race (Islam) wanted to use Force, which
>>would've only incited a full-out race war. What good would that have done??What
>>would've been gained if the African American populous would've listened
>to
>>the Nation of Islam and gone "Guns Blazing"?? We'd still be killing each
>>other. Thanks God cooler heads prevailed..

>
>
>Wouldn't have happened. The Nation of Islam would have been
>annihilated and many innocents would have suffered, most of them
>black. The remaining black americans (the vast majority) would
>have been operating some of the weapons cutting down the Nation
>of Islam. We would have gone on as a country, just like we did after
>the SLA. Sorry, but that's reality.
>
>There is no equivillence between national defense, carried out to the
>best of our ability, and the potential actions of the Nation of Islam.
>
>
>>All in all, God ways are the best way and not Man's way.
>>LAD..
>
>You are right, and what scares the crap out of me is the idea of
>being led into war by a corrupt leader and having those deaths on
>my head. I hope I can see the point at which we can no longer
>support the govt. but I do not think we have reached it yet.
>
>
>Hey, BTW. I am African as well. Got my results from the
>National Geographic Genographic test.
>
><https://www3.nationalgeographic.com/genographic/>
>
>I thought we were Italian on my Dad's side, but it turns out that
>Dad's family started in Somalia and Ethopia. We are most highly
>reresented in the current population of North Africans and there
>are Jews, Greeks, Italians, and Spaniards in their too, but it is an African
>family line.
>
>Do the test if you can, but prepared to be surprised.
>
>DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:06:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Why would you do that to people who had nothing to do with it?
That would simply make you as evil as the lynch mobs"

My point is and was is: That's the mentality of the Terrorist. They have
dragged us the (USA) down to their Lync-mob level of doing business. Thinking
that by playing by their rules we can win. We cannot. They (middle-easterners)

have been fighting this war for over 10,000 years.
The Lord says: We fight not against flesh & blood, but spritual wickedness in high places.

As so called Christians, when are we going to start doing our job and start praying for the coming of our Lord and savior. Why are we so caught up in events that we are told not to even be worried about. And finally, why do we continue to place our hopes, trust & faith in Man?? Thinking that Pres Bush will lead us out of this mess is a definition of insanity, which sadly many Americans precribe to.
LAD

"DC" <dc@spammersinchulavista.com> wrote:

>
>"Lamont Davis" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>DC,
>>You said: "He also never defined loving your enemies as letting them murder
>>your family, nor turning"..
>
>
>>If you truly believe your above statement: Then me as an African American(Who's
>>Great Grandfather was in-slaved) and who's past ancestors were mammed,
torturted,
>>beaten, women repeatedly and brutally raped, hung, castrated, lynched,
tared
>>& feathered ,whipped, & murdered. According to your set up biblical rules
>>and interpretation, "African Americans should view all whites(Christians
>>included) as their enemy and never trust them as long as they live.?????????
>
>
>Why would you do that to people who had nothing to do with it?
>That would simply make you as evil as the lynch mobs.
>
>Your reasoning is faulty at any rate. The analogy would only be
>>true if the question at hand was you handing over your family
>to ku kluxers for lynching in the name of forgiveness.
>
>I would hope you would rise up and take
>the lives of any ku kluxers who would try to do that. I would
>consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't you?
>
>
>>What kind of life is that for any African American to live, hating Whites??
>>Holding on to past generations wrong doings only "kills you" on the inside.
>>Not forgiving, actually does you more harm than the one doing the evil
deeds..
>

>Bingo. Listen, I was really angry after the latest bunch of jihadis
>were caught trying to blow up airplanes, and I read Dr. Yeagley's
>web site.
>
><http://www.badeagle.com/>
>
>He is convinced that it is time to deport muslims.. I thought about
>that, and sure enough, when I picked my kid up from karate that
>night there was a new student there, with his family, all muslim.
>
>Nice people.
>
>Coincidence? nah. I don't usually go in to pick her up. It was clear
>to me that Jesus would not deport muslims. (I told Yeagley about
>this too)
>
>Hating muslims is simply evil. I will not do it. I don't even need to
>forgive those nice folks at karate since they were not responsible.
>
>Forgive the jihadi's? What would be the point? Forgiveness must
>be redemptive. Turning the other cheek can be VERY redemptive,
>but handing over the other daughter to a rapist is a sin, since it
>results in nothing but evil for everyone involved.
>
>
>
>>Jesus (God) ways are not Man's evil wicked, divisive ways. Jesus say to
>turn
>>the other cheek, because operating under the "Messianic Law" of Eye for
>and
>>Eye yield "Nothing" but 10,000 years of silly fighting with no end in sight.
>>
>>What happens when a man turns the the proverbial cheek?
>>Is he the weaker one?? Even if the aggressor keeps hitting you, eventually,
>>he starts to understand that he cannot break you down" and thus he starts
>>to honor your calm strength..
>
>
>Doesn't work with bombs. There is no redemption and no softening
>of hearts.
>
>Do you think your application of Jesus' advice was meant for Hitler?
>Would it have worked?
>Why do you think it will work with Hezbollah?
>
>Who is redeemed when all the good people are dead?
>
>

>
>>Well you say " Our enemies only no one way and that their aggression". Well,
>>what has that gotten the USA?? Once we gave into their aggression, we now
>>have "Lowered" our standards" to their level.. Now, who's running who..??
>
>It has gotten us relative peace since 911. What would
>appeasement of evil have gotten us?
>
>We have NOT lowered our standard to their level. We put those
>who target civilians on trial, they put them up as martyrs. Israel
>pays, every day, with their blood for their desire to not kill
>innocents. The jihadis put the rockets in the middle of
>neighborhoods so that innocents may be killed and paraded for
>cameras.
>
>Israel loses the best and bravest conducting surgical strikes to
>protect the innocent when they could have simply leveled the
>whole neighborhood and lost none of their own.
>
>If you think the west is no different than the jihadis, you are simply
>not morally serious.
>
>
>>The Late Dr Martin Luther King Jr, used Ghandi's method of change their
>"Non-Violence"
>>and it was effective.
>
>And you know what? It would work like magic if the jihadi's would
>use it. Want their own country? Great. Sit down, go on hunger
>strike, march! Nah, they won't because they desire one thing and
>one thing only; the destruction of Israel and the death of the Jews.
>
>Take them at their words. Believe them.
>
>BTW, Ghandi's method has limits and in his own case became
>nothing but self righteousness to the point he ended up killing
>his wife because he would not allow an injection of antibiotics.
>
>He called the injection "violence" and would not permit it, so she
>died of an easily-treatable infection.
>
>Think Jesus approved?
>
>
>>Some in our race (Islam) wanted to use Force, which
>>would've only incited a full-out race war. What good would that have done??What
>>would've been gained if the African American populous would've listened
>to

>>the Nation of Islam and gone "Guns Blazing"?? We'd still be killing each
>>other. Thanks God cooler heads prevailed..

>

>

>Wouldn't have happened. The Nation of Islam would have been
>annihilated and many innocents would have suffered, most of them
>black. The remaining black americans (the vast majority) would
>have been operating some of the weapons cutting down the Nation
>of Islam. We would have gone on as a country, just like we did after
>the SLA. Sorry, but that's reality.

>

>There is no equivlence between national defense, carried out to the
>best of our ability, and the potential actions of the Nation of Islam.

>

>

>>All in all, God ways are the best way and not Man's way.

>>LAD..

>

>You are right, and what scares the crap out of me is the idea of
>being led into war by a corrupt leader and having those deaths on
>my head. I hope I can see the point at which we can no longer
>support the govt. but I do not think we have reached it yet.

>

>

>Hey, BTW. I am African as well. Got my results from the
>National Geographic Genographic test.

>

><https://www3.nationalgeographic.com/genographic/>

>

>I thought we were Italian on my Dad's side, but it turns out that
>Dad's family started in Somalia and Ethopia. We are most highly
>reresented in the current population of North Africans and there
>are Jews, Greeks, Italians, and Spaniards in their too, but it is an African
>family line.

>

>Do the test if you can, but prepared to be surprised.

>

>DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:08:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh for Christ's sake . . .

1. Anyone has the right, perhaps the responsibility, to point out hypocrisy
in those who claim to be Christians and yet consistently behave in ways that

are clearly against everything that Jesus stood for. And as it happens, I do believe in Jesus as one of the greatest teacher/philosophers of all time, and I feel fairly certain that he would not look favorably on those who select a handful of bible passages to justify greed and violence and call themselves Christians. "I like your Christ. I do not like your Christians. Your Christians are so unlike your Christ." - Gandhi

2. Christians have believed these are the last days for the last 2000 years! In Matthew 24, when Jesus describes the "end times," he was talking about his own times. Matthew 24:34 - "Verily I say unto you, This generation shall not pass, till all these things be fulfilled." Since then, with every major war or natural disaster, there have been those crying "repent for the end is near!" on street corners. I don't fear terrorists nearly as much as I fear having someone like George Bush start a global thermonuclear war out of some brain-damaged belief that Jesus will come and float him to Heaven.

3. What you say is indisputable is in fact easily disputed. The plot uncovered and thwarted in England (by the use of standard police work) demonstrates two things: terrorism is best prevented with good intelligence work, and obliterating Iraq (or Iran, or Syria, etc) does nothing to prevent such groups from planning their attacks. Every time an innocent Iraqi dies in our foolish, ethnocentric quest to "spread democracy" (i.e., make the world safe for American business), we risk inspiring angry desperate civilians to join the ranks of the terrorists. Put yourself in their place - isn't that what Jesus would do? Matthew 5:44 - "But I say unto you, Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for them which despitefully use you, and persecute you."

4. Anyone who plans the murder of innocent civilians to further their cause deserves the tortures of the damned, and this includes terrorists and heads of state. Evil people are evil people - it's got nothing to do with "right" or "left," as a cursory survey of human history will show. We should fight authoritarianism at either end of the spectrum, and right now it has crept into our own government at the "right" end. It can't happen here? The firmly fixed belief that it can't happen here is exactly what is allowing it to happen here.

5. I don't have a fifth point . . . I just think 5 is a nicer number than 4.

PEACE and LOVE,

Sarah

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:44e0bc3d\$1@linux...
>

> Hi Sarah,
>
> Well, I suspect there are all sorts of things in our world that Jesus
> disapproves of, including slavery, female circumciscion,
> sharia, burqa's, the deliberate targeting of civilians, and
> Islamofascism in general. (Oh, and not to mention those who don't
> believe in him, attributing positions to him to make political points)
>
> But there is certainly lots of guilt to go around isn't there?
>
> That's why Christians believe these to be the last days of earth's
> history. There are no easy answers. Maybe no answers at all,
> except to hold fast and warn everyone of what is coming.
>
> Please notice though, that we are not "fighting them here", we
> are, quite successfuly *catching* them here, before any fighting
> is needed. Weakening the ghouls and head choppers makes us
> safer, it is clear. Give them ground, and they use the ground for
> more attacks. Appease them and you get more of the same.
> This is not disputable.
> Just take them at their own words. They clearly see your version
> of "peace" as weakness and an opportunity to kill more of us.
>
> Yet, it is really hard to take a purely right wing position, I know. I
> DO NOT trust our gummint one little bit. Too many soldiers died
> in Vietnam for no reason to do that. Venal, cowardly, and amoral
> leaders are responsible for the deaths of too many great young men
> and women to trust them. (Bill Clinton was no better than
> Nixon and Johnson BTW, just read "Blackhawk Down") At least
> W is committed to victory.
>
>
> The only thing even worse than blind patriotism is the insanity being
> peddled by the left.
>
> And as a Christian I admit to the strong possibility of a time in the
> near future, when there is no difference, morally, between the
> right and left whatsoever.
>
> And then shall the end come.
>
>
> DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:50:21 GMT

Selective misquoting...

LaMont you can do better than this.

I did NOT say to go kill some kluxers in revenge.

I said it was righteous to stop them from killing you and your family.

Now, if you want to dispute with me, start from what I actually said.

Further, I say Ghandi himself would be sinning by letting someone kill his family. 'course, he did it himself didn't he?

I do believe what I read in the Bible. Including this:

Luke 22-36

He said to them, "But now if you have a purse, take it, and also a bag; and if you don't have a sword, sell your cloak and buy one.

In Romans 13, Paul says:

Do you want to be free from fear of the one in authority? Then do what is right and he will commend you. For he is God's servant to do you good. But if you do wrong, be afraid, for he does not bear the sword for nothing.

You notice the bearing of the sword by government is accepted as a normal practice and not condemned at all?

Romans 12:18 says:

If it is possible, as far as it depends on you, live at peace with everyone.

And I just want to know LaMont, HOW is it possible for us to live in peace with jihadis?

Appeasement?

Self-hatred?

The demise of the state of Israel?

more dead Americans?

The U.N.?

I would love to see a solution here. All you seem to have is hatred of the west. That's not good enough.

DC

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>I would hope you would rise up and take the lives of any ku kluxers who would

>try to do that I would consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't

>you?

>"Vengance is mine sayeth the Lord" ..

>So,I go out and kill a few Klux(ers) then what?? Does it bring back my loved

>one? Violence begats violence. Live bu the sword, you die by the sword.

>Do yu really believe anything in the Bible??

>

>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled

Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:59:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

---=_linux44e10e55

Content-Type: text/plain

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>1. Anyone has the right, perhaps the responsibility, to point out hypocrisy

>in those who claim to be Christians and yet consistently behave in ways that

>are clearly against everything that Jesus stood for.

You are utterly wrong about what Jesus stood for. You quote him only when it suits you. Expect to be called on it when you do.

>And as it happens, I
>do believe in Jesus as one of the greatest teacher/philosophers of all time,

Which means that you do not believe that what he actually said
about himself,

"Jesus answered, "I am the way and the truth and the life. No one
comes to the Father except through me" John 14:6

and

In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the
Word was God. He was with God in the beginning.

Through him all things were made; without him nothing was made
that has been made.

He was in the world, and though the world was made through him,
the world did not recognize him.

The Word became flesh and made his dwelling among us. John 1

No, you believe in "jesus", and yes you do have your own personal
version of him, right there on your shelf.

It bears no relationship to Jesus however. In reality Jesus was
either the greatest and most cynical fraud ever perpetrated on
humanity or he was exactly who he said he was.

He most definitely was NOT "another great teacher and
philosopher". Not unless you discard what he actually said.

>and I feel fairly certain that he would not look favorably on those who

>select a handful of bible passages to justify greed and violence and call

>themselves Christians. "I like your Christ. I do not like your Christians.

>Your Christians are so unlike your Christ." - Gandhi

I like Ghandi, (well until he killed his wife) Well, I guess I don't
like Ghandi.

Can Christians be better? Of course! But what is important is, what if Christians are *right*? What if Jesus was who he said he was? Just think! All those imperfect, but saved, Christians, and all those self-righteous, but lost, pacifists! How unjust!!

Unless of course, you actually listen to what Jesus SAID instead of quoting him where it suits you...

>2. Christians have believed these are the last days for the last 2000 years! In Matthew 24, when Jesus describes the "end times," he was talking

>about his own times. Matthew 24:34 - "Verily I say unto you, This generation shall not pass, till all these things be fulfilled." Since then,

>with every major war or natural disaster, there have been those crying >"repent for the end is near!" on street corners.

There is much debate on Matthew 24. Most Christians believe it applies to both AD 70 (the Roman conquest of Jerusalem) and the last days. The evidence for our own time, being unique and indeed at the end of history, is quite massive in Scripture. I will be happy to discuss it with you privately if you like.

>I don't fear terrorists

>nearly as much as I fear having someone like George Bush start a global

>thermonuclear war out of some brain-damaged belief that Jesus will come and

>float him to Heaven.

Then you are deluded. Sorry Sarah. Terrorists are much more likely to kill you than is the scenario you described to happen. AND, the most likely scenario for a huge, global nuclear war is with an appeaser in the White House who would have made so many bad decisions that there would be no other options than massive, nuclear war.

>3. What you say is indisputable is in fact easily disputed. The plot >uncovered and thwarted in England (by the use of standard police work) >demonstrates two things: terrorism is best prevented with good intelligence

>work, and obliterating Iraq (or Iran, or Syria, etc) does nothing to prevent

>such groups from planning their attacks.

This is utterly an assertion on your part since you do not know how many dead jihadis would have plotted even more attacks given an appeaser in the White House.

Again, we are not fighting them, we are catching them. You are not being honest in implying that the war caused these attacks. You do not believe what the jihadis themselves say they want to do, and that makes your position a very dangerous one.

>Every time an innocent Iraqi dies
>in our foolish, ethnocentric quest to "spread democracy" (i.e., make the
>world safe for American business), we risk inspiring angry desperate
>civilians to join the ranks of the terrorists. Put yourself in their
>place - isn't that what Jesus would do?

First your view is based on the mistaken idea that some cultures are too backward and too stupid to enjoy freedom. This is embarrassing and likely racist, but since I know you, I suspect it is merely the unquestioning assumption of cultural relativity.

It's like the old question; What do you do if you see an endangered animal eating an endangered plant?

What do you do if you see a society doing clitorectomies, or having rape rooms as a means fo keeping the peace?

Who are you to judge their culture?

Yet we think that people can live a better life than that don't we?

Christians know that there is one culture and it is God's.

No america ain't it.

But we know that some practices are degenerate, like human sacrifice and the roman gladiators and that when a society embraces degeneracy, that society is doomed.

So yes, we can say that freedom and democracy is better than Saddam and his spawn, and despite your socialist hatred of "big business" we are the good guys in that conflict. If you dispute

that, I challenge you to live under sharia and see if you don't change your mind.

Matthew 5:44 - "But I say unto you,
>Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you,
>and pray for them which despitefully use you, and persecute you."

And our brave soldiers and marines pray daily for even their enemies that they will have to kill as few as possible and they rejoice mightily at every one they save.

read this:

http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0788023454/sr=1-1/qid=1155598944/ref=sr_1_1/102-7219965-6149719?ie=UTF8&s=books

and this:

http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0849918235/sr=1-7/qid=1155598944/ref=sr_1_7/102-7219965-6149719?ie=UTF8&s=books

You owe these brave men and women your very life and certainly your right to carry on such a discussion.

>4. Anyone who plans the murder of innocent civilians to further their cause
>deserves the tortures of the damned, and this includes terrorists and heads
>of state. Evil people are evil people - it's got nothing to do with "right"
>or "left," as a cursory survey of human history will show. We should fight
>authoritarianism at either end of the spectrum, and right now it has crept
>into our own government at the "right" end. It can't happen here? The
>firmly fixed belief that it can't happen here is exactly what is allowing
it
>to happen here.

I went through the Holocaust museum here in Los Angeles. Been there? Go sometime. When my group exited the gas chamber at the end of the tour, our guide, a little old man who

survived the camps asked us to raise our hands if we thought it could happen here. Most of the group of 35 or so raised their hands. Me and a couple of hard-eyed young men kept our hands down, and the old man asked us why.

I answered with:

"Because I will take up a rifle and kill anyone who tries to do such a thing, and I will lay down my life to prevent it here."

(I could see the other guys shaking their heads in agreement)

You know what the old guy said??

He pointed at us and said:

THAT is the ONLY reason it will not happen here!

Now, you go there, and come out of that place onto Pico blvd., and go down to Factor's Deli for a bite and tell me about American authoritarianism. You need to re-join reality.

Worst, once again, someone (iran and their cronies) is trying to wipe out the Jews. The Jews believe that someone will try to exterminate them in every generation, and how am I to prove them wrong? The left in this country has become the mirror image of Tim McVeigh and socialist/quasi-pacifist europe has once again revealed its seething anti-semitism. Yes, it can happen here, but we ain't going to go easy, I can tell you that.

>5. I don't have a fifth point . . . I just think 5 is a nicer number than

I saw the figure 5 in gold...

---=_linux44e10e55

Content-Type: image/jpeg; name="Figure 5 in Gold2.jpg"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="Figure 5 in Gold2.jpg"

```
/9j/4AAQSkZJRgABAQEASABIAAD/4R23RXhpZgAATU0AKgAAAQgACQEPAAIA
AAAGAAAegESAAMAAAABAAEAAAEaAAUAAAABAAAQAEbAAUAAAABAAAiAEo
AAMAAAABAAIAAAExAAIAAAAdAAAAkAEyAAIAAAAUAAAARQITAAMAAAABAAEA
AldpAAQAAAABAAAAXAAAARROaWtvbgAAAABIAAAAAQAAAEgAAAABQWRvYmUg
UGhvdG9zaG9wIEVsZW1lbnRzIDluMAAyMDA2OjA4OjE0IDE2OjU4OjQzAAAA
AAAGkAAABwAAAQwMjEwKQwEABwAAAABQBAgMAoAAABwAAAQwMTAwOAEAAwAA
AAH//wAAoAIABAAAAAEAAAEgoAMABAAAAAEAAAFfAAAAAAAAAAAAAYBAwADAAAA
AQAGAAABGgAFAAAAAQAAWIBGwAFAAAAAQAAWobKAADAAAAAQACAAACAQAE
```

AAAAQAAAXICAgAEAAAAQAAHD0AAAAAASAAAAEAAAABIAAAAAf/Y/+AA
EEpGSUYAAQIBAEgASAAA/+0ADEFkb2JIX0NNAAH/7gAOQWRvYmUAZIAAAAAB
/9sAhAAMCAgICQgMCQkMEQsKCxEVDwwMDxUYEXMVEXMYEQwMDAwMDBEMDAwM
DAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMAQ0LCw0ODRAODhAUDg4OFBQODg4O
FBEMDAwMDBERDAwMDAwMEQwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAz/
wAARCACAAGkDASIAAhEBAxEB/90ABAAH/8QBPwAAAQUBAQEBAQEAAAAAAAAAA
AwABAgQFBgcICQoLAQABBQEBAQEBAQAAAAAAAAABAAIDBAUGBwgJCgsQAAEE
AQMCBAIFBwYIBQMMMwEAAhEDBCESMqVBUWETInGBMgYUkaGxQiMkFVLBYjM0
coLRQwclIPw4fFjczUWorKDJkSTVGRFwqN0NhfSvEjI8rOEw9N14/NGJ5Sk
hbSVxNTk9KW1xdXl9VZmdoaWprbG1ub2N0dXZ3eHl6e3x9fn9xEAAgIBAgQE
AwQFBgcHBgU1AQACEQMhMRIEQVFhcSITBTKBkRShsUIjwVLR8DMkYuFygpJD
UxVjczTxJQYWorKDBYy1wtJEk1SjF2RFVTZ0ZeLys4TD03Xj80aUpIW0lcTU
5PSltcXV5fVWZnaGlqa2xtbm9ic3R1dnd4eXp7fH/9oADAMBAAIRAxEAPwDu
mNYWt9o4HyMKh9ZbaMf6udVvLRUMS5vu0BdYx1FVe7/AIW6xjGrIOI/4w8z
Jxf0uBU++lpdYWZDqg5oLqxYKrMbK273M2/zz1zn1n+s2f1uzKx84Mqowt4x
8eouLN+rW32vs2uyb9jvTqscyqur9J6OPV6ihjjkTRFL66259j2tych1uXTZ
hljwKm2B8+zbWK6h+d6jW/RQmGI7fT9WpjrMBIQc97QA/wBTc5j3fmPa381X
3fUPq7XFpyMQEHUF9p1+VCX/ADD6t/3Kw/8AOu/951EM3L/50fZ2b5yZST+q
OpJNm/n3F/uuXRitDcnGdkY++6tmx/qt9MRaCQ6z9/a1BfiObeygOrtdYA79
A8PABca/T3t/wujWN/4z/hFuN+oXV+2VhT/Wu5/9h/zVcwvqH1ius2tyMIPt
b+iO6/2g+3fAx/8ARbvS/wCM9VSw5nBdnLEsGSMjED2jHh9IN/o8Up/904N+
0RVXxXlkaBx/PeP5P+i/4D0kEhusgLp/+YHXI/pOABxocj/3nUXf4vuthhe7
MwQB+79oJk+1sfoP31J975f/ADkWL25/ulxMDGbY42P0qYC55I0DWn9J/nO/
Rf8Abqc22vyHWt3Mynj2EHaaKWmGua5v0L7f5H/GLqX/AFWy24LcXHtx6ySP
Ue71HAhntprayuprnbPfkWb/AOCyv+DWePqL1Jr9/wBuxXP3boc2+Ceznez8
1MPOcv8A5wf85d7OX90q6b1gNcaM4hrme1mUIDTuG9rcn6Oy3/h/5mz/AAAnp
/wA4ta+rIcHW4IYsysK6rlprl+k6l/qtq/696WxVKfbksuosOTj+nUaTaxr
bJsNZY+5xfG3fkWNf73MV0YuT0tluVm5eO6l1sVfTYWusc708Zu9u2yv3WWf
916632fzLFTyywSkJYZAAsfqwJ+rX9DT/mNmBnXDkBgqvnP8A3T3+FkYPU8Ov
MxAyzGy2Ocw7Wnlrt1bx/pK3fo7qnfzdv6OxfNy9a+z2YuRZI9Oyb+nZj/50
0ForueA7+k41rbcd9n85+9PevJU4Z4+0T2r8eJg+7S4wL0Nn7Kf/9Dicdl+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3CQIG/2tb7rLNRf5qv8ASLm+oZrep4zsmxmzHYXsopRLW/zRtv2+12Te4f9
ZZ+r1f4V9tG3qGXdbIZobcbW/Y3UUA7Q1rrnj1m0VU7WV/Rra/8Awln+msVY
ZrizHxWj27jvOsguFtu8fy2s9tf8iz/SbPS0eU5IYfXliWTuPlh34f8Avmrl
zGZoaR/N6rFtFlvpOlca2mxhOpNb99dJLfbt2bbK3f8AB+kv116KzLOKW5r2
wKxW0tH5xu9IVP8A2zXbl7P+69i86SHKjX908M6/rR4vT/zknNrHv6o/T0av
/9HD6a5ufS6t30ntFN7BA0+my2vd7W7rGetj7v5rlrQPqdU+vruYx8OdRj2+

5v0SbLKWNcz+RbW71GfyFnV2W1BzqnbXPY5h82OG17P7X735j/etz6IVRZ1a
+NHPqqafGfVvsbp+7FarczP+jZD4CJ/wjw23vZMM0QPIJuP+Drwt/wCteS2n
oxoMI2fdXjgAwdjXDIv4/wCLqZ/15Yv1T6P1LqPV8fJox/Xxse8ZGRdYS2j2
vLw113+Gc5wZtpp9X1P+LW1179kDJw7uq7bxQCcHpzdbL7bnBr7sgPLWMxK/
RqbXv/RPfd6nrf0S3R+rH1wwrMi7E6wK8KIwa7Gt3u9GRLLasvIjqbU536P
7N+ix8P/AAH87/Ow8kZxxVCPzXKc5fLfy1H9/wBK3mSOIknaGljdvnothDRm
ZVVfTLKXNrPqQ2kOFdWPdIW17qqPVst/WKrsM+6/luybHs9KqnNyPqf9X73
gP6pfY7wbk4jDr/JZSVs/WXqGBk4rMPHOM/Hc0ZDrnOYxjmhrmUN6c9+yrMv
3v32Ox7Lfrpp9L+kZGPWvPOoYuPZc70qWy8kF7ai8AwSX+1mzc78z/B+orAG
SQJOCwrolx/9BYoxuqx3xeJemd9T8DEZfXi5GVU27a119gqsJNcuZ6bxVSz0
2XHf+/6tNf6RZH/MrMwa3Owr6s5z2hm14+zva0H8z1HXYz930n/rFSycDEzu
m2+rgZeRju03em1zQ7/jGO3VWM/4ytdV0frmRkPbiZ9RN0aZVNbmtMBuuTQ0
Pbj7v+5FX6qz/C1UV/pFVnk5nGbjlGaO/CY1/wChf+ONscqauWlwr9LijL/u
v+5eSzMPOpyhi5NdmG/cdrLlBIH0nV/u/mr2bvfvpctdF0r6lda6x9X3Ox/Q
xacm31qbMova+6sV+hU/0qqnfZ8d/q5N1V93vv3/ANHZT+ks6LlopysZ+Lk1
tuxrAQ+I+rdR9Jse5j/+FqcyxabOv2YOJU0YoupoYG2PDixzGsa1gd6NVV3r
VN2+99Xvrr/7T/zliOHnoyoT9EunWLHlxZDpD1X48L5NnMyMC3Nx8q12LkVW
MZbQ+Nzdja2sHtljHN/SVWM/R21fpKksawPvEw3UmSfогB7XH+xU7etf60v
P1h6xbmtP2emwVVVgVvdNdQLW2uf+j/nHPE/6H8z6az+i42RX1P7VdQ4V4gs
tbXaHVi18hmJQx5b7/VyH02ZH/dKrJs/MV+OWEhvsNf0f+kxy5fNCjOFX2qX
/R4nexaG39SqrsgVdLs9W5hMh+da0bMZ3udX/kfFrpod7f6Yy/8A7kLzZes4
mNj0jHx2ZLclzHk22bw4222HfkXu93+Fv93/ABa8mS4xvYYuCV1RvfZ//9LI
7+mPbn5mGLWg4Vz6XOIMOLH2U727P+JW30p7uldFd/N25N+Ra6gODvTO1tNX
qXQPvdTTtd7Wfztn6L/SqIb/AMtdYP8A3ct/8/ZCLJdtmIraGNERDQS7/q7H
vcs7OeImJ+XQ19Hfx4xPHAnc6yaVnTM99pzMu8WOvl/rvbYPUj2IzXurYx9d
W9jNIH6Kj/g1KzpGXUQLNrHEBwD22NJafovrvburd++uwOHV1H6rYWG0g53
2V9+EwAy40O25VNbpDPuoyNnp/8Vd/gP0dLrdf2nLxHNd+jr6Vj3OcSRDZs
b/Xc99j6aaqvz7rfzP0iBnL7PyW4s0eL2wOGpTEv8H5D/hPP4uL9mbaDtmx5
sG2Yjaxu3Vrfz2o7anv+g1zyAS4MaXRx9IN3O9N376JfjHHsFW9rw9rLK3t3
Br67WNtrsZ6jWO2Pa789nst9Sta3QLTiYvUs14htNbGQ0hsn9K8Uz/wrvR+j
/pUyRJ1LPLIYUkHq24R+9xy/wDQnNGS0aLJ7zW/wD8itX6vVPqtvutmshj
aaw4FpO93qP27g1/0aWM/wCgrtPXup3MDqum5V7O1IVlr2fGt7MbY5Vsn61Z
WI8MyOn5LBla11trggSRucxjrsb3uQAN6R/FhlmyyHDwRBP9eNuoNhJDCHTJ
2jUiBud/Y/P/AJH/ABak0IsEaEHQjsVy3U/rHnZ7RU0DDrY5r/TaXOc5zTuq
ffe/b6noWNbaylINVP8AhbFW9Ni6TEym5eLTI09Zgc5scPHtuYP6IzbFDnx
8NSquLcf1mOUJgAyHdfTdy+r9PFBOVQ2KLCGvrHFdjvzm/u0X/5IOR+j/m7K
VngRwfj81JZXYx1Vo3VWAssb4tdzH8r85n8tcvlsfhvuqskuO3cOXakejY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I4jjjKXphfy/3fSI+n/Ha9mVnXEF9119p/m/0lj3F35jG+527c76C6gU3UYb
a72W5GI2tozK72PtrIaA+66b2ljvRs/Svrc/+b9T7L6GR6Kxun4GRT9YcbEe
wWWNsa9hbIBaWmxuU3f/AIOlv6fZ/p6/Q/4RP1TJ/bPXs3oLhbS6hluP0+71
LGFzqG/aLac/F9T7HZiZifvdTZXTXb9n9BCtJE7RHEf7v6c/8BrczOBMlxiDE
jisD5Q0/rD0ldNfXdjbnYOTPoEnea3ger9I9Uk+tW6v9NiXf4Wj+c9S6I9t2
I9WX7umPZMtqudt/q2Nru/6v1VK+5uV9Qce+xoc9IGLY0nUh1d7OntLT+a92
MXV/21W+qxIxMvmPWYgZ4bbEM+uKV7xIX2S4U45meA8Rswlw34O6NFz/ANbG
BjqLWzuvrc1xb+/UWtpj+U2vI9T/AKwxbs9vks/r1fqYuLBALMgls6j3Vv8A
/lKtgNZI/VWP5x56+T1PSOmlzHdRy3B768q5mNS1rdjGUnl6Vvm1r7fUyqG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/qmvWLnNsyfUyXE2ZMmx73avske5pOn0Wsb6G32Vsr+z1fo103Tam4/T8ehp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p8T/Alamv1le5PleCPHlazz6H9GDn8xnPHWM0BuR1eeq+yZ2YGYmXZXmHbRZg
dVcacttle39TZmmv7Fd72vZjU2uwbP8AgFxP2TK/0Vv+YV6B13qPTetOrrdT

CQk8L2FycmF5PgoJPC9kaWN0PgoJPGtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbnQuUGFn
ZUZvcm1hdC5QTVNjYWxpbmc8L2tleT4KCTxkaWN0PgoJCTxrZXk+Y29tLmFw
cGxLnByaW50LnRpY2tldC5jcmVhdG9yPC9rZXk+CgkJPHN0cmluZz5jb20u
YXBwbGUucHJpbnRpbmdtYW5hZ2VyPC9zdHJpbmc+CgkJPgtleT5jb20uYXBw
bGUucHJpbnQuGjla2V0LmI0ZW1BcnJheTwva2V5PgoJCTxhcnJheT4KCQkJ
PGRpY3Q+CgkJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC5QYWdlRm9ybWF0LIBN
U2NhbGluZzwwa2V5PgoJCQkJPHJIYWw+MTwvcmludC5QYWdlRm9ybWF0LIBN
LmFwcGxLnByaW50LnRpY2tldC5jbGllbnQ8L2tleT4KCQkJCTxzdHJpbmc+
Y29tLmFwcGxLnByaW50aW5nbWVudlcjwvc3RyaW5nPCgoJCQkJPGtleT5j
b20uYXBwbGUucHJpbnQuGjla2V0Lm1vZERhdGU8L2tleT4KCQkJCTxkYXRl
PjllMDYtMDgtMTRUMjMNTc6MjFfaPC9kYXRIPgoJCQkJPGtleT5jb20uYXBw
bGUucHJpbnQuGjla2V0LmN0YXRIRmxhZzwwa2V5PgoJCQkJPGludGVnZXI+
MDwvaW50ZWdlcj4KCQkJPC9kaWN0PgoJCTwvYXJyYXk+Cgk8L2RpY3Q+Cgk8
a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC5QYWdlRm9ybWF0LIBNVmVydGJjYWxSZXM8
L2tleT4KCTxkaWN0PgoJCTxrZXk+Y29tLmFwcGxLnByaW50LnRpY2tldC5j
cmVhdG9yPC9rZXk+CgkJPHN0cmluZz5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbnRpbmdtYW5h
Z2VyPC9zdHJpbmc+CgkJPgtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbnQuGjla2V0LmI0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a2V5PgoJCTxhcnJheT4KCQkJPGRpY3Q+CgkJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5w
cmludC5QYWdlRm9ybWF0LIBNVmVydGJjYWxTY2FsaW5nPC9rZXk+CgkJCQk8
cmVhdD4xPC9yZWFSpgoJCQkJPGtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbnQuGjla2V0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MzQ8L3JIYWw+CgkJCQkJCTxyZWFsPjU3NjwvcmVhbD4KCQkJCQk8L2FycmF5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CQkJPGFycmF5PgoJCQkJCQk8cmVhbD4tMTg8L3JIYWw+CgkJCQkJCTxyZWFs
Pi0xODwvcmVhbD4KCQkJCQkJPHJIYWw+Nzc0PC9yZWFsPgoJCQkJCQk8cmVh
bD41OTQ8L3JIYWw+CgkJCQkJPC9hcnJheT4KCQkJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBs
ZS5wcmludC50aWNrZXQuY2xpZW50PC9rZXk+CgkJCQkJPHN0cmluZz5jb20u
YXBwbGUucHJpbnRpbmdtYW5hZ2V5PC9zdHJpbmc+CgkJCQkJPGtleT5jb20u
YXBwbGUucHJpbnQuQGllja2V0Lm1vZERhdGU8L2tleT4KCQkJCQk8ZGF0ZT4y
MDA2LTA4LTE0VDIzOjU3OjlxWjwvZGF0ZT4KCQkJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBs
ZS5wcmludC50aWNrZXQuY2xpZW50PC9rZXk+CgkJCQkJPGludGVnZXI+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PC9hcnJheT4KCQk8L2RpY3Q+CgkJPgtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbnQuUGFw
ZXJJbmZvLIBNVW5hZGp1c3RIZFBhZ2VSZWN0PC9rZXk+CgkJPGRpY3Q+Cgk
ICTxrZXk+Y29tLmFwcGxlLnByaW50LnRpY2tldC5jcmVhdG9yPC9rZXk+Cgk
ICTxzdHJpbmc+Y29tLmFwcGxlLnByaW50LnBtLIBvc3RTY3JpcHQ8L3N0cmlu
Zz4KCQkJPGtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbnQuQGllja2V0Lml0ZW1BcnJheTwv
a2V5PgoJCQk8YXJyYXk+CgkJCQk8ZGlljdD4KCQkJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBs
ZS5wcmludC5QYXBicllkluZm8uUE1VbmFkanVzdGVkUGFnZVJlY3Q8L2tleT4K
CQkJCQk8YXJyYXk+CgkJCQkJCTxyZWFsPjAuMDwvcmVhbD4KCQkJCQkJPHJI
YWw+MC4wPC9yZWFsPgoJCQkJCQk8cmVhbD43MzQ8L3JIYWw+CgkJCQkJCTxy
ZWFsPjU3NjwvcmVhbD4KCQkJCQk8L2FycmF5PgoJCQkJCTxrZXk+Y29tLmFw
cGxlLnByaW50LnRpY2tldC5jbGllbnQ8L2tleT4KCQkJCQk8c3RyaW5nPmNv
bS5hcHBsZS5wcmludGluZ21hbmFnZXI8L3N0cmluZz4KCQkJCQk8a2V5PmNv

bS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC50aWNRZXQuBw9kRGF0ZTwwa2V5PgoJCQkJCTxkYXRIPjllwMDYtMDgtMTRUMjM6NTc6MjFfaPC9kYXRIPgoJCQkJCTxrZXk+Y29tLmFwcGxILnByaW50LnRpY2tldC5zdGF0ZUZsYWc8L2tleT4KCQkJCQk8aW50ZWdlcj4wPC9pbmRIZ2VyPgoJCQkJPC9kaWN0PgoJCQk8L2FycmF5PgoJCTwvZGljdD4KCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC5QYXBkclkuZm8uUE1VbmFkanVzdGVkUGFwZXJSZWN0PC9rZXk+CgkJPGRpY3Q+CgkJCTxrZXk+Y29tLmFwcGxILnByaW50LnRpY2tldC5jcmVhdG9yPC9rZXk+CgkJCTxzdHJpbmc+Y29tLmFwcGxILnByaW50LnBtLIBvc3RTY3JpcHQ8L3N0cmIuZz4KCQkJPGtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbmQuGjIja2V0Lml0ZW1BcnJheTwva2V5PgoJCQk8YXJyYXk+CgkJCQk8ZGljdD4KCQkJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC5QYXBkclkuZm8uUE1VbmFkanVzdGVkUGFwZXJSZWN0PC9rZXk+CgkJCQkJPGFycmF5PgoJCQkJCQk8cmVhbD4tMTg8L3JIYWw+CgkJCQkJCTxyZWFsPi0xODwvcmVhbD4KCQkJCQkJPHJIYWw+Nzc0PC9yZWFsPgoJCQkJCQk8cmVhbD41OTQ8L3JIYWw+CgkJCQkJPC9hcnJheT4KCQkJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC50aWNRZXQuY2xpZW50PC9rZXk+CgkJCQkJPHN0cmIuZz5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbmRpbmdtYW5hZ2VyPC9zdHJpbmc+CgkJCQkJPGtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbmQuGjIja2V0Lm1vZERhdGU8L2tleT4KCQkJCQk8ZGF0ZT4yMDA2LTA4LTE0VDIzOjU3OjlxWjwvZGF0ZT4KCQkJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC50aWNRZXQuC3RhdGVGbGFncPC9rZXk+CgkJCQkJPGludGVnZXI+MDwwaW50ZWdlcj4KCQkJCTwvZGljdD4KCQkJPC9hcnJheT4KCQk8L2RpY3Q+CgkJPGtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbmQuUGFwZXJJbmZvLnBwZC5QTVBhcGVyTmFtZTwva2V5PgoJCTxkaWN0PgoJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC50aWNRZXQuY3JIYXRvcjwwa2V5PgoJCQk8c3RyaW5nPC9rZXk+CgkJCQkJPC9rZXk+CgkJCQkJPGtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbmQuUGFwZXJJbmZvLnBwZC5QTVBhcGVyTmFtZTwva2V5PgoJCQkJCTxzdHJpbmc+VVMgTGv0dGVyPC9zdHJpbmc+CgkJCQkJPGtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbmQuGjIja2V0LmNsaWVudDwwa2V5PgoJCQkJCTxzdHJpbmc+Y29tLmFwcGxILnByaW50LnBtLIBvc3RTY3JpcHQ8L3N0cmIuZz4KCQkJCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC50aWNRZXQuBw9kRGF0ZTwwa2V5PgoJCQkJCTxkYXRIPjllwMDMtMDctMDk6MzZaPC9kYXRIPgoJCQkJCTxrZXk+Y29tLmFwcGxILnByaW50LnRpY2tldC5zdGF0ZUZsYWc8L2tleT4KCQkJCQk8aW50ZWdlcj4xPC9pbmRIZ2VyPgoJCQkJPC9kaWN0PgoJCQk8L2FycmF5PgoJCTwvZGljdD4KCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC50aWNRZXQuQVBjVmVyc2lvbjwwa2V5PgoJCTxzdHJpbmc+MDAuMjA8L3N0cmIuZz4KCQk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC50aWNRZXQuC3RhdGVkUGFwZXJJbmZvVGlja2V0PC9zdHJpbmc+Cgk8L2RpY3Q+Cgk8a2V5PmNvbS5hcHBsZS5wcmludC50aWNRZXQuQVBjVmVyc2lvbjwwa2V5PgoJPHN0cmIuZz4wMC4yMDwvc3RyaW5nPC9rZXk+Cgk8ZmFsc2UvPgoJPGtleT5jb20uYXBwbGUucHJpbmQuGjIja2V0LnR5cGU8L2tleT4KCTxzdHJpbmc+Y29tLmFwcGxILnByaW50LIBhZ2VGb3JtYXRUaWNRZXQ8L3N0cmIuZz4KPC9kaWN0Pgo8L3BsaXN0Pgo4QkINA+kAAAAAAHgAAwAAAEgASAAAAAAC3gJA/+7/7gMGAIIIDZwUoA/wAAgAAAEgASAAAAAAC2AlOAAEAAABkAAAAAQADAwMAAAABf/8AAQABAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAaAgAGQGQAAAAAAAgAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA4QkINA+0AAAAABAASAAA AEAQAQBIAAAAQAQBOEJTTQQmAAAAAAAAOAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAD+AAAA4QKINBA0A

AAAAAQAAAAeOEJTTQQZAAAAAAEAAAAHjhCSU0D8wAAAAAACQAAAAAAAAAA
QA4QkINBAoAAAAAAEADhCSU0nEAAAAAACgABAAAAAAAE4QkINA/UA
AAAAEgAL2ZmAEEAbGZmAAAYAAAAAAEAL2ZmAAEAoZmaAAAYAAAAAAEAMgAA
AAEAwGAAAAAYAAAAAAEANQAAAAEALQAAAAAYAAAAAAE4QkINA/gAAAAAHAA
AP//////////8D6AAAAAD//////////
////////A+gAAAA//////////wPoAAAAAP/////

Dg4ODhQRDAwMDAwREQwMDAwMDBEMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwMDAwM
M

DAwM/8AAEQgAgABpAwEiAAIRAQMRAf/dAAQAB//EAT8AAAFAQEBAQEBA
AAAAAAMAQIEBQYHCAkKcWAAQUBAQEBAQEAAAAAAQACAwQFBgcICQoL
EAABBAEDAQCBCqGCAUDDMBAAIRAwQhEjEFQVFhEyJxgTIGFJGhsUljJBVS
wWlzNHKC0UMHJZJT8OHxY3M1FqKygyZEk1RkRcKjdDYX0IXiZfKzhMPTdePz
RieUpIw0lcTU5PSltcXV5fVWZnaGlqa2xtbm9jdHV2d3h5ent8fX5/cRAAIC
AQIEBAMEBQYHBwYFNQEAhEDITESBEFRYXEiEwUygZEUobFCI8FS0fAzJGLh
coKSQ1MVY3M08SUGFqKygwcmNcLSRJNUoxdkRVU2dGXi8rOEw9N14/NGIKSF
tJXE1OT0pbXF1eX1VmZ2hpamtsbW5vYnN0dXZ3eHI6e3x//aAAwDAQACEQMR
AD8A7pjWFrfAOb8jCofWW2jH+rnVb7y0VDEub7tAXWMdRVXu/wCFusYxq5Tp
f+MPMycX9LgVPvpaXWFMq6oOaC6sWCqzGytu9zNv889c59Z/rNn9bsysfODK
qMLEmfHqLizfq1t9r7Nrsm/Y706rHMqrrq/Sejj1eooY45E0RS+utufY9rcnl
dbl02ZYZY8CptgfPs21iuofneo1v0UJhpe30/VqY6zAZUHPe0AP8AU3OY935j
2t/NV931D6u1xaccjEBB1BfadflQI/wAw+rf9ysP/ADrv/edRDNy/+dH2dm+c
mUk/qjqSTZv59xf7rI0YrQ3JxnZGPvurZsf6rTEWgkOs/f2tQX4jm3soDq7
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r91In/deut9n8yxU8ssEpCWGQM7H6sCfq1/Q0/5jZgZ1w5Aar5z/AN09/hZG
D1PDrzMQMsxstjnMO1p5a7dW8f6St36O6p383b+jsXzcvWvs9mLkWFZTsm/p
2Y/+dNBak7ngO/pONa23HfZ/OfpT3ryVOGePtE9q/HiYPu0uMC9DZ+yn//Q
4nHZfidQqxLD6drTk414aZEy90B353u9OxiapozOuUU3atzbsf1B5PdWLeP6
i0up1Dlv6f1Vg/S1W142c1oAEnTFyto/Mya2vr/kelXSqn1Yr9b6xYRI3Npa
+wnw9Flrmf8AT9NNyS4ccp9Yxkf8UWzQFyA7yA/7I7wu3vLu7iSfnqpNEfBC
ue3Hxbsh4JZj1vtcByRW0v2t/wCMd+jXNn69saC79mktaCS4Zljq7f8AuP8A
vfQWFi5bLlv248QGH1jH/pcLozywh8xr6E/9F62qr1X+n+bE2nwbMH/tz+bb
/nrRGuvB50WXR151DX134TKsprK8i2g3OJDLQ/0w5/oMb6tLqcii+rb+jvr/
AOEWdl/XR+JZtPTWvHz32kgTzB/VnKePlczWmP8A5+P/AL9glzGMnSX4SeoG
g/v8lXyX7niv81mrv65/9JM/8EXNY31/syLTr6U0Ha57nnJLmsawbn2vDcb
6DP3P8JZ+jVN/wBfXttUemNfaHFpjlcS5354AFH5rvppHkeZr+b/wCdj/79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LYQ0ZmVVRbZSylzaz6kNpDhXVj3ZVte6qj1bLl1iq7JvuvyLsmx7PSqpzcj6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jVVd61TdvvfV766/+0/85Yjh56MqE/RLp1ix5cWQ6Q9V+PC+TZzMjAtzcfKp
di5FVjGW0Pjc3Y2trB7ZZYxzf0lVjP0dtX6SpLGsD7xMN1Jkn6lAe1x/sVO3
rX+tLz9YesW5rT9npsFVVYFb3TXUC1trn/o/5xz3v+h/M+ms/ouNkV9T+1XU

