
Subject: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Nappy](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 00:58:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Dubya Mark Wilson](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 03:56:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
Dubsie

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>
> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Nappy](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 04:34:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dubya,
I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant list.
It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me a Kidney,
but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match. This can't
happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant by
early 2007!

respect
Nappy

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>Dubsie
>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>
>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Dubya Mark Wilson](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 04:53:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today following an MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

W.

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...
>

> Dubya,
> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
> transplant
> list.
> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
> a Kidney,
> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
> This
> can't
> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
> transplant
> by
> early 2007!
>
> respect
> Nappy
>

> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>Dubsie

>>

>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

>

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this

Posted by [DC](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 05:12:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Nappy,

So good to hear from you. Best wishes on that transplant.

Are you still in SD?

DC

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Dubya,
>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>list.
>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>a Kidney,
>but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match. This
>can't
>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
>by
>early 2007!
>
>respect
>Nappy
>
>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>Dubsie
>>
>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this

Posted by [DC](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 05:50:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey, I am sorry to hear that.

I hope they can treat that successfully.

Best to you both

DC

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today

>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our

>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna

>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally

>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we

>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>

>W.

>

>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...

>>

>> Dubya,

>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney

>> transplant

>> list.

>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
me

>> a Kidney,

>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>> This

>> can't

>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a

>> transplant

>> by

>> early 2007!

>>

>> respect

>> Nappy

>>

>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>>Dubsie

>>>

>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 06:03:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today

>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our

>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna

>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally

>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we

>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>

>W.

W-

my mom lived for a good 45 years after having most of her thyroid glands
removed. Hers wasn't cancer, but something related.

It's common for folk to live on replacement thyroid hormone of one sort or
another.

Don't worry. Hopefully they can take it out and it hasn't metastasized.

Good thing they found it.

>

>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message [news:44e694e9\\$1@linux...](news:44e694e9$1@linux...)

>>

>> Dubya,
>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
>> transplant
>> list.
>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
me
>> a Kidney,
>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>> This
>> can't
>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>> transplant
>> by
>> early 2007!
>>
>> respect

Nappy! That's great!

-steve

>> Nappy
>>
>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>Dubsie
>>>
>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 06:20:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mark - I'll be praying for your wife and you - let us know what the news is.

Nappy - same for you - hope you get a kidney soon!!

Regards,
Dedric

On 8/18/06 10:53 PM, in article 44e6a2b4@linux, "Dubya Mark Wilson"
<mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>

> W.

>

> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...

>>

>> Dubya,

>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney

>> transplant

>> list.

>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me

>> a Kidney,

>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>> This

>> can't

>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a

>> transplant

>> by

>> early 2007!

>>

>> respect

>> Nappy

>>

>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>> NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>> Dubsie

>>>

>>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 06:22:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes...my wife had her Thyroid gland killed off about 20 years ago...takes
aroxin now to stabilize the stuff the gland is supposed to produce
no big deal really....best of luck and wishes to your wife.--
Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message
news:44e6a99b@linux...

>

> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today

>

>>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our

>

>>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna

>

>>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally

>

>>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we

>

>>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>>

>>W.

>

> w-

>

> my mom lived for a good 45 years after having most of her thyroid glands
> removed. Hers wasn't cancer, but something related.

>

> It's common for folk to live on replacement thyroid hormone of one sort or
> another.

>

> Don't worry. Hopefully they can take it out and it hasn't metastasized.

>

> Good thing they found it.

>

>

>

>>

>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Dubya,
>>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
>>> transplant
>>> list.
>>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
> me
>>> a Kidney,
>>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
>
>>> This
>>> can't
>>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>>> transplant
>>> by
>>> early 2007!
>>>
>>> respect
>
> Nappy! That's great!
>
> -steve
>
>
>>> Nappy
>>>
>>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>>Dubsie
>>>>
>>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 06:54:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>
> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

Nappy-

first of all, it's great to see you again!

second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
Then some months later I read this:

http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html

Check it out!

-steve

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:28:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i'm betting she'll be fine

On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 21:53:28 -0700, "Dubya Mark Wilson"
<mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.
>
>W.
>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message [news:44e694e9\\$1@linux...](news:44e694e9$1@linux...)
>>
>> Dubya,
>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
>> transplant
>> list.
>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>> a Kidney,
>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
>> This
>> can't

>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>> transplant
>> by
>> early 2007!
>>
>> respect
>> Nappy
>>
>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>Dubsie
>>>
>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:29:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

2007...just around the corner. keep takin' care.

On 19 Aug 2006 14:34:49 +1000, "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Dubya,
>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>list.
>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>a Kidney,
>but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match. This
>can't
>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
>by
>early 2007!
>
>respect
>Nappy
>
>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>Dubsie

>>
>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:58:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Nappy. We miss you and wish only the best for you. Glad to see you here
!
John

"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote:
>
>Hey Nappy,
>
>So good to hear from you. Best wishes on that transplant.
>Are you still in SD?
>
>DC
>
>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>Dubya,
>>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>>list.
>>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>>a Kidney,
>>but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
This
>>can't
>>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
>>by
>>early 2007!
>>
>>respect
>>Nappy
>>
>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>Dubsie
>>>

>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 14:06:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Unbelievable!!!! Wow..!!!

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Nappy](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:16:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Don,
I'm still in San Diego.

respect
Nappy

"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote:
>
>Hey Nappy,
>
>So good to hear from you. Best wishes on that transplant.
>Are you still in SD?
>
>DC
>
>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>Dubya,
>>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>>list.

>>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>>a Kidney,
>>but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
This
>>can't
>>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
>>by
>>early 2007!
>>
>>respect
>>Nappy
>>
>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>Dubsie
>>>
>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_aq=loose+change
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Nappy](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:18:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks John,
I hope all is well with
you.

respect
Nappy
"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>
>Hey Nappy. We miss you and wish only the best for you. Glad to see you
here
>!
>John
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote:
>>
>>Hey Nappy,
>>
>>So good to hear from you. Best wishes on that transplant.

>>Are you still in SD?
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Dubya,
>>>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>>>list.
>>>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
me
>>>a Kidney,
>>>but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
>This
>>>can't
>>>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
>>>by
>>>early 2007!
>>>
>>>respect
>>>Nappy
>>>
>>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>>Dubsie
>>>>
>>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Nappy](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:30:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mark,
I hope all goes well,I know how much your wife means to you.
Hold on!

respect
Nappy

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today

>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our

>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna

>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally

>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we

>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>

>W.

>

>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...

>>

>> Dubya,

>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney

>> transplant

>> list.

>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
me

>> a Kidney,

>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>> This

>> can't

>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a

>> transplant

>> by

>> early 2007!

>>

>> respect

>> Nappy

>>

>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>>Dubsie

>>>

>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change

>>

>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Nappy](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:36:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Ricks,

respect
Nappy

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>2007...just around the corner. keep takin' care.

>

>On 19 Aug 2006 14:34:49 +1000, "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>

>>

>>Dubya,

>>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant

>>list.

>>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me

>>a Kidney,

>>but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

This

>>can't

>>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant

>>by

>>early 2007!

>>

>>respect

>>Nappy

>>

>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>>Dubsie

>>>

>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change

>>

>>>

>>>

>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 23:12:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

I'm not a conspiracist, but I've never believed the US government's stories on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with some really power hungry, sadistic, neo-conservatives in the white house and his fellow neo cons.

They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the pits!!!!.. It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They are as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment hearings should start immediatly.!

And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil liberties being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the right to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of Homeland security, which is as weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the sham of making us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Government???

These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why?? At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Government.aka Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the good of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful, and distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away "free-speech, and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..

I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we are under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronies.. Lastly, most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first ask the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That showed every American how our Government would respond if we were attacked..???

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:

>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>
>
>Nappy-
>

>first of all, it's great to see you again!
>
>second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>Then some months later I read this:
>
>http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>
>Check it out!
>
>-steve

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:02:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why??
At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Goverment.aka
Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the good
of this union.

.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing party
stand for LaMont?

"LaMOnt" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>
> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut
> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>
> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US govermnet's stories
> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
neo-conservatives
> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>
> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
pits!!!..
> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They are
> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment hearings
> should start immediatly.!
>
> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil liberties
> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
right
> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
Homeland
> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the shamof
making
> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Goverment???

>
> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why??
> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Government.aka
> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the good
> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful, and
> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
"free-speech,
> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>
> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we are
> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie.. Lastly,
> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
ask
> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That showed
> every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???
>
>
>
> "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
> >
> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
> >>
>
>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change
> >
> >Nappy-
> >
> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
> >
> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
> >Then some months later I read this:
> >
> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
> >
> >Check it out!
> >
> >-steve
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:59:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional rights
and it's Liberties..

Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers, who's

only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would hope any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this tierany. There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(ites).. But as we saw with the begining of the mid term primaries, those Democrats who were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.

Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war is second nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over there(Iran, Iraq,Saudi Aurabia, Seria, afganistan,) will Never stop fighting or give in to so called democracy..Never.
Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
I thoguht so..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why??

>At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Goverment.aka
>Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the good

>of this union.

>

>.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing party
>stand for LaMont?

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9\$1@linux...

>>

>> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut
>> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

>>

>> I'm not a conpriracist, but I've never believed the US govermnet's stories
>> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
>neo-conservatives

>> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.

>>

>> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
>pits!!!..

>> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They
are

>> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment hearings
>> should start immediatly.!

>>

>> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil liberties
>> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
>right

>> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
>Homeland

>> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the shamof
>making

>> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Goverment???

>>

>> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why??
>> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Government.aka
>> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
good
>> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful,
and
>> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
>"free-speech,
>> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>>
>> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we
are
>> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnies.. Lastly,
>> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
>ask
>> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That showed
>> every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???
>>
>>
>>
>> "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >>
>>
>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>> >
>> >Nappy-
>> >
>> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
>> >
>> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>> >Then some months later I read this:
>> >
>> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>> >
>> >Check it out!
>> >
>> >-steve
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 07:00:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut
>the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

LaMont-

I think it does a very good job of debunking some points which are kinda shakey, but more importantly, highlighting several points which the writer, Jim Hoffman, feels are right on the money. His take on it is that the case for something fishy going on is much stronger if one concentrates on the strongest points.

-steve

>

>I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US govermnet's stories
>on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
neo-conservatives

>in the white house and his fellow neo cons.

>

>They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the pits!!!!..

Just in case you'd like a little more evidence that your belief is correct,
take 55 minutes and check this one out.

<http://video.google.com/videosearch?q=conspiracy+of+silence>

It's one of most disturbing thing I've ever seen.

-steve

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 07:15:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. I don't have any formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly accurate.

It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purt'near used up so I don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem at

all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called on to fight, I would support them 100%.

If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight. Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them than those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left to do their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved we are the better they like it.

Here's what you're in for amigo:

<http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26

The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful. Enjoy.

Regards,

DJ

"LaMOnT" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f\$1@linux...

>

> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional rights

> and it's Liberties..

>

> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers, who's

> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out the world (ha hah). I would hope

> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this tierany.

> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(ites).. But

> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those Democrats who

> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.

>

> Evenmore. The thought of spreading democracy into a region where war is second

> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over there(

> Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Serbia, Afghanistan,) will Never stop fighting or

> give in to so called democracy..Never.

> Will you send your son or daughter over to fight??

> I thought so..

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the

terrorist..Why??

> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
Government.aka

> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
good

> >of this union.

> >

> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
party

> >stand for LaMont?

> >

> >"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to
debut

> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

> >>

> >> I'm not a conspiracist, but I've never believed the US government's
stories

> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,

> >> neo-conservatives

> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.

> >>

> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the

> >>pits!!!..

> >> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They

> are

> >> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment

hearings

> >> should start immediatly.!

> >>

> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
liberties

> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the

> >>right

> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of

> >>Homeland

> >> security, which is as weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the sham of

> >>making

> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Government???

> >>

> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
terrorist..Why??

> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
Government.aka

> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
> good

> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful,

> and
> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
> >"free-speech,
> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
> >>
> >> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we
> are
> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnies..
Lastly,
> >> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
> >ask
> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
showed
> >> every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >>
>
>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+chang
e
> >> >
> >> >Nappy-
> >> >
> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
> >> >
> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
> >> >Then some months later I read this:
> >> >
> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
> >> >
> >> >Check it out!
> >> >
> >> >-steve
> >>
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 07:19:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They did little to debunking, but rather just disagreed..How is that debunking. Anybody can just say "Well, I do'nt belive you". Or say,"that's not true"..

That was bogus (typical CIA)dis-crediting tactics at best..

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut
>>the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

>

>LaMont-

>

>I think it does a very good job of debunking some points which are kinda
>shakey, but more importantly, highlighting several points which the writer,
>Jim Hoffman, feels are right on the money. His take on it is that the case
>for something fishy going on is much stronger if one concentrates on the
>strongest points.

>

>-steve

>

>

>>

>>I'm not a conpriracist, but I've never believed the US governmet's stories
>>on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
>neo-conservatives

>>in the white house and his fellow neo cons.

>>

>>They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the pits!!!!..

>

>

>Just in case you'd like a little more evidence that your belief is correct,
>take 55 minutes and check this one out.

>

><http://video.google.com/videosearch?q=conspiracy+of+silence>

>

>It's one of most disturbing thing I've ever seen.

>

>-steve

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 16:12:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight. Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime change here."

The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka the present rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our liberties, freedom under the guise of democracy.

It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe i this regime, are still drinking the kool-iad that Tony Snow spills. It's soo un-beliveable what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become laughable. Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States fear rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.

The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That says that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppoort him or that "sham" Iraq war.

What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for this sham of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never take up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what poor folks are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush for weasling out the vietam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm if I'd send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.

LAD

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and
>hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other
>than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
>with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't have
any
>formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly
>accurate.
>It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used up so
I
>don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
>combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem
at
>all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called
>on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>
>If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight.
>Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime

>change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them than
>those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left to
do
>their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved we
>are the better they like it.
>
>Here's what you're in for amigo:
> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=5426>
>
>The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
>Enjoy.
>
>
>Regards,
>
>DJ
>
>
>
>"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
>>
>> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
>rights
>> and it's Liberties..
>>
>> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
>who's
>> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would hope
>> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
>tierney.
>> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is).. But
>> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those Democrats
who
>> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>>
>> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war is
>second
>> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over there(
>> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop fighting
or
>> give in to so called democracy..Never.
>> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>> I thought so..
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>terrorist..Why??
>> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us

>Goverment.aka
 >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
 >good
 >> >of this union.
 >> >
 >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
 >party
 >> >stand for Lamont?
 >> >
 >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
 >> >>
 >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to
 >debut
 >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
 >> >>
 >> >> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government
 >stories
 >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
 >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
 >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
 >> >>
 >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
 >> >pits!!!..
 >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons.
 They
 >> are
 >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
 >hearings
 >> >> should start immediately.!
 >> >>
 >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
 >liberties
 >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
 >> >right
 >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
 >> >Homeland
 >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the sham
 of
 >> >making
 >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Government???
 >> >>
 >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
 >terrorist..Why??
 >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
 >Goverment.aka
 >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
 >> good
 >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic, fearful,

>> and
>> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
>> >"free-speech,
>> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>> >>
>> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But,
we
>> are
>> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnies..
>Lastly,
>> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
>> >ask
>> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
>showed
>> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were attacked..???
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >>
>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_amp;q=loose+change
>e
>> >> >
>> >> >Nappy-
>> >> >
>> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
>> >> >
>> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>> >> >Then some months later I read this:
>> >> >
>> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>> >> >
>> >> >Check it out!
>> >> >
>> >> >-Steve
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 16:25:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"I have actually thought long and hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. "

DJ, Ae you kidding!! Friend, The Dom himself(Rumsfeld) does not want to be there!! Rice, Bush, Cheney, all of the Generals have pretty much "abandoned Ship" or have you not heard??

It's a mess!! We have egg all over our faces in the middle east. We have "LOST!!!" the both the political and the tactical" war in Iraq and the middle east..

Then, to add insult to injury, we(with Isreal)fight a 30plus day war to save face and beat the hezbollahs..Well, again, we lost the political battle and the Hezbollahs did not fall. Then, there's the on-going Afganistan battle where the Taliban is holding it's ground..

So, my "sharp" shooting friend, what good are your skills, if you incounter a road-side care bomb??

Nothing. ///Stay home, and record. Leave the these propoganda wars to the war-lords..No need in good patriots like yourself to be wasted like our kids over in the middle east for such a lousy reason.

"DJ" <[animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net](#)> wrote:

>I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and
>hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other
>than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
>with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. I don't have
any

>formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly
>accurate.

>It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'put'near used up so
I

>don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
>combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem
at

>all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called
>on to fight, I would support them 100%.

>

>If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight.

>Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime

>change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them than

>those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left to do
>their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved we
>are the better they like it.
>
>Here's what you're in for amigo:
> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
>
>The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
>Enjoy.
>
>
>Regards,
>
>DJ
>
>
>
>"LaMOnt" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
>>
>> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
>rights
>> and it's Liberties..
>>
>> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
>who's
>> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would hope
>> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
>tierany.
>> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(ites).. But
>> as we saw with the begining of the mid term primaries, those Democrats
who
>> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>>
>> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war is
>second
>> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over there(
>> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Aurabia, Seria, afganistan,) will Never stop fighting
or
>> give in to so called democracy..Never.
>> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>> I thoguht so..
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>terrorist..Why??
>> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>Goverment.aka

>> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
>good
>> >of this union.
>> >
>> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
>party
>> >stand for LaMont?
>> >
>> >"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to
>debut
>> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>> >>
>> >> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US governmnet's
>stories
>> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
>> >neo-conservatives
>> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>> >>
>> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
>> >pits!!!..
>> >> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They
>> are
>> >> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>hearings
>> >> should start immediatly.!
>> >>
>> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>liberties
>> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
>> >right
>> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
>> >Homeland
>> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the shamof
>> >making
>> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Goverment???
>> >>
>> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>terrorist..Why??
>> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>Goverment.aka
>> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
>> good
>> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful,
>> and
>> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
>> >"free-speech,

>> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>> >>
>> >> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But,
we
>> are
>> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnies..
>Lastly,
>> >> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
>> >ask
>> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
>showed
>> >> every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >>
>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+chang
>e
>> >> >
>> >> >Nappy-
>> >> >
>> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
>> >> >
>> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>> >> >Then some months later I read this:
>> >> >
>> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>> >> >
>> >> >Check it out!
>> >> >
>> >> >-steve
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 16:42:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm with DJ on this one Lamont. If we even consider saying we would rather support the terrorists, they've already won. The only step remaining is to invite them in to take over - they don't really want your support, or to see you hate Bush - they just want us gone, done, out of sight, out of mind.

Don't expect the Democratic party to win any friends in the Middle East. Trying to please everyone to keep from offending anyone never works (the sad state of the Dem platform) - you just end up without a platform to stand on and nothing to show for your efforts. The terrorists won't stop until the US is destroyed. At this point it doesn't matter whether we are Republican, Democrat, Independent, or whatever - if we fight and fear our own country and each other more than the terrorists that not only threaten our country, but many others, we will be overtaken, either politically, militarily, or economically.

Be careful what you wish for...

Despite the bizarre happenings of the last several years (including Clinton's era, 9/11, and the Iraq war), I believe in my gut that we've been protected from something that would make 9/11 look like a fender bender in a Walmart parking lot - or maybe it's been postponed. Who's doing the protecting is a matter of belief, and it isn't justification on my part in any way - it's a serious gut feeling I've had for a long time, and I've only had a few like this in my lifetime - all were right, but feel free to take it with a grain of salt. Considering that we seem to be rebelling against the very notion that our country is in danger from a threat we really would rather not recognize because we might offend someone (viewing Islamic extremists with almost apology and sympathy), rather than actually being concerned about what this growing terrorist movement means, our future as a country looks rather grim.

I think it is important to be aware that al Quaeda's motivation seems to have grown far beyond their organization (the UK/plane hijacking plot just foiled was only loosely tied to al Quaeda). At this point, taking out al Quaeda would hardly make a dent in the threat.

Just my .02,
Dedric

On 8/20/06 1:15 AM, in article 44e80c10@linux, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and
> hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other
> than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
> with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. I don't have any
> formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly
> accurate.

> It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'put'near used up so I
> don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
> combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem at
> all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called
> on to fight, I would support them 100%.

>

> If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight.
> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
> change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them than
> those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left to do
> their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved we
> are the better they like it.

>

> Here's what you're in for amigo:
> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26

>

> The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
> Enjoy.

>

>

> Regards,

>

> DJ

>

>

>

> "LaMOnt" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
>>

>> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
> rights

>> and it's Liberties..

>>

>> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
> who's

>> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out the world (ha hah). I would hope
>> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
> tierany.

>> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(ites).. But
>> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those Democrats who
>> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.

>>

>> Evenmore. The thought of spreading democracy into a region where war is
> second

>> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those folk over there(
>> Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Serbia, Afghanistan,) will Never stop fighting or
>> give in to so called democracy..Never.

>> Will you send your son or daughter over to fight??

>> I thought so..

