

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 00:25:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?  
AA

"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443c492a\$1@linux...  
>  
> Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each also  
> MECs,  
> through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks  
> simultaneously.  
> Can this be done?  
> Steve

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

---

---

Subject: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 00:26:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each also MECs,  
through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks simultaneously.  
Can this be done?  
Steve

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 00:36:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Loaded...16 all litepipe.  
Steve

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:  
>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?  
>AA  
>  
>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443c492a\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each also  
  
>> MECs,  
>> through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks

>> simultaneously.  
>> Can this be done?  
>> Steve  
>  
>  
>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 01:43:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

To be clear.. 16 inputs on 'each' card, correct?  
AA

"Steve Cox" <[stevec1@charter.net](mailto:stevec1@charter.net)> wrote in message [news:443c4b92\\$1@linux...](news:443c4b92$1@linux...)

>  
> Loaded...16 all litepipe.  
> Steve  
> "Aaron Allen" <[nospam@not\\_here.dude](mailto:nospam@not_here.dude)> wrote:  
>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?  
>>AA  
>>  
>>"Steve Cox" <[stevec1@charter.net](mailto:stevec1@charter.net)> wrote in message  
>>[news:443c492a\\$1@linux...](news:443c492a$1@linux...)  
>>>  
>>> Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each also  
>  
>>> MECs,  
>>> through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks  
>>> simultaneously.  
>>> Can this be done?  
>>> Steve  
>>  
>>  
>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
>><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>  
>>  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [audioguy\\_editout\\_](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 02:15:41 GMT

---

Hi Steve,

I have done this many times. We have a 5 card, 2 MEC system operating. Each MEC has two 8bit cards for 16 inputs per MEC. I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both MEC's, arm and press record... it's that easy. Do you have any specific questions about this? if so, fire away.

David.

Steve Cox wrote:

> Loaded...16 all litepipe.

> Steve

> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:

>

>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?

>>AA

>>

>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443c492a\$1@linux...

>>

>>>Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each also

>

>

>>>MECs,

>>>through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks

>>>simultaneously.

>>>Can this be done?

>>>Steve

>>

>>

>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

>><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

>>

>>

>

>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 02:20:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sorta,...This is where the fun begins. I have enough litepipe cards with the paris to run 32 ch. live, just don't know how. My experience has been that only the card that is assigned "A" can be recorded on and the others can only playback. (I have 3 EDSs)

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:  
>To be clear.. 16 inputs on 'each' card, correct?  
>AA  
>  
>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443c4b92\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> Loaded...16 all litepipe.  
>> Steve  
>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:  
>>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?  
>>>AA  
>>>  
>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message  
>>>news:443c492a\$1@linux...  
>>>>  
>>>> Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each  
also  
>>  
>>>> MECs,  
>>>> through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks  
>>>> simultaneously.  
>>>> Can this be done?  
>>>> Steve  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
>>>http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 03:01:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_003B\_01C65DBB.D34B6900  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Steve,  
Make sure your second Mec is attached to EDS card C!!!  
Your problems will be solved. You can still assign it to=20

Submix B in the Group Master if needed.

Let me guess? You can enable the tracks to record but nothing ever prints from the second Mec right? =20

Good luck,  
Tom

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote in message =  
news:443c647e\$1@linux...

Hi Steve,

I have done this many times. We have a 5 card, 2 MEC system=20  
operating. Each MEC has two 8it cards for 16 inputs per=20  
MEC. I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both=20  
MEC's, arm and press record... it's that easy. Do you have=20  
any specific questions about this? if so, fire away.

David.

Steve Cox wrote:

> Loaded...16 all litepipe.

> Steve

> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:

>=20

>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?

>>AA

>>

>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message =  
news:443c492a\$1@linux...

>>

>>>Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each =  
also

>=20

>=20

>>>MECs,

>>>through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 =  
Tracks=20

>>>simultaneously.

>>>Can this be done?

>>>Steve

>>

>>

>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

>><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>=20

>>

>>

>=20

>

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_003B\_01C65DBB.D34B6900

Content-Type: text/html;  
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Steve,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Make sure your second Mec is attached =
to EDS card=20
C!!!</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Your problems will be solved.&nbsp; You =
can still=20
assign it to </FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Submix B in the Group Master if=20
needed.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Let me guess?&nbsp; You can enable the =
tracks to=20
record but nothing</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>ever prints from the second Mec =
right?&nbsp;=20
</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Good luck,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"Dave(EK Sound)" &lt;<A=20
  =
href=3D"mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca">audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca</A>&g=
t;=20
  wrote in message <A=20
  href=3D"news:443c647e$1@linux">news:443c647e$1@linux</A>...</DIV>Hi=20
  Steve,<BR><BR>I have done this many times.&nbsp; We have a 5 card, 2 =
MEC=20
  system <BR>operating.&nbsp; Each MEC has two 8it cards for 16 inputs =
per=20
  <BR>MEC.&nbsp; I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both =
<BR>MEC's,=20
  arm and press record... it's that easy.&nbsp; Do you have <BR>any =
```

specific=20

questions about this? if so, fire away.<BR><BR>David.<BR><BR>Steve Cox =

wrote:<BR>&gt; Loaded...16 all litepipe.<BR>&gt; Steve<BR>&gt; "Aaron =  
Allen"=20

&lt;<A =

href=3D"mailto:nospam@not\_here.dude">nospam@not\_here.dude</A>&gt;=20

wrote:<BR>&gt; <BR>&gt;&gt;How many inputs do you have on each EDS=20

card?<BR>&gt;&gt;AA<BR>&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt;&gt; "Steve Cox" &lt;<A=20

href=3D"mailto:stevec1@charter.net">stevec1@charter.net</A>&gt; wrote =

in message=20

<A=20

=

href=3D"news:443c492a\$1@linux">news:443c492a\$1@linux</A>...<BR>&gt;&gt;<B=  
R>&gt;&gt;&gt;Though=20

I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each =

also<BR>&gt;=20

<BR>&gt; <BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;MECs,<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;through the years I have =

not=20

figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks=20

<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;simultaneously.<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;Can this be=20

done?<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;Steve<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt; <BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;I choose =

Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and=20

you?<BR>&gt;&gt;http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html=20

<BR>&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; <BR>&gt;</BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_003B\_01C65DBB.D34B6900--

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously

Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 03:24:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ahhh, So the trick is a second MEC to the second card? If I have 3 cards, how does this show up in the busses? I take it if a card has an MEC on it It will record?

So here's a given scenario: 3 cards, 3 Busses, 2 MECs. Buss-1 says "A" Buss-2 says "B" Buss-3 Says "C" Now BUSS 1 has an MEC and Buss 2 has the second MEC. You're saying that Buss 1 and 2 will record simultaneously but Bus 3 can only be playback (because it does have a card), therefore doesn't need to be updated?

Thanks... Steve

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Hi Steve,

>

>I have done this many times. We have a 5 card, 2 MEC system

>operating. Each MEC has two 8bit cards for 16 inputs per  
>MEC. I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both  
>MEC's, arm and press record... it's that easy. Do you have  
>any specific questions about this? if so, fire away.  
>  
>David.  
>  
>Steve Cox wrote:  
>> Loaded...16 all litepipe.  
>> Steve  
>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:  
>>  
>>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?  
>>>AA  
>>>  
>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443c492a\$1@linux...  
>>>  
>>>>Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each also  
>>  
>>  
>>>>MECs,  
>>>>through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks  
  
>>>>simultaneously.  
>>>>Can this be done?  
>>>>Steve  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
>>>http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 03:26:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Tom, looks like I was typing while you posted, so my last post will look like it isn't making any sense. Steve

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:  
>  
>  
>Steve,  
>Make sure your second Mec is attached to EDS card C!!!



>Your problems will be solved. You can still assign it to=20  
>Submix B in the Group Master if needed.  
>  
>Let me guess? You can enable the tracks to record but nothing  
>ever prints from the second Mec right? =20  
>  
>Good luck,  
>Tom  
> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote in message =  
>news:443c647e\$1@linux...  
> Hi Steve,  
>  
> I have done this many times. We have a 5 card, 2 MEC system=20  
> operating. Each MEC has two 8it cards for 16 inputs per=20  
> MEC. I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both=20  
> MEC's, arm and press record... it's that easy. Do you have=20  
> any specific questions about this? if so, fire away.  
>  
> David.  
>  
> Steve Cox wrote:  
> > Loaded...16 all litepipe.  
> > Steve  
> > "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:  
> >=20  
> >>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?  
> >>AA  
> >>  
> >>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message =  
>news:443c492a\$1@linux...  
> >>  
> >>>Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each  
>=  
>also  
> >=20  
> >=20  
> >>>MECs,  
> >>>through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 =  
>Tracks=20  
> >>>simultaneously.  
> >>>Can this be done?  
> >>>Steve  
> >>  
> >>  
> >>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
> >><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>=20  
> >>  
> >>

```

> >=20
> >
>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Steve,</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Make sure your second Mec is attached =
>to EDS card=20
>C!!!</FONT></DIV>

>can still=20
>assign it to </FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Submix B in the Group Master if=20
>needed.</FONT></DIV>

>tracks to=20
>record but nothing</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>ever prints from the second Mec =

></FONT></DIV>

><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Good luck,</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
><BLOCKQUOTE=20
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"Dave(EK Sound)" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca">audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca</A>&g=
>t;=20
> wrote in message <A=20
> href=3D"news:443c647e$1@linux">news:443c647e$1@linux</A>...</DIV>Hi=20