OFeILLW12h1YtflZiUMeW+/1ch9NmR/3SqybPzFfjhlb7DX9H/pMcuXzQoz
hV9ql/0eJ3sWh/Uqq7IFXS7PVuYTIfnWtGzGd7nV/5Hxa6aHe3+mMv/AO5C
82XrOjY9lx8dmS3Jcx5Ntm8ONtth35F7vd/hb/d/wAWvJkuMb2GLgldUb32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rZrIY2msOBaTvD6j9u4Nf9GljP8AoK7T17qdzA6rpuVeztZVZa9nxrezG2OV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bY5zd7GhjKxjtrpt/RX2Od+me+5t1DP0Xs9f+Yw+mvssosc97nEWAS9xcQNs
/nStvp/SLc3Gfk7/AEqWEtYNpc95aBv9NrnMZ6dW/wDf/wCCq/wibOPCSD06
/wDOXS0I44yl6YX8v930iPp/x2vZIZ1xBfddfaf5v9JY9xd+Yxvudu3O+guo
FN1GG2u9luRiNraMyu9j7ayGgPuum9pY70bP0r63P/m/U+y+hkeisbp+BkU/
WHGxHsFijbGvYWyAWlpsblN3/wCDpb+n2f6ev0P+ET9Uyf2z17N6C4W0uoZb
j9Pu9Sxhc6hv2i2nPxU+x2YmX73U2V012/Z/QQRsRO0RxH+7+nP/Aa3MzgT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JDt9My3ZvU8jPe01eljCnHrY9wNYs/VvbdSKX720/a7vV/7k3f8ABqOL0PFw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orc7duv9J/pP/wCAZR636dc/1T6wZbMrHZiObU7p7vUL2zfffGx25v8Ao6af
0Hp/8Nk/pFCeWHAa30lv96Pq1bmTmP5JgyoRF0AP0T/ebDPr44t3ZOAH3GXO
fRd6bHE+6fTuquez/PWb1P6xZXVbG124lBorLnU45NhDZG19j3MfX61m389/
/WfTUs7ptOXSeq9HrJocf1nBZq/HsPueypsD1cbcd7PT/wDPfq+IXpwXe2kO
AfcR6lrDuDR+dtiP5ln+fajhwcuSZxhwyj81mXFjl/jelZPJFAMx00jwyDo
YwfT0ijL9OqsZN1orpr3bfTq2tF251r3/pbnX/o/5v8AR+r/AIZL7c4f4Jn/
AEv71s/sjN6vjMrwRU2rDf6YD37Gw5jPTbXod3psrb/26mp+onX7i7a7FhsS
436e6Y+jW791R5MdyJAb/Lc1jiiiMmQCQvT5ev7rkfbnx/NsEeboA/qygnqd
v5tPLcX8f5y6Gz/ABd/WJzQ1tmEZ5Hru18G/wAyqGd9S+r4P9JuxA4naxjb
i5zvoueWM9H6FTX/AKVz/wCp9P0vUb7UQCZCgO7IecxkiMclnwtH0a3lzc3
sY3HoG+5wDpn/A1N3Od/Ovb7v+BrtXQsfYx+9p9/edQfFjUxMajDxmY9JJY
33F50c950ffZz737f0f4KvZSrlg6ASToBz5bQFnZpicriKiNI/8AfJJJ+Y23
GZDXsljS94hoqnUvcdtVbXf8LYWVssVfq31a6wy85mBk2Z/qGcjBbc3Gs3uD
W7enZVldtFmO1381TbXXfXTVs/WPUXLdZ+s1+PmVVdJudVbi2epZIV67rACz
0Kw72W4te5/r+p+iy3/8DX6i6zpX1vw+s4epbiZtVZdIY7iBUWt0ffi22n3Y
379Vv6fE/wCGpr9ZXuT5XgjxyGs+h/Rg5/MZzx1jNAbkdXnqvsmBmJl2V5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DVYZnTJE5IDSCS7c0wd2xtcBv03t/SKQz+jiP1it5bJ2vfHYu/OdXX7VDLbZ
GX5pCvsH/RZo5MGL5YG/tP8AjSkn3jgAk6naASfjAWb9YX5rOnP+zu9MiTks
brZ6EbZfjT7GbnfrNdX+A/w3peuoP6xU0ktpbUJljbGB0Hv9P37fpKnZ1eo
lwbbUAZmXs8f63u9n01Li5KGMiUvXlA6/L/iseTmZTBA9A/532uLRhPuO6CG
6REdkS4U4rWucTuEOra0w4kcW7v8G1jvoXllmXTi17KDW9zp9MlzXsYJ1Dg1
3ve3/BVv/RbFmWOL3ue+ze55lzi4En5q7fZqupjdTde5rbYFu4eTXa/m/uv/
AJCw4/1j/arLdsiXN5H5w/vVf1HfvH7ylan/2QA4QkINBCEAAAAAAHkAAAAB
AQAAABgAQQBkAG8AYgBIACAAUABoAG8AdABvAHMAaABvAHAAIABFAGwAZQBt

YZ0FZXu/zMEaaotvAml2Xan29Y73UvTHXsz5G9NtLGB9mRoiUm1GiPog9pMJ
+R1F2zMkQhI8sHDbbxyHDElpwNAacDJXqVKYJTacOkntaeELYc56S4T3jyM5
91I4K3uOd6HbAw5FX5kck8i+nHOHL59phW1+mDoIUf2RHggw81M6K8Rjmkk
dWgwpARK8ebiaPcBoWZBcgwkrESA1maQn4hyBFWyo6R9oxdzOMdCLOJo5s8x
WToWNb4XZCPKhhxpDdTqZwTp8p5a59QYpgyCNEQ4IVSJECgvFDBIAFIHnG+1
JCI4+clokOd6RdLHYHBD01DEO0I4Rx1fV1Ze/c6axKlvA6qQc1c0m2WZg3d5
OIJDU6tSI0Sbeda2E86ddcNXLMTKGQBTIcyNGFd+JLbMlyAdbLpRGQnpaSK1
ROzbCkBCHP18YGaVwpXZEOaY91NRnx3jn84PMsGBMIXM3DnzlzVO7qHvqqDP
S1r5bJ9jNq+ZPYfzl10zFdHaHyHBVpHURhRS+9ULjSRQkwpJoyGbHakx8Vgg
MTPjHRF0C+A+M+TMq41UPpWXR+rY2E5CZiYVHkWVIdTP6ktRHQTZ11NHTt6P
9LJlsrkWmm6tef8AqgrR+EKZdly5KRHEcRLyFWQcho5kznEs4yRjBRsfZK2
JbNZP0wIavEjsAyhxy48DbKkj+xiWVfjw+pXbk+ocqVWzM2EGvdJYPI6gOu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p1eqh3UQHjFtgVNrDbssLplnJdPLsTCRn8kjKHuAv4jDSHKbF/4OVExs1BS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nvdQ8zZDrtObvpNnjoXPZ4bKe+uNSfP1u1uoUl6clSYsdl6svMSdOuNZPwF6
RjAdpDStRT3e7XXG041d+bznN2ZlyiHV4UVonPB0qS4HhDh6FYfJzjS1wIOv
lzYZqdGto+uMwTUVIcb0b2La3JqueWkpc0huin2h2FroNdU9MCgvTlae8DuP
ug5+5uaqcKukdbk2sGiHlCuaCfArgA2ILRt1U42++1ct+N33seWZ2typeUCer
xPH3oiZARs65cxwL0hMcoP0KZCZMLS5eU/baxLcKzWR6IPEtbgVOBmmJlixL
oZf9O0Mj5Sn7QkaqPizl2hrsWxn3Mkt51ed87WXw5bQmza8S52AMCjm3k1J2
u21aueO35sOWZ4yJWUsPtsZFr0tZW3zmdvnhsv8AEOQaYI2L02HjbtAeoVtZ
9izZXI9E+iWjtKmlGJmK+zN6uJGg0HJgMyfFtBozEx1VPINutnBtjprg4emt
phKkVRCNn2RlyOJNfNrhRdq1dcavzO5hnY/Mx/Y7gyBox0nolisjZHLU/yj
5l4wTOTEvGGI1C3cOkPRodkMj0XuPa8GiS2sk5WliS5o7cQqtEUwpRs++a2u
p9f6mn+n1SY8WjPLM5L1BD9lctLHA7NNfNVbwLpNTq9dcav1Y8vzJK4yGtNw
/mJ00R1WPw7En5FZkJQDdjFq1MBAja6OdJp6jbiFYvl9MPR7j40+gOJNbNlf
l1tuvXYymi9YwbO0ZNF2mSGY0vqrU3ieaVyTy0QZmlRjkip+500fW9xqdb8f
0JsuV5vHZ5o1vf7eT+dWwm4hsZG8aG11BppcWicgMyxFo4BZJd0wLMnxbRY3
tShB0HRI0By2a0wE03fkAYH8ZGCM8kLjWes9g6d9sx+mVdGPgkB5NGuj7f5b
2hVryxs2edzXmvOTM9j8SHm9TTkzlpTzMdhrlOnOyiiVF3kVD3lr1q60YnJW
otx6xO9UDCVekgwkyqV8A+TL5RcghQVhcn3NVsuFpuQa9B5CflJrxtoIVuj
lkRohDbiN92NZFNLIB0YI1F6ZnPZ0nY6LuZ7rFfsuHWaqa96qwhu+q27MjG
XSdQFucx0evsLe/GoYhyQ7A5II0qsT3YhdbHQOTacXs5PY9pLIQVWSkeA144
3Zvs/KK+6SszxsXrB1MljwdfV18TeUvRSXzpfQmuMpyMsvpKST2oH1mZ6QsQ
A2sOKULVVzxqj6DA8LehGfIV2RdpBJ2OhyargAJUc0IF9eUWD9pnOyHZGSel
dsTbqMdcToXOS0gRrIGdE5yke5TzgK0Yhvkpceusk3yyWbjq7o8s6HTHoOHa
drXmTD+mJMELoU82lq3exBC3QRn6B6kGiJtzKgl2gTIUk255+9OWQIDgh0gy
2pKkpY1IAon2zke3tfGhIj+YZJJ1UnjN6SWk1e/A85pFdKCEHFqVuCJKuty
3odO+iYZW0FP2+mR4jstvQJNja21JR7cFQmxmOqJbEDaW40EpMPPSIUw21krH
2oiSFSD7B8uE2pjOcPa+UR3cVC6R63Vxyoo6wzCg2YlwaEpNOXm226mtjDh
cDsE6IUu8HKejt7QU1f+iYt+RnHUyrVWFBclbOAWdiQwycABNITsMxbKi+G6
U06O89Qsck9AdEEKXHoT1/mMRX9F2AoqUrlOd0g4+aYgo9bExMOqT7ddzQwm
wpEs6Zq7ok9C7B80273y2kjjW55R0VBbp+oc7jTqS3OLDR1pUOXLWvWU2cQ5
zUdNqFUDKB8B4Sk68pqBdZ5rHvRWhpW4FkuErsGUlco1wM9otfKR7NC8bro
hvk4RH3FLbyJNUpwJceOWvpHxmudWduxQruTGVHo3TTyVClijsvDmPCHgBoi
DM/LOtMbHJd6SgrpOYqVOhvE1OwH4RMtsRq2j0g4VmoOD9HmXcyitZzsmsfG
fpkacSfcSZUt4xZEgRZZkhfuuUm5y/btNelIXbl4krxChEn2pPL7K5NgGr2l
S9RngLar8ivMN0JZprfPjnH0LpCJkIYTafSAoHoHwL9AOatkNxzP+0rqHzYw
iiMuDoEeM19iYstrfqRoO2wvoBRcm8pSLAufCc6bWdl1qw/B5cJFeRBkomsS
IGDIZLhJIUmKJBkRo2JtvoLky8A7UY4ACU7GZTTcs2s0WeVz3sY9GbCMCC6M
1snVRt7ojl8islglALJXF7hJsDQnybBQ9zMrOpNXyDBWloOpAl2ky4kg/CH
yQgLBZS2BAYQHIIHQHIEJ//aAAgBAGABBQBKLD2gSlkJpQ8voBS2slpONSUu
IVGcyirso/8AFxJuismbU1/b4+UpuW2wS79eNWrgK40aJq3mwpxsOCdr4ldS
tlQuVBaitDpQc2bNx7IKRWLUQpDtXerk0unSAj2/bQ3OZ4zpPvOZNQDKCPxc
fJbZSMgwayh8MSm/bbfQ4pKSTxASo3P8Bo05cAqpArjWVxjUxD8BcZxmy3J
Fm6SBOiYaUVI+IYIV1oFCj4rjUZHT7jsFtxP6Y1TcFpBkR0PpTHQGzimTX6W
0Kehtu1+nN0xDS2phunVXP8AL+A1a9LFE0iwpSwA6q9SlqJKZ2HegLmqqFKU
wqVD/LqPN84Vd3HWHY9e8AfyDTMCRJMOGiM2fHpf+JNIFypQQCb1cVyFXq9X
okXBvTir+gsKecAShXhAF3mEPI2TCPQ1tm9RMithSMIGljX4sZpS2woLxrCj
+O22Wv8Aia2TZVYpSuw5Ff5FIV/kWVX+RjDhSszR7Em1/kOdSewZ/JfYM9tx
XYuSJPYWRr/IWRr/ACDkKtV+RPO/5KI7/kUg79kyP3/IADveVNHe8tSd7ytK
3nKKo7tlBR3fLA/vrLWc3LJuJXCkNNocpAurUnQXFC1cTTqSCyfH8uwXQqYT

b043pKQCr60KYTc8uRo3oJJPtdqCbUjzTi7m9X9DeuNXomr19a1DALyb+Wjt
vRH4yo7kYXRtIfefNCnh5ZPg+a26T7+SJvRNj6q8UBenFeCbVyJq4JSq1cyf
QuhtFjVqBpRsBer1e9WriTWAwL2VdgQGoLWQJEFfLQ/fZSClrT0gPRXeYNOjw
1R8VInPfl8RV6JNA1ereGk2om9Wq3m1eRQRegAKWeRqwoWFE0fUAVgNYfyrk
DHx4LFqyHln2/vzsAxX9SSQ7HXwUKWPCPFTXg0w6SpajSq80BVq4k0vyFJq1
DwLA1agfLhoE0TV6vV68V4qLFdkrweiKVUdhtLleanA8Y7PN7OY38yLrAld
ks+YyyoLH2/y2FwN469EXpCFLUnr26U9bGyetjStA9kjrRr/GhpPWZpXWYN
DrMV/jNsV/jRCT/jRm/+OGKHXEelddRRX+O4tf46jV/jyODE0SA2qFAjwkoA
oAU4PATapnkQ2uJHgxon48ISLjy2sG4Nbs77WPIsONatD/JyTCeVcQCoBleV
zpjyIH0FK+tEUfuLhq1KUBUtxXJTqkn3ONPLUKjDIVqbfjal/QVMpgENpNL
luk0+0FUwu6beewXrR1KvQ8115AJXDsT9Tlco/aGU2SpxCQvJRUUvOwAU5yA
qhOYUEWIJ8uLloKuVj3FNNIpbYtwJUwmjamRRpVE08OTqlcUpASIRJVGdCqF
H7FDzXYTt3SRZFapCMbGxT4WsJE/MsNGVsxnGXsuQdK5brlOOUtRufNOOKBZ
yElkwt2yceofYiFmLn4MptsAVyADi7IbiY9M5UAsy0PFv63pdcKtZSRyL7nG
kG6kqsppz3AsA0m4rd3vndqFqzxBkyGkbtubtEXGLE2GXPQoWpDLig5iDIW3
oqVV/j2OqldctEyevHxU/TclGLrC2yBakivIVj9llwjj9qjTKbUkBDinSBeg
ShcJ33G1HyU0DS1gBtfAXBKbXplwtqBBB81skj3siT40iGHJ2wbmp44+E/Ld
GJWxTcRpqkhNJaLj8YjimirFPJJK01MiRZgy+gllkQ3lzng0RQFY3YpEZOM
ybMkUokHDoKWFk8k3Ap1Xm9JIAbPnzQNRX+NPKCRMcljtR5Tvt4XSlqRCYbj
tzYKZKXHC2RJBGOilmo6woJlqc+QHXnAr8h2vyXa99yp0JExOWxLmOUKNJNG
W6hWPzjj4YEISkvvJBkP1jHFLZUqwJuVOJFBQUgjdfoDastkS3EclixXJDm
v4JrGB2cqyZihX55pcu9IIUmQtSXQ4oV77IGS5XvLr3V17i6CIAqWSFkrE+C
qMokXFeaB4jD5pblF9y/vuUJDtfkO177IFxZqAl51xocSIRJKgK5prZ3uEFm
K5IXhsK1jGqcXyN6v6MHxJ/u+h/hc8C1OshxMuGuOsDxYVek8hWMyQkoloj1
YFzgmBAECod7ZUKOKWb5pw+zjYQjIRyNJ+4+aJrlcczXuKFXvQv6e2o1xNc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jqky1tE3VX5TUU4nJMTXJMdehVvWH2XZgbMnjTYPcef+ufuV68axBKZPurl
xqjU/WoX96J4EhywHknwJ0xERE/OyJCILUohxSTj89JYVi5DM0A3oVK/u1li
eAPkmoP/AluRYU6NMwE9meogDXmf1nY9IWCthIKwjjWWNoZ80Baj6AecSLyE
/TFnwKh+Xo32ocXcgU86lpvlTVy3SfQeaUSisXknMe8y8I9upgs5asuglp3F
utJZgOvH2wkZbtLrMXOZSKjLu5bNI12M1ixPk/kv4aN7sgn7tnc9uDarUR6A
XrDWMkCsWml2qJ4eiSUusufVlrY5Zbalt6WFh4pXmIvpkwvRR9Zni2INhxOP
UuyIKUfpTyws0nzUp32UpHI4yD+I0a3ORZFAXHobgYJH9esSLpUKif3VRBHP
GibVtBlfA88a8UTxop8fStlJDihyqWbODzXGn2A/Rk/e8hQBQoUI67LmlaCU
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l9KqCwaX5ot0SEmRI4scTtldcpxSnVUR4FcSa8JrF4N+ZWJxcbHtWrjchNqV
V6KxTbvos1Ck+2QbC96lvXGkm1J9FqCayGJzTM1iSxS21IKXFppGRkoo5mY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0pFqdQFiZEBqRAU2VjilylhyjDwKRCUopitMmTIU6Vf/AlnxSfuVY0B/Bah9
AK+IAeiE3Kk+VXFMvLbXicqiUh1NqW0Fh2Das60W0tuqQROdoTHDTxJUDWHw
qJ6U6ixQ1GPR1OPX7SjmqUehqkYD9rRK/a0QUvXY1xr8YV+gx6OBj1+gx6/
QY9Kwkeo2vR10NbiGv25Efft2HZOuw6/bsOk67EFfoUSjgYZo4CGKbwsZotS
23iU2o2FbU3/AEkk3JspHmnvqm99XbtHHj1PofpTrnEXv6GIKtQXVzQHJTLf
tp9QfHKr+pPjNTxHbxzy2n0PB1Cj52r+0j/ko/eyaf8AqCawbXCJb1Poa+IO
q5H0JpQqw9lrV6v/AB3oeayGSbity5C31Qv70GQW3B5VtF1NPN8Vn/kg0/5D
XmoKOEf0tRHpe1SF+2j1JpRoeaQgrKPsHoaAoel6J85PKNxUSZLkld6hG7nl
2x0oPM7Mf6duQlspBp36Q08nEiyaTXiifQkALXyVer0fQ+atUZrhVq8Uf4B5
pbiUJyGwgU86pxQNzUHwX12RiZ34r2yKu2hYp5Ni39V1iEc5J81eivilW0JF
HcECjuCKGypfSdka+5UV+40Gv3EK/cSaOxGhn6TtJA/dCq/cy6TsTq6OxOg
/uRdDY1mn9gfUH5Lr5WaNjQ9qhJzKc8XqbID0W/k2cCSaPmtbb5ygbmsm+WI
8kcCfKIakpWUKlgc0H0HoaHok0hJhNgIUE2UgGmUJldPJV6VRoekUWDyuSq
eJCQCSg2pafJuTqrd3BV62mTxbyP1NhQIQbBxx1fNTbSzSYrpoQX6MJ8UWHB
RSR6MN3q1Js0l51dlcJDi0oQq4CCTSjejQoCm1cWvoB5L3/HK48tKBtSflUC
Fam3ZqleKzsj3ps8felJUoMePwo6yzFZSALU1Sfp5NR2QhK4zSw/gYjlOa3w
C8ZlBceSbqSTSUBIIPtmnJbdmnEKcVbVqrkaJsIRN0inx9qmw4mfBMVxv6r8
q1pHCIPJku+20XPcfbw705yXFZiK8qorQmoxuhIkihmAkjO0xnmUmNm4kik
OJWPQU5BQsycepBkrKEyXSpdMrKSr6GgLUPNKNwIBlvT/wDxSKmwkym1oU2V

ViWuEY+K2B0ojYfXQip0IDDeQli7015yiCqseRer0Pr7ZNe0qo78hioWxXDb
iXE3lq9E1kMc08ibjXWE02klShYXFAGrXr/nSz5RUgfhFK+uZx3vBKeTsZP
FqlspUudn0c5y1LcKeYchqSURHFFxQZT5I41DaBVi8XDdjDBwK/Q4FHCwbLx
8fi8yWiUgURRFJgo5MRozgRhWEqViYJJxMK2yMttZACKjiOJNW408bpbTyT7
QpUfkiGutodSCB/LIxFTUwdSbW45pzThOIMik6Y0Km64hleMhspimGya/TmD
X6dGr8doUGUV7SK9pFLQhKFoDgKONGj6eKxMpvkmO3Yx2xRgxzX4MejAYoRW
RWcRCjsyCVCO6hxHEmuJpSTcGwJJriagpShlUmipJoLSKzaPux5/oDzQ8E+P
QpvXGwrlu8Wx4pxHILTb+DkQMVP94nwfQ1IX9m7Sebz3/HDk/iYRAKAgXzn2
nFwQhLgbQIGcgqUIKJWVIRXlCuPLSW00WkLoJACRaiC5Vk46VfqMajkowr9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Ne4iP98dSA0Glm2LSREwv9oDwffAU6LoZYcD5QlacnAchu6kgihiwuTas/Fmu
uspWhna7vKxOKRARevrQ+uQWVUqQFCfD/HWl9TTmNyCZzbosco3dkG59SbVD
eVxmOcGHJhYwqj5a+mLN4uGIDXuCuVBQF00FINck0otmklArmkUVpte3p+G
kvk3PpNf9lnJZV1NY+TLeLzDj6VJN9cmliUo1PF2KIjQxocIconleWSPwM3d
EK96arEH/qpNqx7BdcXxTQQk0GkUG0CvaTXsor2EVlnkMN8yRijeJQF6dlitM
0zLafNxbYJlaQ48HXIDxZbQ4OWTa9p8KU2WXfcrJ8tf/ANUaFWpo8XMuCuFt
ywqYTemjWIN4jSCTDY9pB80K+IGQ2mkyW1Vei4E1kpZkPX8Yg/8ATrMZQQGn
HluOJcKFYbl/mM7YttuJio6boJBaV4zSR77/AIRjSTGIH+kRc+lqJptIK1R2
zXZLCGZwNNCsQf8Aq4pj3FE0DUvlljCXInpB9xRoLIqLk32DPy/Jjjxr+WH8
w62pwmWD5WbjVCoo3MF2U20hppHkspsMqsLfdRyGPRwjTl8WP4FUwLuLPjsx
P/bR5DlrcPlkWGyGUVleDKH5CpCx49P5RmxTqAtKk2NYg/8ATrbGil/zZlpT
68XjxCjyWTLnqxxBahFBjDLbi+aoDH5Egp4jJuEN3q9A+KIjQc3yfUPPZhH5
DYpq99dhFuOTegfOffskeB6lSSoC3pJ8H+WI/wDD/lmoRlxcFOQ0tDKWg+5x
RGaCUWpRCRkpv5C1gJGvY0tl5ecor76t6Cr2rGC8hPmuzP7qaZQSNyflsLlV
R+ucWfyCPANvSMjx/PIUoUBWl/8ADq9jmsCVnG7C4wHslHebQ4mzuQYbqZPc
fq1qw2uqfWpBSolc5uUDegKlr+eESfcrs3wttZ5cW3RCza8K3+/GqG/Rrry
7eSXbxTCAtQ8UfqPrOkIKPe9iOME6HYNj6fzm4iPLMjWHwV4KYim8HOVUbVp
CzBwEWKo+akN80yHOCAfRItX8zSjasKzXasa7MH3I8UwpKmsphmMk2919Jqb
i5MJWuOXpS+FXvUZBtR+qnAhMjYH1OOQkyE6soLxt/W9qSATVhV/4MyoFbqA
QKsKsKNcSsx2/ba812DHclrx+pNJGUgsxYbJuCpNZuGxOZGswYjYhNzzlio
ulltenFpRTkSLLbGo4qzWr4xowXmmm/yk1+UmvYBRk0iVavy6/KtRIgATU2M
9ujkmkiQ77y6lv6XpR8PPMtgM+NYTlXpJjLUgEVsKSmEp8ipGVjXtn9pRNQ2
4sKCxJirWQYUwIR6OyTFCROfkEKNKJpFyrSPuShlogVYVxFBlopFSXQoi1vF
yRdKeSrBPqUg0U2pS+Azc78mSI40F2qJJ/HcibOkjLzWpePzmwGOhSuZANC1
a9NSh3ZsYYzvrer00nxpP0uBV6Bomr1Kd4JFr2pQsb3LTfAVf148q2TJ+w2R
erUARQuaHii6olfNyPqaBpKyk46U3II2Uxi4L3oaT9QLVpQsmr1er0V2DrpU
bmmj5phq1EW9LVb0yM9uCy/JXIXx82Bq3qoeF0RQNEV/KJLXGdjuRsvHy2F
dgmlU2igPGKzq8aP3w9Q3p66uwHq/f8AJp3e5Sx+8X7fvN+k7VIU5jUyHE3v
RNqCqv6SJC17eYyq8g6RSfTx6qPhRBo1av5UahTHli8fk2ZyMnrAcp2M40sA
Cj9F3vSvFAGrCvFcPEDDPTDh8EzAT9SVUfNWNCpUpEdGazS57gNE0P4VfRae
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DB9sbrlFTpeHT+3omp9tuSIR34MyEwlptMploXshjmZMb4ES8Y1t7OuYRVft
zAln/bJr2Gw+xYuQoxEK40ICgVtJvMvNxn2N02T96djK0zYkq1LA/o+E67K15
BtlV2PvOt5fM5Fek7M3XXIW22/om2qrKansGGhMsqmSdfhssVPn+8p4KNcFK
pPgchZASo4jFKUvOz14XGYaKhx2fNfzk5OKcXG0PccvqGTwGxY/PRJjgaiY+
OibF0rapnRvdmrZeNIsYpJSr/bq0yXca4Ax76BSJaCHZLKgvmz8i8X1t1jA3
LPYtn9+7ITktvzuTj4HYsnrr/wCtZf1hvsnZEA9h54DCbVkmLTHa+ytxNg3v
L7FjNex7ixmVtRgnlclBHJKuKQFWJua1nFOTpGsa+huHnpBnS8tkgKxb0TGt
SMi0yxiSp97AZvJ4GaMwmY5qcthMPb9Mxey4z45fKLKdHytX3vA7nhv9ty4y
sZ1D8nuuOyYLexMOiTsaAx3n86tA0ePtOx53f8AILSq97Ve5CSalvQuDxKq
KSs2C1wYa58vCR2sbBfeQtQDaLLYWUuJKQpQuyW4+rUdSW6z2GlnDR9hzrfv
BJBg8Py9Wx7Od3DOMF/K6VDdye29pZCTC3Dr7ZYuex0SchuDtOg4jal2P1/t
3qSX8p+3e1OyWNZbQ7nNTzWckwdhw72Vy+aiDH5rGzLYzqLomb2hGR8PtOFN
/DzQ00j4daAoj4caAFtDfrq7fw86wCEfDzqtNOfEDqIpvUfhb1j+lufEHqe
Uwv4V9JrSn4SdJgn4U9JAn4R9IFbfcw6HUnJ/EnpXC5LDdN6xgl5PoLQ8u+P
in0cpH/yI0Smnfir0U4cT8Zuo8JJHx26mKoPQnV+KIZDovrLKyl3Q3WseS10
w0zN+6qz89lqL8r8c8yNNt+5utNpTiMtOmM/q+7tJRtEWQEwfjJj/wAbrm11
cUWRbkyAsBtKSIXEoQsmDj3cxk0tpsG0I5KU8myFqXCcJCUipkyPjYjSl+S8
2AFgJNcRxKEmgwggi4QggL5JCUFY7b3dzV8Fq7mTxW1Y+Uzk4mXRdr5kRw21
pDoO2SIFqXI5DiDuyycm04PZ6bxicX1lxsUovQSOSEISEJFmEpKVOCu6pjFQ
YDFrIJKm2PubX9ynwtXDIW1TkTZ9iSlS2I0NjgtCyEhZT7abe1RF67K7FxpW
2CyK3809Di+7s2v5FrE5UqEmf8zi8MXvGmOaF2IkP/LyEoyMFuHFQhWdTq8U
47AqUoqYFwByLaAVN2CioBvXsZ+r5FuwQ0ICAC3SAvgkDiFXTksg3ioSG+CU
gcUBYPjJpJUFJX93uEKC+RCIcd/36FpGHY2cyu57HLDbiW57ULPFyNLw+h5k
ZPAfM5aFYntPUm9o17InlkHHIK1HPOF+Fo0BWx2ttkNEJ8klB9wIQWQWVvVW
IxEFvFwkECgriEHkUGyW3EkE2rYcqifKPIQ+4FNiEjiAU0tRBub5PNYnBQ+1
fIOiLH2111nrvW+B2LLZhGNxoQmHMMhf7f1rKqW2X+Xqkua515nlvxu59KOn
brGdS7pE10uRPj7AOQ7dskLbTzp4JZjL+XMZtH/2XHQUfM1oDSPkLmMvinOy
tuaQvvjJwBmvlflw6HfnA8zUj57Tmyfn/l7wfnTsGcckfK3Yocg/N/OEr+bm
wCmvmjtz7SvmltaVj5q7Mop+Z2x2yffu/wCW0uXmsnsMnOkyt13vL89QwGST
Hy+Y2iY4/qcl57GQpHuxsZFE135C7JH2XWozsmM72Pg4nZW44qVqDiy4j4l
4wzOyQ2FUwEorvjPnWeqcqwlvlqhqf4rAzc7sGvdT6/hOp+osg5Iov93TFY
IbSGZS56AxllnmpAtrGuN6rqO7ZJthSXelxeAflUqHGASITDqBj0PjDxG5r
nYqBgCpjsxjXVwTgn8zuGxOSkOz1xoMI9bo19gtQ40dLGF3Z0aZ1v2Ixo/bk
CS1Mx2vS1489i65G1+Xy+74a4v8A7IA5Iso/MvZ7tZxbrslpXE/E/rsZ9+Vn
V6JoWv4FnUcBumWZamTstf2Uce6p7SIL9P9px60/r3LP7N2TuMSO09Lckva
TikzX5aGG2pcowXpeUmNrx8x8u9b4tvK7b3dk2ntj1tCUDU1Xn5ifzmSFhcR
xKV4zCtFwa5h3do2TrY19I9g/JPXoGrdfaPn0Yx+HHPtbzrLuRwK/sd+IeP
9jQmyukAFXeu0jbe3sqwUZWJGVkm/H3o3tGZr2gdN7drGKx3TGgwhjdS1jEp

lx4vtKStsocLVZqajNT8jqWrZhjYviv0lsJn/EPI4CJsNXYOrysm88twXf
rhQUMo+MXUfaXZ+Z2D/WT2Ln4/cPx07S+OrWCIZZysk/k454ulC3FxNLbUuX
msq3oPU3SmIF5r5dAI1ttJSnrzaTOj73srsODuD+Ok7T8ZcaYftqUknbc2zr
WqturmZLU+jtu36Z8Zvix1zqhClePjtOvyW+1cjtHXuFzPyXz0FzNfM7cWwj
5r7LHOY+dmtpgap8kendsqJMizoqXF0pQNJ81vnR3Xu9VvHx53DTF6RpeQ7D
3/r7R9Z671RKUIXY2gax2fpnZmiT+rewcq6TMiuKjoYeWIPVmrTdkl7/AD3e
1e1hHRiY3yteekayB9sSXXKgvZnaXtknZYq/U+scUMJ16B4+T+bcY656a+NWO
1wSc/p2AlfG7etb7tw2K0zXMWSpPt/KrLR4PVe7xeJp7ZjY6V5LjHZykSbOn
LxUIKtU2nfNJe62+W78mRhcxitgx6T9zpuCSg6rpGqa/2vqe94rOvK9tJekN
Jr5g7Xi9u+Sc10LIPK9hTTI16w5K1HqvqHRFYzF5OAWpXywihjSkAcVhBKW+
D6IC8jtsdClsbmlAnYjCpzXYnyijN5XNOSp+X+NPfmW+PvYHXfc/XnamG2Ds
PUNVwk2e33znuwMLPwc7YZcFT8p6BJysdEJDamoKliPDKREjlrS9/wA/oovr
7sfXewcULiikGiUpM7ZJeXx+UxsvS63Tt3WYeLkJgOL/AAcEK3ptuPtOsYWZ
tGxPxMZue2FEdtmXHcdPy9jrTpamFtpWotqLgUen9YbzHaqEIR2XY8Vq+N7c
7XzHZi8ThY8aS4+XlJKg9DzOQjP6t8htk0FUvKTMzLccUtPJKqU20aaaQUOt
oJLHuJbHKtdwashesf6LhWloeleDajSkq5sDjSgFJ7V6ti4paoEQUITCQIbSz
Eiw2nYjDSHm0KSrHqmyZ/wAsnUDRtnwUnXcolclaVQ5IPxrbzvZWwZzG6vh
uy+xs52LmW2HnFR4brDZQu6m3qZUpJyQSa9IKGn5HFXsKCBHC0cjX5DrRMpx
JDxLvSOLTkn5SLGRES/UdZdQ7ZKmlleeXgtNvtdl6CvUsk8UtlmxPFACjoX7g
UGXdadajp712rL7wz8r0Jj9+aS+r9ulzIXTsY/l9gbi5smSjykEzdK3HGwpg
XGViNQ2TY4uXwmVwknggUYwUilqUrXdT0VaTTeHynNGDy7rz2KyQJxk5NNY5
9SujU4vEY5rYMIukbBggT/M4SkLEhphNioKQtFZnCws9jNlwErWswyAKWqmg
EKYjJlzTitl7H2D5odQ6z1H8Xvk+VnvbSG0JwVvkqUjMLwujqT4hobclfokPI
YzsDUUpWn7N8X/wAlzSPkM4WO1DlFKQ84IKnHA4ptDzzTSW3Om4asd1w1KVEe
VkXn0LU423HmrU+t6MookMrbXaq452h2phOpcP0j2dk9xSsmgnklaSCyqu1t
LGz4Vv62ApoqcVsTatTlF3ovF6ng/8AafjmMf8AHL5OKC+9tMSP0G9ipPJX
EBuMpxuXhoLrzfyt60DmC+LCHTpvfrAd7hxmm7XsUHN6pmtelraKU+2UnGhZ
bxLOPxeCyXyEbS+j5GNnpc+R8Z+nfkFD9hn5b68D/wDYmKSjOfLTEmmjKSn8
k713tDur7bHdRIZBtV0ikGgBXaWrp1rZ3FIBwSwvYzqaM1oOHxEXB4v/AGuM
qX8dfkzwX3vqCEp19KFEjkVqSkMw3SmX29nMiOl+vd1xPcfW/R2nzdEx3b0Q
5bvTvX5l23PKTsvxrKSeb7Kopb0+15kNp7PzLGA1jEYTJ52RifjluTyVfHSI
g5n47SXYGxfGDtPANKYVENmG4hqHOLKGNs4OktiOe0L3L0lZptKgUKPLtrBD
O6m4Quuo0sZHufF4OP8AsLe+ydU6/Z/2m/qOZ66+TiAnvzUXEps+KCVpTTq
1OMx20od7sxnV9J9CdmPaduDKlald5ynz3Hs8zT+2D2NvmAi6jFS/lcgnJa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Bj++5ZfN1MpRaS+K5TTS4rzSFpeSeSwl/JSoscL5KhoQjHNgAN8WqRCeeUI6
kn3Pbr4zwEsZyYmVqWrxIPxw+UUvtngjYuoto+HueVnOoOysTH3XQksrVjtB
kPo211zieQUftuCKJSpv3O94LuS636Qyicb2r8YILrXTpSIKf9pi/b+LfyhS
Wu/XGy4GICY8T2kBLpUkh0CosPIPBxM6OVPhdFY4SpAlOhYSuAGTjAUtp6z0
WX2BsjOg4LF4ibqmN2zD5/Bv4rNfE/B5XldlfPrfNqxGi63n8pquc+QOPgdk
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 LujHxX/wCmXEFWh75lOv8AZcd8gt12Pbe2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Rre2wu3Ph9Kxy0Ttl0zOdnflzdd76wwcXEa+di2VGua9F7Ayqp7m84DG63kN
lyud2TUc7hO4NF33RstoGdQmmmnH3lCpAj4rDLy+Svr0XGx+45Jl5xTv281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JU2nsPYRk8qzJdYMaUw8G1FLkSG9NexUiXrr2q9qQ8knLNYz11kdNQ8euYBw
W24rZ8XPQnJyPZ0jacxitiY7YI2PcmDTH2P5Jam5H2jujbdga5FIT4DVrhzz
7yUKW8TSZZIL7KyU3ZHaPFijqfMfDFZh4wNIXBL1T5sHF1w+4uqWqaVjcJDq
8F3p0oNy03b9lVicQXFKrneiuo091FQcvPx8jEbRi8oCrzh8/kcKrHbfiZVM
ONvB1pSg3leiuRthzePpWezMIKvx1OlkpuJCeSpXEJeNc2wj3CFIkOPOIxEm
RUuWxjGu1NTTtWNQ2lb8HCl5xsQhTj0ays3n2MclbjrjgSbYzNPQxsToewer
y216t8w+kZ8rKc7gK8fWmkhNMngpwlUjAZSS3Gh5MFC5yIJ17S8UNV9bvLc

