
Subject: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 07 Aug 2007 22:00:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.apple.com/ilife/>

<http://www.apple.com/ilife/guidedtour/>

<http://www.apple.com/iwork/>

<http://www.apple.com/imac/>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 07 Aug 2007 22:50:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

James,I'm smiling everyday with Apple's strategic moves. I've been saying something big is coming down the pipe from Apple. OR, maybe it's these little strategic updates that keeps sniping away from MS.

The iWorks package is a very bold move to free apple users from MS-Office. This tells me that soon, Apple will offer any Intel PC user a choice to use OS-x and it's tools (Iwork,i-life..etc)..

I for one would move over to Apple's OS in a heartbeat...

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

><http://www.apple.com/ilife/>

>

><http://www.apple.com/ilife/guidedtour/>

>

><http://www.apple.com/iwork/>

>

><http://www.apple.com/imac/>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2007 11:21:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap. That's what apple forums are for.

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2007 13:51:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I for one welcome the Apple news. Paris is a dual platform DAW remember..

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap. That's
>what apple forums are for.

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2007 17:45:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you LaMont, I'm glad you can appreciate the post.

Hey John, I'm just giving the Mac users and anybody else that's interested a heads up. With in the iLife 08' suite is GarageBand 08'. GB is a great little tool, I use it for quick song writing, composing, arranging, others here have used it also. GB 08' has been improved with new features including 24bit file handling. On the multi media side, guys like Jamie and others might be interested in knowing that there are new versions of Keynote, iDVD, iMovie, with new file format handling. As far as the new iMacs go they have a model with a 24 LCD that uses 2.8Ghz Core 2 Extreme processors, for some guys that might be news.

I am no longer a computer dealer, I get no monetary benefit from any thing I post here. Just information, no SPAM.

I do find it funny, that often when anything Apple is mentioned, it's "crap", or there is some kind of bashing.

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap. That's
>what apple forums are for.

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2007 18:04:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff here.

Chuck

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap. That's
>what apple forums are for.

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2007 18:11:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have no problem with OT posts as long as they aren't selling something, which none of these are.

Info is good. I don't use a Mac at the moment, but my wife does and I frequent this group more than Apple's site, so it can be helpful even for a PC user like me.

And if (I know, that's a big *if*) Apple releases OSX to the retail world at large, I do want to know, though I'll probably be 178 and a bit dusty by then. ;-))

Dedric

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:46ba0148\$1@linux...

>

> Thank you LaMont, I'm glad you can appreciate the post.

>

> Hey John, I'm just giving the Mac users and anybody else that's interested
> a heads up. With in the iLife 08' suite is GarageBand 08'. GB is a
> great

> little tool, I use it for quick song writing, composing, arranging, others

> here have used it also. GB 08' has been improved with new features

> including

> 24bit file handling. On the multi media side, guys like Jamie and others

> might be interested in knowing that there are new versions of Keynote,

> iDVD,

> iMovie, with new file format handling. As far as the new iMacs go they

> have a model with a 24 LCD that uses 2.8Ghz Core 2 Extreme processors, for

> some guys that might be news.

>

> I am no longer a computer dealer, I get no monetary benefit from any thing

> I post here. Just information, no SPAM.

>

> I do find it funny, that often when anything Apple is mentioned, it's

> "crap",

> or there is some kind of bashing.

>
>
> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>
>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>That's
>>what apple forums are for.
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2007 19:40:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ok, post away, btw, I got an iMac I'm throwing out. What a slow POS.

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2007 19:41:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.

"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>
>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff here.
>
>
>Chuck
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>
>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap. That's
>>what apple forums are for.
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2007 20:29:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>
>Ok, post away, btw, I got an iMac I'm throwing out. What a slow POS.

What speed is it? What processor is it?

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Kim](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 02:45:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much on topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite a number of people get irritated by it.

I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know what's going on in Apple land so...

Cheers,
Kim.

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.

>

>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>

>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff here.

>>

>>

>>Chuck

>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.

That's

>>>what apple forums are for.

>>

>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 04:32:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options are out there.

I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one LOL!

Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D

Neil

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>
>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much on
>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite a
number
>of people get irritated by it.

>
>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>what's going on in Apple land so...

>
>Cheers,
>Kim.

>
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>
>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.

>>
>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>>
>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff here.

>>>
>>>Chuck

>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>
>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.

>That's
>>>>what apple forums are for.

>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [JeffH](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 07:27:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small business class) attempting to match the 15" macbook pro as best as possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra software or extended warranties.

The verdict:

Dell Vostro 15" \$1443

Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

Still a ways to go.

JH

> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
> are out there.

>

> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
> LOL!

>

> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D

>

>

> Neil

>

>

>

>

> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>>

>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much on
>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite a

>

> number

>

>>of people get irritated by it.

>>

>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>what's going on in Apple land so...

>>

>>Cheers,

>>Kim.
>>
>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>
>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>
>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff here.
>>>>
>>>>Chuck
>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>
>
>>That's
>>
>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 15:09:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But, Jeff you are not factoring in Apples software that comes bundled with the Powerbook..(iLife,lwork,Garage band..etc).

Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra

>software or extended warranties.
>
>The verdict:
>
>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>
>Still a ways to go.
>
>JH

>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>> are out there.
>>
>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>> LOL!
>>
>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>
>>
>> Neil
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
on
>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
a
>>
>> number
>>
>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>
>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>
>>>Cheers,
>>>Kim.
>>>
>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>
>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
here.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Chuck

>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>
>>
>>>That's
>>>
>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [JeffH](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 15:58:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LaMont,

Actually on the macbook I configured, iwork was a \$79 upgrade. I was thinking in terms of "I want a machine to run my DAW on". Love to have Cubase 4 bundled, but it's not there on either side.

JH

> But, Jeff you are not factoring in Apples software that comes bundled with
> the Powerbook..(iLife,Iwork,Garage band..etc).
>
>
>
> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>
>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>
>
>>software or extended warranties.
>>
>>The verdict:
>>
>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>
>>Still a ways to go.
>>
>>JH
>>

>>>I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>are out there.
>>>
>>>I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>& price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>LOL!
>>>
>>>Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>
>>>
>>>Neil
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>
> on
>
>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>
> a
>
>>>number
>>>
>>>
>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>
>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>Kim.
>>>>
>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>
>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>
> here.
>
>>>>>
>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>
>>>
>>>>That's
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 16:48:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's hard to get a good comparison because they don't quite match up exactly.

They do have a ways to go, however, there are a few things to consider with Apple. The value is hard to see unless you really look at what your getting for the money. With Apple you get a printer for free after rebate, that's \$100.00. With the educational discount you would get 10% off and get a free iPod, that's four to five hundred bucks. With the ADC discount you can get 20% off the price of a new Mac. The software that comes with a Mac like the iLife suite, Front Row multi media software with the Apple remote, iChat AV etc. would be in the \$500.00 to \$600.00 range if you had to purchase something comparable for the Dell. The operating system is also something to really consider. Of course some people don't need a free printer, iPod, and will never use a program like Photo Booth, so it really depends on your needs and what you want.

One other point, and it's a bit of a stretch. If you were looking at cars, and one had a plastic body and the other had an aluminum body, you would expect to pay more for the aluminum body. The MacBook Pro has an air craft grade hardened aluminum body. The case is aluminum, not just a thin aluminum cover over plastic or an entire plastic case.

By the way, the prices on MacBook Pros will drop, the machines will get faster, and they will have the new operating system loaded between Oct. and Jan 08", so you might want to wait, if your actually considering a MacBook Pro.

Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra

>software or extended warranties.

>

>The verdict:

>

>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443

>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

>

>Still a ways to go.

>

>JH

>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>> are out there.

>>

>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>> LOL!

>>

>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D

>>

>>

>> Neil

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>>

>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
on

>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite

a

>>

>> number

>>

>>>of people get irritated by it.

>>>

>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>
>>>Cheers,
>>>Kim.
>>>
>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>
>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
here.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>
>>
>>>That's
>>>
>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 16:52:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why do you always bring up the "educational discount" that's irrelevant for nearly everyone on the NG?

Neil

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>It's hard to get a good comparison because they don't quite match up exactly.
> They do have a ways to go, however, there are a few things to consider with
>Apple. The value is hard to see unless you really look at what your getting
>for the money. With Apple you get a printer for free after rebate, that's
>\$100.00. With the educational discount you would get 10% off and get a

free

>iPod, that's four to five hundred bucks. With the ADC discount you can get

>20% off the price of a new Mac. The software that comes with a Mac like >the iLife suite, Front Row multi media software with the Apple remote, iChat >AV etc. would be in the \$500.00 to \$600.00 range if you had to purchase something

>comparable for the Dell. The operating system is also something to really >consider. Of course some people don't need a free printer, iPod, and will >never use a program like Photo Booth, so it really depends on your needs >and what you want.

>

>One other point, and it's a bit of a stretch. If you were looking at cars, >and one had a plastic body and the other had an aluminum body, you would >expect to pay more for the aluminum body. The MacBook Pro has an air craft >grade hardened aluminum body. The case is aluminum, not just a thin aluminum >cover over plastic or an entire plastic case.

>

>By the way, the prices on MacBook Pros will drop, the machines will get faster,

>and they will have the new operating system loaded between Oct. and Jan 08",

>so you might want to wait, if your actually considering a MacBook Pro.

>

>

>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small >>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as >>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra

>

>>software or extended warranties.

>>

>>The verdict:

>>

>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443

>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

>>

>>Still a ways to go.

>>

>>JH

>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used

>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options

>>> are out there.

>>>

>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together

>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one

>>> LOL!

>>>
>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>
>>>
>>> Neil
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>on
>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>a
>>>
>>> number
>>>
>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>
>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>Kim.
>>>>
>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>
>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>here.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>
>>>
>>>>That's
>>>>

>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>
>>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 16:59:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Some people qualify so it's a good that James mentioned it. If you're taking classes or teaching classes it's worth knowing about!

Just FYI, here's another discount: Amazon offers rebates, usually around \$150.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

Neil wrote:

> Why do you always bring up the "educational discount" that's
> irrelevant for nearly everyone on the NG?

>
> Neil

>
>
>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> It's hard to get a good comparison because they don't quite match up exactly.

>> They do have a ways to go, however, there are a few things to consider
> with

>> Apple. The value is hard to see unless you really look at what your getting
>> for the money. With Apple you get a printer for free after rebate, that's
>> \$100.00. With the educational discount you would get 10% off and get a
> free

>> iPod, that's four to five hundred bucks. With the ADC discount you can
> get

>> 20% off the price of a new Mac. The software that comes with a Mac like
>> the iLife suite, Front Row multi media software with the Apple remote, iChat
>> AV etc. would be in the \$500.00 to \$600.00 range if you had to purchase
> something

>> comparable for the Dell. The operating system is also something to really
>> consider. Of course some people don't need a free printer, iPod, and will
>> never use a program like Photo Booth, so it really depends on your needs
>> and what you want.

>>

>> One other point, and it's a bit of a stretch. If you were looking at cars,
>> and one had a plastic body and the other had an aluminum body, you would
>> expect to pay more for the aluminum body. The MacBook Pro has an air craft
>> grade hardened aluminum body. The case is aluminum, not just a thin aluminum
>> cover over plastic or an entire plastic case.

>>
>> By the way, the prices on MacBook Pros will drop, the machines will get
> faster,
>> and they will have the new operating system loaded between Oct. and Jan
> 08",
>> so you might want to wait, if your actually considering a MacBook Pro.

>
>>
>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>> software or extended warranties.

>>>
>>> The verdict:

>>>
>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

>>>
>>> Still a ways to go.

>>>
>>> JH
>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>> are out there.
>>>>
>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>> LOL!

>>>>
>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D

>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Neil

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>
>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>> on

>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>> a
>>>> number
>>>>
>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>
>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Kim.
>>>>
>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>> here.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>>
>>>>> That's
>>>>>
>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 17:12:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Because it is an available discount. I'm just pointing out the different discounts.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>
>Why do you always bring up the "educational discount" that's
>irrelevant for nearly everyone on the NG?
>
>Neil
>

>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>It's hard to get a good comparison because they don't quite match up exactly.
>> They do have a ways to go, however, there are a few things to consider
>with
>>Apple. The value is hard to see unless you really look at what your getting
>>for the money. With Apple you get a printer for free after rebate, that's
>>\$100.00. With the educational discount you would get 10% off and get a
>free
>>iPod, that's four to five hundred bucks. With the ADC discount you can
>get
>>20% off the price of a new Mac. The software that comes with a Mac like
>>the iLife suite, Front Row multi media software with the Apple remote,
iChat
>>AV etc. would be in the \$500.00 to \$600.00 range if you had to purchase
>something
>>comparable for the Dell. The operating system is also something to really
>>consider. Of course some people don't need a free printer, iPod, and
will
>>never use a program like Photo Booth, so it really depends on your needs
>>and what you want.
>>
>>One other point, and it's a bit of a stretch. If you were looking at cars,
>>and one had a plastic body and the other had an aluminum body, you would
>>expect to pay more for the aluminum body. The MacBook Pro has an air craft
>>grade hardened aluminum body. The case is aluminum, not just a thin aluminum
>>cover over plastic or an entire plastic case.
>>
>>By the way, the prices on MacBook Pros will drop, the machines will get
>faster,
>>and they will have the new operating system loaded between Oct. and Jan
>08",
>>so you might want to wait, if your actually considering a MacBook Pro.

>
>>
>>
>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>
>>>software or extended warranties.
>>>
>>>The verdict:
>>>

>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>
>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>
>>>JH
>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>> are out there.
>>>>
>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>> LOL!
>>>>
>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Neil
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>>>>>on
>>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
taking
>>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>a
>>>>
>>>> number
>>>>
>>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to
know
>>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>
>>>>>Cheers,
>>>>>Kim.
>>>>>
>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.

>>>>>
>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>here.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>That's
>>>>>
>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 17:20:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The discount is fairly broad:

For institutions: If you are a qualifying school, college or university (including most private/public schools, TAFEs and universities) you may be eligible for institutional discounts. You can determine whether your school qualifies

institution, contact Apple directly to determine how you might be able to qualify.

For educators: If you are a member of staff (academic or non-academic) at any qualifying institution, you are eligible for educator discounts. Proof of eligibility, such as a recent payslip or staff card, is usually required.

For students: If you are a student (full time or part time) currently studying at, or accepted into, a qualifying higher education institution (ie universities, colleges but not high schools or primary schools) then you may be eligible for student discounts. Proof of eligibility, such as a student card or enrolment record, is usually required. Note that high school students are not eligible for the discount.

For parents: In addition, as of April 2007 Apple has extended the discount

to parents buying on behalf of students currently studying at or accepted into a qualifying higher educational institution. Note again, this does not mean parents of high school students, but presumably from the moment you are offered a place at a qualifying institution, your parents will be able to use the discount on your behalf. Presumably, purchases made on behalf of a student contribute to their annual purchasing limits, so it is not a way to subvert the purchasing limits.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>Why do you always bring up the "educational discount" that's
>irrelevant for nearly everyone on the NG?

>

>Neil

>

>

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>It's hard to get a good comparison because they don't quite match up exactly.

>> They do have a ways to go, however, there are a few things to consider
>with

>>Apple. The value is hard to see unless you really look at what your getting
>>for the money. With Apple you get a printer for free after rebate, that's
>>\$100.00. With the educational discount you would get 10% off and get a
>free

>>iPod, that's four to five hundred bucks. With the ADC discount you can
>get

>>20% off the price of a new Mac. The software that comes with a Mac like
>>the iLife suite, Front Row multi media software with the Apple remote,
iChat

>>AV etc. would be in the \$500.00 to \$600.00 range if you had to purchase
>something

>>comparable for the Dell. The operating system is also something to really
>>consider. Of course some people don't need a free printer, iPod, and
will

>>never use a program like Photo Booth, so it really depends on your needs
>>and what you want.

>>

>>One other point, and it's a bit of a stretch. If you were looking at cars,
>>and one had a plastic body and the other had an aluminum body, you would
>>expect to pay more for the aluminum body. The MacBook Pro has an aircraft
>>grade hardened aluminum body. The case is aluminum, not just a thin aluminum
>>cover over plastic or an entire plastic case.

>>

>>By the way, the prices on MacBook Pros will drop, the machines will get
>faster,

>>and they will have the new operating system loaded between Oct. and Jan

>08",
>>so you might want to wait, if your actually considering a MacBook Pro.