>MEC=20

>per=20

><BR>MEC's,=20

>specific=20
> questions about this? if so, fire away.<BR><BR>David.<BR><BR>Steve Cox

```

=  
>  
> wrote:<BR>> Loaded...16 all litepipe.<BR>> Steve<BR>> "Aaron =  
>Allen"=20  
> <<A =  
>href=3D"mailto:nospam@not\_here.dude">nospam@not\_here.dude</A>>=20  
> wrote:<BR>> <BR>>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS=20  
> card?<BR>>>AA<BR>>><BR>>>"Steve Cox" <<A=20  
> href=3D"mailto:stevec1@charter.net">stevec1@charter.net</A>> wrote =  
>in message=20  
> <A=20  
> =  
>href=3D"news:443c492a\$1@linux">news:443c492a\$1@linux</A>...<BR>>><B=  
>R>>>>Though=20  
> I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each =  
>also<BR>>=20  
> <BR>> <BR>>>>MECs,<BR>>>>through the years I have =  
>not=20  
> figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks=20  
> <BR>>>>simultaneously.<BR>>>>Can this be=20  
> done?<BR>>>>Steve<BR>>><BR>>><BR>>><BR>>>I choose =  
>  
> Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and=20  
> you?<BR>>>http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html=20  
> <BR>>><BR>>><BR>> <BR>></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 03:32:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

no, the trick is the this.. I'll use my setup as an example  
EDS 1, mixer A, MEC1  
EDS 2, mixer B, -no interface-  
EDS 3, mixer C, MEC2

Make sure you have a wordclock cable of quality from MEC1 to MEC2  
You will be able to stream 24 channels per MEC, but only record 16 per MEC  
(due to channel limitations, but you can push more audio down the auxes if  
you have the inputs). Be aware that there is a known latency between Card A  
and Card B reported of 10 - 14 samples, then 2 samples per each card  
thereafter.

AA

"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443c72d6\$1@linux...

>

> Ahhh, So the trick is a second MEC to the second card? If I have 3 cards,

> how does this show up in the busses? I take it if a card has an MEC on it

> It will record?

> So here's a given scenario: 3 cards, 3 Busses, 2 MECs. Buss-1 says "A"

> Buss-2

> says "B" Buss-3 Says "C" Now BUSS 1 has an MEC and Buss 2 has the second

> MEC. You're saying that Buss 1 and 2 will record simultaneously but Bus 3

> can only be playback (because it does have a card), therefore doesn't need

> to be updated?

> Thanks... Steve

>

> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>Hi Steve,

>>

>>I have done this many times. We have a 5 card, 2 MEC system

>>operating. Each MEC has two 8it cards for 16 inputs per

>>MEC. I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both

>>MEC's, arm and press record... it's that easy. Do you have

>>any specific questions about this? if so, fire away.

>>

>>David.

>>

>>Steve Cox wrote:

>>> Loaded...16 all litepipe.

>>> Steve

>>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:

>>>

>>>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?

>>>>AA

>>>>

>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message

>>>>news:443c492a\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>>>Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each

>>>>>also

>>>

>>>

>>>>>MECs,

>>>>>through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks

>

>>>>>simultaneously.

>>>>>Can this be done?

>>>>>Steve

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

>>>>http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 03:37:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Is an MEC only capable of sending 16 channels, regardless of how many Adat optical cards it has?  
Steve

"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:

>  
>Ahhh, So the trick is a second MEC to the second card? If I have 3 cards,  
>how does this show up in the busses? I take it if a card has an MEC on it  
>It will record?  
>So here's a given scenario: 3 cards, 3 Busses, 2 MECs. Buss-1 says "A" Buss-2  
>says "B" Buss-3 Says "C" Now BUSS 1 has an MEC and Buss 2 has the second  
>MEC. You're saying that Buss 1 and 2 will record simultaneously but Bus  
3  
>can only be playback (because it does have a card), therefore doesn't need  
>to be updated?  
>Thanks... Steve

>  
>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>Hi Steve,  
>>  
>>I have done this many times. We have a 5 card, 2 MEC system  
>>operating. Each MEC has two 8it cards for 16 inputs per  
>>MEC. I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both  
>>MEC's, arm and press record... it's that easy. Do you have  
>>any specific questions about this? if so, fire away.

>>  
>>David.

>>  
>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>> Loaded...16 all litepipe.  
>>> Steve  
>>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:

>>>  
>>>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?  
>>>>AA  
>>>>



MEC Modules A  
Mixer C (assuming a 3 card system)  
MEC Modules C

Double click on the MEC Modules blocks and drag the I/O card blocks to the appropriate location in the module assign window (in your case, the adat I/O modules would fill both input spots). Once you have assigned the modules, return to the patchbay window and patch from:

MEC modules A output 1 to Mixer A input 1  
MEC modules A output 2 to Mixer A input 2  
etc etc etc....

Continue until all modules are patched to both Submix blocks.

That's it... you should be able to arm and record to both submixes at the same time. You can also play back any tracks residing in Submix 3 (assigned to Card B) at the same time you are recording the 32 tracks into Submixes 1 and 2.

Clear as mud?? ;- ) this is from memory (I'm at home right now)... and I haven't actually booted Paris since November, so my memory may be a bit foggy on a few points.

Good luck!

David.

Steve Cox wrote:

> Ahhh, So the trick is a second MEC to the second card? If I have 3 cards,  
> how does this show up in the busses? I take it if a card has an MEC on it  
> It will record?  
> So here's a given scenario: 3 cards, 3 Busses, 2 MECs. Buss-1 says "A" Buss-2  
> says "B" Buss-3 Says "C" Now BUSS 1 has an MEC and Buss 2 has the second  
> MEC. You're saying that Buss 1 and 2 will record simultaneously but Bus 3  
> can only be playback (because it does have a card), therefore doesn't need  
> to be updated?  
> Thanks... Steve  
>  
> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>  
>>Hi Steve,  
>>  
>>I have done this many times. We have a 5 card, 2 MEC system  
>>operating. Each MEC has two 8it cards for 16 inputs per  
>>MEC. I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both

>>MEC's, arm and press record... it's that easy. Do you have  
>>any specific questions about this? if so, fire away.  
>>  
>>David.  
>>  
>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>  
>>>Loaded...16 all litepipe.  
>>>Steve  
>>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?  
>>>>AA  
>>>>  
>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443c492a\$1@linux...  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each also  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>MECs,  
>>>>>through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks  
>  
>  
>>>>>simultaneously.  
>>>>>Can this be done?  
>>>>>Steve  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
>>>>><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [audioguy\\_editout\\_](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 03:59:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The MEC is capable of routing more than 16 channels... the issue is that the "Mixer" connected to the MEC can ONLY record 16 channels max at one time... you can \*not\* cross patch between submixes from any one MEC. You could record 128 channels simultaneously (I know this has been done at



least by two people that frequented this group) but it would require 8 EDS cards and 8 MEC's each loaded with 16 channels of expansion input.

David.

Steve Cox wrote:

> Is an MEC only capable of sending 16 channels, regardless of how many Adat  
> optical cards it has?

> Steve

>

> "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:

>

>>Ahhh, So the trick is a second MEC to the second card? If I have 3 cards,  
>>how does this show up in the busses? I take it if a card has an MEC on it  
>>It will record?

>>So here's a given scenario: 3 cards, 3 Busses, 2 MECs. Buss-1 says "A" Buss-2  
>>says "B" Buss-3 Says "C" Now BUSS 1 has an MEC and Buss 2 has the second  
>>MEC. You're saying that Buss 1 and 2 will record simultaneously but Bus

>

> 3

>

>>can only be playback (because it does have a card), therefore doesn't need  
>>to be updated?

>>Thanks... Steve

>>

>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>

>>>Hi Steve,

>>>

>>>I have done this many times. We have a 5 card, 2 MEC system  
>>>operating. Each MEC has two 8it cards for 16 inputs per  
>>>MEC. I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both  
>>>MEC's, arm and press record... it's that easy. Do you have  
>>>any specific questions about this? if so, fire away.

>>>

>>>David.

>>>

>>>Steve Cox wrote:

>>>

>>>>Loaded...16 all litepipe.

>>>>Steve

>>>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?

>>>>>AA

>>>>  
>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443c492a\$1@linux...  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each  
>  
> also  
>  
>>>>  
>>>>>MECs,  
>>>>>through the years I have not figured out yet how to record 32 Tracks  
>>  
>>>>>simultaneously.  
>>>>>Can this be done?  
>>>>>Steve  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
>>>>><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 04:03:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aaron, I tried e-mailing you and it came back undeliverable. May I e-mail you? if so what is your e-mail address? I am at stevec1@charter.net

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:

>no, the trick is the this.. I'll use my setup as an example  
>EDS 1, mixer A, MEC1  
>EDS 2, mixer B, -no interface-  
>EDS 3, mixer C, MEC2  
>  
>Make sure you have a wordclock cable of quality from MEC1 to MEC2  
>You will be able to stream 24 channels per MEC, but only record 16 per MEC  
  
>(due to channel limitations, but you can push more audio down the auxes  
if  
>you have the inputs). Be aware that there is a known latency between Card  
A  
>and Card B reported of 10 - 14 samples, then 2 samples per each card  
>thereafter.

>  
>AA  
>  
>  
>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443c72d6\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> Ahhh, So the trick is a second MEC to the second card? If I have 3 cards,  
>> how does this show up in the busses? I take it if a card has an MEC on  
it  
>> It will record?  
>> So here's a given scenario: 3 cards, 3 Busses, 2 MECs. Buss-1 says "A"  
  
>> Buss-2  
>> says "B" Buss-3 Says "C" Now BUSS 1 has an MEC and Buss 2 has the second  
>> MEC. You're saying that Buss 1 and 2 will record simultaneously but Bus  
3  
>> can only be playback (because it does have a card), therefore doesn't  
need  
>> to be updated?  
>> Thanks... Steve  
>>  
>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>Hi Steve,  
>>>  
>>>I have done this many times. We have a 5 card, 2 MEC system  
>>>operating. Each MEC has two 8it cards for 16 inputs per  
>>>MEC. I patch all 16 card inputs to mixer channels on both  
>>>MEC's, arm and press record... it's that easy. Do you have  
>>>any specific questions about this? if so, fire away.  
>>>  
>>>David.  
>>>  
>>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>> Loaded...16 all litepipe.  
>>>> Steve  
>>>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>>How many inputs do you have on each EDS card?  
>>>>>AA  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message  
>>>>>news:443c492a\$1@linux...  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Though I have 3 EDS cards for each computer, 2 control 16s for each  
  
>>>>>>also  
>>>>>  
>>>>>



Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 04:09:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>one connected to Card A (default  
>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>EDS card\*

You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card has the last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their physical location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with a given OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to work it out.

But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case, as decided by the Paris software.

Cheers,  
Kim.

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 05:03:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs together do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends, I have everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital console for input, also set for 44.1

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>  
>David.  
>  
>Kim wrote:  
>  
>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>  
>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>>>EDS card\*  
>>  
>>  
>> You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card has the  
>> last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their physical

>> location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with a given  
>> OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to work it  
>> out.  
>>  
>> But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case, as decided  
>> by the Paris software.  
>>  
>> Cheers,  
>> Kim.

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [audioguy\\_editout\\_](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 05:09:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We use a 12 output Rosendahl word clock generator at the studio and I still loop between the two MEC's... seems to be more stable somehow. You only \*have to\* run W/C directly to each MEC once you reach 3+ units.

David.

Steve Cox wrote:

> Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs together  
> do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends, I have  
> everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital console  
> for input, also set for 44.1

>  
> "Dave(EK Sound)" <[audioguy\\_editout\\_@shaw.ca](mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca)> wrote:

>  
>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)

>>  
>>David.

>>  
>>Kim wrote:

>>  
>>  
>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <[audioguy\\_editout\\_@shaw.ca](mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca)> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>>>>EDS card\*

>>>

>>>

>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card has  
>  
> the  
>  
>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their physical  
>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with a  
>  
> given  
>  
>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to work  
>  
> it  
>  
>>>out.  
>>>  
>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case, as decided  
>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>  
>>>Cheers,  
>>>Kim.  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [audioguy\\_editout\\_](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 05:10:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)

David.

Kim wrote:

> Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>  
> Cheers,  
> Kim.  
>  
> "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>  
>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs together  
>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends, I  
>  
> have  
>  
>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital console  
>>for input, also set for 44.1

>>  
>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>  
>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>  
>>>David.  
>>>  
>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card has  
>>  
>>the  
>>  
>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their physical  
>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with a  
>>  
>>given  
>>  
>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to work  
>>  
>>it  
>>  
>>>>out.  
>>>>  
>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case, as decided  
>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>  
>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>Kim.  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 05:10:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.



Cheers,  
Kim.

"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:

>  
>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs together  
>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends, I  
have  
>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital console  
>for input, also set for 44.1

>  
>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)

>>

>>David.

>>

>>Kim wrote:

>>

>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>>

>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>>>>EDS card\*

>>>

>>>

>>> You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card has  
>the

>>> last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their physical

>>> location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with a

>given

>>> OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to work

>it

>>> out.

>>>

>>> But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case, as decided

>>> by the Paris software.

>>>

>>> Cheers,

>>> Kim.

>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 05:39:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)

Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't that OK?