yenbBAbMeQw17jKaHtUkt0VoAZVyeXisv7P7ay5b/GYZU1nGY2YnZuRUrLpL
87LMoY3TDsMZWE0F14IQjZdv/IKSCfHMEWCQalyHUY6dvcLVuusxumt4eJ8j
vi8/hlfUITegtlpLhvh5vuJUjIBn+w9oqE5rb8fsLLSWMxHWvYsiGcYy9OQN
kyWLxCHv0hGNzLmu4AQI2KnxGwtAmNyZUTN5+OYGZzrr7y8nMQp7Yoz8d/LA
IXInFsy1NOoOQh4UZ3YsnnCW0mimulpKDZATxyCQleSk5mVpG/ly+XgvZN5b
Pe/WXTuzSXWSw54Cgrzj+PBJRSa6glxoc1O0LdQzt4RJ2zcb+FOx7Bfl7Lk
VtJ3DMNnoz23TZMRvcMyiKdz2BKhu+zhMnsOYcc/vCi4reXAZO2KU85tEolva
pKR+65ITsGxNzYQmu0Z7gCp5J/PNxxHLie8BLmPvR//aAAGBAgIGPwBrHsdR
HFAMRcoG8J12I2BRtqm9DlkAQjPQpwN6kJuCqIzBNHUBpGhQY3q9SCrsHktJ
8Q5UQ9yilVqg67ERMsvMgo1TRLIN6GmwXod6yzF9ynw9bqJR0RgHHMXBGE74
IjvBslbSzKBRRzCsQ1jgVWWQWRqLHqsUM2Cx6rMHdOm9JRCOphdzUn8sjQ4K
IKd0Pufti82qN/vWXUDEUqpLLMdKhCKYLcN6yxxvPpH2+WxS0wkHBQIGsPV2
qq8JosuvEE96mdImuBLjvTG5OYB00YgIKijGEBlyD1ODt61TSiOq+IDvX04d
6ppQ719OHeqQh3ryw6FNlh096GWMLtxV0P4+9Uyfx96qlfx96+D+PvXwfx96
+D+PvXwfx96viP7R7VSUR/aF5x/EL6g/iF9QfxCrMfxCpqD+IVNQdAm+b3BZ
ZyEonAgKOpqRaMw7t3cLOK1I1w2oQHwwrrwq/rVdilsp4BZsdm9U9HGcqaUD4
jv3KenleG4b33owl2lyOC1W3DZbetU7mHT0AhHDzKifZfEq/apYwv7kBEf6x
5pcOG9DS0gwAqfzKizxDmN65qYN7BVv2H3LVlhKRbs37Tpza+zX0DIBnhp4z
3cBvKGIoRyxH+RxkeaZMst4KFKHoplqLLZWyc90SVKR3k9fbbW1kyptUVRtO
skImR4VCjqfeFgPgF/ahGAaluHt42OE2CrVkB4h1ZTiMI3/AKn9izDY1z+k
96bgLMsalxyQPzmJAWX1/wDH3r6/WPvWX51eSc6w6L64/iVXX/xTfP8A8fev
r9w9qrrnoPaq6xbkPan+dLoPavrS6D2r60ug9q+rLoF9WXcvqy7I9SSfUefN
ZdCAgOA2id6OL0U8o8JiD2um2JDEmPrTWaUWpGWbonF7n1pk5RdMU+01oZMS
EzC9UJvBgw9BVOE2NmIH80iezC3V17m8p9blyTpnTXkoFVIHavFqRHaF9aHV
fXh/ILw6kT2hZnVbK3JvXHinxTg21tZNgmTmxjemK4IHf6lpQ3RfqbYcQrl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ejGRJHG5OKxKpacDv3L5OpluLl5j1XmPVeY9V5j1XmK8x6oQgSyYrxKpV6mR
X3qOIAPI9z49iERWZFY8leqHZPoGsMTispFN+wJA1Cyz8w7+WzREprAFehG
9zcgZeeEQb+KYTDu3mxsDyAetSgQXB3Gy/YcCy5XK4q4q4rylGJFTcUYkMx
bnZWYOpG8d6EomuOw8ufYpHfgdUbBYHuj+Koo8wjKNDnNe1DVj5fxUN+UqH
Kytrotcw9W3S5bogF/coa0BQhj7e3G1rAD5T61zsZakjGEe9/YoxGFeqKZA
I2g8VMbpEo6Urr1A8CFpy3j8SvHIBAguDcR+O62IGJ9RdakxjM+tMZ9xX1P8
SvP/AllNn7ivqDoV9TuTI5c7k0QwUoHEI6ZoQbXsYI5D1WCmlWqBdlgdEAjY
Ngc0MXnXipSjUE0/rBQI/LdxWjyPrUtQeaUqH9O7gEIC7Ug56I2GQ+Fz2AVU
RvIPMYus8y0XNT/Trwky5e9Pkj7SnyE8pe5M5ieKcF01joyZhlPs0uKfAp0A
fik/Za6GwFH9/wCKIDEOU7VYrRlglI+pFEYkGUbWYs44EL5moMsmygXtHnvT
KimeBB5FZtMVZgTcE+ofmEdA+7emiABwCZ00oA8RQRNpnMB/lc/chIOYHDdy
dZodvO2GsLxTs2RIYKBCMRcy0weCbCxnkeEsJO+CMuqz6cSYmtOi0YkfCfW
bRklG90+CnAeWTdjIRhQC/iqDYcX48exfNgPELxw3oThcb+SGpC4p9yKp7um
1LeEBvKf8oawWPuV3crlcrk1VcqPtfLwPXY1Nf8AJEnmvnaukDpmHhpSXJAf
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qgq4rFXFUforj0Vx6JspWWN0aHnYyrYwwqjrSDxF3N15CvFFk8bcxVlqqANx
UThnHROLicNx3J9TSiTvFJdU+SR5l0BoaYg2lsdOn232CXaRuWY/FVVsZMhE
BzKnYo6Q5ppXLxB0+jKm5eMNYTK5OLGdkdeFDAdRihozpOA/x2OFjYejM5XR
qjLAXbNbgvnxDxFaqWUXi6prws0acFdZW+xizYv6l87RpEyemP6eSzRPIf4x
spYSgd6A9DWgCOjpHwjEb8doaUBxP7VHTiPCB/TrhY4V1jHaOImeFu0cVnjT
B8JR4rL5dQfCfansAsYUV683cr+5X9yv7l7rCSWAXyNE0N5w2bkNPTBjO7BC
LD5jel8bGKeKZObeO18vVruOMeSETUCokKDqo6X3dQfixWbTkJDctU59BRf7
DXcjGJMletMLK2XlaWIEknd+Jw7Vfm1TfLDIbRVT7Dg7Z09QAq4HBHU+28cR
8JvHJPGREgbybXgTxCaGoHOBovSvaqW+KirNzwRGiMo349izTLnYoqpyMsd
91OCEdEAE3nf2+iyJ9t0c0WJxCJ0xnjwvTSDHcVSRHavDqSX1CnMyjmkT27d
lsN5ohLUGeXFMLkxu9BUqljHba3/AGRdPpyMV4QJBHNAhMQ2x4Yk9i8hHOiH
zJiPAVKBymR3mqpQDY202nIRAK33IA+jdVqvFAHsQ1DpAvgvEvlBzwQmlAH
kqBlcn2KqllfQABReiFFUFIS270AciPMoRMgBvdASkA2LoB3Gxd6b//aAAgB
AwlGPwB9glz3AogaUWJOJwWpGQMwplA3lqKcTelkdCUB/wDJH1BaX7T67Nbm
B+K1B+qf/dsP6F4ll1eqEosQUyCdElhy4V6rsxHFasbiKBfSxOlxU569JSL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Ym8XlxNCLIDeAeqdZBUyuQ1NepRui9yEoxzFrzd7SmMmG4UHtV6MsGOzC6eE
iOqy6wbixWaBcbxsSLMWWcjMH6cTaBsNuTbFF8yHmF/JADGjetQG4NZ8yTHL
3L5WjU/mRMiTz9iyremAXy9MEyxLUTgFrHlv/D2qBnCJk1V9KJX0opvIQWWE
QG3KtypZVE3v0WSUljwXihDoFXSh0COTSg9MAtSOkAli5rrbiq0tvRD3oTz
FweCCJQhmMY7hivOaj88I9SS+pJZTORHNaQEB4huVYR6BfTj/EexV049B7Ew
iOgXIHReULyhSLDork2zk1QGwOKrELyhOdOPQL6cf4j2LyR6BeSPQKX3Orpx
N4FGCJOJ/wCizQuVBYLKq6y9Xq9CQq6j6Dna2wGoQhpzNd6I2GUyDyZXBt1
qq0nxDn2qRITkBQGDIZ5C+5GUmYBzTBZRLuTgBuS+XqFpM92G9GWnVi1yuCD
xB7EwFuWcwJbnXnj1CrqRHaF9WPUL6keqplEc15h1XmHVPmDjigRsZo3oEXi
h2JSjUvIHovx7FkkaR04h/1EOR2IOaLS/wDGigg90UQLlqftPqR4JyXlp0Q4
xA7yp/vKfYL72WoQ1JEdgovdsXK6wQhF3vO5REa7zx2RLA0WaNxsJK0Ix8s9
YtxyELV4yl/iWCqtHhF0bHGNmp+0+pSTO0ZKLYQfqpgVOb8AjAkyPAInSN14
NDbqT/KH7ImJoUJwMwPH3Kpj19y+Dqvh6r4eqviF/un0QhAe9EJv2flnCyQ
euU+pfZw/JA6hHPMX7PpXUpnGRPU2aX7G7UdiXl+pZSaSl0BKnPS80SCCtOcr
xAARXnG8GXRg3ctXWmAXIHkiPy6eJiid7NZqk0cAdqjpi8kN2XoRnMAgDw49
F/r0+pTCMappQj3rLqQY70JQkDy2CRcdkben4LW4ho88eS+61P/AGdDKOOR
B9aoKBEipWI+x+1HYlyKAwzdz0UfuAK6Xm4uiVqacviLdynD5eeMiLuFyP3W
sGBLtcXZPYRvkG4ptAPJ/wCPIrP9xNyB8T1VNMHialV0o9E+kMhTSCzaZIWU
eGcRUfinFgOyCMFm30UYH8wPY6+91TdraggOwyL/ALSA3YmiSBle/F/ZgiBc
tL/xqWwQNXqEEmcVYteiJBwQxG9S02JD0Lb6+5Sf834BXLco/wDGLDc6gJ+b
4loaMKkyTUMy7m1lyRibj/V6YeU3ITgWMThjzUZ/FjYcm2HUdM3B1Od2WMj0
BK0vzTnl82u/7jbo8kXI6q8dVeFer1eFeFVj0VGV4V46p7PnG8Bh1RO82ymK
FqJ4Sc9iMpgNyTHDeiNxQ08J9KIsiMQNrLiQfUtX/wAZHcvs9HH5ebqfXRPZ
o8rBuQiyuVyuCuCuCYAOU5JdaRN5vsovHIDmU2nIS5GwOWGKLAE8nQiC5T
cERvqhOJqCOMkiRQMGuN3HaBWpAX5JdWKGnGg09PTj/i5HVPZo8kBVtY7Hik
B2rwyB7bHlcEZYI8lo9thl8xRlqnMTvQIAkSFzkbJY3FEESznL+K+Ze3BOEC
n/SEeRWn+yKlyKfZi+8etTelwWlKADwrs/8A6XC3Q5LObhbWp3lh2CqqFBi4
3FeGkimR5LR7bljclOdFrnBwoRjflH9dyEY9qZAcFTcE2+igD+UDom37UeY9
anzC0D+iVsAMEI2GZwRnl8tjNvTYqtmj22Rm1DFhzdGTFnKEYDMeCyE+lker
W1DdEil9i8KoFKUr0TxUIYPVClwCEdqIK3uvtz+mQ7bY5sLGUYb3fZa08lo8
jZIHmiXCONqgGMqB7gcUAABYACMryAqbyeJsc0C+VckRin7F86V8mazLr7f
Uqr7f+6wIRILGRH8bAsu7YqLQbNHtsfFW0A7+aPLGPFDT1wZAYtUfuTwmK4
Y9FUgNxVZPyTDwx3INud/TFDV+4DR+EH1oghmsJOyEZYIL7f+5BkSKSF4wX/
ABdbRJIzEF73xG9V0j2FfTIRHU0wQONtdgRySk5owoiNSQz/AJQXPa1O9aMh
cR63tdZpRaX5hf7+1f6yJjSXsX0ieVVTsi7l/slGI3Bz61nbNLea9NyquNh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kHkqgHsC8Uaf64L6YVNMLwxA5Db8UgTuFURpnLFEyLkpvR8Rtva+nIhNqRdV
JiV4Zg9qodjxSA7V5weSbTgZc6BNmyjceXvOw/oTCAcjjuU4QAMoFjXHgiCK
gt0TbNNI04Uhp6jGlfHe3vUvt5apGVw7nBTgNY+Gr1qLILTIMluaqX2aWV9F
N3ykSuvrf0WrlzSiwbKDI5npGW79yJBqXJG4uXHYr67FfQS+XpymSMATjwCl
qnTkSSXixeo3cFOehpzm8WIESSK30wU5mMoyJqCGI3e9YrFYrFFXHXovKei8
p6LyIVBVyuKuKuKuVy/9oACAEBaQY/ALciOgMabPLLDKwILMdpH/DBT2tu
VCw1wAY6R5+np8cFQg2sAxUjUY/WdloVFvbcpcz28amihpEWUjLzOeG3cZbs
GyoWfLzxMwV7aVapcRKxqrqKjPqpxyKMhYCMbVd66YaEj0C/oV+C444IAF/K
af8AOcaYv49hb8YU+O0YuUJoDNJvbwAY1P2YLUbBCPSnj4D5nXDzPUyOfR5+
J+Wgx+b2kySfh2ynUk5Ej46YisEzml968rrubRflhzQ7M6kHr5YGudP24NKj
P7cVbKmueKAVoCcunwrrEEwwepq/eboMPfOv4ktUgHWg+ph+7EfG27UtbA/j
EfenOo8woNBgX6zPbXarukIU0K10QeflhoO57X2YNwFtysQBqlaD3F8epIxB
Nae1cQXCosM6UKsD4Eada4qyLJWIVoDiOURRs1zOQ3pGewE/wxPP7CUhjCtt
Uamu7IjH6odvXVtCbJ/ZX8ayorkxK7xNkRp6hhJBw9g++hEn5aLSmlduGjhb
bFkepdTbRFT0zUrnj9HZEn4y04+S84vlvzRtoUi9zZPEQW2AA03HXFuJAWJU
Kr1r54JBoa5nywGLbhSoocKdvqrnU+OGklcbEVt7k6BRrXypj9XO44mMsXKc
zyEdmwJ2sq1hjPhQhRgh+O2spoy71BH7cT/n5gl1K0lxcRK2SKqEBT545hYk
Mm+EMCB0MmWHU+mt+1FOv09cWlxxlv8AnlYLcpM4KrtbdWmZGKtxp+O9KZ+d
cX8THYIrkGcD6qKtDn8sTywcNLKs8kjNlrJQJuqOvXU4t7vkOOa0sZmC/mGZ
Tuds8wCTSgywkKghNKD7qjUn4YPJzKRZ8YIIF6NJT0AfDXBlk9UsrljQda/u
wfTsmYPTFPICo8cBegzrgl89aEfsWQFZnbKMDxxbWMAZmb1TvprmTXyGHMMQ
Rj+BxoGumbmnhrg3UxDrGSw3nVv5mPlrj8rZnWxtySzMKKzdT/hgx+6i/RQo
KBCMQUPlIG0q97wyBjcWMh9Na0qlcwc6g9cLd2F0skG0B0y9xD/K46GmOMyC
o6vL/mDaU+3F8CK7XCgjTNf347f71Mhg4K/IPhd1yFS0X5K4YLizKP8AuzRh
8MWlxb3C3EFxEkkEqGoKsoKsKdGBBwNxrQH4Y/RW4BBIWPmlRrWhaFiK6U8s
W4DKQoGp8sep1Uk1GeDRh6aeJrgyzSBFUfiaUzGOW7b4G83d+d228trX0ULf
iWloy0nu2AzA2kqtdScTW1jPGIvLIZHSRAX3HU1PwwT7sDVzJMSn9uDaz3Kx
xMPxUiUKX8iRnTE9xxrRLLcRiJzKgf0g1yrphucLob95TMzbfTuPlgqTasG1
Jiz+dDgjbZldRWP/ABxePBHA6XUjSOJUqDIwNAPtw0bw2Lw02RoYSK01Jo2e
I+NvobWGJpRNtt0KIqoIvcycjWuAyKWub0hIEGu0n/3ji34W3k3R2YBuguW6
ZsyflloqNp2rXqK4csa08+mFyyAP24FTQU0xlpUVOGu6UitjRD4k4uuZmX21
ZH9iuqxKPq+eJZnyhQGKyirQBB1p4sc8DjblifJpAfnt+054VHaskhrlq+q
p/4YYzSCCJgSARV2FdajxxdXMqtH75UW8bggmMDI0OI5uLuDDNcEQPE2aSLI
Qu1IOR1yxaWVwgr+ytqTWxliYVADx+Kmnxye++1KXboW65AV/4Yu7YqQrhp
EkHq9W00188W/wCmX6pyT/8AIC1k2cD3BtMj8ermpiuKVZ4K6MM0+GLLmuD5
S35Li7+MSWvIW0gkjD4EafA4/RvbZ7pmuOU9vktx9CbYi0W3T1mhr5Ythw3
ctqL2qxS8PeMtteK7L0ic+oA5VWuG9uXbQaOpzPgMPNdXkdnDCtZbmRljQDz
ZyAMXnFdo38ffXdiK0cdtasTYW0ICA09wMnK/wAqV+OOa7q7p5STmuZ7jgLT
XUmSom0+3DEgyRE0AGCCM1yPyyxSn/oJ0p/6PHGXU9cLGh9A0Jy+JwAfTFEK
KP6R/EnCx6Ju3TNpRbi55uRAhQGHjITl6jS48hgyFyzMSW8Sa55nAyLUNaf
DFUjoBkfHDVvpl5k48yaUwkEal5J2AiVdSen7ccR27aQAzzKGvpQMwNZXY/9
kYg7bsWVbiWJZLrZ6Vjip6F+LD9mJ4LQ1I/Djl8AMiftxWpr41zzxGZnBrX1
EvvcsscTauouL00LXFwjU9tliFaEaU3UxyF4FPtvM3sFaVCp6VoBpkMdv2Uo
Do97Hlx11WkrKH7MQXdhP+XuOLtikiKn11JZIYdQeowIW22/KFnlult2pfMM
tcyP3YKTEFwAAKZsGIGWJHuoA917QCSsKFGGW7zy6Ymuv067q5Dh7W5O+S2t
pS1q1DWRMCIpp88dk2v6kTWt0/b5vV467toBbtJ7vt7/cAJU02ihAxYpI0
iljNlzRMUekalqKy0IjppMIO3/1P7r4y0uwJlraLk5JFjYenImdBpSuLiHnu
5+e7ghtCsZj5HkJZUkDIDV1LUJFfDHJ2CCkdpvcvGg/pB9P7MWor9AC08gTXH
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jTKuCCdhVhVq5EYm96ghCszdRkPSPtx2nen/AEpXukc0p+IdrftGOPqMmWYZ
+cTCuH4e7IC2I7KfykjaJNWICegb9+OQWWbYzrFKqnMmsQBP7Mck9aC4KSqf
8w/4YEO71K7gkeBpie6K0/ufLXM5bqwXbGD/ANnCGCoGBUDcTmPHDZdammuK
ZMS6/uxnQnwHhigUBakAU1PnhKCpAy8K4tOLVqK3418QfohQ+oinVjkMD0/
hx0WML0C5DXwwMw1V9QxGgWj03UyrrgoibtpGRFT88M+2qMNRmMq4YmmVAwB
UUxJeXD7Y4VqVU03OTRVHSpOWJ7uVf8AcXDmSudM9APgMsbjnvU5efhgbjtq
KUH8cZaL+7Fcsss8IWowlGhzHliikgBjkMVGQ0LN1/44Bpt3DIDwwagVByBO
LnhuMISLuLmYGWCWtTbWzDa02WYJ+IB8T0xwz2zfiXE5DbidssYBZlb7PtxD
dQFSJh+loNdjDlG+BGLWyFVFzOGkcU/046Ma+RJGOxJVGZa9RmrqB7e3LHBR
vWkzla+aNli7oxUxzvSnQhiQQfKmOKvIY77vjYCZD1O0f44tpqn8W3Xc9a1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UEHcKnrQnAFfUD8sEZ6gGuOUu4fb5HmbK2eWGw3eiM09LTEaZ6LqcQcpzt69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k44uNgGVJ9+0+CKxp9uCiwe9c3LGO1t1Oc0jDIAdANSToMRmWX81yFzGz8hN
qN7MoCr4KoyGG42Mkjk50tkLZ1Fd8rHwAAwXaTbZT/gXg1UIG9L0/pJz8sdk
TynZdXXIXUn5Y5ssPtAla9Adcf8Aly6IDPaJv4suc3gGbR+ZQ/sxeG3iC8Tz
lb3jqaKXP4kfltb9hxxIXqYCy+Y9Z/xxKmX4bBvsOO0EC7ItLiW6Y+HtRMQf
kcHL6Rmdc8UXJdK+GJbq4YJFaxvJNKctqRqWLH5DF5dP2W68fFM8NIObwb7k
oxoUT28hTM9Bgb/0/IlZdwAvlBFdK/h+GI2P6eyZ1qBfrWnT/p5YTvxv06NI
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ZvY5C8sPLXVoTpRIIgi08iMxi1vrY+zd2jiW3IHRh0PiCMjjiW5tlwnM2Cm8s
Fp6kniH4kNSNGFafLEqAEPbyPuU6gq4qPliWhyZTi8viPRxPEzuafzTFYxX9
uMxUfsgE1BINR+7HddxBMLe85KBeLsHJAPu3ZCEVOIEJOPYpv46whjSxVDV
ZFCiID4M1STi2XMCSPfM2mQOf+GOP4OxJCcndx28MkrBI4/clAdnPpVVGZJ
OF/TWCBLnh34iS0vJsm9+aVCzXNRkSXO4EdKUx27Jydw811BHLYSSPQk/IZW
irlrkuJa7FNKBv5hmqMNC1Sg3B28Cc8sSessE0OvwxmoLDJj5HHoAp0rqPlf
HD87e29eZ5navGWpyNWFUJ/pUVZsLw0DGS4YB+UmLVJbXaT56nArmzk5D46D
AnvN1vA1NnsdaOw8vDBt/9C2s7Zp2ijyJ/pJ8W6nDzQrPJG4IglFaOI97B60o
K5VxDMJDCZDEWLoAr72AIQ1NSNaUxU+0u5zErutS7/doAD5Y7T4ZKKsVs00k
Q6FFCV+ZJwTHOkkshJ2AHLrp8cRchA0cvLCdpJiHLFQq0Pprl4Y4qyJJWJ3m
3U67doHyri8uoDSWMIlt2tZJAPn447ouS2XtRQtTQkIAftJzxEICNqRUAPRU
GuLXfnPKWuJF8N2g+QxZSyeyz/fkpW0iFd8Vggo8n/McgcddEEcd/c2hQ1
yM4h3NT4DXFve2bLLFcp+C5zGnqBHiDgsxP5G4JW4Q/cfpJ8Oh8sc0bNfb4/
nFe9tVAoqSMB7qD4HMYCg5MMx5Y755dgTGiWIIH0zYtI38MHPKmnlgVFQOvh
jszsqGX8SeZ+Wv4RptX8OGvz3HFrCzCkNpDtAHivj1wkZAqqipGtfD4Z47v7
otLjx3JxtolDtvj+UiFxbCSf1SzywaspQbMhIXFn+nvE3UXcv6kXkE9pw3D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6gZHDKyIHRyjp1BBoQfhjnOQoQ3JczIA38ywxqoHyJODI8/DCBKszijUFcdz
8hBOZbLjrpeM441qBHaD2ztPm244TdTbFZ2xBHiy1FcQwJX8SoLa6ZmgGZPg
MczdJ2xw1jYdzWcUfDTcybn8/aGFiyXCC1KmNZBqrn1GLyw5K34DgriKCy3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OCtreU/m1na8k3Ur7a+gJ8GJOOXuOMge2tpy5hfplc5CB4E547cIKZ8jPd3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caep0EjV86tg0JzOuF7Z40Pc813jyFvYWPWhCcssylfckAUZkZAY4/ubv6BOS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Jt+b7ztv/LnbUhyeKyVVPJ3S+FRSIHxJw3cvJQGK75cGLio2A3R2S6NTxklr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GhanqINfUpP0kfPDGITQZV1wpJplmvWvXG05VoVbQingfHA46/VZoVjCM1SG
JA9LhlowYa1B1xfXnu8nzXbPIFjyoN5cSzxKxrRt8hLJuz8RiaHt5LiTnLIP
bguWknQwZbRlzGSpoNAMSPpb28k8rbmkdELFiakkmpz8cH3LCxJ/nMaGp+yu
OQjt4xHb0gZEUen1RgmIPM44HtuwCi8529hsoXc0SMSuA0jk6Kq1JPljO0e
CdD2l2barxVo4YUNnZn8e4zy3XMxJ+dcRwRtHFDAiRQwrTaqL6VUZ1GQywVR
UeulGr88sdvuXEmzmV9xwaZey4FB8cQH26qyhix8Tiu3l55eGCDCTI447NI
EjvByKXcq1BG23Bck5aZYYsK1OLrluavEs7G1BZ5WzJPREXV/mPgMf2uCafhe
04nJTiY2Aa5lzV7hh9XkugxHcl0jtBRkBIARWoOQw0jxnfrQTu8MROAVaM76
VrppgXFpk9pcV9NzC7xSDKhG6NIOffxYpZ9l9sc1e21GTneXtJL2/kNSdzTS
yMQRXlihxLyF/cyB+QdrqSFHYKsk34hCqWNBUmmKe5l53ZVYmop1wcg+4eou
o18ycFTZwuUpWUxqSKedMLI0ETEZINij+GK/I03UNSEUjXyGF9uCNXUVqY1p
8q4khZUV5KAnaB/DHb/CKizNyF5CrqVG0oWBc08AAcSfkuLtLG4AAS4tol4p
Qq5DNFBp5Ya2cbJFGQ6MtMmXyxlRTr5YFT6W6eGN4pWnqNNPljY4WRJViyMK

gg5EMD44I7i4KxT+1sf9/Yogb8uW0ZdTSPXwwwW1iGhG5Fqc+tMEx2kQJBqd
i6n4jArbRMDkaxozEg+JGDus4fdYbfcESg00AqADiQRxClo1BsUAADQCIMF2
aRIFQfUcz0r8DgWNldSW6zEC8KOVyT6jjgYImZ4YuXiWPdUkbYnBqxxc8NeB
vflWORCKFSBpToR1x7iwyvGdGCEj7QMVNtMB4+23+GILt4XVLDjbqVWKkep
gEFKjzxe87zNyLXj7BN8kgWrMT9KlozZm0AxJdXCT2vC2hZel4YVKRjX6mFM
3bqThY1gkLtkBtP+GAHhkBGbjaak/Zhh7TkkfTtOKmJwANdpwN0bfMHFvK/o
LKUBI8DpiwmiNVMERTrXIVzwB7IDghl+I1qcRXkaBlyCZFYfTTy89a4llje
gABEX8xxVarIT11GCoCtnWhH78HalS1C2eVfKumAGX1bun7sQ353H+yWk0/q
z2u42L8Ncselft1whB2zQndFINRXUfA9RgB1McsZKtGciCP4HAc5jxGCD4C
mA1fT0Hn44lJmRjYpIkzRMAQwYUll61w13ZIX4DkZK2znP2nOZif/wB04202
0WpNMScPqTmAB1whFVdMwuWfjrgihG86GpJ8q4Lu5aO3qwWurUoK+QxeX0zq
FqFMrZbVALEk+HU4ur7j7SZuze2+RjtY70LSI3M4YK7tpVwp2jUAY/U8QujR
PzUoGynpqiHaaZAg4gUODtlkFFzIqeuAtagAVqBrjmuUIKxQ2dusTynIKGO9
j8guGgtm/wDpNgxWzh6OfvSMOpPTwGAslvGo2/UqAt4ZCmLjluQ7N5TjOMsV
WW75C4tPbjjRmCh3OoFSOmB/pyq/qZaadMTXfA9rcIzUNrllLq4sbb3Y0mKh
9hauu0g4k43nOLI4bkokR5uPukVZkVWdyErnTcueEYorBtfSPht1tBlqn6C
q5da6YZJliylDNnTOowpKqu+oUilPInzxLBcKWSfKTaPkPkMSG2tJ7qKORIS
eKKSrkjMguqFaga54Lx8fcNqVI9iWlflmGMtlcRua0PsuK+H3cEy2coAagrG
4r4Cm3ArZyoQoFfadanq2Yxzt/f8hb2V5czpGkUzhJBHGCSaHOITg+3y1pKV
1VZFJApr54VP7zZ1k+gmZak+GuPzP96s4jAD7m6VQCnn1qOmKqwkDKGVhoQR
UEYAY9MxTOuKMwllodtaZ+Fcbunh5Yvel5FA9reoVZqVZG+6481OYxecPeq3
uQk+zKwoskZ+hgP6hjbIVcievxGBRQzAnNhlQ5UwuWxlopOeVB8c8W8ThWhj
Rri7l6ogJcN444v9KOylGm5jm7gHI7lQRfBqsBu9xh9Klubn5dcdm9q9sxO0
HEd2WbcvzZXYOR5Ge3mEsjzFQKL0Uza4/Vf3GDhO47jMCh9QUitOuLUIPU8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X/8ATxigp4Vrju6Fqukf9uD16lbRMv24/P8AEdvzy8USYoeQneO2t5HBpshk
mZBlA5emuDxnPcTc8Lehfc/J3abXKnR0YVV1PipOAoBcpWh6/ZiV3QgrQ7Ac
6jF9dSH0qtlwc/URkPtxw3AvEipxnG2ts1MtpjiXec9BrXEps+z5zZJNJHaX
D30QMiqxVXZfbNNwFRnpiJx2XL7y5SBr+JjqVomvhj8TtGdX2gOi30PTT7m
J5F7VuQl0MjkXkNNqCrD6fAYLf8AkvkirZit1B93TpiZh2BeSsRSIPexJn/U
VQ1xJa9ucPx/a6tHs/OuGvLgB9WUvtQN4GhxLyd5yFzzF/eODdX1wxdt5OZq
T4/ZjgeZ3OsKXHsXzdGjlOxg3lnXEcsRDRzJvR/5lbMU+WECkgigpTLBc+o/
ThhkxGX7cEHomo1FD0xM9nDs47lv91YgfQpY/iRj4Ng7oz66lDIWtccDYFla
S+u0YHeBRISGYk/HLHdPel1AJuY7k7vg4GxaRAq2yS3EcD+2DnvdVoEOXFjx
VnClta2ECQwwqKfSoBPzOZOEkClhB3jYFtpHWGYVlrWmfTH6ruglDdxXBAO
VAadPDFhWu4ByfDNicAD7x06/bjQimJS3poQKHI554hliUF43U+obhkRqDqM
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zxaXd+5OtYLDgCfMFq4s0705uaz4k8lDdvx9gA13yxhQkW0XVVqwLOMhTUYj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DyxsRqq//SloSD9QB/dhIY2McHLWM0DRHUsIctaeFMJX7p1OCdolroc8biNa
AKP34DVO1ajPzwPUXY1NTII4ZeGOI9qP/bcpxpu5m6NKp9g1+AOP057euoy1
lzXJf7wKxRhFeXIUqCpqKoKAjMY/Wg9w8jc8HxXGSva33Bx+3cNNY3bD8jD7
rVMbxx28cqvmwORxcT29rDhdXoj/ADtyiKss5iXZGZXAq5VchU5DCtGrGOPu
zimnO7bRaS50+8KnTH6pQNEIWTuG69AzCBtpGfgRjj9jLWL1HxNemFm92MF
htlLAHP44VfdU5a1ww3IMtoO8MH1ttXNWGQpgMNx9JJI/fXDqKkMKZaVr1HX
GzN1ArVqE/aRiK3ZnaNCTsGQqfADG5QVVjWhy16eeNxcFIPUjX+OJ/SqGOjb
DnllpiKaL60YEU89a+WEvEJuPctkg9k1CxBSS1K9WJNTggnKOq1H8tch8sBk
XcjijedPLG0Anls46injjhO3Z0kNnfTf790O11gjBeQqehoKVvwBDmuZsuP4
S29mCztIzWzVoAZRAw9PU11wOE5X9Srij+XVVlurPkJCrxRPTZVPZBz6YtLf
srk7nvLuSzvYL3i763do7S0aFwXLuyqXMkZZCi67s8cVzXGTJLYc7ZQX/FyE

VljmjDLI4g5Eelx25+qNnbr/AHDI7heL7hdVoXt5a+xl5A+44K/A4sbiP0SO
tVf/ACGg/fnjs2+3U/M3jJIAaGjqVqfnhqV0zr4g5jFCPgMV27a/SThiSSKV
oB+3FN5Jlyrjpt7n46MeOkureZqkELLEXT5b1GP0wJO5oOY4m10rRiakfMmu
P177vZTu5vuu5slJcqmhJllgUfAEnGumLS8NxLGLHuzjR+WUn25TIJAC4Gu3
pXH6ogE0PNSDefAlo/ZhQkjDaM6MRXzx7ksrFI81BJI3dMUEjBhnUMf8cBjL
IC2anc3+OPVNIMvV+lx/jjYlzKVoKD3CPj1wf9y8IKDcrtQk0J18MEmaTPpv
alPtWgaeZCRVKSMMvtww/NSs0tUiG9jrQdcFAzmgJMhY0rrlnXLHGFiavaxb
2JrX0jCrmy5M1D54K0YPJJ6AWrITXFFCrluJY1OfkKnPDRh2jBDojeoHxK5
EYdNu5jXcT+7HcHcLGk9vajjuGtyC0kl7dmoSNFzdgq1oOnli87g7pku/wCw
du8dNe8pby8gvHxJHEm5x7VsrFmY5AvISScwMrc8ZBedu99XameZZ5ZE5BV
QBvakjyRzqgpWmBwXMSLe8dfgy8HzclIhuogaZj7rr95a5dMsWdnd0uLjs/m
bqwtYt6o4X2zxCvgPcNMd59ryxBv7nwd2kAYVluYIMkTD4OowZXNHtZGi2nX
cxFBT447NQhW9rI0RM9GJzz/AHYy+nQjXPxxUN11AwQcyKnPHU7IJOVMIV6j
1CmOQigzMF5ZyTAmhEfulXHnUNj9POSib2Ik7sguHfSkVtVq560Axw/K3VBd
d2cpy/PyBgQzLfXsjITX+kDAodtdRWuLhFhaYy908SGYaRjdldxP7MfqBzK
yrzUpV1NdUT0n4YAFDuARVGozwmgZjRRTX4+GEJAqciT4jFK7SozUjBavShX
FYbCeaqNkTkH7Bj/cWc8CZ092NIBr8RgVIqMqjTBLAbwag16YMi5LXZEKGt
Oh+eDUfQSW8KDFgpA/8ADrbWOn0jAoB7oPy+GluNMn5W1iAn5K9UAtBboaFI
Q5F2b0rXKufTFtYdqWCcQtotZHBrdJdMtatNMaszK51J/Zi9seX4iCcRvuteX
RfbvLaY/fjWjeRBqPGRGL/irxNtztw1vdCmTMtGR18nQg4HJ23HTcjZds2
NxcPXCW8V1dKIVkkZsg22tMifDHbvYicNPxFh3XdtLz3KxyLLbyx2tHitVk
Whq7esqQMLxxfcvD3T2fJcNcLc2k0ZK1MZ9SGn3WFQfLD93LCom420s+4uNn
OTRiRVWZFPQEPQ/DH6kwhSRHytlhPRmtyDTpX0jF1JcUY7WCLSvpZczQY5+
KN6Wy8hOIQdCySN90/DHY6CH25H5eNywzDNU1zrkctMN6euuCyiHfXDkk/Tk
MbtctT1+OA1fp1xzySg7RHHlan+VwQKYjaBBG8Db4mUUZCdSKUIPwxzqXV1N
dx2fcUkNkszs/tRGCM7E3E7VBzAGWdujM1AOuL0pRSvc/EVq1PRukqR/hj9T
ZnHrbmncZUH0J088GQ5LHXD89QMKAu1lwaq+GFy9O7cqj4a4PFdwwgRREPyX
KTVEFvHpV26k9BqcRT3XHx9zcvS AuOQ5BA6hjYy6VHxGlxbWUFvEq/hxC
qAL4bQMsCK742zuY5BRo5YI2BB1yZTri75LirZO0uahBMd5ZLSGRqelZldKH
xGeJeG5u1NvcXArbXaeqKeEH642/hilipJVSvkP6qZVPjjaqTSzQ0OZzXr
XAoAnRiSdMd2XnstJfT31rbGYggLckRegbr6nOWDCINIqddVOjUHj4YZfbHs
epWDHcp6nwXzYtqJJ+T4sXO37snskmvidhGO+O5e4VNjw0V7Fe3PLBd1LS3T
2pZWAz2RORX444btjs7IB3G6cmOWv+ThR1ggjjjZloEdwCzkNU0yFMWPF2EL
XF7yNwltZWyrVnIlyKqgedccb2HdXCSc3yXHWXB29upO5vZCtCyeO1NpFfE4
7i5hnanOc57cdG0SziSP1U0qzHHcXNSkSR8Vx95diJmCgiGFnFWOgyxPdyrv
kvOQMxzzAerMB9uO1I10YQQ3k1wyn/4SMQdfPANaVIOfng+oimpwSprXCipz
8P8ADC9DXLHclfruiRQQK/U4AOJSTUUB0zGO8ljUsncKsa6eq2T/DAYNO8
cc8/lwtNt53ilsAtfTcPIQJEjoM9cfqE8/5I25NG9xgF9LwowyGWQNK4eVH
9O7afsxlzLTYktTWufTHE9ucREZuQ5a5EMXQKNWcnoFFScWfbvDWyBIAHvrw
Ae5cZn65ZCMySdPAYKj0+mpbz/xwwALnWvl1wrBQQNT8MLx8TBo7b1TsDWrk
5L8hi94HkIIS9CGTiORp67e4Uegg67WOTDwxfcRyEftX/GXD291Gc6PGSGHw
6jFSFYNUZfbji2K1U2ce/POpUUwsiEvvNDWo+OWO7u3PcX3Wa15SBDqUWtvN
I/SdpNOMOU49eTi4jmuHurnj+Q4Hk3W3IWS3cqHj3kLlJgbgVOXXAmv+Yt76
9MVYOE42RZ7iWSIQDsJCA9WcgDzxyvO8g4a85i5e5uVXNVZiNqJ/SiAKPh54
/T/tSOCCS/5DjJOT5xJ4xlrRcjVjbTJ95JF+oeAGJr/gOV5PsyG5YvLxCQry
NpGTr+XYvHKq+CtWmmluX4zh7zuvumMMLbuXmvtobYnI/I7ZC7LI976vMYu
rq8uTL3ZY3EcnB3RG0STzulks0UVOjlgANa5nHA9q26Rr/a+PjW9mUCkl2wB
mlJGpLk545njom9rlu79nE2KaMVkladvgsYp88Rwxnevj1BprTBuGApxlhLI
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bOzhM13cSH0RRxruZ3JyAABODecdui7S4RTZ9uwuSDllayXLKdGmbPyWgwFU
kEGgy6Y7j51lok0qWkEnjs9T0wDWtBripr4a49P0mmnhjz0Wn8cVYkkNkQc8
WlqFJ/NXig5abFJwTSWqNRRjv1altvM22RNaf7c43OwUgAK3QeRx3FazxEz3
POcOLcNkKrP9a+JHhju5HT2f7syZQaskQG2NGI1IUCvnhl2ZMQdtfDLA07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hQMwNAQq1z6YBueStzdE+uxgJlePrVynoHwrijjJkJKvaQshOrVUZn44UUq1f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oBjnebnb3uG5W4kEfCTiiG1BpGjlaHcFAzByxJfdvu9xbRCt5xsmdzjbqaD/
AFE/qHzxwMi09djAQAA0otKYqdB0xkQ1Tn40p/DAZWY0Hy8cR7TXIVyqPtxb
JGhjCxASoOVWO44IqBtGnnioliYCuKUqfHAABqOmAK7TTIA1zwq008jM4XuF
NojtlGaWArpM42qw6ZjPC292SbYmkUmZ9uvj5YiWJw6yiqmtarTUYALUXaAQ
fjiuW0ZDAqK7iASP2Yv+RmYKtjbs4JIHqp6R9uL25nIEk9w7StKSNWNcvPFQ
1E1La1x+qXf/AHtfXFlwfH3nGWssdtGZ5Gln9wRIsK/eYjWtAMJB+lvbEXCc
FZXPu3F5zlEt3fwR+r2/bT0wq1M6EtTwxyXP99c0188NxEON4uBfZsrKEvXZ
BCDRR4k1J6nFu5WjBSmISBgmStQ24KNanTTEHcPCQxycstvLakUy76xyijm
gBOmO2Ye6ILW04L817UhhnQoZ1MYb3DXKpFcDIOLEDPPObC6WeMuPauFPqW
hBDBIBBHXXCc3eQ8b3K4SOd5XWJLINC8dSKMxHqGN2TBlqU+n5fbhXNCdK
dMS8jzXIQ8bZQVaS6ncRoK6DMipPlhu27HuD+29vJlSk6RTRq92y/T7tT9AO

YH24Qtzrs/8A8+GpJ8sRz2XcslrcQnckyXENVI8v4YtoY7uJtsYqaqgfwYUy
z1ywN86k/SwDign54XbKgz1Mqn44qs6MRnt9waYXcYmaunuinw0wW/OQKOg3
VoBIl8MMPzMLAUNanrjcliP2/vMpqPhXBka5hWhALM9APiemADfWICKk+8v+
OAH5C2yPppluv24H+5tiR1My/wCOI5pUEN3yFJpdSaHJFqfAYJqdkepPjhY3
rLY1+cZOpWvTywJ4ZBJE6ghlNa4oD9Wgy1OKH/pgCnijjOP7S4+e8/Ly/mef
5Bbb37e3QqwijlLKybnzb1aDCz/mLSP0KF/2FntoNMvbzOLGax7bur6HkYw/
GzWvC2ginGnoZYqE5aVri74/9SuG57gezru4tppLe64xbG0muYSRAWZlowzD
cQKnrhwG2kRyZHQVU+GLxSfrmhfcDUMC40P8MW1vbEPePEIPvJEKaxPli1t
e3eEvbuTnJGeC/ljMcBjioHkEzekKK6/ZiPu7uLuG35TI3s5rYcTDH7kKGel
WMkmpWmVBia3uLWG5u+RR4LWyMaAMrAjeTT0qutfsxNyV5te54RPeu1A3EvZ
ZuFDalkGXxznc/lgxG9mZLG1ACi3toyVhiAFKEKM+tcRW3Dd1XYsYhROOu6
XUAHgFlqR8jhoU5WxtmOQuILKNZFPkTuH7MG67m5695qWvoW5kJjU/0oKKPs
x9I88LJTav3W88cJ21AKHk7hfzkg9u2X1TSV8kBP547WsuLtl7Cy4VLe1j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sGufwwBTTGRB8Rgn77iiEdPE4JJqT44AGvXAZc60Vq+OLbjLGM3F3fMIreAU
zY9SegxbcXEEku2HvclcgD8SV9TU50GgxvPU7VHhhi5DN/jitM1X1ZZ4JUkR
A518cNPC2T0EiH6XH8D54Enuxycf2nsueV47erO1zJnBHllwUfVWIMsOqvs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YtWj4jupoqxi3DcRyDJULRCg9vw0piyTluL7qt7JNxtTdcReqhfbT0Fox5E5
4tlh4XvGSMRosbR8Tfe3tpkVolKfDB2cF3m+RCIeJ5D9tUwNvbffBIHp28Vf
bf8A2cWkUnaPdgnji2SO/EXNGjBO1gSNKYUntbuOvSvGyggdKZYXd2I3GSK0
/wDp8mY+zFw0XbnOLE33TZuCG61ywSO3eY8INqw/bTH/APWeYK09QFu1Ptpi
g7Y5kmuv5dqfuwkF529yNncBt1nPNHsA/mHqAqMf+Dn2D+muBWwnlr1X/hgf
7CatP5f+GDWwmOWtP+GBTjpiBXbQEGv2Yy4+WoPn/hhkaykhUkbpDWn7sf/Z

---=_linux44e10e55--

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 03:51:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Whatever, dude. None of us knows what Jesus said - we only know what some people wrote about him decades after he died, people who had nothing but legend to go on. All we can do is read this stuff and try to piece together for ourselves who or what Jesus was. You can't tell me I'm "utterly wrong about what Jesus stood for" just because what your belief about him differs from mine. I believe he was a man trying to teach us how we should live, you believe he was God. Fine. And I don't quote him when it suits me anymore than you do.

Furthermore, I am not "deluded" simply because I look at the what is happening in the world and come to a different conclusion than you do. Nor is my view "based on the mistaken idea that some cultures are too backward and too stupid to enjoy freedom." I just do not believe that the invasion of Iraq had anything to do with freedom or terrorism. Bin Laden has convinced his followers that the West is engaged in a war on Islam, and he was probably overjoyed when Bush attacked Iraq. What do you think, that there is a limited supply of jihadists? As long as we generate hatred for America, as this war has done, there will be a bottomless pool of potential jihadists. By what acrobatic logic can anyone conclude that what we've done in Iraq has eliminated more terrorists that it has created?

I don't hate "big business," I hate greed. Greed kills. Maybe you should ask yourself who has really profited from our little holy war.

And finally, regarding the idea of authoritarianism creeping into our government, it's great that you will "take up a rifle and kill anyone who tries to do such a thing, and I will lay down my life to prevent it here." The problem is, you won't take up that rifle if you're in denial about the motives of those in power. Not to mention the fact that if you even talked that way against this administration, you might just wind up in Guantanamo as an "enemy combatant."

Yours, in Christ,

Sarah

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 04:18:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>Whatever, dude. None of us knows what Jesus said - we only know what some
>people wrote about him decades after he died, people who had nothing but
>legend to go on. All we can do is read this stuff and try to piece together
>for ourselves who or what Jesus was. You can't tell me I'm "utterly wrong
>about what Jesus stood for" just because what your belief about him differs
>from mine.

ahhh. ok I get it. You prefer to believe in human-made faiths. This is of course, at root, atheism.

Christians believe that Jesus was who he said he was and the Bible, (not in word, but in thought) is the word of God. Miraculous, supernatural and divine.

That's your choice to believe that, no one can know, but there certainly is reason to believe otherwise.
When you tell me that no one can know who Jesus was you are expressing your own belief, not fact. I can show you otherwise.

>I believe he was a man trying to teach us how we should live,
>you believe he was God. Fine. And I don't quote him when it suits me
>anymore than you do.

I take him for who he said he was, and that points the finger back at me as often as not. A man? Hardly, although that was certainly part of it. The other part is the creator of the universe. You quote him exactly as Michael Moore does. You don't want the real Jesus, just the flat one-dimensional 'jesus' that you can make a quick and dirty hammer out of without any real understanding. Then back on the shelf goes "jesus" until you need him again.

Nice...

>Furthermore, I am not "deluded" simply because I look at the what is
>happening in the world and come to a different conclusion than you do.

No dammit, you are deluded for believing that you are more likely to be killed by W and a big nuclear war than by terrorists. Coming to that conclusion is a delusion, and not because it differs from mine but because the evidence simply is not there for it, while the evidence for a persistent jihad against westerners, and especially against "uppity" females like you is voluminous indeed.

You deserve better conclusions. Don't hate Bush so much that you are lead astray by nonsense. At minimum, admit that you are in real danger from jihadists. That doesn't mean you have to take showers with W...

>Nor

>is my view "based on the mistaken idea that some cultures are too backward

>and too stupid to enjoy freedom." I just do not believe that the invasion

>of Iraq had anything to do with freedom or terrorism. Bin Laden has
>convinced his followers that the West is engaged in a war on Islam, and
he

>was probably overjoyed when Bush attacked Iraq. What do you think, that

>there is a limited supply of jihadists? As long as we generate hatred for

>America, as this war has done, there will be a bottomless pool of potential

>jihadists. By what acrobatic logic can anyone conclude that what we've
done

>in Iraq has eliminated more terrorists that it has created?

The belief that war simply creates more terrorists than it eliminates is simply pacifist twaddle. It was spewed by pacifists to keep us

out of WWII s well, and it was defeatist crap then and it is defeatist crap now.

Now you're going to tell me that we can have "peace in our time" because this iranian president is a pretty reasonable fellow...

Right...

Moslems believe that the leader with the true message will be successful and that a defeated leader is obviously not the right one.

See a possible strategy here?

>I don't hate "big business," I hate greed. Greed kills. Maybe you should

>ask yourself who has really profited from our little holy war.

Besides the Iraqi's who voted in higher percetnages than we have in a hundred years, despite the terrible danger? Perhaps the US free from successful attacks for these five years?

If we catch the bastards you say "oh see, we made more of them" if we don't catch them, you say "oh see W did not make us safer"

There is no solution for you other than pacifist ones. Big problem; they all fail and have done so time after time.

>And finally, regarding the idea of authoritarianism creeping into our >government, it's great that you will "take up a rifle and kill anyone who

>tries to do such a thing, and I will lay down my life to prevent it here."

>The problem is, you won't take up that rifle if you're in denial about the

>motives of those in power.

yeah, that's me Mr Denial... Did you ever consider that your approaches are simply unconvincing?

Sheesh, it's not even that hard to convince me, of all people, to distrust the gummint. My support for W is a mile wide and an inch deep. The real problem is that the opposition in morally and intellectually bankrupt, not that I am in denial. You guys just don't have any ammo, so I remain unconvinced.

Denial.. boy, that's a good one.

>Not to mention the fact that if you even talked
>that way against this administration, you might just wind up in Guantanamo

>as an "enemy combatant."

There you go... These jihadi head cutters, these scum murderers
are the same as those who would prevent a kristalnacht here in
America! What heroes those braves jihadis' must be!

good grief such is the inverted world of pacifist moral equivalence.

>Yours, in Christ,
>
>Sarah

Careful... He might not be done with you yet... . Using his name
in false sincerity might make such phrases make sense, seem
somehow "right" to you. Who knows where that could lead...

DC

DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 04:47:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DC, how can I miss-quote you by cutting & pasting your own writings?
Your words:Appeasement?
>Self-hatred?
>The demise of the state of Israel?
>more dead Americans?
>The U.N.?

There only solution for all of this (as I believe) is for return of our Lord
& Savior (Jesus Christ). The fact is, we as Americans are scared to death
that this "ride" maybe soon coming to a "screeching" halt.. That's not unusual.
It's human..
But, we as Christians are supposed to be leaders and help prepare the way
of our Lord Jesus return. Not give into the violence, which by the way is
not our fight to fight. It's a Spiritual war bwt God Almighty and satan.
To be honest, we are just pawns in their battle. But, we are joint heirs
with the Victor(God) almighty.

It's really a shame that our Leaders have frighten the good people of this nation under the auspices of following God. These leaders are no more than plain Warmongers who God goes on record as saying he will judge them.

So, they hate the west. Jesus says" They will hated me first" So you being followers, will be hated as well."

DC, do you believe inthe book of Revelations? If so, then you know what's in store. Simply put..There will be some good times ahead, then, not dark times. Then, finally, Glorious times. Amen!

LAD

>I would love to see a solution here. All you seem to have is
>hatred of the west. That's not good enough.

>
>DC

>
>
>
>
>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>I would hope you would rise up and take the lives of any ku kluxers who
>would

>>try to do that I would consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't
>>you?

>

>>"Vengance is mine sayeth the Lord" ..

>

>>So,I go out and kill a few Klux(ers) then what?? Does it bring back my
loved

>>one? Violence begats violence. Live bu the sword, you die by the sword.

Seconf

"DC" <dc@spammersintelaviv.com> wrote:

>

>Selective misquoting...

>

>LaMont you can do better than this.

>

>I did NOT say to go kill some kluxers in revenge.

>

>I said it was righteous to stop them from killing you and your
>family.

>
>Now, if you want to dispute with me, start from what I actually said.
>
>Further, I say Ghandi himself would be sinning by letting someone
>kill his family. 'course, he did it himself didn't he?
>
>
>I do believe what I read in the Bible. Including this:
>
>Luke 22-36
>
>He said to them, "But now if you have a purse, take it, and also a bag;
and
>if you don't have a sword, sell your cloak and buy one.
>
>
>In Romans 13, Paul says:
>
>Do you want to be free from fear of the one in authority? Then do what is
>right and he will commend you. For he is God's servant to do you good. But
>if you do wrong, be afraid, for he does not bear the sword for nothing.
>
>You notice the bearing of the sword by government is accepted as
>a normal practice and not condemned at all?
>
>
>Romans 12:18 says:
>
>If it is possible, as far as it depends on you, live at peace with everyone.
>
>
>And I just want to know LaMont, HOW is it possible for us
>to live in peace with jihadis?
>
>Appeasement?
>Self-hatred?
>The demise of the state of Israel?
>more dead Americans?
>The U.N.?
>
>I would love to see a solution here. All you seem to have is
>hatred of the west. That's not good enough.
>
>DC
>
>
>
>

>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>I would hope you would rise up and take the lives of any ku kluxers who
>would
>>try to do that I would consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't
>>you?
>
>>"Vengance is mine sayeth the Lord" ..
>
>>So,I go out and kill a few Klux(ers) then what?? Does it bring back my
loved
>>one? Violence begats violence. Live bu the sword, you die by the sword.
>
>>Do yu really believe anything in the Bible??
>>
>>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 05:06:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DC, YOur Words: AND, the
most likely scenario for a huge, global nuclear war is with an
appeaser in the White House who would have made so many bad
decisions that there would be no other options than massive,
nuclear war.