>
>>
>>
>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>
>>>software or extended warranties.

>>>
>>>The verdict:

>>>
>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

>>>
>>>Still a ways to go.

>>>
>>>JH
>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>> are out there.

>>>>
>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>> LOL!

>>>>
>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D

>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Neil

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>
>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>>on
>>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
taking
>>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>a

>>>>
>>>> number
>>>>
>>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>
>>>>>Cheers,
>>>>>Kim.
>>>>>
>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>here.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>That's
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 20:39:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

James is just jealous that I post all of the news about Debian releases. Let's see, I've been reading this NG for about seven years, so that would be about two posts.

If you laughed at that you're really and truly a geek.

TCB

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap. That's
>what apple forums are for.

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Steve Helm](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 20:52:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more than the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro environment.

Steve

Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra

>software or extended warranties.

>

>The verdict:

>

>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443

>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

>

>Still a ways to go.

>

>JH

>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>> are out there.

>>

>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>> LOL!

>>

>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D

>>

>>

>> Neil

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>>>
>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
on
>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
a
>>
>> number
>>
>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>
>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>
>>>Cheers,
>>>Kim.
>>>
>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>
>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
here.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>
>>
>>>That's
>>>
>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 02:23:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our new Mac

Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.

I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the internet..sorry but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.

I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.

LAD

"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>
>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more than
>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro environment.

>
>Steve

>
>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>
>>software or extended warranties.

>>
>>The verdict:

>>
>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

>>
>>Still a ways to go.

>>
>>JH

>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>> are out there.

>>>
>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>> LOL!

>>>
>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D

>>>
>>>
>>> Neil

>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>

>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>
>>>>
>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>on
>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>a
>>>
>>> number
>>>
>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>
>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>Kim.
>>>>
>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>
>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>here.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>
>>>
>>>>That's
>>>>
>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>
>>>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 03:27:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps, registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never a problem with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email, Skype, etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop spam mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via a large burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers, Apple would really have something.... ;-)

Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll have to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should be soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of plugin counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects, but identical.

The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was from Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count and low latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run on the same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win) and Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS, I would buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.

Regards,
Dedric

On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our new Mac
> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>
> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the internet..sorry
> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>
> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>
> LAD
>
> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>
>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more than
>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>> environment.
>>
>> Steve

>>
>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>
>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>
>>> The verdict:
>>>
>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>
>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>
>>> JH
>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>> are out there.
>>>>
>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>> LOL!
>>>>
>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Neil
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>> on
>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking
>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>> politics
>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>> a
>>>>
>>>> number
>>>>
>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>

>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Kim.
>>>>
>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>> here.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> That's
>>>>>
>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 13:57:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You are selling your self short. I recall you posting apt get blah blah blah
at least 10,000 times :-)

Chuck
"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
>James is just jealous that I post all of the news about Debian releases.
Let's
>see, I've been reading this NG for about seven years, so that would be about
>two posts.
>
>If you laughed at that you're really and truly a geek.
>

>TCB

>

>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>

>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap. That's

>>what apple forums are for.

>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 15:16:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Dedic,.. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies studio who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.

But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs. Cubase 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...

Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs on his Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions. Around 80 track..

We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all 4 bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..

Hit the space bar..

Ran liek a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the back round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along withPro Tools (HD) still open with a session...!!

I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer (Intel) bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad. Solid.. Solid Solid..

Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X and the ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..

Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never a problem
>with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email, Skype,
>etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop spam
>mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via a large
>burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers, Apple
>would really have something.... ;-)

>

>Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll have
>to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
be
>soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of plugin
>counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects, but
>identical.

>
>The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was from
>Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count and low
>latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run on the
>same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win) and
>Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS, I would
>buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.

>
>Regards,
>Dedric

>
>On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>wrote:

>
>>
>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our new
Mac
>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>
>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the internet..sorry
>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>
>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.

>>
>> LAD
>>
>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>>>
>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
than
>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>> environment.

>>>
>>> Steve
>>>

>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra

>>>
>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>
>>>> THE verdict:
>>>>
>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>
>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>
>>>> JH
>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>
>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>
>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Neil
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
purpose
>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
much
>>>> on
>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
taking
>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>> politics
>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>> a
>>>>>
>>>>>> number
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to
know

>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>
>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>> here.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
crap.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 16:06:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps and stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than that with only 30% cpu load or so).

Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or dual core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build for one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP to run more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).

Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It might be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons. I

might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.

Dedric

On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1 @linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
> Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies studio
> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>
> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs. Cubase
> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>
> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs on his
> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
> Around 80 track..
>
> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all 4
> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
> Hit the space bar..
> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the back
> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along withPro
> Tools (HD) still open with a session...!!
>
> I was sold.. Because.. I assumed this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
> based Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
> Solid.. Solid Solid..
>
> Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X and the
> ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>
>
>
> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>> registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never a problem
>> with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email, Skype,
>> etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop spam
>> mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via a large
>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers, Apple
>> would really have something.... ;-)
>>
>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll have
>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
> be
>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of plugin
>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects, but

>> identical.
>>
>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was from
>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count and low
>> latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run on the
>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win) and
>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS, I would
>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic
>>
>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our new
> Mac
>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>
>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>> internet..sorry
>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>
>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>
>>> LAD
>>>
>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
> than
>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>>> environment.
>>>>
>>>> Steve
>>>>
>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>>>>
>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>
>>>>> The verdict:

>>>>
>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>
>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>
>>>> JH
>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>
>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>
>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Neil
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
> purpose
>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
> much
>>>> on
>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
> taking
>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>> politics
>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>> a
>>>>>>
>>>>>> number
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to
> know
>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> Kim.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
> crap.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 17:57:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Dedic,
I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is just fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via in the box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you know, takes a "big" strain off the system resources..

I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run (true)XP (via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic Audio..

having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow for such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..

Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project

>on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps and
>stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than that
>with only 30% cpu load or so).
>
>Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or dual
>core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
for
>one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP to
run
>more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>
>Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It might
>be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
|
>might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>
>Dedric
>
>On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1 @linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>> Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
studio
>> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>
>> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
Cubase
>> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>
>> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs on
his
>> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>> Around 80 track..
>>
>> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
4
>> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>> Hit the space bar..
>> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the back
>> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along withPro
>> Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>
>> I was sold.. Because.. I assumed this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>> based Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>> Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>
>> Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X and

the

>> ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..

>>

>>

>>

>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>> Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>> registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never a
problem

>>> with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
Skype,

>>> etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
spam

>>> mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via a
large

>>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers, Apple
>>> would really have something.... ;-)

>>>

>>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
have

>>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>> be

>>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of plugin

>>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects, but

>>> identical.

>>>

>>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was from
>>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count and
low

>>> latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run on
the

>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside

>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
and

>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS, I
would

>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest

>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.

>>>

>>> Regards,

>>> Detric

>>>

>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1 @linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our

new
>> Mac
>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>
>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>> internet..sorry
>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>
>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>
>>>> LAD
>>>>
>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>> than
>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>>>> environment.
>>>>>
>>>>> Steve
>>>>>
>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
extra
>>>>>>
>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> THe verdict:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>> purpose
>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>> much
>>>> on
>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
or
>> taking
>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>> politics
>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
quite
>>>> a
>>>>>>
>>>>>> number
>>>>>>
>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
to
>> know
>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
stuff
>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>> crap.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 22:50:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next version of Logic goes.

I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP - more post capabilities. Maybe this time?

Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have a feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there - one reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years. ;-)

Dedric

On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"
<jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
> Hey Dedric,
> I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is just
> fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via in the
> box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you know,
> takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>
> I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run (true)XP
> (via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
> Audio..
>

> having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow for
> such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>
> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project
>> on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps and
>> stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than that
>> with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>
>> Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or dual
>> core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
> for
>> one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP to
> run
>> more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>
>> Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It might
>> be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
> I
>> might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>
>> Dedic
>>
>> On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Hey Dedic,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
> studio
>>> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accell!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>>
>>> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
> Cubase
>>> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>>
>>> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs on
> his
>>> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>> Around 80 track..
>>>
>>> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
> 4
>>> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>> Hit the space bar..
>>> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the back
>>> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along withPro
>>> Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>

>>> I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer (Intel
>>> bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>> Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>
>>> Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X and
> the
>>> ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>> registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never a
> problem
>>>> with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
> Skype,
>>>> etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
> spam
>>>> mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via a
> large
>>>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers, Apple
>>>> would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>
>>>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
> have
>>>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>>> be
>>>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of plugin
>>>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects, but
>>>> identical.
>>>>
>>>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was from
>>>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count and
> low
>>>> latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run on
> the
>>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
> and
>>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS, I
> would
>>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Detric
>>>>

>>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our
> new
>>> Mac
>>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>> internet..sorry
>>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>
>>>>> LAD
>>>>>
>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>>> than
>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
> extra
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> THe verdict:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one

>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>> purpose
>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>>> much
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
> or
>>> taking
>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
> quite
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
> to
>>> know
>>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
> stuff
>>>>>>> here.

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>>> crap.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: PT M Powered on a Quad PC ..was FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 00:05:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use), PT M powered.

I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of ram..shouldn't be the case right?

Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.

Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and an N-Videa 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels just the same....

Is it PT?

The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency whist recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.

Any ideas guys?

Martin Harrington

www.lendanear-sound.com

0414 913 247

Subject: Re: PT M Powered on a Quad PC ..was FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new

iMacs released.

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 01:38:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Video related? I have to ask, what's the video card in question?

AA

"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
news:46bcff81\$1@linux...

>A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use), PT M
>powered.

> I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws
> looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of
> ram..shouldn't be the case right?

> Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.

> Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and an
> N-Vidia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels just
> the same....

> Is it PT?

> The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency
> whist recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.

> Any ideas guys?

>

> Martin Harrington

> www.lendaneer-sound.com

> 0414 913 247

>

>

Subject: Re: PT M Powered on a Quad PC ..was FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new
iMacs released.

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 01:42:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

doh.. I mean what's the RAM on the video card.

Sorry, long day.

AA

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
news:46bd1511\$1@linux...

> Video related? I have to ask, what's the video card in question?

> AA

>

>

> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
> news:46bcff81\$1@linux...
>>A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use), PT M
>>powered.
>> I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws
>> looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of
>> ram..shouldn't be the case right?
>> Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.
>> Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and an
>> N-Videia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels just
>> the same....
>> Is it PT?
>> The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency
>> whist recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.
>> Any ideas guys?
>>
>> Martin Harrington
>> www.lendanear-sound.com
>> 0414 913 247
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 01:59:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course
>there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next
>version of Logic goes.

>

>I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP - more
>post capabilities. Maybe this time?

>

>Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have a
>feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there - one
>reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed
>platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years. ;-)

>

>Dedric

I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing to pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you buy it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?

>
>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"
><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> Hey Dedic,
>> I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is
just
>> fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via in
the
>> box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
know,
>> takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>
>> I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
(true)XP
>> (via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
>> Audio..
>>
>> having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow for
>> such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>
>> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project
>>> on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
and
>>> stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than
that
>>> with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>
>>> Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or dual
>>> core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
>> for
>>> one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP
to
>> run
>>> more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>
>>> Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It
might
>>> be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>> I
>>> might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>> wrote:

>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
>> studio
>>>> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>>>
>>>> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>> Cubase
>>>> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>>>
>>>> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
on
>> his
>>>> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>>> Around 80 track..
>>>>
>>>> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>> 4
>>>> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>> Hit the space bar..
>>>> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
back
>>>> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
....Pro
>>>> Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>
>>>> I was sold.. Because.. I assumed this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>>>> based Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>> Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>
>>>> Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
and
>> the
>>>> ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>> registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
a
>> problem
>>>>> with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>> Skype,
>>>>> etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
>> spam
>>>>> mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via
a

>> large
>>>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
Apple
>>>> would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>
>>>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
>> have
>>>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>>>> be
>>>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of
plugin
>>>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
but
>>>> identical.
>>>>
>>>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
from
>>>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
and
>> low
>>>> latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
on
>> the
>>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
>> and
>>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS,
I
>> would
>>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Dedic
>>>>
>>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our
>> new
>>>> Mac
>>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>> internet..sorry
>>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.

>>>>>
>>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>
>>>>> LAD
>>>>>
>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
more
>>>> than
>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
pro
>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
>> extra
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> THe verdict:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>> purpose
>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>>>> much
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>> or
>>>> taking
>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of
the
>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
>> quite
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
>> to
>>>> know
>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
>> stuff
>>>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>>>> crap.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [audioguy_editout_](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 02:39:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would pay more money for OSX for Mac if Apple gave it an i-ppendectomy!! No i-crap, no Safari, no Garage Crap... Just OS. I know you can remove the stuff, but I don't think it would be the same.

I keep thinking of those Apple TV commercials... gets me thinking "wanna get some WORK done, buy a PC!" ;-) (ducking)

David.

ps. YES, we use *both* here.

James McCloskey wrote:

> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>

>>And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course
>>there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next
>>version of Logic goes.

>>

>>I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP - more
>>post capabilities. Maybe this time?

>>

>>Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have a
>>feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there - one
>>reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed
>>platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years. ;-)

>>

>>Detric

>

>

> I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing to
> pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you buy
> it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?

>
>

>>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>
>>

>>>Hey Dedic,
>>>I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is
>
> just

>
>>>fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via in

>
> the

>
>>>box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
>
> know,

>
>>>takes a "big" strain off the system resources..

>>>

>>>I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
>
> (true)XP

>

>>>(via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
>>>Audio..

>>>

>>>having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow for
>>>such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..

>>>

>>>Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>

>>>>The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project
>>>>on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps

>

> and

>

>>>>stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than

>

> that

>

>>>>with only 30% cpu load or so).

>>>>

>>>>Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or dual

>>>>core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
>>>
>>>for
>>>
>>>>one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP
>
> to
>
>>>run
>>>
>>>>more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>>
>>>>Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It
>
> might
>
>>>>be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>>
>>>I
>>>
>>>>might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>
>>>>Dedric
>>>>
>>>>On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1 @linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
>>>>
>>>>studio
>>>>
>>>>>who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) witha DM-2000.
>>>>>
>>>>>But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>>>>
>>>>Cubase
>>>>
>>>>>3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
>
> on
>
>>>his
>>>
>>>>>Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>>>>Around 80 track..

>>>>>
>>>>>We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>>
>>>4
>>>
>>>>>bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>>Hit the space bar..
>>>>>Ran liek a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
>
> back
>
>>>>>round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
>
> ...Pro
>
>>>>>Tools (HD) still open with a session...!!
>>>>>
>>>>>I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>>>>>bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>>>Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>
>>>>>Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>
> and
>
>>>the
>>>
>>>>>ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>>>registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
>
> a
>
>>>problem
>>>
>>>>>>with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>>
>>>Skype,
>>>
>>>>>>etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
>>>
>>>spam
>>>

>>>>>mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via
>
> a
>
>>>large
>>>
>>>>>burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
>
> Apple
>
>>>>>would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>
>>>>>Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
>>>
>>>have
>>>
>>>>>to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>>>>>
>>>>>be
>>>>>
>>>>>soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of
>
> plugin
>
>>>>>counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
>
> but
>
>>>>>identical.
>>>>>
>>>>>The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
>
> from
>
>>>>>Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>>>apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
>
> and
>
>>>low
>>>
>>>>>latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
>
> on
>
>>>the
>>>
>>>>>same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside

>>>>>OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
>>>
>>>and
>>>
>>>>>Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS,
>
> I
>
>>>would
>>>
>>>>>buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>>>bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>>>>
>>>>>Regards,
>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>>On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our
>>>
>>>new
>>>
>>>>>Mac
>>>>>
>>>>>>Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>>>>internet..sorry
>>>>>>>but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>LAD
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>
> more
>
>>>>>>than
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
>
> pro
>

>>>>>>>environment.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Steve
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Just for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
>>>
>>>extra
>>>
>>>>>>>software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The verdict:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>JH
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>are out there.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>& price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>LOL!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Neil
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>>>
>>>>>purpose
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>>>>>

>>>>>much
>>>>>
>>>>>>>on
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>>>
>>>or
>>>
>>>>>taking
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of
>
> the
>
>>>>>>>>>politics
>>>>>>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
>>>
>>>quite
>>>
>>>>>>>a
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>number
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
>>>
>>>to
>>>
>>>>>know
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Cheers,
>>>>>>>>>>Kim.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
>>>
>>>stuff

>>>
>>>>>>>here.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>>>>>
>>>>>crap.
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>That's
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 03:50:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We're back to the word "crap". So what is wrong with Safari? GarageBand Does not come with OSX per say, but what is wrong with it? "Come on Dave, give me a break" I think this is just more anti Mac stuff.

