Subject: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by TC on Fri, 01 Dec 2006 19:17:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm just looking for opinions on the Manley TNT, mainly for lead vox and acoustic guitars.

Has anyone here used one of these units? I like the solid state/tube pre in one unit idea.

http://www.manleylabs.com/containerpages/TNT.html

Cheers,

TC

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by DJ on Fri, 01 Dec 2006 19:39:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

as prone as I am to having things explode or catch on fire, I should probably stay as far away from this as possible

"TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message news:45707d5f\$1@linux...

>

> I'm just looking for opinions on the Manley TNT, mainly for lead vox and

> acoustic guitars.

>

> Has anyone here used one of these units? I like the solid state/tube pre

> in one unit idea.

>

> http://www.manleylabs.com/containerpages/TNT.html

>

> Cheers,

>

> TC

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by Nil on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 02:05:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Haven't used one of these - seems like a weird 2-channel config, though. Almost begging you to buy two of 'em, aren't they? (like when they mention that that one of the channels a great for cymbals... why? gonna do a mono overhead array? LOL)

```
"DJ" <nowayjose@dude.net> wrote:
>as prone as I am to having things explode or catch on fire, I should
>probably stay as far away from this as possible
>"TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message
>news:45707d5f$1@linux...
>>
>> I'm just looking for opinions on the Manley TNT, mainly for lead vox and
>> acoustic guitars.
>>
>> Has anyone here used one of these units? I like the solid state/tube pre
>> in one unit idea.
>> http://www.manleylabs.com/containerpages/TNT.html
>>
>> Cheers.
>>
>> TC
>
```

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by TC on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 03:13:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

view i ordin wessage <> reply to wessage

For sure, that way you eliminate any chance of phase problems ;-)

I ended up getting a Lunchbox and two API 512C's instead.

I think the 500 approach will be a bit more versatile, and it's quite a bit cheaper.

Cheers,

TC

Neil wrote:

- > Haven't used one of these seems like a weird 2-channel
- > config, though. Almost begging you to buy two of 'em, aren't
- > they? (like when they mention that that one of the channels a
- > great for cymbals... why? gonna do a mono overhead array? LOL)

>

```
> Neil
>
> "DJ" <nowayjose@dude.net> wrote:
>> as prone as I am to having things explode or catch on fire, I should
>> probably stay as far away from this as possible
>>
>> "TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message
>> news:45707d5f$1@linux...
>>> I'm just looking for opinions on the Manley TNT, mainly for lead vox and
>>> acoustic guitars.
>>>
>>> Has anyone here used one of these units? I like the solid state/tube pre
>>> in one unit idea.
>>> http://www.manleylabs.com/containerpages/TNT.html
>>> Cheers,
>>>
>>> TC
>>
>
```

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by Neil on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 04:44:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

TC <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:
>For sure, that way you eliminate any chance of phase problems ;-)
>
>I ended up getting a Lunchbox and two API 512C's instead.
>
>I think the 500 approach will be a bit more versatile, and it's quite a bit cheaper.

I've liked API's for guitars sometimes... they're pretty fast pre's, although I haven't used the 500-series. My only bitch about them is that they tend to have a very "broad" attack, which can sometimes give you too hard of an edge - like anything else, it depends on what yer using 'em for, though.

Neil

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by DJ on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 16:15:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm confused too, but I haven't had a case of preamp lust in a while.

;0)

"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flangian@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote in message news:4571a2fe\$1@linux...

>

> "DJ" <nowayjose@dude.net> wrote:

>>as prone as I am to having things explode or catch on fire, I should

>>probably stay as far away from this as possible

>>

>

> This is getting confusing. Does it mean that if you DO get this, you will

> die?

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre?
Posted by Gary Flanigan on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 16:59:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"DJ" <nowayjose@dude.net> wrote:
>as prone as I am to having things explode or catch on fire, I should
>probably stay as far away from this as possible
>

This is getting confusing. Does it mean that if you DO get this, you will die?

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by TC on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 17:38:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I figure that they will be good to have in the collection, plus the 500 series offers quite a few pieces from different companies. I like that the 512's are a bit faster, I think that will be a good addition for certain situations.

Now with the lunchbox, it will be like trying to fill up a mec with i/o cards again..