You really believe that President Bush has :
-Protected you (us) from terrorist?
-You believe that we are better of today in the world than before 911?

-You really believe that those who oppose war are Passice and appeaser?

Then you would call Jesus an appeaser. You need to read His sermon on the
mountain": It Goes

Beatitudes

Blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

Blessed are those who mourn, for they shall be comforted.

Blessed are the gentle, for they shall inherit the earth.

Blessed are those who hunger and thirst for righteousness, for they shall
be satisfied.

Blessed are the merciful, for they shall receive mercy.

Blessed are the pure in heart, for they shall see God.

Blessed are the peacemakers, for they shall be called sons of God.

Blessed are those who have been persecuted for the sake of righteousness, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

Blessed are you when people insult you and persecute you, and falsely say all kinds of evil against you because of Me.

Rejoice and be glad, for your reward in heaven is great; for in the same way they persecuted the prophets who were before you.

It seems that the Bush followers have forgotten Jesus's teaching above.

So, we fight and what, gain a few more years of existence. God's Will, will be done..Weather we like it or not. The best thing to do, is to line with God's word and do the best we can.

LAD

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:

>

>

>

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>

>>1. Anyone has the right, perhaps the responsibility, to point out hypocrisy

>

>>in those who claim to be Christians and yet consistently behave in ways

>that

>>are clearly against everything that Jesus stood for.

>

>

>You are utterly wrong about what Jesus stood for. You quote him

>only when it suits you. Expect to be called on it when you do.

>

>

>>And as it happens, I

>>do believe in Jesus as one of the greatest teacher/philosophers of all time,

>

>

>Which means that you do not believe that what he actually said

>about himself,

>

>"Jesus answered, "I am the way and the truth and the life. No one
>comes to the Father except through me" John 14:6
>
>and
>
>In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the
>Word was God. He was with God in the beginning.
>
>Through him all things were made; without him nothing was made
>that has been made.
>
>He was in the world, and though the world was made through him,
>the world did not recognize him.
>
>The Word became flesh and made his dwelling among us. John 1
>
>
>No, you believe in "jesus", and yes you do have your own personal
>version of him, right there on your shelf.
>
>It bears no relationship to Jesus however. In reality Jesus was
>either the greatest and most cynical fraud ever perpetrated on
>humanity or he was exactly who he said he was.
>
>He most definitely was NOT "another great teacher and
>philosopher". Not unless you discard what he actually said.
>
>
>>and I feel fairly certain that he would not look favorably on those who
>
>>select a handful of bible passages to justify greed and violence and call
>
>>themselves Christians. "I like your Christ. I do not like your Christians.
>
>>Your Christians are so unlike your Christ." - Gandhi
>
>
>I like Ghandi, (well until he killed his wife) Well, I guess I don't
>like Ghandi.
>
>Can Christians be better? Of course! But what is important is, what
>if Christians are *right*? What if Jesus was who he said he was?
>Just think! All those imperfect, but saved, Christians, and all those
>self-righteous, but lost, pacifists! How unjust!!
>
>Unless of course, you actually listen to what Jesus SAID instead of
>quoting him where it suits you...
>

>
>>2. Christians have believed these are the last days for the last 2000
>>years! In Matthew 24, when Jesus describes the "end times," he was talking
>
>>about his own times. Matthew 24:34 - "Verily I say unto you, This
>>generation shall not pass, till all these things be fulfilled." Since then,
>
>>with every major war or natural disaster, there have been those crying

>>"repent for the end is near!" on street corners.
>
>
>There is much debate on Matthew 24. Most Christians believe it
>applies to both AD 70 (the Roman conquest of Jerusalem) and
>the last days. The evidence for our own time, being unique and
>indeed at the end of history, is quite massive in Scripture. I will be
>happy to discuss it with you privately if you like.
>
>
>>I don't fear terrorists
>>nearly as much as I fear having someone like George Bush start a global
>
>>thermonuclear war out of some brain-damaged belief that Jesus will come
>and
>>float him to Heaven.
>
>
>Then you are deluded. Sorry Sarah. Terrorists are much more likely
>to kill you than is the scenario you described to happen. AND, the
>most likely scenario for a huge, global nuclear war is with an
>appeaser in the White House who would have made so many bad
>decisions that there would be no other options than massive,
>nuclear war.
>
>
>>3. What you say is indisputable is in fact easily disputed. The plot

>>uncovered and thwarted in England (by the use of standard police work)

>>demonstrates two things: terrorism is best prevented with good intelligence
>
>>work, and obliterating Iraq (or Iran, or Syria, etc) does nothing to prevent
>
>>such groups from planning their attacks.
>
>
>This is utterly an assertion on your part since you do not know how

>many dead jihadis would have plotted even more attacks given
>an appeaser in the White House.
>
>Again, we are not fighting them, we are catching them. You are not
>being honest in implying that the war caused these attacks.
>You do not believe what the jihadis themselves say they want to do, and
that
>makes your position a very dangerous one.
>
>
>>Every time an innocent Iraqi dies
>>in our foolish, ethnocentric quest to "spread democracy" (i.e., make the
>
>>world safe for American business), we risk inspiring angry desperate
>>civilians to join the ranks of the terrorists. Put yourself in their
>>place - isn't that what Jesus would do?
>
>
>First your view is based on the mistaken idea that some cultures
>are too backward and too stupid to enjoy freedom. This is
>embarrassing and likely racist, but since I know you, I suspect it
>is merely the unquestioning assumption of cultural relativity.
>
>It's like the old question; What do you do if you see an endangered
>animal eating an endangered plant?
>
>What do you do if you see a society doing clitorectomies, or having
>rape rooms as a means fo keeping the peace?
>
>Who are you to judge their culture?
>
>Yet we think that people can live a better life than that don't we?
>
>Christians know that there is one culture and it is God's.
>
>No america ain't it.
>
>But we know that some practices are
>degenerate, like human sacrifice and the roman gladiators and that
>when a society embraces degeneracy, that society is doomed.
>
>So yes, we can say that freedom and democracy is better than
>Saddam and his spawn, and despite your socialist hatred of "big
>business" we are the good guys in that conflict. If you dispute
>that, I challenge you to live under sharia and see if you don't
>change you mind.
>
>

>Matthew 5:44 - "But I say unto you,
>>Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate
>you,
>>and pray for them which despitefully use you, and persecute you."

>
>
>And our brave soldiers and marines pray daily for even their enemies
>that they will have to kill as few as possible and they rejoice mightily
>at every one they save.

>
>read this:
>
> http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0788023454/sr=1-1/qid=1155598944/ref=sr_1_1/102-7219965-6149719?ie=UTF8&s=books

>
>and this:
>
> http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0849918235/sr=1-7/qid=1155598944/ref=sr_1_7/102-7219965-6149719?ie=UTF8&s=books

>
>
>You owe these brave men and women your very life and certainly
>your right to carry on such a discussion.

>
>
>>4. Anyone who plans the murder of innocent civilians to further their
>cause

>
>>deserves the tortures of the damned, and this includes terrorists and heads
>
>>of state. Evil people are evil people - it's got nothing to do with "right"

>
>>or "left," as a cursory survey of human history will show. We should fight
>
>>authoritarianism at either end of the spectrum, and right now it has crept

>
>>into our own government at the "right" end. It can't happen here? The
>
>>firmly fixed belief that it can't happen here is exactly what is allowing

>it
>>to happen here.

>
>I went through the Holocaust museum here in Los Angeles.
>Been there? Go sometime. When my group exited the gas
>chamber at the end of the tour, our guide, a little old man who
>survived the camps asked us to raise our hands if we thought it
>it could happen here. Most of the group of 35 or so raised their
>hands. Me and a couple of hard-eyed young men kept our hands

>down, and the old man asked us why.
>
>I answered with:
>
>"Because I will take up a rifle and kill anyone who tries to do such
>a thing, and I will lay down my life to prevent it here."
>
>(I could see the other guys shaking their heads in agreement)
>
>You know what the old guy said??
>
>He pointed at us and said:
>
>THAT is the ONLY reason it will not happen here!
>
>Now, you go there, and come out of that place onto Pico blvd., and
>go down to Factor's Deli for a bite and tell me about American
>authoritarianism. You need to re-join reality.
>
>Worst, once again, someone (iran and their cronies) is trying to wipe
>out the Jews. The Jews believe that someone will try to exterminate
>them in every generation, and how am I to prove them wrong?
>The left in this country has become the mirror image of Tim
>McVeigh and socialist/quasi-pacifist europe has once again
>revealed its seething anti-semitism. Yes, it can happen here,
>but we ain't going to go easy, I can tell you that.
>
>
>>5. I don't have a fifth point . . . I just think 5 is a nicer number than
>
>
>I saw the figure 5 in gold...

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 05:12:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh Boy..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies"..I know,
>what
>> a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his (Jesus)
>> teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits
or
>> fit us depending on the situation. LAD
>

>
>Forgiving them (terrorists) I believe is God's function. Our job is to
>simply arrange the meeting!
> - Norman Swartzkoph
>
>:o)
>
>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e0c513\$1@linux...
>>
>> As a believeing Christian,
>> why should we do "Anything at to save ourselves form the inevitable"??
>> If Jesus is soon to return, then why are so worried about the end times??
>>
>> We are to pray and help those who are not saved, to get saved..
>> Jesus goes on record to say that " No one but the Father(God) knows the
>end
>> of time(earth), to be ready (Spiritually) when he (Jesus) comes..
>> He aslo states, "There will be wars AND Rumors of wars, daughters against
>> mothers, sons against fathers, nations against nations..But "FEAR Not"..
>>
>> It seems that we (Christians) are fearing for the Jesus return instead
of
>> Celebrating his coming..
>> We(Christians) need to read the test again..
>>
>> Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies"..I know,
>what
>> a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his (Jesus)
>> teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits
or
>> fit us depending on the situation. LAD
>>
>>
>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >Hi Sarah,
>> >
>> >Well, I suspect there are all sorts of things in our world that Jesus
>> >disapproves of, including slavery, female circumciscion,
>> >sharia, burqa's, the deliberate targeting of civilians, and
>> >Islamofascism in general. (Oh, and not to mention those who don't
>> >believe in him, attributing positions to him to make political points)
>> >
>> >But there is certainly lots of guilt to go around isn't there?
>> >
>> >That's why Christians believe these to be the last days of earth's

>> >history. There are no easy answers. Maybe no answers at all,
>> >except to hold fast and warn everyone of what is coming.
>> >
>> >Please notice though, that we are not "fighting them here", we
>> >are, quite successfully *catching* them here, before any fighting
>> >is needed. Weakening the ghouls and head choppers makes us
>> >safer, it is clear. Give them ground, and they use the ground for
>> >more attacks. Appease them and you get more of the same.
>> >This is not disputable.
>> >Just take them at their own words. They clearly see your version
>> >of "peace" as weakness and an opportunity to kill more of us.
>> >
>> >Yet, it is really hard to take a purely right wing position, I know.
|
>> >DO NOT trust our gummint one little bit. Too many soldiers died
>> >in Vietnam for no reason to do that. Venal, cowardly, and amoral
>> >leaders are responsible for the deaths of too many great young men
>> >and women to trust them. (Bill Clinton was no better than
>> >Nixon and Johnson BTW, just read "Blackhawk Down") At least
>> >W is committed to victory.
>> >
>> >
>> >The only thing even worse than blind patriotism is the insanity being
>> >peddled by the left.
>> >
>> >And as a Christian I admit to the strong possibility of a time in the
>> >near future, when there is no difference, morally, between the
>> >right and left whatsoever.
>> >
>> >And then shall the end come.
>> >
>> >
>> >DC
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.om> wrote:
>> >>I didn't ask why they were foiled, silly. You said, "we need to know
>> >>exactly how these plots were foiled," so I was just repeating that and
>> >>
>> >>offering up an answer that I, of course, do not believe at all. I was
>> >>
>> >>merely making fun of those people who apparently do believe these
>> >>things,
>> >>
>> >>and attempting to satirically point out the particular absurdity of
>> >>the

>> >
>> >>"fighting them there so we don't have to fight them here," justification
>> >for
>> >>Iraq. I don't actually have "my own personal Jesus," but somehow I
just
>> >
>> >>don't think he would approve of all the "collateral damage."
>> >>
>> >>Perhaps you knew I was being "tongue in cheek," and you were too and
I
>> just
>> >
>> >>missed the subtlety. :)
>> >>
>> >>Shalom,
>> >>
>> >>Sarah J. Burke, RN
>> >>
>> >>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> >>news:44e01125\$1@linux...
>> >>> Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
>> >>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be
>terrorists
>> >in
>> >>>> the world.
>> >>>
>> >>> Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then
>why
>> >
>> >>> are
>> >>> you asking why they were foiled?
>> >>> I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say so.
>> >>>
>> >>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>> >>> news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
>> >>>> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!? Weren't
>> >
>> >>>> they
>> >>>> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting
>>
>> >>>> "them"
>> >>>> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police
>could
>> >>>> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right?
How
>> >does
>> >>>> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick
>Mohammed's

>> >>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be
>terrorists
>> >in
>> >>>> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in
>England,
>> >>> but
>> >>>> those are the last ones. Really.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Sarah
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> >>>> news:44db78dd@linux...
>> >>>> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending
>> >on
>> >>> the
>> >>>> > NY
>> >>>> > times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from
>> >>> happening.
>> >>>> > I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> > Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with
>all
>> >>> these
>> >>>> > relavent audio posts and all.
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> > There goes the neighborhood.....
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> > ;o)
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> >
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 06:04:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sarah,

I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt I should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.

I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who actually do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to believe your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of sarcasm and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and don't use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support what appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If you truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand my request.

If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading. The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I have weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.

Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate may just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least their motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up buildings if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a bigger war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and souls of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic to some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception is the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments or big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected, pale in comparison.

Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for or from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.

Dedric

On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>
> Yours, in Christ,
>
> Sarah
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 07:01:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>DC, YOur Words: AND, the
>most likely scenario for a huge, global nuclear war is with an
>appeaser in the White House who would have made so many bad
>decisions that there would be no other options than massive,
>nuclear war.

>

>You really believe that President Bush has :
>-Protected you (us) from terrorist?

Yes. It is clear that keeping them on the run keeps us safer.

>-You believe that we are better of today in the world than before 911?

No, we are better off since 911, which would not have
happened if clinton had taken this all more seriously.

>-You really believe that those who oppose war are Passice and appeaser?

No, some are and some aren't. There lots of Stalinists and Kim
Jong groupies in the mix as well.

>Then you would call Jesus an appeaser.

Jesus was never in the White House. Hs disciples tried and he would
have none of it.

When a mob tried to kill him before it was his time, he simply walked
through them like they weren't there. Pretty good aikido huh?

Jesus was not in politics.

>You need to read His sermon on the
>mountain": It Goes

>

>Beatitudes

>Blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

And you think that the poor in spirit should apply to entire
countries?

>Blessed are those who mourn, for they shall be comforted.

Should we mourn because we let the jihadi kill our families?

>Blessed are the gentle, for they shall inherit the earth.

It doesn't say this. It says "meek" and they are not the same.
See below..

>Blessed are those who hunger and thirst for righteousness, for they shall be satisfied.

Righteousness indeed. Righteousness is what we needed when we stood in the pretend gas chambers of the Holocaust museum. Only 3 of us in that crowd had any of it.

>Blessed are the merciful, for they shall receive mercy.

http://gallery.michaelyon-online.com/index.php?main_page=product_info&cPath=1&products_id=14

>Blessed are the pure in heart, for they shall see God.

Does this look pure to you?

<http://www.thereligionofpeace.com/index.html#Attacks>

>Blessed are the peacemakers, for they shall be called sons of God.

Blessed indeed:

<http://www.americanhandgunner.com/GunCrank2.html>

>Blessed are those who have been persecuted for the sake of righteousness, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

Oh yes they will

<http://www.christianpersecution.info/>

>Blessed are you when people insult you and persecute you, and falsely say all kinds of evil against you because of Me.

http://www.zombietime.com/walk_for_life/

>Rejoice and be glad, for your reward in heaven is great; for in the same way they persecuted the prophets who were before you.

You, like many others mistakenly think that the Beatitudes are prescriptions for behavior. They are not. As theologian and philosopher Dallas Willard states in "The Divine Conspiracy", the beatitudes are not instructing us to be meek, poor in spirit and weep a lot. They are intended to give hope and faith to those who ARE mistreated, who do weep and who are fearful. They are promised the Kingdom, in spite of these things happening to them, not because of them. Read that book, please. It's amazingly good.

>It seems that the Bush followers have forgotten Jesus's teaching above.

Or not...

>So, we fight and what, gain a few more years of existence. God's Will, will
>be done..Weather we like it or not. The best thing to do, is to line with
>God's word and do the best we can.

agreed. Let us reason together to understand it and not fight with each other. The day will come when we will have done all we can and it is time to accept our fate. We need to recognize that day when it appears. Until then, I will stand for freedom and democracy.

DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 07:14:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMOnT" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>DC, how can I miss-quote you by cutting & pasting your own writings?

You misquoted me when you said:

>So, I go out and kill a few Klux(ers) then what?? Does it bring back my loved
>one? Violence begets violence. Live by the sword, you die by the sword.

I never said to go out and kill anyone. That is revenge and I do not believe in revenge. I said it is righteous to stand up and defend your life and family should the lynch mob come for you. Those poor black americans lynched, castrated, and dragged behind cars needed guns, not pity.

<http://www.blackmanwithagun.com>

>The fact is, we as Americans are scared to death
>that this "ride" maybe soon coming to a "screeching" halt.. That's not unusual.
>It's human..

Every country, us and Israel included, has the right to self-defense.

Now, if you do not see Iraq as self defense, fine, you have a lot of company, but please give the other side some credit for good motives as well.

>But, we as Christians are supposed to be leaders and help prepare the way
>of our Lord Jesus return. Not give into the violence, which by the way is
>not our fight to fight. It's a Spiritual war bwt God Almighty and satan.
>To be honest, we are just pawns in their battle. But, we are joint heirs
>with the Victor(God) almighty.

>

>It's really a shame that our Leaders have frightened the good people of this
>nation under the auspices of following God. These leaders are no more than
>plain Warmongers who God goes on record as saying he will judge them.

There is plenty to be frightened of in this world without ascribing any manipulation to the gummint.

The spiritual war is real, and I think it is coming. It is likely to be someone promising to lead us out of the morass of war and killing.

He will talk about peace a lot and be very kind. Many will follow...

>DC, do you believe in the book of Revelations? If so, then you know what's
>in store. Simply put.. There will be some good times ahead, then, not dark
>times. Then, finally, Glorious times. Amen!

Revelation is not clear to me, at least not in the particulars. The big arc of history and who wins is clear, but those who try to find predictions for next week in Revelation are going to be disappointed.

Since no two denominations agree on Revelation, I think it is a safe position to wait and see.

I will cease my support of the war on terror when I am convinced our government is evil. I am not convinced yet, but I do not trust those in power.

take care

DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 07:47:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're a thoughtful person, Detric. Consider that nobody speaks for all Christians. No one could, since Christians are splintered and divided into multiple sects and sub-sects that disagree passionately about fundamental doctrine. LaMont and DC are only one example.

Here in these United States of America, LaMont and DC are free to speak their minds even on religious and political topics.

And so is Sarah. She is free to study any religious or non-religious text and draw conclusions about it. She is completely entitled to her opinion about any topic, including the topic of Jesus Christ's teachings on interpersonal relationships. She can express her opinions in ways that she thinks are most communicative.

Clearly there are differences of opinions. Like it or not, in a free country differences of opinion are allowed. Otherwise we'd have one true church and membership would be compulsory. Many of our ancestors fled such situations and it would be a waste of a good revolution to reinstate that here.

I could say that some people who claim they believe in Jesus Christ or Islam act like they believe in Ghengis Khan. I could say that if those people want to relive the crusades in 2006, that's pretty silly and maybe they should find something more constructive to do. I could say that people with their heads firmly planted in the middle ages are letting themselves be manipulated by such things as financial goals of extractive industries and territorial goals of nationalistic groups, odd

bedfellows at best.

In this great country of ours we are allowed to think for ourselves. We are allowed to be wrong along the way in so doing, which is amply demonstrated by a percentage of the posts that float by here and elsewhere.

But it is our responsibility to contribute to the debate, listen, learn and grow. If my beliefs are challenged in the course of that debate, I need to step back and see the wider picture. I can defend my perspective or be swayed by reasonable arguments. An open mind is a useful starting place (not a feeble mind, mind you).

This is not a theocracy where a priesthood of ANY religion can hide behind blasphemy laws and self-righteous certitude. This is a collection of free citizens participating in their own governance.

IMO we do NOT need to relive the crusades in 2006. Hopefully we've learned a few things since 1095. We DO need to continually craft effective policy in changing circumstances, in order to live together constructively with others on our planet.

Such policies should not be built on Hatfield/McCoy recursivity. Nor should they be built on establishing totalitarian government here or elsewhere.

The Christianity I grew up with says that God is love. I respect that.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Dedric Terry wrote:

> Sarah,

>

> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt I

> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.

>

> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who actually
> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to believe
> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of sarcasm
> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and don't
> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support what
> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If you
> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand my
> request.

>

> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.
> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I have
> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>
> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate may
> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least their
> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up buildings
> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a bigger
> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and souls
> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic to
> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception is
> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments or
> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected, pale in
> comparison.
>
> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for or
> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.
>
> Dedic
>
> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah"
> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>
>> Yours, in Christ,
>>
>> Sarah
>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 08:03:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you think I'm an atheist, we are clearly not communicating, and I don't think the problem is entirely at my end.

I'm not really a pacifist either, and in fact I think comparing this misguided blunder in Iraq to WWII is an insult to every soldier who fought to stop Hitler. Trust me, I will shoot a bad guy, and I may even be tempted to start with his kneecaps.

I'm also not a cultural relativist, but since it seems important for you to put me in a category, I'll make it easy and just tell you that I'm basically a utilitarian. More accurately, I'm what I would call a "golden-rule-ist,"

which I guess would be like a utilitarian but with more concern for individual rights.

I'm also not a "leftist," I just look that way because the center has been dragged so friggin' far to the right. Nixon would be a leftist by today's standard.

We all have a right to our beliefs, but what we believe effects what we perceive, and I feel like your strong beliefs are warping my communication into things I didn't even say. (e.g. "There you go... These jihadi head cutters, these scum murderers are the same as those who would prevent a kristalnacht here in America! What heroes those braves jihadis' must be! good grief such is the inverted world of pacifist moral equivalence.")

Believing is not knowing, and it's impossible to debate someone who seems to equate the two.

I give up.

Sarah

PS: Sorry about the "yours in Christ" thing. That was snotty and sarcastic and I regret doing it.

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 08:25:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dedric,

You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign off, and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and to me that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.

I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw stones at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.

Peace,

Sarah

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...

> Sarah,
>
> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt I
> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.
>
> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
> actually
> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to
> believe
> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
> sarcasm
> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and
> don't
> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
> what
> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If
> you
> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand
> my
> request.
>
> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.
> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I
> have
> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>
> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate
> may
> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least their
> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
> buildings
> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a
> bigger
> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and
> souls
> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic to
> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception
> is
> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments
> or
> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected, pale
> in
> comparison.
>
> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for or
> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.

>
> Dedic
>
> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah"
> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>
>>
>> Yours, in Christ,
>>
>> Sarah
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 11:27:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i wonder at what point god said to nobody in particular..."really, i thought they were ready for me...all i said was do unto others as..."

On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 01:25:48 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Dedic,
>
> You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian
>philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign off,
>and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that
>because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and to me
>that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.
>
> I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw stones
>at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.
>
>Peace,
>
>Sarah
>
>
>"Dedic Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...
>> Sarah,
>>
>> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt I

>> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.
>>
>> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
>> actually
>> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to
>> believe
>> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
>> sarcasm
>> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and
>> don't
>> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
>> what
>> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If
>> you
>> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand
>> my
>> request.
>>
>> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.
>> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
>> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I
>> have
>> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>>
>> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate
>> may
>> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least their
>> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
>> buildings
>> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a
>> bigger
>> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and
>> souls
>> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic to
>> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception
>> is
>> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments
>> or
>> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected, pale
>> in
>> comparison.
>>
>> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
>> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for or
>> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.
>>
>> Dedic
>>

>> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah"
>> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Yours, in Christ,
>>>
>>> Sarah
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:04:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Man, what are you saying:
"I will cease my support of the war on terror when I am convinced our government is evil. I am not convinced yet, but I do not trust >those in power."

What kind of doubled speak is this?? Remember: A doubled minded Man is "Unstable" in all His ways".

You Wrote: Now, if you do not see Iraq as self defense, fine, you have a lot of company, but please give the other side some credit for good motives as well.

You are right, I don't Iraq as self defense. We were supposed to be getting Osama Bin Laden..???Remember. Now look at the mess we're in in Iraq. You President, has taken this country down a very dark path in-which the majority of his own political party does not subscribe to. With a 33-34 percent job approval rating and his neo conservative views(Under the auspices of Christianity) what's to "grow" the American Empire to a region that will die before it let's any other country set up shop.

All in all, it's in God's hands. God chooses players in the big Chess match btw He and satan. Which means he can use some pawns like a Bush Cheney, Rice & Rumsfeld,Libby and other neo-cons for his bidding. One thing is for sure, God's Will; will be done.On Earth as it is in Heaven. And his Will isthat he set out to make Earth man's dwelling place and this time it will be King Jesus who will preside and rule forever.

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>

>"LaMONT" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>DC, how can I miss-quote you by cutting & pasting your own writings?
>
>You misquoted me when you said:
>
>>So,I go out and kill a few Klux(ers) then what?? Does it bring back my
loved
>>one? Violence begets violence. Live by the sword, you die by the sword.
>
>I never said to go out and kill anyone. That is revenge and I do not
>believe in revenge. I said it is righteous to stand up and defend your
>life and family should the lynch mob come for you. Those poor
>black americans lynched, castrated, and dragged behind cars
>needed guns, not pity.
>
><http://www.blackmanwithagun.com>
>
>
>>The fact is, we as Americans are scared to death
>>that this "ride" maybe soon coming to a "screeching" halt.. That's not
unusual.
>>It's human..
>
>Every country, us and Israel included, has the right to self-defense.
>
>Now, if you do not see Iraq as self defense, fine, you have a lot
>of company, but please give the other side some credit for good
>motives as well.
>
>>But, we as Christians are supposed to be leaders and help prepare the way
>>of our Lord Jesus return. Not give into the violence, which by the way
is
>>not our fight to fight. It's a Spiritual war bwt God Almighty and satan.
>>To be honest, we are just pawns in their battle. But, we are joint heirs
>>with the Victor(God) almighty.
>>
>>It's really a shame that our Leaders have frightened the good people of this
>>nation under the auspices of following God. These leaders are no more than
>>plain Warmongers who God goes on record as saying he will judge them.
>
>There is plenty to be frightened of in this world without ascribing
>any manipulation to the gummint.
>
>The spiritual war is real, and I think it is coming. It is likely to be
>someone promising to lead us out of the morass of war and killing.
>
>He will talk about peace a lot and be very kind. Many will follow...

>
>
>>DC, do you believe in the book of Revelations? If so, then you know what's
>>in store. Simply put..There will be some good times ahead, then, not dark
>>times. Then, finally, Glorious times. Amen!
>
>
>Revelation is not clear to me, at least not in the particulars. The
>big arc of history and who wins is clear, but those who try to find
>predictions for next week in Revelation are going to be
>disappointed.
>
>Since no two denominations agree on Revelation, I think it is a safe
>position to wait and see.
>
>I will cease my support of the war on terror when I am convinced our
>government is evil. I am not convinced yet, but I do not trust
>those in power.
>
>take care
>
>DC
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:08:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>If you think I'm an atheist, we are clearly not communicating, and I don't

>think the problem is entirely at my end.

I don't think you are an atheist, I didn't even say that.

What I know is that without the vertical dimension, without
Jesus' divinity, nothing he said including and especially the parts
about peace and forgiveness, mean anything, and may be discarded
at will as they have meaning (or don't) to you personally.
This places the person at the center of faith, not God, and has no
foundation. You remember the story about the wise man building
his house upon the rock? It's like that.

I never thought you were an atheist.

>I'm not really a pacifist either, and in fact I think comparing this

>misguided blunder in Iraq to WWII is an insult to every soldier who fought
>to stop Hitler. Trust me, I will shoot a bad guy, and I may even be tempted
>to start with his kneecaps.

And I believe you. I also do not object to your opposition to the war. I do object to your characterizing the president as a greater threat than islamic terrorism. Whether or not you are one, you use pacifist reasoning. Sometimes, as you indicate above, the way to peace involves unfortunate, but necessary, violence.

>I'm also not a cultural relativist, but since it seems important for you
to
>put me in a category, I'll make it easy and just tell you that I'm basically
>a utilitarian. More accurately, I'm what I would call a "golden-rule-ist,"
>which I guess would be like a utilitarian but with more concern for
>individual rights.

Then give the Iraqi's who want freedom at least the same respect we give each other.

>I'm also not a "leftist," I just look that way because the center has been
>dragged so friggin' far to the right. Nixon would be a leftist by today's
>standard.

Nah, I was there. This is an overstatement. You are left on some issues, as am I. No shame there.

>We all have a right to our beliefs, but what we believe effects what we
>perceive, and I feel like your strong beliefs are warping my communication
>into things I didn't even say. (e.g. "There you go... These jihadi head
>cutters, these scum murderers
>are the same as those who would prevent a kristalnacht here in America!
What
>heroes those braves jihadis' must be! good grief such is the inverted world
>of pacifist moral equivalence.")

But you warned me that if I stood up to prevent another kristalnacht I might end up in Guantanamo!

You said that! Where did I misunderstand you?

>Believing is not knowing, and it's impossible to debate someone who seems to
>equate the two.

Knowing is what we both claim. I claim to know the risen savior, you claim him to be un-knowable, except as another "great teacher". There is no difference between us in that regard.

I hope this is more clear, because I like you a lot. If I did not, I would not say these things. I think there is a day of judgement coming, and I *must* warn others of it. This is my duty and I cannot be silent. When you quote Jesus to bash Christians, I must speak up. I am not against you, just some of these ideas.

best,

DC

>PS: Sorry about the "yours in Christ" thing. That was snotty and sarcastic

>and I regret doing it.

Didn't bother me. No worries. I wish you would say it more often.

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Nil](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:21:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Two problems wherein a faith (any faith) based on peace & love conflict with reality:

1.) You can't just "live & let live" when there are people out there who are not of that mind, whether it's a Hitler or a Ghengis Khan, or Bin Laden & his ilk.

2.) All religions are man-made, anyway - I must confess that at this point I don't know who God is or what he's all about, or sometimes even if he even exists, but if he's been around for more millennia than we can even comprehend, don't you think that since all we have are snippets of what amounts to a couple-

thousand-year long game of "telephone" that there's a better chance than not that we (or "they", depending on who's faith & scripture you're referring to) have all gotten it wrong somehow, somewhere along the line?

Lots of people throughout history have given us good guidelines to live by... if everyone would just use common sense, that alone tells you that the harmonious aspects of the teachings of Jesus, Mohammed, Bhudda, Ghandi - to name a few - are certainly better & more productive than fighting & killing... especially in the name of one god or another. Nonetheless, unless everyone on the planet ascribes to this, there's always going to be someone that wants to kill you or otherwise do you harm because their wants & needs conflict with yours. This is the reality.

So do we turn the other cheek as a nation - in other words, follow a certain religious philosophy - when we as a nation are supposed to have a separation of church & state? No, because that goes against the very reason people band together, from the very first tribes to today's systems of government. It's at the core of our beings... people didn't first band together because it's easier to have an agrarian society when there's more people working the fields, they banded together to protect themselves from the danger of hunting wild beasts alone, and then as an offshoot, from the threat of other bands trying to prevent you from hunting those same beasts because THEY wanted to do so & bring back the food for THEIR tribe. So, this is in our nature, and one could make the argument that because God created us this way - with a strong survival instinct - that it's actually his will for us to fight & kill each other. Are we supposed to have evolved from this state by now? Who's to say - after all, Christians don't believe in evolution, and the bible says that God is "unchanging", so maybe our nature isn't supposed to change either if we're made in his image & likeness, right? See what I'm saying about religions & things being "lost in translation" everything I just said goes against the grain of good common sense, doesn't it?

Just food for thought.

Neil

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>i wonder at what point god said to nobody in particular..."really, i

>thought they were ready for me...all i said was do unto others as..."
>
>
>
>On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 01:25:48 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Dedric,
>>
>> You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian
>>philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign
off,
>>and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that

>>because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and to
me
>>that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.
>>
>> I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw stones

>>at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.
>>
>>Peace,
>>
>>Sarah
>>
>>
>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>>news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>> Sarah,
>>>
>>> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt
I
>>> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.
>>>
>>> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
>>> actually
>>> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to
>>> believe
>>> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
>>> sarcasm
>>> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and

>>> don't
>>> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support

>>> what
>>> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity.

If
>>> you
>>> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand

>>> my
>>> request.
>>>
>>> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.
>>> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
>>> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that
I
>>> have
>>> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>>>
>>> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate

>>> may
>>> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least
their
>>> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
>>> buildings
>>> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a

>>> bigger
>>> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and

>>> souls
>>> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic
to
>>> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception

>>> is
>>> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments

>>> or
>>> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected,
pale
>>> in
>>> comparison.
>>>
>>> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
>>> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for
or
>>> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.
>>>
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah"

>>> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Yours, in Christ,
>>>>
>>>> Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:31:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
>Man, what are you saying:
>"I will cease my support of the war on terror when I am convinced our government
>is evil. I am not convinced yet, but I do not trust >those in power."

One should never trust the gummint. It's basic americanism and goes all the way back. They have to prove themselves at every point and on every issue.

>You Wrote: Now, if you do not see Iraq as self defense, fine, you have a
>lot of company, but please give the other side some credit for good motives
>as well.

>You are right, I don't Iraq as self defense. We were supposed to be getting
>Osama Bin Laden..???Remember.

No, we were supposed to be making ourselves safer, we've done pretty well at that. We'll get ole ODB, errr OBL, don't worry.

>Now look at the mess we're in in Iraq. You
>President, has taken this country down a very dark path in-which the majority
>of his own political party does not subscribe to. With a 33-34 percent job
>approval rating and his neo conservative views

That's one view. If we elect an appeaser and get 10 attacks the first year, he'll look pretty good huh?

DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:38:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Neil" <IUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>So, this is in our nature, and one could make the argument that
>because God created us this way - with a strong survival
>instinct - that it's actually his will for us to fight & kill
>each other.

Christians believe that all this happened because of the fall of our great parents and the entry of evil into God's world, not because God intended us to fight. Also, it is reasonable to believe that a God who could create a universe could also keep the important parts of his message comprehensible. After all, he sent his Son. That certainly shows motive...

best,

DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 16:08:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Sarah. I don't have a problem at all with quoting scripture - you are right in your intent - it was only the tone that sent a mixed message, but no need to dwell on that.

You are exactly right, Jesus' message is one of peace, and putting faith above our own desires and head-logic. I actually think everyone in this thread has good points - you, DC, Neil, Lamont, Jamie, etc. When we mix the concept of war with the faith, there are no easy answers. I don't like war. I don't like terrorism. I don't like injustice of any kind. But I know that Jesus teachings require a consistency of faith and trusting in Him even when we would rather pick up a hockey stick and smack someone on the head with it (Osama bin Laden, Kim Jung Il (sp?), Exxon, that last client... ;-).

Sidebar here...

As has been pointed out, what really makes the war vs. peace situation hard is when we are dealing with people (terrorists) that have no concept of respect for others, the value of life, much less tolerance, diplomacy, or understanding. The only peaceful resolution for a terrorist is the death of his enemy. What then is peace for the terrorist's "enemy"?...

If any of you have seen the movie "The End of The Spear", or are familiar with story of Jim Elliot, you've seen an example of what faith really should be. As a missionary to Ecuador, Jim Elliot and his companions were killed when trying to reach out to the Huaorani (or Auca) Indians - he left behind a wife and son. His wife and others went back years later and eventually reached this once violent tribe - many became Christians, and a descendant (grandson of one of the original Auca's responsible for Elliot's death I believe) was on tour with Christian artist Steven Curtis Chapman a few years ago relating the story of the incredible positive change in his tribe due to the unyielding love of the Elliots and others, even in the face of death. (I didn't get to see the concert, but heard it was an amazing experience - far more than just the music). Jim Elliot gave his life without ever seeing the fruit of his labor as have many others, but it ended up changing more lives than just those of the Auca Indians.

So where do we draw the line between defending ourselves and being willing to just accept the loss of life, even our own, in order to send a message of love rather than one of defiance? Or is there even a line at all? Maybe that's the part we have such a hard time with. It is easy to establish the boundaries we are comfortable with (aka "relativism"), but that usually entails putting God in a box with personally or socially acceptable wrapping paper - either with us, or without us inside - to avoid dealing with the less appealing parts of ourselves.

For a nation, there is no easy answer since not everyone believes the same way, so at best a country is a compromise until it deteriorates into some form of chaos and then reaches another compromise as a "solution". That's really why we have war (the fall of man being the root cause, as DC pointed out). There is no politically correct balance to be maintained in perpetuity - that is a myth. The only constant is God and His word. Without constants, there is nothing but a continual cycle of chaos and compromise.

Regards,
Dedric

On 8/15/06 2:25 AM, in article 44e183aa@linux, "Sarah"
<sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

> Dedric,
>
> You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian

> philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign off,
> and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that
> because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and to me
> that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.

>

> I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw stones
> at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.

>

> Peace,

>

> Sarah

>

>

> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message

> news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...

>> Sarah,

>>

>> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt I
>> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.

>>

>> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
>> actually

>> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to
>> believe

>> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
>> sarcasm

>> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and
>> don't

>> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
>> what

>> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If
>> you

>> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand
>> my

>> request.

>>

>> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.

>> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
>> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I
>> have

>> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.

>>

>> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate
>> may

>> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least their
>> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
>> buildings

>> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a

>> bigger
>> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and
>> souls
>> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic to
>> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception
>> is
>> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments
>> or
>> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected, pale
>> in
>> comparison.
>>
>> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
>> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for or
>> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.
>>
>> Dedic
>>
>> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1 @linux, "Sarah"
>> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Yours, in Christ,
>>>
>>> Sarah
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 16:17:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah.....I know.....sorry.....couldn't resist

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e157b4\$1 @linux...
>
> Oh Boy..
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies"..I know,
> >what
> >> a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his
(Jesus)

> >> teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits
> or
> >> fit us depending on the situation. LAD
> >
> >
> >Forgiving them (terrorists) I believe is God's function. Our job is to
> >simply arrange the meeting!
> > - Norman Swartzkoph
> >
> >;o)
> >
> >
> >
> >"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e0c513\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> As a believeing Christian,
> >> why should we do "Anything at to save oursleves form the inevitable"??
> >> If Jesus is soon to return, then why are so worried about the end
times??
> >>
> >> We are to pray and help those who are not saved, to get saved..
> >> Jesus goes on record to say that " No one but the Father(God) knows the
> >end
> >> of time(earth), to be ready (Spiritually) when he (Jesus) comes..
> >> He aslo states, "There will be wars AND Rumors of wars, daughters
against
> >> mothers, sons against fathers, nations against nations..But "FEAR
Not"..
> >>
> >> It seems that we (Christians) are fearing for the Jesus return instead
> of
> >> Celebrating his coming..
> >> We(Christians) need to read the test again..
> >>
> >> Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies"..I know,
> >what
> >> a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his
(Jesus)
> >> teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits
> or
> >> fit us depending on the situation. LAD
> >>
> >>
> >> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >Hi Sarah,
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> >Well, I suspect there are all sorts of things in our world that Jesus

> >> >disapproves of, including slavery, female circumciscion,
> >> >sharia, burqa's, the deliberate targeting of civilians, and
> >> >Islamofascism in general. (Oh, and not to mention those who don't
> >> >believe in him, attributing positions to him to make political points)
> >> >
> >> >But there is certainly lots of guilt to go around isn't there?
> >> >
> >> >That's why Christians believe these to be the last days of earth's
> >> >history. There are no easy answers. Maybe no answers at all,
> >> >except to hold fast and warn everyone of what is coming.
> >> >
> >> >Please notice though, that we are not "fighting them here", we
> >> >are, quite successfully *catching* them here, before any fighting
> >> >is needed. Weakening the ghouls and head choppers makes us
> >> >safer, it is clear. Give them ground, and they use the ground for
> >> >more attacks. Appease them and you get more of the same.
> >> >This is not disputable.
> >> >Just take them at their own words. They clearly see your version
> >> >of "peace" as weakness and an opportunity to kill more of us.
> >> >
> >> >Yet, it is really hard to take a purely right wing position, I know.
> |
> >> >DO NOT trust our gummint one little bit. Too many soldiers died
> >> >in Vietnam for no reason to do that. Venal, cowardly, and amoral
> >> >leaders are responsible for the deaths of too many great young men
> >> >and women to trust them. (Bill Clinton was no better than
> >> >Nixon and Johnson BTW, just read "Blackhawk Down") At least
> >> >W is committed to victory.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >The only thing even worse than blind patriotism is the insanity being
> >> >peddled by the left.
> >> >
> >> >And as a Christian I admit to the strong possibility of a time in the
> >> >near future, when there is no difference, morally, between the
> >> >right and left whatsoever.
> >> >
> >> >And then shall the end come.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >DC
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.om> wrote:
> >> >>I didn't ask why they were foiled, silly. You said, "we need to know
> >> >>exactly how these plots were foiled," so I was just repeating that

and
> >>
> >> >> offering up an answer that I, of course, do not believe at all. I
was
> >>
> >> >> merely making fun of those people who apparently do believe these
> > things,
> >> >
> >> >> and attempting to satirically point out the particular absurdity of
> the
> >> >
> >> >> "fighting them there so we don't have to fight them here,"
justification
> >> > for
> >> >> Iraq. I don't actually have "my own personal Jesus," but somehow I
> just
> >> >
> >> >> don't think he would approve of all the "collateral damage."
> >> >>
> >> >> Perhaps you knew I was being "tongue in cheek," and you were too and
> I
> >> just
> >> >
> >> >> missed the subtlety. :)
> >> >>
> >> >> Shalom,
> >> >>
> >> >> Sarah J. Burke, RN
> >> >>
> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44e01125\$1@linux...
> >> >>> Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
> >> >>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be
> > terrorists
> >> > in
> >> >>>> the world.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then
> > why
> >> >
> >> >>> are
> >> >>> you asking why they were foiled?
> >> >>> I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say
so.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
> >> >>> news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
> >> >>>> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!?

Weren't

> >> >

> >> >>>> they

> >> >>>> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we fighting

> >>

> >> >>>> "them"

> >> >>>> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police

> >could

> >> >>>> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right?

> How

> >> >does

> >> >>>> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick

> >Mohammed's

> >> >>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be

> >terrorists

> >> >in

> >> >>>> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in

> >England,

> >> >>>> but

> >> >>>> those are the last ones. Really.

> >> >>>>

> >> >>>> Sarah

> >> >>>>

> >> >>>>

> >> >>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> >> >>>> news:44db78dd@linux...

> >> >>>> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending

> >> >on

> >> >>>> the

> >> >>>> > NY

> >> >>>> > times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from

> >> >>>> happening.

> >> >>>> > I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.

> >> >>>> >

> >> >>>> > Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with

> >all

> >> >>>> these

> >> >>>> > relavent audio posts and all.

> >> >>>> >

> >> >>>> > There goes the neighborhood.....

> >> >>>> >

> >> >>>> > ;o)

> >> >>>> >

> >> >>>> >

> >> >>>>

> >> >>>>

> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 16:26:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.....hey.....this was interpreted by men, right? Maybe the dude was having a little fun and what god really said was "do unto others *before*?"

I mean.....that god guy is a riot, don't you think? The burning bush and all? We've got gas seeps from the coal seams around here and on any given day somewhere in these parts, there is likely to be a burning bush (most likely sagebrush or a juniper). The other day some dude was sitting on the crapper smoking a cigarette and dropped the butt (pun intended) in the thundermug. There was natural gas in the groundwater and the cigarette ignited the gas, providing him a spiritual experience.

When god is made in man's image, all sorts of cool stuff can happen.

;o)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:2ob3e29pl390s0a5jahu40c25lamovs3fj@4ax.com...
> i wonder at what point god said to nobody in particular..."really, i
> thought they were ready for me...all i said was do unto others as..."
>
>
>
> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 01:25:48 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Dedric,
> >
> > You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian
> >philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign
off,

> >and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that
> >because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and to
me
> >that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.
> >
> > I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw
stones
> >at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.
> >
> >Peace,
> >
> >Sarah
> >
> >
> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
> >news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...
> >> Sarah,
> >>
> >> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt
I
> >> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.
> >>
> >> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
> >> actually
> >> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to
> >> believe
> >> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
> >> sarcasm
> >> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and
> >> don't
> >> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
> >> what
> >> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If
> >> you
> >> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will
understand
> >> my
> >> request.
> >>
> >> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep
reading.
> >> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting
verses
> >> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I
> >> have
> >> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
> >>
> >> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this

debate

> >> may

> >> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least their

> >> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up

> >> buildings

> >> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a

> >> bigger

> >> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and

> >> souls

> >> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic to

> >> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith.

Deception

> >> is

> >> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments

> >> or

> >> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected, pale

> >> in

> >> comparison.

> >>

> >> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already

> >> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for or

> >> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.

> >>

> >> Dedic

> >>

> >> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah"

> >> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

> >>

> >>>

> >>> Yours, in Christ,

> >>>

> >>> Sarah

> >>>

> >>>

> >>

> >

>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 16:57:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What This "gummint" ???

"DC" <dc@spammersincongress.com> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>Man, what are you saying:

>>"I will cease my support of the war on terror when I am convinced our government
>>is evil. I am not convinced yet, but I do not trust >those in power."

>

>One should never trust the gummint. It's basic americanism and
>goes all the way back. They have to prove themselves at every
>point and on every issue.

>

>

>

>>You Wrote: Now, if you do not see Iraq as self defense, fine, you have

a

>>lot of company, but please give the other side some credit for good motives
>>as well.

>

>>You are right, I don't Iraq as self defense. We were supposed to be getting
>>Osama Bin Laden..???Remember.

>

>No, we were supposed to be making ourselves safer, we've done
>pretty well at that. We'll get ole ODB, errr OBL, don't worry.

>

>

>>Now look at the mess we're in in Iraq. You

>>President, has taken this country down a very dark path in-which the majority
>>of his own political party does not subscribe to. With a 33-34 percent

job

>>approval rating and his neo conservative views

>

>

>That's one view. If we elect an appeaser and get 10 attacks the
>first year, he'll look pretty good huh?