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>I would pay more money for OSX for Mac if Apple gave it an

>i-ppendectomy!! No i-crap, no Saffari, no Garage Crap...

>Just OS. I know you can remove the stuff, but I don't think

>it would be the same.

>

>I keep thinking of those Apple TV commercials... gets me

>thinking "wanna get some WORK done, buy a PC!" ;-) (ducking)

>

>David.

>

>ps. YES, we use *both* here.

>

>James McCloskey wrote:

>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>

>>>And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course

>>>there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next

>>>version of Logic goes.

>>>
>>>I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP -
more
>>>post capabilities. Maybe this time?
>>>
>>>Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have
a
>>>feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there - one
>>>reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed
>>>platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years. ;-)
>>>
>>>Dedric
>>
>>
>> I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing
to
>> pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you
buy
>> it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?
>>
>>
>>>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hey Dedric,
>>>>I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is
>>
>> just
>>
>>>>fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via
in
>>
>> the
>>
>>>>box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
>>
>> know,
>>
>>>>takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>>>
>>>>I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
>>
>> (true)XP
>>
>>>>(via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
>>>>Audio..
>>>>

>>>>having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow
for
>>>>such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>>>
>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project
>>>>>on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
>>
>> and
>>
>>>>>stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than
>>
>> that
>>
>>>>>with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>>>
>>>>>Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or
dual
>>>>>core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
>>>>
>>>>for
>>>>
>>>>>one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP
>>
>> to
>>
>>>>run
>>>>
>>>>>more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>>>
>>>>>Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It
>>
>> might
>>
>>>>>be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>>>
>>>>I
>>>>
>>>>>might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies

>>>>
>>>>studio
>>>>
>>>>>>who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) witha DM-2000.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>>>>
>>>>Cubase
>>>>
>>>>>>3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
>>
>> on
>>
>>>>his
>>>>
>>>>>>Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>>>>>Around 80 track..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>>>
>>>>4
>>>>
>>>>>>bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>>>Hit the space bar..
>>>>>>Ran liek a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
>>
>> back
>>
>>>>>>round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
>>
>> ...Pro
>>
>>>>>>Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>>>>>>bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>>>>Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>>
>> and
>>
>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>>>ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>>>registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
>>
>> a
>>
>>>>problem
>>>>
>>>>>>with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>>>
>>>>Skype,
>>>>
>>>>>>etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
>>>>
>>>>spam
>>>>
>>>>>>mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via
>>
>> a
>>
>>>>large
>>>>
>>>>>>burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
>>
>> Apple
>>
>>>>>>would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
>>>>
>>>>have
>>>>
>>>>>>to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>>>>>>
>>>>>>be
>>>>>>
>>>>>>soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of
>>
>> plugin
>>
>>>>>>counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
>>
>> but
>>
>>>>>>identical.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
>>
>> from
>>
>>>>>>Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>>>>apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
>>
>> and
>>
>>>>low
>>>>
>>>>>>latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
>>
>> on
>>
>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>>>same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>>>>>>OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
>>>>
>>>>and
>>>>
>>>>>>Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS,
>>
>> I
>>
>>>>would
>>>>
>>>>>>buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>>>>bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Regards,
>>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>>
>>>>>>On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "Iamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our
>>>>
>>>>new
>>>>
>>>>>>Mac
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the

>>>>>>>internet..sorry
>>>>>>>but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LAD
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>>
>> more
>>
>>>>>>>than
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
>>
>> pro
>>
>>>>>>>>environment.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Steve
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
No
>>>>
>>>>extra
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>The verdict:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>JH
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>>>>Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>>>>are out there.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together

>
> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have a
>> feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there - one
>> reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed
>> platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years. ;-)
>>
>> Dedic
>
> I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing to
> pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you buy
> it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?
>

Gas? Probably not - it seems to be a fair question -

....but how could Apple sell OSX unsupported? AFAIK (from past corporate support experience) I don't think it is legal in the US to sell a product retail without some level of support unless the product is completely unsupported and sold as a non-retail product (e.g. can't make a Mac version with full support and a PC version for the same price and none, but you could sell OSX for both platforms with limited support).

Regardless, as has been said before iWork is extra, not included, so that doesn't count. iLife is \$79 to upgrade to the new version, so it isn't exactly an OS "feature", and it's a home user/recreational set of tools, not something I would consider a must for professional use (iChat might come in handy for client communication, but Skype works quite well for free). iLife is an app just like any other.

\$299 for OSX? If it really provided some specific advantage for the price, but it's more than I pay for WinXP (I only pay \$139 for WinXP Pro (OEM) since I build my own machines (e.g. that meets the license requirement for the OEM version). Like Dave, I don't need iLife - just an OS, stripped down running fast. iWork might come in handy for only \$79 vs. MS Office since I don't need the full capabilities of Office and do get MS Word and Excel docs from clients, but again, it is an add on, not an OS feature.

Beyond that, I'm not what the context of your question is. Is Apple considering such a move?

Regards,
Dedic

>>
>> On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"

>> <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Hey Dedic,
>>> I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is
> just
>>> fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via in
> the
>>> box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
> know,
>>> takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>>
>>> I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
> (true)XP
>>> (via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
>>> Audio..
>>>
>>> having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow for
>>> such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>>
>>> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project
>>>> on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
> and
>>>> stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than
> that
>>>> with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>>
>>>> Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or dual
>>>> core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
>>> for
>>>> one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP
> to
>>> run
>>>> more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>>
>>>> Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It
> might
>>>> be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>> I
>>>> might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>
>>>> Dedic
>>>>
>>>> On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>

>>>>
>>>> Hey Dedic,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
>>> studio
>>>> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>>>
>>>> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>>> Cubase
>>>> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non
>>>> Intel)..
>>>>
>>>> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
> on
>>> his
>>>> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>>> Around 80 track..
>>>>
>>>> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>> 4
>>>> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>> Hit the space bar..
>>>> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
> back
>>>> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
> ...Pro
>>>> Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>
>>>> I was sold.. Because.. I assumed this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>>>> based Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>> Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>
>>>> Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
> and
>>> the
>>>> ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>> registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
> a
>>> problem
>>>>> with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>> Skype,
>>>>> etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
>>> spam
>>>>> mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via
> a

>>> large
>>>>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
> Apple
>>>>> would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>
>>>>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
>>> have
>>>>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>>>>> be
>>>>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of
> plugin
>>>>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
> but
>>>>> identical.
>>>>>
>>>>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
> from
>>>>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
> and
>>> low
>>>>> latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
> on
>>> the
>>>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>>>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
>>> and
>>>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS,
> I
>>> would
>>>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont"
>>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our
>>> new
>>>>> Mac
>>>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>>>> internet..sorry

>>>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LAD
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
> more
>>>>> than
>>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
> pro
>>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
>>> extra
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> THe verdict:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>>>>>>>>>> much
>>>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>>> or
>>>>> taking
>>>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of
> the
>>>>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
>>> quite
>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
>>> to
>>>>> know
>>>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
>>> stuff
>>>>>>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>>>>> crap.
>>>>>>>>>>

>>thinking "wanna get some WORK done, buy a PC!" ;-) (ducking)
>>
>>David.
>>
>>ps. YES, we use *both* here.
>>
>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>
>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course
>>>>there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next
>>>>version of Logic goes.
>>>>
>>>>I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP -
>
> more
>
>>>>post capabilities. Maybe this time?
>>>>
>>>>Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have
>
> a
>
>>>>feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there - one
>>>>reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed
>>>>platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years. ;-)
>>>>
>>>>Dedric
>>>
>>>
>>>I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing
>
> to
>
>>>pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you
>
> buy
>
>>>it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>Hey Dedic,
>>>>>I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is
>>>
>>>just
>>>
>>>
>>>>>fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via
>
> in
>
>>>the
>>>
>>>
>>>>>box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
>>>
>>>know,
>>>
>>>
>>>>>takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>>>>
>>>>>I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
>>>
>>>(true)XP
>>>
>>>
>>>>>(via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
>>>>>Audio..
>>>>>
>>>>>having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow
>
> for
>
>>>>>such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project
>>>>>>on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
>>>
>>>and
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than
>>>
>>>that
>>>

>>>
>>>>>with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>>>
>>>>>Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or
>
> dual
>
>>>>>core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
>>>>>
>>>>>for
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP
>>>
>>>to
>>>
>>>
>>>>>run
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It
>>>
>>>might
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>>>>
>>>>>>I
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>>
>>>>>>On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1 @linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>studio
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) witha DM-2000.
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>>>>>
>>>>>Cubase
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
>>>
>>>on
>>>
>>>
>>>>>his
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mac. So, for testting we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>>>>>Around 80 track..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>>>>
>>>>>4
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>>>Hit the space bar..
>>>>>>Ran liek a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
>>>
>>>back
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
>>>
>>>...Pro
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>>>>>>bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>>>>Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>>>
>>>and
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>the
>>>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>>ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>>>>registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
>>>
>>>a
>>>
>>>
>>>>>problem
>>>>>
>>>>>>>with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>>>>
>>>>>Skype,
>>>>>
>>>>>>>etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
>>>>>
>>>>>spam
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via
>>>
>>>a
>>>
>>>
>>>>>large
>>>>>
>>>>>>>burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
>>>
>>>Apple
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
>>>>>
>>>>>have
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should

>>>>>>
>>>>>>be
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of
>>>
>>>plugin
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
>>>
>>>but
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>identical.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
>>>
>>>from
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>>>>>apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
>>>
>>>and
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>low
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
>>>
>>>on
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>>>>>>>>OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>and
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS,
>>>
>>>I
>>>

>>>
>>>>>would
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>>>>>bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Regards,
>>>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>new
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Mac
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>>>>>internet..sorry
>>>>>>>>but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>LAD
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>>>
>>>more
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>than
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
>>>
>>>pro
>>>

>>>
>>>>>>>>environment.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Steve
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Just for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
>
> No
>
>>>>>extra
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The verdict:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>JH
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>>>Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>>>are out there.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>>>& price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>>>LOL!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Neil
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 04:22:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>On 8/10/07 7:59 PM, in article 46bd17fd\$1@linux, "James McCloskey"

><excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>>

>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>> Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have

a

>>> feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there - one

>>> reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed

>>> platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years. ;-)

>>>

>>> Dedric

>>

>> I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing
to

>> pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you
buy

>> it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?

>>

>

>Gas? Probably not - it seems to be a fair question -

>

>...but how could Apple sell OSX unsupported? AFAIK (from past corporate

>support experience) I don't think it is legal in the US to sell a product

>retail without some level of support unless the product is completely

>unsupported and sold as a non-retail product (e.g. can't make a Mac version

>with full support and a PC version for the same price and none, but you

>could sell OSX for both platforms with limited support).

>

>Regardless, as has been said before iWork is extra, not included, so that

>doesn't count. iLife is \$79 to upgrade to the new version, so it isn't

>exactly an OS "feature", and it's a home user/recreational set of tools,

not

>something I would consider a must for professional use (iChat might come
in

>handy for client communication, but Skype works quite well for free). iLife

>is an app just like any other.

>

>\$299 for OSX? If it really provided some specific advantage for the price,

>but it's more than I pay for WinXP (I only pay \$139 for WinXP Pro (OEM)

>since I build my own machines (e.g. that meets the license requirement for

>the OEM version). Like Dave, I don't need iLife - just an OS, stripped

down

>running fast. iWork might come in handy for only \$79 vs. MS Office since

I

>don't need the full capabilities of Office and do get MS Word and Excel docs
>from clients, but again, it is an add on, not an OS feature.
>
>Beyond that, I'm not what the context of your question is. Is Apple
>considering such a move?
>
>Regards,
>Dedric
>
>

No, I was just curious.

>>>
>>> On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1 @linux, "LaMont"
>>> <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hey Dedric,
>>>> I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX
is
>> just
>>>> fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via
in
>> the
>>>> box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
>> know,
>>>> takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>>>
>>>> I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
>> (true)XP
>>>> (via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
>>>> Audio..
>>>>
>>>> having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow
for
>>>> such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same
project
>>>>> on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
>> and
>>>>> stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than
>> that
>>>>> with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>>>

>>>>> Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or dual
>>>>> core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
>>>> for
>>>>> one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP
>> to
>>>> run
>>>>> more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>>>
>>>>> Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It
>> might
>>>>> be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>>> I
>>>>> might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>
>>>>> On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hey Dedic,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
>>>> studio
>>>>>> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>>>> Cubase
>>>>>> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non
>>>>>> Intel)...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
>> on
>>>> his
>>>>>> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>>>>> Around 80 track..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>>> 4
>>>>>> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>>> Hit the space bar..
>>>>>> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
>> back
>>>>>> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
>> ...Pro
>>>>>> Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>>>

>>>>> I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>>>>> bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>>> Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>
>>>>> Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>> and
>>>> the
>>>>> ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>> Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>>> registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
>> a
>>>> problem
>>>>>> with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>>> Skype,
>>>>>> etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
>>>> spam
>>>>>> mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via
>> a
>>>> large
>>>>>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
>> Apple
>>>>>> would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
>>>> have
>>>>>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>>>>>> be
>>>>>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of
>> plugin
>>>>>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
>> but
>>>>>> identical.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
>> from
>>>>>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>>>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
>> and
>>>> low
>>>>>> latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
>> on
>>>> the
>>>>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better

outside
>>>>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5
(Win)
>>>> and
>>>>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS,
>> I
>>>> would
>>>>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for
me.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont"
>>>>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to
our
>>>> new
>>>>>> Mac
>>>>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>>>> internet..sorry
>>>>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> LAD
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>> more
>>>>>> than
>>>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in
a
>> pro
>>>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops
(small

>>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best
as
>>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
No
>>>> extra
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> THe verdict:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>>>>>>>>>>> much
>>>>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>>>>>>>>>>>>> or
>>>>>>>>>>>>> taking
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some
of
>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know

>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 04:43:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 8/10/07 10:22 PM, in article 46bd3997\$1@linux, "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

> No, I was just curious.

>

That's cool. Both Apple and MS add stuff not everyone needs, and both are just trying to provide apps a lot of people do use. For me, whichever OS runs better for what I do would be my preference, regardless of who makes it - but without a standalone version of OSX it's hard to make that call since I can't pair it with the hardware I prefer. And without 3rd party support for Linux, that's out as well. If I ran Logic (which is a great app), no doubt, I'd have a Mac... or 2 or 3.

I'm also not knocking iLife - it is a nice suite of handy apps that MS doesn't have a comparable product (the functions are there in Media Player, Movie Maker, etc, but iLife's apps are more user friendly). I also wouldn't mind iWork over Office - I've seriously considered a MacBook for that reason (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than I would ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and Powerpoint docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.

Regards,
Dedric

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 05:09:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0024_01C7DBAB.F19C9590

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

.....

(the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
> something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than I =
would
> ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and =
Powerpoint
> docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.
.....

Dedric.. two words bro: Open Office

Or use Google's free online docs/spreadsheets capabilities.

