

---

Subject: OT: Samplitude...my next DAW?  
Posted by [Phil Aiken](#) on Wed, 04 Oct 2006 14:37:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Anyone here made the switch or use both?  
Thoughts?

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Samplitude...my next DAW?  
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Wed, 04 Oct 2006 16:01:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I use both.  
Paris for tracking/mixing.  
Samplitude for mastering, editing, compiling, etc.  
The real-time pitch shifting/time stretching is awesome.  
Every object can have it's own eq/effects, and you can compile your final cd with all tracks/gaps/index points exactly where you want them, all in the virtual domain....no need to render, just hit "Burn CD".  
What you see/hear in the multitrack editor is *\*exactly\** what you get on your final CD.  
It is everything Paris should have been. (and for that matter, PT).  
The midi/vsti side is a little quirky but quite usable, and getting better with every software revision. (not quite up to Cubase SX standard yet, but Magix are working on it constantly).  
If I was to ditch Paris as a multitracker, Samplitude would be it!  
Kim

"Phil Aiken" <paiken@partners.org> wrote:  
>  
>Anyone here made the switch or use both?  
>Thoughts?  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Samplitude...my next DAW?  
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 04 Oct 2006 18:00:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I agree whole heartedly! Samplitude/Sequoia sound is stunning to say the least. Dedic and I have been waiting for version 9 to see if this could in fact replace our main goto DAW.

I have yet to mix or track a complete song into Samplitude. Like you, I use it for mastering and cd finalization. After I finish working on this current mix project, I'll take some time to mix a multitrack project (40-50) tracks.

"Kim W" <no@way.com> wrote:

>

>I use both.

>Paris for tracking/mixing.

>Samplitude for mastering, editing, compiling, etc.

>The real-time pitch shifting/time stretching is awesome.

>Every object can have it's own eq/effects, and you can compile

>your final cd with all tracks/gaps/index points exactly where

>you want them, all in the virtual domain....no need to render,

>just hit "Burn CD".

>What you see/hear in the multitrack editor is \*exactly\* what

>you get on your final CD.

>It is everything Paris should have been. (and for that matter, PT).

>The midi/vsti side is a little quirky but quite usable, and

>getting better with every software revision. (not quite up to

>Cubase SX standard yet, but Magix are working on it constantly).

>If I was to ditch Paris as a multitracker, Samplitude would be it!

>Kim

>

>"Phil Aiken" <paiken@partners.org> wrote:

>>

>>Anyone here made the switch or use both?

>>Thoughts?

>>

>

---