>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> terrorist..Why??
>>> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> Government.aka
>>> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
> good
>>> of this union.
>>>
>>>and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
> party
>>> stand for LaMont?
>>>
>>> "LaMONT" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to
> debut
>>>> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>>>>
>>>> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US governmet's
> stories
>>>> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
>>> neo-conservatives
>>>> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>>>>
>>>> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
>>> pits!!!..
>>>> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They
>> are
>>>> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
> hearings
>>>> should start immediatly.!
>>>>
>>>> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
> liberties
>>>> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
>>> right
>>>> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
>>> Homeland
>>>> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the shamof
>>> making
>>>> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Government???
>>>>
>>>> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> terrorist..Why??
>>>> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> Government.aka

>>>> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
>> good
>>>> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful,
>> and
>>>> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
>>> "free-speech,
>>>> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>>>>
>>>> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we
>> are
>>>> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnies..
> Lastly,
>>>> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
>>> ask
>>>> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
> showed
>>>> every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+chang>
> e
>>>>>
>>>>> Nappy-
>>>>>
>>>>> first of all, it's great to see you again!
>>>>>
>>>>> second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>>>>> Then some months later I read this:
>>>>>
>>>>> http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>>>>>
>>>>> Check it out!
>>>>>
>>>>> -steve
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 18:33:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LaMont (sigh.....)

I've got a few thoughts below:

"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...

>

> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight.

> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime change

> here."

>

> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka the present

> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our liberties,freedom

> under the guise of democracy.

>

Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing it completely?

> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe i this regime, are still drinking the kool-iad that Tony Snow spills. It's soo un-beliveable

> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become laughable.

> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States fear rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.

Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he thought he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach for war and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls. Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one of the few things I like about him.

>

> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That says that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppport him or that

"sham"

> Iraq war.

The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still going strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.

>

> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for this sham
> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never take
> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what poor
folks
> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush for
weasling
> out the vietam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm if I'd
> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.
> LAD

Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good in the world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know it. Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war that has caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay for it. Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect slick Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war, sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition. The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there are some incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. There were still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters who would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia were controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep us up to speed on a rapidly changing world.

Now what would a *real*leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and create a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect this country?

Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting a new policy at the CIA that severly limited the interaction of the CIA with anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration due to their human rights history. That pretty much takes 90% of the folks in the middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism and espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and good in a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the time he left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places we needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts off about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while turning a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them oil under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man, the polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind of unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are now. This is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right thing and doesn't live by the polls.

Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered him up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia after 18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin Laden came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along with Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation between domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered them completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly by an idiot like Clinton.

The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama used for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the same intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize war on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no WMD, even though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the early 1990's.

The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my mind that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend to power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot reconcile the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by the crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..

Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is, is evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that sanctions were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone think that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be, since there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like Iran? If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed major pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would be a great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran going nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with great haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would not have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It wouldn't look good in the polls.

Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these facists, they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while totally ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton administration. (and don't call me a ****'in neo-con....I voted for him too)

To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recognized that the entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to balance the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security in many

ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet another genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions in Iraq.

Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle in Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can we? The polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill abrogated his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by not calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist and *unhumanitarian* act since the Holocaust by ignoring Rwanda completely and then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure of leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..

Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con, be advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control and think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality that threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global warming is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going to be too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights, hate war, believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe in government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but I think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least believe in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various sects of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights as well as the minority. I think that given this situation it would be better to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue and understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort to point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going to go away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt. I think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to diffuse the arguments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I firmly believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough, they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary when you look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of Revelations and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right and wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .

I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid to the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are brought

to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the weaknesses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to fester and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the leftist/liberal agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you pay much attention to the real agenda.

There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's convenient for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically, to take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then it's not part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares me about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for freedom of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make right now and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to debate, rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly. the freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous and can bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will not take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.

When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it makes me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure to confront it can bring about a world war.

I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the real issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down in a place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:

PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:

THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.

ADULTERY: Death by stoning.

UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.

CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.

SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.

LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.

HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.

RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion, death.

I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luzxxury of debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this country can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage an administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.

Regards,

DJ

>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and
> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other
> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't have
> any
> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly
> >accurate.
> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used up so
> I
> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem
> at
> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called
> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
> >
> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight.
> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them than
> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left to
> do
> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved we
> >are the better they like it.
> >
> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
> >

> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
> >Enjoy.
> >
> >
> >Regards,
> >
> >DJ
> >
> >
> >
> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
> >rights
> >> and it's Liberties..
> >>
> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
> >who's
> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would
hope
> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
> >tierney.
> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is).. But
> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those Democrats
> who
> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
> >>
> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war is
> >second
> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over
there(
> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop fighting
> or
> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
> >> I thought so..
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> >terrorist..Why??
> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> >Goverment.aka
> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
> >good
> >> >of this union.
> >> >
> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
> >party

> >> >stand for Lamont?
> >> >
> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to
> >debut
> >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
> >> >>
> >> >> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government
> >stories
> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
sadistic,
> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
> >> >>
> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
> >> >pits!!!..
> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons.
> >They
> >> are
> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
> >hearings
> >> >> should start immediately.!
> >> >>
> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
> >liberties
> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police
the
> >> >right
> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
> >> >Homeland
> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the sham
> >of
> >> >making
> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
Government???
> >> >>
> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> >terrorist..Why??
> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> >Goverment.aka
> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
the
> >> good
> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic, fearful,
> >> and
> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away

> >> >"free-speech,
> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!' ..
> >> >>
> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But,
> we
> >> are
> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie..
> >Lastly,
> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's
first
> >> >ask
> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
> >showed
> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
attacked..???
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >>
> >>
>
>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+chan>
g
> >e
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Nappy-
> >> >> >
> >> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
> >> >> >Then some months later I read this:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Check it out!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >-Steve
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 18:55:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and
>hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other
>than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
>with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. I don't have
any
>formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly
>accurate.
>It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purt'near used up so
I
>don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
>combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem
at
>all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called
>on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>

DJ-

Next time you get that feeling, read this:

http://www.amconmag.com/2006/2006_07_03/article.html

-steve

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:01:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@american.com> wrote:

>
>They did little to debunking, but rather just disagreed..How is that debunking.
>Anybody can just say "Well, I don't believe you". Or say,"that's not true"..
>
>That was bogus (typical CIA)dis-crediting tactics at best..
>
>

LaMont--

When things are pointed out that are either factually wrong or misinterpreted,
I call that debunking.

The most important parts of that page were the points where both authors agreed, reinforcing the conclusions.

It's easy to get lost in the details, and squabble over them, thereby clouding the overall picture. Discrediting the discovery of a forest by arguing over the identity of particular trees, so to speak.

And capitalizing on that is, indeed, a favorite CIA-style technique.

-steve (who actually agrees with you, mostly, dammit....!)

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:16:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>

>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That says

>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that

> "sham"

>> Iraq war.

>

> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still going

> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.

And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over - the Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we are now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with what has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest number of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the hands of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and thugs (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I recently talked with).

Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the American public, not military leaders.

It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism, or hot

coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark compromise every single day.

I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president in the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass Go or collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning me into a pessimist. ;-)

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 20:28:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the American public, not military leaders.<

This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the ballot box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole damned country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...

> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"

> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>

>

> >>

> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That says

> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that

> > "sham"

> >> Iraq war.

> >

> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still going

> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.

>

> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over - the

> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we are

> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with what

> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest number

> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency

> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the hands
> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and thugs
> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I
> recently talked with).
>
> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
teaming
> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
> American public, not military leaders.
>
> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism, or
hot
> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
> compromise every single day.
>
> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
in
> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass Go
or
> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning me
> into a pessimist. ;-)
>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:33:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e88d0b\$1@linux...
>
> "I have actually thought long and hard about going over there myself. If
there
> was something I could do other than get in the way. and I didn't have a
family
> to support, I might check
> with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. "
>
> DJ, Ae you kidding!! Friend, The Dom himself(Rumsfeld) does not want to be
> there!! Rice, Bush, Cheney, all of the Generals have pretty much
"abandoned
> Ship" or have you not heard??
>

> It's a mess!! We have egg all over our faces in the middle east. We have
 > "LOST!!!" the both the political and the tactical" war in Iraq and the
 middle
 > east..
 >
 > Then, to add insult to injury, we(with Isreal)fight a 30plus day war to
 save
 > face and beat the hezbollahs..Well, again, we lost the political battle
 and
 > the Hezbollahs did not fall. Then, there's the on-going Afganistan
 battle
 > where the Taliban is holding it's ground..
 > So, my "sharp" shooting friend, what good are your skills, if you
 incounter
 > a road-side care bomb??
 > Nothing. ///Stay home, and record. Leave the these propoganda wars to the
 > war-lords..No need in good patriots like yourself to be wasted like our
 kids
 > over in the middle east for such a lousy reason.
 >

I've been blogging this subject fopr a while. The following thoughts aren't
 all originally mine any more than composing music can be all original
 anymore, but I do agree with the general direction of them: so as for the
 terrorists and why do we need to stand up to them.....

Why did Hitler want to kill people? It is all about power. Osama wants
 power. He has money. Plenty of money.Personally, I think Bin Ladin is as
 much a punk and a thug as progressives think Bush is and as conseervatives
 think Kennedy is. He needs an enemy.Islamofascists are chiefly targeting
 the USA because after the cold war was over Russia was no longer a target
 for angry young Muslim men led by the Bin Ladens of the world. Basically Bin
 Laden and those like him with small armies needed an enemy. Bin Laden was
 particularly miffed because the Saudi Arabian family did not meet and greet
 him as the celebrity he was becoming through his posturing in Afghanistan.
 He was humiliated when the Saud family told him to take a hike - essentially
 or actually stripping him of citizenship. Instead Saud turned to the US for
 protection as it always had. Bin Laden made it a religious thing -"US
 Infidels on Arab land." But actually it was Bin Laden - whose kids played
 Nintendo at the time, and who is into genetic engineering - saying 'FU' to
 the Saud family. He's a frustrated neo-con, if anything and once the liberal
 left in this country weakens it to the point that they hand it up to him on
 a silver platter, he can turn around and thank them by slaughtering them in
 droves.....unless they convert, of course.

The left in this country seems more interested with rationalizing this
 behavior than condemning it. If there is a reason for suiciding bombing,
 then when that reason goes away, another will arise.If we change policies to
 avoid terrorism, it is a slippery slope. For instance, let's say Israel

says - "we do not want terrorism" so here is the piece of land. Then the next time there is a water dispute or some other dispute, then people will know that terrorism is the answer. It is interesting how many are so concerned (which they should be) about slippery slopes with the 1st amendment, but do not understand slippery slopes about caving in to terrorists.

Does anyone really think that the Islamic terrorists would all of a sudden become peaceful if only #1 Israel was blown off the map, and #2 foreign troops were removed from Islamic lands? What a joke. The Muslims have been fighting forever and they are not going to stop any time soon. Of course, they use their fighting to get their short term objectives, but they aren't going to stop fighting until the whole world is under Islamic law. They have stated this publicly. They back up their threats with actions. If you choose to disbelieve them you are either an idiot or insane.

If we pulled out of SA during the 90s, and never sent any troops to Iraq or other middle eastern country, I agree that it is possible that 911 might have happened to some other country rather than the USA. For example, instead of killing 3 thousand Americans in the WTC, they might have killed 3 million jews in Israel (with America being next in line). There is no doubt that appeasement of evil saves lives and \$\$ in the short term. Look at all the lives that we saved by not entering WWII at the same time as England. However, history has proven that in the long term, appeasement is disastrous in the long term. This is not the kind of world I want to live in.

We are very fortunate that our leaders aren't as short sighted as the leftist journalists and politicians. The least costly way to deal with evil is to kick its ass before it has a chance to grow too powerful (ie. get nukes).....but yeah.....I'm sure some of my leftist leaning colleagues here are going to try to reverse this to put the black hat on the US. Just remember it if your appeasement policy succeeds and these guys show up and don't need you to do their dirty work for them any more.

Regards,

DJ

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 22:14:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Steve,

This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen situations like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind of thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German soldier

stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans. This was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command and control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described in this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about so this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to improve this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and leave?

Regards,

DJ

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message news:44e8b03f\$1@linux...

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and

> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other

> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check

> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. I don't have

> any

> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly

> >accurate.

> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purt'near used up so

> I

> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield

> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem

> at

> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called

> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.

> >

>

>

> DJ-

>

> Next time you get that feeling, read this:

>

> http://www.amconmag.com/2006/2006_07_03/article.html

>

> -steve

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 23:43:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Steve,

>

>This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen situations

>like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind
>of

>thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German soldier

>stationed with a bunch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans. This

>was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black

>American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command and

>control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described in

>this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about

>so

>this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for

>years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to improve

>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and

>leave?

>

>Regards,

>

>DJ

>

>

Simple answer:

Perhaps.

All depends on what you want. What was the question?

-steve

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 23:56:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Are the Democrats going to improve

>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and

>leave?

Steve,

I think all three questions are equally important.

Regards,

DJ

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message
news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >Steve,

> >

> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen
situations

> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind

> >of

> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German
soldier

> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans. This

> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black

> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command
and

> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described in

> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about

> >so

> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for

> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to
improve

> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and

> >leave?

> >

> >Regards,

> >

> >DJ

> >

> >

>

> Simple answer:

>

> Perhaps.

>

> All depends on what you want. What was the question?

>

> -steve

>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [emarenot](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 00:15:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Nappy. Always good to see your name on the NG. Hang in there dude.

W, my dad had half his thyroid removed. The doc told him "no golf for the rest of the summer." He was out on the links in three weeks. My friend's aunt just had her entire thyroid removed. As it was causing some pain in her shoulder, she's feeling great --and for unknown reasons (she believes it's due to the lose of the thyroid) she reports feeling much more energetic. Nevertheless, having gone through the problem with my father, I know its hard to feel some sense of relief until its over. Take care.
MR

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote in message news:44e6a2b4@linux...

> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>
> W.

>
> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...

> >
> > Dubya,
> > I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
> > transplant
> > list.
> > It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
> > a Kidney,
> > but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
> > This
> > can't
> > happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
> > transplant
> > by
> > early 2007!
> >

> > respect
> > Nappy
> >
> > "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
> >>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
> >>Dubsie
> >>
> >>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
> >>>
> >>>
http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
> >
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 03:16:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No. No. Probably about the only option.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>Are the Democrats going to improve
>>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and
>>leave?
>
>Steve,
>
>I think all three questions are equally important.
>
>Regards,
>
>DJ
>
>
>"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message
>news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >Steve,
>> >
>> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen
>situations
>> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind

>> of
>> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German
>soldier
>> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans.
This
>> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black
>> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command
>and
>> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described
in
>> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about
>> so
>> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for
>> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to
>improve
>> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes
and
>> >leave?
>> >
>> >Regards,
>> >
>> >DJ
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Simple answer:
>>
>> Perhaps.
>>
>> All depends on what you want. What was the question?
>>
>> -steve
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 04:17:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.....and an ugly option to be sure.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:44e92578\$1@linux...
>
> No. No. Probably about the only option.
>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >Are the Democrats going to improve

> >>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and

> >>leave?

> >

> >Steve,

> >

> >I think all three questions are equally important.

> >

> >Regards,

> >

> >DJ

> >

> >

> >"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message

> >news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >> >Steve,

> >> >

> >> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen

> >situations

> >> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this

kind

> >> of

> >> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German

> >soldier

> >> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans.

> This

> >> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black

> >> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command

> >and

> >> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described

> in

> >> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking

about

> >> so

> >> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for

> >> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to

> >improve

> >> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes

> and

> >> >leave?

> >> >

> >> >Regards,

> >> >

> >> >DJ

> >> >

> > >
> >>
> >> Simple answer:
> >>
> >> Perhaps.
> >>
> >> All depends on what you want. What was the question?
> >>
> >> -steve
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 04:46:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox, then let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."

This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed the kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long time ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit Mid-term elections.

One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left or right. The bush(ites) have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending and spending with reckless abandonment.

Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton did. AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those poor working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)..!! Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...

No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative Democrats. In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have fought (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay. Some lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue Collar) Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our guns..

So, you play that Dems & Repubs game all you like. But, both whites and non whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is coming from the white house..

No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form some imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our children..than to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..

You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the kool-aid..

So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch Fox new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli war came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....

Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to get off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were losing that war, in was not new worthy.

Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???

No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!! He is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live and to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican or Democrat)..

See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith and trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and trust in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!

Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician.. are we that stupid ??

Next regime please..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming
>with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>American public, not military leaders.<

>

>This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the ballot
>box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole damned
>country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.

>

>

>

>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message

>news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>> >>
>> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
>says
>> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that
>> > "sham"
>> >> Iraq war.
>> >
>> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
>going
>> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>
>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over -
the
>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we
are
>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
what
>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest number
>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the hands
>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and thugs
>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I
>> recently talked with).
>>
>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>teaming
>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>> American public, not military leaders.
>>
>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
or
>hot
>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>> compromise every single day.
>>
>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
>in
>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
Go

>or
>> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
me
>> into a pessimist. ;-)
>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 05:28:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lamont, saying you would rather back terrorists (cold blooded killers) is about as far from Christian belief as I've ever heard. It is not the way we believe or talk as Christians, based completely on what the Bible teaches.

If you don't like Bush, that's fine, but stereotyping and slandering people while claiming you speak for the whole of Christianity in the same breath is wrong. No two ways about it my friend. No, you do not speak for Christianity as a faith. Democrat or Republican, your approach is simply political hyperbole. Please don't confuse your political views with faith.

On 8/20/06 10:46 PM, in article 44e93a92\$1@linux, "LaMont"
<jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot box, then
> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
>
> This is not about the left or the right, Dems & Reps. you have drank-ed the
> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long time
> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President. Call it
> Mid-term elections.
>
> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone
> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left
> or right. The Bush(ites) have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say
> "Boogymen"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes, Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal
> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending and
> spending with reckless abandon.
> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the fence as well as Clinton did.
> AND, he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those poor
> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)...!!
> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...

>

> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative Democrats.

> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan

> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have fought (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay. Some

> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue Collar)

> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our guns..

>

>

> So, you play that Dems & Repubs game all you like. But, both whites and non whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is coming from the white house..

> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form some imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our children..than

> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..

> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the kool-aid..

> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch Fox new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli war came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....

>

> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to get off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were losing that war, in was not new worthy.

>

> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???

> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!! He is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live and to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican or Democrat)..

>

> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith and trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and trust in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!

> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..

> are we that stupid ??

> Next regime please..

>

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming
>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>> American public, not military leaders.<
>>
>> This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the ballot
>> box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole damned
>> country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>> news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
>> says
>>>>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that
>>>> "sham"
>>>>> Iraq war.
>>>>
>>>> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
>> going
>>>> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>>
>>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over -
> the
>>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we
> are
>>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
> what
>>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest number
>>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
>>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the hands
>>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and thugs
>>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I
>>> recently talked with).
>>>
>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>> teaming
>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>>> American public, not military leaders.

>>>
>>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
>>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
> or
>> hot
>>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
>>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>>> compromise every single day.
>>>
>>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
>> in
>>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
> Go
>> or
>>> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
> me
>>> into a pessimist. ;-)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 05:46:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LaMont,

I certainly respect you faith, whatever that may be. I certainly disagree with your opinions though. I'll be as ready as anyone for a new administration, but it will need to be an administration that is willing to fight, not appease.....and the idea of the likes of Harry Reed, Pelosi, Kennedy, etc. having positions of greater power and influence bode ill for the future of this nation. I do agree with you about the border situation. that's the biggest clusterfuck that Bush has pulled, IMHO. the first thing we should have done after 911 was secure the borders, no matter what the cost politically and monetarily. I doubt, however, that anyone, democrat or republican will be willing to do this. Folks may be paranoid about NYC, but Houston is where the energy infrastructure lies and one nuke in or around the Houston ship channel and we're toast.

Regards,

DJ

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e93a92\$1@linux...

>

> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox, then

> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."

>

> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed the

> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long time

> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit

> Mid-term elections.

>

> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone

> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left

> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say

> "Boorders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal

> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending and

> spending with reckless abandonment.

> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton

did.

> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those poor

> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)...!!

> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...

>

> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative Democrats.

> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan

> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have fought

> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.

Some

> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue Collar)

> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our guns..

>

>

> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites and non

> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is coming

> from the white house..

> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form some

> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our children..than

> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..

> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the kool-aid..

> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then

> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch Fox

> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli war

> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....

>

> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to get

> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were

> losing that war, in was not new worthy.

>

> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse

> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???

> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!! He

> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live and

> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican

> or Democrat)..

>

> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith and

> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who

> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and trust

> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!

> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..

> are we that stupid ??

> Next regime please..

>

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current

> >administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and

> >stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming

> >with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the

> >American public, not military leaders.<

> >

> >This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the ballot

> >box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole damned

> >country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.

> >

> >

> >

> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message

> >news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...

> >> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"

> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >>

> >>

> >> >>

> >> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That

> >says

> >> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that

> >> > "sham"

> >> >> Iraq war.

> >> >

> >> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still

> >going

> >> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.

> >>

> >> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over -

> the

> >> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we

> are

> >> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with

> what

> >> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest number

> >> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency

> >> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the hands

> >> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and thugs

> >> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I

> >> recently talked with).

> >>

> >> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current

> >> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and

> >> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when

> >teaming

> >> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the

> >> American public, not military leaders.
> >>
> >> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
> >> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
> or
> >hot
> >> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually
understand
> >> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
> >> compromise every single day.
> >>
> >> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected
president
> >in
> >> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
> Go
> >or
> >> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
> me
> >> into a pessimist. ;-)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 07:05:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What I'm saying is (from a previous post) is that I feel that this administration
are killers. They killed our young soldiers for a stupid cause.
As to my I'll take the terrorist" statement..Well, Dedric ask an Iraqi citizen
about who they think are the terrorist???