AA

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message =
news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net...
> On 8/10/07 10:22 PM, in article 46bd3997\$1@linux, "James McCloskey"
> <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>=20
>> No, I was just curious.
>>=20
>=20
>=20
> That's cool. Both Apple and MS add stuff not everyone needs, and both =
are
> just trying to provide apps a lot of people do use. For me, whichever =
OS
> runs better for what I do would be my preference, regardless of who =
makes it
> - but without a standalone version of OSX it's hard to make that call =
since
> I can't pair it with the hardware I prefer. And without 3rd party =
support
> for Linux, that's out as well. If I ran Logic (which is a great app), =
no
> doubt, I'd have a Mac... or 2 or 3.
>=20
> I'm also not knocking iLife - it is a nice suite of handy apps that MS
> doesn't have a comparable product (the functions are there in Media =
Player,
> Movie Maker, etc, but iLife's apps are more user friendly). I also =
wouldn't
> mind iWork over Office - I've seriously considered a MacBook for that =
reason
> (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
> something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than I =
would

> ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and =
Powerpoint
> docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.
>=20

> Regards,
> Detric

>
-----=_NextPart_000_0024_01C7DBAB.F19C9590

Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16481" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>....</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>(the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on =
Vista (I=20
have Office 2003 or<BR>&gt; something like that) is $275 - not exactly a =
bargain=20
for more than I would<BR>&gt; ever use.&nbsp; I like that iWork supports =
MS Word=20
Docs, Excel, and Powerpoint<BR>&gt; docs, and for only $79.&nbsp; Seems =
like a=20
good deal to me.<BR>.....</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Detric.. two words bro:&nbsp; <A=20
href=3D"http://www.openoffice.org"><STRONG>Open =
Office</STRONG></A></FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Or use Google's free online =
docs/spreadsheets=20
capabilities.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>AA</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>"Detric Terry" &lt;</FONT><A=20
href=3D"mailto:dterry@keyofd.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>dterry@keyofd.net</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>&gt; =
wrote in message=20
</FONT><A href=3D"news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net"><FONT =
face=3DArial=20
```

size=3D2>news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net<FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>...</DIV>> On 8/10/07 =
10:22 PM, in=20
article <FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>46bd3997\$1 @linux, =
"James =20
McCloskey"
> <<A =
href=3D"mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com"><FONT =20
face=3DArial size=3D2>excelsm@hotmail.com<FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>>=20
wrote:
>>
>> No, I was just curious.
>> =

>=20

>
> That's cool. Both Apple and MS add stuff not =
everyone=20
needs, and both are
> just trying to provide apps a lot of people =
do=20
use. For me, whichever OS
> runs better for what I do would =
is my=20
preference, regardless of who makes it
> - but without a =
standalone=20
version of OSX it's hard to make that call since
> I can't pair it =
with=20
the hardware I prefer. And without 3rd party support
> for =
Linux,=20
that's out as well. If I ran Logic (which is a great app), =
no
>=20
doubt, I'd have a Mac... or 2 or 3.
>
> I'm also not =
knocking iLife=20
- it is a nice suite of handy apps that MS
> doesn't have a =
comparable=20
product (the functions are there in Media Player,
> Movie Maker, =
etc, but=20
iLife's apps are more user friendly). I also wouldn't
> mind =
iWork=20
over Office - I've seriously considered a MacBook for that =
reason
> (the=20
"upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
>=20
something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than I=20
would
>> ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, =
Excel,=20
and Powerpoint
> docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good =
deal to=20
me.
>
> Regards,
> Dedric
> =
</BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0024_01C7DBAB.F19C9590--

Subject: Re: PT M Powered on a Quad PC ..was FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 05:19:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

N-Vidia GForce 8500 GT with 256 mg ram

Martin Harrington

www.lendaneer-sound.com

0414 913 247

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
news:46bd15ea@linux...

> doh.. I mean what's the RAM on the video card.

> Sorry, long day.

>

> AA

>

>

> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message

> news:46bd1511\$1@linux...

>> Video related? I have to ask, what's the video card in question?

>> AA

>>

>>

>> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message

>> news:46bcff81\$1@linux...

>>>A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use), PT M
>>>powered.

>>> I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws

>>> looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of

>>> ram..shouldn't be the case right?

>>> Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.

>>> Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and an

>>> N-Videia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels just

>>> the same....

>>> Is it PT?

>>> The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency

>>> whist recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.

>>> Any ideas guys?

>>>

>>> Martin Harrington

>>> www.lendaneer-sound.com

>>> 0414 913 247

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

>

--

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 05:19:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not understand this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--B_3269632774_1331904

Content-type: text/plain; charset="ISO-8859-1"

Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

without a snazzy interface.

Regards,
Dedric

On 8/10/07 11:09 PM, in article 46bd469e@linux, "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>

> (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
>> > something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than I would
>> > ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and Powerpoint
>> > docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.

>

>

> Dedric.. two words bro: Open Office <<http://www.openoffice.org>>

>

> Or use Google's free online docs/spreadsheets capabilities.

>

> AA

>

>

> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net <<mailto:dterry@keyofd.net>> > wrote in
> message news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net

> <<news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net>> ...

>> > On 8/10/07 10:22 PM, in article 46bd3997\$1@linux <[mailto:46bd3997\\$1@linux](mailto:46bd3997$1@linux)>
>> > , "James McCloskey"

>> > <excelsm@hotmail.com <<mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com>> > wrote:

>>> >>

>>> >> No, I was just curious.

>>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> > That's cool. Both Apple and MS add stuff not everyone needs, and both are
>> > just trying to provide apps a lot of people do use. For me, whichever OS
>> > runs better for what I do would be my preference, regardless of who makes
>> > it
>> > - but without a standalone version of OSX it's hard to make that call since
>> > I can't pair it with the hardware I prefer. And without 3rd party support
>> > for Linux, that's out as well. If I ran Logic (which is a great app), no
>> > doubt, I'd have a Mac... or 2 or 3.
>> >
>> > I'm also not knocking iLife - it is a nice suite of handy apps that MS
>> > doesn't have a comparable product (the functions are there in Media Player,
>> > Movie Maker, etc, but iLife's apps are more user friendly). I also
>> > wouldn't
>> > mind iWork over Office - I've seriously considered a MacBook for that
>> > reason
>> > (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
>> > something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than I would
>> > ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and Powerpoint
>> > docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.
>> >
>> > Regards,
>> > Detric
>> >

--B_3269632774_1331904
Content-type: text/html; charset="ISO-8859-1"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

<HTML>
<HEAD>
<TITLE>Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.</TITLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY>
Hey Aaron – I wil=
I give it a try – I’ve been meaning to for a while. It doe=
s look a wee bit Win95 in its’ GUI, but if it gets the job done (openi=
ng MS Docs, etc – I like that it will publish pdfs), then hey I can li=
ve without a snazzy interface.

Regards,

Detric

On 8/10/07 11:09 PM, in article 46bd469e@linux, "Aaron Allen" <=

;know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

<BLOCKQUOTE><FONT
FACE=3D"Arial"=
>....

(the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003=
or

> something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than I w=
ould

> ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and Po=
werpoint

> docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.

.....

Dedric.. two words bro: Open Office =
<http://www.openoffice.org> <B=
R>

Or use Google's free online docs/spreadsheets cap=
abilities.

AA

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net</F=
ONT> <mailto:dter=
ry@keyofd.net> > wrote in message news:C=
2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net <news:C2E29A83=
..BF39%dterry@keyofd.net> ...

> On 8/10/07 10:22 PM, in article 46bd3997\$1 @linux<FONT FACE=3D"Ver=
dana"> <mailto:46bd3997\$1 @linux>=
, "James McCloskey"

> <excelsm@hotmail.com <a href=3D"mailto:ex=
celsm@hotmail.com"><mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com> <FONT FACE=3D=
"Arial">> wrote:

>>

>> No, I was just curious.

>>

>

>

> That's cool. Both Apple and MS add stuff not everyone needs, and=
both are

> just trying to provide apps a lot of people do use. For me, whic=
hever OS

> runs better for what I do would is my preference, regardless of who ma=
kes it

> - but without a standalone version of OSX it's hard to make that call =
since

> I can't pair it with the hardware I prefer. And without 3rd party support

> for Linux, that's out as well. If I ran Logic (which is a great = app), no

> doubt, I'd have a Mac... or 2 or 3.

>

> I'm also not knocking iLife - it is a nice suite of handy apps that MS=

> doesn't have a comparable product (the functions are there in Media Pl= ayer,

> Movie Maker, etc, but iLife's apps are more user friendly). I al= so wouldn't

> mind iWork over Office - I've seriously considered a MacBook for that = reason

> (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office= 2003 or

> something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than I w= ould

> ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and Po= werpoint

> docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.

>

> Regards,

> Detric

>

</BLOCKQUOTE>

</BODY>
</HTML>

--B_3269632774_1331904--

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 07:19:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The just announced version of iWorks does look interesting.

I run NeoOffice on the Mac, a version of OpenOffice with an OSX interface. It does a great job for word processing and spreadsheets. The MSWord and Excel import/export works fine when I have to deal with those proprietary formats. I've also used it to view PowerPoint presentations. It handles PDF export internally (although every app that can print can export PDF on the Mac).

Firefox and Thunderbird also run great on OSX. I prefer Thunderbird to Apple's mail program, for the most part. But I tend to use Safari more than Firefox.

I don't currently use any of the iLife apps but I'll give 'em another look when I upgrade OSX in October.

This is completely off topic but I just performed my first standup comedy set tonight. It went well, and was fun. And just to get it back to computers, I wrote most of my standup set using my Psion handheld, still a great little machine. :^)

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Dedric Terry wrote:

> can live without a snazzy interface.
>
> Regards,
> Dedric
>
> On 8/10/07 11:09 PM, in article 46bd469e@linux, "Aaron Allen"
> <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>
>
> (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
> > something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than
> I would
> > ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and
> Powerpoint
> > docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.
>

> Dedric.. two words bro: *Open Office* <<http://www.openoffice.org>>
>
> Or use Google's free online docs/spreadsheets capabilities.
>
> AA
>
>
> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net <<mailto:dterry@keyofd.net>> > wrote

> in message news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net
> <news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net> ...
> > On 8/10/07 10:22 PM, in article 46bd3997\$1@linux
> <mailto:46bd3997\$1@linux> , "James McCloskey"
> > <excelsm@hotmail.com <mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com> > wrote:
> > >
> > > No, I was just curious.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > That's cool. Both Apple and MS add stuff not everyone needs, and
> both are
> > just trying to provide apps a lot of people do use. For me,
> whichever OS
> > runs better for what I do would be my preference, regardless of
> who makes it
> > - but without a standalone version of OSX it's hard to make that
> call since
> > I can't pair it with the hardware I prefer. And without 3rd party
> support
> > for Linux, that's out as well. If I ran Logic (which is a great
> app), no
> > doubt, I'd have a Mac... or 2 or 3.
> >
> > I'm also not knocking iLife - it is a nice suite of handy apps that MS
> > doesn't have a comparable product (the functions are there in
> Media Player,
> > Movie Maker, etc, but iLife's apps are more user friendly). I
> also wouldn't
> > mind iWork over Office - I've seriously considered a MacBook for
> that reason
> > (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
> > something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than
> I would
> > ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and
> Powerpoint
> > docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.
> >
> > Regards,
> > Detric
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Detric Terry](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 14:17:18 GMT

Hey Jamie - congrats on the standup comedy set! Great to hear it went well!

Regards,
Dedric

On 8/11/07 1:19 AM, in article 46bd6508@linux, "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

> The just announced version of iWorks does look interesting.
>
> I run NeoOffice on the Mac, a version of OpenOffice with an OSX
> interface. It does a great job for word processing and spreadsheets. The
> MSWord and Excel import/export works fine when I have to deal with those
> proprietary formats. I've also used it to view PowerPoint presentations.
> It handles PDF export internally (although every app that can print can
> export PDF on the Mac).
>
> Firefox and Thunderbird also run great on OSX. I prefer Thunderbird to
> Apple's mail program, for the most part. But I tend to use Safari more
> than Firefox.
>
> I don't currently use any of the iLife apps but I'll give 'em another
> look when I upgrade OSX in October.
>
> This is completely off topic but I just performed my first standup
> comedy set tonight. It went well, and was fun. And just to get it back
> to computers, I wrote most of my standup set using my Psion handheld,
> still a great little machine. :^)
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKruz.com
>
>
>
> Dedric Terry wrote:
>> Hey Aaron - I will give it a try - I've been meaning to for a while. It
>> does look a wee bit Win95 in its¹ GUI, but if it gets the job done
>> (opening MS Docs, etc - I like that it will publish pdfs), then hey I
>> can live without a snazzy interface.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedric
>>
>> On 8/10/07 11:09 PM, in article 46bd469e@linux, "Aaron Allen"
>> <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>

>>
>> (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
>>> something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than
>> I would
>>> ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and
>> Powerpoint
>>> docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.
>>
>>
>> Dedric.. two words bro: *Open Office* <<http://www.openoffice.org>>
>>
>> Or use Google's free online docs/spreadsheets capabilities.
>>
>> AA
>>
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net <<mailto:dterry@keyofd.net>> > wrote
>> in message news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net
>> <<news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net>> ...
>>> On 8/10/07 10:22 PM, in article 46bd3997\$1@linux
>> <[mailto:46bd3997\\$1@linux](mailto:46bd3997$1@linux)> , "James McCloskey"
>>> <excelsm@hotmail.com <<mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com>> > wrote:
>>>>
>>>> No, I was just curious.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> That's cool. Both Apple and MS add stuff not everyone needs, and
>> both are
>>> just trying to provide apps a lot of people do use. For me,
>> whichever OS
>>> runs better for what I do would is my preference, regardless of
>> who makes it
>>> - but without a standalone version of OSX it's hard to make that
>> call since
>>> I can't pair it with the hardware I prefer. And without 3rd party
>> support
>>> for Linux, that's out as well. If I ran Logic (which is a great
>> app), no
>>> doubt, I'd have a Mac... or 2 or 3.
>>>
>>> I'm also not knocking iLife - it is a nice suite of handy apps that MS
>>> doesn't have a comparable product (the functions are there in
>> Media Player,
>>> Movie Maker, etc, but iLife's apps are more user friendly). I
>> also wouldn't
>>> mind iWork over Office - I've seriously considered a MacBook for
>> that reason

>>> (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
>>> something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than
>> I would
>>> ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and
>> Powerpoint
>>> docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Detric
>>>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 16:58:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:
>Not anti Mac at all... nothing wrong with the stuff for
>people that want to use it... but why should people have to
>have it loaded on their machine if they don't? Same goes
>for Windows... I don't want messenger, Exploder, and the
>rest of the bloat. I think people should be able to CHOOSE
>"at install time" on either platform what they do or do not
>>want on their system.
>
>I want these machines for work, not play. And as I
>mentioned, we use MacPro and well as XP machines at the
>office for audio.
>
>David.

Well, if your a Mac user, then you know that you can remove any application you don't want. As a Mac user you would also know that those applications on your drive are not much of a performance hit, if at all. You might want to do a search on how to turn dash board off though, because it launches at start up. Also remove any thing you don't want running in the start up items.

>
>James McCloskey wrote:
>
>> We're back to the word "crap". So what is wrong with Safari? GarageBand
>> Does not come with OSX per say, but what is wrong with it? "Come on Dave,
>> give me a break" I think this is just more anti Mac stuff.
>>
>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>
>>>I would pay more money for OSX for Mac if Apple gave it an
>>>i-ppendectomy!! No i-crap, no Safari, no Garage Crap...
>>>Just OS. I know you can remove the stuff, but I don't think
>>>it would be the same.
>>>
>>>I keep thinking of those Apple TV commercials... gets me
>>>thinking "wanna get some WORK done, buy a PC!" ;-) (ducking)
>>>
>>>David.
>>>
>>>ps. YES, we use *both* here.
>>>
>>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course
>>>>>there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next
>>>>>version of Logic goes.
>>>>>
>>>>>I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP
-
>>
>> more
>>
>>>>>post capabilities. Maybe this time?
>>>>>
>>>>>Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have
>>
>> a
>>
>>>>>feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there -
one
>>>>>reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed
>>>>>platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years.
;-)
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedric
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing
>>
>> to
>>
>>>>>pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you
>>

>> buy
>>
>>>>it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Hey Dedric,
>>>>>I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX
is
>>>>
>>>>just
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via
>>
>> in
>>
>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
>>>>
>>>>know,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>>>>
>>>>>I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
>>>>
>>>>(true)XP
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>(via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW
Logic
>>>>>Audio..
>>>>>
>>>>>having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow
>>
>> for
>>
>>>>>such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same
project
>>>>>>on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
>>>>
>>>>and
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than
>>>>
>>>>that
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or
>>
>> dual
>>
>>>>>>core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might
build
>>>>>>
>>>>>>for
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found
XP
>>>>
>>>>to
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>run
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS.
It
>>>>
>>>>might
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>|
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>>
>>>>>>On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1 @linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
>>>>>>
>>>>>>studio
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Cubase
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non
Intel)...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>on
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>his
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool
sessions.
>>>>>>>>>Around 80 track..
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>4
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>>>>>>>>Hit the space bar..
>>>>>>>>>>>>Ran liek a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>back
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
>>>>
>>>>...Pro
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer
(Intel)
>>>>>>>bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>>>>>Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>>>>
>>>>and
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>>>>>registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
>>>>
>>>>a
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>problem
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Skype,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only
stop
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>spam
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source

via
>>>>
>>>>a
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>large
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
>>>>
>>>>Apple
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously.
You'll
>>>>>>
>>>>>>have
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least
(should
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>be
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison
of
>>>>
>>>>plugin
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
>>>>
>>>>but
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>identical.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
>>>>
>>>>from
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>>>>>>apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
>>>>

>>>>and
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>low
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
>>>>
>>>>on
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>the
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better
outside
>>>>>>>OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5
(Win)
>>>>>
>>>>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone
OS,
>>>>
>>>>I
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>would
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>>>>>bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for
me.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Regards,
>>>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "Iamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to
our
>>>>>
>>>>>>>new
>>>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>>Mac
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>>>>>internet..sorry
>>>>>>>>but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>LAD
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>>>>
>>>>more
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>than
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in
a
>>>>
>>>>pro
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>environment.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Steve
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops
(small
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best
as
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
>>
>> No
>>
>>>>>>>>extra
>>>>>>>>

>>have it loaded on their machine if they don't? Same goes
>>for Windows... I don't want messenger, Exploder, and the
>>rest of the bloat. I think people should be able to CHOOSE
>>"at install time" on either platform what they do or do not
>>>want on their system.
>>
>>I want these machines for work, not play. And as I
>>mentioned, we use MacPro and well as XP machines at the
>>office for audio.
>>
>>David.
>
>Well, if your a Mac user, then you know that you can remove any application
>you don't want. As a Mac user you would also know that those applications
>on your drive are not much of a performance hit, if at all. You might want
>to do a search on how to turn dash board off though, because it launches
>at start up. Also remove any thing you don't want running in the start
up
>items.
>
>>
>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>
>>> We're back to the word "crap". So what is wrong with Safari? GarageBand
>>> Does not come with OSX per say, but what is wrong with it? "Come on
Dave,
>>> give me a break" I think this is just more anti Mac stuff.
>>>
>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I would pay more money for OSX for Mac if Apple gave it an
>>>>i-ppendectomy!! No i-crap, no Saffari, no Garage Crap...
>>>>Just OS. I know you can remove the stuff, but I don't think
>>>>it would be the same.
>>>>
>>>>I keep thinking of those Apple TV commercials... gets me
>>>>thinking "wanna get some WORK done, buy a PC!" ;-) (ducking)
>>>>
>>>>David.