>

>

>DC

>

>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 17:09:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"So where do we draw the line between defending ourselves and being willing to just accept the loss of life, even our own, in order to send a message of love rather than one of defiance"
Amen Brother.. Amen.

That's my point:As Christians to my eyes, there is very little in the "laying down" ones life (without violence) in order send a message of Jesus...Than give in to satans tacticts of hate. This is not God's way.. There must be those who are Willing and Willfully to lay down his or her life(s) like Jesusdid for all of us. That's the example. Yes, it's hard and difficult to concieve, But, he (Jesus) showed us the way..
LAD

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Thanks Sarah. I don't have a problem at all with quoting scripture - you
>are right in your intent - it was only the tone that sent a mixed message,
>but no need to dwell on that.

>

>You are exactly right, Jesus' message is one of peace, and putting faith
>above our own desires and head-logic. I actually think everyone in this
>thread has good points - you, DC, Neil, Lamont, Jamie, etc. When we mix
the

>concept of war with the faith, there are no easy answers. I don't like
war.

>I don't like terrorism. I don't like injustice of any kind. But I know
>that Jesus teachings require a consistency of faith and trusting in Him
even

>when we would rather pick up a hockey stick and smack someone on the head
>with it (Osama bin Laden, Kim Jung Il (sp?), Exxon, that last client...
;-).

>

>Sidebar here...

>

>As has been pointed out, what really makes the war vs. peace situation hard
>is when we are dealing with people (terrorists) that have no concept of
>respect for others, the value of life, much less tolerance, diplomacy, or
>understanding. The only peaceful resolution for a terrorist is the death
of

>his enemy. What then is peace for the terrorist's "enemy"?...

>

>If any of you have seen the movie "The End of The Spear", or are familiar
>with story of Jim Elliot, you've seen and example of what faith really
>should be. As a missionary to Ecuador, Jim Elliot and his companions were
>killed when trying to reach out to the Huaorani (or Auca) Indians - he left
>behind a wife and son. His wife and others went back years later and
>eventually reached this once violent tribe - many became Christians, and
a

>decendant (grandson of one of the original Auca's responsible for Elliot's

>death I believe) was on tour with Christian artist Steven Curtis Chapman
>a
>few years ago relating the story of the incredible positive change in his
>tribe due to the unyielding love of the Elliots and others, even in the
>face
>of death. (I didn't get to see the concert, but heard it was an amazing
>experience - far more than just the music). Jim Elliot gave his life
>without ever seeing the fruit of his labor as have many others, but it ended
>up changing more lives than just those of the Auca Indians.
>
>So where do we draw the line between defending ourselves and being willing
>to just accept the loss of life, even our own, in order to send a message
>of
>love rather than one of defiance? Or is there even a line at all? Maybe
>that's the part we have such a hard time with. It is easy to establish
>the
>boundaries we are comfortable with (aka "relativism"), but that usually
>entails putting God in a box with personally or socially acceptable wrapping
>paper - either with us, or without us inside - to avoid dealing with the
>less appealing parts of ourselves.
>
>For a nation, there is no easy answer since not everyone believes the same
>way, so at best a country is a compromise until it deteriorates into some
>form of chaos and then reaches another compromise as a "solution". That's
>really why we have war (the fall of man being the root cause, as DC pointed
>out). There is no politically correct balance to be maintained in
>perpetuity - that is a myth. The only constant is God and His word.
>Without constants, there is nothing but a continual cycle of chaos and
>compromise.
>
>Regards,
>Dedric
>
>On 8/15/06 2:25 AM, in article 44e183aa@linux, "Sarah"
><sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>
>> Dedric,
>>
>> You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian
>> philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign
>> off,
>> and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that
>> because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and
>> to me
>> that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.
>>
>> I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw stones
>> at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.

>>
>> Peace,
>>
>> Sarah
>>
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>> news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>> Sarah,
>>>
>>> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt
I
>>> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.
>>>
>>> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
>>> actually
>>> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to
>>> believe
>>> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
>>> sarcasm
>>> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and
>>> don't
>>> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
>>> what
>>> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity.
If
>>> you
>>> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand
>>> my
>>> request.
>>>
>>> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.
>>> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
>>> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that
I
>>> have
>>> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>>>
>>> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate
>>> may
>>> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least
their
>>> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
>>> buildings
>>> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a
>>> bigger
>>> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and
>>> souls

>>> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic
to
>>> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception
>>> is
>>> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments
>>> or
>>> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected,
pale
>>> in
>>> comparison.
>>>
>>> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
>>> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for
or
>>> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.
>>>
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1 @linux, "Sarah"
>>> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Yours, in Christ,
>>>>
>>>> Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 18:04:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i'd say he's/she/s probably laughing his/hers ass off at our collective
righteous follies but i'm not sure he/she has one.

On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 10:26:40 -0600, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>.....hey.....this was interpreted by men, right? Maybe the dude was
>having a little fun and what god really said was "do unto others *before*"
>
>I mean.....that god guy is a riot, don't you think? The burning bush and
>all? We've got gas seeps from the coal seams around here and on any given

>day somewhere in these parts, there is likely to be a burning bush (most
>likely sagebrush or a juniper). The other day some dude was sitting on the
>crapper smoking a cigarette and dropped the butt (pun intended) in the
>thundermug. There was natural gas in the groundwater and the cigarette
>ignited the gas, providing him a spiritual experience.

>

>When god is made in man's image, all sorts of cool stuff can happen.

>

>;o)

>

>

>

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:2ob3e29pl390s0a5jahu40c25lamovs3fj@4ax.com...

>> i wonder at what point god said to nobody in particular..."really, i
>> thought they were ready for me...all i said was do unto others as..."

>>

>>

>>

>> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 01:25:48 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>> wrote:

>>

>> >Dedric,

>> >

>> > You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian
>> >philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign
>off,

>> >and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that
>> >because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and to
>me

>> >that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.

>> >

>> > I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw
>stones

>> >at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.

>> >

>> >Peace,

>> >

>> >Sarah

>> >

>> >

>> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>> >news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...

>> >> Sarah,

>> >>

>> >> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt
>|

>> >> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.
>> >>
>> >> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
>> >> actually
>> >> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to
>> >> believe
>> >> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
>> >> sarcasm
>> >> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and
>> >> don't
>> >> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
>> >> what
>> >> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If
>> >> you
>> >> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will
>understand
>> >> my
>> >> request.
>> >>
>> >> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep
>reading.
>> >> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting
>verses
>> >> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I
>> >> have
>> >> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>> >>
>> >> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this
>debate
>> >> may
>> >> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least
>their
>> >> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
>> >> buildings
>> >> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a
>> >> bigger
>> >> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and
>> >> souls
>> >> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic
>to
>> >> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith.
>Deception
>> >> is
>> >> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about
>governments
>> >> or
>> >> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected,
>pale

>> >> in
>> >> comparison.
>> >>
>> >> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
>> >> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for
>or
>> >> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.
>> >>
>> >> Detric
>> >>
>> >> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah"
>> >> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>> Yours, in Christ,
>> >>>
>> >>> Sarah
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >
>>
>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 18:19:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>What This "gummint" ???

I borrowed that term from the libertarians. It is slang for government.

DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 19:07:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
(Speaking from personal experience).

Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then left it
up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition. The

"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and effective way to live peacefully with our fellow humans. And in my interpretation, this also means that if someone steals, rapes, or kills, they are essentially saying, "it's OK if you rob, rape, or kill me," hence, the usefulness of prisons and gas chambers.

As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine with the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to say "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest here -- none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then. And I'm sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's just stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward human societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired by a supreme being. Most likely they were inspired by a strong desire to keep order in their societies, which is admirable.

Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What do you think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown rice? I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100? 500? 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and their families.

Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed. If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think of some heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's a nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

Sarah

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [gene Lennon\[3\]](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 19:43:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and
>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>
>Sarah
>

Not very likely since the Bush administration has drafted amendments to the War Crimes Act that would retroactively protect policymakers from possible criminal charges for authorizing humiliating and degrading treatment of detainees or worse.

The White House, without elaboration, said in a statement that the bill "will apply to any conduct by any U.S. personnel, whether committed before or after the law is enacted."

See:

http://www.latimes.com/news/printedition/asection/la-na-warcimes10aug10,1,4466016.story?coll=la-news-a_section

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 19:57:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey, a girl can dream, can't she?

S

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSP.com> wrote in message news:44e223f2\$1@linux...

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

> Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and

>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

>>

>>Sarah

>>

>

> Not very likely since the Bush administration has drafted amendments to

> the

> War Crimes Act that would retroactively protect policymakers from possible

> criminal charges for authorizing humiliating and degrading treatment of

> detainees

> or worse.

>

> The White House, without elaboration, said in a statement that the bill

> "will

> apply to any conduct by any U.S. personnel, whether committed before or

> after

> the law is enacted."

>

>

> See:

> http://www.latimes.com/news/printedition/asection/la-na-warcimes10aug10,1,4466016.story?coll=la-news-a_section

>

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 20:54:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just a quick note here. Your beliefs about what _I consider_ an historically circumscribed, morally questionable iron age Levantine sky god are your business--unless and until they interfere with the life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness Jefferson so eloquently promised me. However, the only thing that is 'surgical' about a 'strike' is that it might keep more of the attackers' soldiers from having surgery. Unless the attacker has a) perfect intelligence, b) perfectly accurate weapons, and c) the target isn't around anyone else, there is no such thing as a 'surgical strike.' So, if the target agrees to be in a field at a particular time and the weapons of the attacker always work, we can strike him 'surgically.'

Instead air power (nobody every talks about attacks by infantry or artillery being 'surgical') always involves 'collateral damage' which is a highly dubious way to say 'dead civilians.' The ratio of civilian to Hezbollah dead is somewhere between 2.5:1 or 7:1 depending on whose stats you believe, which I would call far from surgical except in the sense it kept the pilots out of surgery.

All of which might be perfectly valid military strategy, I'm not arguing that, I'm only saying that as long as we lie to ourselves about what's going on, we'll never figure how to do it better.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinchulavista.com> wrote:

>

>"Lamont Davis" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>DC,

>>You said: "He also never defined loving your enemies as letting them murder your family, nor turning"..

>

>

>>If you truly believe your above statement: Then me as an African American(Who's >>Great Grandfather was in-slaved) and who's past ancestors were mammed, tortured,

>>beaten, women repeatedly and brutally raped, hung, castrated, lynched, tared

>>& feathered ,whipped, & murdered. According to your set of biblical rules

>>and interpretation, "African Americans should view all whites(Christians

>>included) as their enemy and never trust them as long as they live.??????????

>

>

>Why would you do that to people who had nothing to do with it?

>That would simply make you as evil as the lynch mobs.

>

>Your reasoning is faulty at any rate. The analogy would only be
>>true if the question at hand was you handing over your family
>to ku kluxers for lynching in the name of forgiveness.
>
>I would hope you would rise up and take
>the lives of any ku kluxers who would try to do that. I would
>consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't you?
>
>
>>What kind of life is that for any African American to live, hating Whites.??
>>Holding on to past generations wrong doings only "kills you" on the inside.
>>Not forgiving, actually does you more harm than the one doing the evil
deeds..
>
>Bingo. Listen, I was really angry after the latest bunch of jihadis
>were caught trying to blow up airplanes, and I read Dr. Yeagley's
>web site.
>
>
>http://www.badeagle.com/
>
>He is convinced that it is time to deport muslims.. I thought about
>that, and sure enough, when I picked my kid up from karate that
>night there was a new student there, with his family, all muslim.
>
>Nice people.
>
>Coincidence? nah. I don't usually go in to pick her up. It was clear
>to me that Jesus would not deport muslims. (I told Yeagley about
>this too)
>
>Hating muslims is simply evil. I will not do it. I don't even need to
>forgive those nice folks at karate since they were not responsible.
>
>Forgive the jihadi's? What would be the point? Forgiveness must
>be redemptive. Turning the other cheek can be VERY redemptive,
>but handing over the other daughter to a rapist is a sin, since it
>results in nothing but evil for everyone involved.
>
>
>
>>Jesus (God) ways are not Man's evil wicked, divisive ways. Jesus say to
>turn
>>the other cheek, because operating under the "Messianic Law" of Eye for
>and
>>Eye yield "Nothing" but 10,000 years of silly fighting with no end in sight.
>>
>>What happens when a man turns the the proverbial cheek?
>>Is he the weaker one?? Even if the aggressor keeps hitting you, eventually,

>>he starts to understand that he cannot break you down" and thus he starts
>>to honor your calm strength..
>
>
>Doesn't work with bombs. There is no redemption and no softening
>of hearts.
>
>Do you think your application of Jesus' advice was meant for Hitler?
>Would it have worked?
>Why do you think it will work with Hezbollah?
>
>Who is redeemed when all the good people are dead?
>
>
>
>>Well you say " Our enemies only no one way and that their aggression". Well,
>>what has that gotten the USA?? Once we gave into their aggression, we now
>>have "Lowered" our standards" to their level.. Now, who's running who..??
>
>It has gotten us relative peace since 911. What would
>appeasement of evil have gotten us?
>
>We have NOT lowered our standard to their level. We put those
>who target civilians on trial, they put them up as martyrs. Israel
>pays, every day, with their blood for their desire to not kill
>innocents. The jihadis put the rockets in the middle of
>neighborhoods so that innocents may be killed and paraded for
>cameras.
>
>Israel loses the best and bravest conducting surgical strikes to
>protect the innocent when they could have simply leveled the
>whole neighborhood and lost none of their own.
>
>If you think the west is no different than the jihadis, you are simply
>not morally serious.
>
>
>>The Late Dr Martin Luther King Jr, used Ghandi's method of change their
>"Non-Violence"
>>and it was effective.
>
>And you know what? It would work like magic if the jihadi's would
>use it. Want their own country? Great. Sit down, go on hunger
>strike, march! Nah, they won't because they desire one thing and
>one thing only; the destruction of Israel and the death of the Jews.
>
>Take them at their words. Believe them.
>

>BTW, Ghandi's method has limits and in his own case became
>nothing but self righteousness to the point he ended up killing
>his wife because he would not allow an injection of antibiotics.
>
>He called the injection "violence" and would not permit it, so she
>died of an easily-treatable infection.
>
>Think Jesus approved?
>
>
>>Some in our race (Islam) wanted to use Force, which
>>would've only incited a full-out race war. What good would that have done??What
>>would've been gained if the African American populous would've listened
>to
>>the Nation of Islam and gone "Guns Blazing"?? We'd still be killing each
>>other. Thanks God cooler heads prevailed..
>
>
>Wouldn't have happened. The Nation of Islam would have been
>annihilated and many innocents would have suffered, most of them
>black. The remaining black americans (the vast majority) would
>have been operating some of the weapons cutting down the Nation
>of Islam. We would have gone on as a country, just like we did after
>the SLA. Sorry, but that's reality.
>
>
>There is no equivlence between national defense, carried out to the
>best of our ability, and the potential actions of the Nation of Islam.
>
>
>>All in all, God ways are the best way and not Man's way.
>>LAD..
>
>You are right, and what scares the crap out of me is the idea of
>being led into war by a corrupt leader and having those deaths on
>my head. I hope I can see the point at which we can no longer
>support the govt. but I do not think we have reached it yet.
>
>
>Hey, BTW. I am African as well. Got my results from the
>National Geographic Genographic test.
>
>
><https://www3.nationalgeographic.com/genographic/>
>
>I thought we were Italian on my Dad's side, but it turns out that
>Dad's family started in Somalia and Ethopia. We are most highly
>reresented in the current population of North Africans and there
>are Jews, Greeks, Italians, and Spaniards in their too, but it is an African
>family line.

>
>Do the test if you can, but prepared to be surprised.
>
>DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled
Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 21:19:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>(Speaking from personal experience).

Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are human at the source.

I disagree emphatically.

Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us, changes the whole world. Scary huh?

>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then left it
>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.

If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if they do? All is permitted.

This is not God's plan for us.

>The
>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and effective

>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.

And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the rules".

And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's

opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?

>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine with

>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to say

>"what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest here

--

>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then. And I'm

>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's just

>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward human

>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired by a

>supreme being.

And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.

It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort themselves...

You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic assumptions about existence.

>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What do you
>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown rice?

>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
500?

>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and their

>families.

>

>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.

>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think of some

>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's a

>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and

>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

>

>Sarah

And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you would have to.

We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to do what they must to protect our way of life.

Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.

And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.

DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus

Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 21:40:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

However, the only thing that is 'surgical'

>about a 'strike' is that it might keep more of the attackers' soldiers from
>having surgery. Unless the attacker has a) perfect intelligence, b) perfectly
>accurate weapons, and c) the target isn't around anyone else, there is no
>such thing as a 'surgical strike.' So, if the target agrees to be in a field
>at a particular time and the weapons of the attacker always work, we can
>strike him 'surgically.'

Well you missed the point. I tried to find the reference but could not. What happened was that a group of hezbollahs was firing rockets out of a neighborhood in Tyre. Israel knew where they were, and instead of leveling the area, which would have cost

no Israeli lives, they sent in some of their best special forces guys and took out the hezbollahs, but recieved 2 casualties in the process.

The point was that Israel takes casualties to prevent the loss of innocent life when they could have blown the whole area off the map, while the hezbollahs and Hamas and the rest of the wretched Islamofascists do all they can to take innocent life.

Why does this not provoke more outrage?

>Instead air power (nobody every talks about attacks by infantry or artillery
>being 'surgical')

Well, I did. It was a ground attack by Israeli SF troops.

>The ratio of civilian to Hezbollah dead is somewhere
>between 2.5:1 or 7:1 depending on whose stats you believe, which I would
>call far from surgical except in the sense it kept the pilots out of surgery.

And the ratio would be even higher if the ghouls could make it so.

Surely you know that they place their rockets and other munitions, not to mention themselves, in with the general populace? That this is done to produce the maximum number of collateral deaths precisely to show the gullible and win the war of public opinion?

The ghouls are responsible for those dead bodies, not Israel.

>All of which might be perfectly valid military strategy, I'm not arguing
>that, I'm only saying that as long as we lie to ourselves about what's going
>on, we'll never figure how to do it better.

Absolutely.

DC

Subject: Re: Terrorist plot foiled...Christians has Lost focus
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 21:47:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ohh. I See ...)
"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>What This "gummint" ???
>
>I borrowed that term from the libertarians. It is slang for government.
>
>DC
>

Subject: So why do they want to kill us?
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 15:41:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.fff.org/comment/ed1001h.asp>

Your thoughts on this article? Are the assumptions made valid?

If not, why?

Are the options suggested as solutions good ones?

If not, why?

I have my own thoughts on this (of course) that I don't have time to post right now, but I thought this article was pretty succinct, though by virtue of it's being succinct, perhaps oversimplified.

One thing that is ignored is the ideological aspect as is ascribed to Islamic fundamentalism regarding the *Caliphate* mentality.

I was watching the evil Fox news last night and saw an interview with Alexander Haig wherein he stated that though the ideological religious fanaticism was certainly real, our administration had been doing a bit of that as well. I thought this was an *astute* observation personally.

Anyway.....FWIW.....I'd be interested in the opinions offered here.

Subject: Re: So why do they want to kill us?
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 16:22:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good Post DJ.. I guess Al Haige is out of step with the far-right side of the Republican party. They will now proceed to demonize him to no end.

LAD

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

><http://www.fff.org/comment/ed1001h.asp>

>

>Your thoughts on this article? Are the assumptions made valid?

>

>If not, why?

>

>Are the options suggested as solutions good ones?

>

>If not, why?

>

>I have my own thoughts on this (of course) that I don't have time to post
>right now, but I thought this article was pretty succinct, though by virtue
>of it's being succinct, perhaps oversimplified.

>

>One thing that is ignored is the ideological aspect as is ascribed to
>Islamic fundamentalism regarding the *Caliphate* mentality.

>

>I was watching the evil Fox news last night and saw and interview with
>Alexander Haig wherein he stated that though the ideological religious
>fanaticism was certainly real, our administration had been doing a bit of
>that as well. I thought this was an *astute* observation personally.

>

>Anyway.....FWIW.....I'd be interested in the opinions offered here.

>

>

Subject: Re: So why do they want to kill us?

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 17:00:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In any conflict it is wise to consider the true interests of every side
in order to have any hope of resolution.

For Bin Laden, Saudi Arabia is bound to be key. He's from there. From
our perspective, 9/11 was an attack by Saudi Arabians on the USA.

The Iraq embargo is moot. But our involvement in Iraq has gotten much
greater since those days.

Deej, you may scoff, but I think it's fairly obvious we're in the region
because of the resources there. Official rationalizations aside, current
strategy seems to be to surround oil fields with US and British forces.
Saudi, Kuwait, Afghanistan, Iraq..Iran is on the radar.

Privatizing foreign aid is an interesting idea but it seems impractical. On one hand it might lessen foreign lobbying and meddling with our government. OTOH, it makes government less relevant and removes an important element of foreign policy in favor of a more chaotic approach. Granted that such chaos might make better choices at times. Private sources already send money all over the world for various reasons, is there any prohibition on that now?

Dropping support for Israel would be a bad move on many levels. However, coming up with a consistent rational policy toward peace in the region would be beneficial, with an attempt at understanding and working toward the compatible interests of all parties. Our involvement on that level seems to wax and wane.

Aggressive religious fanaticism is a recipe for disaster anywhere on the globe. Aggressive expansionist nationalism also falls into that category. Both exist in the region. Framing the situation in "crusade" language, as Bush once did, supports Haig's point.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

DJ wrote:

- > <http://www.fff.org/comment/ed1001h.asp>
- >
- > Your thoughts on this article? Are the assumptions made valid?
- >
- > If not, why?
- >
- > Are the options suggested as solutions good ones?
- >
- > If not, why?
- >
- > I have my own thoughts on this (of course) that I don't have time to post
- > right now, but I thought this article was pretty succinct, though by virtue
- > of it's being succinct, perhaps oversimplified.
- >
- > One thing that is ignored is the ideological aspect as is ascribed to
- > Islamic fundamentalism regarding the *Caliphate* mentality.
- >
- > I was watching the evil Fox news last night and saw an interview with
- > Alexander Haig wherein he stated that though the ideological religious
- > fanaticism was certainly real, our administration had been doing a bit of
- > that as well. I thought this was an *astute* observation personally.
- >

> Anyway.....FWIW.....I'd be interested in the opinions offered here.
>
>

Subject: Re: So why do they want to kill us?
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 18:16:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, DeeJ, you're asking an almost impossibly broad question. To discuss the narrow question of 'why do they want to kill us' I would suggest both books by Michael Scheuer, the former head of the 'bin Laden' desk at the CIA. His first book was 'Through Our Enemies' Eyes' which was a post 9/11 attempt to answer this question quite explicitly, but from the perspective of the muslim world. The second book is called 'Imperial Hubris' and is much more about the folly of remaking Afghanistan and Iraq into Swiss canton style democracies. It cost him his job, and now he writes and does the lecture circuit.

However, it's hard to read those books without asking if maybe we've been hornswoggled by our own government as to what our military and secret services are up to. Are we really a benevolent force in the world? If we are, are there reasons we would not be perceived that way? Might some of those who 'hate us' have taken our measure accurately and found us wanting? For a sobering, though slanted, run down of that, William Blum's 'Killing Hope' is superb for content, almost unreadable for style. And it's depressing. And most of it is true, though you can argue that some degree of it is/was justified.

If that isn't enough reading, I would suggest Chalmers Johnson's 'The Sorrows of Empire' which is about the archepligo of military bases and installations around the world that serve so well to piss off a lot of the locals. In some ways it's the most shocking of all, and also superbly written by a veteran academic.

The problem with reading all of these books is that, with the exception of Blum who has a clear axe to grind, they are sobering, conservative accounts of a world almost totally unlike the one we see on network news and CNN every night. As one who loves US history perhaps a little too much, it took me 10+ years of really going through this kind of stuff to arrive at my current proudly isolationist (we call patriotic, or paleo-conservative) view of the world and the US. FWIW, it's tough going, and learning about what the US has done from Iran to Greece to El Salvador to Iraq will not often make you terribly proud. Despite that I still came out a genuine patriot, in my opinion much more of a patriot than most of the flag wavers and bible thumpers who now soil the word itself.

TCB

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

><http://www.fff.org/comment/ed1001h.asp>

>

>Your thoughts on this article? Are the assumptions made valid?

>

>If not, why?

>

>Are the options suggested as solutions good ones?

>

>If not, why?

>

>I have my own thoughts on this (of course) that I don't have time to post

>right now, but I thought this article was pretty succinct, though by virtue

>of it's being succinct, perhaps oversimplified.

>

>One thing that is ignored is the ideological aspect as is ascribed to

>Islamic fundamentalism regarding the *Caliphate* mentality.

>

>I was watching the evil Fox news last night and saw an interview with

>Alexander Haig wherein he stated that though the ideological religious

>fanaticism was certainly real, our administration had been doing a bit of

>that as well. I thought this was an *astute* observation personally.

>

>Anyway.....FWIW.....I'd be interested in the opinions offered here.

>

>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 18:57:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sarah,

Let's just agree to disagree. I'll be happy to discuss this further privately if you like.

best,

DC

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned

>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled

>to

>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong

in

>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to view

>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."

>

>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is

>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest on

>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition if

>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If you

>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in

>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,

>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.

>

>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally do

>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no

>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.

|

>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly

>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this

>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial and

>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's silly

>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the sky."

>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my

>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma that

>I have no reason to believe.

>

>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I

>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time ago),

>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe that

>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering

>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims

>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human beings

>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>
>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a
way
>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years. What
I
>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because you
>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>
>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a threat
>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>
>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
by
>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>
>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil
of
>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
has
>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the

>potential consequences of this.
>
>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that
I
>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now that

>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to

>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs

>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not

>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>
>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>
>Sarah
>
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.com> wrote:
>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>
>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>> human at the source.
>>
>> I disagree emphatically.
>>
>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>
>>
>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then left
>> it
>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>
>>
>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>> they do? All is permitted.
>>
>> This is not God's plan for us.

>>
>>
>>>The
>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>effective
>>
>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>
>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>> rules".
>>
>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>
>>
>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine with
>>
>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to say
>>
>>>"what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest here
>> --
>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then. And
>> I'm
>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
just
>>
>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward

>>>human
>>
>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
by
>> a
>>>supreme being.
>>
>>
>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>> themselves...
>>
>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>> assumptions about existence.
>>
>>
>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis

>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What do
>> you
>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown

>>>rice?
>>
>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>> 500?
>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and

>>>their
>>
>>>families.
>>>
>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>
>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think of
>> some
>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
a
>>
>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
and
>>
>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>
>>>Sarah
>>
>>
>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>> would have to.
>>
>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to
do
>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>
>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.

>>
>> DC
>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 19:00:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled to make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong in your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to view me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."

First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest on logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition if you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If you have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously, demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.

Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally do not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims. I do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial and frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's silly to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the sky." I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma that I have no reason to believe.

Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time ago), and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe that the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human beings whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.

Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a way that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years. What I don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because you were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?

Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a threat to the Romans and the Jewish hierarchy that they decided to kill him?

You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven, or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained by fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein

And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil of "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what has been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the potential consequences of this.

But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that I could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now that the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not wrong simply because they contradict yours.

OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.

Sarah

"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.

>>(Speaking from personal experience).

>

> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God

> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
> human at the source.
>
> I disagree emphatically.
>
> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>
>
>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then left
> it
>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>
>
> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> they do? All is permitted.
>
> This is not God's plan for us.
>
>
>>The
>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>effective
>
>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>
> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> rules".
>
> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>
>
>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine with
>
>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to say
>
>>"what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest here
> --
>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then. And
> I'm
>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's just
>
>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward

>>human
>
>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired by
> a
>>supreme being.
>
>
> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> themselves...
>
> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> assumptions about existence.
>
>
>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What do
> you
>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>rice?
>
>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
> 500?
>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>their
>
>>families.
>>
>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>
>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think of
> some
>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's a
>
>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and
>
>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>
>>Sarah
>
>
> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you

> would have to.
>
> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to do
> what they must to protect our way of life.
> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>
> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>
> DC
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 20:04:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sarah

everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top ten killers of humanity throughout history.

On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.com> wrote:

>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled to
>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong in
>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to view
>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>
>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is
>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest on
>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition if
>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If you
>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,

>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.

>

>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally do
>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims. I
>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial and
>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's silly
>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the sky."
>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my
>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma that
>I have no reason to believe.

>

>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I
>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time ago),
>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe that
>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human beings
>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.

>

>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a way
>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years. What I
>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because you
>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?

>

>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a threat
>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?

>

>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained by
>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein

>

>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil of
>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what has

>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>potential consequences of this.

>

>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that I
>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now that
>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>wrong simply because they contradict yours.

>

>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.

>

>Sarah

>

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...

>>

>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.

>>>(Speaking from personal experience).

>>

>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>> human at the source.

>>

>> I disagree emphatically.

>>

>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?

>>

>>

>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then left
>> it

>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.

>>

>>

>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>> they do? All is permitted.

>>

>> This is not God's plan for us.

>>

>>

>>>The

>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>effective
>>
>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>
>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>> rules".
>>
>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>
>>
>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine with
>>
>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to say
>>
>>>"what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest here
>> --
>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then. And
>> I'm
>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's just
>>
>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>>>human
>>
>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired by
>> a
>>>supreme being.
>>
>>
>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>> themselves...
>>
>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>> assumptions about existence.
>>
>>
>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What do
>> you
>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>>rice?
>>
>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?

>> 500?
>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>>their
>>
>>>families.
>>>
>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>
>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think of
>> some
>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's a
>>
>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and
>>
>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>
>>>Sarah
>>
>>
>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>> would have to.
>>
>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to do
>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>
>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>
>> DC
>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 20:17:12 GMT

I'd be interested to hear the nine candidates you would propose ahead of it.

TCB

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>sarah

>

>everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to

>convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully

>not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just

>that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top

>ten killers of humanity throughout history.

>

>On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>

>wrote:

>

>>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned

>>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled to

>>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong in

>>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to view

>>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."

>>

>>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is

>>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest on

>>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition if

>>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If you

>>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in

>>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously, >>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.

>>

>>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally do

>>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no

>>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.

|

>>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly

>>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this

>>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial and

>>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's silly

>>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the sky."

>>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my

>>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma that

>>I have no reason to believe.

>>

>>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
I

>>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time ago),

>>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe that

>>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering

>>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims

>>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human beings

>>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the

>>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.

>>

>>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a way

>>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you

>>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years. What I

>>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because you

>>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents

>>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened

>>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in

>>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?

>>

>>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly

>>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make

>>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a threat
>>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>
>>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
>>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
by
>>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>
>>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil
of
>>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
has
>>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>>potential consequences of this.
>>
>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that
I
>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
that
>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
>>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>
>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>
>>Sarah
>>
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>

>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>>
>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>> human at the source.
>>>
>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>
>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>
>>>
>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
left
>>> it
>>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>
>>>
>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>
>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>
>>>
>>>>The
>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>effective
>>>
>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>
>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>> rules".
>>>
>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>
>>>
>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
with
>>>
>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
say

>>>
>>>>"what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest here
>>> --
>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
And
>>> I'm
>>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
just
>>>
>>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward

>>>>human
>>>
>>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
by
>>> a
>>>>supreme being.
>>>
>>>
>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>> themselves...
>>>
>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
do
>>> you
>>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown

>>>>rice?
>>>
>>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>> 500?
>>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and

>>>>their
>>>
>>>>families.
>>>>
>>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>

>>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
of
>>> some
>>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
a
>>>
>>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
and
>>>
>>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>
>>>>Sarah
>>>
>>>
>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>> would have to.
>>>
>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
to do
>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>
>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 20:52:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would go so far as to say that religious fervor has been and continues to be one of the leading causes of suffering and death in all of human history.

Unfortunately, I think our "War On Terror" is more of a religious crusade than is openly admitted.

There was a time in human history when religion ruled the Western world. It's called "The Dark Ages."

Sarah

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:c9u6e2dqskmvij58nuv4tmj0gs1omuf518@4ax.com...

> sarah

>

> everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to
> convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
> not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
> that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
> ten killers of humanity throughout history.

Subject: Re: So why do they want to kill us?

Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 22:28:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

><http://www.fff.org/comment/ed1001h.asp>

>

Hi, DJ!

>Your thoughts on this article? Are the assumptions made valid?

pretty much

>

>If not, why?

>

>Are the options suggested as solutions good ones?

pretty much, but a lot has happened since that was written

let me direct you to a little email from muckraker Palast which tells it better than I could.

second post from the top

<http://sizzlingizzards.blogspot.com/>

-steve

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 23:51:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive dialog between people who operate from very different assumptions about the nature of reality.

Great post, Sarah.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Sarah wrote:

> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled to
> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong in
> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to view
> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>
> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is
> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest on
> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition if
> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If you
> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>
> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally do
> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims. I
> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial and
> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's silly
> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the sky."
> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my
> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma that
> I have no reason to believe.
>
> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I
> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time ago),

> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe that
> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human beings
> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.

>

> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a way
> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years. What I
> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because you
> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?

>

> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a threat
> to the Romans and the Jewish hierarchy that they decided to kill him?

>

> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained by
> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein

>

> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil of
> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what has
> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
> potential consequences of this.

>

> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that I
> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now that
> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
> wrong simply because they contradict yours.

>

> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.

>

> Sarah

>

>

> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>> human at the source.
>>
>> I disagree emphatically.
>>
>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>
>>
>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then left
>> it
>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>
>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>> they do? All is permitted.
>>
>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>
>>
>>> The
>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>> effective
>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>> rules".
>>
>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>
>>
>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine with
>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to say
>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest here
>> --
>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then. And
>> I'm
>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's just
>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>>> human

>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired by
>> a
>>> supreme being.
>>
>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>> themselves...
>>
>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>> assumptions about existence.
>>
>>
>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What do
>> you
>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>> rice?
>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>> 500?
>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>> their
>>> families.
>>>
>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think of
>> some
>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's a
>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and
>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>
>>> Sarah
>>
>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>> would have to.
>>
>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to do
>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>
>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the

>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.

>>
>> DC
>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 01:34:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ya know.....as much as you and I seem to disagree at times, it's truly
amazing how similarly we view things.

Regards,

Deej

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.com> wrote in message news:44e369e2@linux...

> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
to
> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
in
> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
view
> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>
> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is
> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest on
> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition if
> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If
you
> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>
> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally do
> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
I

> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial and
> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
silly
> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
sky."
> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my
> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
that
> I have no reason to believe.
>
> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I
> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
ago),
> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe that
> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
smattering
> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
beings
> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>
> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a
way
> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years. What
I
> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because you
> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
happened
> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>
> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
threat
> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>
> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
Heaven,
> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on

> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained by
> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>
> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil
of
> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
has
> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
> potential consequences of this.
>
> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that
I
> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
that
> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>
> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>
> Sarah
>
>
> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >
> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
> >>(Speaking from personal experience).
> >
> > Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
> > unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> > solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
> > human at the source.
> >
> > I disagree emphatically.
> >
> > Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> > that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> > changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >
> >
> >>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
left
> > it
> >>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.

> >
> >
> > If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> > matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> > cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> > they do? All is permitted.
> >
> > This is not God's plan for us.
> >
> >
> >>The
> >>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
> >>effective
> >
> >>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >
> > And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> > rules".
> >
> > And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> > opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >
> >
> >>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
with
> >
> >>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to say
> >
> >>"what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest here
> > --
> >>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then. And
> > I'm
> >>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
just
> >
> >>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
> >>human
> >
> >>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
by
> > a
> >>supreme being.
> >
> >
> > And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> > It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> > care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> > the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are

> > human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> > themselves...
> >
> > You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> > assumptions about existence.
> >
> >
> >>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
> >>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What do
> > you
> >>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
> >>rice?
> >
> >>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
> > 500?
> >>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
> >>their
> >
> >>families.
> >>
> >>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
maimed.
> >
> >>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think of
> > some
> >>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's a
> >
> >>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
and
> >
> >>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>
> >>Sarah
> >
> >
> > And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
> > longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
> > wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> > or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
> > would have to.
> >
> > We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to
do
> > what they must to protect our way of life.
> > Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
> > years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> > WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >

> > And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
> > ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
> > for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
> > some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> > convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> > Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >
> > DC
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [macle](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 01:53:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh my gawd, lucifer!
either way, it's so absurd,
look around it's
such a blur you see!!

Why is live and let live so hard? I dunno. Seems so easy.

I've taken to burying my head in the sand. Ignorance is bliss
sort of nearly almost. It'll all work out in the end (except
for those of us burning in hell for eternity...OUCH!)

Sarah, I listened to the clips on your site...very very
nice Paris sound. Thumbs up!

Peace brothers and sisters!!

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned

>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
to
>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
in
>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
view
>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>
>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is

>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest on
>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition if

>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If you
>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>
>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally do
>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no

>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
I
>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial and

>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's silly

>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the sky."

>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my

>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
that
>I have no reason to believe.
>
>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I

>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time ago),

>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe that

>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering

>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims

>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human beings

>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the

>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>
>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a
way

>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years. What
I
>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because you
>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>
>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a threat
>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>
>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
by
>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>
>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil
of
>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
has
>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>potential consequences of this.
>
>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that
I
>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now that
>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to

>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>
>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>
>Sarah
>
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>
>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>> human at the source.
>>
>> I disagree emphatically.
>>
>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>
>>
>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then left
>> it
>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>
>>
>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>> they do? All is permitted.
>>
>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>
>>
>>>The
>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>effective
>>
>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.

>>
>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>> rules".
>>
>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>
>>
>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine with
>>
>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to say
>>
>>>"what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest here
>> --
>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then. And
>> I'm
>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
just
>>
>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward

>>>human
>>
>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
by
>> a
>>>supreme being.
>>
>>
>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>> themselves...
>>
>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>> assumptions about existence.
>>
>>
>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What do
>> you
>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown

>>>rice?
>>
>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?

>> 500?
>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and

>>>their
>>
>>>families.
>>>
>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>
>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think of
>> some
>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
a
>>
>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
and
>>
>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>
>>>Sarah
>>
>>
>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>> would have to.
>>
>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to
do
>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>
>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>
>> DC
>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 03:27:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> nature of reality.

I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the nightly news bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd rather be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife would rather die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3ae02@linux...

>
> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive dialog
> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> nature of reality.

>
> Great post, Sarah.

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>
>
> Sarah wrote:
>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
> to
>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
> in
>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
> view
>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
> "me."

>>
>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is
>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
> on
>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
> if
>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If
> you
>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.

> >
> > Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally do
> > not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
> > evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
I
> > do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
> > connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
> > "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
and
> > frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
silly
> > to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
sky."
> > I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my
> > mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
that
> > I have no reason to believe.
> >
> > Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I
> > have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
ago),
> > and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
that
> > the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
smattering
> > of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
claims
> > to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
beings
> > whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
> > word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
> >
> > Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a
way
> > that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
> > believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
What I
> > don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
you
> > were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
> > believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
happened
> > to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
> > India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >
> > Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> > omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this

make

> > any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a threat

> > to the Romans and the Jewish hierarchy that they decided to kill him?

> >

> > You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with

> > other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or

> > wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,

> > or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave

> > accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on

> > sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is

> > necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained

by

> > fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein

> >

> > And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil of

> > "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what has

> > been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe

> > that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the

> > potential consequences of this.

> >

> > But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that I

> > could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now that

> > the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to

> > them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs

beliefs

> > are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not

> > wrong simply because they contradict yours.

> >

> > OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.

> >

> > Sarah

> >

> >

> > "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...

> >> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.com> wrote:

> >>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.

> >>> (Speaking from personal experience).

> >> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God

> >> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is

> >> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are

> >> human at the source.

> >>

> >> I disagree emphatically.
> >>
> >> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>
> >>
> >>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
left
> >> it
> >>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
> >>
> >> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >> they do? All is permitted.
> >>
> >> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>
> >>
> >>> The
> >>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
> >>> effective
> >>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >> rules".
> >>
> >> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> >> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>
> >>
> >>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
with
> >>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
say
> >>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest
here
> >> --
> >>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
And
> >> I'm
> >>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
just
> >>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
> >>> human
> >>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
by
> >> a

> >>> supreme being.
> >>
> >> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> >> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> >> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> >> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> >> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> >> themselves...
> >>
> >> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >> assumptions about existence.
> >>
> >>
> >>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
> >>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
do
> >> you
> >>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
> >>> rice?
> >>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
> >> 500?
> >>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
> >>> their
> >>> families.
> >>>
> >>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
maimed.
> >>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
of
> >> some
> >>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
a
> >>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
and
> >>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>>
> >>> Sarah
> >>
> >> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
> >> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
> >> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> >> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
> >> would have to.
> >>
> >> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to
do
> >> what they must to protect our way of life.
> >> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many

> >> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> >> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >>
> >> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
> >> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
> >> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
> >> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> >> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> >> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >>
> >> DC
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 04:34:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for disagreement.

Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.

Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango to convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!

What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about them?

Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and manipulated in the process.

I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?

Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

DJ wrote:

>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>> nature of reality.

>

> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the nightly news
> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd rather
> be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife would rather
> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.

>

>

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3ae02@linux...

>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive dialog
>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>> nature of reality.

>>

>> Great post, Sarah.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>>

>>

>> Sarah wrote:

>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled

> to

>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong

> in

>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to

> view

>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call

> "me."

>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is

>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest

> on

>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition

> if

>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If

> you

>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in

>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,

>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.

>>>

>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally

> do

>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
> I
>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
> and
>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
> silly
>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
> sky."
>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my
>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
> that
>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>
>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I
>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
> ago),
>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
> that
>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> smattering
>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
> claims
>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
> beings
>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>
>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a
> way
>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> What I
>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
> you
>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> happened
>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>
>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
> make
>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
> threat

>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>
>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
> Heaven,
>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
> by
>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>>
>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
> evil of
>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
> has
>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>
>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
> that I
>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
> that
>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> beliefs
>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>
>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>
>>> Sarah
>>>
>>>
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>
>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>
>>>>> Everything prodeeds from one's assumptions. Even considering

>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
> left
>>>> it
>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>
>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The
>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>> effective
>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>> rules".
>>>>
>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
> with
>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
> say
>>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest
> here
>>>> --
>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
> And
>>>> I'm
>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
> just
>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>>>>> human
>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
> by
>>>> a
>>>>> supreme being.
>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in

>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>> themselves...
>>>>
>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
> do
>>>> you
>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>>>> rice?
>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>> 500?
>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>>>> their
>>>>> families.
>>>>>
>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
> maimed.
>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
> of
>>>> some
>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
> a
>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
> and
>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>
>>>>> Sarah
>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>> would have to.
>>>>
>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to
> do
>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>
>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill

>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.

>>>>

>>>> DC

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>

>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 05:08:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
them?

>

Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a local
church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and American
Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether they
would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of other
religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before the
Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he never
gave a straight answer.

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...

>

> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
> disagreement.

>

> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.

>

> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango to
> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!

>

> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
them?

>

> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
> manipulated in the process.

>
> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>
> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>
>
> DJ wrote:
> >> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >> nature of reality.
> >
> > I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the nightly
news
> > bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
> > justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
rather
> > be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife would
rather
> > die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3ae02@linux...
> >> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
dialog
> >> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >> nature of reality.
> >>
> >> Great post, Sarah.
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >> Sarah wrote:
> >>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
learned
> >>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
compelled
> > to
> >>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
wrong
> > in

> >>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
> > view
> >>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
> > "me."
> >>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is
> >>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
> > on
> >>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
> > if
> >>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
If
> > you
> >>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
> >>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>
> >>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
> > do
> >>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
> >>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
> > I
> >>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
> >>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
> >>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
> > and
> >>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
> > silly
> >>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
> > sky."
> >>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my
> >>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
> > that
> >>> I have no reason to believe.
> >>>
> >>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
I
> >>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
> > ago),
> >>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
> > that

> >>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> > smattering
> >>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
> > claims
> >>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
> > beings
> >>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
the
> >>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
> >>>
> >>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
a
> > way
> >>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
you
> >>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> > What I
> >>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
> > you
> >>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
parents
> >>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> > happened
> >>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
in
> >>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>
> >>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> >>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
> > make
> >>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
> > threat
> >>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
> >>>
> >>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
with
> >>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
> >>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
> > Heaven,
> >>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
> >>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
on
> >>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
> >>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
restrained
> > by
> >>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
> >>>

> >>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
> > evil of
> >>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
what
> > has
> >>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
believe
> >>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
> >>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>
> >>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
> > that I
> >>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
> > that
> >>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
to
> >>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> > beliefs
> >>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
not
> >>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>
> >>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>
> >>> Sarah
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
faith.
> >>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
> >>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> >>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
> >>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
> > left
> >>>>> it
> >>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than

competition.

> >>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>> they do? All is permitted.

> >>>>

> >>>> This is not God's plan for us.

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>> The

> >>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and

> >>>>> effective

> >>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.

> >>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the

> >>>> rules".

> >>>>

> >>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's

> >>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine

> > with

> >>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to

> > say

> >>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest

> > here

> >>>> --

> >>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.

> > And

> >>>> I'm

> >>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.

It's

> > just

> >>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly

backward

> >>>>> human

> >>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been

inspired

> > by

> >>>> a

> >>>>> supreme being.

> >>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.

> >>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not

> >>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in

> >>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are

> >>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort

> >>>> themselves...

> >>>>

> >>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >>>> assumptions about existence.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
> >>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
> > do
> >>>> you
> >>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
brown
> >>>>> rice?
> >>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
100?
> >>>>> 500?
> >>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
and
> >>>>> their
> >>>>> families.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
> > maimed.
> >>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
> > of
> >>>> some
> >>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
it's
> > a
> >>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
jumpsuits
> > and
> >>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Sarah
> >>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
> >>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
> >>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> >>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
> >>>> would have to.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
to
> > do
> >>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
> >>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
> >>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> >>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the

>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
>> disagreement.
>>
>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>
>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango to
>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>
>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> them?
>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
>> manipulated in the process.
>>
>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>
>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>> nature of reality.
>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the nightly
> news
>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
> rather
>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
> rather
>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3ae02@linux...
>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
> dialog
>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>> nature of reality.