As for that statement being unchristian. Well who said that the American
policy is the "Thee" authority of Christianity???
My views are not slanderer not in fear of speaking against a burgeoning tyranny.

I know full well I don't speak for the entire Christian population, only
Jesus does. But, faith in a Man, is stupid..

Yes, I feel that the Bush(ites) are evil. Evil lying politicians. So, if you
take that as being slanted, then so be it.

So, you and the other 33-36 percent can keep on fighting the war on terror
,and find your WMD's or what ever.
I'm not buying it.

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Lamont, saying you would rather back terrorists (cold blooded killers) is
>about as far from Christian belief as I've ever heard. It is not the way
we
>believe or talk as Christians, based completely on what the Bible teaches.

>
>If you don't like Bush, that's fine, but stereotyping and slandering people
>while claiming you speak for the whole of Christianity in the same breath
is

>wrong. No two ways about it my friend. No, you do not speak for
>Christianity as a faith. Democrat or Republican, your approach is simply
>political hyperbole. Please don't confuse your political views with faith.

>
>On 8/20/06 10:46 PM, in article 44e93a92\$1@linux, "LaMont"
><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
>>
>> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox,
then
>> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
>> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."

>>
>> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed
the
>> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long
time
>> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit
>> Mid-term elections.

>>
>> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone
>> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left
>> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say
>> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal
>> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending
and
>> spending with reckless abandonment.

>> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton
did.
>> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those
poor
>> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)..!!

>> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...

>>

>> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative

>> Democrats.

>> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan

>> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have fought

>> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.

Some

>> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue

>> Collar)

>> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our guns..

>>

>>

>> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites and non

>> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is coming

>> from the white house..

>> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form some

>> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our

>> children..than

>> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..

>> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the

>> kool-aid..

>> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then

>> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch Fox

>> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli war

>> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

>> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....

>>

>> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to get

>> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were

>> losing that war, in was not new worthy.

>>

>> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse

>> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???

>> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!

He

>> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live and

>> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican

>> or Democrat)..

>>
>> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith
and
>> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who
>> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and
trust
>> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
>> Even worst, putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
>> are we that stupid ??
>> Next regime please..
>>
>>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming
>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>>>> American public, not military leaders.<
>>>>
>>>> This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
ballot
>>>> box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
damned
>>>> country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>>>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
>>>> says
>>>>>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
that
>>>>>> "sham"
>>>>>> Iraq war.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
>>>> going
>>>>>> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
-

>> the
>>>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we
>> are
>>>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
>> what
>>>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
number
>>>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
>>>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
hands
>>>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
thugs
>>>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
I
>>>> recently talked with).
>>>>
>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
and
>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>>> teaming
>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>>>> American public, not military leaders.
>>>>
>>>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
>>>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
>> or
>>> hot
>>>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
>>>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>>>> compromise every single day.
>>>>
>>>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
>>> in
>>>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
>> Go
>>> or
>>>> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
>> me
>>>> into a pessimist. ;-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 07:14:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey DJ,
Do you think that just maybe the Bush(ites) don't want to close the borders?
I mean, hes' the great protector and all..Right?
Just maybe they have something more elaborate up their collective sleeves
Humm??

Maybe they want another 911..TO:

-Really convince the american people that "total globalization" and expansion
is the only way..

-spread democracy to evey corner of the earth.

-Convices us that we must be at war until Jesus comes back..

My questions are: Whatever happed to "We" US people will let you guys fight
your little war, and you'll "Bush-ites" will give us cheap gas??

Well, we all got reamed with no grease on all fronts.. I live on a boader
state-city (Cannda/Windor Ontario).. I guess since we're not geting the infl;ux
of hispanics like you guys in the southwest, there is not a big brew ha..No,
up here, it's about Jobs, Jobs, Jobs, Jobs and Gas\$\$\$\$ Gas \$\$\$\$ Gas\$\$\$\$..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>LaMont,

>

>I certainly respect you faith, whatever that may be. I certainly disagree

>with your opinions though. I'll be as ready as anyone for a new

>administration, but it will need to be an administration that is willing

to

>fight, not appease.....and the idea of the likes of Harry Reed, Pelosi,

>Kennedy, etc. having positions of greater power and influence bode ill for

>the future of this nation. I do agree with you about the border situation.

>that's the biggest clusterfuck that Bush has pulled, IMHO. the first thing

>we should have done after 911 was secure the borders, no matter what the

>cost politically and monetarily. I doubt, however, that anyone, democrat

or

>republican will be willing to do this. Folks may be paranoid about NYC,

but

>Houston is where the energy infrastructure lies and one nuke in or around

>the Houston ship channel and we're toast.

>
>Regards,
>
>DJ
>
>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e93a92\$1@linux...
>>
>> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox,
>then
>> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
>> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
>>
>> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed
>the
>> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long
>time
>> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit
>> Mid-term elections.
>>
>> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone
>> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left
>> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say
>> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal
>> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending
>and
>> spending with reckless abandonment.
>> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton
>did.
>> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those
>poor
>> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)...!!
>> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
>>
>> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative
>Democrats.
>> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan
>> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have
>fought
>> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.
>Some
>> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue
>Collar)
>> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our
>guns..
>>
>>

>> So, you play that Dems & Repubs game all you like. But, both whites and
>non
>> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is
>coming
>> from the white house..
>> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form
>some
>> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
>children..than
>> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
>> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
>kool-aid..
>> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then
>> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch
>Fox
>> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli
>war
>> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....
>> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
>>
>> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to
>get
>> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were
>> losing that war, in was not new worthy.
>>
>> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse
>> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in
>office???
>> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!
He
>> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live
and
>> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a
>President(Republican
>> or Democrat)..
>>
>> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith
and
>> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who
>> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and
>trust
>> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
>> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
>> are we that stupid ??
>> Next regime please..
>>
>>
>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>> >administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>> >stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>teaming
>> >with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>> >American public, not military leaders.<
>> >
>> >This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
>ballot
>> >box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
>damned
>> >country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>> >news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>> >> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent.
That
>> >says
>> >> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
>that
>> >> > "sham"
>> >> >> Iraq war.
>> >> >
>> >> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
>still
>> >going
>> >> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>> >>
>> >> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
-
>> the
>> >> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
we
>> are
>> >> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
>> what
>> >> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
>number
>> >> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
>insurgency

>> >> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
>hands
>> >> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
>thugs
>> >> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
I
>> >> recently talked with).
>> >>
>> >> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
>current
>> >> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
and
>> >> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>> >teaming
>> >> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>> >> American public, not military leaders.
>> >>
>> >> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
>> >> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
>> or
>> >hot
>> >> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually
>understand
>> >> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>> >> compromise every single day.
>> >>
>> >> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected
>president
>> >in
>> >> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
>> Go
>> >or
>> >> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
>> me
>> >> into a pessimist. ;-)
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 07:59:12 GMT

Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid" that the Neo-con right has given you.
Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric, let me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.

Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals would not fight??

To decent is not American?

Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place well before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to the US public. 911 and then WMDS..

The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your WW3 war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or popular with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous engagements haven't worked out..

So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is way way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and middle-east occupation.....

Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local recruiting centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African Americans don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in the prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm

I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed forces.

Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that thew will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights that all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen.. Well, it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government has ever fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.

Only this time, I hope that our Goverment lives up to it's promises to them, unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..

Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's Liberal(ism) is a mental disorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and is

a raging lunatic. What's his lines "Borders, Languages, and culture. Man, what a lunatic, however, very entertaining..

Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanent bases in the middle east? Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for permanent US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke the entire region???

See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called President and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2 (in the PAcific).. BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record stand..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>LaMont (sigh.....)

>

>I've got a few thoughts below:

>

>"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...

>>

>> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to

>fight.

>> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime

>change

>> here."

>>

>> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka the

>present

>> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our

>liberties,freedom

>> under the guise of democracy.

>>

>

>Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing it

>completely?

>

>> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe i this

>> regime, are still drinking the kool-aid that Tony Snow spills. It's soo

>un-beliveable

>> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become

>laughable.

>> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States fear

>> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.

>

>Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he thought

>he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach for

war

>and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.

>Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one of the
>few things I like about him.

>

>>

>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That says

>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that

>"sham"

>> Iraq war.

>

>The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still going

>strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.

>>

>> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for this
sham

>> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never take

>> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what poor

>folks

>> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush for

>weasling

>> out the vietnam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm if
I'd

>> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.

>> LAD

>

>Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good in the

>world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know it.

>Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war that
has

>caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay for
it.

>Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect slick

>Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war,

>sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition.

>The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake

>poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there are

some

>incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. There were

>still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters who

>would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia were

>controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep us up
to

>speed on a rapidly changing world.

>

>Now what would a *real*leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and create

>a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect this

>country?

>

>Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting a new
>policy at the CIA that severely limited the interaction of the CIA with
>anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration due
to
>their human rights history. That pretty much takes 90% of the folks in the
>middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism and
>espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and good
in
>a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the time
he
>left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places we
>needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts off
>about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while turning
>a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing
>everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them oil
>under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very
>Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man, the
>polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind of
>unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are now.
This
>is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right
>thing and doesn't live by the polls.

>

>Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered him
>up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia after
>18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin Laden
>came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along
with
>Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional
>Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation between
>domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered them
>completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence
>apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly by an
>idiot like Clinton.

>

>The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama
used
>for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the same
>intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize
war
>on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no WMD,
even
>though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the early
>1990's.

>

>The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my mind
>that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend to

>power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot reconcile
>the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under
>Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by the
>crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..
>
>Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is, is
>evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that sanctions
>were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone think
>that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be, since
>there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like Iran?
>If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed major
>pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would be
a
>great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran going
>nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with great
>haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would not
>have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
>wouldn't look good in the polls.
>
>Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these facists,
>they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while totally
>ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
>administration. (and don't call me a ****'in neo-con....I voted for him
too)
>
>To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recoginzed that the
>entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
>instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively
>secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to balance
>the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security in many
>ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making
>excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet another
>genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions in
>Iraq.
>
>Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle in
>Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can we?
The
>polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill abrogated
>his responsibility as a wiorld leader (his great ambition, right) by not
>calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist and
>*unhumanitarian* act since the Holacaust by ignoring Rwanda completely and
>then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was
>happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally
>negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure of
>leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..
>
>Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't

>wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con, be
 >advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control and
 >think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality that
 >threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global warming
 >is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going to
 be
 >too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights, hate
 war,
 >believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe in
 >government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but I
 >think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country
 >wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least believe
 >in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various sects
 >of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the
 >Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights as
 >well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be better
 >to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within
 >certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue and
 >understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort
 to
 >point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than
 >segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going to
 go
 >away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt.
 I
 >think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to diffuse
 >the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I firmly
 >believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough,
 >they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary when
 you
 >look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world
 >events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of Revelations
 >and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right
 and
 >wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .
 >
 >I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid
 to
 >the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are brought
 >to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing
 >terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the
 >weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to fester
 >and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the leftist/liberal
 >agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you pay
 >much attention to the real agenda.
 >
 >There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's convenient
 >for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically, to

>take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then it's
 not
 >part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares me
 >about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't
 >confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for freedom
 >of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make right
 now
 >and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to debate,
 >rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with
 >facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly. the
 >freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were
 >willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous and
 can
 >bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will not
 >take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.
 >When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it makes
 >me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure to
 >confront it can bring about a world war.
 >
 >I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the real
 >issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down in a
 >place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:
 >
 >PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:
 >
 >THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.
 >
 >ADULTERY: Death by stoning.
 >
 >UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.
 >
 >CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.
 >
 >SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.
 >
 >LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.
 >
 >HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.
 >
 >RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,
 >death.
 >
 >I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty
 >comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luxxxury of
 >debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this country
 >can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage an
 >administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for
 >them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.

>
>Regards,
>
>DJ
>
>
>
>
>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long
>and
>> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do
>other
>> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
>> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't have
>> any
>> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm
>deadly
>> >accurate.
>> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used up
so
>> I
>> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
>> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem
>> at
>> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was
>called
>> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>> >
>> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to
>fight.
>> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them
>than
>> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left
to
>> do
>> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
we
>> >are the better they like it.
>> >
>> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
>> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
>> >
>> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
>> >Enjoy.
>> >

>> >
>> >Regards,
>> >
>> >DJ
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
>> >rights
>> >> and it's Liberties..
>> >>
>> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
>> >who's
>> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would
>> >hope
>> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
>> >tierney.
>> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..
But
>> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those Democrats
>> >who
>> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>> >>
>> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war
is
>> >second
>> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over
>> >there(
>> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop fighting
>> >or
>> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
>> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>> >> I thought so..
>> >>
>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>> >terrorist..Why??
>> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>> >Goverment.aka
>> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
the
>> >good
>> >> >of this union.
>> >> >
>> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
>> >party

>> >> >stand for Lamont?
>> >> >
>> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument
to
>> >debut
>> >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I'm not a conspiracist, but I've never believed the US government
>> >stories
>> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
>sadistic,
>> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
>> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to
the
>> >> >pits!!!..
>> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons.
>> They
>> >> are
>> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>> >hearings
>> >> >> should start immediately.!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>> >liberties
>> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police
>the
>> >> >right
>> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
of
>> >> >Homeland
>> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the sham
>> of
>> >> >making
>> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
>Government???
>> >> >>
>> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>> >terrorist..Why??
>> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>> >Goverment.aka
>> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
>the
>> >> good

>> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic, fearful,
>> >> and
>> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
>> >> >"free-speech,
>> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But,
>> we
>> >> are
>> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie..
>> >Lastly,
>> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's
>first
>> >> >ask
>> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
>> >showed
>> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
>attacked..???

>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >>
>>
>>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+chan>
>g
>> >e
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Nappy-
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>> >> >> >Then some months later I read this:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Check it out!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >-Steve
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>

>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 09:00:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

unfortunately the bottom line is that you can't win a gorilla war.
there's no front and there's 10,000 fronts.

On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 17:56:45 -0600, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Are the Democrats going to improve
>>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and
>>leave?
>
>Steve,
>
>I think all three questions are equally important.
>
>Regards,
>
>DJ
>
>
>"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message
>news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >Steve,
>> >
>> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen
>situations
>> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind
>> of
>> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German
>soldier
>> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans. This
>> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black
>> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command
>and

>> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described in
>> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about
>> so
>> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for
>> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to
>improve
>> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and
>> >leave?
>> >
>> >Regards,
>> >
>> >DJ
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Simple answer:
>>
>> Perhaps.
>>
>> All depends on what you want. What was the question?
>>
>> -steve
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 14:05:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid"
>that the Neo-con right has given you.
>Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

And Clinton was incompetent to a staggering degree. Deal with it.

>Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals
>would not fight??

They would waffle, negotiate, deal with the corrupt UN, make token raids on the wrong targets to kill a few people (usually the wrong ones) and then get so backed into a corner that they either have to capitulate to the jihadis or, as you say below, go boom, as in nukes... I would like to avoid that.

>To decent is not American?
>Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan in place well
>before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to the
>US public. 911 and then WMDs..

So it's hard to sell war to Americans after the biggest attack since
WWII? How do you figure?

We found the WMD's btw. 500 of them, and one of
Saddam's own guys says the rest went to Syria. You cannot win
this argument on evidence so you resort to wild paranoia, and
accuse others of "kool-aid"? amazing really...

I don't CARE if you support Bush or not, I do care about the lengths
you are going to, to make a case that cannot be made rationally.

>The problem today is, do we have enough service men available for your
WW3
>war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or popular
>with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous engagements
>haven't worked out..

For you and your side to win, we must lose this war. So you
and yours have called it a mistake and a "quagmire" since about
a week into it, made absurd Vietnam comparisons, and failed to
praise even the brave Iraqis who voted in such high numbers
under the threat of being attacked at any time. You all just
went nice and quiet and waited for the next bombing or
decapitation so you could start the rap up again. And you wonder
why people question your loyalty? I have never seen so many
Americans hoping for our defeat. Our brave soldiers are succeeding
despite the best efforts of both the jihadis and the left.

>So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is
way
>way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and middle-east
>occupation.....

Actually, as with much of your rap, you got the facts wrong again.

<http://www.azstarnet.com/news/141607>

>Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local recruiting
>centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African Americans
>don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in the
>prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..

While African immigrants are wildly successful here, and the
left still refuses to look at the results of their misguided programs...
The imprisonment rate of African Americans closely follows the rate
of decline in intact families which inversely follows the rate of
paternalistic liberal programs. But no, it's so much easier to
blame Bush.

The

>American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
>oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
>
>I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
>for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed
>forces.

Is there actual evidence for this?

>Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle east?
>Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for permanant
>US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke
>the entire region???

A secular and democratic state would do so. Hence Iraq.
Remember, we would like to avoid nukes?

>See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
>and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2
>(in the PACific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
>stand..

Of course, a Republican (Lincoln) ended slavery, but it looks like
that record doesn't stand for much with you. Oh, it's in the past?
Well so are the days of Democrats being *anything* like Truman.

And EVERY SINGLE Truman or even Kennedy democrat has been
purged from the democratic party, the most recent being a good
and decent Kennedy liberal named Leiberman.

Listen LaMont, I am not a conservative, and neither is DJ. You need

to admit that this is a complex and difficult issue and good points can be made on both sides. Come, let us reason together.

There's a lot at stake.

DC

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 14:20:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's the tricky thing about 'only options,' it doesn't really matter how ugly they are.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>.....and an ugly option to be sure.

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:44e92578\$1@linux...

>>

>> No. No. Probably about the only option.

>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >Are the Democrats going to improve

>> >>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and

>> >>leave?

>> >

>> >Steve,

>> >

>> >I think all three questions are equally important.

>> >

>> >Regards,

>> >

>> >DJ

>> >

>> >

>> >"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message

>> >news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...

>> >>

>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >> >Steve,

>> >> >

>> >> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen

>> >> situations

>> >> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this

>> >> kind

>> >> of

>> >> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German
>> >soldier
>> >> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans.
>> This
>> >> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black
>> >> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command
>> >and
>> >> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described
>> in
>> >> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking
>about
>> >> so
>> >> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for
>> >> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to
>> >improve
>> >> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes
>> and
>> >> >leave?
>> >> >
>> >> >Regards,
>> >> >
>> >> >DJ
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Simple answer:
>> >>
>> >> Perhaps.
>> >>
>> >> All depends on what you want. What was the question?
>> >>
>> >> -steve
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 15:59:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The reason I'm so disappointed in Clinton is that during his whole
administration, I was wanting him to do the things he needed to
do.....and I watched in fcinated horror as he fucked up again and again..

Even an insignificant, regular old citizen like me could see what needed to be done and he couldn't????.....and I was right!!!!. It just blows me away. I wanted to believe that I was missing something and that this Rhoades scholar superdude/great national hope knew more than I did. Well, he turned out to be the bitggest dumbass we've ever elected and his oversights brought us to 911.

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e967d0\$1@linux...

>

> Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid"

> that the Neo-con right has given you.

> Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

>

>

> Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric, let me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.

>

> Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals

> would not fight??

>

> To decent is not American?

> Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place well

> before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to the

> US public. 911 and then WMDS..

>

> The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your WW3

> war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or popular

> with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous engagements

> haven't worked out..

>

> So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is way

> way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits

> to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and middle-east

> occupation.....

>

> Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local recruiting

> centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African Americans

> don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in the

> prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The

> American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
> oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
>
> I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
> for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed
> forces.
> Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that thew
> will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights
that
> all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen.. Well,
> it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government has
ever
> fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.
>
> Only this time, I hope that our Goverment lives up to it's promises to
them,
> unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..
>
> Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's
Liberal(ism)
> is a mental disorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and is
> a raging lunatic.What's his lines"Borders, Languages, and culture. Man,
what
> a lunatic, however, very entertaining..
>
> Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle
east?
> Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for
permanant
> US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke
> the entire region???

>
> See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
> and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2
> (in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
> stand..
>
>
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >LaMont (sigh.....)
> >
> >I've got a few thoughts below:
> >
> >"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to
> >fight.

> >> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
> >change
> >> here."
> >>
> >> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka the
> >present
> >> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our
> >liberties,freedom
> >> under the guise of democracy.
> >>
> >
> >>Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing it
> >completely?
> >
> >> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe i
this
> >> regime, are still drinking the kool-iad that Tony Snow spills. It's soo
> >un-beliveable
> >> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become
> >laughable.
> >> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States
fear
> >> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.
> >
> >>Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he
thought
> >he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach for
> war
> >and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.
> >>Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one of
the
> >few things I like about him.
> >
> >>
> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
says
> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppport him or that
> >"sham"
> >> Iraq war.
> >
> >>The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
going
> >strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
> >>
> >> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for this
> sham
> >> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never
take

> >> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what poor
> >folks
> >> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush for
> >weasling
> >> out the vietnam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm if
> I'd
> >> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.
> >> LAD
> >
> >Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good in
the
> >world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know
it.
> >Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war that
> has
> >caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay for
> it.
> >Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect
slick
> >Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war,
> >sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition.
> >The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake
> >poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there are
> some
> >incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. There were
> >still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters who
> >would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia were
> >controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep us up
> to
> >speed on a rapidly changing world.
> >
> >Now what would a *real*leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and
create
> >a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect this
> >country?
> >
> >Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting a new
> >policy at the CIA that severly limited the interaction of the CIA with
> >anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration due
> to
> >their human rights history.That pretty much takes 90% of the folks in the
> >middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism and
> >espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and good
> in
> >a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the time
> he
> >left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places we
> >needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts

off

> >about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while turning

> >a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing

> >everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them oil

> >under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very

> >Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man, the

> >polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind of

> >unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are now.