>>>>
>>>>ps. YES, we use *both* here.
>>>>
>>>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course

>>>>>there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next
>>>>>version of Logic goes.
>>>>>
>>>>>I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP
>-
>>>
>>> more
>>>
>>>>>post capabilities. Maybe this time?
>>>>>
>>>>>Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have
>>>
>>> a
>>>
>>>>>feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there -
>one
>>>>>reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a
closed
>>>>>platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years.
>;-)
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing
>>>
>>> to
>>>
>>>>>pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you
>>>
>>> buy
>>>
>>>>>it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1 @linux, "LaMont"
>>>>>><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hey Dedric,
>>>>>>>I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX
>is
>>>>>
>>>>>just
>>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via
>>>
>>> in
>>>
>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as
you
>>>>>
>>>>>know,
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to
run
>>>>>
>>>>>(true)XP
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>(via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW
>Logic
>>>>>>Audio..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow
>>>
>>> for
>>>
>>>>>>such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same
>project
>>>>>>>>on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
>>>>>
>>>>>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more
than
>>>>>
>>>>>>that
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>>>>with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2
>or
>>>
>>> dual
>>>
>>>>>>>core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might
>build
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>for
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found
>XP
>>>>>
>>>>>to
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>run
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS.

>It
>>>>>
>>>>>might
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>|
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1 @linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that Iwas over to a buddies
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>studio

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) witha DM-2000.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major
DAWs.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Cubase
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non
>Intel)...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
>>>>>
>>>>>on
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>his
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Mac. So, for testting we imported various wav files from ProTool
>sessions.
>>>>>>>>Around 80 track..
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board
Eq(all
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>4
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>>>>>>Hit the space bar..
>>>>>>>>>Ran liek a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In
the
>>>>>
>>>>>back
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along
with
>>>>>
>>>>>...Pro
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer

>(Intel)
>>>>>>>bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>>>>>Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>>>>>
>>>>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>>>>>registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
>>>>>
>>>>>a
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>problem
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Skype,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only
>stop
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>spam
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source
>via
>>>>>
>>>>>a
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>large
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
>>>>>
>>>>>Apple
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously.

>You'll
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>have
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least
>(should
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>be
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison
>of
>>>>>
>>>>>plugin
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
>>>>>
>>>>>but
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>identical.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance
was
>>>>>
>>>>>from
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them
and
>>>>>>>>>>>apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
>>>>>
>>>>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>low
>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>>>>>>internet..sorry
>>>>>>>>>but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti
machine.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>LAD
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth
much
>>>>>
>>>>>more
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>than
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in
>a
>>>>>
>>>>>pro
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>environment.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Steve
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops
>(small
>>>>>>>>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best
>as
>>>>>>>>>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
>>>
>>> No
>>>
>>>>>>>>>extra
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>

>A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use), PT
M
>powered.
>I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws
>looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of
>ram..shouldn't be the case right?
>Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.

>Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and an

>N-Vidia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels just
the
>same....
>Is it PT?
> The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency whist

>recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.
>Any ideas guys?
>
>Martin Harrington
>www.lendanear-sound.com
>0414 913 247
>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 19:12:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dedric Terry wrote:
> Hey Jamie - congrats on the standup comedy set! Great to hear it went well!

Thanks Dedric!

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

> Regards,
> Dedric
>
> On 8/11/07 1:19 AM, in article 46bd6508@linux, "Jamie K"
> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
>> The just announced version of iWorks does look interesting.
>>
>> I run NeoOffice on the Mac, a version of OpenOffice with an OSX
>> interface. It does a great job for word processing and spreadsheets. The
>> MSWord and Excel import/export works fine when I have to deal with those
>> proprietary formats. I've also used it to view PowerPoint presentations.
>> It handles PDF export internally (although every app that can print can
>> export PDF on the Mac).
>>
>> Firefox and Thunderbird also run great on OSX. I prefer Thunderbird to
>> Apple's mail program, for the most part. But I tend to use Safari more
>> than Firefox.
>>
>> I don't currently use any of the iLife apps but I'll give 'em another
>> look when I upgrade OSX in October.
>>
>> This is completely off topic but I just performed my first standup
>> comedy set tonight. It went well, and was fun. And just to get it back
>> to computers, I wrote most of my standup set using my Psion handheld,
>> still a great little machine. :^)
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>
>> Dedic Terry wrote:

>>> can live without a snazzy interface.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 8/10/07 11:09 PM, in article 46bd469e@linux, "Aaron Allen"
>>> <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
>>>> something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than
>>> I would
>>>> ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and
>>> Powerpoint
>>>> docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.
>>>

>>>
>>> Dedic.. two words bro: *Open Office* <<http://www.openoffice.org>>
>>>
>>> Or use Google's free online docs/spreadsheets capabilities.
>>>
>>> AA
>>>
>>>
>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net <<mailto:dterry@keyofd.net>> > wrote
>>> in message news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net
>>> <<news:C2E29A83.BF39%dterry@keyofd.net>> ...
>>>> On 8/10/07 10:22 PM, in article 46bd3997\$1@linux
>>> <[mailto:46bd3997\\$1@linux](mailto:46bd3997$1@linux)> , "James McCloskey"
>>>> <excelsm@hotmail.com <<mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com>> > wrote:
>>>>> No, I was just curious.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> That's cool. Both Apple and MS add stuff not everyone needs, and
>>> both are
>>>>> just trying to provide apps a lot of people do use. For me,
>>> whichever OS
>>>>> runs better for what I do would be my preference, regardless of
>>> who makes it
>>>>> - but without a standalone version of OSX it's hard to make that
>>> call since
>>>>> I can't pair it with the hardware I prefer. And without 3rd party
>>> support
>>>>> for Linux, that's out as well. If I ran Logic (which is a great
>>> app), no
>>>>> doubt, I'd have a Mac... or 2 or 3.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm also not knocking iLife - it is a nice suite of handy apps that MS
>>>>> doesn't have a comparable product (the functions are there in
>>> Media Player,
>>>>> Movie Maker, etc, but iLife's apps are more user friendly). I
>>> also wouldn't
>>>>> mind iWork over Office - I've seriously considered a MacBook for
>>> that reason
>>>>> (the "upgrade" to Office 2007 to run on Vista (I have Office 2003 or
>>>>> something like that) is \$275 - not exactly a bargain for more than
>>> I would
>>>>> ever use. I like that iWork supports MS Word Docs, Excel, and
>>> Powerpoint
>>>>> docs, and for only \$79. Seems like a good deal to me.
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>

>>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 19:21:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you'll always be a like to us here...;o)

seriously, congrats on your first of many nights of non music social interludes involving people who get it...in a timely fashion.

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 11 Aug 2007 19:33:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12 Aug 2007 02:58:00 +1000, "James McCloskey"
<excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>Not anti Mac at all... nothing wrong with the stuff for
>>people that want to use it... but why should people have to
>>have it loaded on their machine if they don't? Same goes
>>for Windows... I don't want messenger, Exploder, and the
>>rest of the bloat. I think people should be able to CHOOSE
>>"at install time" on either platform what they do or do not
>>want on their system.
>>
>>I want these machines for work, not play. And as I
>>mentioned, we use MacPro and well as XP machines at the
>>office for audio.
>>
>>David.
>
>Well, if your a Mac user, then you know that you can remove any application
>you don't want.
true in both cases and not all macs are drag to the trash and that's
it. some require additional steps and searches to get all aspects
removed.

As a Mac user you would also know that those applications
>on your drive are not much of a performance hit, if at all.
i disagree dp vs logic= big performance hit on template setup for dp.
even at idle dp hits harder with the same track count

You might want

>to do a search on how to turn dash board off though, because it launches
>at start up. Also remove any thing you don't want running in the start up
>items.
same as in windoze msconfig

bottom line is their both just computers doing the same thing with
minor differences in execution.

>

>>

>>James McCloskey wrote:

>>

>>> We're back to the word "crap". So what is wrong with Safari? GarageBand
>>> Does not come with OSX per say, but what is wrong with it? "Come on Dave,
>>> give me a break" I think this is just more anti Mac stuff.

>>>

>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>>

>>>>I would pay more money for OSX for Mac if Apple gave it an
>>>>i-ppendectomy!! No i-crap, no Saffari, no Garage Crap...
>>>>Just OS. I know you can remove the stuff, but I don't think
>>>>it would be the same.

>>>>

>>>>I keep thinking of those Apple TV commercials... gets me
>>>>thinking "wanna get some WORK done, buy a PC!" ;-) (ducking)

>>>>

>>>>David.

>>>>

>>>>ps. YES, we use *both* here.

>>>>

>>>>James McCloskey wrote:

>>>>

>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>>And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course
>>>>>>there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next
>>>>>>version of Logic goes.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>>I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP

>-

>>>

>>> more

>>>

>>>>>>post capabilities. Maybe this time?

>>>>>>

>>>>>Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have
>>>
>>> a
>>>
>>>>>feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there -
>one
>>>>>reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed
>>>>>platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years.
>:-)
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing
>>>
>>> to
>>>
>>>>>pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you
>>>
>>> buy
>>>
>>>>>it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>>>>><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hey Dedric,
>>>>>>>I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX
>is
>>>>>
>>>>>just
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via
>>>
>>> in
>>>
>>>>>the
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
>>>>>
>>>>>know,
>>>>>

>>>>>takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>>>>>I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
>>>>>(true)XP
>>>>>(via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW
>Logic
>>>>>>Audio..
>>>>>>having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow
>>> for
>>>>>>such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same
>project
>>>>>>on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
>>>>>and
>>>>>>stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than
>>>>>that
>>>>>>with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>>>>Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or
>>> dual
>>>>>>core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might
>build
>>>>>>for
>>>>>>one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found
>XP
>>>>>

>>>>>to
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>run
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>>>more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS.
 >It
 >>>>>
 >>>>>might
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>>be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>|
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>Dedric
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1 @linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
 >>>>>>>>>wrote:
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
 >>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>studio
 >>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) witha DM-2000.
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Cubase
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non
 >Intel)...
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>on
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>his
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Mac. So, for testting we imported various wav files from ProTool
>sessions.
>>>>>>>Around 80 track..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>4
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>>>>Hit the space bar..
>>>>>>>Ran liek a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
>>>>>
>>>>>back
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
>>>>>
>>>>>...Pro
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer
>(Intel)
>>>>>>>bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>>>>>Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>>>>>
>>>>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>>>>>registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never

>>>>
>>>>a
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>problem
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Skype,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only
>stop
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>spam
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source
>via
>>>>>
>>>>>a
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>large
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
>>>>>
>>>>>Apple
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously.
>You'll
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>have
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least
>(should
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>be
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison
>of

>>>>>
>>>>>plugin
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
>>>>>
>>>>>but
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>identical.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
>>>>>
>>>>>from
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>>>>>>>apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
>>>>>
>>>>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>low
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
>>>>>
>>>>>on
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better
>outside
>>>>>>>>>>>>>OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5
>(Win)
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>and
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone
>OS,
>>>>>
>>>>>I
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>would

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>>>>>>bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for
>me.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Regards,
>>>>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to
>our
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>new
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Mac
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>>>>>>internet..sorry
>>>>>>>>>but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>LAD
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>>>>>
>>>>>more
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>than
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in
>a
>>>>>
>>>>>pro

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>environment.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Steve
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops
>(small
>>>>>>>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best
>as
>>>>>>>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
>>>
>>> No
>>>
>>>>>>>extra
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>The verdict:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>>>>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>JH
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>are out there.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>& price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy
>one
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>LOL!
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Neil
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>> news:46bcff81\$1@linux...
>>>>A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use), PT
>>>>M powered.
>>>> I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws
>>>> looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of
>>>> ram..shouldn't be the case right?
>>>> Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.
>>>> Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and
>>>> an N-Videia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels
>>>> just the same....
>>>> Is it PT?
>>>> The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency
>>>> whist recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.
>>>> Any ideas guys?
>>>>
>>>> Martin Harrington
>>>> www.lendanear-sound.com
>>>> 0414 913 247
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
> --
>

Subject: Re: PT M Powered on a Quad PC ..was FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Sun, 12 Aug 2007 15:59:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Martin,
Are you using the machine for gaming?
What size power supply you trying to use with that 8500 card?
They need a minimum of 700 watt to even begin to be reliable.
Are you using the current drivers for the 8500 and are you using dual monitors?
Are you using PT 7.31? If you are using anything earlier then it could be PT acting up.

Chris

Martin Harrington wrote:

> A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use),
> PT M powered.
> I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws
> looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of
> ram..shouldn't be the case right?
> Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.
> Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and
> an N-Videia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels
> just the same....
> Is it PT?
> The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency
> whist recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.
> Any ideas guys?
>
> Martin Harrington
> www.lendaneer-sound.com
> 0414 913 247
>
>

--

Chris Ludwig
ADK
chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>
(859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 01:23:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably, without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even all that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to secure and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should call it what it is.

TCB

P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.

"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>
>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more than
>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro environment.
>

>Steve

>

>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra

>

>>software or extended warranties.

>>

>>The verdict:

>>

>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443

>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

>>

>>Still a ways to go.

>>

>>JH

>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used

>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options

>>> are out there.

>>>

>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together

>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one

>>> LOL!

>>>

>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D

>>>

>>>

>>> Neil

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose

>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much

>on

>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking

>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics

>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite

>a

>>>

>>> number

>>>

>>>>of people get irritated by it.

>>>>

>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know
>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>Kim.
>>>>
>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>
>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>here.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>
>>>
>>>>That's
>>>>
>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>
>>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 05:14:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Please. You may be very good at what you do and you may also be somewhat lucky, but to imply that any competent IT professional with a little bit of knowledge can stop all worm and virus problems certainly makes the rest of the world look dumb.

From this article:

<http://www.cnn.com/2005/TECH/internet/08/16/computer.worm/index.html>

CNN) -- A fast-moving computer worm Tuesday attacked computer systems using Microsoft operating systems, shutting down computers in the United States, Germany and Asia.

The director of Microsoft's security response center, Debbie Fry Wilson, said the computer giant was in an "emergency response" mode. "Right now, we're mobilizing our two war rooms," she told CNN.

At any given time there are thousands of computer worms and viruses in existence. Last year, the Sasser worm shut down millions of computers worldwide (Windows

Gene

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
all
>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to secure
>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should call
>it what it is.
>
>TCB
>
>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 06:01:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..

MS has a mess on their hands..

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
all

>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to secure
>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should call
>it what it is.

>

>TCB

>

>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.

>

>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>>

>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
than

>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro environment.

>>

>>Steve

>>

>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small

>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as

>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra

>>

>>>software or extended warranties.

>>>

>>>The verdict:

>>>

>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443

>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

>>>

>>>Still a ways to go.

>>>

>>>JH

>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used

>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options

>>>> are out there.

>>>>

>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together

>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one

>>>> LOL!

>>>>

>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Neil

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 06:04:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
>all
>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to secure
>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should call
>it what it is.
>
>TCB
>
>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.

It's not ignorance, some people choose to not deal with the hassle of administrating and maintaining security software. Who wants to be a security administrator?

It is a costly, time consuming PITA! I think it's an unwillingness to suck up to Microsoft and their "crappy" software.

>
>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>
>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>>than
>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro environment.
>>
>>Steve
>>
>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>>
>>>software or extended warranties.
>>>
>>>The verdict:
>>>
>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>
>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>
>>>JH
>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options

>>>> are out there.
>>>>
>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>> LOL!
>>>>
>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Neil
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>>on
>>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
taking
>>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>a
