Posted by John [1] on Tue, 17 Jan 2006 23:01:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000 not counting the computer?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw?

Posted by Jeremy Luzier on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 01:54:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cubase SX

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...

- > Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
- > not counting the computer?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw?

Posted by jidpro on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 03:07:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar & Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

"Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:

>Cubase SX

>

>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...

- >> Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
- >> not counting the computer?

>

>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw?

Posted by John [1] on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:06:41 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

you forgot the I/O

```
Jeremy Luzier wrote:
> Cubase SX
> "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for $2000
>> not counting the computer?
>
>
```

Posted by John [1] on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:08:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So pretty much everything is rock solid except paris. hahaha

LaMont wrote:

> I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable

> DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar

> & Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native

> DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

> > > "Jeremy Luzier" < j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote: >>Cubase SX >> >>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux... >>

>>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000

>>> not counting the computer?

>> >>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw?

Posted by Bill Lorentzen on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:21:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would recommend Samplitude and either an RME or Emu I/O. One of Sam's virtues is that it contains very good effects and EQs, so there is no *necessity* to buy plugs. You will need to buy VSTis if you want them.

Bill

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...

- > Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
- > not counting the computer?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw?

Posted by Chris Ludwig on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:44:18 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

HI John, Hell Yeah.

Street prices-Cubase SX 599.00 (Nobody does it better) RME Multiface2 w/ HDSP PCI card - 899.00 (Best sounding AD/DA for the money)

This leave you 500.00 bucks to buy plugins or something. If you want a control surface then the Mackie Control will be your best bet. 999.00

Chris

John wrote:

- > Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
- > not counting the computer?

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com> www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/> (859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw?

Posted by John [1] on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:37:31 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So are you still using paris? If so why?

```
Chris Ludwig wrote:
> HI John,
> Hell Yeah.
> Street prices-
> Cubase SX 599.00
> (Nobody does it better)
> RME Multiface2 w/ HDSP PCI card - 899.00
> (Best sounding AD/DA for the money)
> This leave you 500.00 bucks to buy plugins or something.
> If you want a control surface then the Mackie Control will be your best
> bet. 999.00
> Chris
>
>
>
> John wrote:
>> Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for
>> $2000 not counting the computer?
>
```

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by LaMont on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:27:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I thought you meant what native app would I recommend.

```
John <no@no.com> wrote:
>So pretty much everything is rock solid except paris. hahaha
>
>LaMont wrote:
>> I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable
>> DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is
Sonar
>> & Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's
native
>> DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.
>>
>> "Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
```

```
>>>Cubase SX
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
>>>
>>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for $2000
>>>> not counting the computer?
>>>
>>>
```

Posted by John [1] on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:44:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

no it does not

Gary Flanigan wrote:

> "LaMont" < jjdpro@amerietch.net> wrote:

>

- >>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable
- >>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar
- >>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native
- >>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

/ >

> Does Pro Tools LE & M-Powered have plugin latency compensation?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw?

Posted by John [1] on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:53:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

what defines a native app Lamont, whether any processing is done on external hardware or not? native meaning all processing is done by the pc right?

LaMont wrote:

> I thought you meant what native app would I recommend.

>

> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>>So pretty much everything is rock solid except paris. hahaha

>>

>>LaMont wrote:

>>

```
>>>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable
>>>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is
> Sonar
>>>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's
> native
>>>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.
>>>
>>>
>>>"Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Cubase SX
>>>>
>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for $2000
>>>> not counting the computer?
>>>>
>>>>
>
```

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by John [1] on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:58:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cubase forums say "You wont be able to hear any effects whilst recording, but you can keep the buffers high in cubase"

Sound like daws are still in the dark ages. Do any of them let you hear the effects whilst recording not to mention having plugin latency compensation. 16 i/os would be nice too.

```
Gary Flanigan wrote:

> "LaMont" <jjdpro@amerietch.net> wrote:

> > I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable

>>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar

>>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native

>>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

> >
```

Posted by Gary Flanigan on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 18:06:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"LaMont" <jjdpro@amerietch.net> wrote:

>

- >I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable
- >DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar
- >& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native
- >DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

Does Pro Tools LE & M-Powered have plugin latency compensation?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw?

Posted by LaMont on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 19:11:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

or a Mac:)

Stragngley Paris & Pt uses a little bit of native processing,like in PAris Intelligent mixing or native submixes, DX & VST plugins.. In PT ,Rtas & HTDM plugsings

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>what defines a native app Lamont, whether any processing is done on >external hardware or not? native meaning all processing is done by the

>pc right?

>

>LaMont wrote:

>> I thought you meant what native app would I recommend.

>>

>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>

>>>So pretty much everything is rock solid except paris. hahaha

>>>

>>>LaMont wrote:

>>>

>>>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable

>>>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is

>>

>> Sonar

>>

```
>>>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's
>>
>> native
>>
>>>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Cubase SX
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
>>>>
>>>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for
>>>> not counting the computer?
>>>>
>>>>
>>
```

Posted by LaMont on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 19:19:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't think so.. but, I may be wrong in Version 7

"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flanigan@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@amerietch.net> wrote:

>>

>>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable

>>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar

>>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native

Hative

>>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

>

>Does Pro Tools LE & M-Powered have plugin latency compensation?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw?

Posted by Jamie K on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 03:52:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

For me, a dual G5 with Logic is low latency enough to record with software monitoring enabled, which allows plugin FX to be heard during tracking (they don't get recorded of course, you can change them later).

Alternatively, some interfaces (MOTU 828MII, for example) offer hardware monitoring with a set of sends/returns so you can dedicate a hardware reverb for tracking - if you want the lowest latency, essentially no latency, signal path.

Cheers. -Jamie http://www.JamieKrutz.com John wrote: > Cubase forums say "You wont be able to hear any effects whilst > recording, but you can keep the buffers high in cubase" > Sound like daws are still in the dark ages. Do any of them let you hear > the effects whilst recording not to mention having plugin latency > compensation. 16 i/os would be nice too. > Gary Flanigan wrote: >> "LaMont" <jjdpro@amerietch.net> wrote: >>> I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most >>> stable >>> DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is >>> Sonar >>> & Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of >>> todav's native >>> DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable. >>

>> Does Pro Tools LE & M-Powered have plugin latency compensation?

>> >>