> This

> >is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right

> >thing and doesn't live by the polls.

> >

> >Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered him

> >up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia after

> >18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin Laden

> >came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along

> with

> >Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional

> >Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation between

> >domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered them

> >completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence

> >apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly by an

> >idiot like Clinton.

> >

> >The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama

> used

> >for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the same

> >intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize

> war

> >on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no WMD,

> even

> >though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the early

> >1990's.

> >

> >The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my mind

> >that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend to

> >power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot

reconcile

> >the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under

> >Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by the

> >crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..

> >

> >Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is, is

> >evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that sanctions
> >were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone think
> >that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be, since
> >there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like Iran?
> >If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed major
> >pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would be
> >a
> >great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran going
> >nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with great
> >haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would not
> >have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
> >wouldn't look good in the polls.
> >
> >Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these facists,
> >they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while totally
> >ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
> >administration. (and don't call me a *****in neo-con....I voted for him
> >too)
> >
> >To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recognized that the
> >entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
> >instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively
> >secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to balance
> >the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security in many
> >ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making
> >excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet another
> >genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions in
> >Iraq.
> >
> >Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle in
> >Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can we?
> >The
> >polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill
abrogated
> >his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by not
> >calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist and
> >*unhumanitarian* act since the Holocaust by ignoring Rwanda completely
and

> >then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was
 > >happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally
 > >negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure of
 > >leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..
 > >
 > >Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't
 > >wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con, be
 > >advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control and
 > >think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality that
 > >threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global
 warming
 > >is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going to
 > be
 > >too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights, hate
 > war,
 > >believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe in
 > >government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but I
 > >think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country
 > >wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least
 believe
 > >in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various
 sects
 > >of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the
 > >Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights as
 > >well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be
 better
 > >to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within
 > >certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue and
 > >understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort
 > to
 > >point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than
 > >segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going to
 > go
 > >away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt.
 > I
 > >think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to
 diffuse
 > >the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I firmly
 > >believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough,
 > >they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary when
 > you
 > >look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world
 > >events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of
 Revelations
 > >and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right
 > and
 > >wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .
 > >

> >I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid
> to
> >the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are
brought
> >to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing
> >terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the
> >weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to
fester
> >and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the
leftist/liberal
> >agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you pay
> >much attention to the real agenda.
> >
> >There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's
convenient
> >for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically, to
> >take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then it's
> not
> >part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares me
> >about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't
> >confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for
freedom
> >of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make right
> now
> >and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to
debate,
> >rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with
> >facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly.
the
> >freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were
> >willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous and
> can
> >bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will not
> >take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.
> >When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it
makes
> >me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure to
> >confront it can bring about a world war.
> >
> >I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the real
> >issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down in a
> >place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:
> >
> >PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:
> >
> >THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.
> >
> >ADULTERY: Death by stoning.

> >
> >UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.
> >
> >CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.
> >
> >SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.
> >
> >LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.
> >
> >HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.
> >
> >RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,
> >death.
> >
> >I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty
> >comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luzxxury of
> >debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this
country
> >can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage an
> >administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for
> >them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.
> >
> >Regards,
> >
> >DJ
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long
> >>and
> >> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do
> >>other
> >> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might
check
> >> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't
have
> >> any
> >> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm
> >>deadly
> >> >accurate.
> >> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used up
> >>so
> >> I
> >> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of
battlefield

> > >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem
> > at
> > >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was
> >called
> > >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
> > >
> > >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to
> >fight.
> > >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
> > >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them
> >than
> > >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left
> to
> > do
> > >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
> we
> > >are the better they like it.
> > >
> > >Here's what you're in for amigo:
> > > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
> > >
> > >The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
> > >Enjoy.
> > >
> > >
> > >Regards,
> > >
> > >DJ
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
> > >>
> > >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
> > >rights
> > >> and it's Liberties..
> > >>
> > >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
> > >who's
> > >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would
> >hope
> > >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
> > >tierney.

> > > There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..

> But

> > > as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those Democrats

> > who

> > > were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.

> > >

> > > Evenmore. The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war

> is

> > > second

> > > nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over

> > there(

> > > Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop fighting

> > or

> > > give in to so called democracy..Never.

> > > Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??

> > > I thought so..

> > >

> > > "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> > > > These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the

> > > terrorist..Why??

> > > > At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us

> > > Government.aka

> > > > Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and

> the

> > > good

> > > > of this union.

> > > >

> > > >and if there was an election today, what would the

> opposing

> > > party

> > > > stand for Lamont?

> > > >

> > > > "Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message

> > > > news:44e79af9\$1@linux...

> > > > >

> > > > > Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument

> to

> > > debut

> > > > the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

> > > >

> > > > I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government

> > > stories

> > > > on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,

> > > sadistic,

> > > > neoconservatives-conservatives

> > > > in the white house and his fellow neo cons.

> > > >
> > > > They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to
> the
> > > > pits!!!..
> > > > It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil
neo-cons.
> > They
> > > are
> > > > as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
> > > hearings
> > > > should start immediately.!
> > > >
> > > > And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
> > > liberties
> > > > being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police
> > the
> > > > right
> > > > to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
> of
> > > > Homeland
> > > > security, which is as weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the
sham
> > of
> > > > making
> > > > us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
> > Government???
> > > >
> > > > These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> > > terrorist..Why??
> > > > At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> > > Government.aka
> > > > Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
> > the
> > > > good
> > > > of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic,
fearful,
> > > > and
> > > > distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
> > > > "free-speech,
> > > > and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
> > > >
> > > > I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!!
But,
> > we
> > > are
> > > > under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronies..
> > > Lastly,
> > > > most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's

> >first
> >> >> >ask
> >> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
> >> >showed
> >> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
> >attacked..???
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >>
> >>
>
>>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+cha>
n
> >g
> >> >e
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Nappy-
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
> >> >> >> >Then some months later I read this:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Check it out!
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >-Steve
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this

Posted by [Nappy](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 16:13:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Mike,
I feel like your Dad!

respect
Nappy

"Mike R." <emarenot@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hey Nappy. Always good to see your name on the NG. Hang in there dude.
>

>W, my dad had half his thyroid removed. The doc told him "no golf for the
>rest of the summer." He was out on the links in three weeks. My friend's
>aunt just had her entire thyroid removed. As it was causing some pain in
>her shoulder, she's feeling great --and for unknown reasons (she believes
>it's due to the lose of the thyroid) she reports feeling much more
>energetic. Nevertheless, having gone through the problem with my father,
I

>know its hard to feel some sense of relief until its over. Take care.

>MR

>

>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote in message
>news:44e6a2b4@linux...

>> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
>> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of
our
>> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
>> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
>> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point,
we

>> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>>

>> W.

>>

>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...

>> >

>> > Dubya,

>> > I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney

>> > transplant

>> > list.

>> > It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
me

>> > a Kidney,

>> > but they have to go though a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>> > This

>> > can't
>> > happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>> > transplant
>> > by
>> > early 2007!
>> >
>> > respect
>> > Nappy
>> >
>> > "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>> >>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>> >>Dubsie
>> >>
>> >>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>> >>>
>> >>>
> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 16:27:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think the administration or the democrats want to close the borders.
It wouldn't be politically prudent. whoever does that loses the hispanic
vote.

>My questions are: Whatever happened to "We" US people will let you guys fight
your little war, and you'll "Bush-ites" will give us cheap gas??<

Where did you get that? Can you quote a source. That was the Clinton mantra
my friend. The economy appears to be good so let's keep whistling in the
dark and not rock the boat while the threats gather on the horizon. If 911
would have happened a year earlier, the blame would have been clear to even
you.

>Well, we all got reamed with no grease on all fronts.. I live on a border
state-city (Canada/Windsor Ontario).. I guess since we're not getting the
influx
of hispanics like you guys in the southwest, there is not a big brew ha..No,

up here, it's about Jobs, Jobs, Jobs, Jobs and Gas\$\$\$\$ Gas \$\$\$\$ Gas\$\$\$\$..<

I've got no problem with people who happen to be from Mexico or anywhere else. I've got a problem with an uncontrolled border and with terrorists..As far as jobs jobs jobs are concerned, perhaps you should consider the reason why the detroit auto industry doesn't produce cars that people want to buy anymore. Compared to what is available from the foriegn competitors, they are overpriced crap my friend. If they built the Honda spec, the car would cost so much that no one would buy it because of the amount of union lard that is ladled onto the price tag of each vehicle so they cut corners and build cheap because that the only way they can achieve a copmpetitive price point. They have been crap since the early 70's.

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e95d65\$1@linux...

>

> Hey DJ,

> Do you think that just maybe the Bush(ites) don't want to close the borders?

> I mean, hes' the great protector and all..Right?

> Just maybe they have something more elaborate up their collective sleeves

> Humm??

>

> Maybe they want another 911..TO:

>

> -Really convince the american people that "total globalization" and expansion

> is the only way..

>

> -spread democracy to evey corner of the earth.

>

> -Convices us that we must be at war until Jesus comes back..

>

> My questions are: Whatever happed to "We" US people will let you guys fight

> your little war, and you'll "Bush-ites" will give us cheap gas??

>

> Well, we all got reamed with no grease on all fronts.. I live on a boader

> state-city (Cannda/Windor Ontario).. I guess since we're not geting the infl;ux

> of hispanics like you guys in the southwest, there is not a big brew ha..No,

> up here, it's about Jobs, Jobs, Jobs, Jobs and Gas\$\$\$\$ Gas \$\$\$\$ Gas\$\$\$\$..

>
>
>
>
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >LaMont,
> >
> >I certainly respect you faith, whatever that may be. I certainly disagree
> >with your opinions though. I'll be as ready as anyone for a new
> >administration, but it will need to be an administration that is willing
> to
> >fight, not appease.....and the idea of the likes of Harry Reed, Pelosi,
> >Kennedy, etc. having positions of greater power and influence bode ill
for
> >the future of this nation. I do agree with you about the border
situation.
> >that's the biggest clusterfuck that Bush has pulled, IMHO. the first
thing
> >we should have done after 911 was secure the borders, no matter what the
> >cost politically and monetarily. I doubt, however, that anyone, democrat
> or
> >republican will be willing to do this. Folks may be paranoid about NYC,
> but
> >Houston is where the energy infrastructure lies and one nuke in or around
> >the Houston ship channel and we're toast.
> >
> >Regards,
> >
> >DJ
> >
> >
> >
> >"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e93a92\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot
ox,
> >then
> >> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned
country
> >> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
> >>
> >> This is not about the left or the tight, Dems & Reps. you have drank-ed
> >the
> >> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long
> >time
> >> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the
President.Callit

> >> Mid-term elections.

> >>

> >> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left

> >> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say

> >> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal

> >> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending

> and

> >> spending with reckless abandonment.

> >> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton

> >did.

> >> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those

> poor

> >> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)...!!

> >> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...

> >>

> >> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative

> >Democrats.

> >> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They

Michigan

> >> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have

> >fought

> >> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.

> >Some

> >> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue

> >Collar)

> >> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our

> >guns..

> >>

> >>

> >> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites and

> >non

> >> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is

> >coming

> >> from the white house..

> >> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form

> >some

> >> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our

> >children..than

> >> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..

> >> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the

> >kool-aid..

> >> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war,

then

> >> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch

> >Fox

> >> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli

> >war

> >> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

> >> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....

> >>

> >> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to

> >get

> >> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we
were

> >> losing that war, in was not new worthy.

> >>

> >> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much
worse

> >> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in

> >office???

> >> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!

> He

> >> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live

> and

> >> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a

> >President(Republican

> >> or Democrat)..

> >>

> >> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith

> and

> >> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us
who

> >> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and

> >trust

> >> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!

> >> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..

> >> are we that stupid ??

> >> Next regime please..

> >>

> >>

> >>

> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >> >>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
current

> >> >administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
and

> >> >stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when

> >teaming

> >> >with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the

> >> >American public, not military leaders.<

> > >
> > > This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
> > ballot
> > > box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
> > damned
> > > country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive
ideology.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
> > > news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
> > > > On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
> > > > <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent.
> That
> > > says
> > > > that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
> > that
> > > > "sham"
> > > > > Iraq war.
> > > >
> > > > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
> > still
> > > going
> > > > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took
office.
> > >
> > > > And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
> -
> > the
> > > Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
> we
> > are
> > > now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government
with
> > what
> > > has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
> > number
> > > of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
> > insurgency
> > > of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
> > hands
> > > of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
> > thugs

> >> >> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
> > I
> >> >> recently talked with).
> >> >>
> >> >> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
> > current
> >> >> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
> > and
> >> >> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
> >> >teaming
> >> >> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
> >> >> American public, not military leaders.
> >> >>
> >> >> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or
> > can't
> >> >> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina,
> > terrorism,
> >> or
> >> >hot
> >> >> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually
> > understand
> >> >> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
> >> >> compromise every single day.
> >> >>
> >> >> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected
> > president
> >> >in
> >> >> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not
> > pass
> >> Go
> >> >or
> >> >> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are
> > turning
> >> me
> >> >> into a pessimist. ;-)
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
>

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 17:51:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh yeah. .just look at what going to Iraq has done for US??? Yeah, we really slapped them around. ?? Meanwhile, our boys and girls are returning home in bags for a false cause and A NOT well Planned attack"..

You talk about Clinton..Let's look at this idiot we have in office now. He's so dumb he can't even remember the last lie he told a few seconds ago. Deer in the headlights. He's an embarrassment to this Nation..

"DC" <dc@spammersinprison.com> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>>Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid" >>that the Neo-con right has given you.

>>Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

>

>And Clinton was incompetent to a staggering degree. Deal with >it.

>

>>Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals >>would not fight??

>

>They would waffle, negotiate, deal with the corrupt UN, make token >raids on the wrong targets to kill a few people (usually the wrong >ones) and then get so backed into a corner that they either have >to capitulate to the jihadis or, as you say below, go boom, as in >nukes... I would like to avoid that.

>

>

>>To decent is not American?

>>Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan in place well >>before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to the

>>US public. 911 and then WMDS..

>

>

>So it's hard to sell war to americans after the biggest attack since >WWII? How do you figure?

>

>We found the WMD's btw. 500 of them, and one of >Saddams own guys says the rest went to Syria. You cannot win >this argument on evidence so you resort to wild paranoia, and >accuse others of "kool-aid"? amazing really...

>

>I don't CARE if you support Bush or not, I do care about the lengths >you are going to, to make a case that cannot be made rationally.

>
>
>>The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
>WW3
>>war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or popular
>>with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
engagements
>>haven't worked out..
>
>For you and your side to win, we must lose this war. So you
>and yours have called it a mistake and a "quagmire" since about
>a week into it, made absurd vietnam comparisons, and failed to
>praise even the brave Iraqi's who voted in such high numbers
>under the threat of being attacked at any time. You all just
>went nice and quiet and waited for the next bombing or
>decapitation so you could start the rap up again. And you wonder
>why people question your loyalty? I have never seen so many
>americans hoping for our defeat. Our brave soldiers are succeeding
>despite the best efforts of both the jihadis and the left.
>
>
>>So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is
>way
>>way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>>to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
middle-east
>>occupation.....
>
>Actually, as with much of your rap, you got the facts wrong again.
>
><http://www.azstarnet.com/news/141607>
>
>
>>Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local recruiting
>>centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African Americans
>>don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in
the
>>prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..
>
>While African immigrants are wildly successful here, and the
>left still refuses to look at the results of their misguided programs...
>The imprisonment rate of African Americans closely follows the rate
>of decline in intact families which inversely follows the rate of
>paternalistic liberal programs. But no, it's so much easier to
>blame Bush.
>
>
>The

>>American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
>>oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
>>
>>I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
>>for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed
>>forces.
>
>Is there actual evidence for this?
>
>
>>Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle
east?
>>Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for permanant
>>US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke
>>the entire region???
>
>A secular and democratic state would do so. Hence Iraq.
>Remember, we would like to avoid nukes?
>
>
>>See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
>>and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2
>>(in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
>>stand..
>
>Of course, a Republican (Lincoln) ended slavery, but it looks like
>that record doesn't stand for much with you. Oh, it's in the past?
>Well so are the days of Democrats being *anything* like Truman.
>
>And EVERY SINGLE Truman or even Kennedy democrat has been
>purged from the democratic party, the most recent being a good
>and decent Kennedy liberal named Leiberman.
>
>Listen LaMont, I am not a conservative, and neither is DJ. You need
>to admit that this is a complex and difficult issue and good points
>can be made on both sides. Come, let us reason together.
>
>There's a lot at stake.
>
>DC
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 17:53:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh No.. This biggest dumb -ass idiot is our current president for sure. He's

an embarrassment to this nation..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>The reason I'm so disappointed in Clinton is that during his whole
>administration, I was wanting him to do the things he needed to
>do.....and I watched in fcinated horror as he fucked up again and again..
>Even an insignificant, regular old citizen like me could see what needed
to

>be done and he couldn't????.....and I was right!!!!. It just blows
me

>away. I wanted to believe that I was missing something and that this Rhoades
>scholar superdude/great national hope knew more than I did. Well, he turned
>out to be the bitggest dumbass we've ever elected and his oversights brought
>us to 911.

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e967d0\$1@linux...

>>

>> Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the

>"Kool-Aid"

>> that the Neo-con right has given you.

>> Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

>>

>>

>> Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric,
let

>> me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.

>>

>> Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called
>liberals

>> would not fight??

>>

>> To decent is not American?

>> Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place
well

>> before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to
the

>> US public. 911 and then WMDS..

>>

>> The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
>WW3

>> war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or
>popular

>> with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
>engagements

>> haven't worked out..

>>

>> So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is
>way
>> way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>> to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
>middle-east
>> occupation.....
>>
>> Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local
>recruiting
>> centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African
>Americans
>> don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in
the
>> prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The
>> American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
>> oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
>>
>> I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
>> for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed
>> forces.
>> Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that
thew
>> will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights
>that
>> all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen.. Well,
>> it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government has
>ever
>> fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.
>>
>> Only this time, I hope that our Goverment lives up to it's promises to
>them,
>> unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..
>>
>> Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's
>Liberal(ism)
>> is a mental dissorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and
is
>> a raging lunatic.What's his lines"Borders, Languages, and culture. Man,
>what
>> a lunatic, however, very entertaining..
>>
>> Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle
>east?
>> Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for
>permanant
>> US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke
>> the entire region???