....or am I missing something?

....I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what I've read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought I had the idea. ;o)

Cheers,  
Kim.

>  
>David.  
>  
>Kim wrote:  
>  
>> Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>  
>> Cheers,  
>> Kim.  
>>  
>> "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>  
>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs together  
>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends,  
|  
>>  
>> have  
>>  
>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital console  
>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>  
>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>  
>>>>David.  
>>>>  
>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last

>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card  
has  
>>>  
>>>the  
>>>  
>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their physical  
>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with  
a  
>>>  
>>>given  
>>>  
>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to work  
>>>  
>>>it  
>>>  
>>>>>out.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case, as  
decided  
>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>Kim.  
>>>  
>>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 16:31:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital 32 channel  
board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
Steve

"Kim" <[hidensounds@hotmail.com](mailto:hidensounds@hotmail.com)> wrote:

>

>"Dave(EK Sound)" <[audioguy\\_editout\\_@shaw.ca](mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca)> wrote:

>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)

>

>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't

>that OK?

>

>...or am I missing something?

>

>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what I've  
>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought I  
had  
>the idea. ;o)  
>  
>Cheers,  
>Kim.  
>  
>>  
>>David.  
>>  
>>Kim wrote:  
>>  
>>> Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>  
>>> Cheers,  
>>> Kim.  
>>>  
>>> "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs together  
>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends,  
>|  
>>>  
>>> have  
>>>  
>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
console  
>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>  
>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>David.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card

>has  
>>>>  
>>>>the  
>>>>  
>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their physical  
>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with  
>a  
>>>>  
>>>>given  
>>>>  
>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to  
work  
>>>>  
>>>>it  
>>>>  
>>>>>>out.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case, as  
>decided  
>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>  
>>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 16:57:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You said "losing" clock... ;-)

David.

Kim wrote:

> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>

>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)

>

>

> Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't

> that OK?

>

> ...or am I missing something?

>

> ...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what I've

> read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought I had  
> the idea. ;o)  
>  
> Cheers,  
> Kim.  
>  
>  
>>David.  
>>  
>>Kim wrote:  
>>  
>>  
>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>  
>>>Cheers,  
>>>Kim.  
>>>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 16:57:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.

David.

Steve Cox wrote:

> The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital 32 channel  
> board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
> Steve  
>  
> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>  
>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>  
>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>>  
>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't  
>>that OK?  
>>  
>>...or am I missing something?  
>>  
>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what I've  
>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought I  
>  
> had

>  
>>the idea. ;o)  
>>  
>>Cheers,  
>>Kim.  
>>  
>>  
>>>David.  
>>>  
>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>  
>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>Kim.  
>>>>  
>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs together  
>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends,  
>>  
>>I  
>>  
>>>>have  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
>  
> console  
>  
>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card  
>>  
>>has  
>>  
>>>>>the  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their physical  
>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with  
>>  
>>a  
>>  
>>>>>given  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to  
>  
> work  
>  
>>>>>it  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>out.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case, as  
>>  
>>decided  
>>  
>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 23:03:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>You said "losing" clock... ;-)



DOH! not only stupid, but blind on the re-read too!

What was your phrase? Do as I think, not as I say! ;o)

Cheers,  
Kim.

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Thu, 13 Apr 2006 17:32:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have both ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps galore. People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care to spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they all have to be controlled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would be fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital console, Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master word clock is to stabilize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my choice)Right??  
Steve not the art guy

EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:

>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.

>

>David.

>

>Steve Cox wrote:

>

>> The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
32 channel

>> board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1

>> Steve

>>

>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>>

>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)

>>>

>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't

>>>that OK?

>>>

>>>...or am I missing something?

>>>

>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what I've

>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought

I  
>>  
>> had  
>>  
>>>the idea. ;o)  
>>>  
>>>Cheers,  
>>>Kim.  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>David.  
>>>>  
>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs together  
>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends,  
>>>  
>>>I  
>>>  
>>>>>have  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
>>  
>> console  
>>  
>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card  
>>>  
>>>has  
>>>  
>>>>>>>the  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their  
physical  
>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with  
>>>  
>>>a  
>>>  
>>>>>>>given  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to  
>>  
>> work  
>>  
>>>>>>>it  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>out.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
as  
>>>  
>>>decided  
>>>  
>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Thu, 13 Apr 2006 17:42:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What I was referring to was feeding the WC from the external generator to your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master MEC to the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards in ONE computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way, and will be more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both directly from the WC gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to connect WC directly to each unit and place the "Use house sync" line into the Paris config file.

David.

Steve Cox wrote:

> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have both  
> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps galore.  
> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care to  
> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they all  
> have to be controlled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would be  
> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital console,  
> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master word  
> clock is to stabilize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my choice)Right??  
> Steve not the art guy

>  
> EK Sound <[askme@nospam.com](mailto:askme@nospam.com)> wrote:

>  
>> Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.

>>  
>> David.

>>  
>> Steve Cox wrote:

>>  
>>  
>>> The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital

>  
> 32 channel

>  
>>> board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1

>>> Steve

>>>  
>>> "Kim" <[hidensounds@hotmail.com](mailto:hidensounds@hotmail.com)> wrote:

>>>  
>>>

>>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <[audioguy\\_editout\\_@shaw.ca](mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca)> wrote:

>>>>  
>>>>

>>>>> huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)

>>>>  
>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't  
>>>>that OK?  
>>>>  
>>>>...or am I missing something?  
>>>>  
>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what I've  
>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought  
>  
> I  
>  
>>>had  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>the idea. ;o)  
>>>>  
>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>Kim.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>David.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs together  
>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends,  
>>>>  
>>>>I  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>>have  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
>>>

>>>console  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>>>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever card  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>has  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>the  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>physical  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine with  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>a  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>given  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to  
>>>  
>>>work  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>>>>it  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>out.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
>  
> as  
>  
>>>>decided  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Thu, 13 Apr 2006 23:10:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I wonder if this isn't somehow tied directly to the larger latency jump between the 1st and 2nd EDS cards?  
AA

"EK Sound" <askme@nospam.com> wrote in message news:443e8f0f\$1@linux...  
> What I was referring to was feeding the WC from the external generator to  
> your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master MEC to  
> the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards in ONE  
> computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way, and will be  
> more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both directly from the WC  
> gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to connect WC directly to each  
> unit and place the "Use house sync" line into the Paris config file.  
>  
> David.  
>

> Steve Cox wrote:  
>> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have  
>> both  
>> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps  
>> galore.  
>> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care to  
>> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they  
>> all  
>> have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would  
>> be  
>> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital  
>> console,  
>> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master  
>> word  
>> clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
>> choice)Right??  
>> Steve not the art guy  
>>  
>> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
>>>  
>>>David.  
>>>  
>>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
>>  
>> 32 channel  
>>  
>>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
>>>>Steve  
>>>>  
>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?  
>>>>>>...isn't  
>>>>>>that OK?  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
>>>>>>



>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
>>>>>I've  
>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought  
>>  
>> I  
>>  
>>>>had  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
>>>>>>>>together  
>>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock  
>>>>>>>>sends,  
>>>>>  
>>>>>I  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>have  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
>>>>  
>>>>console  
>>>>  
>>>>

>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default master) and as Tom mentioned,  
>>>>>>>>>>>one MEC connected to the \*last EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever  
>>>>>>>>>>>>card  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>has  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>the  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their  
>>  
>> physical  
>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>with  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>a  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>given  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to  
>>>>  
>>>>work  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>>>>it  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>out.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
>>  
>> as  
>>  
>>>>>decided  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 14 Apr 2006 01:09:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote:  
>I wonder if this isn't somehow tied directly to the larger latency jump  
  
>between the 1st and 2nd EDS cards?  
>AA

I was wondering the same as I read it...

>  
>  
>"EK Sound" <askme@nospam.com> wrote in message news:443e8f0f\$1@linux...  
>> What I was referring to was feeding the WC from the external generator  
to  
>> your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master MEC

to  
>> the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards in ONE  
>> computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way, and will  
be  
>> more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both directly from the  
WC  
>> gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to connect WC directly to each  
  
>> unit and place the "Use house sync" line into the Paris config file.  
>>  
>> David.  
>>  
>> Steve Cox wrote:  
>>> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have  
  
>>> both  
>>> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps  
>>> galore.  
>>> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care  
to  
>>> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they  
  
>>> all  
>>> have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would  
  
>>> be  
>>> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital  
>>> console,  
>>> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master  
  
>>> word  
>>> clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
  
>>> choice)Right??  
>>> Steve not the art guy  
>>>  
>>> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
>>>>  
>>>> David.  
>>>>  
>>>> Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>> The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
>>>>  
>>> 32 channel

>>>  
>>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
>>>>Steve  
>>>>  
>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?  
>>>>>>...isn't  
>>>>>>that OK?  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
  
>>>>>>I've  
>>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought  
>>>  
>>> I  
>>>  
>>>>had  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
  
>>>>>>>>together  
>>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock  
>>>>>>>>sends,  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>I  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>have  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>console  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default master) and as Tom mentioned,  
  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>one MEC connected to the \*last EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever  
  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>card

>>>>>  
>>>>>has  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>the  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their  
>>>  
>>> physical  
>>>  
>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine

>>>>>>>>>with  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>a  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>given  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment  