Cheers.

```
Neil wrote:
> TC <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:
>> For sure, that way you eliminate any chance of phase problems :-)
>>
>> I ended up getting a Lunchbox and two API 512C's instead.
>> I think the 500 approach will be a bit more versatile, and it's quite a
> bit cheaper.
>
> I've liked API's for guitars sometimes... they're pretty fast
> pre's, although I haven't used the 500-series. My only bitch
> about them is that they tend to have a very "broad" attack,
> which can sometimes give you too hard of an edge - like
> anything else, it depends on what yer using 'em for, though.
>
> Neil
>
```

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by TC on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 17:45:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oh sweet...

More tasty items for the Lunchbox...

http://www.vintageking.com/20-Hottest-Sellers_2/Shadow-Hills -Mono-GAMA-Mic-Pre

Cheers,

TC

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by DJ on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 19:03:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This is the easiest way to get in trouble that I can think of short of buying into a NEVE Prism rack.

;0)

"TC" <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote in message
news:4571b941\$1@linux...
>
>
> Oh sweet..
>
> More tasty items for the Lunchbox..
>
> http://www.vintageking.com/20-Hottest-Sellers_2/Shadow-Hills -Mono-GAMA-Mic-Pre
>
> Cheers,
> TC

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by TC on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 20:30:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I know,

I was also thinking that you might have to get yourself a Lunchbox or you will die..!

Cheers.

TC

DJ wrote:

- > This is the easiest way to get in trouble that I can think of short of
- > buying into a NEVE Prism rack.

>

> ;0)

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by cujo on Sun, 03 Dec 2006 00:43:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I gots me a 6 space rack, 2 BAE312 I love, 2 OSA L3's I dont. I was talking to Jens Eisen about building a Sowter (Helios style) module. He says he can do it but it would be a double wide.

The shadow hills stuff makes me wonder if they spent more on the Bakelite fashion than the insides.

The A designs p1 has me interested too.

```
TC <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:
>I know,
>
I was also thinking that you might have to get yourself a Lunchbox or you will die..!
>
>Cheers,
>
>TC
>
>DJ wrote:
>> This is the easiest way to get in trouble that I can think of short of
>> buying into a NEVE Prism rack.
>>
>>;0)
```

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by Nil on Sun, 03 Dec 2006 00:50:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cuj, what are the L3's... are those their "Mutant" pre's?

```
neil

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

> 
> 
> 
> I gots me a 6 space rack, 2 BAE312 I love, 2 OSA L3's I dont.
> I was talking to Jens Eisen about building a Sowter (Helios style) module.
> He says he can do it but it would be a double wide.
> 
> 
> The shadow hills stuff makes me wonder if they spent more on the Bakelite 
> fashion than the insides.
> 
> 
> The A designs p1 has me interested too.
> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
> TC <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:
> I know,
> 
> 
> 
> I was also thinking that you might have to get yourself a Lunchbox or you 
> will die..!
```

```
>> >> Cheers,
>> >> TC
>> >> DJ wrote:
>>> This is the easiest way to get in trouble that I can think of short of >
>>> buying into a NEVE Prism rack.
>>> ;o)
```

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by DJ on Sun, 03 Dec 2006 01:39:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What would happen is that I would be on a crusade to fill it up.\$4k doesn't seem like so much when you do it \$550.00 at a pop.

;0)

>> >> ;o)

Subject: Re: anybody tried a Manley TNT pre? Posted by Cujjo on Sun, 03 Dec 2006 03:29:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nope, The "big daddies"

I don't recommend these pres at all. I was involved in a nasty scam involving OSA and a former employee,

It is a long story, but even if the others sound great, after dealing with them, I;d go elsewere.

That dude as Eisen is pretty cool. If I could I'd send him my L3s for a pair of his.

But really over at GS the P1 seems to get the love, that said I may be getting a pair of 512's

The Shadow hills buzz seems to have stopped now that they are out and about.

```
"Neil" <IUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>Cuj, what are the L3's... are those their "Mutant" pre's?
>neil
>"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>I gots me a 6 space rack, 2 BAE312 I love, 2 OSA L3's I dont.
>>I was talking to Jens Eisen about building a Sowter (Helios style) module.
>>He says he can do it but it would be a double wide.
>>The shadow hills stuff makes me wonder if they spent more on the Bakelite
>>fashion than the insides.
>>The A designs p1 has me interested too.
>>
>>
>>
>>TC <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:
>>>I know.
>>>
>>>I was also thinking that you might have to get yourself a Lunchbox or
>>will die..!
>>>
>>>Cheers.
>>>
>>>TC
>>>
>>>DJ wrote:
>>>> This is the easiest way to get in trouble that I can think of short
```