>> See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
>> and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2
>> (in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
>> stand..
>>
>>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >LaMont (sigh.....)
>> >
>> >I've got a few thoughts below:
>> >
>> >"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
to
>> >fight.
>> >> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>> >change
>> >> here."
>> >>
>> >> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka
the
>> >present
>> >> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our
>> >liberties,freedom
>> >> under the guise of democracy.
>> >>
>> >
>> >Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing
it
>> >completely?
>> >
>> >> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe
i
>> >this
>> >> regime, are still drinking the kool-iad that Tony Snow spills. It's
soo
>> >un-beliveable
>> >> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become
>> >laughable.
>> >> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States
>fear
>> >> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.
>> >
>> >Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he
>thought
>> >he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach for

>> war
>> >and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.
>> >Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one of
>the
>> >few things I like about him.
>> >
>> >>
>> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
>says
>> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that
>> >"sham"
>> >> Iraq war.
>> >
>> >The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
>going
>> >strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>> >>
>> >> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for this
>> sham
>> >> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never
>take
>> >> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what poor
>> >folks
>> >> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush for
>> >weasling
>> >> out the vietnam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm
if
>> I'd
>> >> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.
>> >> LAD
>> >
>> >Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good in
>the
>> >world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know
>it.
>> >Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war that
>> has
>> >caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay for
>> it.
>> >Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect
>slick
>> >Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war,
>> >sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition.
>> >The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake
>> >poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there are
>> some
>> >incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. There were
>> >still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters who

>> >would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia were
>> >controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep us
up
>> to
>> >speed on a rapidly changing world.
>> >
>> >Now what would a *real*leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and
>create
>> >a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect this
>> >country?
>> >
>> >Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting a
new
>> >policy at the CIA that severely limited the interaction of the CIA with
>> >anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration
due
>> to
>> >their human rights history. That pretty much takes 90% of the folks in
the
>> >middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism
and
>> >espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and
good
>> in
>> >a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the
time
>> he
>> >left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places
we
>> >needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts
>off
>> >about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while
>turning
>> >a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing
>> >everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them oil
>> >under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very
>> >Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man,
the
>> >polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind
of
>> >unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are now.
>> This
>> >is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right
>> >thing and doesn't live by the polls.
>> >
>> >Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered
him
>> >up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia

>after
>> >18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin
>Laden
>> >came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along
>> with
>> >Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional
>> >Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation
>between
>> >domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered
>them
>> >completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence
>> >apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly by
>an
>> >idiot like Clinton.
>> >
>> >The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama
>> used
>> >for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the same
>> >intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize
>> war
>> >on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no WMD,
>> even
>> >though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the early
>> >1990's.
>> >
>> >The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my
>mind
>> >that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend
>to
>> >power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot
>reconcile
>> >the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under
>> >Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by
>the
>> >crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..
>> >
>> >Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is,
>is
>> >evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that
>sanctions
>> >were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone think
>> >that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be,
>since
>> >there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like
>Iran?
>> >If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed
>major
>> >pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would

be
>> a
>> >great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran going
>> >nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with great
>> >haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would
>not
>> >have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
>> >wouldn't look good in the polls.
>> >
>> >Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these
>facists,
>> >they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while
>totally
>> >ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
>> >administration. (and don't call me a ****'in neo-con....I voted for him
>> too)
>> >
>> >To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recognized that
the
>> >entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
>> >instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively
>> >secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to
>balance
>> >the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security in
>many
>> >ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making
>> >excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet
>another
>> >genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions
in
>> >Iraq.
>> >
>> >Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle
in
>> >Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can
we?
>> The
>> >polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill
>abrogated
>> >his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by
not
>> >calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist and
>> >*unhumanitarian* act since the Holocaust by ignoring Rwanda completely
>and
>> >then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was
>> >happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally
>> >negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure
of

>> >leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..
>> >
>> >Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't
>> >wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con,
be
>> >advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control
and
>> >think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality
that
>> >threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global
>warming
>> >is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going
to
>> be
>> >too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights, hate
>> war,
>> >believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe in
>> >government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but
I
>> >think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country
>> >wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least
>believe
>> >in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various
>sects
>> >of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the
>> >Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights
as
>> >well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be
>better
>> >to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within
>> >certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue and
>> >understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort
>> to
>> >point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than
>> >segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going
to
>> go
>> >away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt.
>> I
>> >think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to
>diffuse
>> >the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I firmly
>> >believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough,
>> >they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary when
>> you
>> >look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world
>> >events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of
>Revelations

>> >and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right
>> and
>> >wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .
>> >
>> >I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid
>> to
>> >the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are
>brought
>> >to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing
>> >terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the
>> >weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to
>fester
>> >and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the
>leftist/liberal
>> >agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you
pay
>> >much attention to the real agenda.
>> >
>> >There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's
>convenient
>> >for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically,
to
>> >take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then it's
>> not
>> >part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares
me
>> >about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't
>> >confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for
>freedom
>> >of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make right
>> now
>> >and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to
>debate,
>> >rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with
>> >facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly.
>the
>> >freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were
>> >willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous and
>> can
>> >bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will
not
>> >take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.
>> >When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it
>makes
>> >me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure to
>> >confront it can bring about a world war.
>> >
>> >I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the real

>> >issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down in
a
>> >place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:
>> >
>> >PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:
>> >
>> >THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.
>> >
>> >ADULTERY: Death by stoning.
>> >
>> >UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.
>> >
>> >CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.
>> >
>> >SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.
>> >
>> >LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.
>> >
>> >HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.
>> >
>> >RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,
>> >death.
>> >
>> >I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty
>> >comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luxxury
of
>> >debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this
>country
>> >can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage
an
>> >administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for
>> >them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.
>> >
>> >Regards,
>> >
>> >DJ
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>
>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought
long
>> >and
>> >> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could
do
>> >other

>> >> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might
>check
>> >> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't
>have
>> >> any
>> >> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm
>> >deadly
>> >> >accurate.
>> >> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used
up
>> so
>> >> I
>> >> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of
>battlefield
>> >> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no
>problem
>> >> at
>> >> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was
>> >called
>> >> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>> >> >
>> >> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
to
>> >fight.
>> >> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>> >> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them
>> >than
>> >> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left
>> to
>> >> do
>> >> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
>> we
>> >> >are the better they like it.
>> >> >
>> >> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
>> >> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
>> >> >
>> >> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially
>wonderful.
>> >> >Enjoy.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >Regards,
>> >> >
>> >> >DJ
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >

>> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our
>constitutional
>> >> >rights
>> >> >> and it's Liberties..
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war
>mongers,
>> >> >who's
>> >> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would
>> >hope
>> >> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
>> >> >tierney.
>> >> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..
>> But
>> >> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those
>Democrats
>> >> who
>> >> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war
>> is
>> >> >second
>> >> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over
>> >there(
>> >> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop
>fighting
>> >> or
>> >> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
>> >> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>> >> >> I thought so..
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>> >> >terrorist..Why??
>> >> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>> >> >Goverment.aka
>> >> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
>> the
>> >> >good
>> >> >> >of this union.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the
>opposing
>> >> >party
>> >> >> >stand for Lamont?

>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>> >news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument
>> to
>> >> >debut
>> >> >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government
>> >> >stories
>> >> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
>> >sadistic,
>> >> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
>> >> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down
to
>> the
>> >> >> >pits!!!..
>> >> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil
>neo-cons.
>> >> They
>> >> >> are
>> >> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>> >> >hearings
>> >> >> >> should start immediately.!
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>> >> >liberties
>> >> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police
>> >the
>> >> >> >right
>> >> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
>> of
>> >> >> >Homeland
>> >> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the
>sham
>> >> of
>> >> >> >making
>> >> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
>> >Government???
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>> >> >terrorist..Why??
>> >> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>> >> >Goverment.aka
>> >> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me

and
 >> >the
 >> >> >> good
 >> >> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic,
 >fearful,
 >> >> >> and
 >> >> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
 >> >> >> >"free-speech,
 >> >> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
 >> >> >> >>
 >> >> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!!
 >But,
 >> >> we
 >> >> >> are
 >> >> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronniees..
 >> >> >Lastly,
 >> >> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's
 >> >first
 >> >> >> >ask
 >> >> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama ..
 That
 >> >> >showed
 >> >> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
 >> >attacked..???
 >> >> >> >>
 >> >> >> >>
 >> >> >> >>
 >> >> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
 >> >> >> >> >
 >> >> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
 >> >> >> >> >>
 >> >> >> >>
 >> >> >>
 >> >>
 >>
 >>>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+cha
 >n
 >> >g
 >> >> >e
 >> >> >> >> >
 >> >> >> >> >Nappy-
 >> >> >> >> >
 >> >> >> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
 >> >> >> >> >
 >> >> >> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
 >> >> >> >> >Then some months later I read this:
 >> >> >> >> >
 >> >> >> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html

>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Check it out!
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >-Steve
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 18:17:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Once again, your facts are simply wrong. It was the quickest victory over a regime in the history of warfare, with the fewest US casualties and the fewest non-combatants killed.

Making a new govt. work there is proving to be a challenge with the foreign head choppers and jihadis who have come in, and the diehard Saddam loyalists. But once again, you are cheering for the other side. Do you really think Iraqis are too stupid for democracy?

This "conversation" with you is clearly becoming a wasye of time.

DC

"LaMont " <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
>Oh yeah. .just look a t what going to Iraq has done for US??? Yeah, we really
>slapped them around. ?? Meanwhile, our boys and girls are returning home
>in bags for a false cause and A NOT well Planned attack"..
>
>You talk about Clinton..Let's look at this idiot we have in office now.
He's
>so dumb he can't even remember the last lie he told a few seconds ago. Deer
>in the headlights. He's an embarrassment to this Nation..

>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinprison.com> wrote:
>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid"
>>>that the Neo-con right has given you.
>>>Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.
>>
>>And Clinton was incompetent to a staggering degree. Deal with
>>it.
>>
>>>Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals
>>>would not fight??
>>
>>They would waffle, negotiate, deal with the corrupt UN, make token
>>raids on the wrong targets to kill a few people (usually the wrong
>>ones) and then get so backed into a corner that they either have
>>to capitulate to the jihadis or, as you say below, go boom, as in
>>nukes... I would like to avoid that.
>>
>>
>>>To decent is not American?
>>>Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place
well
>>>before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to
>the
>>>US public. 911 and then WMDS..
>>
>>
>>So it's hard to sell war to americans after the biggest attack since
>>WWII? How do you figure?
>>
>>We found the WMD's btw. 500 of them, and one of
>>Saddams own guys says the rest went to Syria. You cannot win
>>this argument on evidence so you resort to wild paranoia, and
>>accuse others of "kool-aid"? amazing really...
>>
>>I don't CARE if you support Bush or not, I do care about the lengths
>>you are going to, to make a case that cannot be made rationally.
>>
>>
>>>The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
>>WW3
>>>war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or popular
>>>with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
>engagements
>>>haven't worked out..

>>
>>For you and your side to win, we must lose this war. So you
>>and yours have called it a mistake and a "quagmire" since about
>>a week into it, made absurd vietnam comparisons, and failed to
>>praise even the brave Iraqi's who voted in such high numbers
>>under the threat of being attacked at any time. You all just
>>went nice and quiet and waited for the next bombing or
>>decapitation so you could start the rap up again. And you wonder
>>why people question your loyalty? I have never seen so many
>>americans hoping for our defeat. Our brave soldiers are succeeding
>>despite the best efforts of both the jihadis and the left.
>>
>>
>>>So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is
>>way
>>>way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>>>to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
>middle-east
>>>occupation.....
>>
>>Actually, as with much of your rap, you got the facts wrong again.
>>
>><http://www.azstarnet.com/news/141607>
>>
>>
>>>Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local recruiting
>>>centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African Americans
>>>don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in
>the
>>>prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..
>>
>>While African immigrants are wildly successful here, and the
>>left still refuses to look at the results of their misguided programs...
>>The imprisonment rate of African Americans closely follows the rate
>>of decline in intact families which inversely follows the rate of
>>paternalistic liberal programs. But no, it's so much easier to
>>blame Bush.
>>
>>
>>The
>>>American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
>>>oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
>>>
>>>I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
>>>for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed
>>>forces.
>>
>>Is there actual evidence for this?

>>
>>
>>>Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle
>east?
>>>Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for permanant
>>>US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke
>>>the entire region???
>>
>>A secular and democratic state would do so. Hence Iraq.
>>Remember, we would like to avoid nukes?
>>
>>
>>>See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
>>>and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2
>>>(in the PACific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
>>>stand..
>>
>>Of course, a Republican (Lincoln) ended slavery, but it looks like
>>that record doesn't stand for much with you. Oh, it's in the past?
>>Well so are the days of Democrats being *anything* like Truman.
>>
>>And EVERY SINGLE Truman or even Kennedy democrat has been
>>purged from the democratic party, the most recent being a good
>>and decent Kennedy liberal named Leiberman.
>>
>>Listen LaMont, I am not a conservative, and neither is DJ. You need
>>to admit that this is a complex and difficult issue and good points
>>can be made on both sides. Come, let us reason together.
>>
>>There's a lot at stake.
>>
>>DC
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 18:21:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

911 a year earlier...Not..It happened on your guys watch! Period!!!
You guys can try to swing it Clinton's way all you like, but you are in a
very small minority. This is Bushes baby. He and his party "Stole the election,
and this is their reward!!

The jury is still out about 911 and it's "true" culprits.. I put nothing
past a nation who has a history of terrorist act against it's citizens including
assassinating presidents and political leaders and organizations. Now, if

you are of white decent, then you wouldn't see things that way.. But, as an African American, we tend to see and view the US government and it's past dealing with my race differently. We watched our government stand pat as we we being lynched, raped and beaten in the 40's-50s and 60s, & 70's.. We learn of secret tapings of Martin Luther King with FBI director calling Dr King a "Terrorist" !!!! They called every African American leader "un-patriotic", and a threat to our nation.

To Dedric:

The Civil war was not a war to end slavery. You nneed to to more research. Ending slavery was a neccessary means to an end. To get the "cheap" labors from \$\$\$thriving" southern agriculutral industrys to the Nothern new factories. The south was kicking the "Norths" behinds monetarily\$\$ With the souths cheap "but" very laborers, the north could not compete.. So..How can we get some that Cheap labors(North)..Hummm.."The Slaves need to free" so they can come up here and work for us...

That's why Slavery ended. it had nothing to do with the so-called "do-gooders". Yes abolishoinist we around, but it was the likes of big northern industies and with the help of the US (Yankee) army to make that haepend. The south was fight for it's \$\$\$\$ life.. We all no what soon happend to the south after the war when the slaves left the plantations..The south has never fully recovered from that economic \$\$ hit. the north thrived..

DJ: Don;t belive all the Japan nees cars are great hype and cheap: They are not cheap. A hond accord can cost you upwards to \$27,000 ..so can a Camery.. It's all perception my friend. The guys and gals who work for Toyota & Honda in th states are all \$\$Highly paid .. I've owned Honda's, Toyota, Jags nd GM, Ford, Chryslers..and The Honda, while agreat engine, rusted after 6 years on all it's panels.. Now I know this due to my neck of the woods (Mid-west) acid rain, salted roads in the winter....BUT, non of my american cars rust like this..Even more. for us , since everyone here get's a employee discount on (GM's, Ford, Chryslers) it just works out better \$\$\$ to get a domestic car.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>I don't think the administration or the democrats want to close the borders.

>It wouldn't be politically prodent. whoever does that loses the hispanic

>vote.

>

>>My questions are: Whatever happed to "We" US people will let you guys fight

>your little war, and you'll "Bush-ites" will give us cheap gas??<

>

>Where did you get that? Can you quote a source. That was the Clinton mantra

>my friend. The ecenomy appears to be good so let's keep whistling in the

>dark aned not rock the boat while the threats gather on the horizon. If

911

>would have happened a year earlier, the blame would have been clear to even
>you.
>
>>Well, we all got reamed with no grease on all fronts.. I live on a boader
>state-city (Cannda/Windor Ontario).. I guess since we're not geting the
>infl;ux
>of hispanics like you guys in the southwest, there is not a big brew ha..No,
>up here, it's about Jobs, Jobs, Jobs, Jobs and Gas\$\$\$\$ Gas \$\$\$\$ Gas\$\$\$\$..<
>
>I've got no problem with peoiple who happen to be from Mexico or anywhere
>else. I've got a problem with an uncontrolled border and with terrorists..As
>far as jobs jobs jobs are concerned, perhaps you should consider the reason
>why the detroit auto industry doesn't produce cars that people want to buy
>anymore. Compared to what is available from the foriegn competitors, they
>are overpriced crap my friend. If they built the Honda spec, the car would
>cost so much that no one would buy it because of the amount of union lard
>that is ladled onto the price tag of each vehicle so they cut corners and
>build cheap because that the only way they can achieve a copmpetitive price
>point. They have been crap since the early 70's.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e95d65\$1@linux...
>>
>> Hey DJ,
>> Do you think that just maybe the Bush(ites) don't want to close the
>borders?
>> I mean, hes' the great protector and all..Right?
>> Just maybe they have something more elaborate up their collective sleeves
>> Humm??
>>
>> Maybe they want another 911..TO:
>>
>> -Really convince the american people that "total globalization" and
>expansion
>> is the only way..
>>
>> -spread democracy to evey corner of the earth.
>>
>> -Convices us that we must be at war until Jesus comes back..
>>
>> My questions are: Whatever happed to "We" US people will let you guys
>fight
>> your little war, and you'll "Bush-ites" will give us cheap gas??

>>
>> Well, we all got reamed with no grease on all fronts.. I live on a boader
>> state-city (Cannda/Windor Ontario).. I guess since we're not geting the
>infl;ux
>> of hispanics like you guys in the southwest, there is not a big brew
>ha..No,
>> up here, it's about Jobs, Jobs, Jobs, Jobs and Gas\$\$\$\$ Gas \$\$\$\$ Gas\$\$\$\$..
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >LaMont,
>> >
>> >I certainly respect you faith, whatever that may be. I certainly disagree
>> >with your opinions though. I'll be as ready as anyone for a new
>> >administration, but it will need to be an administration that is willing
>> to
>> >fight, not appease.....and the idea of the likes of Harry Reed, Pelosi,
>> >Kennedy, etc. having positions of greater power and influence bode ill
>for
>> >the future of this nation. I do agree with you about the border
>situation.
>> >that's the biggest clusterfuck that Bush has pulled, IMHO. the first
>thing
>> >we should have done after 911 was secure the borders, no matter what
the
>> >cost politically and monetarily. I doubt, however, that anyone, democrat
>> or
>> >republican will be willing to do this. Folks may be paranoid about NYC,
>> but
>> >Houston is where the energy infrastructure lies and one nuke in or around
>> >the Houston ship channel and we're toast.
>> >
>> >Regards,
>> >
>> >DJ
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e93a92\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot
>ox,
>> >then
>> >> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned
>country

>> >> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."

>> >>

>> >> This is not about the left or the right, Dems & Reps. you have drunk-ed

>> >the

>> >> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long

>> >time

>> >> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the

>President.Callit

>> >> Mid-term elections.

>> >>

>> >> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have

>gone

>> >> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with

>left

>> >> or right. The bush(ites) have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some

>say

>> >> "Boogymen"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more

>Liberal

>> >> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending

>> and

>> >> spending with reckless abandonment.

>> >> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the fence as well as Clinton

>> >did.

>> >> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those

>> poor

>> >> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the

>pump(LOL)...!!

>> >> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...

>> >>

>> >> No, I'm a conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative

>> >Democrats.

>> >> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They

>Michigan

>> >> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles

have

>> >fought

>> >> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.

>> >Some

>> >> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue

>> >Collar)

>> >> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love

our

>> >guns..

>> >>

>> >>

>> >> So, you play that Dems & Reps game all you like. But, both whites

and

>> >non

>> >> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht
is
>> >coming
>> >> from the white house..
>> >> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war
form
>> >some
>> >> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
>> >children..than
>> >> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
>> >> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
>> >kool-aid..
>> >> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war,
>then
>> >> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch
>> >Fox
>> >> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli
>> >war
>> >> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....
>> >> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
>> >>
>> >> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook
to
>> >get
>> >> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we
>were
>> >> losing that war, in was not new worthy.
>> >>
>> >> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much
>worse
>> >> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in
>> >office???
>> >> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!
>> He
>> >> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live
>> and
>> >> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a
>> >President(Republican
>> >> or Democrat)..
>> >>
>> >> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith
>> and
>> >> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us
>who
>> >> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith
and
>> >trust
>> >> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!

>> >> Even worst, putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..

>> >> are we that stupid ??

>> >> Next regime please..

>> >>

>> >>

>> >>

>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >> >> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the

>> >> >current

>> >> >administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in

>> >> >and

>> >> >stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when

>> >> >teaming

>> >> >with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the

>> >> >American public, not military leaders.<

>> >> >

>> >> >This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the

>> >> >ballot

>> >> >box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole

>> >> >damned

>> >> >country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive

>> >> >ideology.

>> >> >

>> >> >

>> >> >

>> >> >

>> >> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message

>> >> >news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...

>> >> >> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"

>> >> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >> >>

>> >> >>

>> >> >> >>

>> >> >> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent.

>> >> That

>> >> >says

>> >> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him

>> >> or

>> >> >that

>> >> >> > "sham"

>> >> >> > Iraq war.

>> >> >> >

>> >> >> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was

>> >> >still

>> >> >going

>> >> >> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took

>> >> >office.

>> >> >>

>> >> >> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is

over
>> -
>> >> the
>> >> >> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
>> we
>> >> are
>> >> >> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government
>with
>> >> what
>> >> >> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
>> >number
>> >> >> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
>> >insurgency
>> >> >> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at
the
>> >hands
>> >> >> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists
and
>> >thugs
>> >> >> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
>> I
>> >> >> recently talked with).
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
>> >current
>> >> >> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe
in
>> and
>> >> >> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>> >> >teaming
>> >> >> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's
the
>> >> >> American public, not military leaders.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or
>can't
>> >> >> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina,
>terrorism,
>> >> or
>> >> >hot
>> >> >> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually
>> >understand
>> >> >> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>> >> >> compromise every single day.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected
>> >president
>> >> >in

>> >> >> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not
>pass
>> >> Go
>> >> >or
>> >> >> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are
>turning
>> >> me
>> >> >> into a pessimist. ;-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 18:28:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's only a waist of time because you keep spilling the white-house press
clips. You get all of your fact from the good o'l fox news broadcast..Now,
that's a station full of real facts..

"DC" <dc@spammersincuba.com> wrote:

>
>Once again, your facts are simply wrong. It was the quickest victory
>over a regime in the history of warfare, with the fewest US
>casualties and the fewest non-combatants killed.
>
>Making a new govt. work there is proving to be a challenge with
>the foreign head choppers and jihadis who have come in, and the
>diehard Saddam loyalists. But once again, you are cheering for
>the other side. Do you really think Iraqis are too stupid for
>democracy?
>
>This "conversation" with you is clearly becoming a wasye of time.
>
>DC
>
>
>
>"LaMont " <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>
>>Oh yeah. .just look a t what going to Iraq has done for US??? Yeah, we really
>>slapped them around. ?? Meanwhile, our boys and girls are returning home
>>in bags for a false cause and A NOT well Planned attack"..
>>
>>You talk about Clinton..Let's look at this idiot we have in office now.
>He's
>>so dumb he can't even remember the last lie he told a few seconds ago.
Deer
>>in the headlights. He's an embarrassment to this Nation..
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinprison.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid"
>>>>that the Neo-con right has given you.
>>>>Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.
>>>
>>>And Clinton was incompetent to a staggering degree. Deal with
>>>it.
>>>
>>>>Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals
>>>>would not fight??
>>>
>>>They would waffle, negotiate, deal with the corrupt UN, make token
>>>raids on the wrong targets to kill a few people (usually the wrong
>>>ones) and then get so backed into a corner that they either have
>>>to capitulate to the jihadis or, as you say below, go boom, as in
>>>nukes... I would like to avoid that.
>>>
>>>
>>>>To decent is not American?
>>>>Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place
>well
>>>>before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to
>>the
>>>>US public. 911 and then WMDS..
>>>
>>>
>>>>So it's hard to sell war to americans after the biggest attack since
>>>WWII? How do you figure?
>>>
>>>>We found the WMD's btw. 500 of them, and one of
>>>Saddams own guys says the rest went to Syria. You cannot win
>>>this argument on evidence so you resort to wild paranoia, and
>>>accuse others of "kool-aid"? amazing really...