to  
>>>>>  
>>>>>work  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>it  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>out.

>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
>>>  
>>> as  
>>>  
>>>>>>>decided  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>

>  
>  
>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 14 Apr 2006 01:55:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

....though thinking about it, light speed is pretty dang fast. I'm pretty sure the extra delay in travelling down the cable between MECs would be zilch compared to the 10 sample or whatever EDS 2nd card gap.

"Kim" <[hidensounds@hotmail.com](mailto:hidensounds@hotmail.com)> wrote:

>  
>"Aaron Allen" <[nospam@not\\_here.dude](mailto:nospam@not_here.dude)> wrote:  
>>I wonder if this isn't somehow tied directly to the larger latency jump  
>  
>>between the 1st and 2nd EDS cards?  
>>AA  
>  
>I was wondering the same as I read it...  
>  
>>  
>>  
>>"EK Sound" <[askme@nospam.com](mailto:askme@nospam.com)> wrote in message [news:443e8f0f\\$1@linux...](news:443e8f0f$1@linux...)  
>>> What I was refering to was feeding the WC from the external generator  
>to  
>>> your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master MEC  
>to  
>>> the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards in ONE  
  
>>> computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way, and will  
>be  
>>> more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both directly from the  
>WC  
>>> gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to connect WC directly to each  
>  
>>> unit and place the "Use house sync" line into the Paris config file.  
>>>  
>>> David.  
>>>  
>>> Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have  
>



>>>> both  
>>>> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps

>>>> galore.  
>>>> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care  
>to  
>>>> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they  
>  
>>>> all  
>>>> have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would  
>  
>>>> be  
>>>> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital  
>>>> console,  
>>>> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master  
>  
>>>> word  
>>>> clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
>  
>>>> choice)Right??  
>>>> Steve not the art guy  
>>>>  
>>>> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>David.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
>>>>>  
>>>>> 32 channel  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
>>>>>>Steve  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?  
>>>>>>>>>>...isn't

>>>>>>that OK?  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
>  
>>>>>>I've  
>>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought  
>>>>  
>>>> I  
>>>>  
>>>>>>had  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
>  
>>>>>>>>>together  
>>>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock  
  
>>>>>>>>>sends,  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>I  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>have  
>>>>>>>>>





You'll probably read this and say "he's just not gettn' it" Buuuut.... Here's the wire scheme and hopefully explain. My master word clock has four BNC outs. One cable going to #one MEC's input, Two cable going to #2 MEC's input Three cable going to the digital console, and Four cable going to the eight ch. mic pre. Sooo with both ins on the MECs being taken up, I can't really run a jumper from the out of MEC #1 to the in of MEC #3, because the in on MEC #3 is already taken up by the master word clock. I don't want to daisy chain the MECs. It defeats the whole reason for having 4 ports on a master wordclock. But thanks for the thought.

EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:

>What I was refering to was feeding the WC from the external generator  
>to your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master  
>MEC to the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards  
>in ONE computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way,  
>and will be more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both  
>directly from the WC gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to  
>connect WC directly to each unit and place the "Use house sync" line  
>into the Paris config file.

>

>David.

>

>Steve Cox wrote:

>> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have both

>> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps galore.

>> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care to

>> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they all

>> have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would be

>> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital console,

>> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master word

>> clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my choice)Right??

>> Steve not the art guy

>>

>> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:

>>

>>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.

>>>

>>>David.

>>>

>>>Steve Cox wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
>>  
>> 32 channel  
>>  
>>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
>>>>Steve  
>>>>  
>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't  
>>>>>>that OK?  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
I've  
>>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought  
>>  
>> I  
>>  
>>>>had  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:

>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
together  
>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends,  
>>>>>  
>>>>>I  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>have  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
>>>>>  
>>>>>console  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever  
card  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>has

>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>>>the  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their  
>>  
>> physical  
>>  
>>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine  
with  
>>>>>  
>>>>>a  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>given  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment  
to  
>>>>>  
>>>>>work  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>it  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>out.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
>>  
>> as  
>>  
>>>>>>>>>decided  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>



Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 14 Apr 2006 02:19:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What Dave is suggesting I think is that you run the exact setup you have, but for the second MEC, instead of running from the Wordclock, run from the first MEC. Leave everything else, but the cable from the wordclock to the 2nd MEC, unplug it at the wordclock and plug it in to the output of MEC 1. That's how I'm understanding him anyhow.

That said, what you have running currently should work I think. He's simply saying that daisy chaining will make the system more stable, but this will vary between systems. Yours may be fine running wordclock to everything.

Cheers,  
Kim.

"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:

>

>You'll probably read this and say "he's just not gettn' it" Buuuut... Here's  
>the wire scheme and hopefully explain. My master word clock has four BNC  
outs.

>One cable going to #one MEC's input, Two cable going to #2 MEC's input Three  
>cable going to the digital console, and Four cable going to the eight ch.  
>mic pre. Sooo with both ins on the MECs being taken up, I can't really run  
>a jumper from the out of MEC #1 to the in of MEC #3, because the in on MEC  
>#3 is already taken up by the master word clock. I don't want to daisy chain  
>the MECs. It defeats the whole reason for having 4 ports on a master wordclock.  
>But thanks for the thought.

>

>EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:

>>What I was refering to was feeding the WC from the external generator  
>>to your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master  
>>MEC to the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards  
>>in ONE computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way,  
>>and will be more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both  
>>directly from the WC gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to  
>>connect WC directly to each unit and place the "Use house sync" line  
>>into the Paris config file.

>>

>>David.

>>

>>Steve Cox wrote:

>>> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have  
>both

>>> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps galore.

>>> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care

>to

>>> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they

>all  
>>> have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would  
>be  
>>> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital console,  
>>> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master  
>word  
>>> clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
>choice)Right??  
>>> Steve not the art guy  
>>>  
>>> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
>>>>  
>>>>David.  
>>>>  
>>>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
>>>  
>>> 32 channel  
>>>  
>>>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
>>>>>Steve  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't  
>>>>>>>that OK?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
>I've  
>>>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought  
>>>  
>>> I  
>>>  
>>>>>had  
>>>>>  
>>>>>

>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
>together  
>>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends,  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>I  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>have  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>console  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last

>>>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever  
>card  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>has  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>the  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their  
>>>  
>>> physical  
>>>  
>>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine  
>with  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>a  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>given  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment  
>to  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>work  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>it  
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>out.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
>>>  
>>> as  
>>>  
>>>>>decided  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Fri, 14 Apr 2006 02:40:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Alright....

"Kim" <[hiddeensounds@hotmail.com](mailto:hiddeensounds@hotmail.com)> wrote:

>  
>  
>What Dave is suggesting I think is that you run the exact setup you have,  
>but for the second MEC, instead of running from the Wordclock, run from  
the  
>first MEC. Leave everything else, but the cable from the wordclock to the  
>2nd MEC, unplug it at the wordclock and plug it in to the output of MEC  
1.  
>That's how I'm understanding him anyhow.  
>  
>That said, what you have running currently should work I think. He's simply  
>saying that daisy chaining will make the system more stable, but this will  
>vary between systems. Yours may be fine running wordclock to everything.  
>  
>Cheers,  
>Kim.  
>  
>"Steve Cox" <[stevec1@charter.net](mailto:stevec1@charter.net)> wrote:  
>>  
>>You'll probably read this and say "he's just not gettn' it" Buuuut....  
Here's

>>the wire scheme and hopefully explain. My master word clock has four BNC  
>outs.  
>>One cable going to #one MEC's input, Two cable going to #2 MEC's input  
Three  
>>cable going to the digital console, and Four cable going to the eight ch.  
>>mic pre. Sooo with both ins on the MECs being taken up, I can't really  
run  
>>a jumper from the out of MEC #1 to the in of MEC #3, because the in on  
MEC  
>>#3 is already taken up by the master word clock. I don't want to daisy  
chain  
>>the MECs. It defeats the whole reason for having 4 ports on a master wordclock.  
>>But thanks for the thought.  
>>  
>>EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>>>What I was refering to was feeding the WC from the external generator  
  
>>>to your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master  
  
>>>MEC to the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards  
>>>in ONE computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way,  
>>>and will be more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both  
>>>directly from the WC gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to  
>>>connect WC directly to each unit and place the "Use house sync" line  
>>>into the Paris config file.  
>>>  
>>>David.  
>>>  
>>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have  
>>both  
>>>> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps  
galore.  
>>>> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care  
>>to  
>>>> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they  
>>all  
>>>> have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would  
>>be  
>>>> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital console,  
>>>> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master  
>>word  
>>>> clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
>>choice)Right??  
>>>> Steve not the art guy  
>>>>  
>>>> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>>>>

>>>> Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
>>>>  
>>>> David.  
>>>>  
>>>> Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>> The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
>>>>  
>>>> 32 channel  
>>>>  
>>>>> board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
>>>>> Steve  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't  
>>>>>>> that OK?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> ...or am I missing something?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> ...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
>> I've  
>>>>>>> read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought  
>>>>  
>>>> I  
>>>>  
>>>>> had  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> the idea. ;o)  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Cheers,  
>>>>>>> Kim.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> David.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>





>>>>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
>  
>>>>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever  
>>card  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>has  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>the  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their  
>>>>  
>>>> physical  
>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine  
>>with  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>a  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>given  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment  
>>to  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>work  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>it  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>out.  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
>>>>  
>>>> as  
>>>>  
>>>>>>>decided  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>



> both  
>  
>>>ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps galore.  
>>>People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care  
>  
> to  
>  
>>>spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they  
>  
> all  
>  
>>>have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would  
>  
> be  
>  
>>>fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital console,  
>>>Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master  
>  
> word  
>  
>>>clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
>  
> choice)Right??  
>  
>>>Steve not the art guy  
>>>  
>>>EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
>>>>  
>>>>David.  
>>>>  
>>>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
>>>>  
>>>>32 channel  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
>>>>>Steve  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>

>>>>>  
>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...? ...isn't  
>>>>>>that OK?  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
>  
> I've  
>  
>>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought  
>>>  
>>>I  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>had  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
>  
> together  
>  
>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock sends,  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>l  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>have  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>console  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last

>>>>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever  
>  
> card  
>  
>>>>>>has  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>the  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their  
>>>  
>>>physical  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine  
>  
> with  
>  