>>>>
>>>> number
>>>>
>>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to
know
>>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>
>>>>>Cheers,
>>>>>Kim.
>>>>>
>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>here.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Chuck

>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>That's
>>>>>
>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 06:04:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes I would pay 300 bucks for pc version of osx..even without ilife

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course
>>>there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next
>>>version of Logic goes.
>>
>>>I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP -
more
>>>post capabilities. Maybe this time?
>>
>>>Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have
a
>>>feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there - one
>>>reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a closed
>>>platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years. ;-)
>>
>>>Dedric
>
>>I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing
to
>>pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you buy
>>it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?
>
>>
>>>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMontt"
>>><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>
>>>
>>> Hey Dedic,
>>> I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is
>just
>>> fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via
in
>the
>>> box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
>know,
>>> takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>>
>>> I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
>(true)XP
>>> (via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
>>> Audio..
>>>
>>> having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow
for
>>> such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>>
>>> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project
>>>> on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
>and
>>>> stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than
>that
>>>> with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>>
>>>> Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or
dual
>>>> core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
>>> for
>>>> one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP
>to
>>> run
>>>> more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>>
>>>> Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It
>might
>>>> be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>> I
>>>> might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>
>>>> Dedic
>>>>
>>>> On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:

>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Hey Dedic,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
>>> studio
>>>>> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>>>>
>>>>> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>>> Cubase
>>>>> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
>on
>>> his
>>>>> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>>>> Around 80 track..
>>>>>
>>>>> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>> 4
>>>>> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>> Hit the space bar..
>>>>> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
>back
>>>>> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
>...Pro
>>>>> Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>>
>>>>> I was sold.. Because.. I assumed this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>>>>> based Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>>> Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>
>>>>> Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>and
>>> the
>>>>> ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>> Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>>> registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
>a
>>> problem
>>>>>> with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>> Skype,
>>>>>> etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
>>> spam
>>>>>> mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via
>a

>>> large
>>>>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
>Apple
>>>>> would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>
>>>>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
>>> have
>>>>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>>>>> be
>>>>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of
>plugin
>>>>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
>but
>>>>> identical.
>>>>>
>>>>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
>from
>>>>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
>and
>>> low
>>>>> latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
>on
>>> the
>>>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>>>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
>>> and
>>>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS,
>I
>>> would
>>>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "Iamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our
>>> new
>>>> Mac
>>>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>>> internet..sorry
>>>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LAD
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>more
>>>>> than
>>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
>pro
>>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
No
>>> extra
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> THe verdict:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>
>>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Hey Dedic,
>>> I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is
>just
>>> fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via
in
>the
>>> box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you
>know,
>>> takes a "big" strain off the system resources..
>>>
>>> I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
>(true)XP
>>> (via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
>>> Audio..
>>>
>>> having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow
for
>>> such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..
>>>
>>> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project
>>>> on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps
>and
>>>> stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than
>that
>>>> with only 30% cpu load or so).
>>>>
>>>> Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or
dual
>>>> core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
>>> for
>>>> one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP
>to
>>> run
>>>> more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>>>>
>>>> Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It
>might
>>>> be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>> I
>>>> might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>
>>>> Dedic

>>>>
>>>> On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1 @linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Hey Detric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
>>> studio
>>>>> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>>>>
>>>>> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>>> Cubase
>>>>> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
>on
>>> his
>>>>> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>>>> Around 80 track..
>>>>>
>>>>> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>> 4
>>>>> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>> Hit the space bar..
>>>>> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
>back
>>>>> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
>...Pro
>>>>> Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>>
>>>>> I was sold.. Because.. I assumed this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>>>>> based Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>>>>> Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>
>>>>> Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>and
>>> the
>>>>> ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>> Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>>>>> registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
>a
>>> problem
>>>>>> with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>> Skype,
>>>>>> etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop

>>> spam
>>>>> mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via
>a
>>> large
>>>>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,
>Apple
>>>>> would really have something.... ;-)
>>>>>
>>>>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
>>> have
>>>>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>>>>> be
>>>>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of
>plugin
>>>>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,
>but
>>>>> identical.
>>>>>
>>>>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was
>from
>>>>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>>>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count
>and
>>> low
>>>>> latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run
>on
>>> the
>>>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>>>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
>>> and
>>>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS,
>I
>>> would
>>>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Detric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "Iamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our
>>> new
>>>> Mac
>>>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>>>

>>>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>>>> internet..sorry
>>>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LAD
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>more
>>>>> than
>>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
>pro
>>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
No
>>> extra
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The verdict:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Neil

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>> purpose
>>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>>>> much
>>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>>> or
>>>> taking
>>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of
>the
>>>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
>>> quite
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
>>> to
>>>> know
>>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds
>of
>>> stuff
>>>>>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:

> What size power supply you trying to use with that 8500 card?
> They need a minimum of 700 watt to even begin to be reliable.
> Are you using the current drivers for the 8500 and are you using dual
> monitors?
> Are you using PT 7.31? If you are using anything earlier then it could be
> PT acting up.
>
> Chris
>
>
>
> Martin Harrington wrote:
>> A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use), PT M
>> powered.
>> I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws
>> looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of
>> ram..shouldn't be the case right?
>> Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.
>> Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and an
>> N-Videia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels just
>> the same....
>> Is it PT?
>> The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency
>> whist recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.
>> Any ideas guys?
>>
>> Martin Harrington
>> www.lendaneer-sound.com
>> 0414 913 247
>>
>>
>
> --
> Chris Ludwig
> ADK
> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
> www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>
> (859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 13:28:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's a fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the M\$oft one), install patches, update virus definitions. If it's a production box (audio or email) then nobody should be using it to web surf anyway, and

if they do it should be using a VM.

Now then, if someone wants to run cracks the download from the net and surf porn sites, well, not much can be done then, but it's _not_ rocket science to run a Windows box.

TCB

"laMont " <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or

>if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email

>servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..

>

>MS has a mess on their hands..

>

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,

>>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even

>all

>>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to secure

>>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're

>>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should call

>>it what it is.

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.

>>

>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>>>

>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more

>than

>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro environment.

>>>

>>>Steve

>>>

>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small

>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as

>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra

>>>>

>>>>software or extended warranties.

>>>>

>>>>The verdict:
>>>>
>>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>
>>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>>
>>>>JH
>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>
>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>
>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Neil
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
purpose
>>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>>>>>on
>>>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
>taking
>>>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
politics
>>>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>>a
>>>>>
>>>>> number
>>>>>
>>>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to
>know
>>>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Cheers,
>>>>>>Kim.

>>>>>
>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>>here.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>That's
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 13:34:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>

No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older article. By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates. I have yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more of an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that Macs are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.

10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current set of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista PC that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and I've been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for years:

PC/Mac:

1 - don't open spam mail

2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless you are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)

3 - don't click on popup ads

I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho, it's best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a Macintel and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is really faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in a Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like some aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and ill-informed. The rest, MS deserves.

Regards,
Dedric

On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1 @linux, "laMont"
<jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or
> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email
> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>
> MS has a mess on their hands..
>
>
> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
> all
>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to secure
>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should call
>> it what it is.
>>
>> TCB
>>
>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>
>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>
>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
> than
>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>> environment.
>>>
>>> Steve
>>>

>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>>
>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>
>>>> The verdict:
>>>>
>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>
>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>
>>>> JH
>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>
>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>
>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Neil
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>>>> on
>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
> taking
>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>> politics
>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>> a
>>>>>
>>>>> number
>>>>>
>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.

>>>>>
>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to
> know
>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>
>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>> here.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: PT M Powered on a Quad PC ..was FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 13:44:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Martin,

Easy test would be to disable the second monitor and reboot in single monitor mode. If PT still act that way then it would mean that how ever PT deals with graphics info does not play nice with current Nvidia cards or current video cards in general.

Are you using Dot Net Framework at all? Some video card driver functions seem to need this to be installed. Usually Dot Net 2.0 would be best.

Also do you have these hot fixes installed? They do make a performance difference on the new Dual Cores.

<http://support.microsoft.com/kb/936357>

<http://support.microsoft.com/kb/896256>

(good instructions on how to properly configure it are at this link.

<http://forum.notebookreview.com/showthread.php?t=60416>)

<http://support.microsoft.com/kb/885222/en-us>

Chris

Martin Harrington wrote:

> Hi Chris

> No, the computer is purely the studio one..only audio/ video.

> The power supply is an up market (ish) one can't remember what wattage
> it is.

> Yes I am using the current drivers and am using 2 19 inch lcd's

> I'm using PT 7.3.1ur4 and whilst it records perfectly, it's quite

> disconcerting when the screen redraw pauses for a fraction of a second
> every few seconds.

> Nuendo and Soundbooth fly along fabulously as does Premiere Pro CS3.

> I'm sure it's aPT thing as I remember seeing the same thing on an

> Intel Macbook with LE a few months ago
>

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>

www.adkproaudio.com <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>

(859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 16:53:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's

a

>fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the

>M\$oft one), install patches, update virus definitions. If it's a production

>box (audio or email) then nobody should be using it to web surf anyway,

and

>if they do it should be using a VM.

Here we go again, the same old dumb arguments. On a Mac you can use it for production and surf the net on the same machine with out fear. What is the cost of two PCs? This whole line of thinking does not apply to Mac users. With a Mac there is no need to become a security administrator.

>
>Now then, if someone wants to run cracks the download from the net and surf
>porn sites, well, not much can be done then, but it's not rocket science
>to run a Windows box.
>
>TCB
>

It may not be rocket science, but it is a pain in the ass. There is a better choice, but it goes against your line of work and the way you make a living. I totally under stand why IT guys don't like Macs. Although, I do know a few IT guys that use PCs at work and Macs at home. They tell me, when they get home, the last thing they want to do is fuss with a computer.

>"laMont " <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or
>>if a client has an afected file in a session. last year one of our email
>>servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>
>>MS has a mess on their hands..
>>
>>
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>>>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
>>all
>>>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
secure
>>>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>>>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
>call
>>>it what it is.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>
>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more

>>than
>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro environment.
>>>>
>>>>Steve
>>>>
>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>
>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>>>>
>>>>>software or extended warranties.
>>>>>
>>>>>The verdict:
>>>>>
>>>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>
>>>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>>>
>>>>>JH
>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>purpose
>>>>>>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
much
>>>>>>>>>on
>>>>>>>>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
>>taking

>>>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>politics
>>>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>>a
>>>>>>
>>>>>> number
>>>>>>
>>>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to
>>know
>>>>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Cheers,
>>>>>>>Kim.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>>>here.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
crap.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>That's
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 17:33:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a windows machine. There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses, less than half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand viruses. The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about viruses, and they won't get a virus.

Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is some concern. However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door anytime they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling money to groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it, it's big news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to that. There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses, there's no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users have vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.

Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when it comes to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They just want it to appear that way.

And yes, that article is old.

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
><http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>

>
>No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older article.
>By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates. I have
>yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more
>of
>an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that
>Macs
>are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>
>10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current set
>of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista PC
>that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and I've
>been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for years:
>
>PC/Mac:
>1 - don't open spam mail
>2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless you
>are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>3 - don't click on popup ads
>
>I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
>it's
>best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a Macintel
>and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is really
>faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in

a

>Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like some
>aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to
>shove, much of the propoganda against PCs is unfounded and ill-informed.
>The rest, MS deserves.

>

>Regards,
>Dedric

>

>On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1 @linux, "laMont"
><jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>>

>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or
>> if a client has an afected file in a session. last year one of our email
>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..

>>

>> MS has a mess on their hands..

>>

>>

>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>

>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even

>> all

>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
secure

>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
call

>>> it what it is.

>>>

>>> TCB

>>>

>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.

>>>

>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>> than

>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>>> environment.

>>>>

>>>> Steve

>>>>

>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small

>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as

>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>>>
>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>
>>>> THE verdict:
>>>>
>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>
>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>
>>>> JH
>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>
>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>
>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Neil
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
much
>>>> on
>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
or
>> taking
>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>> politics
>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>> a
>>>>>>
>>>>>> number
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>

>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
to
>> know
>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
crap.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 17:58:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The truth is, we are all paying for the lack of scruples of hackers. MS and Apple certainly have to, and should protect the integrity of their OSs, but inevitably, users still pay for whatever does or doesn't work in that regard (we get almost weekly security updates from Apple via OSX update manager, so it isn't a non-issue).

But, when comparing the best choice for a given user and application, viruses are hardly a main concern for those of us

that just don't put ourselves in a position to be at risk (just as I don't buy stereos from guys in white vans in 7-11 parking lots...yep, seen that one :-).

Not a virus or spyware in 10 years. Two system drives destroyed by kernel panics (an OS should *never* crash a drive at the hardware level), a bad motherboard, and a bad combo drive, all on the same Mac in 2 years - not a great record for quality parts. There is more to the story than the single argument about viruses, and that's my main point. I'm always keeping my options open, and may move to Macs at some point if it makes me more efficient and/or profitable, but comparing the performance vs. cost is a big deal when I can build 2 PCs for the cost of 1 Mac, with identical hardware, and get double the processing capability (or more) by doing so. For what I do, that's not a trivial point. And, imho, that's the biggest hurdle for Macs with pro audio PC users, not virus susceptibility (when that is a known, as it is now) or nice looking GUIs - just simple power vs. cost.