>>>
>>>I don't CARE if you support Bush or not, I do care about the lengths
>>>you are going to, to make a case that cannot be made rationally.
>>>
>>>
>>>>The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
>>>WW3
>>>>war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or
popular
>>>>with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
>>engagements
>>>>haven't worked out..
>>>
>>>>For you and your side to win, we must lose this war. So you
>>>>and yours have called it a mistake and a "quagmire" since about
>>>a week into it, made absurd vietnam comparisons, and failed to
>>>praise even the brave Iraqi's who voted in such high numbers
>>>under the threat of being attacked at any time. You all just
>>>went nice and quiet and waited for the next bombing or
>>>decapitation so you could start the rap up again. And you wonder
>>>why people question your loyalty? I have never seen so many
>>>americans hoping for our defeat. Our brave soldiers are succeeding
>>>despite the best efforts of both the jihadis and the left.
>>>
>>>
>>>>So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment
is
>>>>way
>>>>way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>>>>to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
>>middle-east
>>>>occupation.....
>>>
>>>>Actually, as with much of your rap, you got the facts wrong again.
>>>
>>>><http://www.azstarnet.com/news/141607>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local
recruiting
>>>>centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African Americans
>>>>don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in
>>the
>>>>prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..
>>>
>>>>While African immigrants are wildly successful here, and the
>>>>left still refuses to look at the results of their misguided programs...
>>>>The imprisonment rate of African Americans closely follows the rate

>>>>of decline in intact families which inversely follows the rate of
>>>paternalistic liberal programs. But no, it's so much easier to
>>>blame Bush.
>>>
>>>
>>>The
>>>>American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
>>>>oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
>>>>
>>>>I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
>>>>for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed
>>>>forces.
>>>
>>>Is there actual evidence for this?
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle
>>east?
>>>>Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for
permanant
>>>>US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and
nuke
>>>>the entire region???
>>>
>>>A secular and democratic state would do so. Hence Iraq.
>>>Remember, we would like to avoid nukes?
>>>
>>>
>>>>See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
>>>>and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the
WW2
>>>>(in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
>>>>stand..
>>>
>>>Of course, a Republican (Lincoln) ended slavery, but it looks like
>>>that record doesn't stand for much with you. Oh, it's in the past?
>>>Well so are the days of Democrats being *anything* like Truman.
>>>
>>>And EVERY SINGLE Truman or even Kennedy democrat has been
>>>purged from the democratic party, the most recent being a good
>>>and decent Kennedy liberal named Leiberman.
>>>
>>>Listen LaMont, I am not a conservative, and neither is DJ. You need
>>>to admit that this is a complex and difficult issue and good points
>>>can be made on both sides. Come, let us reason together.
>>>
>>>There's a lot at stake.
>>>

>>>DC
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 18:55:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>But, as
>an African American, we tend to see and view the US government and it's
past
>dealing with my race differently. We watched our goverment stand pat as
>we we being lynched, raped and beaten in the 40's-50s and 60s, & 70's..
We
>learn of secret tapings of Martin Luther King with FBI director calling
Dr
>King a "Terrorist" !!!! They called every African American leader "un-patriotic",
>and a threat to our nation.

What unmerciful bullsh*t. You mention all of that without ONE
DAMN WORD about the bloodiest war in our history to end
slavery, and in doing so you spit on the grave of every moral white
man who died in that war, and every buffalo soldier and every other
american who fought to end slavery. Oh, that's right, the Civil
war was fought to get more cheap labor... Yeah, all those guys died
for cheap labor...

Man, you need to get out more. You are the black version of
the John Birch society and the Illuminati crowd...

And every African American leader is called unpatriotic?

WHAT?

Except for these guys:

<http://www.tuskegeeairmen.org/>

and these guys:

http://www4.army.mil/ocpa/read.php?story_id_key=2349

oh, and these guys:

http://www.homeofheroes.com/e-books/mohE_black/index1.html

and this guy

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clarence_Thomas

and this guy

<http://www.tsowell.com/>

and this guy:

<http://www.lynnswann.com/>

and this guy

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Colin_Powell

and this guy:

<http://www.alankeyes.com/>

and this guy:

<http://www.larryelder.com/>

And this guy

<http://www.core-online.org/Staff/roy.htm>

And this guy:

<http://www.core-online.org/Staff/niger.htm>

And this guy:

<http://www.hoover.org/bios/steele>

and this guy:

<http://www.gmu.edu/departments/economics/wew/>

Oh, I FORGOT, they are not liberals! Well then, they are not African American right?

The only REAL African American leaders are liberals, right?

DC

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 19:11:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, it happened the first time in 1992 and they didn't succeeded in bringing down the towers

<http://www.fas.org/irp/world/iraq/956-tni.htm>

.....they were just a little late in getting it together in 2001, but Clinton gave them plenty of breathing room to get it right. Bush just happened to be president by that time.....but in the meantime, they had a couple of trial runs and managed to bring down a couple of embassies.....

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/htmlContent.jhtml?html=/archive/1_998/08/08/wbom08..html

and if you want to talk about conspiracies, there's this.....

<http://www.wsws.org/news/1998/aug1998/bomb-a13.shtml>

oops.....but wait.....that would be the Clinton administration being accused of blowing up our own people in a terrorist plot instead of the the Bush administration and so I doubt that this would fity your agenda.

.....and so your point is what???.....that 911 wouldn't have happened if Gore had been elected?

but I guess that doesn't count huh?

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e9f9b2\$1@linux...
>

> 911 a year earlier...Not..It happened on your guys watch! Period!!!

> You guys can try to swing it Clinton's way all you like, but you are in a
> very small minority. This is Bushes baby. He and his party "Stole the election,

> and this is their reward!!

>

> The jury is still out about 911 and it's "true" culprits.. I put nothing

> past a nation who has a history of terrorist act against it's citizens including

> assassinating presidents and political leaders and organizations. Now, if

> you are of white decent, then you wouldn't see things that way.. But, as

> an African American, we tend to see and view the US government and it's past

> dealing with my race differently. We watched our goverment stand pat as

> we we being lynched, raped and beaten in the 40's-50s and 60s, & 70's.. We

> learn of secret tapings of Martin Luther King with FBI director calling Dr

> King a "Terrorist" !!!! They called every African American leader "un-patriotic",

> and a threat to our nation.

>

> To Dedic:

> The Civil war was not a war to end slavery. You nneed to to more research.

> Ending slavery was a neccessary means to an end. To get the "cheap" larbors

> from \$\$\$thriving" southern agriculutral industrys to the Nothern new factories.

> The south was kicking the "Norths" behinds monetarily\$\$ With the souths cheap

> "but" very laborers, the north could not compete.. So..How can we get some

> that Cheap labors(North)..Hummm.."The Slaves need to free" so they can come

> up here and work for us...

> That's why Slavery ended. it had nothing to do with the so-called "do-gooders".

> Yes abolishoinist we around, but it was the likes of big northern industies

> and with the help of the US (Yankee) army to make that haapend. The south

> was fight for it's \$\$\$\$ life.. We all no what soon happend to the south after

> the war when the slaves left the plantations..The south has never fully recovered

> from that economic \$\$ hit. the north thrived..

>

> DJ: Don;t belive all the Japan nees cars are great hype and cheap: They are

> not cheap. A hond accord can cost you upwards to \$27,000 ..so can a Camery..

> It's all perception my friend. The guys and gals who work for Toyota & Honda

> in th states are all \$\$Highly paid .. I've owned Honda's, Toyota, Jags nd

> GM, Ford, Chryslers..and The Honda, while agreat engine, rusted after 6 years

> on all it's panels.. Now I know this due to my neck of the woods (Mid-west)

> acid rain, salted roads in the winter....BUT, non of my american cars rust

> like this..Even more. for us , since everyone here get's a employee

discount

> on (GM's, Ford, Chryslers) it just works out better \$\$\$ to get a domestic
> car.

>

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >I don't think the administration or the democrats want to close the
borders.

> >It wouldn't be politically prudent. whoever does that loses the hispanic
> >vote.

> >

> >>My questions are: Whatever happened to "We" US people will let you guys
fight

> >your little war, and you'll "Bush-ites" will give us cheap gas??<

> >

> >Where did you get that? Can you quote a source. That was the Clinton
mantra

> >my friend. The economy appears to be good so let's keep whistling in the

> >darkened not rock the boat while the threats gather on the horizon. If

> 911

> >would have happened a year earlier, the blame would have been clear to
even

> >you.

> >

> >>Well, we all got reamed with no grease on all fronts.. I live on a
boarder

> >state-city (Canada/Windsor Ontario).. I guess since we're not getting the

> >inflation

> >of hispanics like you guys in the southwest, there is not a big brew
ha..No,

> >up here, it's about Jobs, Jobs, Jobs, Jobs and Gas\$\$\$\$ Gas \$\$\$\$
Gas\$\$\$\$..<

> >

> >I've got no problem with people who happen to be from Mexico or anywhere

> >else. I've got a problem with an uncontrolled border and with
terrorists..As

> >far as jobs jobs jobs are concerned, perhaps you should consider the
reason

> >why the Detroit auto industry doesn't produce cars that people want to
buy

> >anymore. Compared to what is available from the foreign competitors, they

> >are overpriced crap my friend. If they built the Honda spec, the car
would

> >cost so much that no one would buy it because of the amount of union lard

> >that is ladled onto the price tag of each vehicle so they cut corners and

> >build cheap because that the only way they can achieve a competitive
price

> >point. They have been crap since the early 70's.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e95d65\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> Hey DJ,
> >> Do you think that just maybe the Bush(ites) don't want to close the
> >borders?
> >> I mean, hes' the great protector and all..Right?
> >> Just maybe they have something more elaborate up their collective
sleeves
> >> Humm??
> >>
> >> Maybe they want another 911..TO:
> >>
> >> -Really convince the american people that "total globalization" and
> >expansion
> >> is the only way..
> >>
> >> -spread democracy to evey corner of the earth.
> >>
> >> -Convices us that we must be at war until Jesus comes back..
> >>
> >> My questions are: Whatever happed to "We" US people will let you guys
> >fight
> >> your little war, and you'll "Bush-ites" will give us cheap gas??
> >>
> >> Well, we all got reamed with no grease on all fronts.. I live on a
boader
> >> state-city (Cannda/Windor Ontario).. I guess since we're not geting the
> >infl;ux
> >> of hispanics like you guys in the southwest, there is not a big brew
> >ha..No,
> >> up here, it's about Jobs, Jobs, Jobs, Jobs and Gas\$\$\$\$ Gas \$\$\$\$
Gas\$\$\$\$..
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >LaMont,
> >> >

> >> >I certainly respect you faith, whatever that may be. I certainly disagree
> >> >with your opinions though. I'll be as ready as anyone for a new
> >> >administration, but it will need to be an administration that is willing
> >> to
> >> >fight, not appease.....and the idea of the likes of Harry Reed, Pelosi,
> >> >Kennedy, etc. having positions of greater power and influence bode ill
> >> for
> >> >the future of this nation. I do agree with you about the border
> >> situation.
> >> >that's the biggest clusterfuck that Bush has pulled, IMHO. the first
> >> thing
> >> >we should have done after 911 was secure the borders, no matter what
> >> the
> >> >cost politically and monetarily. I doubt, however, that anyone, democrat
> >> or
> >> >republican will be willing to do this. Folks may be paranoid about NYC,
> >> but
> >> >Houston is where the energy infrastructure lies and one nuke in or around
> >> the Houston ship channel and we're toast.
> >> >
> >> >Regards,
> >> >
> >> >DJ
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:44e93a92\$1@linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot
> >> ox,
> >> then
> >> >> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned
> >> country
> >> >> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
> >> >>
> >> >> This is not about the left or the tight, Dems & Reps. you have drank-ed
> >> the
> >> >> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship
> >> long
> >> time

> > > ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the
> > President. Call it
> > > Mid-term elections.
> > >
> > > One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have
> > gone
> > > down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with
> > left
> > > or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some
> > say
> > > "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more
> > Liberal
> > > than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps
spending
> > and
> > > spending with reckless abandonment.
> > > Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as
Clinton
> > > did.
> > > AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All
those
> > poor
> > > working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the
> > pump(LOL)...!!
> > > Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
> > >
> > > No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are
Conservative
> > > Democrats.
> > > In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They
> > Michigan
> > > Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles
> > have
> > > fought
> > > (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair
pay.
> > > Some
> > > lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern
(Blue
> > > Collar)
> > > Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love
> > our
> > > guns..
> > >
> > >
> > > So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites
> > and
> > > non

> > > whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht
 > is
 > > > coming
 > > > from the white house..
 > > > No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war
 > form
 > > > some
 > > > imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
 > > > children..than
 > > > to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
 > > > You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
 > > > kool-aid..
 > > > So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war,
 > > then
 > > > be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ...
 Watch
 > > > Fox
 > > > new network all day and night...By the way: Since the
 Hezbollah/Israeli
 > > > war
 > > > came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....
 > > > They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
 > > >
 > > > Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook
 > to
 > > > get
 > > > off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we
 > > were
 > > > losing that war, in was not new worthy.
 > > >
 > > > Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much
 > > worse
 > > > than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in
 > > > office???
 > > > No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on
 thrown!!
 > > He
 > > > is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to
 live
 > > and
 > > > to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a
 > > > President(Republican
 > > > or Democrat)..
 > > >
 > > > See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and
 faith
 > > and
 > > > trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us

> >who
 > >> >> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith
 > and
 > >> >trust
 > >> >> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
 > >> >> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
 > >> >> are we that stupid ??
 > >> >> Next regime please..
 > >> >>
 > >> >>
 > >> >>
 > >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
 > >> >> >>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
 > >current
 > >> >> >administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
 > >and
 > >> >> >stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
 > >> >teaming
 > >> >> >with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's
 the
 > >> >> >American public, not military leaders.<
 > >> >> >
 > >> >> >This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at
 the
 > >> >ballot
 > >> >> >box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the
 whole
 > >> >damned
 > >> >> >country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive
 > >ideology.
 > >> >> >
 > >> >> >
 > >> >> >
 > >> >> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
 > >> >> >news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
 > >> >> >> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
 > >> >> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
 > >> >> >>
 > >> >> >>
 > >> >> >> >>
 > >> >> >> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36
 percent.
 > >> That
 > >> >> >says
 > >> >> >> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him
 > or
 > >> >that
 > >> >> >> > "sham"

> > > > > Iraq war.
> > > > >
> > > > > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and
was
> > >still
> > > >going
> > > > > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took
> >office.
> > > > >
> > > > > And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is
> over
> > >-
> > > >the
> > > > > Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But
instead,
> > >we
> > > >are
> > > > > now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government
> >with
> > > >what
> > > > > has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The
greatest
> > >number
> > > > > of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
> > >insurgency
> > > > > of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at
> the
> > >hands
> > > > > of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists
> and
> > >thugs
> > > > > (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from
Iraq
> > >I
> > > > > recently talked with).
> > > > >
> > > > > Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
> > >current
> > > > > administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe
> in
> > >and
> > > > > stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did
when
> > > >teaming
> > > > > with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's
> the
> > > > > American public, not military leaders.
> > > > >

> The south was kicking the "Norths" behinds monetarily \$\$ With the souths cheap
> "but" very laborers, the north could not compete.. So..How can we get some
> that Cheap labors(North)..Hummm.."The Slaves need to free" so they can come
> up here and work for us...
> That's why Slavery ended. it had nothing to do with the so-called
> "do-gooders".
> Yes abolishoinist we around, but it was the likes of big northern industies
> and with the help of the US (Yankee) army to make that haapend. The south
> was fight for it's \$\$\$\$ life.. We all no what soon happend to the south after
> the war when the slaves left the plantations..The south has never fully
> recovered
> from that economic \$\$ hit. the north thrived..

I know. I was waiting to see if anyone caught the irony - a war for monetary gain that we now look back on with a sort of "pride" that we righted our "wrong". Yet in the same breath we choose to see no value to the Iraqi people in being free from being held captive by a tyrannical regime, simply because that wasn't the real reason for the war. Hmm, sounds familiar. Have you made the connection?

We pick and choose what works for us - even after the deed is done, regardless of the validity of the intent. That's the lesser known definition of "politics". Big business rules not only our wallets, but our hearts and political minds too. Time to look down the road up there in Michigan if you want to blame someone for our oil motivated society that even has a relationship with the Middle East...

I'll follow DC's request and abide by a cease fire. If you need to respond, feel free. I'm off - work to do.

My next post will likely be about a plugin.

Dedric

>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:14:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DC, it is now evident that you not only don't your bible, but you don't diddly-squat about history either..
I won't berate you with verbal attacks, because I see that you're ignorant and dumb.

Unlike DJ & Dedric, who have so eloquently stated their views and with passion and intelligence, you on the other hand have done neither.

"DC" <dc@spammersinharlem.com> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>>But, as

>>an African American, we tend to see and view the US government and it's
>past

>>dealing with my race differently. We watched our government stand pat as
>>we were being lynched, raped and beaten in the 40's-50s and 60s, & 70's..

>We

>>learn of secret tapings of Martin Luther King with FBI director calling

>Dr

>>King a "Terrorist" !!!! They called every African American leader "un-patriotic",
>>and a threat to our nation.

>

>

>What unmerciful bullsh*t. You mention all of that without ONE

>DAMN WORD about the bloodiest war in our history to end

>slavery, and in doing so you spit on the grave of every moral white

>man who died in that war, and every buffalo soldier and every other

>american who fought to end slavery. Oh, that's right, the Civil

>war was fought to get more cheap labor... Yeah, all those guys died

>for cheap labor...

>

>the John Birch society and the Illuminati crowd...

>

>

>And every African American leader is called unpatriotic?

>

>WHAT?

>

>Except for these guys:

>

><http://www.tuskegeeairmen.org/>

>

>and these guys:

>

>http://www4.army.mil/ocpa/read.php?story_id_key=2349

>

>oh, and these guys:

>

>http://www.homeofheroes.com/e-books/mohE_black/index1.html

>

>and this guy
>
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clarence_Thomas
>
>and this guy
>
><http://www.tsowell.com/>
>
>and this guy:
>
><http://www.lynnswann.com/>
>
>and this guy
>
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Colin_Powell
>
>and this guy:
>
><http://www.alankeyes.com/>
>
>and this guy:
>
><http://www.larryelder.com/>
>
>And this guy
>
><http://www.core-online.org/Staff/roy.htm>
>
>And this guy:
>
><http://www.core-online.org/Staff/niger.htm>
>
>And this guy:
>
><http://www.hoover.org/bios/steele>
>
>and this guy:
>
><http://www.gmu.edu/departments/economics/wew/>
>
>
>Oh, I FORGOT, they are not liberals! Well then, they are not
>African American right?
>
>The only REAL African American leaders are liberals, right?
>
>
>DC

>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:21:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DC, it seems that you unlike 99 percent of African Americans agree with any of those So called black conservatives.
Oh you forgot one: Condoleezza Rice.. Her name is not really popular within the African American race these days as well..

Responding to your post are a waste of good mixing time.

"DC" <dc@spammersinharlem.com> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>>But, as

>>an African American, we tend to see and view the US government and it's
>past

>>dealing with my race differently. We watched our government stand pat as
>>we we being lynched, raped and beaten in the 40's-50s and 60s, & 70's..

>We

>>learn of secret tapings of Martin Luther King with FBI director calling

>Dr

>>King a "Terrorist" !!!! They called every African American leader "un-patriotic",
>>and a threat to our nation.

>

>

>What unmerciful bullsh*t. You mention all of that without ONE

>DAMN WORD about the bloodiest war in our history to end

>slavery, and in doing so you spit on the grave of every moral white

>man who died in that war, and every buffalo soldier and every other

>american who fought to end slavery. Oh, that's right, the Civil

>war was fought to get more cheap labor... Yeah, all those guys died

>for cheap labor...

>

>the John Birch society and the Illuminati crowd...

>

>

>And every African American leader is called unpatriotic?

>

>WHAT?
>
>Except for these guys:
>
><http://www.tuskegeeairmen.org/>
>
>and these guys:
>
>http://www4.army.mil/ocpa/read.php?story_id_key=2349
>
>oh, and these guys:
>
>http://www.homeofheroes.com/e-books/mohE_black/index1.html
>
>and this guy
>
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clarence_Thomas
>
>and this guy
>
><http://www.tsowell.com/>
>
>and this guy:
>
><http://www.lynnswann.com/>
>
>and this guy
>
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Colin_Powell
>
>and this guy:
>
><http://www.alankeyes.com/>
>
>and this guy:
>
><http://www.larryelder.com/>
>
>And this guy
>
><http://www.core-online.org/Staff/roy.htm>
>
>And this guy:
>
><http://www.core-online.org/Staff/niger.htm>
>
>And this guy:
>

>http://www.hoover.org/bios/steele
>
>and this guy:
>
>http://www.gmu.edu/departments/economics/wew/
>
>
>Oh, I FORGOT, they are not liberals! Well then, they are not
>African American right?
>
>The only REAL African American leaders are liberals, right?
>
>
>DC
>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [Mark McDermott](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:40:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

At least with the current idiot I never have to turn off the evening news
in front of my kids because of the DNA and BJs.