>>>>>>a  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>given  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment  
>  
> to  
>  
>>>>>work  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>it  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>out.

>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
>>>  
>>>as  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>decided  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [audioguy\\_editout\\_](#) on Fri, 14 Apr 2006 03:54:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It would be interesting to see what the card 2 latency is with and without house sync. I am sure that BT probably did this already, and would have posted his findings if there were an improvement to be had.

David.

Aaron Allen wrote:

> I wonder if this isn't somehow tied directly to the larger latency jump  
> between the 1st and 2nd EDS cards?  
> AA  
>  
>  
> "EK Sound" <askme@nospam.com> wrote in message news:443e8f0f\$1@linux...  
>  
>>What I was referring to was feeding the WC from the external generator to  
>>your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master MEC to  
>>the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards in ONE  
>>computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way, and will be  
>>more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both directly from the WC  
>>gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to connect WC directly to each  
>>unit and place the "Use house sync" line into the Paris config file.  
>>  
>>David.  
>>

>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>  
>>>You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have  
>>>both  
>>>ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps  
>>>galore.  
>>>People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care to  
>>>spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they  
>>>all  
>>>have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would  
>>>be  
>>>fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital  
>>>console,  
>>>Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master  
>>>word  
>>>clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
>>>choice)Right??  
>>>Steve not the art guy  
>>>  
>>>EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
>>>>  
>>>>David.  
>>>>  
>>>>Steve Cox wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
>>>>  
>>>>32 channel  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
>>>>>Steve  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>>>>>>>



>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?  
>>>>>...isn't  
>>>>>that OK?  
>>>>>  
>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
>>>>>  
>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
>>>>>I've  
>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought  
>>>  
>>>I  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>had  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>Kim.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
>>>>>>>>together  
>>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock  
>>>>>>>>sends,  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>I

>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>have  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader digital  
>>>>>  
>>>>>console  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>David.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default master) and as Tom mentioned,  
>>>>>>>>>>one MEC connected to the \*last EDS card\*  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever  
>>>>>>>>>>>card  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>has  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>the  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with their  
>>>  
>>>physical  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine  
>>>>>>>>>with  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>a  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>given  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment to  
>>>>>  
>>>>>work  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>it  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>out.  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
>>>  
>>>as  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>>>>>decided  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Kim.

>>>>>>>>

>  
>  
>

> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 15 Apr 2006 07:12:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If Steve is using direct WC feeds to the BNC inputs of each MEC, I would still advise adding the UseHouseSync=1 to the Paris.cfg file and also opening Paris from the main .exe file in the EMU directory in Program Files, setting the project that opens to sync source=word clock and saving this as "default project.ppj" both in the EMU directory and on his desktop. then open Paris from this default project.ppj on the desktop. Leave the Aardsync set to 44.1. Paris likes to open to 48kHz sample rate when syncing to an external WC, even if the WC is set to 44.1. Once this project is open, he can navigate to his other projects which are set to 44.1 and he should be good to go.

Deej

"Dave(EK Sound)" <[audioguy\\_editout\\_@shaw.ca](mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca)> wrote in message news:443f1eb3@linux...

> It would be interesting to see what the card 2 latency is  
> with and without house sync. I am sure that BT probably did  
> this already, and would have posted his findings if there  
> were an improvement to be had.

>

> David.

>

> Aaron Allen wrote:

>

>> I wonder if this isn't somehow tied directly to the larger latency jump  
>> between the 1st and 2nd EDS cards?

>> AA

>>

>>

>> "EK Sound" <[askme@nospam.com](mailto:askme@nospam.com)> wrote in message news:443e8f0f\$1@linux...

>>

>>>What I was referring to was feeding the WC from the external generator to  
>>>your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master MEC  
to

> >>the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards in ONE  
> >>computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way, and will be  
> >>more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both directly from the  
WC  
> >>gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to connect WC directly to each  
> >>unit and place the "Use house sync" line into the Paris config file.  
> >>  
> >>David.  
> >>  
> >>Steve Cox wrote:  
> >>  
> >>>You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have  
> >>>both  
> >>>ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps  
> >>>galore.  
> >>>People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care  
to  
> >>>spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they  
> >>>all  
> >>>have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would  
> >>>be  
> >>>fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital  
> >>>console,  
> >>>Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master  
> >>>word  
> >>>clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
> >>>choice)Right??  
> >>>Steve not the art guy  
> >>>  
> >>>EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
> >>>  
> >>>  
> >>>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
> >>>>  
> >>>>David.  
> >>>>  
> >>>>Steve Cox wrote:  
> >>>>  
> >>>>  
> >>>>  
> >>>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
> >>>>  
> >>>>32 channel  
> >>>>  
> >>>>  
> >>>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
> >>>>>Steve  
> >>>>>

> >>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?  
> >>>>>>>...isn't  
> >>>>>>>that OK?  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
> >>>>>>>I've  
> >>>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda  
thought  
> >>>  
> >>>I  
> >>>  
> >>>  
> >>>>>had  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>Cheers,  
> >>>>>>>Kim.  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>David.  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
> >>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
> >>>>>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
> >>>>>>>together  
> >>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock  
> >>>>>>>sends,  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>|  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>have  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader  
digital  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>console  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>David.  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> >>>>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>>>>





> >>>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your  
case,  
> >>>  
> >>>as  
> >>>  
> >>>  
> >>>>>decided  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
> >>>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
> >>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
> >>>>>>>>>>  
> >  
> >  
> > I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
> > <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>  
> >  
> >

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 15 Apr 2006 07:14:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_0084\_01C66029.F453DC20  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

sorry.....this is very important:

opening Paris from the main .exe file in the EMU directory in Program =  
Files,  
> setting the project that opens to sync source=3Dword clock and saving =  
this as  
> "default project.ppj and setting the sample rate to 48kHz.

"DJ" <[animix\\_spam-this-ahole\\_@animas.net](mailto:animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net)> wrote in message =  
news:44409f47@linux...  
> If Steve is using direct WC feeds to the BNC inputs of each MEC, I =  
would  
> still advise adding the UseHouseSync=3D1 to the Paris.cfg file and =

also  
> opening Paris from the main .exe file in the EMU directory in Program =  
Files,  
> setting the project that opens to sync source=3Dword clock and saving =  
this as  
> "default project.ppj" both in the EMU directory and on his desktop. =  
then  
> open Paris from this default project.ppj on the desktop. Leave the =  
Aardsync  
> set to 44.1. Paris likes to open to 48kHz sample rate when syncing to =  
an  
> external WC, even if the WC is set to 44.1. Once this project is open, =  
he  
> can navigate ot his other projects which are set to 44.1 and he should =  
be  
> good to go.  
>=20  
> Deej  
>=20  
>=20  
> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote in message  
> news:443f1eb3@linux...  
> > It would be interesting to see what the card 2 latency is  
> > with and without house sync. I am sure that BT probably did  
> > this already, and would have posted his findings if there  
> > were an improvement to be had.  
> >  
> > David.  
> >  
> > Aaron Allen wrote:  
> >  
> > > I wonder if this isn't somehow tied directly to the larger latency =  
jump  
> > > between the 1st and 2nd EDS cards?  
> > > AA  
> > >  
> > >  
> > > "EK Sound" <askme@nospam.com> wrote in message =  
news:443e8f0f\$1@linux...  
> > >  
> > >>What I was refering to was feeding the WC from the external =  
generator to  
> > >>your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master =  
MEC  
> > to  
> > >>the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards in =  
ONE  
> > >>computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way, and =

will be

> > >>more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both directly =  
from the

> WC

> > >>gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to connect WC directly =  
to each

> > >>unit and place the "Use house sync" line into the Paris config =  
file.

> > >>

> > >>David.

> > >>

> > >>Steve Cox wrote:

> > >>

> > >>>You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need =  
to have

> > >>>both

> > >>>ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' =  
snaps

> > >>>galore.

> > >>>People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't =  
care

> to

> > >>>spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, =  
they

> > >>>all

> > >>>have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting =  
would

> > >>>be

> > >>>fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital

> > >>>console,

> > >>>Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a =  
master

> > >>>word

> > >>>clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one =  
source.(my

> > >>>choice)Right??

> > >>>Steve not the art guy

> > >>>

> > >>>EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:

> > >>>

> > >>>

> > >>>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.

> > >>>>

> > >>>>David.

> > >>>>

> > >>>>Steve Cox wrote:

> > >>>>

> > >>>>

> > >>>>  
> > >>>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 =  
Digital  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>32 channel  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
> > >>>>>Steve  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?  
> > >>>>>>>...isn't  
> > >>>>>>>that OK?  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from =  
what  
> > >>>>>>>I've  
> > >>>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda  
> thought  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>I  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>had  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>Cheers,  
> > >>>>>>>Kim.  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>David.

> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>Kim wrote:  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>Cheers,  
> > >>>>>>Kim.  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the =  
2 mecs  
> > >>>>>>>together  
> > >>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word =  
clock  
> > >>>>>>>sends,  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>|  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>have  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized =  
fader  
> digital  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>console  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
> > >>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)

> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>David.  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default master) and as Tom =  
mentioned,  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>one MEC connected to the \*last EDS card\*  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to =  
"whichever  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>card  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>has  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>the  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do =  
with  
> their  
> > >>>  
> > >>>physical  
> > >>>  
> > >>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given =  
machine  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>with  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>a  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>

> > >>>>>>>given  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to =  
experiment  
> to  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>work  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>>>>it  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>out.  
> > >>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in =  
your  
> case,  
> > >>>  
> > >>>as  
> > >>>  
> > >>>  
> > >>>>>decided  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
> > >>>>>>>>>>Kim.  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >  
> > >  
> > > I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
> > > <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>  
> > >  
> > >  
>=20  
>  
-----=\_NextPart\_000\_0084\_01C66029.F453DC20  
Content-Type: text/html;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1106" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>sorry.....this is very =
important:</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>&nbsp;</FONT>opening Paris from the main .exe =
file in the=20
EMU directory in Program Files,<BR>&gt; setting the project that opens =
to sync=20
source=3Dword clock and saving this as<BR>&gt; "default project.ppj=20
<STRONG><EM><U>and setting the sample rate to=20
48kHz</U></EM></STRONG>.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>"DJ" &lt;</FONT><A=20
href=3D"mailto:animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>&gt;=20
wrote in message </FONT><A href=3D"news:44409f47@linux"><FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>news:44409f47@linux</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>...</FONT></DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>&gt; If Steve is =
using direct WC=20
feeds to the BNC inputs of each MEC, I would<BR>&gt; still advise adding =
the=20
UseHouseSync=3D1 to the Paris.cfg file and also<BR>&gt; opening Paris =
from the=20
main .exe file in the EMU directory in Program Files,<BR>&gt; setting =
the=20
project that opens to sync source=3Dword clock and saving this =
as<BR>&gt; "default=20
project.ppj" both in the EMU directory and on his desktop. then<BR>&gt; =
open=20
Paris from this default project.ppj on the desktop. Leave the =
Aardsync<BR>&gt;=20
set to 44.1. Paris likes to open to 48kHz sample rate when syncing to =
an<BR>&gt;=20
external WC, even if the WC is set to 44.1. Once this project is open,=20
he<BR>&gt; can navigate ot his other projects which are set to 44.1 and =
he=20
should be<BR>&gt; good to go.<BR>&gt; <BR>&gt; DeeJ<BR>&gt; <BR>&gt; =
<BR>&gt;=20