>>>>
>>>> Great post, Sarah.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
> learned
>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
> compelled
>>> to
>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
> wrong
>>> in
>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
> to
>>> view
>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
>>> "me."
>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
> is
>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
> rest
>>> on
>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>>> if
>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
> If
>>> you
>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>
>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
> personally
>>> do
>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
> no
>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
> claims.
>>> I
>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>>> and

>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
>>> silly
>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
>>> sky."
>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
> my
>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
> dogma
>>> that
>>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>>
>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
> I
>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
>>> ago),
>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
>>> that
>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
>>> smattering
>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
>>> claims
>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
>>> beings
>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
> the
>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>>
>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
> a
>>> way
>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
> you
>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>>> What I
>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>>> you
>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
> parents
>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>>> happened
>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
> in
>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>
>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
>>> make
>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a

>>> threat
>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>>
>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
> with
>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
>>> Heaven,
>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
> on
>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
> restrained
>>> by
>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>>>
>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
>>> evil of
>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
> what
>>> has
>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> believe
>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>>
>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
>>> that I
>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
>>> that
>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
> to
>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>>> beliefs
>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
> not
>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>
>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>
>>>> Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
> faith.

>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>>> left
>>>>>> it
>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> competition.
>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>>> rules".
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>>>> with
>>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>>>> say
>>>>>>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest
>>>> here
>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
>>>> And
>>>>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.

> It's
>>> just
>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
> backward
>>>>>> human
>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
> inspired
>>> by
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> supreme being.
>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>>> do
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
> brown
>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
> 100?
>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
> and
>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
>>> maimed.
>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>>> of
>>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
> it's
>>> a
>>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
> jumpsuits
>>> and
>>>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
> to
>>> do
>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
> kill
>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh g-wd . .
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 06:40:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow, I am impressed with both the number of people interested in this topic, and with their civility. So, I will respond here.

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned

>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
>to
>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
>in

>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to view

>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."

So, what you believe does not need to be actually true (as in reflecting reality) but can be true for you alone? Your reality?

This, of course, begs the question of the existence of God, since your system does not allow for objectivity. You define the "god" that you need, correct?

Christians believe in an objective creator and absolute morality. We do not know all of it, and we certainly don't live up to it very often, but we aspire to it because we believe it to be *real*. It is a truth claim.

Reality is that which makes universal claims to truth, not a unique set of views that works for one person only. I believe in a perfect and objective reality that I aspire to understand some day. I firmly believe that there is all the evidence I need to understand my role in life and what the future holds for me however.

>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is

>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest on

>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition if

>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.

It's inadequate. Faith is really the active participation of humanity, in the context of millions of pieces of supportive evidence, with our eyes wide open, in the creative activity of understanding and being a part of, existence, as it really is.

It is not blind in the least.

>If you

>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in

>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,

>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.

No one else can explain it either. It's kind of.. ummm... the warping of the space-time fabric around objects that possess sufficient mass. Whatever that means.

Ok, the universe is expanding. Hubble's constant, supported by thousands of observations and experiments, proves it.

But wait, there's not enough mass to explain this... Ok, it must be "dark matter" (don't ask), oh no, it's actually "vibrating strings" which account for the missing mass (really, don't ask!).

No one has ever seen such things. But they make the equations work out, so they or something like them, may be true.

Does this process sound a lot like my description of faith above?
Of course it does

You simply must discard the mistaken notion that there is objective evidence that makes faith blind. There is not.

- >Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally do
- >not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
- >evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
- |
- >do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
- >connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
- >"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial and
- >frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's silly
- >to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the sky."
- >I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my
- >mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma that
- >I have no reason to believe.

No, you can make it up as you go along. Which of course, makes you "god", doesn't it? How can you possibly be so sure that God has not tried to contact us, and that Jesus was not who he said he was? You believe he was not and your belief is based upon at minimum, the work and traditions of atheists.

But, if God is real, the Creator God whose son was called Jesus, then it is reasonable that He is interested in communicating with us. Who has cast "god" solely in her own "unique" model, and who has placed God outside of us all and needing to be known? Who has anthropomorphized whom? How does your "god" differ from an idealized Sarah Jane? How do you answer atheists and natrualists who call your ideas mere

wishful thinking and comforting myth making?

My God does not fill me with warm feelings very often. He rarely thrills me and makes me feel loved. Mostly He teaches me, and sometimes in brutal fashion, how far I need to go from this wretched being I am. I sometimes really dislike God a lot for kicking my ass so hard and so regularly.

Religious? I dunno how you can call me that...

Now, when I look backwards at my life, and I see how God has led me through things that should have literally killed me, or at least *ruined* my life, and I look at who I am vs. who I would have been without Him, I shudder at how close a call it has been, and I thank Him again for saving me in spite of myself.

>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I

>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time ago),

>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe that

>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering

>of history.

The best reason of all is the unbroken line of millions of serious and devout Christians who considered the Bible important enough to dedicate their lives to making sure it did not die out. I have lived through several generations now, and I have seen God raise up those who will carry on the tradition into the next generation so it will not be lost to the world. No, I do not believe every word to be the word of God, but God's thoughts are there, even if imperfectly (or at least apparently imperfect to us)

Those people have done so precisely because the Bible tells the story of the redemption of humanity, and redemption is our only hope as the wretched middle east makes so clear.

There is no spiritual tradition with Jesus Christ in it other than the Christian church, and Jesus is the central figure in human history. I call it "the great Christian church invisible", consisting of those who

are truly committed to God. No other tradition explains the world as we see it and offers a better solution to what we see. It is most definitely NOT a denomination with a name. But you sure know it when you walk into one.

There are many other reasons to believe the Bible, but the best of reason of all is your search for God.

You have to have more than a "sleeping god" who fumbles his way through our lives. You have to be humble enough to be taught and be corrected. You have to honestly search for God outside of yourself and your preconceptions.

BTW, the Hindus believe that when they play that wonderful raga music, if they do it well enough, and they really open themselves to it, that the note at the heart of the universe will fly right out of their instruments for w while. You know what? I think I have heard them do it! So much for the right-wing patriot Bible Belt sort of image of me huh?

Of course people in other traditions can be saved! I had a dream one night where the Dalai Lama went to heaven and met Jesus. He did not know who Jesus was at first, but as they conversed, the Lama said: "This voice, I have heard this voice before"... And indeed he has. At least IMO.

This is how I see it: Those who seek God will find Him, no matter where they start. Every human soul is born with the Holy Spirit speaking to it, and it is our response to the voice that determines our destiny, not our tradition.

Just because I believe the Christian tradition to be the right one does not make God unavailable to non Christians. Even the Catholics believe this.

I do not care if you go to Sunday School. I care very much that you cannot envision a God that is at minimum, competent and loving, and interested in us. Most of all, the Christian church is the rock you build your foundation on to stand in these times. There is no other.

>And if the bible is the
>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.

Ok, how about this:

http://hubblesite.org/gallery/album/galaxy_collection/pr2003_028a/web_print

It seems the word is everywhere. But the Bible has everything you need to be saved. thank God.

>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a way
>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years. What I
>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?

It give me hope because it took the lies away. The lies of the evil one. It gives me hope because it tells me the truth. It gives me hope because it connects me to the almighty.

>Because you
>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy?

No, because I hated the church, and I called out to God, and he led me back. I still hate it, but I am learning how to make it better.

>Because your parents believed it?

That lasted till about 10 years old for me...

>Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus?

Never dropped acid. Always seemed a dumb-sh*t thing to do. sorry

>Because you happened to be born here rather than India or Iran?

See above...

>And if you had been born in India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?

See above...

>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make

>any sense?

Do you have sins? I know I do. Where did this come from? This "sin" thing? It happened first when humans decided they knew better than God, what they should do. Boy, I wish we could take that one back. And so we sin to this day. We needed a way out, a way to escape the natural consequences of sin, that being

seperation from God, the source of life. When they Deluxe Reverb gets unplugged from the wall, the music goes away. When the human gets unplugged from God, the human goes away. Jesus was the great liberator of humanity. He provided a way to re-enter a healthy relationship with the almighty, and hey, it only requires a bit of trust and faith. What a deal!

>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with

>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,

>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>accordingly.

I never said that! I said without God, there is no basis for right and wrong other than what you think and what I think. Obviously, we think a lot about all this, and we have been trying to come up with secular models for morality since the Enlightenment, but they have all failed because they are all purely self-referential. Your model, for instance, rests on the assumption that "everyone just knows these things". I assure you they do not. This will get worse, not better, in the future.

We can threaten people, we can impose our version of morality with a gun, we can blather about "social contracts" and more, but we cannot come up with a morality that is transcendent and permanent. That's because only God has one.

Oh, and BTW, once again, you are arguing as an atheist:

>One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong

But you claim to not be an atheist! But you also claim to not need God for much of anything other than good feelings. Does God inform your morality? In what way? If he does not, do you need Him?

>"A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
by
>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein

"God does not play with dice" Albert Einstein

"God not only plays with dice, but He throws them in a corner where you cannot see them" Stephen Hawking

Seem like even Einstein gets revised. Why should a man care about the things Einstein lists? Social pressure? Social contract? And you accuse *God* of sleeping! How well is the alternative working out so far??

>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil of

>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute.

Sure it is! They are excused as poverty-stricken victims of american empire who have no other way to express themselves. This bull is spewed out on a daily basis by the left. If you expressed 1/10 of the anger towards them, that you do towards the Bushies, you would have vastly more credibility with me.

>I'm concerned about what has

>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe

>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the

>potential consequences of this.

And you have a right to feel this way. You do not have a right to blame Christians for it. There's lots of Christians who agree with you.

>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that I

>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now that

>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to

>them.

My beliefs are in constant growth as God leads me towards standing up in these difficult times. But no, my faith in Jesus Christ is not assailable. It would be like betraying my wife, only worse.

>You are of course free to believe what you want,

Gee thanks. Too bad you feel the need to ridicule them on such a regular basis. Seems, for the left, like Christians are the only group

it is still cool to hate

>but again, beliefs
>are by definition unproven,

Not for me. See above. It's all beliefs.
You just view yours as objective and not as beliefs at all. This is an illusion. The question always has been, are they true beliefs?

We come to different conclusions.

>and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>wrong simply because they contradict yours.

Nor right. It is your search. All I can do is tell you of mine.

But If I am right, there are major consequences.
If I am wrong, hey, I still had a good life full of love, hope, kids and confidence of my future. Beats existential dread, bongos and bad poetry every time.

So,
You are walking down a dark alley, a bunch of young men come fly out a door and walk your way. Would you prefer, or not prefer that they are leaving a Bible study?

take care

DC

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 10:11:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i didn't want to cause trouble by calling it #1

On 17 Aug 2006 06:17:12 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>I'd be interested to hear the nine candidates you would propose ahead of it.
>
>
>TCB
>
>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>sarah

>>
>>everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to
>>convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
>>not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
>>that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
>>ten killers of humanity throughout history.
>>
>>On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>
>>>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
>to
>>>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
>in
>>>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
>view
>>>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>>>
>>>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is
>
>>>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
>on
>>>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>if
>>>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If
>you
>>>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>
>>>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>
>>>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
>do
>>>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
>
>>>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
> I
>>>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>>>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>
>>>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>and
>>>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
>silly
>>>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
>sky."

>>>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my
>
>>>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
>that
>>>I have no reason to believe.
>>>
>>>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
>I
>>>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
>ago),
>>>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe that
>
>>>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
>
>>>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
>
>>>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human beings
>
>>>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
>
>>>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>
>>>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
>a way
>>>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>
>>>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years. What
>I
>>>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>you
>>>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>
>>>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>
>>>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>
>>>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>
>>>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
>
>>>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a threat
>
>>>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>
>>>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>
>>>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or

>
>>>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
>
>>>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>
>>>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>>>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
>by
>>>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>>
>>>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil
>of
>>>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
>has
>>>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>
>>>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>
>>>potential consequences of this.
>>>
>>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that
>I
>>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
>that
>>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
>
>>>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
>
>>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>
>>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>
>>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>
>>>Sarah
>>>
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>
>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>>> human at the source.

>>>>
>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>
>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>left
>>>> it
>>>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>
>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The
>>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>>effective
>>>>
>>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>
>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>> rules".
>>>>
>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>with
>>>>
>>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>say
>>>>
>>>>>"what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest here
>>>> --
>>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
>And
>>>> I'm
>>>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
>just

>>>>
>>>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>
>>>>>human
>>>>
>>>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
>by
>>>> a
>>>>>supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>> themselves...
>>>>
>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>do
>>>> you
>>>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>
>>>>>rice?
>>>>
>>>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>> 500?
>>>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>
>>>>>their
>>>>
>>>>>families.
>>>>
>>>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>>
>>>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>of
>>>> some
>>>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
>a
>>>>
>>>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
>and

>>>>
>>>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>
>>>>>Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>> would have to.
>>>>
>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
>to do
>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>
>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 10:16:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the sun does seem to be dimming again somewhat.

On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 13:52:19 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
wrote:

>I would go so far as to say that religious fervor has been and continues to
>be one of the leading causes of suffering and death in all of human history.
>Unfortunately, I think our "War On Terror" is more of a religious crusade
>than is openly admitted.
>

>There was a time in human history when religion ruled the Western world.
>It's called "The Dark Ages."
>
>Sarah
>
>
>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:c9u6e2dqskmvij58nuv4tmj0gs1omuf518@4ax.com...
>> sarah
>>
>> everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to
>> convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
>> not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
>> that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
>> ten killers of humanity throughout history.
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 13:05:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here's the thing, you'll cause just as much trouble saying it's #5 or #10 as #1. And I suspected you thought as much which is why I asked. Maybe not. Influenza takes a few hundred thousand per year in the off years, so maybe over the long haul it's first, just by the yearly grind. But even then I doubt it.

But in any case, just tell the truth as you see it, regardless of the trouble it might cause. We need more of that.

TCB

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>i didn't want to cause trouble by calling it #1
>
>On 17 Aug 2006 06:17:12 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>l'd be interested to hear the nine candidates you would propose ahead of
it.
>>
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>sarah
>>>

>>>everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to
>>>convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
>>>not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
>>>that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
>>>ten killers of humanity throughout history.
>>>
>>>On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>>
>>>>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
>>to
>>>>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
>>in
>>>>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
to
>>view
>>>>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>>>>
>>>>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
is
>>
>>>>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
>>on
>>>>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>>if
>>>>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
If
>>you
>>>>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>>
>>>>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>
>>>>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
>>do
>>>>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
no
>>
>>>>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
>>I
>>>>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly

>>>>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>>
>>>>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>>and

>>>>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
>>silly
>>>>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
>>sky."
>>>>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
my
>>
>>>>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
>>that
>>>>I have no reason to believe.
>>>>
>>>>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:

>>I
>>>>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
>>ago),
>>>>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
that
>>
>>>>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
>>
>>>>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
>>
>>>>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
beings
>>
>>>>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
the
>>
>>>>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
>>a way
>>>>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>>
>>>>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
What
>>I
>>>>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>>you
>>>>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>>
>>>>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>>
>>>>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
in
>>
>>>>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?

>>>>
>>>>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
make
>>
>>>>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
threat
>>
>>>>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>>
>>>>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>>
>>>>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>>
>>>>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
Heaven,
>>
>>>>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave

>>>>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
on
>>
>>>>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is

>>>>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
>>by
>>>>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>>>
>>>>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
evil
>>of
>>>>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
>>has
>>>>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>>
>>>>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>>
>>>>potential consequences of this.
>>>>
>>>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
that
>>I
>>>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
>>that
>>>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
to
>>
>>>>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs

>>
>>>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>>
>>>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>
>>>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>
>>>>Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>>left
>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The
>>>>>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and

>>>>>>>>effective
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>
>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>> rules".
>>>>>
>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>>with
>>>>>
>>>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>>say
>>>>>
>>>>>>"what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest
here
>>>>> --
>>>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.

>>And
>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
>>just
>>>>>
>>>>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>>
>>>>>>human
>>>>>
>>>>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
>>by
>>>>> a
>>>>>>supreme being.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>
>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What

>>do
>>>> you
>>>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>
>>>>>rice?
>>>>>
>>>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>>> 500?
>>>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>
>>>>>their
>>>>>
>>>>>families.
>>>>>
>>>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>>>
>>>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>>of
>>>>> some
>>>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
>>a
>>>>>
>>>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>
>>>>>Sarah
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>
>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
>>to do
>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>
>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
kill
>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not

> > church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and American
> > Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether they
> > would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of other
> > religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before the
> > Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he never
> > gave a straight answer.
> >
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
> >> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
> >> disagreement.
> >>
> >> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
> >> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>
> >> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango to
> >> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
> >> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
> >>
> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> >> them?
> >> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
> >> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
> >> manipulated in the process.
> >>
> >> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
> >>
> >> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> >> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >> DJ wrote:
> >>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >>> nature of reality.
> >>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
nightly
> > news

> >>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
> >>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
> > rather
> >>> be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife would
> > rather
> >>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:44e3ae02@linux...
> >>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
> > dialog
> >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>
> >>>> Great post, Sarah.
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Sarah wrote:
> >>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
> > learned
> >>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
> > compelled
> >>> to
> >>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
> > wrong
> >>> in
> >>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
> > to
> >>> view
> >>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
> >>> "me."
> >>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
Faith
> > is
> >>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
> > rest
> >>> on
> >>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
definition
> >>> if
> >>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
> > If

> >>> you
> >>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
in
> >>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>>
> >>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
> > personally
> >>> do
> >>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
> > no
> >>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
> > claims.
> >>> I
> >>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
truly
> >>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
this
> >>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
superficial
> >>> and
> >>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
it's
> >>> silly
> >>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
the
> >>> sky."
> >>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
opposite,
> > my
> >>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
> > dogma
> >>> that
> >>>> I have no reason to believe.
> >>>>
> >>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
words:
> > I
> >>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
time
> >>> ago),
> >>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
believe
> >>> that
> >>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> >>> smattering
> >>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
> >>> claims

> >>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
> >>> beings
> >>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
> > the
> >>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
> >>>>
> >>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
> > a
> >>> way
> >>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
> > you
> >>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> >>> What I
> >>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
Because
> >>> you
> >>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
> > parents
> >>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> >>> happened
> >>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
> > in
> >>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>>
> >>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> >>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
> >>> make
> >>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of
a
> >>> threat
> >>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
him?
> >>>>
> >>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
> > with
> >>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
or
> >>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
> >>> Heaven,
> >>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
> >>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
> > on
> >>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
is
> >>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be

> > restrained
> >>> by
> >>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
> >>>>
> >>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
> >>> evil of
> >>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
> > what
> >>> has
> >>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> > believe
> >>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
the
> >>>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>>
> >>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
> >>> that I
> >>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
now
> >>> that
> >>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
> > to
> >>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> >>> beliefs
> >>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
> > not
> >>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>>
> >>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>>
> >>>> Sarah
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
> > faith.
> >>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
God
> >>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> >>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
are
> >>>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> I disagree emphatically.

> >>>>>
> >>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
then
> >>> left
> >>>>> it
> >>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> > competition.
> >>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> The
> >>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
> >>>>>>> effective
> >>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> >>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
fine
> >>> with
> >>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun
to
> >>> say
> >>>>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest
> >>> here
> >>>>>> --
> >>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
then.
> >>> And
> >>>>>>> I'm
> >>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
> > It's
> >>> just
> >>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly

> > backward
> >>>>> human
> >>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
> > inspired
> >>> by
> >>>>> a
> >>>>> supreme being.
> >>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> >>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> >>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> >>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> >>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> >>>>> themselves...
> >>>>>
> >>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >>>>> assumptions about existence.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
Iraqis
> >>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
What
> >>> do
> >>>>> you
> >>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
> > brown
> >>>>>> rice?
> >>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
> > 100?
> >>>>>> 500?
> >>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
> > and
> >>>>>> their
> >>>>>> families.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
> >>> maimed.
> >>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
think
> >>> of
> >>>>>> some
> >>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
> > it's
> >>>> a
> >>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
> > jumpsuits
> >>> and
> >>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
> >>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
> >>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> >>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
> >>>>>> would have to.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
themselves
> > to
> >>> do
> >>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
> >>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
> >>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> >>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
> >>>>>> ghoul and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
> >>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
> > kill
> >>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> >>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> >>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> DC
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:01:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How about Hinduism? Sikhism? Buddhism?

People have converted to Islam right here in the USA. I don't think we've been conquered by anyone lately (aside from the neo-con takeover still in progress, maybe neo-cons are secretly Islamic? ;^)

I will grant you that conquering and spreading religious doctrine have gone hand in hand in the past, notably by various Islamic AND Christian sects. You start mixing religion and government and that's what you get. Religion becomes a tool of empire.

I'm with Jefferson on this one.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> Jamie,

>

> Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by any
> means other than military conquest?

>

> Deej

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...

>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious

> cycle.

>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>> in the attack.

>>

>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!

>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots

>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.

>>

>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what
>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>

>>

>> DJ wrote:

>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>>>> them?

>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
> local

>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
> American

>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether
> they

>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
> other

>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
> the

>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he

> never
>>> gave a straight answer.
>>>
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
>>>> disagreement.
>>>>
>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>>>
>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
> to
>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>>>
>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>>> them?
>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
>>>> manipulated in the process.
>>>>
>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>>>
>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$solve the problem!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
> nightly
>>> news
>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>>> rather
>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
>>> rather
>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e3ae02@linux...
>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>>> dialog
>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>>> learned
>>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>>> compelled
>>>>> to
>>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>>> wrong
>>>>> in
>>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>>> to
>>>>> view
>>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
>>>>> "me."
>>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
> Faith
>>> is
>>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>>> rest
>>>>> on
>>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> definition
>>>>> if
>>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
>>> If
>>>>> you
>>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
> in
>>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>> personally
>>>>> do

>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
>>> no
>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>>> claims.
>>>>> I
>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
> truly
>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
> this
>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
> superficial
>>>>> and
>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
> it's
>>>>> silly
>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
> the
>>>>> sky."
>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
> opposite,
>>> my
>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>>> dogma
>>>>> that
>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
> words:
>>> I
>>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
> time
>>>>> ago),
>>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
> believe
>>>>> that
>>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
>>>>> smattering
>>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
>>>>> claims
>>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
> human
>>>>> beings
>>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
>>> the
>>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
> being.
>>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe
> in

>>> a
>>>> way
>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
>>> you
>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>>>> What I
>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
> Because
>>>> you
>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
>>> parents
>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>>>> happened
>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
>>> in
>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
>>>> make
>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of
> a
>>>>> threat
>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
> him?
>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
>>> with
>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
> or
>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
>>>>> Heaven,
>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
>>> on
>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
> is
>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>>> restrained
>>>>> by
>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
> Einstein
>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
>>>>> evil of
>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>>> what
>>>>> has
>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>>> believe

>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
> the
>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
>>>>> that I
>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
> now
>>>>> that
>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
>>> to
>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
>>> not
>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarhtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>>> faith.
>>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
> God
>>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
> are
>>>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
> then
>>>>> left
>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>>> competition.

>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>> rules".
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
> fine
>>>>> with
>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun
> to
>>>>> say
>>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
>>>>> here
>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
> then.
>>>>> And
>>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
>>> It's
>>>>> just
>>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
>>> backward
>>>>>>>>> human
>>>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
>>> inspired
>>>>> by
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>> supreme being.
>>>>>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>>>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort

>>>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
> Iraqis
>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
> What
>>>>> do
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
>>> brown
>>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>>> 100?
>>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
>>> and
>>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
>>>>> maimed.
>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
> think
>>>>> of
>>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
>>> it's
>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>>> jumpsuits
>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>>>>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>>>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
> themselves
>>> to
>>>>> do

>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
>>> kill
>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:49:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But you still didn't answer my question. Can you name even one? Yes, there are examples of Christianity being spread by conquest, but there are also many examples where it wasn't. In this country, people can practice any religion they want. Is that true in countries that are Muslim theocracies? Can you name even one?

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e47542@linux...
>
> How about Hinduism? Sikhism? Buddhism?
>
> People have converted to Islam right here in the USA. I don't think
> we've been conquered by anyone lately (aside from the neo-con takeover
> still in progress, maybe neo-cons are secretly Islamic? ;^)
>
> I will grant you that conquering and spreading religious doctrine have
> gone hand in hand in the past, notably by various Islamic AND Christian
> sects. You start mixing religion and government and that's what you get.
> Religion becomes a tool of empire.
>
> I'm with Jefferson on this one.

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>
>
> DJ wrote:
> > Jamie,
> >
> > Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by
> any
> > means other than military conquest?
> >
> > Deej
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
> >> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
> >> cycle.
> >> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
> >> in the attack.
> >>
> >> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
> >> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
> >> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
> >>
> >> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
> what
> >> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >> DJ wrote:
> >>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
> about
> >>>> them?
> >>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
> > local
> >>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
> > American
> >>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
> whether
> > they
> >>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
> > other
> >>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before

> > the
> >>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
> > never
> >>> gave a straight answer.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:44e3f062@linux...
> >>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
for
> >>>> disagreement.
> >>>>
> >>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
nightly
> >>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>>>
> >>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
> > to
> >>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
concluded
> >>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
> >>>>
> >>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
about
> >>> them?
> >>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
with
> >>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
and
> >>>> manipulated in the process.
> >>>>
> >>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
> >>>>
> >>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> >>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> DJ wrote:
> >>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
> >>>>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
> > nightly

> >>> news
> >>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
> >>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
> >>> rather
> >>>> be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife
would
> >>> rather
> >>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> > news:44e3ae02@linux...
> >>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
> >>> dialog
> >>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
> >>>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Great post, Sarah.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Cheers,
> >>>>> -Jamie
> >>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Sarah wrote:
> >>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
> >>> learned
> >>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
> >>> compelled
> >>>> to
> >>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
> >>> wrong
> >>>> in
> >>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
trying
> >>> to
> >>>> view
> >>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
call
> >>>>> "me."
> >>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
> > Faith
> >>> is
> >>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
> >>> rest
> >>>> on

> >>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> > definition
> >>>>> if
> >>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
me.
> >>> If
> >>>> you
> >>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
believe
> > in
> >>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
> >>> personally
> >>>>> do
> >>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
see
> >>> no
> >>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
> >>> claims.
> >>>>> I
> >>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
> > truly
> >>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
> > this
> >>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
> > superficial
> >>>>> and
> >>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
> > it's
> >>>>> silly
> >>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
> > the
> >>>>> sky."
> >>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
> > opposite,
> >>> my
> >>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
> >>> dogma
> >>>>> that
> >>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
> > words:
> >>> I
> >>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
> > time

> >>>> ago),
> >>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
> > believe
> >>>> that
> >>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> >>>> smattering
> >>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
it
> >>>> claims
> >>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
> > human
> >>>> beings
> >>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
is
> >>> the
> >>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
> > being.
> >>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
believe
> > in
> >>> a
> >>>> way
> >>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
WHAT
> >>> you
> >>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
years.
> >>>> What I
> >>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
> > Because
> >>>> you
> >>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
> >>> parents
> >>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> >>>> happened
> >>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
born
> >>> in
> >>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> >>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
this
> >>>> make
> >>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
of
> > a
> >>>> threat

> >>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
> > him?
> >>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
share
> >>> with
> >>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
right
> > or
> >>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
God,
> >>>>> Heaven,
> >>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
behave
> >>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
effectually
> >>> on
> >>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
> > is
> >>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
> >>> restrained
> >>>>> by
> >>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
> > Einstein
> >>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
the
> >>>>> evil of
> >>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
> >>> what
> >>>>> has
> >>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> >>> believe
> >>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
> > the
> >>>>>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
hour
> >>>>> that I
> >>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
> > now
> >>>>> that
> >>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
clings
> >>>>> to
> >>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> >>>>> beliefs
> >>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
are

> >>> not
> >>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
> > news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
> >>> faith.
> >>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
> > God
> >>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> >>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
> > are
> >>>>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
> > then
> >>>>> left
> >>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> >>> competition.
> >>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> The
> >>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
> and
> >>>>>>>>> effective
> >>>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the

> >>>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> >>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
> > fine
> >>>>> with
> >>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
fun
> > to
> >>>>> say
> >>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be
honest
> >>>>> here
> >>>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
> > then.
> >>>>> And
> >>>>>>>> I'm
> >>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
> >>>> It's
> >>>>> just
> >>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
> >>>> backward
> >>>>>>>>> human
> >>>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
> >>>> inspired
> >>>>> by
> >>>>>>>>> a
> >>>>>>>>>> supreme being.
> >>>>>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> >>>>>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> >>>>>>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> >>>>>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> >>>>>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> >>>>>>>>>>> themselves...
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >>>>>>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
> > Iraqis
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
> > What
> >>>>> do

> >>>>>> you
> >>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
> >>> brown
> >>>>>> rice?
> >>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
> >>> 100?
> >>>>>> 500?
> >>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were
innocent
> >>> and
> >>>>>> their
> >>>>>> families.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
or
> >>>> maimed.
> >>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
> > think
> >>>> of
> >>>>>> some
> >>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
but
> >>> it's
> >>>> a
> >>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
> >>> jumpsuits
> >>>> and
> >>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
> >>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in
all
> >>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> >>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
you
> >>>>>> would have to.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
> > themselves
> >>> to
> >>>> do
> >>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
> >>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
> >>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> >>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the

> >>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
> >>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
to
> >>> kill
> >>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> >>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> >>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> DC
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:14:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Jamie,

Here's some food for though vis-a-vis the Islamic fundamentalist situation
(as if thgere wasn't enough already).

<http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/wfchannel/index.php?pagenum =1>

The links relative to women and human rights are pretty grotesque. Yeah, I realize that there is the Guantanamo thing to counter with (though I don't buy it personally) and the "well, look at our inhumane laws regarding drug offenses and racism" argument ("some" of which I definitely do buy) but man, the Islamic Utopia is in a whole 'nuther league.

"Sure m'am, we've got chardours. What shade of black would you prefer?
Here's something nice to match the color of the revolutionary jackboot."

Regards,

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...

>
> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
cycle.
>
> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
> in the attack.

>
> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>
> I dunno DeeJ. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what
> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>
>
> DJ wrote:
> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> > them?
> > Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
local
> > church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
American
> > Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether
they
> > would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
other
> > religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
the
> > Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
never
> > gave a straight answer.
> >
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
> >> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
> >> disagreement.
> >>
> >> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
> >> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>
> >> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
to
> >> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
> >> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
> >>
> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> > them?
> >> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
> >> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and

> >> manipulated in the process.
> >>
> >> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
> >>
> >> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> >> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$\$olve the problem!
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >> DJ wrote:
> >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >>>> nature of reality.
> >>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
nightly
> > news
> >>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
> >>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
> > rather
> >>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
> > rather
> >>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:44e3ae02@linux...
> >>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
> > dialog
> >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>
> >>>> Great post, Sarah.
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Sarah wrote:
> >>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
> > learned
> >>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
> > compelled
> >>>> to
> >>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently

> > wrong
> >>> in
> >>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
> > to
> >>> view
> >>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
> >>> "me."
> >>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
Faith
> > is
> >>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
> > rest
> >>> on
> >>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
definition
> >>> if
> >>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
> > If
> >>> you
> >>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
in
> >>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>>
> >>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
> > personally
> >>> do
> >>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
> > no
> >>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
> > claims.
> >>> I
> >>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
truly
> >>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
this
> >>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
superficial
> >>> and
> >>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
it's
> >>> silly
> >>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
the
> >>> sky."
> >>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
opposite,
> > my

> >>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
> > dogma
> >>> that
> >>>> I have no reason to believe.
> >>>>
> >>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
words:
> > I
> >>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
time
> >>> ago),
> >>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
believe
> >>> that
> >>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> >>> smattering
> >>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
> >>> claims
> >>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
human
> >>> beings
> >>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
> > the
> >>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
being.
> >>>>
> >>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe
in
> > a
> >>> way
> >>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
> > you
> >>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> >>> What I
> >>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
Because
> >>> you
> >>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
> > parents
> >>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> >>> happened
> >>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
> > in
> >>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>>
> >>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> >>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
> >>> make

> >>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of
a
> >>> threat
> >>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
him?
> >>>>
> >>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
> > with
> >>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
or
> >>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
> >>> Heaven,
> >>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
> >>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
> > on
> >>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
is
> >>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
> > restrained
> >>> by
> >>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
Einstein
> >>>>
> >>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
> >>> evil of
> >>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
> > what
> >>> has
> >>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> > believe
> >>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
the
> >>>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>>
> >>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
> >>> that I
> >>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
now
> >>> that
> >>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
> > to
> >>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> >>> beliefs
> >>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
> > not
> >>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>>
> >>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.

> >>>>
> >>>> Sarah
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
> > faith.
> >>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
God
> >>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> >>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
are
> >>>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
then
> >>> left
> >>>>>> it
> >>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> > competition.
> >>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> The
> >>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
> >>>>>>>> effective
> >>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> >>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>
> >>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
> >>> with
> >>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
> >>> say
> >>>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest
> >>> here
> >>>>>> --
> >>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
> >>> And
> >>>>>> I'm
> >>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
> > It's
> >>> just
> >>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
> > backward
> >>>>>>> human
> >>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
> > inspired
> >>> by
> >>>>>> a
> >>>>>>> supreme being.
> >>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> >>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> >>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> >>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> >>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> >>>>>> themselves...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
> >>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
What
> >>> do
> >>>>>> you
> >>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
> > brown
> >>>>>>> rice?
> >>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
> > 100?
> >>>>>>> 500?

> >
> >

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:58:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am not a fan of dictatorships, theocracies or royalty. So you don't have to waste any time convincing me on that point. But I'll check out your link.

I am also not a fan of scapegoating and generalizing to justify war.

I am a fan of freedom, including freedom of religion. Aggressive self-righteousness by any group is problematic. Too bad it works so well for empire building. It's certainly something to be aware of in other countries and especially in our own.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

DJ wrote:

> Hi Jamie,

>

> Here's some food for thought vis-a-vis the Islamic fundamentalist situation
> (as if there wasn't enough already).

>

> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/wfchannel/index.php?pagenum=1>

>

> The links relative to women and human rights are pretty grotesque. Yeah, I
> realize that there is the Guantanamo thing to counter with (though I don't
> buy it personally) and the "well, look at our inhumane laws regarding drug
> offenses and racism" argument ("some" of which I definitely do buy) but man,
> the Islamic Utopia is in a whole 'nuther league.

>

> "Sure m'am, we've got chardours. What shade of black would you prefer?
> Here's something nice to match the color of the revolutionary jackboot."

>

> Regards,

>

> Deej

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...

>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious

> cycle.

>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved

>> in the attack.
>>
>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>
>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what
>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>>>> them?
>>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
>>>> local
>>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
>>>> American
>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether
>>>> they
>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
>>>> other
>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
>>>> the
>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
>>>> never
>>>> gave a straight answer.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
>>>>> disagreement.
>>>>>
>>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
>>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
>>>>> to
>>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
>>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>>>>
>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>>>>> them?
>>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with

>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
>>>> manipulated in the process.
>>>>
>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>>>
>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
> nightly
>>> news
>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>>> rather
>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
>>> rather
>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e3ae02@linux...
>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>>> dialog
>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>>>> learned
>>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>>>> compelled
>>>>> to

>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>>> wrong
>>>>> in
>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>>> to
>>>>> view
>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
>>>>> "me."
>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
> Faith
>>> is
>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>>> rest
>>>>> on
>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> definition
>>>>> if
>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
>>> If
>>>>> you
>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
> in
>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>> personally
>>>>> do
>>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
>>> no
>>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>>> claims.
>>>>> I
>>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
> truly
>>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
> this
>>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
> superficial
>>>>> and
>>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
> it's
>>>>> silly
>>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
> the
>>>>> sky."
>>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
> opposite,

>>> my
>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>>> dogma
>>>>> that
>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
> words:
>>> I
>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
> time
>>>>> ago),
>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
> believe
>>>>> that
>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
>>>>> smattering
>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
>>>>> claims
>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
> human
>>>>> beings
>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
>>> the
>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
> being.
>>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe
> in
>>> a
>>>>> way
>>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
>>> you
>>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>>>>> What I
>>>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
> Because
>>>>> you
>>>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
>>> parents
>>>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>>>>> happened
>>>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
>>> in
>>>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
>>>>> make

>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of
> a
>>>>> threat
>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
> him?
>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
>>> with
>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
> or
>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
>>>>> Heaven,
>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
>>> on
>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
> is
>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>>> restrained
>>>>> by
>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
> Einstein
>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
>>>>> evil of
>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>>> what
>>>>> has
>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>>> believe
>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
> the
>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
>>>>> that I
>>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
> now
>>>>> that
>>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
>>> to
>>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
>>> not
>>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sarah

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>>> faith.
>>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
> God
>>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
> are
>>>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
> then
>>>>> left
>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>>> competition.
>>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>>>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rules".
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm

> fine
>>>> with
>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun
> to
>>>> say
>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
>>>> here
>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
> then.
>>>> And
>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
>>> It's
>>>> just
>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
>>> backward
>>>>>>> human
>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
>>> inspired
>>>> by
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> supreme being.
>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
> Iraqis
>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
> What
>>>>> do
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
>>> brown
>>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>>> 100?
>>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
>>> and

>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
>>>>> maimed.
>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
> think
>>>>> of
>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
>>> it's
>>>>> a
>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>>> jumpsuits
>>>>> and
>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
> themselves
>>> to
>>>>> do
>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
>>> kill
>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:58:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes I did answer your question. Islam CAN spread without conquest, we see it right here in the USA.

So if your point is that it cannot spread with conquest, yes it can and I gave an example.

On the flip side, Christianity and other religions can and have spread via conquest. So Islam is not alone in being spread by conquest and being spread without conquest.

You can argue degrees over history but you can't make a blanket statement that Islam (or Christianity) is only spread through conquest.

And although it clearly has at times been spread via conquest, you can't accurately claim that Islam is the only religion to ever be spread through conquest. You have to look at the larger issue of conquest and religions overall. Or conquest and enforced atheism, for that matter.

I think the important difference today is that we have a special country that guarantees freedom of religion. So you can be an atheist and I can be Islamic. Or I can be Baptist and you can be Unitarian. I can be Branch Davidian Reformed and you can be Pagan. I can be MAC-IAN and you can be MICROSOFT-IAN (oops, wrong religious debate... ;^)

One of the biggest challenges we face, back to my point again, is how to have constructive dialog between people who operate from very different assumptions about the nature of reality.

I think Jefferson had it right. Let people freely choose their religious affiliations, and keep any one religion from being the official religion. This requires a tolerance for freedom that sometimes bothers the more aggressively self-righteous sects but it keeps them from shooting at each other.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

DJ wrote:

> But you still didn't answer my question. Can you name even one? Yes, there
> are examples of Christianity being spread by conquest, but there are also
> many examples where it wasn't. In this country, people can practice any
> religion they want. Is that true in countries that are Muslim theocracies?
> Can you name even one?

>
> Deej
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e47542@linux...
>> How about Hinduism? Sikhism? Buddhism?
>>
>> People have converted to Islam right here in the USA. I don't think
>> we've been conquered by anyone lately (aside from the neo-con takeover
>> still in progress, maybe neo-cons are secretly Islamic? ;^)
>>
>> I will grant you that conquering and spreading religious doctrine have
>> gone hand in hand in the past, notably by various Islamic AND Christian
>> sects. You start mixing religion and government and that's what you get.
>> Religion becomes a tool of empire.
>>
>> I'm with Jefferson on this one.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> Jamie,
>>>
>>> Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by
> any
>>> means other than military conquest?
>>>
>>> Deej
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
>>>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
>>>> cycle.
>>>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>>>> in the attack.
>>>>
>>>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>>>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>>>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>>>
>>>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
> what
>>>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
> about
>>>>> them?
>>>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
>>> local
>>>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
>>> American
>>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
> whether
>>> they
>>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
>>> other
>>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
>>> the
>>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
>>> never
>>>>> gave a straight answer.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
> for
>>>>>> disagreement.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
> nightly
>>>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
>>> to
>>>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
> concluded
>>>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
> about
>>>>>> them?
>>>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
> with
>>>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
> and
>>>>>> manipulated in the process.
>>>>>>

>>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>>>>
>>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
> the
>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
>> nightly
>>>> news
>>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>>>>> rather
>>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife
> would
>>>>> rather
>>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44e3ae02@linux...
>>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>>>>> dialog
>>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
> the
>>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>>>>> learned
>>>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>>>>> compelled
>>>>>>> to

>>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>>>>> wrong
>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
> trying
>>>>> to
>>>>>> view
>>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
> call
>>>>>>> "me."
>>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
>>> Faith
>>>>> is
>>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>>>>> rest
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
>>> definition
>>>>>>> if
>>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
> me.
>>>>> If
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
> believe
>>> in
>>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>>>> personally
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
> see
>>>>> no
>>>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>>>>> claims.
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
>>> truly
>>>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
>>> this
>>>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
>>> superficial
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
>>> it's
>>>>>>> silly

>>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
>>> the
>>>>>>> sky."
>>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
>>> opposite,
>>>>> my
>>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>>>>> dogma
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
>>> words:
>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
>>> time
>>>>>>> ago),
>>>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
>>> believe
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
>>>>>>> smattering
>>>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
> it
>>>>>>> claims
>>>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
>>> human
>>>>>>> beings
>>>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
> is
>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
>>> being.
>>>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
> believe
>>> in
>>>>> a
>>>>>>> way
>>>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
> WHAT
>>>>> you
>>>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
> years.
>>>>>>> What I
>>>>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
>>> Because
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your

>>>>> parents
>>>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>>>>>>> happened
>>>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
> born
>>>>> in
>>>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
> this
>>>>>>> make
>>>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
> of
>>> a
>>>>>>> threat
>>>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
>>> him?
>>>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
> share
>>>>> with
>>>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
> right
>>> or
>>>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
> God,
>>>>>>>> Heaven,
>>>>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
> behave
>>>>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
> effectually
>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
>>> is
>>>>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>>>>> restrained
>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
>>> Einstein
>>>>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
> the
>>>>>>>>> evil of
>>>>>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>>>>> what
>>>>>>>>> has
>>>>>>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>>>>> believe
>>>>>>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about

>>> the
>>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
> hour
>>>>>>> that I
>>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
>>> now
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
> clings
>>>>> to
>>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
> are
>>>>> not
>>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>>>>> faith.
>>>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
>>> God
>>>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
>>> are
>>>>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
>>> then
>>>>>>> left
>>>>>>>>> it

>>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>>>>> competition.
>>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
> and
>>>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>>>> rules".
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
>>> fine
>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
> fun
>>> to
>>>>>>> say
>>>>>>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be
> honest
>>>>>>> here
>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
>>> then.
>>>>>>> And
>>>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
>>>>> It's
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
>>>>> backward
>>>>>>>>>> human
>>>>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
>>>>> inspired
>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>> supreme being.

>>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
>>> Iraqis
>>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
>>> What
>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
>>>>> brown
>>>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>>>>> 100?
>>>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were
> innocent
>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
> or
>>>>>>> maimed.
>>>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
>>> think
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
> but
>>>>> it's
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>>>>> jumpsuits
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in

> all
>>>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
> you
>>>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
>>> themselves
>>>>> to
>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
> to
>>>>> kill
>>>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:03:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I doubt very seriously that this country is going to become an Islamic
theocracy without just a little conflits here and there.

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e490b7@linux...
>
> Yes I did answer your question. Islam CAN spread without conquest, we
> see it right here in the USA.
>
> So if your point is that it cannot spread with conquest, yes it can and
> I gave an example.
>

> On the flip side, Christianity and other religions can and have spread
> via conquest. So Islam is not alone in being spread by conquest and
> being spread without conquest.
>
> You can argue degrees over history but you can't make a blanket
> statement that Islam (or Christianity) is only spread through conquest.
>
> And although it clearly has at times been spread via conquest, you can't
> accurately claim that Islam is the only religion to ever be spread
> through conquest. You have to look at the larger issue of conquest and
> religions overall. Or conquest and enforced atheism, for that matter.
>
> I think the important difference today is that we have a special country
> that guarantees freedom of religion. So you can be an atheist and I can
> be Islamic. Or I can be Baptist and you can be Unitarian. I can be
> Branch Davidian Reformed and you can be Pagan. I can be MAC-IAN and you
> can be MICROSOFT-IAN (oops, wrong religious debate... ;^)
>
> One of the biggest challenges we face, back to my point again, is how to
> have constructive dialog between people who operate from very different
> assumptions about the nature of reality.
>
> I think Jefferson had it right. Let people freely choose their religious
> affiliations, and keep any one religion from being the official
> religion. This requires a tolerance for freedom that sometimes bothers
> the more aggressively self-righteous sects but it keeps them from
> shooting at each other.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>
>
> DJ wrote:
> > But you still didn't answer my question. Can you name even one? Yes,
> there
> > are examples of Christianity being spread by conquest, but there are
> also
> > many examples where it wasn't. In this country, people can practice any
> > religion they want. Is that true in countries that are Muslim
> theocracies?
> > Can you name even one?
> >
> > Deej
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e47542@linux...
> >> How about Hinduism? Sikhism? Buddhism?
> >>

> >> People have converted to Islam right here in the USA. I don't think
> >> we've been conquered by anyone lately (aside from the neo-con takeover
> >> still in progress, maybe neo-cons are secretly Islamic? ;^)
> >>
> >> I will grant you that conquering and spreading religious doctrine have
> >> gone hand in hand in the past, notably by various Islamic AND Christian
> >> sects. You start mixing religion and government and that's what you
get.
> >> Religion becomes a tool of empire.
> >>
> >> I'm with Jefferson on this one.
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >> DJ wrote:
> >>> Jamie,
> >>>
> >>> Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by
> > any
> >>> means other than military conquest?
> >>>
> >>> Deej
> >>>
> >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:44e40472@linux...
> >>>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
> >>>> cycle.
> >>>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not
involved
> >>>> in the attack.
> >>>>
> >>>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
> >>>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative.
Lots
> >>>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
> >>>>
> >>>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
> > what
> >>>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>

> >>>> DJ wrote:
> >>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
> > about
> >>>>> them?
> >>>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at
a
> >>> local
> >>>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
> >>> American
> >>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
> > whether
> >>> they
> >>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
> >>> other
> >>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds
before
> >>> the
> >>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and
he
> >>> never
> >>>>> gave a straight answer.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> > news:44e3f062@linux...
> >>>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >>>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
> > for
> >>>>>> disagreement.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
> > nightly
> >>>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to
Durango
> >>> to
> >>>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
> > concluded
> >>>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on
'em!
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
> > about
> >>>>>> them?
> >>>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
> > with
> >>>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used

> > and
> >>>>> manipulated in the process.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet.
They're
> >>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Cheers,
> >>>>> -Jamie
> >>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> DJ wrote:
> >>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
> > the
> >>>>>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
> >>> nightly
> >>>> news
> >>>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who
is
> >>>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think
I'd
> >>>>> rather
> >>>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife
> > would
> >>>>> rather
> >>>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:44e3ae02@linux...
> >>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
> >>>>> dialog
> >>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
> > the
> >>>>>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Cheers,
> >>>>>>> -Jamie
> >>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>> Sarah wrote:
> >>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
> >>>>> learned
> >>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
> >>>>> compelled
> >>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much
consistently
> >>>>> wrong
> >>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
> > trying
> >>>>> to
> >>>>>>> view
> >>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
> > call
> >>>>>>> "me."
> >>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
> >>> Faith
> >>>>> is
> >>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does
not
> >>>>> rest
> >>>>>>> on
> >>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> >>> definition
> >>>>>>> if
> >>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to

> > me.
> >>>>> If
> >>>>>>> you
> >>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
> > believe
> >>> in
> >>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
> >>>>> personally
> >>>>>>> do
> >>>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
> > see
> >>>>> no
> >>>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
> >>>>> claims.
> >>>>>>> I
> >>>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel

> >>> truly
> >>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
> >>> this
> >>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
> >>> superficial
> >>>>>> and
> >>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
> >>> it's
> >>>>>>> silly
> >>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
> >>> the
> >>>>>>> sky."
> >>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
> >>> opposite,
> >>>>> my
> >>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
> >>>> dogma
> >>>>>>> that
> >>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
> >>> words:
> >>>>> I
> >>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17
(LONG
> >>> time
> >>>>>>> ago),
> >>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
> >>> believe
> >>>>>>> that
> >>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and
a
> >>>>>>> smattering
> >>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
> > it
> >>>>>>> claims
> >>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
> >>> human
> >>>>>>> beings
> >>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the
bible
> > is
> >>>>> the
> >>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme

> >>> being.
> >>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
> > believe
> >>> in
> >>>> a
> >>>>>> way
> >>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
> > WHAT
> >>>> you
> >>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
> > years.
> >>>>>> What I
> >>>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
> >>> Because
> >>>>>> you
> >>>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
> >>>> parents
> >>>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because
you
> >>>>>> happened
> >>>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
> > born
> >>>> in
> >>>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a
supposedly
> >>>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
> > this
> >>>>>> make
> >>>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
> > of
> >>> a
> >>>>>>> threat
> >>>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
> >>> him?
> >>>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
> > share
> >>>> with
> >>>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
> > right
> >>> or
> >>>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
> > God,
> >>>>>>> Heaven,
> >>>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
> > behave
> >>>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based

> > effectually
> >>>> on
> >>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
> >>> is
> >>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
> >>>> restrained
> >>>>>> by
> >>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
> >>> Einstein
> >>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
> > the
> >>>>>> evil of
> >>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
> >>>> what
> >>>>>> has
> >>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> >>>> believe
> >>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
> >>> the
> >>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
> > hour
> >>>>>> that I
> >>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
> >>> now
> >>>>>> that
> >>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
> > clings
> >>>>>> to
> >>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> >>>>>>> beliefs
> >>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
> > are
> >>>> not
> >>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message

> >>> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >>>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded
by
> >>>>> faith.
> >>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to
call
> >>> God
> >>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source
is
> >>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all
views
> >>> are
> >>>>>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!"
and
> >>> then
> >>>>>>> left
> >>>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> >>>>> competition.
> >>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive,
bake
> >>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care
if
> >>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>> The
> >>>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
> > and
> >>>>>>>>>> effective
> >>>>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>>>>>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>>>>>>>>

> >>> Iraqis
> >>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
> >>> What
> >>>>>> do
> >>>>>>>> you
> >>>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl
of
> >>>>> brown
> >>>>>>>>> rice?
> >>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were
terrorists?
> >>>>> 100?
> >>>>>>>>> 500?
> >>>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were
> > innocent
> >>>>> and
> >>>>>>>>>> their
> >>>>>>>>>> families.
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
> > or
> >>>>>>> maimed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
> >>> think
> >>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>> some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
> > but
> >>>>> it's
> >>>>>>> a
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
> >>>>> jumpsuits
> >>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are
no
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in
> > all
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from
Dresden
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
> > you
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> would have to.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
> >>> themselves

> >>>> to
> >>>>> do
> >>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
> >>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
> >>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> >>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for
the
> >>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
> >>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
> > to
> >>>> kill
> >>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> >>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> >>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> DC
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:19:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Are Christians doing this to former Christians who decide to convert to Islam?