If I could access the German Keys mag report I would post the results as it apparently found that OSX isn't exactly as fast or low latency friendly as WinXP, even under Bootcamp, but I don't have numbers to confirm, and that's 2nd hand info. That's where the rubber meets the road for me and a lot of other audio-PC users. I don't know too many people that are in love with Windows (I'm certainly not) - just getting the most for our money. Until Apple takes interest in that side of the equation, MS will continue to dominate the market in general. I would have no problem switching if I truly would get more for my money with a Mac running my given applications (no, Bootcamping XP isn't a feature when you pay several hundred *extra* for BIOS locked hardware to have it).

Just my .02.

Regards,
Dedric

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:46c095f7\$1@linux...

>

> The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a windows
> machine.

> There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses, less than
> half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand viruses.

> The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about viruses,
> and they won't get a virus.

>

> Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is some
> concern.

> However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door
> anytime

> they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling money to
> groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it, it's big
> news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to that.
> There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses, there's
> no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users have
> vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.
>
> Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when it
> comes
> to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They just
> want it to appear that way.
>
> And yes, that article is old.
>
> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>><http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>
>>
>>No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older article.
>>By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates. I have
>>yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more
> of
>>an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that
> Macs
>>are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>
>>10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current set
>>of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista PC
>>that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and I've
>>been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for years:
>>
>>PC/Mac:
>>1 - don't open spam mail
>>2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless you
>>are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>3 - don't click on popup ads
>>
>>I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
> it's
>>best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a Macintel
>>and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is really
>>faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in
> a
>>Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like some
>>aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to
>>shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and ill-informed.
>>The rest, MS deserves.
>>
>>Regards,

>>Dedric
>>
>>On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"
>><jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web
>>> site..boom..or
>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email
>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>
>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>
>>>
>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running
>>>> stably,
>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
>>> all
>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
> secure
>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what
>>>> you're
>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
> call
>>>> it what it is.
>>>>
>>>> TCB
>>>>
>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>
>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>>> than
>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>>>> environment.
>>>>>
>>>>> Steve
>>>>>
>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
>>>>>> extra
>>>>>>
>>>>>> software or extended warranties.

>>>>>
>>>>> The verdict:
>>>>>
>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>
>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>
>>>>> JH
>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
> much
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
> or
>>> taking
>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
>>>>>>> quite
>>>>> a
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
> to

>>> know
>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
>>>>>>>> stuff
>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
> crap.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 18:26:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One would expect for the mach based machine to perform slightly worse in a real time audio environment. My guess is that the OS X box would scale out a lot better under high load as a database server, but for real time stuff not so much.

TCB

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project
>on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps and
>stock EQ wouldn't make my core 2 sweat either (I've run way more than that
>with only 30% cpu load or so).
>
>Remember, the new macs are the exact same hardware as any core 2 or dual
>core 2 PC, though the components may not be as high end as I might build
for
>one of my rigs. The German Keyboards mag review apparently found XP to run
>more plugins than OSX on the same hardware (intel dual core 2s).
>
>Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It might
>be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
|
>might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>
>Dedric
>
>On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>> Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
studio
>> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>
>> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
Cubase
>> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>
>> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs on
his
>> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>> Around 80 track..
>>
>> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
4
>> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>> Hit the space bar..
>> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the back
>> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along withPro
>> Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>
>> I was sold.. Because.. I assumed this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>> based Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.
>> Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>

>> Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X and the
>> ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>
>>
>>
>> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
>>> registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never a
problem
>>> with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
Skype,
>>> etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop
spam
>>> mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via a
large
>>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers, Apple
>>> would really have something.... ;-)
>>>
>>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll
have
>>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should
>> be
>>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of plugin
>>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects, but
>>> identical.
>>>
>>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was from
>>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and
>>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count and
low
>>> latency by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run on
the
>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside
>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)
and
>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS, I
would
>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest
>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1 @linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>

>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our new
>> Mac
>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.
>>>>
>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the
>>>> internet..sorry
>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.
>>>>
>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.
>>>>
>>>> LAD
>>>>
>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>> than
>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>>>> environment.
>>>>>
>>>>> Steve
>>>>>
>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
extra
>>>>>>
>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The verdict:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>> purpose
>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>> much
>>>>> on
>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
or
>> taking
>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
quite
>>>>> a
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
to
>> know
>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
stuff
>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>> crap.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 19:18:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Clearly, if Microsoft could ship a friendly Deduc or a Thad with every copy, MSWindows would be a lot safer, and the overall statistics on infected MSWindows installations wouldn't be so bad.

Whatever it takes...we need people to buy non-OSX machines to keep some competitive pressure going.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Deduc Terry wrote:

> The truth is, we are all paying for the lack of scruples of hackers. MS
> and Apple certainly have to, and should
> protect the integrity of their OSs, but inevitably, users still pay for
> whatever does or doesn't work in that regard (we get almost weekly
> security updates from Apple via OSX update manager, so it isn't a
> non-issue).
>
> But, when comparing the best choice for a given user and application,
> viruses are hardly a main concern for those of us
> that just don't put ourselves in a position to be at risk (just as I
> don't buy stereos from guys in white vans in 7-11 parking lots...yep,
> seen that one :-).
>

> Not a virus or spyware in 10 years. Two system drives destroyed by
> kernel panics (an OS should *never* crash a drive at the hardware
> level), a bad motherboard, and a bad combo drive, all on the same Mac in
> 2 years - not a great record for quality parts. There is more to the
> story than the single argument about viruses, and that's my main point.
> I'm always keeping my options open, and may move to Macs at some point
> if it makes me more efficient and/or profitable, but comparing the
> performance vs. cost is a big deal when I can build 2 PCs for the cost
> of 1 Mac, with identical hardware, and get double the processing
> capability (or more) by doing so. For what I do, that's not a trivial
> point. And, imho, that's the biggest hurdle for Macs with pro audio PC
> users, not virus susceptibility (when that is a known, as it is now) or
> nice looking GUIs - just simple power vs. cost.

>

> If I could access the German Keys mag report I would post the results as
> it apparently found that OSX isn't exactly as fast or low latency
> friendly as WinXP, even under Bootcamp, but I don't have numbers to
> confirm, and that's 2nd hand info. That's where the rubber meets the
> road for me and a lot of other audio-PC users. I don't know too many
> people that are in love with Windows (I'm certainly not) - just getting
> the most for our money. Until Apple takes interest in that side of the
> equation, MS will continue to dominate the market in general. I would
> have no problem switching if I truly would get more for my money with a
> Mac running my given applications (no, Bootcamping XP isn't a feature
> when you pay several hundred *extra* for BIOS locked hardware to have it).

>

> Just my .02.

>

> Regards,
> Dedic

>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:46c095f7\$1@linux...

>>

>> The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a
>> windows machine.

>> There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses, less
>> than

>> half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand viruses.

>> The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about viruses,
>> and they won't get a virus.

>>

>> Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is some
>> concern.

>> However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door
>> anytime

>> they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling money to
>> groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it, it's big

>> news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to that.
>> There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses,
>> there's
>> no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users have
>> vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.
>>
>> Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when it
>> comes
>> to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They just
>> want it to appear that way.
>>
>> And yes, that article is old.
>>
>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>
>>>
>>> No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older
>>> article.
>>> By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates. I
>>> have
>>> yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more
>> of
>>> an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that
>> Macs
>>> are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>>
>>> 10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my
>>> current set
>>> of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista PC
>>> that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and
>>> I've
>>> been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for
>>> years:
>>>
>>> PC/Mac:
>>> 1 - don't open spam mail
>>> 2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do
>>> unless you
>>> are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>> 3 - don't click on popup ads
>>>
>>> I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
>> it's
>>> best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a
>>> Macintel
>>> and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is really
>>> faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in
>> a

>>> Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like some
>>> aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to
>>> shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and ill-informed.
>>> The rest, MS deserves.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1 @linux, "laMont"
>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web
>>>> site..boom..or
>>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our
>>>> email
>>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>>
>>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running
>>>>> stably,
>>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not
>>>>> even
>>>>> all
>>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
>> secure
>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what
>>>>> you're
>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
>> call
>>>>> it what it is.
>>>>>
>>>>> TCB
>>>>>
>>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>>>>>> more
>>>>> than
>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>

>>>>> Steve
>>>>>
>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>> Just for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>> business class) attempting to match the 15" macbook pro as best as
>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
>>>>> extra
>>>>>
>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>
>>>>> THE verdict:
>>>>>
>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>
>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>
>>>>> JH
>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>> much
>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>> or
>>>> taking
>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 19:31:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46c0b08e@linux...

>
> Clearly, if Microsoft could ship a friendly Dedric or a Thad with every
> copy, MSWindows would be a lot safer, and the overall statistics on
> infected MSWindows installations wouldn't be so bad.
>

Lol. They couldn't afford me..... then again... I guess they could. ;-)

It wouldn't hurt if Apple just released OSX....but maybe they are afraid of what guys like us would try to do with it. ;-))

Hey - curiosity question - why are there so few retail outlets that carry Macs? I know Apple went through the 3rd party thing a few years back and wasn't happy with the results - was that a deciding factor, or is it just that the Staples and Office Depots of the world have deals with Dell, HP, etc? I know CompUSA carries Macs, but other than that and the Apple Store (of which there isn't one in Co Springs) and online, coverage seems rather limited - almost a "find us if you can" approach. Coverage is a big part of a product becoming popular, though obviously it can be rather expensive and risky.

Regards,
Dedric

> Whatever it takes...we need people to buy non-OSX machines to keep some
> competitive pressure going.

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKruz.com

>
>
>
> Dedric Terry wrote:

>> The truth is, we are all paying for the lack of scruples of hackers. MS
>> and Apple certainly have to, and should
>> protect the integrity of their OSs, but inevitably, users still pay for
>> whatever does or doesn't work in that regard (we get almost weekly
>> security updates from Apple via OSX update manager, so it isn't a
>> non-issue).

>>
>> But, when comparing the best choice for a given user and application,
>> viruses are hardly a main concern for those of us
>> that just don't put ourselves in a position to be at risk (just as I

>> don't buy stereos from guys in white vans in 7-11 parking lots...yep,
>> seen that one :-).
>>
>> Not a virus or spyware in 10 years. Two system drives destroyed by
>> kernel panics (an OS should *never* crash a drive at the hardware level),
>> a bad motherboard, and a bad combo drive, all on the same Mac in 2
>> years - not a great record for quality parts. There is more to the
>> story than the single argument about viruses, and that's my main point.
>> I'm always keeping my options open, and may move to Macs at some point if
>> it makes me more efficient and/or profitable, but comparing the
>> performance vs. cost is a big deal when I can build 2 PCs for the cost of
>> 1 Mac, with identical hardware, and get double the processing capability
>> (or more) by doing so. For what I do, that's not a trivial point. And,
>> imho, that's the biggest hurdle for Macs with pro audio PC users, not
>> virus susceptibility (when that is a known, as it is now) or nice looking
>> GUIs - just simple power vs. cost.
>>
>> If I could access the German Keys mag report I would post the results as
>> it apparently found that OSX isn't exactly as fast or low latency
>> friendly as WinXP, even under Bootcamp, but I don't have numbers to
>> confirm, and that's 2nd hand info. That's where the rubber meets the
>> road for me and a lot of other audio-PC users. I don't know too many
>> people that are in love with Windows (I'm certainly not) - just getting
>> the most for our money. Until Apple takes interest in that side of the
>> equation, MS will continue to dominate the market in general. I would
>> have no problem switching if I truly would get more for my money with a
>> Mac running my given applications (no, Bootcamping XP isn't a feature
>> when you pay several hundred *extra* for BIOS locked hardware to have
>> it).
>>
>> Just my .02.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic
>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:46c095f7\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a windows
>>> machine.
>>> There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses, less
>>> than
>>> half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand viruses.
>>> The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about
>>> viruses,
>>> and they won't get a virus.
>>>
>>> Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is some

>>> concern.
>>> However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door
>>> anytime
>>> they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling money
>>> to
>>> groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it, it's
>>> big
>>> news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to that.
>>> There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses,
>>> there's
>>> no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users have
>>> vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.
>>>
>>> Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when it
>>> comes
>>> to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They just
>>> want it to appear that way.
>>>
>>> And yes, that article is old.
>>>
>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>
>>>>
>>>> No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older
>>>> article.
>>>> By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates. I
>>>> have
>>>> yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more
>>> of
>>>> an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that
>>> Macs
>>>> are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>>>
>>>> 10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current
>>>> set
>>>> of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista
>>>> PC
>>>> that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and
>>>> I've
>>>> been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for
>>>> years:
>>>>
>>>> PC/Mac:
>>>> 1 - don't open spam mail
>>>> 2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless
>>>> you
>>>> are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>>> 3 - don't click on popup ads

>>>>
>>>> I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
>>> it's
>>>> best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a
>>>> Macintel
>>>> and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is
>>>> really
>>>> faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in
>>> a
>>>> Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like
>>>> some
>>>> aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to
>>>> shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and
>>>> ill-informed.
>>>> The rest, MS deserves.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Dedic
>>>>
>>>> On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"
>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web
>>>>> site..boom..or
>>>>> if a client has an afected file in a session. last year one of our
>>>>> email
>>>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>>>
>>>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running
>>>>>> stably,
>>>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not
>>>>>> even
>>>>>> all
>>>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
>>> secure
>>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what
>>>>>> you're
>>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you
>>>>>> should
>>> call
>>>>>> it what it is.
>>>>>>

>>>>> TCB
>>>>>
>>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>>>>>> more
>>>>> than
>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Just for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops
>>>>>>> (small
>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
>>>>>>> extra
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> THe verdict:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a
>>>>>>>> general
>>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>>> much
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>>> or
>>>>> taking
>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
>>>>>>>> quite
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
>>> to
>>>>> know
>>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> stuff
>>>>>>>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>>> crap.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>

>>>
>>>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>>>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
>>all
>>>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
secure
>>>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>>>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
>call
>>>it what it is.
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>
>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>>>than
>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
environment.
>>>>
>>>>Steve
>>>>
>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>
>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>>>>
>>>>>software or extended warranties.
>>>>>
>>>>>The verdict:
>>>>>
>>>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>
>>>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>>>
>>>>>JH
>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>

>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Neil
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>purpose
>>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
much
>>>>on
>>>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
>>taking
>>>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>politics
>>>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>>a
>>>>>
>>>>> number
>>>>>
>>>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to
>>know
>>>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Cheers,
>>>>>>Kim.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>>>here.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple

crap.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>That's
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 20:36:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ha.. That's me..!!

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's
>a
>>fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the
>>M\$oft one), install patches, update virus definitions. If it's a production
>>box (audio or email) then nobody should be using it to web surf anyway,
>and
>>if they do it should be using a VM.
>
>Here we go again, the same old dumb arguments. On a Mac you can use it
for
>production and surf the net on the same machine with out fear. What is
the
>cost of two PCs? This whole line of thinking does not apply to Mac users.
> With a Mac there is no need to become a security administrator.
>
>>
>>Now then, if someone wants to run cracks the download from the net and
surf
>>porn sites, well, not much can be done then, but it's not rocket science
>>to run a Windows box.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>

>It may not be rocket science, but it is a pain in the ass. There is a better
>choice, but it goes against your line of work and the way you make a living.
> I totally understand why IT guys don't like Macs. Although, I do know