```



"Dave(EK Sound)" &lt;</FONT><A =  
href=3D"mailto:audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca"><FONT=20  
face=3DArial size=3D2>audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca</FONT></A><FONT =  
face=3DArial=20  
size=3D2>&gt; wrote in message<BR>&gt; </FONT><A =  
href=3D"news:443f1eb3@linux"><FONT=20  
face=3DArial size=3D2>news:443f1eb3@linux</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial=20  
size=3D2>...<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; It would be interesting to see what the card 2 =  
latency=20  
is<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; with and without house sync.&nbsp; I am sure that BT =  
probably=20  
did<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; this already, and would have posted his findings if=20  
there<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; were an improvement to be had.<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;=20  
David.<BR>&gt; &gt; <BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; Aaron Allen wrote:<BR>&gt; &gt; <BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;=20  
&gt; I wonder if this isn't somehow tied directly to the larger latency=20  
jump<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt; between the 1st and 2nd EDS cards?<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; =  
&gt;=20  
AA<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt; "EK Sound"=20  
&lt;</FONT><A href=3D"mailto:askme@nospam.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20  
size=3D2>askme@nospam.com</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>&gt; =  
wrote in message=20  
</FONT><A href=3D"news:443e8f0f\$1 @linux"><FONT face=3DArial=20  
size=3D2>news:443e8f0f\$1 @linux</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =  
size=3D2>...<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;What I was refering to was feeding the WC from =  
the=20  
external generator to<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;your master MEC, then =  
connecting a=20  
short BNC cable from the master MEC<BR>&gt; &gt; to<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;the =  
slave=20  
MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards in ONE<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;computer).&nbsp; The two MEC's will clock quite happily this =  
way, and=20  
will be<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;more stable (by my experience) than feeding =  
them=20  
both directly from the<BR>&gt; &gt; WC<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;gen.&nbsp; If you =  
had 3+=20  
MEC's, you would have to connect WC directly to each<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;unit=20  
and place the "Use house sync" line into the Paris config file.<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;David.<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;Steve Cox wrote:<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;You=20  
can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to =  
have<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;=20

&gt;&gt;&gt;both<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;ins tied up with the master =  
word clock=20  
or I will have pops n' snaps<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;galore.<BR>&gt; =  
&gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really =  
don't=20  
care<BR>&gt; to<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;spend the time snipping them =  
out. With=20  
all the digital equipment, they<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;all<BR>&gt; =  
&gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;have to be controled by one clock source. What you are =  
suggesting=20  
would<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;be<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;fine if I was =  
ITB,=20  
but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;console,<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;Eight ch. mic pres, and =  
two MECs.=20  
The whole idea behind having a master<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;word<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one=20  
source.(my<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;choice)Right??<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;Steve not the art guy<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; =

&gt;&gt;&gt;EK Sound &lt;/FONT><A =  
href=3D"mailto:askme@nospam.com"><FONT=20  
face=3DArial size=3D2>askme@nospam.com</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =  
size=3D2>&gt;=20  
wrote:<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the=20  
other.<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;David.<BR>&gt;=20  
&gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;Steve Cox =  
wrote:<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;The reason I have the =  
master=20  
word clock is because of the DA7 Digital<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;32 channel<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;board, an 8 ch. mic pre, =  
and 2=20  
MECs. All locked in at 44.1<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;Steve<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;"Kim" =  
&lt;/FONT><A=20  
href=3D"mailto:hiddensounds@hotmail.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20  
size=3D2>hiddensounds@hotmail.com</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =  
size=3D2>&gt;=20

wrote:<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;"Dave(EK Sound)" &lt;</FONT><A=20  
href=3D"mailto:audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca"><FONT face=3DArial=20  
size=3D2>audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =  
size=3D2>&gt;=20  
wrote:<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;huh? ??&nbsp; have another beer mate! =  
;)<BR>&gt;=20  
&gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;Well =  
I'm=20  
assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;...isn't<BR >&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;that=20  
OK?<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;...or am I missing something?<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;...I mean =  
I've=20  
never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;I've<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;read =  
here, but=20  
after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda<BR>&gt; thought<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;I<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;had<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;the idea. =  
;o)<BR>&gt;=20  
&gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;Cheers,<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;Kim.<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;Davi d. <BR>&gt;=20  
&gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR >&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;Kim= 20  
wrote:<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR >&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR >&gt; &gt; =  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR >&gt;=20  
&gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR >&gt; &gt;=20  
&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;Correct. If you're losing wordclock that =  
will be=20  
fine.<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt; <BR>&gt; &gt;=20







</FONT><A href="http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html"><FONT face="Arial" =  
size="2">http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html</FONT></A><BR><FONT =  
face="Arial"=20  
size="2">&gt; &gt; &gt;<BR>&gt; &gt; &gt;<BR>&gt; <BR>&gt; =  
</FONT></BODY></HTML>

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_0084\_01C66029.F453DC20--

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 15 Apr 2006 18:19:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Steve,

If you are using 3 x MECs, then this is how to get you system to work.  
follow these steps exactly:

Use good quality BNC cables to run from your AArdsync to the MECs. the  
shorter, the better.