<http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=82> 16

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e490b1@linux...

>
> I am not a fan of dictatorships, theocracies or royalty. So you don't
> have to waste any time convincing me on that point. But I'll check out
> your link.
>
> I am also not a fan of scapegoating and generalizing to justify war.
>
> I am a fan of freedom, including freedom of religion. Aggressive
> self-righteousness by any group is problematic. Too bad it works so well
> for empire building. It's certainly something to be aware of in other
> countries and especially in our own.

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>
> DJ wrote:
>> Hi Jamie,
>>
>> Here's some food for though vis-a-vis the Islamic fundamentalist
situation
>> (as if thgere wasn't enough already).
>>
>> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/wfchannel/index.php?pagenum=1>
>>
>> The links relative to women and human rights are pretty grotesque. Yeah,
I
>> realize that there is the Guantanamo thing to counter with (though I
don't
>> buy it personally) and the "well, look at our inhumane laws regarding
drug
>> offenses and racism" argument ("some" of which I definitely do buy) but
man,
>> the Islamic Utopia is in a whole 'nuther league.
>>
>> "Sure m'am, we've got chardours. What shade of black would you prefer?
>> Here's something nice to match the color of the revolutionary jackboot."
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Deej
>>
>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
>>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
>>> cycle.
>>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>>> in the attack.
>>>
>>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>>
>>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
what
>>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

> >>
> >>
> >> DJ wrote:
> >>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> >>>> them?
> >>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
> > local
> >>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
> > American
> >>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether
> > they
> >>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
> > other
> >>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
> > the
> >>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
> > never
> >>>> gave a straight answer.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:44e3f062@linux...
> >>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
for
> >>>>> disagreement.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
nightly
> >>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
> > to
> >>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
concluded
> >>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
> >>>>>
> >>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
about
> >>>>> them?
> >>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
with
> >>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
and
> >>>>> manipulated in the process.
> >>>>>

> >>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
> >>>>
> >>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> >>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$solve the problem!
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> DJ wrote:
> >>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
> >>>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
> > nightly
> >>> news
> >>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
> >>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
> >>> rather
> >>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife
would
> >>> rather
> >>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> > news:44e3ae02@linux...
> >>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
> >>> dialog
> >>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
> >>>>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Cheers,
> >>>>>> -Jamie
> >>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Sarah wrote:
> >>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
> >>> learned
> >>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
> >>> compelled
> >>>>> to

> >>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
> >>> wrong
> >>>>> in
> >>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
trying
> >>> to
> >>>>> view
> >>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
call
> >>>>> "me."
> >>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
> > Faith
> >>> is
> >>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
> >>> rest
> >>>>> on
> >>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> > definition
> >>>>> if
> >>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
me.
> >>> If
> >>>>> you
> >>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
believe
> > in
> >>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
> >>> personally
> >>>>> do
> >>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
see
> >>> no
> >>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
> >>> claims.
> >>>>> I
> >>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
> > truly
> >>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
> > this
> >>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
> > superficial
> >>>>> and
> >>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
> > it's
> >>>>> silly

> >>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
> > the
> >>>>> sky."
> >>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
> > opposite,
> >>> my
> >>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
> >>> dogma
> >>>>> that
> >>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
> > words:
> >>> I
> >>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
> > time
> >>>>> ago),
> >>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
> > believe
> >>>>> that
> >>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> >>>>> smattering
> >>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
it
> >>>>> claims
> >>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
> > human
> >>>>> beings
> >>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
is
> >>> the
> >>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
> > being.
> >>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
believe
> > in
> >>> a
> >>>>> way
> >>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
WHAT
> >>> you
> >>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
years.
> >>>>> What I
> >>>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
> > Because
> >>>>> you
> >>>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your

> >>> parents
> >>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> >>>>> happened
> >>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
born
> >>> in
> >>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> >>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
this
> >>>>> make
> >>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
of
> > a
> >>>>> threat
> >>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
> > him?
> >>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
share
> >>> with
> >>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
right
> > or
> >>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
God,
> >>>>> Heaven,
> >>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
behave
> >>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
effectually
> >>> on
> >>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
> > is
> >>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
> >>> restrained
> >>>>> by
> >>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
> > Einstein
> >>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
the
> >>>>> evil of
> >>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
> >>> what
> >>>>> has
> >>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> >>> believe
> >>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about

> > the
> >>>>>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
hour
> >>>>> that I
> >>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
> > now
> >>>>> that
> >>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
clings
> >>> to
> >>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> >>>>> beliefs
> >>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
are
> >>> not
> >>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
> > news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
> >>> faith.
> >>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
> > God
> >>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> >>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
> > are
> >>>>>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
> > then
> >>>>> left
> >>>>>>>> it

> >>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> >>> competition.
> >>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> The
> >>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
and
> >>>>>>> effective
> >>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> >>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
> > fine
> >>>>> with
> >>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
fun
> > to
> >>>>> say
> >>>>>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be
honest
> >>>>> here
> >>>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
> > then.
> >>>>> And
> >>>>>>>> I'm
> >>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
> >>> It's
> >>>>> just
> >>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
> >>> backward
> >>>>>>>>> human
> >>>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
> >>> inspired
> >>>>> by
> >>>>>>>>> a
> >>>>>>>>>> supreme being.

> >>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> >>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> >>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> >>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> >>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> >>>>>> themselves...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >>>>>> assumptions about existence.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
> > Iraqis
> >>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
> > What
> >>>> do
> >>>>>> you
> >>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
> >>> brown
> >>>>>>> rice?
> >>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
> >>> 100?
> >>>>>>> 500?
> >>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were
innocent
> >>> and
> >>>>>>> their
> >>>>>>> families.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
or
> >>>> maimed.
> >>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
> > think
> >>>> of
> >>>>>>> some
> >>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
but
> >>> it's
> >>>> a
> >>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
> >>> jumpsuits
> >>>> and
> >>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
> >>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in

all
> >>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> >>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
you
> >>>>>> would have to.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
> > themselves
> >>> to
> >>>> do
> >>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
> >>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
> >>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> >>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
> >>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
> >>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
to
> >>> kill
> >>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> >>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> >>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> DC
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:19:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

DJ wrote:
> I doubt very seriously that this country is going to become an Islamic
> theocracy without just a little conflits here and there.
>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e490b7@linux...
>> Yes I did answer your question. Islam CAN spread without conquest, we
>> see it right here in the USA.
>>
>> So if your point is that it cannot spread with conquest, yes it can and
>> I gave an example.
>>
>> On the flip side, Christianity and other religions can and have spread
>> via conquest. So Islam is not alone in being spread by conquest and
>> being spread without conquest.
>>
>> You can argue degrees over history but you can't make a blanket
>> statement that Islam (or Christianity) is only spread through conquest.
>>
>> And although it clearly has at times been spread via conquest, you can't
>> accurately claim that Islam is the only religion to ever be spread
>> through conquest. You have to look at the larger issue of conquest and
>> religions overall. Or conquest and enforced atheism, for that matter.
>>
>> I think the important difference today is that we have a special country
>> that guarantees freedom of religion. So you can be an atheist and I can
>> be Islamic. Or I can be Baptist and you can be Unitarian. I can be
>> Branch Davidian Reformed and you can be Pagan. I can be MAC-IAN and you
>> can be MICROSOFT-IAN (oops, wrong religious debate... ;^)
>>
>> One of the biggest challenges we face, back to my point again, is how to
>> have constructive dialog between people who operate from very different
>> assumptions about the nature of reality.
>>
>> I think Jefferson had it right. Let people freely choose their religious
>> affiliations, and keep any one religion from being the official
>> religion. This requires a tolerance for freedom that sometimes bothers
>> the more aggressively self-righteous sects but it keeps them from
>> shooting at each other.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> But you still didn't answer my question. Can you name even one? Yes,
> there
>>> are examples of Christianity being spread by conquest, but there are
> also
>>> many examples where it wasn't. In this country, people can practice any
>>> religion they want. Is that true in countries that are Muslim
> theocracies?

>>> Can you name even one?
>>>
>>> Deej
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e47542@linux...
>>>> How about Hinduism? Sikhism? Buddhism?
>>>>
>>>> People have converted to Islam right here in the USA. I don't think
>>>> we've been conquered by anyone lately (aside from the neo-con takeover
>>>> still in progress, maybe neo-cons are secretly Islamic? ;^)
>>>>
>>>> I will grant you that conquering and spreading religious doctrine have
>>>> gone hand in hand in the past, notably by various Islamic AND Christian
>>>> sects. You start mixing religion and government and that's what you
> get.
>>>> Religion becomes a tool of empire.
>>>>
>>>> I'm with Jefferson on this one.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> Jamie,
>>>>>
>>>>> Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by
>>> any
>>>>> means other than military conquest?
>>>>>
>>>>> Deej
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e40472@linux...
>>>>>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
>>>>>> cycle.
>>>>>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not
> involved
>>>>>> in the attack.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>>>>>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative.
> Lots
>>>>>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
>>> what

>>>>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
>>> about
>>>>>>> them?
>>>>>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at
> a
>>>>> local
>>>>>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
>>>>> American
>>>>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
>>> whether
>>>>> they
>>>>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
>>>>> other
>>>>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds
> before
>>>>> the
>>>>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and
> he
>>>>> never
>>>>>>> gave a straight answer.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>>>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>>>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
>>> for
>>>>>>>> disagreement.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
>>> nightly
>>>>>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to
> Durango
>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
>>> concluded
>>>>>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on
> 'em!

>>>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
>>> about
>>>>>>> them?
>>>>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
>>> with
>>>>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
>>> and
>>>>>>> manipulated in the process.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet.
> They're
>>>>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
>>> the
>>>>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
>>>> nightly
>>>>>>> news
>>>>>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who
> is
>>>>>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think
> I'd
>>>>>>> rather
>>>>>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife
>>> would
>>>>>>> rather
>>>>>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:44e3ae02@linux...
>>>>>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>>>>>>> dialog
>>>>>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
>>> the
>>>>>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>>>>>>>>> learned
>>>>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>>>>>>>>> compelled
>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much
> consistently
>>>>>>>>> wrong
>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
>>> trying
>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>> view
>>>>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
>>> call
>>>>>>>>> "me."
>>>>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
>>>>> Faith
>>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does
> not
>>>>>>>>> rest
>>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
>>>>> definition
>>>>>>>>> if
>>>>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
>
>>> me.
>>>>>>>>> If
>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
>>> believe
>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>>>>>>>> personally
>>>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I

>>>>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded
> by
>>>>>>> faith.
>>>>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to
> call
>>>>> God
>>>>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source
> is
>>>>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all
> views
>>>>> are
>>>>>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!"
> and
>>>>> then
>>>>>>>>> left
>>>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>>>>>>> competition.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive,
> bake
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care
> if
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical

>>>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
>>> you
>>>>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
>>>>> themselves
>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for
> the
>>>>>>>>>> ghoul and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
>>> to
>>>>>>> kill
>>>>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:13:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There are untold of examples of man's inhumanity to man via religious persecution. The enforcement of empire and power has long been tied to religion.

The Egyptians ruled for thousands of years based on a claim to deity, and they were brutal to Christian slaves!

The KKK thought they were protecting Christianity by hanging black people!

China persecutes members of Falun Gong!

We could come up with examples all day.

Are you trying to say that all religious persecution should be stopped or are you trying to say Islamic religious persecution should be stopped?

Did you somehow think I was advocating putting people to death for their beliefs? I'm having a hard time figuring out your point, exactly. What are you getting at?

One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive dialog between people who operate from very different assumptions about the nature of reality. That's my point in this thread. Are we living it here? :^)

I don't think our assumptions about the nature of reality are that far apart but we seem to have a difference in our view of religion and public policy. Specifically (correct me if I'm wrong), you seem to be very afraid of Muslims, any Muslims, wherever you find them. Whereas it looks to me that yet another group is being scapegoated, all members tarred because of the actions of a few, possibly to detract from real failures in our own foreign policy.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> Are Christians doing this to former Christians who decide to convert to
> Islam?
>
> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=8216>
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e490b1@linux...
>> I am not a fan of dictatorships, theocracies or royalty. So you don't
>> have to waste any time convincing me on that point. But I'll check out
>> your link.
>>
>> I am also not a fan of scapegoating and generalizing to justify war.
>>
>> I am a fan of freedom, including freedom of religion. Aggressive
>> self-righteousness by any group is problematic. Too bad it works so well
>> for empire building. It's certainly something to be aware of in other
>> countries and especially in our own.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> Hi Jamie,
>>>
>>> Here's some food for though vis-a-vis the Islamic fundamentalist
> situation
>>> (as if thgere wasn't enough already).
>>>
>>> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/wfchannel/index.php?pagenum =1>
>>>
>>> The links relative to women and human rights are pretty grotesque. Yeah,
> I
>>> realize that there is the Guantanamo thing to counter with (though I
> don't
>>> buy it personally) and the "well, look at our inhumane laws regarding
> drug
>>> offenses and racism" argument ("some" of which I definitely do buy) but
> man,
>>> the Islamic Utopia is in a whole 'nuther league.
>>>
>>> "Sure m'am, we've got chardours. What shade of black would you prefer?
>>> Here's something nice to match the color of the revolutionary jackboot."
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>>
>>> Deej
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
>>>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
>>> cycle.
>>>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>>>> in the attack.
>>>>
>>>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>>>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>>>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>>>
>>>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
> what
>>>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do

> about
>>>> them?
>>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
>>> local
>>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
>>> American
>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
> whether
>>> they
>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
>>> other
>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
>>> the
>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
>>> never
>>>> gave a straight answer.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
> for
>>>>> disagreement.
>>>>>
>>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
> nightly
>>>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
>>> to
>>>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
> concluded
>>>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
> about
>>>>> them?
>>>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
> with
>>>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
> and
>>>>>> manipulated in the process.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>>>>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$solve the problem!

>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
> the
>>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
>>> nightly
>>>>> news
>>>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>>>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>>>>> rather
>>>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife
> would
>>>>> rather
>>>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44e3ae02@linux...
>>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>>>>> dialog
>>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
> the
>>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>>>>> learned
>>>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>>>>> compelled
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>>>>> wrong
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still

> trying
>>>> to
>>>>> view
>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
> call
>>>>>> "me."
>>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
>>> Faith
>>>> is
>>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>>>>> rest
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
>>> definition
>>>>>> if
>>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
> me.
>>>> If
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
> believe
>>> in
>>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>>>> personally
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
> see
>>>>> no
>>>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>>>>>> claims.
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
>>> truly
>>>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
>>> this
>>>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
>>> superficial
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
>>> it's
>>>>>>> silly
>>>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
>>> the
>>>>>>>> sky."
>>>>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the

>>> opposite,
>>>> my
>>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>>>> dogma
>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
>>> words:
>>>>> I
>>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
>>> time
>>>>>>> ago),
>>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
>>> believe
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
>>>>>>> smattering
>>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
> it
>>>>>>> claims
>>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
>>> human
>>>>>>> beings
>>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
> is
>>>>> the
>>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
>>> being.
>>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
> believe
>>> in
>>>>> a
>>>>>>> way
>>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
> WHAT
>>>>> you
>>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
> years.
>>>>>>> What I
>>>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
>>> Because
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
>>>>> parents
>>>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>>>>>>> happened
>>>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been

> born
>>>> in
>>>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
> this
>>>>>>> make
>>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
> of
>>> a
>>>>>>> threat
>>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
>>> him?
>>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
> share
>>>>> with
>>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
> right
>>> or
>>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
> God,
>>>>>>> Heaven,
>>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
> behave
>>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
> effectually
>>>>> on
>>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
>>> is
>>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>>>>> restrained
>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
>>> Einstein
>>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
> the
>>>>>>> evil of
>>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>>>>> what
>>>>>>> has
>>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>>>>> believe
>>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
>>> the
>>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another

>>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
> and
>>>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>>>> rules".
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
>>> fine
>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
> fun
>>> to
>>>>>>> say
>>>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be
> honest
>>>>>>> here
>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
>>> then.
>>>>>>> And
>>>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
>>>>> It's
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
>>>>> backward
>>>>>>>>> human
>>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
>>>>> inspired
>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> supreme being.
>>>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are

>>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
>>> Iraqis
>>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
>>> What
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
>>>> brown
>>>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>>>>> 100?
>>>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were
> innocent
>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
> or
>>>>>>> maimed.
>>>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
>>> think
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
> but
>>>>> it's
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>>>>> jumpsuits
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>>>>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in
> all
>>>>>>>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
> you

>>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
>>> themselves
>>>> to
>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
> to
>>>>> kill
>>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:27:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think there might be some civilians in Gaza who would be interested in the answer to the other side of that question.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about >them?

>>

>Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a local >church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and American >Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether they

>would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of other >religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before the >Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he never >gave a straight answer.

>
>
>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
>>
>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
>> disagreement.
>>
>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>
>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
>> to
>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>
>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>> them?
>>
>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
>> manipulated in the process.
>>
>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>
>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>> >> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>> >> nature of reality.
>> >
>> > I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the nightly
>> > news
>> > bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>> > justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>> > rather
>> > be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife would
>> > rather
>> > die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>> >
>> >
>> >

>> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3ae02@linux...
>> >> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>dialog
>> >> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>> >> nature of reality.
>> >>
>> >> Great post, Sarah.
>> >>
>> >> Cheers,
>> >> -Jamie
>> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Sarah wrote:
>> >>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>learned
>> >>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>compelled
>> > to
>> >>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>wrong
>> > in
>> >>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>to
>> > view
>> >>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
>> > "me."
>> >>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
>is
>> >>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>rest
>> > on
>> >>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>> > if
>> >>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
>If
>> > you
>> >>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
in
>> >>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>> >>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>> >>>
>> >>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>personally
>> > do
>> >>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
>no
>> >>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent

>claims.
>> > I
>> >>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>> >>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
this
>> >>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>> > and
>> >>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
it's
>> > silly
>> >>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
the
>> > sky."
>> >>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
>my
>> >>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>dogma
>> > that
>> >>> I have no reason to believe.
>> >>>
>> >>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
>I
>> >>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
time
>> > ago),
>> >>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
>> > that
>> >>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
>> > smattering
>> >>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
>> > claims
>> >>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
>> > beings
>> >>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
is
>the
>> >>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>> >>>
>> >>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe
in
>a
>> > way
>> >>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
>you
>> >>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>> > What I
>> >>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>> > you

>> >>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
>parents
>> >>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>> > happened
>> >>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
>in
>> >>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>> >>>
>> >>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>> >>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
>> > make
>> >>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of
>a
>> > threat
>> >>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>> >>>
>> >>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
>with
>> >>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
>or
>> >>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
>> > Heaven,
>> >>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>> >>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
>on
>> >>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
>is
>> >>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>restrained
>> > by
>> >>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>> >>>
>> >>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
>> > evil of
>> >>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>what
>> > has
>> >>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>believe
>> >>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
>the
>> >>> potential consequences of this.
>> >>>
>> >>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
>> > that I
>> >>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
>now
>> > that

>> >>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
>to
>> >>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>> > beliefs
>> >>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
>not
>> >>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>> >>>
>> >>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>> >>>
>> >>> Sarah
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>> >>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>> >>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>faith.
>> >>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>> >>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
God
>> >>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>> >>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
are
>> >>>>> human at the source.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>> >>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>> >>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
then
>> > left
>> >>>>> it
>> >>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>competition.
>> >>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>> >>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>> >>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>> >>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>> The
>> >>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and

>> >>>> effective
>> >>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>> >>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>> >>>> rules".
>> >>>>
>> >>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>> >>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
fine
>> > with
>> >>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun
to
>> > say
>> >>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest
>> > here
>> >>>>> --
>> >>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
>> > And
>> >>>>> I'm
>> >>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
>It's
>> > just
>> >>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
>backward
>> >>>>> human
>> >>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
>inspired
>> > by
>> >>>>> a
>> >>>>> supreme being.
>> >>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>> >>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>> >>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>> >>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>> >>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>> >>>>> themselves...
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>> >>>>> assumptions about existence.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>> >>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
What
>> > do
>> >>>>> you

>> >>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
>brown
>> >>>> rice?
>> >>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>100?
>> >>>> 500?
>> >>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
>and
>> >>>> their
>> >>>> families.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
>> > maimed.
>> >>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>> > of
>> >>>> some
>> >>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
>it's
>> > a
>> >>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>jumpsuits
>> > and
>> >>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Sarah
>> >>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>> >>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>> >>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>> >>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>> >>>> would have to.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
>to
>> > do
>> >>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>> >>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>> >>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>> >>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>> >>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>> >>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
>kill
>> >>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>> >>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>> >>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> DC

>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >
>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 18:10:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

For most of its life Islam was a comparatively tolerant religion. In the Persian muslim and Ottoman empires local populations were not forced to convert and could practice whatever religion they wished. Often they were subject to additional taxes or travel restrictions or restrictive property rights, but they certainly weren't killed. Most converted.

But the comparison of Islam to Christianity on the conquest front is grossly unfair. Had Mohammed NOT been an effective soldier and military leader we would not know of him. He was born at a time when no religion had a chance if it couldn't defend and, yes, conquer. Jesus (the historical one I recognize, not the divine one you believe in) was born into occupied Palestine and had he had a shred of militant resistance about him his movement would have been subjected to the violence of the sword the Romans so casually used on non-Romans. It was precisely because early Christians had no political/military ambitions that they were allowed to work their way into Roman society. Had they expressed any ambitions beyond personal salvation they'd have been squashed like bugs. All of which is to say that there are historical reasons why one religion might tend toward militarism more than the other.

And then the question becomes, is western 'secular militarism' better than middle eastern 'religious militarism?' Think of that from the perspective of a) a middle class American christian, b) a Guatemalan union organizer, c) and Egyptian medical doctor, d) a Turkish guest worker living in Frankfurt, and e) a Thai buddhist motorcycle messenger.

TCB

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Jamie,

>

>Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by any
>means other than military conquest?

>

>Deej

>
>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
>>
>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
>cycle.
>>
>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>> in the attack.
>>
>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>
>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what
>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>> > them?
>> > Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at
>> a
>> >local
>> > church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
>> >American
>> > Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether
>> >they
>> > would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
>> >other
>> > religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
>> >the
>> > Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
>> >never
>> > gave a straight answer.
>> >
>> >
>> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
>> >> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>> >> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
>> for
>> >> disagreement.
>> >>
>> >> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
>> >> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.

>> >>
>> >> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
>to
>> >> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
>> >> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>> >>
>> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>> > them?
>> >> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
>> >> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
and
>> >> manipulated in the process.
>> >>
>> >> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>> >>
>> >> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>> >> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$solve the problem!
>> >>
>> >> Cheers,
>> >> -Jamie
>> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> DJ wrote:
>> >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
>> >>>> nature of reality.
>> >>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
>nightly
>> > news
>> >>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who
is
>> >>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>> > rather
>> >>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
>> > rather
>> >>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>news:44e3ae02@linux...
>> >>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>> > dialog
>> >>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
>> >>>>> nature of reality.
>> >>>>>

>> >>>> Great post, Sarah.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Cheers,
>> >>>> -Jamie
>> >>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Sarah wrote:
>> >>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>> > learned
>> >>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>> > compelled
>> >>> to
>> >>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>> > wrong
>> >>> in
>> >>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>> > to
>> >>> view
>> >>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
>> >>> "me."
>> >>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
>Faith
>> > is
>> >>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>> > rest
>> >>> on
>> >>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
>definition
>> >>> if
>> >>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
me.
>> > If
>> >>> you
>> >>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
>in
>> >>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>> >>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>> > personally
>> >>> do
>> >>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
see
>> > no
>> >>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>> > claims.
>> >>> I

>> >>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
>truly
>> >>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
>this
>> >>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
>superficial
>> >>> and
>> >>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
>it's
>> >>> silly
>> >>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy
in
>the
>> >>> sky."
>> >>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
>opposite,
>> > my
>> >>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>> > dogma
>> >>> that
>> >>>> I have no reason to believe.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
>words:
>> > I
>> >>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
>time
>> >>> ago),
>> >>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
>believe
>> >>> that
>> >>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and
a
>> >>> smattering
>> >>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
it
>> >>> claims
>> >>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
>human
>> >>> beings
>> >>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
is
>> > the
>> >>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
>being.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe
>in

>> > a
>> >>> way
>> >>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
>> > you
>> >>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>> >>> What I
>> >>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
>Because
>> >>> you
>> >>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
>> > parents
>> >>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>> >>> happened
>> >>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
born
>> > in
>> >>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>> >>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
this
>> >>> make
>> >>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
of
>a
>> >>> threat
>> >>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
>him?
>> >>>>
>> >>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
>> > with
>> >>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
>or
>> >>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
God,
>> >>> Heaven,
>> >>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>> >>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
>> > on
>> >>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
>is
>> >>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>> > restrained
>> >>> by
>> >>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
>Einstein
>> >>>>
>> >>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because

the
>> >>> evil of
>> >>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>> > what
>> >>> has
>> >>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>> > believe
>> >>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
>the
>> >>>>> potential consequences of this.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
hour
>> >>> that I
>> >>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned
by
>now
>> >>> that
>> >>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
>> > to
>> >>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>> >>> beliefs
>> >>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
are
>> > not
>> >>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Sarah
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
>news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>> >>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>> >>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>> > faith.
>> >>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>> >>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
>God
>> >>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source
is
>> >>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
>are
>> >>>>>>> human at the source.
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>> >>>>>>>

>> >>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>> >>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>> >>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
>then
>> >>> left
>> >>>>> it
>> >>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>> > competition.
>> >>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>> >>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>> >>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care
if
>> >>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>> The
>> >>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
and
>> >>>>>>> effective
>> >>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>> >>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>> >>>>>> rules".
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>> >>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
>fine
>> >>> with
>> >>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
fun
>to
>> >>> say
>> >>>>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest
>> >>> here
>> >>>>>>> --
>> >>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
>then.
>> >>> And
>> >>>>>> I'm
>> >>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
>> > It's

>> >>> just
>> >>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
>> > backward
>> >>>>>> human
>> >>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
>> > inspired
>> >>> by
>> >>>>>> a
>> >>>>>> supreme being.
>> >>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>> >>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>> >>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded
in
>> >>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>> >>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>> >>>>>> themselves...
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>> >>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
>Iraqis
>> >>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
>What
>> >>> do
>> >>>>>> you
>> >>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl
of
>> > brown
>> >>>>>>> rice?
>> >>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>> > 100?
>> >>>>>>> 500?
>> >>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
>> > and
>> >>>>>>> their
>> >>>>>>> families.
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
or
>> >>>> maimed.
>> >>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
>think
>> >>>> of
>> >>>>>>> some
>> >>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
but

>> > it's
>> >>> a
>> >>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>> > jumpsuits
>> >>> and
>> >>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Sarah
>> >>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>> >>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in
all
>> >>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>> >>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
you
>> >>>>>> would have to.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
>themselves
>> > to
>> >>> do
>> >>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>> >>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>> >>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>> >>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>> >>>>>> ghoul and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>> >>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
to
>> > kill
>> >>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>> >>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>> >>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> DC
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>
>> >
>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:03:45 GMT

Well, thank you very much, mate. :) We do the best we can. I'm enjoying yours, too. Tell ten friends to buy our CD, then they'll tell ten friends, then they'll tell ten friends

Hugs,

Sarah

"macle" <Mates9999@cs.com> wrote in message news:44e3cc28\$1@linux...

>
> Oh my gawd, lucifer!
> either way, it's so absurd,
> look around it's
> such a blur you see!!
>
> Why is live and let live so hard? I dunno. Seems so easy.
>
> I've taken to burying my head in the sand. Ignorance is bliss
> sort of nearly almost. It'll all work out in the end (except
> for those of us burning in hell for eternity...OUCH!)
>
> Sarah, I listened to the clips on your site...very very
> nice Paris sound. Thumbs up!
>
> Peace brothers and sisters!!
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:50:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

okay, i'll put it in the top two as i'm sure many killings go un publicized if it doesn't involve population segments not currently popular on the "oh that's really a shame" or "hey, dems my peeps" index.

On 17 Aug 2006 23:05:22 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Here's the thing, you'll cause just as much trouble saying it's #5 or #10
>as #1. And I suspected you thought as much which is why I asked. Maybe not.
>Influenza takes a few hundred thousand per year in the off years, so maybe
>over the long haul it's first, just by the yearly grind. But even then I
>doubt it.

>
>But in any case, just tell the truth as you see it, regardless of the trouble
>it might cause. We need more of that.
>
>TCB
>
>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>i didn't want to cause trouble by calling it #1
>>
>>On 17 Aug 2006 06:17:12 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>I'd be interested to hear the nine candidates you would propose ahead of
>it.
>>>
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>sarah
>>>>
>>>>everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to
>>>>convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
>>>>not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
>>>>that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
>>>>ten killers of humanity throughout history.
>>>>
>>>>On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>>>>
>>>>>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
>>>>to
>>>>>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
>>>>in
>>>>>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>to
>>>view
>>>>>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>>>>>
>>>>>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
>is
>>>
>>>>>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
>>>>on
>>>>>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>>>>if

>>>>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
>If
>>>you
>>>>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>>>
>>>>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>
>>>>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
>>>do
>>>>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
>no
>>>
>>>>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
>>> I
>>>>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>
>>>>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>>>
>>>>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>>>and
>>>>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
>>>silly
>>>>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
>>>sky."
>>>>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
>my
>>>
>>>>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
>>>that
>>>>I have no reason to believe.
>>>>
>>>>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
>
>>>I
>>>>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
>>>ago),
>>>>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
>that
>>>
>>>>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
>>>
>>>>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
>>>
>>>>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
>beings
>>>
>>>>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is

>the
>>>
>>>>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
>>>a way
>>>>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>>>
>>>>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> What
>>>I
>>>>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>>>you
>>>>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>>>
>>>>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>>>
>>>>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
>in
>>>
>>>>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>
>>>>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
>make
>>>
>>>>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
>threat
>>>
>>>>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>>
>>>>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>>>
>>>>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>>>
>>>>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
>Heaven,
>>>
>>>>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>
>>>>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
>on
>>>
>>>>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>
>>>>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
>>>by
>>>>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein

>>>>
>>>>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
>evil
>>>of
>>>>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
>>>has
>>>>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>>>
>>>>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>>>
>>>>potential consequences of this.
>>>>
>>>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
>that
>>>I
>>>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
>>>that
>>>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
>to
>>>
>>>>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
>>>
>>>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
>not
>>>
>>>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>
>>>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>
>>>>Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>>>left
>>>>> it
>>>>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>
>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The
>>>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>
>>>>>>effective
>>>>>
>>>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>
>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>> rules".
>>>>>
>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>>>with
>>>>>
>>>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>>>say
>>>>>
>>>>>>"what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
>here
>>>>> --
>>>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
>
>>>And
>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
>>>just
>>>>>
>>>>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>>>

>>>>>>human
>>>>>>
>>>>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
>>>by
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>supreme being.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>>>do
>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>>
>>>>>>>rice?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>>
>>>>>>>their
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>families.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>>>of
>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
>>>a
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
>>>and
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>Sarah
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>
>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
>>>to do
>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>
>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
>kill
>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>
>>>>> DC
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 22:16:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"
<Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers

I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians to just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity may seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even on this forum.

Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth). Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to the faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening the perspectives here.

For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build a memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to get drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case, rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of, at least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite, but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime rates or corporate corruption.

My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap from

there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples of how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)

The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about. I really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .

I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit it is intended - just something to consider.

Regards,
Dedric

>
>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 22:26:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Can anyone confirm or deny this information?
Sources please :)

<http://www.aish.com/movies/PhotoFraud.asp>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 23:05:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Dedric,

If I've said anything that makes you think I am advocating shipping you and your family out of the country, call me on it and let's talk. I do not support the making of scapegoats. And we'd miss you around here!

The Bill of Rights protects religious freedom. I am all for that.

The point I've been trying to make in this thread is that getting people to talk to each other rather than past each other is a major challenge when people have very different understandings of the nature of reality.

Yet we must succeed at communicating between groups as diverse as Christians and Muslims, or for a tougher example, AELC Lutherans and Wisconsin Synod Lutherans. I'm only half joking about that last one...

In the USA some (not all) members of the following groups feel persecuted because of religious bias in our culture:

- 1) Christians (way too diverse a group to be under a single label, BTW).
- 2) Muslims
- 3) Jews
- 4) Sikhs
- 5) Atheists
- 6) etc.

I don't know if Buddhists bother feeling persecuted.

I do like the ACLU for the most part, because the Bill of Rights is constantly under attack. Since it's a fundamental part of what makes the USA a special place it needs to be looked after. So I'll add:

- 7) The Bill Of Rights

Even though it's not a group, it protects all of us from a variety of bad stuff. Hey, it's my list and I'm adding it! :^)

How do we balance different outlooks and perceptions around all this? It ain't easy. Talking is good, though.

I don't see anything that indicates we are about to outlaw Christianity. You'll have to convince me on that one. The appropriateness of government sanctioning of the symbol of one religion over another is an interesting issue but I wouldn't read that as moving toward banning Christianity. Probably makes great material for rousing sermons, though, in some churches, while other churches can and do support the separation of church and state for religious reasons so their sermons would go the other way. See how hard it is to generalize about Christianity as one entity?

Our great Bill of Rights protects Christianity and all its sects. It seems to me that certain subsets of Christian thought are very influential in our government right now, hence my comment. I'm not

seeing that level of influence from other religions.

We should have a conversation about this, Detric, next time you're up this way.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

Detric Terry wrote:

> On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"

> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>

> Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers

> I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity

> than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church

> and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the

> Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians to

> just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the

> non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity may

> seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the

> deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even on

> this forum.

>

> Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't

> mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many

> truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as

> long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very

> nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting

> substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather

> strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that

> Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is

> responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).

> Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of

> slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the

> arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is

> discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to

> ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to the

> faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm

> not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from

> it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
> the perspectives here.
>
> For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
> probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build a
> memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
> private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
> issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right
> as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
> promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
> the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
> "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
> anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to get
> drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
> rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of, at
> least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
> but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
> rates or corporate corruption.
>
> My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
> ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
> promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap from
> there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
> become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
> action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
> into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
> involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
> the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples of
> how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>
> The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
> wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
> family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about. I
> really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
> discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
> ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>
> I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit it is
> intended - just something to consider.
>
> Regards,
> Dedic
>
>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [macle](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 23:16:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, we must spread *the word*!! Our hope is to be bigger than Jesus, Allah, John Lennon and L.Ron Hubbard put together!!

Rock on Sarah!!

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Well, thank you very much, mate. :) We do the best we can. I'm enjoying

>yours, too. Tell ten friends to buy our CD, then they'll tell ten friends,

>then they'll tell ten friends

>

>Hugs,

>

>Sarah

>

>

>"macle" <Mates9999@cs.com> wrote in message news:44e3cc28\$1@linux...

>>

>> Oh my gawd, lucifer!

>> either way, it's so absurd,

>> look around it's

>> such a blur you see!!

>>

>> Why is live and let live so hard? I dunno. Seems so easy.

>>

>> I've taken to burying my head in the sand. Ignorance is bliss

>> sort of nearly almost. It'll all work out in the end (except

>> for those of us burning in hell for eternity...OUCH!)

>>

>> Sarah, I listened to the clips on your site...very very

>> nice Paris sound. Thumbs up!

>>

>> Peace brothers and sisters!!

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 23:31:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

it just so happens that the two CDs on constant rotation in my car are sarah's

and yours.

Very very good stuff.

-steve

"macle" <Mates9999@cs.com> wrote:

>

>Yes, we must spread *the word*!! Our hope is to be bigger than

>Jesus, Allah, John Lennon and L.Ron Hubbard put together!!

>

>Rock on Sarah!!

>

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>Well, thank you very much, mate. :) We do the best we can. I'm enjoying

>

>>yours, too. Tell ten friends to buy our CD, then they'll tell ten friends,

>

>>then they'll tell ten friends

>>

>>Hugs,

>>

>>Sarah

>>

>>

>>"macle" <Mates9999@cs.com> wrote in message news:44e3cc28\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> Oh my gawd, lucifer!

>>> either way, it's so absurd,

>>> look around it's

>>> such a blur you see!!

>>>

>>> Why is live and let live so hard? I dunno. Seems so easy.

>>>

>>> I've taken to burying my head in the sand. Ignorance is bliss

>>> sort of nearly almost. It'll all work out in the end (except

>>> for those of us burning in hell for eternity...OUCH!)

>>>

>>> Sarah, I listened to the clips on your site...very very

>>> nice Paris sound. Thumbs up!

>>>

>>> Peace brothers and sisters!!

>>>

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 00:19:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can confirm it. :-)

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>Can anyone confirm or deny this information?

>Sources please :)

>

>

><http://www.aish.com/movies/PhotoFraud.asp>

>

>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 01:42:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL! Good point, Thad!

I'll take a shot at a few. Maybe...:

Famine or malnutrition

Influenza (various endemics of such, throughout time)

Old Age (ok, it's kind of a "Duh!", but it's still a killer)

Infection of wounds

Death at the hands (paws) of wild beasts

That's all I can come up with.

Neil

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>I'd be interested to hear the nine candidates you would propose ahead of it.

>

>

>TCB

>

>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>sarah

>>

>>everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to

>>convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
>>not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
>>that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
>>ten killers of humanity throughout history.
>>
>>On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>
>>>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
>to
>>>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
>in
>>>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
>view
>>>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>>>
>>>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
>is
>
>>>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
>on
>>>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>if
>>>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If
>you
>>>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>
>>>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>
>>>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
>do
>>>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
>
>>>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
> I
>>>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly

>>>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>
>>>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>and
>>>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
>silly
>>>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
>sky."

>>>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
my
>
>>>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
>that
>>>I have no reason to believe.
>>>
>>>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:

>I
>>>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
>ago),
>>>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
that
>
>>>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
>
>>>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
>
>>>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
beings
>
>>>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
>
>>>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>
>>>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
>a way
>>>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>
>>>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
What
>I
>>>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>you
>>>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>
>>>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>
>>>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>
>>>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>
>>>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
>
>>>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
threat

>
>>>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>
>>>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>
>>>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>
>>>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
>
>>>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>
>>>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is

>>>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
>by
>>>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>>
>>>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil
>of
>>>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
>has
>>>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>
>>>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>
>>>potential consequences of this.
>>>
>>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that
>I
>>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
>that
>>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
>
>>>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
>
>>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>
>>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>
>>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>
>>>Sarah
>>>
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>
>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>> human at the source.
>>>>
>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>
>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>left
>>>> it
>>>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>
>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The
>>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>>effective
>>>>
>>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>
>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>> rules".
>>>>
>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>with
>>>>
>>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>say
>>>>

>>>>"what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest here
>>>> --
>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.

>And
>>>> I'm
>>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
>just
>>>>
>>>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>
>>>>>human
>>>>
>>>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
>by
>>>> a
>>>>>supreme being.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>> themselves...

>>>>
>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>> assumptions about existence.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>do

>>>> you
>>>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown

>
>>>>>rice?

>>>>
>>>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>> 500?

>>>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and

>
>>>>>their
>>>>
>>>>>families.

>>>>>
>>>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>>

work of the photog, and fired him.

Sounds confirmed.

DC

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>Can anyone confirm or deny this information?

>Sources please :)

>

>

><http://www.aish.com/movies/PhotoFraud.asp>

>

>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 03:06:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Jamie,

I probably should have replied originally in a generic post so you didn't think all of that was referring to you (I wasn't referring to anyone in particular - in general this is a great group of people - diverse opinions, but usually even tempered discussion which I greatly appreciate).

The possibility of our country outlawing Christianity isn't a given, but a trend I see - I really don't want to be right, and don't assume I am either. We may never reach that point, but there are many other reasons why I see that as a distinct possibility (for one, in Revelation when God talks about the last days, there is not correlation to any entity resembling the United States in its' current form - not that tying Revelation to any country outside of Israel is obvious - it isn't. But there are parallels for other countries, in some form).

I just sense that our country won't exist in this form eventually - either through hostile, economic or religious takeover (not Christianity btw), or gradual descent into another social and governmental form that self-destructs. Another possibility is that we team up with Canada and Mexico and form the North American Trade Union to compete with the EU (we would have more letters in our acronym, which is always good, or not), but that's completely unrelated, and far more likely to occur in our lifetimes...

Specifically related to your post, I was mainly addressing your assertion that our country is becoming a theocracy. Since the beginning of our country we have actually taken more associations with and public acceptances

of God and Christianity out of our society and out of public view, not the other way around. Some of that is just part of our ever changing interpretation of the separation of church and state, but the problem is, we don't seem to be abiding by a solid basis for many constitutional decisions as we might like to believe. As the separation of church and state concept isn't actually in the Constitution in that form (we've all discussed that before here), we are interpreting what is there in various forms - the original statement just says that the state/government shall not advocate a given religion, which it doesn't, and never has. There are of course state laws and tax laws that further define what that means (e.g. a minister can not endorse any political candidate from the pulpit and retain non-profit/religious institution status - that's a grey area between the restriction of the government not endorsing a religion and freedom of speech - e.g. the bill of rights applies as long as it doesn't interfere with our interpretation of the Constitution, and hence its' malleability gradually increases when it applies to religion).

Bill of Rights - there is a reason for it no doubt, and it works, or should, for everyone's benefit. That doesn't make governments, laws or bills more morally perfect than God's laws, but I think most of the ones we have work pretty well usually. But we have to remember that in a situation such as faith, a lack of faith can't be considered a neutral point of view in terms of rights. Where faith must be bound, so must irreligious beliefs - both are faith decisions - one deciding to believe in God, the other deciding not to. The reason is that to provide equal rights without conflict or contradiction between two contradictory belief systems (Christianity vs. Atheism/Agnosticism for example), one belief would have to be false to the point of being considered non-existent.

Sidebar - Blaise Pascal had an interesting comment on the idea of conflicting beliefs:
"Pascal's wager":

"Either Christianity is true or it's false. If you bet that it's true, and you believe in God and submit to Him, then if it IS true, you've gained God, heaven, and everything else. If it's false, you've lost nothing, but you've had a good life marked by peace and the illusion that ultimately, everything makes sense. If you bet that Christianity is not true, and it's false, you've lost nothing. But if you bet that it's false, and it turns out to be true, you've lost everything and you get to spend eternity in hell."

On 8/17/06 5:05 PM, in article 44e4f4b2@linux, "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
> Yet we must succeed at communicating between groups as diverse as
> Christians and Muslims, or for a tougher example, AELC Lutherans and
> Wisconsin Synod Lutherans. I'm only half joking about that last one...

Agreed. The church we attend here isn't one of the largest (about 1000), but has held two community discussion forums (both got local press) - the first was to discuss homosexuality, and the second religious diversity (including a Jew, Muslim, Catholic, etc). I wasn't able to attend either one, but heard both were very successful at opening up dialogue and giving people a chance to ask questions of panel members on all sides of both issues. The reason our church hosted this was simply to open up dialogue. I believe it both were moderated by 3rd parties so there wouldn't be a question of objectivity.