;)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
>Oh No.. This biggest dumb -ass idiot is our current president for sure.
He's
>an embarrassment to this nation..
>
>
>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>The reason I'm so disappointed in Clinton is that during his whole
>>administration, I was wanting him to do the things he needed to
>>do.....and I watched in fcinated horror as he fucked up again and again..
>>Even an insignificant, regular old citizen like me could see what needed
>to
>>be done and he couldn't????.....and I was right!!!!. It just blows
>me
>>away. I wanted to believe that I was missing something and that this Rhoades
>>scholar superdude/great national hope knew more than I did. Well, he turned
>>out to be the bitggest dumbass we've ever elected and his oversights brought
>>us to 911.

>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e967d0\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the
>>"Kool-Aid"
>>> that the Neo-con right has given you.
>>> Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.
>>>
>>>
>>> Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric,
>let
>>> me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.
>>>
>>> Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called
>>liberals
>>> would not fight??
>>>
>>> To decent is not American?
>>> Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place
>well
>>> before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to
>the
>>> US public. 911 and then WMDS..
>>>
>>> The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
>>WW3
>>> war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or
>>popular
>>> with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
>>engagements
>>> haven't worked out..
>>>
>>> So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment
is
>>way
>>> way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>>> to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
>>middle-east
>>> occupation.....
>>>
>>> Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local
>>recruiting
>>> centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African
>>Americans
>>> don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in
>the
>>> prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The
>>> American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where

>>> oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm

>>>

>>> I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans

>>> for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed

>>> forces.

>>> Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that

>thew

>>> will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights

>>that

>>> all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen.. Well,

>>> it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government has

>>ever

>>> fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.

>>>

>>> Only this time, I hope that our Goverment lives up to it's promises to

>>them,

>>> unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..

>>>

>>> Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's

>>Liberal(ism)

>>> is a mental dissorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and

>is

>>> a raging lunatic.What's his lines"Borders, Languages, and culture. Man,

>>what

>>> a lunatic, however, very entertaining..

>>>

>>> Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle

>>east?

>>> Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for

>>permanant

>>> US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and

nuke

>>> the entire region???

>>>

>>> See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident

>>> and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the

WW2

>>> (in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record

>>> stand..

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>> >LaMont (sigh.....)

>>> >

>>> >I've got a few thoughts below:

>>> >

>>> >"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...

>>> >>
>>> >> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
>to
>>> >fight.
>>> >> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>>> >change
>>> >> here."
>>> >>
>>> >> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka
>the
>>> >present
>>> >> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our
>>> >liberties,freedom
>>> >> under the guise of democracy.
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing
>it
>>> >completely?
>>> >
>>> >> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe
>i
>>this
>>> >> regime, are still drinking the kool-iad that Tony Snow spills. It's
>soo
>>> >un-beliveable
>>> >> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become
>>> >laughable.
>>> >> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States
>>fear
>>> >> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.
>>> >
>>> >Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he
>>thought
>>> >he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach
for
>>> war
>>> >and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.
>>> >Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one
of
>>the
>>> >few things I like about him.
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
>>says
>>> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
that

>>> >"sham"
>>> >> Iraq war.
>>> >
>>> >The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
>>going
>>> >strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>> >>
>>> >> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for this
>>> sham
>>> >> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never
>>take
>>> >> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what poor
>>> >folks
>>> >> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush for
>>> >weasling
>>> >> out the vietnam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm
>if
>>> I'd
>>> >> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.
>>> >> LAD
>>> >
>>> >Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good
in
>>the
>>> >world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know
>>it.
>>> >Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war that
>>> has
>>> >caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay
for
>>> it.
>>> >Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect
>>slick
>>> >Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war,
>>> >sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition.
>>> >The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake
>>> >poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there
are
>>> some
>>> >incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. There were
>>> >still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters
who
>>> >would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia were
>>> >controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep
us
>up
>>> to
>>> >speed on a rapidly changing world.

>>> >
>>> >Now what would a *real*leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and
>>create
>>> >a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect this
>>> >country?
>>> >
>>> >Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting
a
>new
>>> >policy at the CIA that severely limited the interaction of the CIA with
>>> >anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration
>due
>>> to
>>> >their human rights history. That pretty much takes 90% of the folks in
>the
>>> >middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism
>and
>>> >espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and
>good
>>> in
>>> >a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the
>time
>>> he
>>> >left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places
>we
>>> >needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts
>>off
>>> >about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while
>>turning
>>> >a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing
>>> >everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them
oil
>>> >under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very
>>> >Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man,
>the
>>> >polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind
>of
>>> >unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are now.
>>> This
>>> >is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right
>>> >thing and doesn't live by the polls.
>>> >
>>> >Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered
>him
>>> >up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia
>>after
>>> >18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin
>>Laden

>>> >came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along
>>> with
>>> >Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional
>>> >Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation
>>between
>>> >domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered
>>them
>>> >completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence
>>> >apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly by
>an
>>> >idiot like Clinton.
>>> >
>>> >The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama
>>> used
>>> >for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the
same
>>> >intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize
>>> war
>>> >on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no WMD,
>>> even
>>> >though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the early
>>> >1990's.
>>> >
>>> >The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my
>mind
>>> >that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend
>to
>>> >power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot
>>reconcile
>>> >the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under
>>> >Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by
>the
>>> >crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..
>>> >
>>> >Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is,
>is
>>> >evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that
>>sanctions
>>> >were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone
think
>>> >that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be,
>>since
>>> >there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like
>>Iran?
>>> >If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed
>>major
>>> >pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would
>be

>>> a
>>> >great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran going
>>> >nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with
great
>>> >haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would
>>not
>>> >have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
>>> >wouldn't look good in the polls.
>>> >
>>> >Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these
>>facists,
>>> >they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while
>>totally
>>> >ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
>>> >administration. (and don't call me a ****'in neo-con....I voted for
him
>>> too)
>>> >
>>> >To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recognized that
>the
>>> >entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
>>> >instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively
>>> >secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to
>>balance
>>> >the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security in
>>many
>>> >ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making
>>> >excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet
>>another
>>> >genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions
>in
>>> >Iraq.
>>> >
>>> >Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle
>in
>>> >Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can
>we?
>>> The
>>> >polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill
>>abrogated
>>> >his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by
>not
>>> >calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist
and
>>> >*unhumanitarian* act since the Holacaust by ignoring Rwanda completely
>>and
>>> >then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was
>>> >happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally

>>> >negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure
>of
>>> >leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..
>>> >
>>> >Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't
>>> >wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con,
>be
>>> >advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control
>and
>>> >think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality
>that
>>> >threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global
>>warming
>>> >is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going
>to
>>> be
>>> >too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights, hate
>>> war,
>>> >believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe
>in
>>> >government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but
>I
>>> >think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country
>>> >wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least
>>believe
>>> >in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various
>>sects
>>> >of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the
>>> >Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights
>as
>>> >well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be
>>better
>>> >to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within
>>> >certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue and
>>> >understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort
>>> to
>>> >point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than
>>> >segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going
>to
>>> go
>>> >away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt.
>>> I
>>> >think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to
>>diffuse
>>> >the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I firmly
>>> >believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough,
>>> >they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary when
>>> you

>>> >look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world
>>> >events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of
>>Revelations
>>> >and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right
>>> and
>>> >wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .
>>> >
>>> >I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid
>>> to
>>> >the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are
>>brought
>>> >to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing
>>> >terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the
>>> >weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to
>>fester
>>> >and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the
>>leftist/liberal
>>> >agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you
>pay
>>> >much attention to the real agenda.
>>> >
>>> >There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's
>>convenient
>>> >for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically,
>to
>>> >take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then it's
>>> not
>>> >part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares
>me
>>> >about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't
>>> >confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for
>>freedom
>>> >of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make right
>>> now
>>> >and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to
>>debate,
>>> >rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with
>>> >facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly.
>>the
>>> >freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were
>>> >willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous
>and
>>> can
>>> >bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will
>not
>>> >take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.
>>> >When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it
>>makes

>>> >me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure to
>>> >confront it can bring about a world war.
>>> >
>>> >I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the
real
>>> >issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down
in
>a
>>> >place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:
>>> >
>>> >PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:
>>> >
>>> >THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.
>>> >
>>> >ADULTERY: Death by stoning.
>>> >
>>> >UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.
>>> >
>>> >CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.
>>> >
>>> >SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.
>>> >
>>> >LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.
>>> >
>>> >HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.
>>> >
>>> >RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,
>>> >death.
>>> >
>>> >I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty
>>> >comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luxxury
>of
>>> >debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this
>>country
>>> >can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage
>an
>>> >administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for
>>> >them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.
>>> >
>>> >Regards,
>>> >
>>> >DJ
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>> >> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought
>long
>>> >and
>>> >> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could
>do
>>> >other
>>> >> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might
>>check
>>> >> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't
>>have
>>> >> any
>>> >> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and
I'm
>>> >deadly
>>> >> >accurate.
>>> >> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used
>up
>>> so
>>> >> I
>>> >> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of
>>battlefield
>>> >> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no
>>problem
>>> >> at
>>> >> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was
>>> >called
>>> >> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
>to
>>> >fight.
>>> >> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>>> >> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to
them
>>> >than
>>> >> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left
>>> to
>>> >> do
>>> >> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
>>> we
>>> >> >are the better they like it.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
>>> >> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
>>> >> >
>>> >> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially
>>wonderful.
>>> >> >Enjoy.

>>> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Regards,
>>> >> >
>>> >> >DJ
>>> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>>news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our
>>constitutional
>>> >> >rights
>>> >> >> and it's Liberties..
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war
>>mongers,
>>> >> >who's
>>> >> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I
would
>>> >hope
>>> >> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
>>> >> >tierney.
>>> >> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..
>>> But
>>> >> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those
>>Democrats
>>> >> >who
>>> >> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were
war
>>> is
>>> >> >second
>>> >> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over
>>> >there(
>>> >> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop
>>fighting
>>> >> >or
>>> >> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
>>> >> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>>> >> >> I thought so..
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> >> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>>> >> >terrorist..Why??
>>> >> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us

>>> >> >Goverment.aka
>>> >> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me
>>> and
>>> the
>>> >> >good
>>> >> >> >of this union.
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the
>>opposing
>>> >> >party
>>> >> >> >stand for Lamont?
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>>> >news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument
>>> to
>>> >> >debut
>>> >> >> > the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government
>>> >> >stories
>>> >> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
>>> >sadistic,
>>> >> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
>>> >> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down
>to
>>> the
>>> >> >> >pits!!!..
>>> >> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil
>>neo-cons.
>>> >> They
>>> >> >> are
>>> >> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>>> >> >hearings
>>> >> >> >> should start immediately.!
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>>> >> >liberties
>>> >> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police
>>> >the
>>> >> >> >right
>>> >> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
>>> of
>>> >> >> >Homeland
>>> >> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the

>>sham
>>> >> of
>>> >> >> >making
>>> >> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
>>> >Government???
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>>> >> >terrorist..Why??
>>> >> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>>> >> >Goverment.aka
>>> >> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me
>and
>>> >the
>>> >> >> good
>>> >> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic,
>>fearful,
>>> >> >> and
>>> >> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking
away
>>> >> >> >"free-speech,
>>> >> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!!
>>But,
>>> >> we
>>> >> >> are
>>> >> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie..
>>> >> >Lastly,
>>> >> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet?
Let's
>>> >first
>>> >> >> >ask
>>> >> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama ..
>That
>>> >> >showed
>>> >> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
>>> >attacked..???
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>>

>>>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+cha
>>n
>>> >g
>>> >> >e
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> >Nappy-
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>>> >> >> >> >Then some months later I read this:
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> >Check it out!
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> >-Steve
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:55:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nappy, I hope you get a great donor and it all goes flawlessly!

Mark, best wishes to you and your wife as you face this challenge together! Your strength will be a big help to her as you research and choose your best options. From my Mom's experience, always get a second and even third opinion, the cancer treatment field is changing rapidly for the better. Be very aware of the possible side effects for all options, they don't always make that information completely clear.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Nappy wrote:

> Mark,

> I hope all goes well,I know how much your wife means to you.

> Hold on!

>

> respect

> Nappy

>

>

> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes

>> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today

>

>> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our

>

>> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and

>> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna

>

>> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally

>

>> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we

>

>> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>>

>> W.

>>

>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...

>>> Dubya,

>>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney

>>> transplant

>>> list.

>>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving

> me

>>> a Kidney,

>>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>

>>> This

>>> can't

>>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a

>>> transplant

>>> by

>>> early 2007!

>>>

>>> respect
>>> Nappy
>>>
>>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>> NAPPY !!!! He's baaaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>> Dubsie
>>>>
>>>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by ["jjdpro"](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 21:28:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:
>
>At least with the current idiot I never have to turn off the evening news
>in front of my kids because of the DNA and BJs.
>
>:)
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>Oh No.. This biggest dumb -ass idiot is our current president for sure.
>He's
>>an embarrassment to this nation..
>>
>>
>>
>>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>The reason I'm so disappointed in Clinton is that during his whole
>>>administration, I was wanting him to do the things he needed to
>>>do.....and I watched in fcinated horror as he fucked up again and again..
>>>Even an insignificant, regular old citizen like me could see what needed
>>to
>>>be done and he couldn't????.....and I was right!!!!. It just blows
>>me
>>>away. I wanted to believe that I was missing something and that this Rhoades
>>>scholar superdude/great national hope knew more than I did. Well, he turned
>>>out to be the bitggest dumbass we've ever elected and his oversights brought
>>>us to 911.
>>>
>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e967d0\$1@linux...
>>>>

>>>> Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the
 >>>"Kool-Aid"
 >>>> that the Neo-con right has given you.
 >>>> Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>> Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric,
 >>let
 >>>> me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.
 >>>>
 >>>> Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called
 >>>liberals
 >>>> would not fight??
 >>>>
 >>>> To decent is not American?
 >>>> Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place
 >>well
 >>>> before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell
 to
 >>the
 >>>> US public. 911 and then WMDS..
 >>>>
 >>>> The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
 >>>WW3
 >>>> war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or
 >>>popular
 >>>> with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
 >>>engagements
 >>>> haven't worked out..
 >>>>
 >>>> So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment
 >is
 >>>way
 >>>> way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
 >>>> to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
 >>>middle-east
 >>>> occupation.....
 >>>>
 >>>> Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local
 >>>recruiting
 >>>> centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African
 >>>Americans
 >>>> don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy
 in
 >>the
 >>>> prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The
 >>>> American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So,
 where

>>>> oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm

>>>>

>>>> I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans

>>>> for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American

Armed

>>>> forces.

>>>> Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that

>>thew

>>>> will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights

>>>that

>>>> all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen..

Well,

>>>> it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government

has

>>>ever

>>>> fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.

>>>>

>>>> Only this time, I hope that our Goverment lives up to it's promises

to

>>>them,

>>>> unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..

>>>>

>>>> Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's

>>>Liberal(ism)

>>>> is a mental dissorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and

>>is

>>>> a raging lunatic.What's his lines"Borders, Languages, and culture. Man,

>>>what

>>>> a lunatic, however, very entertaining..

>>>>

>>>> Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle

>>>east?

>>>> Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for

>>>permanant

>>>> US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and

>nuke

>>>> the entire region???

>>>>

>>>> See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident

>>>> and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the

>WW2

>>>> (in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record

>>>> stand..

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>>> >LaMont (sigh.....)

>>>> >
>>>> >I've got a few thoughts below:
>>>> >
>>>> >"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
>>to
>>>> >fight.
>>>> >> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>>>> >change
>>>> >> here."
>>>> >>
>>>> >> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka
>>the
>>>> >present
>>>> >> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our
>>>> >liberties,freedom
>>>> >> under the guise of democracy.
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> >Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing
>>it
>>>> >completely?
>>>> >
>>>> >> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe
>>i
>>>>this
>>>> >> regime, are still drinking the kool-iad that Tony Snow spills. It's
>>soo
>>>> >un-beliveable
>>>> >> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become
>>>> >laughable.
>>>> >> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States
>>>fear
>>>> >> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.
>>>> >
>>>> >Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he
>>>thought
>>>> >he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach
>for
>>>> war
>>>> >and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.
>>>> >Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one
>of
>>>>the
>>>> >few things I like about him.
>>>> >
>>>> >>

>>>> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
 >>>says
 >>>> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
 >that
 >>>> >"sham"
 >>>> >> Iraq war.
 >>>> >
 >>>> >The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
 >>>going
 >>>> >strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
 >>>> >>
 >>>> >> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for
 this
 >>>> sham
 >>>> >> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never
 >>>take
 >>>> >> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what
 poor
 >>>> >folks
 >>>> >> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush
 for
 >>>> >weasling
 >>>> >> out the vietam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm
 >>if
 >>>> I'd
 >>>> >> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.
 >>>> >> LAD
 >>>> >
 >>>> >Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good
 >in
 >>>the
 >>>> >world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know
 >>>it.
 >>>> >Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war
 that
 >>>> has
 >>>> >caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay
 >for
 >>>> it.
 >>>> >Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect
 >>>slick
 >>>> >Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war,
 >>>> >sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition.
 >>>> >The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake
 >>>> >poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there
 >are
 >>>> some
 >>>> >incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. There

were
>>>> >still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters
>who
>>>> >would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia
were
>>>> >controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep
>us
>>up
>>>> to
>>>> >speed on a rapidly changing world.
>>>> >
>>>> >Now what would a *real*leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and
>>>create
>>>> >a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect
this
>>>> >country?
>>>> >
>>>> >Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting
>a
>>new
>>>> >policy at the CIA that severly limited the interaction of the CIA with
>>>> >anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration
>>due
>>>> to
>>>> >their human rights history.That pretty much takes 90% of the folks
in
>>the
>>>> >middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism
>>and
>>>> >espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and
>>good
>>>> in
>>>> >a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the
>>time
>>>> he
>>>> >left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places
>>we
>>>> >needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts
>>>off
>>>> >about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while
>>>turning
>>>> >a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing
>>>> >everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them
>oil
>>>> >under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very
>>>> >Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man,
>>the
>>>> >polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind

>>of
>>>> >unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are now.
>>>> This
>>>> >is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right
>>>> >thing and doesn't live by the polls.
>>>> >
>>>> >Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered
>>him
>>>> >up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia
>>>after
>>>> >18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin
>>>Laden
>>>> >came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along
>>>> with
>>>> >Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional
>>>> >Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation
>>>between
>>>> >domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered
>>>them
>>>> >completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence
>>>> >apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly
>>by
>>an
>>>> >idiot like Clinton.
>>>> >
>>>> >The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama
>>>> used
>>>> >for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the
>same
>>>> >intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize
>>>> war
>>>> >on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no
>WMD,
>>>> even
>>>> >though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the
>>early
>>>> >1990's.
>>>> >
>>>> >The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my
>>mind
>>>> >that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend
>>to
>>>> >power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot
>>>reconcile
>>>> >the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under
>>>> >Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by
>>the

>>>> >crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..
>>>> >
>>>> >Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is,
>>is
>>>> >evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that
>>>sanctions
>>>> >were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone
>think
>>>> >that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be,
>>>since
>>>> >there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like
>>>Iran?
>>>> >If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed
>>>major
>>>> >pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would
>>be
>>>> a
>>>> >great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran
going
>>>> >nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with
>great
>>>> >haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would
>>>not
>>>> >have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
>>>> >wouldn't look good in the polls.
>>>> >
>>>> >Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these
>>>facists,
>>>> >they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while
>>>totally
>>>> >ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
>>>> >administration. (and don't call me a ****'in neo-con....I voted for
>him
>>>> too)
>>>> >
>>>> >To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recoginzed that
>>the
>>>> >entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
>>>> >instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively
>>>> >secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to
>>>balance
>>>> >the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security
in
>>>many
>>>> >ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making
>>>> >excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet
>>>another
>>>> >genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions

>>in
>>>> >Iraq.
>>>> >
>>>> >Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle
>>in
>>>> >Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can
>>we?
>>>> The
>>>> >polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill
>>>abrogated
>>>> >his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by
>>not
>>>> >calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist
>and
>>>> >*unhumanitarian* act since the Holocaust by ignoring Rwanda completely
>>>and
>>>> >then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was
>>>> >happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally
>>>> >negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure
>>of
>>>> >leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..
>>>> >
>>>> >Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't
>>>> >wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con,
>>be
>>>> >advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control
>>and
>>>> >think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality
>>that
>>>> >threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global
>>>warming
>>>> >is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going
>>to
>>>> be
>>>> >too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights,
hate
>>>> war,
>>>> >believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe
>in
>>>> >government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but
>>I
>>>> >think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country
>>>> >wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least
>>>believe
>>>> >in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various
>>>sects
>>>> >of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the
>>>> >Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights

>>as
>>>> >well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be
>>>better
>>>> >to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within
>>>> >certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue
and
>>>> >understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort
>>>> to
>>>> >point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than
>>>> >segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going
>>to
>>>> go
>>>> >away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt.
>>>> I
>>>> >think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to
>>>diffuse
>>>> >the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I
firmly
>>>> >believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough,
>>>> >they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary
when
>>>> you
>>>> >look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world
>>>> >events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of
>>>Revelations
>>>> >and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right
>>>> and
>>>> >wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .
>>>> >
>>>> >I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid
>>>> to
>>>> >the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are
>>>brought
>>>> >to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing
>>>> >terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the
>>>> >weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to
>>>fester
>>>> >and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the
>>>leftist/liberal
>>>> >agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you
>>pay
>>>> >much attention to the real agenda.
>>>> >
>>>> >There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's
>>>convenient
>>>> >for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically,
>>to
>>>> >take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then

it's
>>>> not
>>>> >part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares
>>me
>>>> >about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't
>>>> >confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for
>>>freedom
>>>> >of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make
right
>>>> now
>>>> >and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to
>>>debate,
>>>> >rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with
>>>> >facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly.
>>>the
>>>> >freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were
>>>> >willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous
>and
>>>> can
>>>> >bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will
>>not
>>>> >take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.
>>>> >When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it
>>>makes
>>>> >me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure
to
>>>> >confront it can bring about a world war.
>>>> >
>>>> >I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the
>real
>>>> >issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down
>in
>>a
>>>> >place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:
>>>> >
>>>> >PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:
>>>> >
>>>> >THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.
>>>> >
>>>> >ADULTERY: Death by stoning.
>>>> >
>>>> >UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.
>>>> >
>>>> >CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.
>>>> >
>>>> >SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.
>>>> >
>>>> >LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.