Terminate the BNC outputs of each MEC with 75ohm terminator caps.

Now go to C://Program Files/EMU/Paris and add the following line to the  
Paris .cfg file:

```
UseHouseSync=1
```

Save the .cfg file and reboot your machine.

Now, start your WC module, set it to 44.1kHz, then power up the MECs, then  
go to C://Program Files/EMU/Paris and boot Paris from the Paris.exe file.

When Paris opens, set the sync source of the project to Word Clock and set  
the project sample rate to 48kHz (it is extremely important that you use  
48kHz-Paris won't properly lock to external WC without this)

Now save the project to C://Program Files/EMU/Paris under the name "default  
project" (no quotes). Now also save this default project to you desktop (do  
not just use it as a shortcut-the one in the C://Program Files/EMU/Paris is  
a backup in case the one on your desktop gets corrupted).

Now close Paris and reboot your machine. You can now launch Paris from the  
default project on your desktop and it should sync reliably. don't worry  
about this being set to 48kHz. Just open one of your existing projects that  
is 44.1kHz and you should be good to go.

I've been doing this for a long time. I've got 3 x MEC, 3 x RME HDSP cards (96 x lightpipe I/O plus 6 x spdif I/O), a Lexicon PC90, a POD Pro, a Quantec Yardstick and a TC Electronics D2 all receiving sync from a Mytek WC distributed through two Lucid WC distro boxes and routed in and out of digital patchbays. None of this worked reliably until I set my Paris default project to 48kHz. Now Paris and all this other stuff locks up just fine but you these hoops are necessary to jump through if you want Paris to reliably sync to an external clock.

Regards,

Deej

"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:443f022f\$1@linux...

>

> You'll probably read this and say "he's just not gettn' it" Buuuut....

Here's

> the wire scheme and hopefully explain. My master word clock has four BNC outs.

> One cable going to #one MEC's input, Two cable going to #2 MEC's input  
Three

> cable going to the digital console, and Four cable going to the eight ch.

> mic pre. Sooo with both ins on the MECs being taken up, I can't really run

> a jumper from the out of MEC #1 to the in of MEC #3, because the in on MEC

> #3 is already taken up by the master word clock. I don't want to daisy chain

> the MECs. It defeats the whole reason for having 4 ports on a master wordclock.

> But thanks for the thought.

>

> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:

> >What I was referring to was feeding the WC from the external generator

> >to your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master

> >MEC to the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards

> >in ONE computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way,

> >and will be more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both

> >directly from the WC gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to

> >connect WC directly to each unit and place the "Use house sync" line

> >into the Paris config file.

> >

> >David.

> >

> >Steve Cox wrote:

> >> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to have

> both

> >> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps



galore.

> >> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care  
> to  
> >> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they  
> all  
> >> have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting would  
> be  
> >> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital  
console,  
> >> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a master  
> word  
> >> clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
> choice)Right??  
> >> Steve not the art guy  
> >>  
> >> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
> >>  
> >>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
> >>>  
> >>>David.  
> >>>  
> >>>Steve Cox wrote:  
> >>>  
> >>>  
> >>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
> >>  
> >> 32 channel  
> >>  
> >>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
> >>>>Steve  
> >>>>  
> >>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
> >>>>  
> >>>>  
> >>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?  
....isn't  
> >>>>>>that OK?  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
> I've  
> >>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda thought

> >>  
> >> I  
> >>  
> >>>>had  
> >>>>  
> >>>>  
> >>>>>the idea. ;o)  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>Cheers,  
> >>>>>Kim.  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>>David.  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>Kim wrote:  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>Cheers,  
> >>>>>>>Kim.  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
> together  
> >>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock  
sends,  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>I  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>have  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader  
digital  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>console  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>David.  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
> >>>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
> >>>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever  
> card  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>has  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>>>the  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with  
their  
> >>  
> >> physical  
> >>  
> >>>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine  
> with  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>a  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>given  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment  
> to  
> >>>>  
> >>>>work  
> >>>>  
> >>>>  
> >>>>>>>>it  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>out.  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your case,  
> >>  
> >> as  
> >>  
> >>>>>>decided  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
> >>>>>>>>>  
> >>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
> >>>>>>>>>Kim.  
> >>>>>>>>  
> >>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 15 Apr 2006 19:19:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Deej, have you noticed if it makes any difference \*where\* in the file you put the house sync text? I'm wondering if that has any effect upon the load order/stability of initializing Paris, or if you've played with that as an option?

AA

"DJ" <[animix\\_spam-this-ahole\\_@animas.net](mailto:animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net)> wrote in message [news:44413bc5@linux...](mailto:news:44413bc5@linux...)

> Steve,  
>  
> If you are using 3 x MECs, then this is how to get you system to work.  
> follow these steps exactly:  
>  
> Use good quality BNC cables to run from your AArdsync to the MECs. the

> shorter, the better.  
>  
> Terminate the BNC outputs of each MEC with 75ohm terminator caps.  
>  
> Now go to C://Program Files/EMU/Paris and add the following line to the  
> Paris .cfg file:  
>  
> UseHouseSync=1  
>  
> Save the .cfg file and reboot your machine.  
>  
> Now, start your WC module, set it to 44.1kHz, then power up the MECs, then  
> go to C://Program Files/EMU/Paris and boot Paris from the Paris.exe file.  
>  
> When Paris opens, set the sync source of the project to Word Clock and set  
> the project sample rate to 48kHz (it is extremely important that you use  
> 48kHz-Paris won't properly lock to external WC without this)  
>  
> Now save the project to C://Program Files/EMU/Paris under the name  
> "default  
> project" (no quotes). Now also save this default project to you desktop  
> (do  
> not just use it as a shortcut-the one in the C://Program Files/EMU/Paris  
> is  
> a backup in case the one on your desktop gets corrupted).  
>  
> Now close Paris and reboot your machine. You can now launch Paris from the  
> default project on your desktop and it should sync reliably. don't worry  
> about this being set to 48kHz. Just open one of your existing projects  
> that  
> is 44.1kHz and you should be good to go.  
>  
> I've been doing this for a long time. I've got 3 x MEC, 3 x RME HDSP cards  
> (96 x lightpipe I/O plus 6 x spdif I/O), a Lexicon PC90, a POD Pro, a  
> Quantec Yardstick and a TC Electronics D2 all receiving sync from a Mytek  
> WC  
> distributed through two Lucid WC distro boxes and routed in and out of  
> digital patchbays. None of this worked reliably until I set my Paris  
> default  
> project to 48kHz. Now Paris and all this other stuff locks up just fine  
> but  
> you these hoops are necessary to jump through if you want Paris to  
> reliably  
> sync to an external clock.  
>  
> Regards,  
>  
> Deej

>  
>  
>  
> "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message  
> news:443f022f\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> You'll probably read this and say "he's just not gettn' it" Buuuut....  
> Here's  
>> the wire scheme and hopfully explain. My master word clock has four BNC  
> outs.  
>> One cable going to #one MEC's input, Two cable going to #2 MEC's input  
> Three  
>> cable going to the digital console, and Four cable going to the eight ch.  
>> mic pre. Sooo with both ins on the MECs being taken up, I can't really  
>> run  
>> a jumper from the out of MEC #1 to the in of MEC #3, because the in on  
>> MEC  
>> #3 is already taken up by the master word clock. I don't want to daisy  
> chain  
>> the MECs. It defeats the whole reason for having 4 ports on a master  
> wordclock.  
>> But thanks for the thought.  
>>  
>> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>> >What I was refering to was feeding the WC from the external generator  
>> >to your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master  
>> >MEC to the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards  
>> >in ONE computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way,  
>> >and will be more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both  
>> >directly from the WC gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to  
>> >connect WC directly to each unit and place the "Use house sync" line  
>> >into the Paris config file.  
>> >  
>> >David.  
>> >  
>> >Steve Cox wrote:  
>> >> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to  
>> >> have  
>> >> both  
>> >> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps  
> galore.  
>> >> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't care  
>> to  
>> >> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment, they  
>> all  
>> >> have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting  
>> >> would  
>> be

>> >> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital  
> console,  
>> >> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a  
>> >> master  
>> word  
>> >> clock is to stabilize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one source.(my  
>> choice)Right??  
>> >> Steve not the art guy  
>> >>  
>> >> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
>> >>  
>> >>> Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
>> >>>  
>> >>> David.  
>> >>>  
>> >>> Steve Cox wrote:  
>> >>>  
>> >>>  
>> >>>> The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7 Digital  
>> >>  
>> >> 32 channel  
>> >>  
>> >>>> board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
>> >>>> Steve  
>> >>>>  
>> >>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>> >>>>  
>> >>>>  
>> >>>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>> huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>> Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?  
> ...isn't  
>> >>>>>> that OK?  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>> ...or am I missing something?  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>> ...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from what  
>> I've  
>> >>>>>> read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda  
>> >>>>>> thought  
>> >>  
>> >> I  
>> >>  
>> >>>> had  
>> >>>>

>> >>>>  
>> >>>>>the idea. ;o)  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>Cheers,  
>> >>>>>Kim.  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>David.  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>>Kim wrote:  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
>> >>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>Cheers,  
>> >>>>>>>Kim.  
>> >>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
>> >>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2 mecs  
>> together  
>> >>>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock  
> sends,  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>I  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>have  
>> >>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader  
> digital  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>console  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
>> >>>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
>> >>>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)



```

>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>David.
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>Kim wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default
>> >>>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the *last
>> >>>>>>>>>EDS card*
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to "whichever
>> card
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>has
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>the
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with
> their
>> >>
>> >> physical
>> >>
>> >>>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given machine
>> with
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>a
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>given
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to experiment
>> to
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>work
>> >>>>>

```

>> >>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>it  
>> >>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>>out.  
>> >>>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your  
>> >>>>>>>>>case,  
>> >>  
>> >> as  
>> >>  
>> >>>>>decided  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
>> >>>>>>>>>  
>> >>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
>> >>>>>>>>>Kim.  
>> >>>>>>>>>  
>> >>  
>>  
>  
>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

---

---

Subject: Re: Recording 32 Tracks simultaneously  
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 15 Apr 2006 19:28:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I've always just entered it at the bottom, below the rest of the text.  
Never had a problem with that.