>

> In the USA some (not all) members of the following groups feel
> persecuted because of religious bias in our culture:

>

> 1) Christians (way too diverse a group to be under a single label, BTW).
To a degree that is true, but a Christian is simply someone who believes that Jesus is the son of one true God, and that he died to overcome our sins, giving us a direct path to God and the promise of eternal life.

> 2) Muslims

> 3) Jews

> 4) Sikhs

> 5) Atheists

> 6) etc.

>

> I don't know if Buddhists bother feeling persecuted.

>

> I do like the ACLU for the most part, because the Bill of Rights is
> constantly under attack. Since it's a fundamental part of what makes the
> USA a special place it needs to be looked after. So I'll add:

>

> 7) The Bill Of Rights

>

> Even though it's not a group, it protects all of us from a variety of
> bad stuff. Hey, it's my list and I'm adding it! :^)

7 is a good number. I do also see the Bill of Rights under attack, but perhaps from a different perspective.

>

> How do we balance different outlooks and perceptions around all this? It
> ain't easy. Talking is good, though.

It isn't easy, from any perspective. But I also agree, talking is the only way to understand, if not resolve differences.

>

> I don't see anything that indicates we are about to outlaw Christianity.
> You'll have to convince me on that one. The appropriateness of
> government sanctioning of the symbol of one religion over another is an

> interesting issue but I wouldn't read that as moving toward banning
> Christianity. Probably makes great material for rousing sermons, though,
> in some churches, while other churches can and do support the separation
> of church and state for religious reasons so their sermons would go the
> other way. See how hard it is to generalize about Christianity as one
> entity?

Actually I've never heard a sermon about a specific move to outlaw Christianity. Some ministers do refer to specific events where a Christian organization or event was sanctioned because it was perceived as being too public (a deviation of the separation of church and state). Why would the ACLU want to prevent someone from putting a cross on their own land? Are we going to extend eminent domain to enforce the separation of church and state, as a local judicial court chooses to interpret it?

In general most Christians don't worry about the future, and I don't either. I only proposed this perspective, hypothetically for the sake of this discussion, to open up thinking about where we could end up if the trend many of us see continues to build. Most of the events indicating such a trend never make the news. If you are interested, I'll relate more the next time we have a chance to get together.

>
> Our great Bill of Rights protects Christianity and all its sects. It
> seems to me that certain subsets of Christian thought are very
> influential in our government right now, hence my comment. I'm not
> seeing that level of influence from other religions.

And I see the opposite also being true - Ted Kennedy for one. There is some public profile and influence from prominent Christians, but I don't think it is nearly as strong as the news would have you believe. I've either attended churches headed by some of these leaders, or know other ministers that have relationships with them.

>
> We should have a conversation about this, Dedic, next time you're up
> this way.

That would be great. I'll drop you a line the next time I'm in your neck of the hills - probably should make a business trip up that way soon.

Regards,
Dedic

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>

>
>
> Dedic Terry wrote:
>> On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>
>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>> Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>> I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>> than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church
>> and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>> Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians to
>> just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
>> non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity may
>> seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>> deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even on
>> this forum.
>>
>> Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
>> mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>> truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as
>> long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>> nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>> substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>> strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>> Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is
>> responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>> Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>> slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the
>> arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>> discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to
>> ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to the
>> faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm
>> not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from
>> it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>> the perspectives here.
>>
>> For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>> probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build a
>> memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
>> private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>> issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right
>> as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard

>> promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>> the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>> "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>> anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to get
>> drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
>> rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of, at
>> least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>> but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>> rates or corporate corruption.

>>
>> My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
>> ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>> promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap from
>> there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>> become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>> action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>> into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>> involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>> the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples of
>> how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)

>>
>> The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
>> wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>> family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about. I
>> really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>> discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>> ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .

>>
>> I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit it is
>> intended - just something to consider.

>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic

>>
>>
>>>
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 10:02:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

boring lectures
brittany spears interviews
stuff like that

On 18 Aug 2006 11:42:17 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>
>LOL! Good point, Thad!
>
>I'll take a shot at a few. Maybe...:
>
>Famine or malnutrition
>Influenza (various endemics of such, throughout time)
>Old Age (ok, it's kind of a "Duh!", but it's still a killer)
>Infection of wounds
>Death at the hands (paws) of wild beasts
>
>That's all I can come up with.

>
>Neil

>
>
>
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>
>>I'd be interested to hear the nine candidates you would propose ahead of
>it.

>>
>>
>>TCB

>>
>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>sarah
>>>
>>>everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to
>>>convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
>>>not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
>>>that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
>>>ten killers of humanity throughout history.

>>>
>>>On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>>>wrote:

>>>
>>>>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>>
>>>>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
>>to
>>>>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
>>in
>>>>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to

>>view
>>>>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>>>>
>>>>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
>is
>>
>>>>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
>>on
>>>>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>>if
>>>>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If
>>you
>>>>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>>
>>>>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>
>>>>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
>>do
>>>>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
>>
>>>>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
>> I
>>>>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>
>>>>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>>
>>>>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>>and
>>>>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
>>silly
>>>>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
>>sky."
>>>>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
>my
>>
>>>>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
>>that
>>>>I have no reason to believe.
>>>>
>>>>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
>
>>I
>>>>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
>>ago),
>>>>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
>that
>>

>>>>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
>>
>>>>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
>>
>>>>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
>beings
>>
>>>>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
>>
>>>>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
>>a way
>>>>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>>
>>>>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>What
>>I
>>>>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>>you
>>>>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>>
>>>>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>>
>>>>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>>
>>>>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>
>>>>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
>>
>>>>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
>threat
>>
>>>>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>>
>>>>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>>
>>>>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>>
>>>>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
>>
>>>>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>>>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>>
>>>>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>
>>>>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained

>>by
>>>>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>>>
>>>>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil
>>of
>>>>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
>>has
>>>>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>>
>>>>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>>
>>>>potential consequences of this.
>>>>
>>>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that
>>I
>>>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
>>that
>>>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
>>
>>>>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
>>
>>>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>>
>>>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>
>>>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>
>>>>Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>>left
>>>>> it
>>>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>
>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The
>>>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>>>effective
>>>>>
>>>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>
>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>> rules".
>>>>>
>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>>with
>>>>>
>>>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>>say
>>>>>
>>>>>>"what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be honest here
>>>>> --
>>>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
>
>>And
>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
>>just
>>>>>
>>>>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>>
>>>>>>human
>>>>>
>>>>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
>>by

>>>> a
>>>>>supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>> themselves...
>>>>
>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>>do
>>>> you
>>>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>
>>>>>rice?
>>>>
>>>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>> 500?
>>>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>
>>>>>their
>>>>
>>>>>families.
>>>>>
>>>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>>
>>>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>>of
>>>> some
>>>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
>>a
>>>>
>>>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
>>and
>>>>
>>>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>
>>>>>Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no

my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side of caution.

TCB

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"

><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>>

>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>

>Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers

>I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity

>than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church

>and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the

>Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians

>to

>just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the

>non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity

>may

>seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the

>deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even

>on

>this forum.

>

>Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't

>mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many

>truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as

>long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very

>nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting

>substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather

>strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that

>Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is

>responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).

>Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of

>slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the

>arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is

>discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to

>ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to

>the

>faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm

>not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from

>it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>the perspectives here.

>

>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build
a

>memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right
>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to
get

>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
>rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
at

>least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>rates or corporate corruption.

>

>My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
>ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
from

>there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
of

>how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)

>

>The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
>wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about. I
>really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .

>

>I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit it
is

>intended - just something to consider.

>

>Regards,

>Dedric

>
>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: church and state
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:35:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually, you have called belief in God "morally suspect", and have expressed a fair amount of disrespect for faith on several occasions. Just for the record. Your use of the word "respect" here is window dressing for your real agenda and opinions it seems.

As for religion in the public sphere, the first amendment says less about it than some think.

It clearly bans a state religion and the prohibition of religious practice, and I think it is reasonable to extrapolate that it protects the beliefs of atheists. However, the idea that it can be used to ban crosses on veterans cemeteries, the pledge, and "In God We Trust" on currency, is legally and historically suspect. There are several cases in the works to test this theory, so we shall see.

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>As I've said here, I respect anyone's beliefs unless and until they interfere
>with my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. If you don't like 'suggestive'
>billboards or strip clubs you'll have to pass laws against them that meet
>the standards of the constitution. As Jefferson said, whether my neighbor
>wishes to worship a different god than I do, or no god at all, neither picks
>my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious
>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side
>of caution.

>
>TCB

>
>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>
>>>

>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

>>>

>>> Cheers,

>>> -Jamie

>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>>

>>Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>>I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>>than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church
>>and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>>Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians
>to

>>just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
>>non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity
>may

>>seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even
>on

>>this forum.

>>

>>Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
>>mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)

as

>>long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is
>>responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).

>>Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider
the

>>arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to
>>ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to
>the

>>faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm
>>not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from
>>it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>>the perspectives here.

>>

>>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build
>a

>>memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
>>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the

right

>>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to
>get
>>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
>>rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
>at
>>least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>>but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>rates or corporate corruption.

>>

>>My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
>>ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>from
>>there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>>become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>>into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>>involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>>the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
>of
>>how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)

>>

>>The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
>>wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.

|

>>really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>>discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>>ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .

>>

>>I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-) will take it in the spirit

it

>is

>>intended - just something to consider.

>>

>>Regards,

>>Dedric

>>

>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: church and state one more thing...
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:43:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>But considering the damage that religious
>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side
>of caution.

I call comments like these "atheist campfire songs", because they allow atheists to feel superior while ignoring history.

Considering that the 20th century brought us 200 million dead at the hands of secularists like Mao, Stalin, Pol Pot and so many others, it might not quite be time for the superior dance yet...

Well I wasn't expecting the STALINIST PURGES!

NOBODY expects the STALINIST PURGES!! our chief weapons are fear, intimidation, the gulag and murder!!

DC

Subject: Re: church and state
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:54:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually, I said that _I consider_ the three religions based on the vengeful Levantine sky god 'morally suspect' which I still believe. Unsurprisingly, you equate a general 'belief in God' with 'DC's christian beliefs' which might bring quizzical looks from 850 million Hindus.

And of course our currency always had 'in god we trust' on it, except that it didn't.

<http://www.treasury.gov/education/fact-sheets/currency/in-god-we-trust.shtml>

We atheists don't really have an agenda. How could we? There's not much prosteltizing to be done for non-belief. I guess we do have one agenda, to be left alone on that pursuit that happiness Jefferson promised us.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>Actually, you have called belief in God "morally suspect", and
>have expressed a fair amount of disrespect for faith on several
>occasions. Just for the record. Your use of the word "respect"
>here is window dressing for your real agenda and opinions it seems.

>

>As for religion in the public sphere, the first amendment says
>less about it than some think.

>

>It clearly bans a state religion and the
>prohibition of religious practice, and I think it is reasonable to
>extrapolate that it protects the beliefs of atheists. However,
>the idea that it can be used to ban crosses on veterans cemeteries, the
>pledge,
>and "In God We Trust" on currency, is legally and
>historically suspect. There are several cases in the works to test
>this theory, so we shall see.

>

>DC

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>>As I've said here, I respect anyone's beliefs unless and until they interfere
>>with my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. If you don't like 'suggestive'
>>billboards or strip clubs you'll have to pass laws against them that meet
>>the standards of the constitution. As Jefferson said, whether my neighbor
>>wishes to worship a different god than I do, or no god at all, neither
>>picks
>>my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious
>>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side
>>of caution.

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"

>>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

>>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

>>>>

>>>> Cheers,

>>>> -Jamie

>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>>

>>>Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>>>I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>>>than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church
>>>and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>>>Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians
>>to

>>>just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
>>>non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity
>>may
>>>seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>>deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even
>>on

>>>this forum.

>>>

>>>Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
>>>mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>>truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)

>as

>>>long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>>nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>>substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>>strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>>Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow
is

>>>responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>>>Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>>slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider
>the

>>>arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>>discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need
to

>>>ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems
to

>>the

>>>faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances.

I'm

>>>not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far
from

>>>it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>>>the perspectives here.

>>>

>>>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build
>>a

>>>memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land
is

>>>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking

>>>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the
>right
>>>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>>>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone
to
>>get
>>>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst
case,
>>>rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
>>at
>>>least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>>>but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>>rates or corporate corruption.
>>>
>>>My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by
the
>>>ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>>promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>>from
>>>there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>>>become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>>action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>>>into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>>>involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>>>the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
>>of
>>>how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>
>>>The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is,
who
>>>wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>>family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.
>I
>>>really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>>>discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>>>ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>>
>>>I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-) will take it in the spirit
>it
>>is
>>>intended - just something to consider.
>>>
>>>Regards,
>>>Dedric
>>>

>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: church and state
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 16:08:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But you said *right below* that you respect "anyone's" beliefs, and indeed you do not. Glad to hear you say you respect Hindus, but I doubt many of them would find your respect something they could be certain of.

As far as proselytizing. Here you go:

Atheist evangelists on parade:

<http://www.the-brights.net/>
<http://www.atheists.org/>
<http://www.atheists.com/>

and there's lots more. My uncles were good friends with Madalyn Murray O'Hare, and I can tell you that while atheist proselytizing may take the form of ridicule, hostility, and hatred more than exhortation and encouragement, it does, most certainly, exist.

As far as the currency goes, I care much less that the statement is on there, than I am alarmed at the push to take it off, all the while denying an agenda...

amazing really.

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Actually, I said that _I consider_ the three religions based on the vengeful
>Levantine sky god 'morally suspect' which I still believe. Unsurprisingly,
>you equate a general 'belief in God' with 'DC's christian beliefs' which
>might bring quizzical looks from 850 million Hindus.

>
>And of course our currency always had 'in god we trust' on it, except that
>it didn't.
>
> [http://www.treasury.gov/education/fact-sheets/currency/in-go d-we-trust.shtml](http://www.treasury.gov/education/fact-sheets/currency/in-go-d-we-trust.shtml)
>
>We atheists don't really have an agenda. How could we? There's not much
prostituting
>to be done for non-belief. I guess we do have one agenda, to be left alone
>on that pursuit that happiness Jefferson promised us.
>
>TCB
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>
>>Actually, you have called belief in God "morally suspect", and
>>have expressed a fair amount of disrespect for faith on several
>>occasions. Just for the record. Your use of the word "respect"
>>here is window dressing for your real agenda and opinions it seems.
>>
>>As for religion in the public sphere, the first amendment says
>>less about it than some think.
>>
>>It clearly bans a state religion and the
>>prohibition of religious practice, and I think it is reasonable to
>>extrapolate that it protects the beliefs of atheists. However,
>>the idea that it can be used to ban crosses on veterans cemeteries, the
>pledge,
>>and "In God We Trust" on currency, is legally and
>>historically suspect. There are several cases in the works to test
>>this theory, so we shall see.
>>
>>DC
>>
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>>As I've said here, I respect anyone's beliefs unless and until they interfere
>>>with my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. If you don't like 'suggestive'
>>>billboards or strip clubs you'll have to pass laws against them that meet
>>>the standards of the constitution. As Jefferson said, whether my neighbor
>>>wishes to worship a different god than I do, or no god at all, neither
>picks
>>>my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious
>>>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side
>>>of caution.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>

>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>
>>>>>Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>>>>>I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>>>>>than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of
church
>>>>>and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>>>>>Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians
>>>>>to
>>>>>just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
>>>>>non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity
>>>>>may
>>>>>seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>>>>deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even
>>>>>on
>>>>>this forum.
>>>>>
>>>>>Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
>>>>>mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>>>>truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)
>>>>>as
>>>>>long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>>>>nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>>>>substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>>>>strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>>>>Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow
>is
>>>>>responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>>>>>Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>>>>slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider
>>the
>>>>>arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>>>>discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need
>to
>>>>>ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems
>to
>>>>>the
>>>>>faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances.

>I'm
>>>>not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far
>from
>>>>it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>>>>the perspectives here.
>>>>
>>>>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>>>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build
>>>>a
>>>>memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land
>is
>>>>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>>>>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the
>>right
>>>>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>>>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>>>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>>>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable
>for
>>>>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone
>to
>>>get
>>>>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst
>case,
>>>>rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
>>>>at
>>>>least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>>>>but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>>>rates or corporate corruption.
>>>>
>>>>My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by
>the
>>>>ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>>>promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>>>from
>>>>there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>>>>become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>>>action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>>>>into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>>>>involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>>>>the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
>>>>of
>>>>how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>>
>>>>The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is,
>who
>>>>wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>>>family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.

>>I
>>>>really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>>>>discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>>>>ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>>>
>>>>I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-) will take it in the spirit
>>it
>>>is
>>>>intended - just something to consider.
>>>>
>>>>Regards,
>>>>Dedric
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: church and state
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 16:19:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think you're confusing 'respect' with 'adhere to.' I can respect someone's beliefs and still disagree with them. I respect the beliefs of those who believe an alien abduction but I don't think that happens.

As an atheist, when I get tax exempt buildings and special parking spaces I'll start believing we have an agenda.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:

>
>But you said *right below* that you respect "anyone's" beliefs,
>and indeed you do not. Glad to hear you say you respect Hindus,
>but I doubt many of them would find your respect something they
>could be certain of.
>
>As far as proselytizing. Here you go:
>
>Atheist evangelists on parade:
>
><http://www.the-brights.net/>

><http://www.atheists.org/>
><http://www.atheists.com/>
>
>and there's lots more. My uncles were good friends with Madalyn
>Murray O'Hare, and I can tell you that while atheist proselytizing
>may take the form of ridicule, hostility, and hatred more than
>exhortation and encouragement, it does, most certainly, exist.
>
>As far as the currency goes, I care much less that the statement
>is on there, than I am alarmed at the push to take it off, all the
>while denying an agenda...
>
>amazing really.
>
>DC
>
>
>
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>Actually, I said that _I consider_ the three religions based on the vengeful
>>Levantine sky god 'morally suspect' which I still believe. Unsurprisingly,
>>you equate a general 'belief in God' with 'DC's christian beliefs' which
>>might bring quizzical looks from 850 million Hindus.
>>
>>And of course our currency always had 'in god we trust' on it, except that
>>it didn't.
>>
>> <http://www.treasury.gov/education/fact-sheets/currency/in-go-d-we-trust.shtml>
>>
>>We atheists don't really have an agenda. How could we? There's not much
>prosteltizing
>>to be done for non-belief. I guess we do have one agenda, to be left alone
>>on that pursuit that happiness Jefferson promised us.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Actually, you have called belief in God "morally suspect", and
>>>have expressed a fair amount of disrespect for faith on several
>>>occasions. Just for the record. Your use of the word "respect"
>>>here is window dressing for your real agenda and opinions it seems.
>>>
>>>As for religion in the public sphere, the first amendment says
>>>less about it than some think.
>>>

>>>It clearly bans a state religion and the
>>>prohibition of religious practice, and I think it is reasonable to
>>>extrapolate that it protects the beliefs of atheists. However,
>>>the idea that it can be used to ban crosses on veterans cemeteries, the
>>pledge,
>>>and "In God We Trust" on currency, is legally and
>>>historically suspect. There are several cases in the works to test
>>>this theory, so we shall see.
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>As I've said here, I respect anyone's beliefs unless and until they interfere
>>>>with my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. If you don't like 'suggestive'
>>>>billboards or strip clubs you'll have to pass laws against them that
>>>meet
>>>>the standards of the constitution. As Jefferson said, whether my neighbor
>>>>wishes to worship a different god than I do, or no god at all, neither
>>picks
>>>>my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious
>>>>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the
>>>side
>>>>of caution.
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>>>>>>I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>>>>>>than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of
>church
>>>>>>and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>>>>>>Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians
>>>>>to
>>>>>>just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of
>>>>the
>>>>>>non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity

>>>>may
>>>>>seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>>>>deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even
>>>>on
>>>>>this forum.
>>>>>
>>>>>Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really
don't
>>>>>mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>>>>truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)
>>>>as
>>>>>long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>>>>nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>>>>substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>>>>strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>>>>Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow
>>is
>>>>>responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>>>>>Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>>>>slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider
>>>the
>>>>>arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>>>>discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need
>>to
>>>>>ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems
>>to
>>>>the
>>>>>faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances.
>
>>I'm
>>>>>not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far
>>from
>>>>>it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>>>>>the perspectives here.
>>>>>
>>>>>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>>>>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to
build
>>>>a
>>>>>memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land
>>is
>>>>>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is
taking
>>>>>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the
>>>right
>>>>>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>>>>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>>>>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is

>>>>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable
>for
>>>>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone
>>to
>>>>get
>>>>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst
>>case,
>>>>rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know
of,
>>>>at
>>>>least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>>>>but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>>>rates or corporate corruption.
>>>>
>>>>My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by
>>the
>>>>ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>>>promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>>>>from
>>>>there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>>>>become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>>>action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of
insight
>>>>into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>>>>involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>>>>the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
>>>>of
>>>>how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>>
>>>>The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is,
>>who
>>>>wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and
my
>>>>family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.
>>>>
>>>>really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>>>>discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of
being
>>>>ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>>>
>>>>I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit
>>>>it
>>>>is
>>>>intended - just something to consider.
>>>>
>>>>Regards,
>>>>Dedric
>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: church and state
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 16:56:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>I think you're confusing 'respect' with 'adhere to.' I can respect someone's
>beliefs and still disagree with them. I respect the beliefs of those who
>believe an alien abduction but I don't think that happens.

No you don't. You have zero respect for those beliefs and anyone who knows you can confirm this.

We say we respect beliefs, but we often do not. Madalyn Murray O'Hare was a nasty old bat, full of hate and bitterness, who in the end was killed by someone who subscribed to the same almighty self as the final authority, that she did. Her beliefs lead straight to

hell IM-ever-so-HO.

Now, as an american, and certainly as a christian, I hope I would change her tire in the rain, and I hope I would jump in and save your butt from a beating if you got too friendly with one of your brazilian stripper chicks and some guy decided to teach you a lesson.

This practice of respect is what is lacking, not the profession of respect, which is just words... I wish atheists practiced respect more towards Christians. But hey, we understand your need to dump on us. After all, we know about your doubt that we are wrong, and your fear that we may be right...

DC

Subject: Re: church and state

Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 17:54:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

First, I had to google Madelyn O'Hare to find out who she was, so at the very least I'm not part of the grand atheist conspiracy. I'm just a plain old atheist. And, just so you know, I spend LOTS of time with people who don't agree with what I think, and have no problem at all with them. Anyone who actually does know me would happily confirm this. Enjoying their company and conversation to me is the greatest proof of my 'respect' for their beliefs, even if I don't always agree with them.

You, of course, in a daunting display of respect, tell me my beliefs consign me to hell, which is already crowded with all those Hindus we were talking about before.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>I think you're confusing 'respect' with 'adhere to.' I can respect someone's

>>beliefs and still disagree with them. I respect the beliefs of those who

>>believe an alien abduction but I don't think that happens.

>

>

>No you don't. You have zero respect for those beliefs and

>anyone who knows you can confirm this.

>

>We say we respect beliefs, but we often do not. Madalyn Murray

>O'Hare was a nasty old bat, full of hate and bitterness, who in the

>end was killed by someone who subscribed to the same almighty

>self as the final authority, that she did. Her beliefs lead straight to

>

>hell IM-ever-so-HO.

>

>Now, as an american, and certainly as a christian, I hope I would

>change her tire in the rain, and I hope I would jump in and save

>your butt from a beating if you got too friendly with one of your

>brazilian stripper chicks and some guy decided to teach you a

>lesson.

>

>This practice of respect is what is lacking, not the profession of

>respect, which is just words... I wish atheists practiced respect

>more towards Christians. But hey, we understand your need to

>dump on us. After all, we know about your doubt that we are

>wrong, and your fear that we may be right...

>

>
>DC

Subject: Re: church and state
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 18:20:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>First, I had to google Madelyn O'Hare to find out who she was, so at the very
>least I'm not part of the grand atheist conspiracy.

Wow, she was behind the landmark 1964 School Prayer decision that formed the basis for much of our current case law on church-state issues. Oh, well, like a said, a nasty old bat, so you did not miss much.

I'm just a plain old
>atheist. And, just so you know, I spend LOTS of time with people who don't
>agree with what I think, and have no problem at all with them.

I never doubted it. You do also ridicule Christians on occasion, and spare me the blather about your respect for UFO cultists. You practice respect towards them, but you still think they are fools.

Which makes you like everyone else.

>You, of course, in a daunting display of respect, tell me my beliefs consign
>me to hell, which is already crowded with all those Hindus we were talking
>about before.

Why should you care? There IS no hell right? And you got my position wrong anyway.

You must have missed some of what I said to Sarah. I strongly believe that Hindus, Muslims etc can go to heaven. It is not about adherence to Christian orthodoxy, it is about our response to the Spirit of God. Everyone deals with that issue, regardless of their faith or lack of it. No one goes to hell because some missionary did not get to them in time. No little kid who dies of cancer at the age of 5 goes to hell because they have not heard the name of Jesus. That would be pure barbarity, and I think it is unscriptural as well.

I do believe that a sincere search for God will lead them (and us) to Jesus Christ at some point, but if they die before they get

there, do they go to hell? That's not what I see in the Bible.

BTW, I can envision an atheist in heaven. (In an anechoic chamber testing mics... forever and ever, and never opening the doooooorr...)

Seriously though, imagine someone who saw "religious" people of whatever stripe, slaughter their family? Wouldn't their atheism make sense? Wouldn't it be a further injustice for them to go to hell because of what they had no power over?

I differ strongly with the Bible Belt folks who think anyone that doesn't say the name of Jesus is conigned to eternal fire which I don't believe in either. What the hell (pardon the pun) is the point of burning someone for ever and ever? Is it not simple cruelty? Is it not simple perversity at some point? After 20 years or so of burning, is it not just silly?

Oy, coffee break's over, back on yer 'eads!

(remember that joke?)

So, no, I don't *know* you are going to hell, and it's not my place to make that call. I really hope you do not.

We need mic testers!

heh heh

DC

>

>TCB

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>I think you're confusing 'respect' with 'adhere to.' I can respect someone's
>>>beliefs and still disagree with them. I respect the beliefs of those who
>>>believe an alien abduction but I don't think that happens.

>>

>>

>>No you don't. You have zero respect for those beliefs and
>>anyone who knows you can confirm this.

>>

>>We say we respect beliefs, but we often do not. Madalyn Murray

>>O'Hare was a nasty old bat, full of hate and bitterness, who in the
>>end was killed by someone who subscribed to the same almighty
>>self as the final authority, that she did. Her beliefs lead straight to
>>
>>hell IM-ever-so-HO.
>>
>>Now, as an american, and certainly as a christian, I hope I would
>>change her tire in the rain, and I hope I would jump in and save
>>your butt from a beating if you got too friendly with one of your
>>brazilian stripper chicks and some guy decided to teach you a
>>lesson.
>>
>>This practice of respect is what is lacking, not the profession of
>>respect, which is just words... I wish atheists practiced respect
>>more towards Christians. But hey, we understand your need to
>>dump on us. After all, we know about your doubt that we are
>>wrong, and your fear that we may be right...
>>
>>
>>DC
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 03:41:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Dedic,

Dedic Terry wrote:

> That would be great. I'll drop you a line the next time I'm in your
neck of
> the hills - probably should make a business trip up that way soon.

Cool!

Just to be clear, we aren't a theocracy. Good thing, too. The point I was trying to make is our government is more influenced by Christians than any other religion on policy matters simply because almost all elected officials are Christians. Ted Kennedy is Catholic BTW. So it seems alarmist to paint Christianity as being in anything like dire straights in the USA.

OTH, GWB once said his favorite political philosopher is Jesus (during a primary presidential debate), and if he truly believes Jesus is a political philosopher then theocracy would not be out of the question for him.

Perhaps others have helped him sort it out by now:

<http://clarionherald.org/20000106/maestri.htm>

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

> Hey Jamie,

>

> I probably should have replied originally in a generic post so you didn't
> think all of that was referring to you (I wasn't referring to anyone in
> particular - in general this is a great group of people - diverse opinions,
> but usually even tempered discussion which I greatly appreciate).

>

> The possibility of our country outlawing Christianity isn't a given, but a
> trend I see - I really don't want to be right, and don't assume I am either.
> We may never reach that point, but there are many other reasons why I see
> that as a distinct possibility (for one, in Revelation when God talks about
> the last days, there is not correlation to any entity resembling the United
> States in its' current form - not that tying Revelation to any country
> outside of Israel is obvious - it isn't. But there are parallels for other
> countries, in some form).

>

> I just sense that our country won't exist in this form eventually - either
> through hostile, economic or religious takeover (not Christianity btw), or
> gradual descent into another social and governmental form that
> self-destructs. Another possibility is that we team up with Canada and
> Mexico and form the North American Trade Union to compete with the EU (we
> would have more letters in our acronym, which is always good, or not), but
> that's completely unrelated, and far more likely to occur in our
> lifetimes...

>

> Specifically related to your post, I was mainly addressing your assertion
> that our country is becoming a theocracy. Since the beginning of our
> country we have actually taken more associations with and public acceptances
> of God and Christianity out of our society and out of public view, not the
> other way around. Some of that is just part of our ever changing
> interpretation of the separation of church and state, but the problem is, we
> don't seem to be abiding by a solid basis for many constitutional decisions
> as we might like to believe. As the separation of church and state concept
> isn't actually in the Constitution in that form (we've all discussed that
> before here), we are interpreting what is there in various forms - the
> original statement just says that the state/government shall not advocate a
> given religion, which it doesn't, and never has. There are of course state
> laws and tax laws that further define what that means (e.g. a minister can

> not endorse any political candidate from the pulpit and retain
 > non-profit/religious institution status - that's a grey area between the
 > restriction of the government not endorsing a religion and freedom of speech
 > - e.g. the bill of rights applies as long as it doesn't interfere with our
 > interpretation of the Constitution, and hence its' malleability gradually
 > increases when it applies to religion).

>
 > Bill of Rights - there is a reason for it no doubt, and it works, or should,
 > for everyone's benefit. That doesn't make governments, laws or bills more
 > morally perfect than God's laws, but I think most of the ones we have work
 > pretty well usually. But we have to remember that in a situation such as
 > faith, a lack of faith can't be considered a neutral point of view in terms
 > of rights. Where faith must be bound, so must irreligious beliefs - both
 > are faith decisions - one deciding to believe in God, the other deciding not
 > to. The reason is that to provide equal rights without conflict or
 > contradiction between two contradictory belief systems (Christianity vs.
 > Atheism/Agnosticism for example), one belief would have to be false to the
 > point of being considered non-existent.

>
 > Sidebar - Blaise Pascal had an interesting comment on the idea of
 > conflicting beliefs:
 > "Pascal's wager":
 >
 > "Either Christianity is true or it's false. If you bet that it's true, and
 > you believe in God and submit to Him, then if it IS true, you've gained God,
 > heaven, and everything else. If it's false, you've lost nothing, but you've
 > had a good life marked by peace and the illusion that ultimately, everything
 > makes sense. If you bet that Christianity is not true, and it's false,
 > you've lost nothing. But if you bet that it's false, and it turns out to be
 > true, you've lost everything and you get to spend eternity in hell."

>
 > On 8/17/06 5:05 PM, in article 44e4f4b2@linux, "Jamie K"
 > <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
 >
 >> Yet we must succeed at communicating between groups as diverse as
 >> Christians and Muslims, or for a tougher example, AELC Lutherans and
 >> Wisconsin Synod Lutherans. I'm only half joking about that last one...
 >
 > Agreed. The church we attend here isn't one of the largest (about 1000),
 > but has held two community discussion forums (both got local press) - the
 > first was to discuss homosexuality, and the second religious diversity
 > (including a Jew, Muslim, Catholic, etc). I wasn't able to attend either
 > one, but heard both were very successful at opening up dialogue and giving
 > people a chance to ask questions of panel members on all sides of both
 > issues. The reason our church hosted this was simply to open up dialogue.
 > I believe it both were moderated by 3rd parties so there wouldn't be a
 > question of objectivity.
 >> In the USA some (not all) members of the following groups feel

>> persecuted because of religious bias in our culture:
>>
>> 1) Christians (way too diverse a group to be under a single label, BTW).
> To a degree that is true, but a Christian is simply someone who believes
> that Jesus is the son of one true God, and that he died to overcome our
> sins, giving us a direct path to God and the promise of eternal life.
>
>> 2) Muslims
>> 3) Jews
>> 4) Sikhs
>> 5) Atheists
>> 6) etc.
>>
>> I don't know if Buddhists bother feeling persecuted.
>>
>> I do like the ACLU for the most part, because the Bill of Rights is
>> constantly under attack. Since it's a fundamental part of what makes the
>> USA a special place it needs to be looked after. So I'll add:
>>
>> 7) The Bill Of Rights
>>
>> Even though it's not a group, it protects all of us from a variety of
>> bad stuff. Hey, it's my list and I'm adding it! :^)
>
> 7 is a good number. I do also see the Bill of Rights under attack, but
> perhaps from a different perspective.
>> How do we balance different outlooks and perceptions around all this? It
>> ain't easy. Talking is good, though.
>
> It isn't easy, from any perspective. But I also agree, talking is the only
> way to understand, if not resolve differences.
>> I don't see anything that indicates we are about to outlaw Christianity.
>> You'll have to convince me on that one. The appropriateness of
>> government sanctioning of the symbol of one religion over another is an
>> interesting issue but I wouldn't read that as moving toward banning
>> Christianity. Probably makes great material for rousing sermons, though,
>> in some churches, while other churches can and do support the separation
>> of church and state for religious reasons so their sermons would go the
>> other way. See how hard it is to generalize about Christianity as one
>> entity?
>
> Actually I've never heard a sermon about a specific move to outlaw
> Christianity. Some ministers do refer to specific events where a Christian
> organization or event was sanctioned because it was perceived as being too
> public (a deviation of the separation of church and state). Why would the
> ACLU want to prevent someone from putting a cross on their own land? Are we
> going to extend eminent domain to enforce the separation of church and
> state, as a local judicial court chooses to interpret it?

>
> In general most Christians don't worry about the future, and I don't either.
> I only proposed this perspective, hypothetically for the sake of this
> discussion, to open up thinking about where we could end up if the trend
> many of us see continues to build. Most of the events indicating such a
> trend never make the news. If you are interested, I'll relate more the next
> time we have a chance to get together.
>
>> Our great Bill of Rights protects Christianity and all its sects. It
>> seems to me that certain subsets of Christian thought are very
>> influential in our government right now, hence my comment. I'm not
>> seeing that level of influence from other religions.
>
> And I see the opposite also being true - Ted Kennedy for one. There is some
> public profile and influence from prominent Christians, but I don't think it
> is nearly as strong as the news would have you believe. I've either
> attended churches headed by some of these leaders, or know other ministers
> that have relationships with them.
>> We should have a conversation about this, Dedric, next time you're up
>> this way.
>
> That would be great. I'll drop you a line the next time I'm in your neck of
> the hills - probably should make a business trip up that way soon.
>
> Regards,
> Dedric
>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>>
>> Dedric Terry wrote:
>>> On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>> Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>>> I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>>> than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church
>>> and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>>> Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians to
>>> just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the

>>> non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity may
>>> seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>> deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even on
>>> this forum.

>>>

>>> Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
>>> mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>> truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as
>>> long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>> nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>> substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>> strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>> Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is
>>> responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>>> Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>> slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the
>>> arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>> discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to
>>> ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to the
>>> faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm
>>> not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from
>>> it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>>> the perspectives here.

>>>

>>> For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>> probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build a
>>> memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
>>> private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>>> issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right
>>> as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>> promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>> the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>> "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>>> anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to get
>>> drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
>>> rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of, at
>>> least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>>> but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>> rates or corporate corruption.

>>>

>>> My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
>>> ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>> promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap from
>>> there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>>> become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>> action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>>> into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>>> involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect

>>> the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples of
>>> how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>
>>> The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
>>> wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>> family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about. I
>>> really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>>> discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>>> ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>>
>>> I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-) will take it in the spirit it is
>>> intended - just something to consider.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Detric
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>

Subject: Re: Oh gawd . .
Posted by [Detric Terry](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 05:23:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Jamie,

I do understand your perspective. We can pick up the discussion over lunch one day, as well as music too of course. :-) Probably early to mid-September before my next trip up that way, as it's looking right now.

Regards,
Detric

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44ea7b53@linux...

>
>
> Hey Detric,
>
> Detric Terry wrote:
> > That would be great. I'll drop you a line the next time I'm in your
> neck of
> > the hills - probably should make a business trip up that way soon.
>
> Cool!
>
> Just to be clear, we aren't a theocracy. Good thing, too. The point I was
> trying to make is our government is more influenced by Christians than any

> other religion on policy matters simply because almost all elected
> officials are Christians. Ted Kennedy is Catholic BTW. So it seems
> alarmist to paint Christianity as being in anything like dire straights in
> the USA.
>
> OTH, GWB once said his favorite political philosopher is Jesus (during a
> primary presidential debate), and if he truly believes Jesus is a
> political philosopher then theocracy would not be out of the question for
> him.
>
> Perhaps others have helped him sort it out by now:
>
> <http://clarionherald.org/20000106/maestri.htm>
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>
>
>
>> Hey Jamie,
>>
>> I probably should have replied originally in a generic post so you didn't
>> think all of that was referring to you (I wasn't referring to anyone in
>> particular - in general this is a great group of people - diverse
>> opinions,
>> but usually even tempered discussion which I greatly appreciate).
>>
>> The possibility of our country outlawing Christianity isn't a given, but
>> a
>> trend I see - I really don't want to be right, and don't assume I am
>> either.
>> We may never reach that point, but there are many other reasons why I see
>> that as a distinct possibility (for one, in Revelation when God talks
>> about
>> the last days, there is not correlation to any entity resembling the
>> United
>> States in its' current form - not that tying Revelation to any country
>> outside of Israel is obvious - it isn't. But there are parallels for
>> other
>> countries, in some form).
>>
>> I just sense that our country won't exist in this form eventually -
>> either
>> through hostile, economic or religious takeover (not Christianity btw),
>> or
>> gradual descent into another social and governmental form that
>> self-destructs. Another possibility is that we team up with Canada and

>> Mexico and form the North American Trade Union to compete with the EU (we
>> would have more letters in our acronym, which is always good, or not),
>> but
>> that's completely unrelated, and far more likely to occur in our
>> lifetimes...
>>
>> Specifically related to your post, I was mainly addressing your assertion
>> that our country is becoming a theocracy. Since the beginning of our
>> country we have actually taken more associations with and public
>> acceptances
>> of God and Christianity out of our society and out of public view, not
>> the
>> other way around. Some of that is just part of our ever changing
>> interpretation of the separation of church and state, but the problem is,
>> we
>> don't seem to be abiding by a solid basis for many constitutional
>> decisions
>> as we might like to believe. As the separation of church and state
>> concept
>> isn't actually in the Constitution in that form (we've all discussed that
>> before here), we are interpreting what is there in various forms - the
>> original statement just says that the state/government shall not advocate
>> a
>> given religion, which it doesn't, and never has. There are of course
>> state
>> laws and tax laws that further define what that means (e.g. a minister
>> can
>> not endorse any political candidate from the pulpit and retain
>> non-profit/religious institution status - that's a grey area between the
>> restriction of the government not endorsing a religion and freedom of
>> speech
>> - e.g. the bill of rights applies as long as it doesn't interfere with
>> our
>> interpretation of the Constitution, and hence its' malleability gradually
>> increases when it applies to religion).
>>
>> Bill of Rights - there is a reason for it no doubt, and it works, or
>> should,
>> for everyone's benefit. That doesn't make governments, laws or bills
>> more
>> morally perfect than God's laws, but I think most of the ones we have
>> work
>> pretty well usually. But we have to remember that in a situation such as
>> faith, a lack of faith can't be considered a neutral point of view in
>> terms
>> of rights. Where faith must be bound, so must irreligious beliefs - both
>> are faith decisions - one deciding to believe in God, the other deciding
>> not

>> to. The reason is that to provide equal rights without conflict or
>> contradiction between two contradictory belief systems (Christianity vs.
>> Atheism/Agnosticism for example), one belief would have to be false to
>> the
>> point of being considered non-existent.
>>
>> Sidebar - Blaise Pascal had an interesting comment on the idea of
>> conflicting beliefs:
>> "Pascal's wager":
>>
>> "Either Christianity is true or it's false. If you bet that it's true,
>> and
>> you believe in God and submit to Him, then if it IS true, you've gained
>> God,
>> heaven, and everything else. If it's false, you've lost nothing, but
>> you've
>> had a good life marked by peace and the illusion that ultimately,
>> everything
>> makes sense. If you bet that Christianity is not true, and it's false,
>> you've lost nothing. But if you bet that it's false, and it turns out to
>> be
>> true, you've lost everything and you get to spend eternity in hell."
>>
>> On 8/17/06 5:05 PM, in article 44e4f4b2@linux, "Jamie K"
>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Yet we must succeed at communicating between groups as diverse as
>>> Christians and Muslims, or for a tougher example, AELC Lutherans and
>>> Wisconsin Synod Lutherans. I'm only half joking about that last one...
>>
>> Agreed. The church we attend here isn't one of the largest (about 1000),
>> but has held two community discussion forums (both got local press) - the
>> first was to discuss homosexuality, and the second religious diversity
>> (including a Jew, Muslim, Catholic, etc). I wasn't able to attend either
>> one, but heard both were very successful at opening up dialogue and
>> giving
>> people a chance to ask questions of panel members on all sides of both
>> issues. The reason our church hosted this was simply to open up
>> dialogue.
>> I believe it both were moderated by 3rd parties so there wouldn't be a
>> question of objectivity.
>>> In the USA some (not all) members of the following groups feel
>>> persecuted because of religious bias in our culture:
>>>
>>> 1) Christians (way too diverse a group to be under a single label, BTW).
>> To a degree that is true, but a Christian is simply someone who believes
>> that Jesus is the son of one true God, and that he died to overcome our
>> sins, giving us a direct path to God and the promise of eternal life.

>>
>>> 2) Muslims
>>> 3) Jews
>>> 4) Sikhs
>>> 5) Atheists
>>> 6) etc.
>>>
>>> I don't know if Buddhists bother feeling persecuted.
>>>
>>> I do like the ACLU for the most part, because the Bill of Rights is
>>> constantly under attack. Since it's a fundamental part of what makes the
>>> USA a special place it needs to be looked after. So I'll add:
>>>
>>> 7) The Bill Of Rights
>>>
>>> Even though it's not a group, it protects all of us from a variety of
>>> bad stuff. Hey, it's my list and I'm adding it! :^)
>>
>> 7 is a good number. I do also see the Bill of Rights under attack, but
>> perhaps from a different perspective.
>>> How do we balance different outlooks and perceptions around all this? It
>>> ain't easy. Talking is good, though.
>>
>> It isn't easy, from any perspective. But I also agree, talking is the
>> only
>> way to understand, if not resolve differences.
>>> I don't see anything that indicates we are about to outlaw Christianity.
>>> You'll have to convince me on that one. The appropriateness of
>>> government sanctioning of the symbol of one religion over another is an
>>> interesting issue but I wouldn't read that as moving toward banning
>>> Christianity. Probably makes great material for rousing sermons, though,
>>> in some churches, while other churches can and do support the separation
>>> of church and state for religious reasons so their sermons would go the
>>> other way. See how hard it is to generalize about Christianity as one
>>> entity?
>>
>> Actually I've never heard a sermon about a specific move to outlaw
>> Christianity. Some ministers do refer to specific events where a
>> Christian
>> organization or event was sanctioned because it was perceived as being
>> too
>> public (a deviation of the separation of church and state). Why would
>> the
>> ACLU want to prevent someone from putting a cross on their own land? Are
>> we
>> going to extend eminent domain to enforce the separation of church and
>> state, as a local judicial court chooses to interpret it?
>>

>> In general most Christians don't worry about the future, and I don't
>> either.
>> I only proposed this perspective, hypothetically for the sake of this
>> discussion, to open up thinking about where we could end up if the trend
>> many of us see continues to build. Most of the events indicating such a
>> trend never make the news. If you are interested, I'll relate more the
>> next
>> time we have a chance to get together.
>>
>>> Our great Bill of Rights protects Christianity and all its sects. It
>>> seems to me that certain subsets of Christian thought are very
>>> influential in our government right now, hence my comment. I'm not
>>> seeing that level of influence from other religions.
>>
>> And I see the opposite also being true - Ted Kennedy for one. There is
>> some
>> public profile and influence from prominent Christians, but I don't think
>> it
>> is nearly as strong as the news would have you believe. I've either
>> attended churches headed by some of these leaders, or know other
>> ministers
>> that have relationships with them.
>>> We should have a conversation about this, Detric, next time you're up
>>> this way.
>>
>> That would be great. I'll drop you a line the next time I'm in your neck
>> of
>> the hills - probably should make a business trip up that way soon.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Detric
>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Detric Terry wrote:
>>>> On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>> Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and

>>>> ministers
>>>> I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing
>>>> Christianity
>>>> than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of
>>>> church
>>>> and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>>>> Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect
>>>> Christians to
>>>> just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
>>>> non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity
>>>> may
>>>> seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>>> deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even
>>>> on
>>>> this forum.
>>>> Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really
>>>> don't
>>>> mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>>> truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)
>>>> as
>>>> long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>>> nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>>> substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>>> strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>>> Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow
>>>> is
>>>> responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the
>>>> truth).
>>>> Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>>> slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider
>>>> the
>>>> arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>>> discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need
>>>> to
>>>> ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems
>>>> to the
>>>> faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances.
>>>> I'm
>>>> not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far
>>>> from
>>>> it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and
>>>> broadening
>>>> the perspectives here.
>>>>
>>>> For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>>> probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to
>>>> build a
>>>> memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land

>>>> is
>>>> private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is
>>>> taking
>>>> issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the
>>>> right
>>>> as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>>> promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would
>>>> violate
>>>> the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>>> "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable
>>>> for
>>>> anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone
>>>> to get
>>>> drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst
>>>> case,
>>>> rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
>>>> at
>>>> least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact
>>>> opposite,
>>>> but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>>> rates or corporate corruption.
>>>>
>>>> My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by
>>>> the
>>>> ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>>> promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>>>> from
>>>> there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in
>>>> public
>>>> become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or
>>>> criminal
>>>> action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of
>>>> insight
>>>> into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get
>>>> Christians
>>>> involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to
>>>> protect
>>>> the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other
>>>> examples of
>>>> how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>>
>>>> The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is,
>>>> who
>>>> wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>>> family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.
>>>> I
>>>> really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy
>>>> well-tempered

>>>> discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of
>>>> being
>>>> ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>>>
>>>> I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-) will take it in the spirit
>>>> it is
>>>> intended - just something to consider.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Dedic
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>