>>>> >
>>>> >HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.
>>>> >
>>>> >RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,
>>>> >death.
>>>> >
>>>> >I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty
>>>> >comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luzxxury
>>>> >of
>>>> >debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this
>>>> >country
>>>> >can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage
>>>> >an
>>>> >administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for
>>>> >them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.
>>>> >
>>>> >Regards,
>>>> >
>>>> >DJ
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought
>>>> >> >long
>>>> >> >and
>>>> >> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could
>>>> >> >do
>>>> >> >other
>>>> >> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might
>>>> >> >check
>>>> >> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't
>>>> >> >have
>>>> >> >any
>>>> >> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and
>>>> >> >I'm
>>>> >> >deadly
>>>> >> >accurate.
>>>> >> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used
>>>> >> >up
>>>> >> >so
>>>> >> >I
>>>> >> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of
>>>> >> >battlefield
>>>> >> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no
>>>> >> >problem

>>>> >> at
 >>>> >> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army
 was
 >>>> >called
 >>>> >> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
 >>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
 >>to
 >>>> >fight.
 >>>> >> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a
 regime
 >>>> >> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to
 >them
 >>>> >than
 >>>> >> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the
 left
 >>>> to
 >>>> >> do
 >>>> >> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
 >>>> we
 >>>> >> >are the better they like it.
 >>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
 >>>> >> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
 >>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially
 >>>wonderful.
 >>>> >> >Enjoy.
 >>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >Regards,
 >>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >DJ
 >>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
 >>>news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our
 >>>constitutional
 >>>> >> >rights
 >>>> >> >> and it's Liberties..
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war
 >>>mongers,
 >>>> >> >who's
 >>>> >> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I

>would
>>>> >hope
>>>> >> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against
this
>>>> >> >tierney.
>>>> >> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..
>>>> But
>>>> >> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those
>>>> Democrats
>>>> >> who
>>>> >> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> Evenmore. The thought of spreading democracy into a region were
>war
>>>> is
>>>> >> >second
>>>> >> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold
over
>>>> >there(
>>>> >> >> Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop
>>>> fighting
>>>> >> or
>>>> >> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
>>>> >> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>>>> >> >> I thought so..
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>>>> >> >> >terrorist..Why??
>>>> >> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>>>> >> >> >Goverment.aka
>>>> >> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me
>and
>>>> the
>>>> >> >good
>>>> >> >> >of this union.
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the
>>>> opposing
>>>> >> >party
>>>> >> >> >stand for Lamont?
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>>>> >news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument
>>>> to
>>>> >> >debut

>>>> >> >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government
>>>> >> >stories
>>>> >> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
>>>> >sadistic,
>>>> >> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
>>>> >> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down
>>to
>>>> the
>>>> >> >> >pits!!!..
>>>> >> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil
>>>>neo-cons.
>>>> >> They
>>>> >> >> are
>>>> >> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>>>> >> >hearings
>>>> >> >> >> should start immediately.!
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>>>> >> >liberties
>>>> >> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and
police
>>>> >the
>>>> >> >> >right
>>>> >> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
>>>> of
>>>> >> >> >Homeland
>>>> >> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under
the
>>>>sham
>>>> >> of
>>>> >> >> >making
>>>> >> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
>>>> >Government???
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>>>> >> >terrorist..Why??
>>>> >> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>>>> >> >Goverment.aka
>>>> >> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for
me
>>and
>>>> >the
>>>> >> >> good
>>>> >> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic,

>>>fearful,
 >>>> >> >> and
 >>>> >> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking
 >away
 >>>> >> >> >"free-speech,
 >>>> >> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!!
 >>>>But,
 >>>> >> we
 >>>> >> >> are
 >>>> >> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnies..
 >>>> >> >Lastly,
 >>>> >> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet?
 >Let's
 >>>> >first
 >>>> >> >> >ask
 >>>> >> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama ..
 >>That
 >>>> >> >showed
 >>>> >> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
 >>>> >attacked..???
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
 >>>> >> >> >> >
 >>>> >> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
 >>>> >> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >>
 >>>>
 >>>>>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+cha>
 >>>n
 >>>> >g
 >>>> >> >e
 >>>> >> >> >> >
 >>>> >> >> >> >Nappy-
 >>>> >> >> >> >
 >>>> >> >> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
 >>>> >> >> >> >
 >>>> >> >> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
 >>>> >> >> >> >Then some months later I read this:
 >>>> >> >> >> >
 >>>> >> >> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
 >>>> >> >> >> >
 >>>> >> >> >> >Check it out!

>>>> >> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >> >-Steve
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-youve got to see this
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 21:37:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Man, these days of TV, discussing BJs and DNA is rather calm TV programming.
have You seen the show Nip-Tuck??

Hey maybe Bush needs a good Blow to relieve some steam ..you know when he
gets frustrated tracking Bin laden...

I know I need one when I'm stressed.. :)

"Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:

>

>At least with the current idiot I never have to turn off the evening news
>in front of my kids because of the DNA and BJs.

>

>;)

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>Oh No.. This biggest dumb -ass idiot is our current president for sure.

>He's

>>an embarrassment to this nation..

>>

>>

>>

>>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>>The reason I'm so disappointed in Clinton is that during his whole

>>>administration, I was wanting him to do the things he needed to
>>>do.....and I watched in fcinated horror as he fucked up again and again..
>>>Even an insignificant, regular old citizen like me could see what needed
>>to
>>>be done and he couldn't????.....and I was right!!!!. It just blows
>>me
>>>away. I wanted to believe that I was missing something and that this Rhoades
>>>scholar superdude/great national hope knew more than I did. Well, he turned
>>>out to be the bitggest dumbass we've ever elected and his oversights brought
>>>us to 911.
>>>
>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e967d0\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the
>>>>"Kool-Aid"
>>>> that the Neo-con right has given you.
>>>> Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric,
>>let
>>>> me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.
>>>>
>>>> Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called
>>>liberals
>>>> would not fight??
>>>>
>>>> To decent is not American?
>>>> Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place
>>well
>>>> before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell
>>to
>>the
>>>> US public. 911 and then WMDS..
>>>>
>>>> The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
>>>WW3
>>>> war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or
>>>popular
>>>> with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
>>>engagements
>>>> haven't worked out..
>>>>
>>>> So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment
>>is
>>>way
>>>> way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>>>> to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and

>>>middle-east
 >>>> occupation.....
 >>>>
 >>>> Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local
 >>>recruiting
 >>>> centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African
 >>>Americans
 >>>> don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy
 in
 >>the
 >>>> prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The
 >>>> American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So,
 where
 >>>> oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
 >>>>
 >>>> I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
 >>>> for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American
 Armed
 >>>> forces.
 >>>> Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that
 >>thew
 >>>> will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights
 >>>that
 >>>> all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen..
 Well,
 >>>> it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government
 has
 >>>ever
 >>>> fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.
 >>>>
 >>>> Only this time, I hope that our Government lives up to it's promises
 to
 >>>them,
 >>>> unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..
 >>>>
 >>>> Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's
 >>>Liberal(ism)
 >>>> is a mental dissorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and
 >>is
 >>>> a raging lunatic.What's his lines"Borders, Languages, and culture. Man,
 >>>what
 >>>> a lunatic, however, very entertaining..
 >>>>
 >>>> Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle
 >>>east?
 >>>> Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for
 >>>permanant
 >>>> US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and

>nuke
>>>> the entire region???
>>>>
>>>> See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
>>>> and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the
>WW2
>>>> (in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
>>>> stand..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >LaMont (sigh.....)
>>>> >
>>>> >I've got a few thoughts below:
>>>> >
>>>> >"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
>>to
>>>> >fight.
>>>> >> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>>>> >change
>>>> >> here."
>>>> >>
>>>> >> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka
>>the
>>>> >present
>>>> >> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our
>>>> >liberties,freedom
>>>> >> under the guise of democracy.
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> >Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing
>>it
>>>> >completely?
>>>> >
>>>> >> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe
>>i
>>>>this
>>>> >> regime, are still drinking the kool-iad that Tony Snow spills. It's
>>soo
>>>> >un-beliveable
>>>> >> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become
>>>> >laughable.
>>>> >> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States
>>>fear
>>>> >> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.

>>>> >
 >>>> >Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he
 >>>>thought
 >>>> >he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach
 >for
 >>>> war
 >>>> >and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.
 >>>> >Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one
 >of
 >>>>the
 >>>> >few things I like about him.
 >>>> >
 >>>> >>
 >>>> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
 >>>>says
 >>>> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
 >that
 >>>> >"sham"
 >>>> >> Iraq war.
 >>>> >
 >>>> >The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
 >>>>going
 >>>> >strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
 >>>> >>
 >>>> >> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for
 this
 >>>> sham
 >>>> >> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never
 >>>>take
 >>>> >> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what
 poor
 >>>> >folks
 >>>> >> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush
 for
 >>>> >weasling
 >>>> >> out the vietam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm
 >>if
 >>>> I'd
 >>>> >> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.
 >>>> >> LAD
 >>>> >
 >>>> >Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good
 >in
 >>>>the
 >>>> >world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know
 >>>>it.
 >>>> >Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war
 that

>>>> has
>>>> >caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay
>for
>>>> it.
>>>> >Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect
>>>> slick
>>>> >Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war,
>>>> >sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition.
>>>> >The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake
>>>> >poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there
>are
>>>> some
>>>> >incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. There
>were
>>>> >still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters
>who
>>>> >would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia
>were
>>>> >controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep
>us
>>up
>>>> to
>>>> >speed on a rapidly changing world.
>>>> >
>>>> >Now what would a *real*leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and
>>>> create
>>>> >a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect
>this
>>>> >country?
>>>> >
>>>> >Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting
>a
>>new
>>>> >policy at the CIA that severly limited the interaction of the CIA with
>>>> >anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration
>>due
>>>> to
>>>> >their human rights history. That pretty much takes 90% of the folks
>in
>>the
>>>> >middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism
>>and
>>>> >espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and
>>good
>>>> in
>>>> >a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the
>>time
>>>> he

>>>> >left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places
>>we
>>>> >needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts
>>>off
>>>> >about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while
>>>turning
>>>> >a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing
>>>> >everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them
>oil
>>>> >under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very
>>>> >Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man,
>>the
>>>> >polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind
>>of
>>>> >unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are
now.
>>>> This
>>>> >is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right
>>>> >thing and doesn't live by the polls.
>>>> >
>>>> >Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered
>>him
>>>> >up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia
>>>after
>>>> >18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin
>>>Laden
>>>> >came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along
>>>> with
>>>> >Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional
>>>> >Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation
>>>between
>>>> >domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered
>>>them
>>>> >completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence
>>>> >apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly
by
>>an
>>>> >idiot like Clinton.
>>>> >
>>>> >The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama
>>>> used
>>>> >for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the
>same
>>>> >intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize
>>>> war
>>>> >on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no
WMD,
>>>> even

>>>> >though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the
early
>>>> >1990's.
>>>> >
>>>> >The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my
>>mind
>>>> >that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend
>>to
>>>> >power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot
>>>reconcile
>>>> >the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under
>>>> >Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by
>>the
>>>> >crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..
>>>> >
>>>> >Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is,
>>is
>>>> >evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that
>>>sanctions
>>>> >were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone
>>think
>>>> >that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be,
>>>since
>>>> >there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like
>>>Iran?
>>>> >If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed
>>>major
>>>> >pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would
>>be
>>>> a
>>>> >great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran
going
>>>> >nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with
>>great
>>>> >haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would
>>>not
>>>> >have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
>>>> >wouldn't look good in the polls.
>>>> >
>>>> >Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these
>>>facists,
>>>> >they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while
>>>totally
>>>> >ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
>>>> >administration. (and don't call me a ****'in neo-con....I voted for
>>him
>>>> too)
>>>> >

>>>> >To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recognized that
>>the
>>>> >entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
>>>> >instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively
>>>> >secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to
>>>balance
>>>> >the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security
>>in
>>>many
>>>> >ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making
>>>> >excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet
>>>another
>>>> >genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions
>>in
>>>> >Iraq.
>>>> >
>>>> >Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle
>>in
>>>> >Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can
>>we?
>>>> The
>>>> >polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill
>>>abrogated
>>>> >his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by
>>not
>>>> >calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist
>>and
>>>> >*unhumanitarian* act since the Holocaust by ignoring Rwanda completely
>>>and
>>>> >then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was
>>>> >happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally
>>>> >negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure
>>of
>>>> >leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..
>>>> >
>>>> >Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't
>>>> >wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con,
>>be
>>>> >advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control
>>and
>>>> >think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality
>>that
>>>> >threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global
>>>warming
>>>> >is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going
>>to
>>>> be
>>>> >too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights,

hate
>>>> war,
>>>> >believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe
>in
>>>> >government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but
>>I
>>>> >think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country
>>>> >wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least
>>>believe
>>>> >in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various
>>>sects
>>>> >of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the
>>>> >Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights
>>as
>>>> >well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be
>>>better
>>>> >to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within
>>>> >certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue
and
>>>> >understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort
>>>> to
>>>> >point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than
>>>> >segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going
>>to
>>>> go
>>>> >away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt.
>>>> I
>>>> >think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to
>>>diffuse
>>>> >the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I
firmly
>>>> >believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough,
>>>> >they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary
when
>>>> you
>>>> >look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world
>>>> >events on the inveitability of their Biblical interpretation of
>>>Revelations
>>>> >and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right
>>>> and
>>>> >wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .
>>>> >
>>>> >I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid
>>>> to
>>>> >the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are
>>>brought
>>>> >to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing
>>>> >terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the

>>>> >weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to
>>>fester
>>>> >and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the
>>>leftist/liberal
>>>> >agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you
>>pay
>>>> >much attention to the real agenda.
>>>> >
>>>> >There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's
>>>convenient
>>>> >for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically,
>>to
>>>> >take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then
it's
>>>> not
>>>> >part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares
>>me
>>>> >about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't
>>>> >confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for
>>>freedom
>>>> >of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make
right
>>>> now
>>>> >and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to
>>>debate,
>>>> >rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with
>>>> >facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly.
>>>the
>>>> >freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were
>>>> >willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous
>and
>>>> can
>>>> >bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will
>>not
>>>> >take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.
>>>> >When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it
>>>makes
>>>> >me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure
to
>>>> >confront it can bring about a world war.
>>>> >
>>>> >I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the
>real
>>>> >issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down
>in
>>a
>>>> >place like San Francisco, where pacificm is the philosophy du jour:
>>>> >

>>>> >PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:
>>>> >
>>>> >THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.
>>>> >
>>>> >ADULTERY: Death by stoning.
>>>> >
>>>> >UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.
>>>> >
>>>> >CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.
>>>> >
>>>> >SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.
>>>> >
>>>> >LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.
>>>> >
>>>> >HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.
>>>> >
>>>> >RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,
>>>> >death.
>>>> >
>>>> >I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty
>>>> >comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luzxxury
>>>> >of
>>>> >debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this
>>>> >country
>>>> >can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage
>>>> >an
>>>> >administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for
>>>> >them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.
>>>> >
>>>> >Regards,
>>>> >
>>>> >DJ
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought
>>>> >> >long
>>>> >> >and
>>>> >> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could
>>>> >> >do
>>>> >> >other
>>>> >> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might
>>>> >> >check
>>>> >> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't
>>>> >> >have

>>>> >> any
>>>> >> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and
>I'm
>>>> >deadly
>>>> >> >accurate.
>>>> >> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used
>>up
>>>> so
>>>> >> I
>>>> >> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of
>>>battlefield
>>>> >> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no
>>>problem
>>>> >> at
>>>> >> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army
was
>>>> >called
>>>> >> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
>>to
>>>> >fight.
>>>> >> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a
regime
>>>> >> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to
>them
>>>> >than
>>>> >> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the
left
>>>> to
>>>> >> do
>>>> >> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
>>>> we
>>>> >> >are the better they like it.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
>>>> >> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially
>>>wonderful.
>>>> >> >Enjoy.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >Regards,
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >DJ
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >

>>>> >> >
 >>>> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
 >>>>news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our
 >>>>constitutional
 >>>> >> >rights
 >>>> >> >> and it's Liberties..
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war
 >>>>mongers,
 >>>> >> >who's
 >>>> >> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I
 >>>>>would
 >>>> >hope
 >>>> >> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against
 >>>>this
 >>>> >> >tierney.
 >>>> >> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..
 >>>> But
 >>>> >> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those
 >>>>Democrats
 >>>> >> >who
 >>>> >> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were
 >>>>>war
 >>>> is
 >>>> >> >second
 >>>> >> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold
 >>>>over
 >>>> >there(
 >>>> >> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop
 >>>>fighting
 >>>> >> >or
 >>>> >> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
 >>>> >> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
 >>>> >> >> I thought so..
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
 >>>> >> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
 >>>> >> >> >terrorist..Why??
 >>>> >> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
 >>>> >> >> >Goverment.aka
 >>>> >> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me
 >>>>>and
 >>>> the
 >>>> >> >good

>>>> >> >> >of this union.
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the
>>>>opposing
>>>> >> >party
>>>> >> >> >stand for Lamont?
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>>>> >news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument
>>>> to
>>>> >> >debut
>>>> >> >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> I'm not a conspiracist, but I've never believed the US government
>>>> >> >stories
>>>> >> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
>>>> >sadistic,
>>>> >> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
>>>> >> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down
>>to
>>>> the
>>>> >> >> >pits!!!..
>>>> >> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil
>>>>neo-cons.
>>>> >> They
>>>> >> >> are
>>>> >> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>>>> >> >hearings
>>>> >> >> >> should start immediately.!
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>>>> >> >liberties
>>>> >> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and
police
>>>> >the
>>>> >> >> >right
>>>> >> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
>>>> of
>>>> >> >> >> >Homeland
>>>> >> >> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under
the
>>>>sham
>>>> >> of
>>>> >> >> >> >making

>>>> >> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
 >>>> >Government???
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
 >>>> >> >terrorist..Why??
 >>>> >> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
 >>>> >> >Goverment.aka
 >>>> >> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for
 me
 >>and
 >>>> >the
 >>>> >> >> good
 >>>> >> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic,
 >>>>fearful,
 >>>> >> >> and
 >>>> >> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking
 >away
 >>>> >> >> >"free-speech,
 >>>> >> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!!
 >>>>But,
 >>>> >> we
 >>>> >> >> are
 >>>> >> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie..
 >>>> >> >Lastly,
 >>>> >> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet?
 >Let's
 >>>> >first
 >>>> >> >> >ask
 >>>> >> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama ..
 >>That
 >>>> >> >showed
 >>>> >> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
 >>>> >attacked..???
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
 >>>> >> >> >> >
 >>>> >> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
 >>>> >> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> >>
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >>
 >>>>
 >>>>>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+cha>
 >>>>n

> I feel like your Dad!
>
> respect
> Nappy
>
>
> "Mike R." <emarenot@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Hey Nappy. Always good to see your name on the NG. Hang in there dude.
>>
>> W, my dad had half his thyroid removed. The doc told him "no golf for the
>> rest of the summer." He was out on the links in three weeks. My
>> friend's
>> aunt just had her entire thyroid removed. As it was causing some pain in
>> her shoulder, she's feeling great --and for unknown reasons (she believes
>> it's due to the lose of the thyroid) she reports feeling much more
>> energetic. Nevertheless, having gone through the problem with my father,
> I
>> know its hard to feel some sense of relief until its over. Take care.
>> MR
>>
>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote in message
>> news:44e6a2b4@linux...
>>> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>>> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
>>> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of
> our
>>> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>>> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
>>> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
>>> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point,
> we
>>> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.
>>>
>>> W.
>>>
>>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...
>>> >
>>> > Dubya,
>>> > I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
>>> > transplant
>>> > list.
>>> > It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
> me
>>> > a Kidney,
>>> > but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
>>> > This
>>> > can't
>>> > happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a

>>> > transplant
>>> > by
>>> > early 2007!
>>> >
>>> > respect
>>> > Nappy
>>> >
>>> > "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>> >>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>> >>Dubsie
>>> >>
>>> >>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message
>>> >>news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT:movie on 911-you've got to see this
Posted by [Miguel Vigil \[1\]](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 15:17:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My Prayers for the La Senora and all the Wilson family.

El Miguel

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote in message
news:44e6a2b4@linux...

> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.
>

```
> W.
>
> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9$1@linux...
>>
>> Dubya,
>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
>> transplant
>> list.
>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>> a Kidney,
>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
>> This
>> can't
>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>> transplant
>> by
>> early 2007!
>>
>> respect
>> Nappy
>>
>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>Dubsie
>>>
>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>
```