Maybe I should play around with this some more.....and maybe if I type in  
AutomaticPluginDelayCompensation=1, I can get this to work too?

;o)

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not\_here.dude> wrote in message news:44414699@linux...  
> Deej, have you noticed if it makes any difference \*where\* in the file you  
> put the house sync text? I'm wondering if that has any effect upon the  
load  
> order/stability of initializing Paris, or if you've played with that as an  
> option?

>  
> AA  
>  
>  
> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
> news:44413bc5@linux...  
>> Steve,  
>>  
>> If you are using 3 x MECs, then this is how to get you system to work.  
>> follow these steps exactly:  
>>  
>> Use good quality BNC cables to run from your AArdsync to the MECs. the  
>> shorter, the better.  
>>  
>> Terminate the BNC outputs of each MEC with 75ohm terminator caps.  
>>  
>> Now go to C://Program Files/EMU/Paris and add the following line to the  
>> Paris .cfg file:  
>>  
>> UseHouseSync=1  
>>  
>> Save the .cfg file and reboot your machine.  
>>  
>> Now, start your WC module, set it to 44.1kHz, then power up the MECs,  
then  
>> go to C://Program Files/EMU/Paris and boot Paris from the Paris.exe  
file.  
>>  
>> When Paris opens, set the sync source of the project to Word Clock and  
set  
>> the project sample rate to 48kHz (it is extremely important that you use  
>> 48kHz-Paris won't properly lock to external WC without this)  
>>  
>> Now save the project to C://Program Files/EMU/Paris under the name  
>> "default  
>> project" (no quotes). Now also save this default project to you desktop  
>> (do  
>> not just use it as a shortcut-the one in the C://Program Files/EMU/Paris  
>> is  
>> a backup in case the one on your desktop gets corrupted).  
>>  
>> Now close Paris and reboot your machine. You can now launch Paris from  
the  
>> default project on your desktop and it should sync reliably. don't worry  
>> about this being set to 48kHz. Just open one of your existing projects  
>> that  
>> is 44.1kHz and you should be good to go.  
>>

> > I've been doing this for a long time. I've got 3 x MEC, 3 x RME HDSP cards  
> > (96 x lightpipe I/O plus 6 x spdif I/O), a Lexicon PC90, a POD Pro, a  
> > Quantec Yardstick and a TC Electronics D2 all receiving sync from a Mytek  
> > WC  
> > distributed through two Lucid WC distro boxes and routed in and out of  
> > digital patchbays. None of this worked reliably until I set my Paris  
> > default  
> > project to 48kHz. Now Paris and all this other stuff locks up just fine  
> > but  
> > you these hoops are necessary to jump through if you want Paris to  
> > reliably  
> > sync to an external clock.  
> >  
> > Regards,  
> >  
> > Deej  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message  
> > news:443f022f\$1@linux...  
> >>  
> >> You'll probably read this and say "he's just not gettn' it" Buuuut....  
> > Here's  
> >> the wire scheme and hopfully explain. My master word clock has four BNC  
> > outs.  
> >> One cable going to #one MEC's input, Two cable going to #2 MEC's input  
> > Three  
> >> cable going to the digital console, and Four cable going to the eight  
> > ch.  
> >> mic pre. Sooo with both ins on the MECs being taken up, I can't really  
> >> run  
> >> a jumper from the out of MEC #1 to the in of MEC #3, because the in on  
> >> MEC  
> >> #3 is already taken up by the master word clock. I don't want to daisy  
> > chain  
> >> the MECs. It defeats the whole reason for having 4 ports on a master  
> > wordclock.  
> >> But thanks for the thought.  
> >>  
> >> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
> >> >What I was refering to was feeding the WC from the external generator  
> >> >to your master MEC, then connecting a short BNC cable from the master  
> >> >MEC to the slave MEC (assuming both MEC's are connected to EDS cards  
> >> >in ONE computer). The two MEC's will clock quite happily this way,  
> >> >and will be more stable (by my experience) than feeding them both  
> >> >directly from the WC gen. If you had 3+ MEC's, you would have to

> >> >connect WC directly to each unit and place the "Use house sync" line  
> >> >into the Paris config file.  
> >> >  
> >> >David.  
> >> >  
> >> >Steve Cox wrote:  
> >> >> You can't. There is only one in and one out on each MEC. I need to  
> >> >> have  
> >> both  
> >> >> ins tied up with the master word clock or I will have pops n' snaps  
> > galore.  
> >> >> People hate hearing that in their headphones, and I really don't  
care  
> >> to  
> >> >> spend the time snipping them out. With all the digital equipment,  
they  
> >> all  
> >> >> have to be controled by one clock source. What you are suggesting  
> >> >> would  
> >> be  
> >> >> fine if I was ITB, but I am not. I have a motorized fader Digital  
> > console,  
> >> >> Eight ch. mic pres, and two MECs. The whole idea behind having a  
> >> >> master  
> >> word  
> >> >> clock is to stablize all your gear to 44.1 coming from one  
source.(my  
> >> choice)Right??  
> >> >> Steve not the art guy  
> >> >>  
> >> >> EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:  
> >> >>  
> >> >>>Seriously Steve... try looping from one MEC to the other.  
> >> >>>  
> >> >>>David.  
> >> >>>  
> >> >>>Steve Cox wrote:  
> >> >>>  
> >> >>>  
> >> >>>>The reason I have the master word clock is because of the DA7  
Digital  
> >> >>  
> >> >> 32 channel  
> >> >>  
> >> >>>>board, an 8 ch. mic pre, and 2 MECs. All locked in at 44.1  
> >> >>>>Steve  
> >> >>>>  
> >> >>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

> > >>>>  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>>huh??? have another beer mate! ;-)  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>>Well I'm assuming he's running the wordclock to both MEC's...?  
> > ...isn't  
> > >>>>>>that OK?  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>...or am I missing something?  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>...I mean I've never used Wordclock, so I'm only speaking from  
what  
> > I've  
> > >>>>>>read here, but after reading 500 posts on the subject I kinda  
> > >>>>>>thought  
> > >>  
> > >> I  
> > >>  
> > >>>>>had  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>  
> > >>>>>>the idea. ;o)  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>Cheers,  
> > >>>>>>Kim.  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>David.  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>Correct. If you're losing wordclock that will be fine.  
> > >>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>Cheers,  
> > >>>>>>>>Kim.  
> > >>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>>>Not sure if it matters, but I don't really need to bnc the 2  
mecs

> >> together  
> >> >>>>>>>do I? as I use a master word clock. The Aardsync. 4 word clock  
> > sends,  
> >> >>>>>  
> >> >>>>>I  
> >> >>>>>  
> >> >>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>have  
> >> >>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>everything set to 44.1 And I use a Ramsa DA7 motorized fader  
> > digital  
> >> >>>>>  
> >> >>>>>console  
> >> >>>>>  
> >> >>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>for input, also set for 44.1  
> >> >>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> >> >>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>Don't do as I say, do as I think! ;-)  
> >> >>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>David.  
> >> >>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>Kim wrote:  
> >> >>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_editout\_@shaw.ca> wrote:  
> >> >>>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>>>>one connected to Card A (default  
> >> >>>>>>>>>>>master) and as Tom mentioned, one MEC connected to the \*last  
> >> >>>>>>>>>>>EDS card\*  
> >> >>>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>>  
> >> >>>>>>>>>>>You should also note that "last EDS card" refers to  
"whichever  
> >> card  
> >> >>>>>  
> >> >>>>>has  
> >> >>>>>

> > >>>>  
> > >>>>>>>the  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>last designation". It does not refer to anything to do with  
> > their  
> > >>  
> > >> physical  
> > >>  
> > >>>>>>>>location in your machine. Which one is last in a given  
machine  
> > with  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>a  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>>>>given  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>OS is almost impossible to predict. You will have to  
experiment  
> > to  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>work  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>>>>it  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>out.  
> > >>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>But the interfaces need to be on card A and card C in your  
> > >>>>>>>>>case,  
> > >>  
> > >> as  
> > >>  
> > >>>>>decided  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>by the Paris software.  
> > >>>>>>>>>  
> > >>>>>>>>>Cheers,  
> > >>>>>>>>>Kim.  
> > >>>>>>>>  
> > >>



> >>  
> >  
> >  
>  
>  
> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?  
> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>  
>  
>

---