>a few IT guys that use PCs at work and Macs at home. They tell me, when
>they get home, the last thing they want to do is fuss with a computer.

>
>>"laMont " <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>
>>>I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or
>>>if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email
>>>servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>
>>>MS has a mess on their hands..

>>>
>>>
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>>
>>>>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>>>>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
>>>>all
>>>>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
>secure
>>>>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>>>>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
>>call
>>>>it what it is.

>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.

>>>>
>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>>>>>
>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>>>>than
>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>environment.

>>>>>
>>>>>Steve

>>>>>
>>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>
>>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>>>>
>>>>>>software or extended warranties.

>>>>>>

>>>>>The verdict:
>>>>>
>>>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>
>>>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>>>
>>>>>JH
>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>purpose
>>>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>much
>>>>>>>on
>>>>>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
or
>>>taking
>>>>>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>politics
>>>>>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>>>a
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
to
>>>know
>>>>>>>>what's going on in Apple land so...

>Lol. They couldn't afford me..... then again... I guess they could. ;-)
>
>It wouldn't hurt if Apple just released OSX....but maybe they are afraid
of
>what guys like us would try to do with it. ;-))
>
>Hey - curiosity question - why are there so few retail outlets that carry

>Macs? I know Apple went through the 3rd party
>thing a few years back and wasn't happy with the results - was that a
>deciding factor, or is it just that the Staples and Office Depots of the

>world have deals with Dell, HP, etc? I know CompUSA carries Macs, but other

>than that and the Apple Store (of which there isn't one in Co Springs) and

>online, coverage seems rather limited - almost a "find us if you can"
>approach. Coverage is a big part of a product becoming popular, though

>obviously it can be rather expensive and risky.
>
>Regards,
>Dedric
>
>
>> Whatever it takes...we need people to buy non-OSX machines to keep some

>> competitive pressure going.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>
>> Dedric Terry wrote:
>>> The truth is, we are all paying for the lack of scruples of hackers.
MS
>>> and Apple certainly have to, and should
>>> protect the integrity of their OSs, but inevitably, users still pay for

>>> whatever does or doesn't work in that regard (we get almost weekly
>>> security updates from Apple via OSX update manager, so it isn't a
>>> non-issue).
>>>
>>> But, when comparing the best choice for a given user and application,

>>> viruses are hardly a main concern for those of us

>>> that just don't put ourselves in a position to be at risk (just as I
>>> don't buy stereos from guys in white vans in 7-11 parking lots...yep,
>>> seen that one :-).
>>>
>>> Not a virus or spyware in 10 years. Two system drives destroyed by
>>> kernel panics (an OS should *never* crash a drive at the hardware level),
>>> a bad motherboard, and a bad combo drive, all on the same Mac in 2
>>> years - not a great record for quality parts. There is more to the
>>> story than the single argument about viruses, and that's my main point.
>>> I'm always keeping my options open, and may move to Macs at some point
if
>>> it makes me more efficient and/or profitable, but comparing the
>>> performance vs. cost is a big deal when I can build 2 PCs for the cost
of
>>> 1 Mac, with identical hardware, and get double the processing capability
>>> (or more) by doing so. For what I do, that's not a trivial point. And,
>>> imho, that's the biggest hurdle for Macs with pro audio PC users, not
>>> virus susceptibility (when that is a known, as it is now) or nice looking
>>> GUIs - just simple power vs. cost.
>>>
>>> If I could access the German Keys mag report I would post the results
as
>>> it apparently found that OSX isn't exactly as fast or low latency
>>> friendly as WinXP, even under Bootcamp, but I don't have numbers to
>>> confirm, and that's 2nd hand info. That's where the rubber meets the
>>> road for me and a lot of other audio-PC users. I don't know too many
>>> people that are in love with Windows (I'm certainly not) - just getting
>>> the most for our money. Until Apple takes interest in that side of
the
>>> equation, MS will continue to dominate the market in general. I would
>>> have no problem switching if I truly would get more for my money with
a
>>> Mac running my given applications (no, Bootcamping XP isn't a feature
>>> when you pay several hundred *extra* for BIOS locked hardware to have

>>> it).
>>>
>>> Just my .02.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:46c095f7\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a windows

>>>> machine.
>>>> There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses, less

>>>> than
>>>> half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand viruses.
>>>> The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about
>>>> viruses,
>>>> and they won't get a virus.
>>>>
>>>> Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is some

>>>> concern.
>>>> However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door

>>>> anytime
>>>> they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling money

>>>> to
>>>> groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it, it's

>>>> big
>>>> news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to that.
>>>> There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses,
>>>> there's
>>>> no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users
have
>>>> vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.
>>>>
>>>> Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when
it
>>>> comes
>>>> to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They
just
>>>> want it to appear that way.
>>>>

>>>> And yes, that article is old.
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>
>>>>>
>>>>> No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older
>>>>> article.
>>>>> By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates.
I
>>>>> have
>>>>> yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is
more
>>>> of
>>>>> an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just
that
>>>> Macs
>>>>> are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>>>>
>>>>> 10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current

>>>>> set
>>>>> of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista

>>>>> PC
>>>>> that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and

>>>>> I've
>>>>> been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for

>>>>> years:
>>>>>
>>>>> PC/Mac:
>>>>> 1 - don't open spam mail
>>>>> 2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless

>>>>> you
>>>>> are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>>>> 3 - don't click on popup ads
>>>>>
>>>>> I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
>>>> it's
>>>>> best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a
>>>>> Macintel
>>>>> and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is
>>>>> really
>>>>> faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts
in
>>>> a

>>>>> Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like

>>>>> some

>>>>> aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to

>>>>> shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and

>>>>> ill-informed.

>>>>> The rest, MS deserves.

>>>>>

>>>>> Regards,

>>>>> Detric

>>>>>

>>>>> On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"

>>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web

>>>>>> site..boom..or

>>>>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our

>>>>>> email

>>>>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..

>>>>>>

>>>>>> MS has a mess on their hands..

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running

>>>>>>> stably,

>>>>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not

>>>>>>> even

>>>>>>> all

>>>>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough

>>>>>>> to

>>>>> secure

>>>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what

>>>>>>> you're

>>>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you

>>>>>>> should

>>>>> call

>>>>>>> it what it is.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> TCB

>>>>>>>

>>>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much

>>>>>> more
>>>>>> than
>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
pro
>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Just for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops
>>>>>>> (small
>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
No
>>>>>>> extra
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The verdict:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a
>>>>>>>>> general
>>>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>>>> much
>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>>>> or
>>>>>> taking
>>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of

>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know

>>>>>>>>> quite
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
>>>> to
>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>> Kim.

>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds
of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> stuff
>>>>>>>>>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Chuck

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

where they had a Apple Specialist on hand most of the time. The other thing Apple did was open the Apple stores. Apple was no longer going to have their products be treated like second rate citizens.

Apples popularity has grown and big retailers want to carry Apple products. Apple wants your experience to be the same at every retailer that carries Apple products. Not everybody will get to carry Apple products because of this.

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46c0b08e@linux...

>>

>> Clearly, if Microsoft could ship a friendly Dedric or a Thad with every

>> copy, MSWindows would be a lot safer, and the overall statistics on

>> infected MSWindows installations wouldn't be so bad.

>>

>

>Lol. They couldn't afford me..... then again... I guess they could. ;-)

>

>It wouldn't hurt if Apple just released OSX....but maybe they are afraid of

>what guys like us would try to do with it. ;-))

>

>Hey - curiosity question - why are there so few retail outlets that carry

>Macs? I know Apple went through the 3rd party

>thing a few years back and wasn't happy with the results - was that a

>deciding factor, or is it just that the Staples and Office Depots of the

>world have deals with Dell, HP, etc? I know CompUSA carries Macs, but other

>than that and the Apple Store (of which there isn't one in Co Springs) and

>online, coverage seems rather limited - almost a "find us if you can"

>approach. Coverage is a big part of a product becoming popular, though

>obviously it can be rather expensive and risky.

>

>Regards,

>Dedric

>

>

>> Whatever it takes...we need people to buy non-OSX machines to keep some

>> competitive pressure going.

>>

>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>
>> Dedic Terry wrote:
>>> The truth is, we are all paying for the lack of scruples of hackers.
MS
>>> and Apple certainly have to, and should
>>> protect the integrity of their OSs, but inevitably, users still pay for

>>> whatever does or doesn't work in that regard (we get almost weekly
>>> security updates from Apple via OSX update manager, so it isn't a
>>> non-issue).
>>>
>>> But, when comparing the best choice for a given user and application,

>>> viruses are hardly a main concern for those of us
>>> that just don't put ourselves in a position to be at risk (just as I

>>> don't buy stereos from guys in white vans in 7-11 parking lots...yep,

>>> seen that one :-).
>>>
>>> Not a virus or spyware in 10 years. Two system drives destroyed by
>>> kernel panics (an OS should *never* crash a drive at the hardware level),

>>> a bad motherboard, and a bad combo drive, all on the same Mac in 2
>>> years - not a great record for quality parts. There is more to the

>>> story than the single argument about viruses, and that's my main point.

>>> I'm always keeping my options open, and may move to Macs at some point
if
>>> it makes me more efficient and/or profitable, but comparing the
>>> performance vs. cost is a big deal when I can build 2 PCs for the cost
of
>>> 1 Mac, with identical hardware, and get double the processing capability

>>> (or more) by doing so. For what I do, that's not a trivial point. And,

>>> imho, that's the biggest hurdle for Macs with pro audio PC users, not

>>> virus susceptibility (when that is a known, as it is now) or nice looking

>>> GUIs - just simple power vs. cost.
>>>

>>> If I could access the German Keys mag report I would post the results
as
>>> it apparently found that OSX isn't exactly as fast or low latency
>>> friendly as WinXP, even under Bootcamp, but I don't have numbers to
>>> confirm, and that's 2nd hand info. That's where the rubber meets the

>>> road for me and a lot of other audio-PC users. I don't know too many

>>> people that are in love with Windows (I'm certainly not) - just getting

>>> the most for our money. Until Apple takes interest in that side of
the
>>> equation, MS will continue to dominate the market in general. I would

>>> have no problem switching if I truly would get more for my money with
a
>>> Mac running my given applications (no, Bootcamping XP isn't a feature

>>> when you pay several hundred *extra* for BIOS locked hardware to have

>>> it).
>>>
>>> Just my .02.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Detric
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:46c095f7\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a windows

>>>> machine.
>>>> There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses, less

>>>> than
>>>> half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand viruses.
>>>> The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about
>>>> viruses,
>>>> and they won't get a virus.
>>>>
>>>> Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is some

>>>> concern.
>>>> However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door

>>>> anytime
>>>> they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling money

>>>> to
>>>> groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it, it's

>>>> big
>>>> news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to that.
>>>> There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses,
>>>> there's
>>>> no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users
have
>>>> vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.
>>>>
>>>> Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when
it
>>>> comes
>>>> to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They
just
>>>> want it to appear that way.
>>>>
>>>> And yes, that article is old.
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>
>>>>>
>>>>> No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older
>>>>> article.
>>>>> By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates.
I
>>>>> have
>>>>> yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is
more
>>>> of
>>>>> an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just
that
>>>> Macs
>>>>> are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>>>>
>>>>> 10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current

>>>>> set
>>>>> of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista

>>>>> PC
>>>>> that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and

>>>>> I've
>>>>> been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for

>>>> years:
>>>>
>>>> PC/Mac:
>>>> 1 - don't open spam mail
>>>> 2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless

>>>> you
>>>> are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>>> 3 - don't click on popup ads
>>>>
>>>> I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
>>>> it's
>>>> best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a
>>>> Macintel
>>>> and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is
>>>> really
>>>> faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts
in
>>>> a
>>>> Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like

>>>> some
>>>> aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes
to
>>>> shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and
>>>> ill-informed.
>>>> The rest, MS deserves.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Detric
>>>>
>>>> On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"
>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web
>>>>> site..boom..or
>>>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our

>>>>> email
>>>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>>>
>>>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running

>>>>>> stably,
>>>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not

>>>>>> even
>>>>>> all
>>>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough
to
>>>> secure
>>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what

>>>>>> you're
>>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you
>>>>>> should
>>>> call
>>>>>> it what it is.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> TCB
>>>>>>
>>>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much

>>>>>>> more
>>>>>>> than
>>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
pro
>>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops
>>>>>>>> (small
>>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
No
>>>>>>>> extra
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The verdict:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a
>>>>>>>>> general
>>>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>>>> much
>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>>>> or
>>>>>>>> taking
>>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of

>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know

>>>>>>>>> quite
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...

>
>That's the point. We have to install anti-spyware, anti virus ware. People
>should be able to surf without being attacked like they are today.
>
>Porn sites or not. A user should not have to go thru such means.
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's
>a
>>fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the
>>M\$oft one), install patches, update virus definitions. If it's a production
>>box (audio or email) then nobody should be using it to web surf anyway,
>and
>>if they do it should be using a VM.
>>
>>Now then, if someone wants to run cracks the download from the net and
surf
>>porn sites, well, not much can be done then, but it's not rocket science
>>to run a Windows box.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"laMont " <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or
>>>if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email
>>>servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>
>>>MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>
>>>
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>>>>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
>>>>all
>>>>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
>secure
>>>>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>>>>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
>>call
>>>>it what it is.
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>

>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>>>>than
>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>environment.
>>>>>
>>>>>Steve
>>>>>
>>>>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>
>>>>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>>>>>
>>>>>>software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The verdict:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>JH
>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>purpose
>>>>>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>much

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 21:18:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wasn't actually talking about Walmart or 7-11....I was asking a serious question, not taking a swipe at Apple's distribution. There are plenty of online retailers in general - I was just curious about brick and mortar since it's rather difficult to test drive anything other than music and video online. I also don't really care how many stores Dell has. I've never bought one, owned one or shopped for one.

Maybe it is different in your state, but CompUSA is the only store here in Colorado Springs that carries Macs, and it is a separate section. Best Buy does not, Circuit City does not, Staples doesn't, OfficeMax and Office Depot don't, and we don't have an Apple Store (there is one in Denver, but that's the closest). That's one place to go and try before you buy, if that matters to new customers.

There is no Apple product specialist on hand - just a CompUSA staff kid, same as every other department. It must be quite expensive to staff a store with an Apple rep - probably one reason the case and OS cost so much more. ;-) (the cases are quite nice).

And fwiw, nothing survives Best Buy, so I don't know if distribution there is good or bad in reality...not a model store for support or quality sales - it's just big and that's about it. Nothing stops people from locking up comps except the store/staff logins, which many are using now to require users to get an employee to demo the comp for them - that's true for Mac or PC.

But also fyi, Apple didn't become a second class product unless Apple allowed it be viewed that way, nor is it somehow a superior, elitist "experience" by allowing certain stores to carry them only if they setup a separate section for their products. It's just a PC (personal computer) with a different wrapper, but of course, the best snow falls from marketing departments.....

Dedric

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:46c0c3a7\$1@linux...

>

> You won't be able to buy Apple computers at Walmart or seven eleven any
> time

> soon. There are plenty of places you can buy them though. I think Apple
> has over 200 stores now??? How many retail stores does Dell have?

>

> CompUSA is gone for the most part. Brick and mortar stores are

> CircuitCity,
> Best Buy, Micro Center, independent Apple dealers, Apple retail stores,
> college
> book stores. Then there is online and catalogers. Apple store online,
> MacMall,
> Mac Zone, Mac connection, etc., there are also many academic resellers
> online.
>
> In the past Apple had a hard time competing in retail stores like best buy
> in the past. MS, Intel, PackardBell, NEC, Acer, eMachines, etc., would
> give
> sales people sales spiffs, free trips and so on. Apple machines would be
> put in the corner. The machines would be sabotaged.
>
> I remember the apple display at a best buy some years ago. The one
> machine
> was F'd up and dirty. Keys were missing off the keyboard and it had pop
> corn poured over the keyboard. The other machine they had was dirty, the
> cables and cords were missing and it was unplugged. When I asked a
> salesman
> with his Microsoft name plate to show/demo me the machine he tried to
> discourage
> me from buying a Mac and told me they were going to stop selling Apple
> computers
> because nobody wanted them. He couldn't show me anything because he
> didn't
> even know how to use a Mac
>
> Apple was getting a lot of complaints from Mac users about having the same
> kind of experience. Apple pulled their products from many retailers as a
> result. Apple then tried the store with in a store concept with CompUSA,
> where they had a Apple Specialist on hand most of the time. The other
> thing
> Apple did was open the Apple stores. Apple was no longer going to have
> their
> products be treated like second rate citizens.
>
> Apples popularity has grown and big retailers want to carry Apple
> products.
> Apple wants your experience to be the same at every retailer that carries
> Apple products. Not everybody will get to carry Apple products because of
> this.
>
>
> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46c0b08e@linux...
>>>
>>> Clearly, if Microsoft could ship a friendly Dedric or a Thad with every

>
>>> copy, MSWindows would be a lot safer, and the overall statistics on
>>> infected MSWindows installations wouldn't be so bad.
>>>
>>
>>Lol. They couldn't afford me..... then again... I guess they could. ;-)
>>
>>It wouldn't hurt if Apple just released OSX....but maybe they are afraid
> of
>>what guys like us would try to do with it. ;-))
>>
>>Hey - curiosity question - why are there so few retail outlets that carry
>
>>Macs? I know Apple went through the 3rd party
>>thing a few years back and wasn't happy with the results - was that a
>>deciding factor, or is it just that the Staples and Office Depots of the
>
>>world have deals with Dell, HP, etc? I know CompUSA carries Macs, but
>>other
>
>>than that and the Apple Store (of which there isn't one in Co Springs) and
>
>>online, coverage seems rather limited - almost a "find us if you can"
>>approach. Coverage is a big part of a product becoming popular, though
>
>>obviously it can be rather expensive and risky.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Dedric
>>
>>
>>> Whatever it takes...we need people to buy non-OSX machines to keep some
>
>>> competitive pressure going.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Dedric Terry wrote:
>>>> The truth is, we are all paying for the lack of scruples of hackers.
> MS
>>>> and Apple certainly have to, and should
>>>> protect the integrity of their OSs, but inevitably, users still pay for
>
>>>> whatever does or doesn't work in that regard (we get almost weekly

>>>> security updates from Apple via OSX update manager, so it isn't a
>>>> non-issue).
>>>>
>>>> But, when comparing the best choice for a given user and application,
>
>>>> viruses are hardly a main concern for those of us
>>>> that just don't put ourselves in a position to be at risk (just as I
>
>>>> don't buy stereos from guys in white vans in 7-11 parking lots...yep,
>
>>>> seen that one :-).
>>>>
>>>> Not a virus or spyware in 10 years. Two system drives destroyed by
>>>> kernel panics (an OS should *never* crash a drive at the hardware
>>>> level),
>
>>>> a bad motherboard, and a bad combo drive, all on the same Mac in 2
>>>> years - not a great record for quality parts. There is more to the
>
>>>> story than the single argument about viruses, and that's my main point.
>
>>>> I'm always keeping my options open, and may move to Macs at some point
> if
>>>> it makes me more efficient and/or profitable, but comparing the
>>>> performance vs. cost is a big deal when I can build 2 PCs for the cost
> of
>>>> 1 Mac, with identical hardware, and get double the processing
>>>> capability
>
>>>> (or more) by doing so. For what I do, that's not a trivial point.
>>>> And,
>
>>>> imho, that's the biggest hurdle for Macs with pro audio PC users, not
>
>>>> virus susceptibility (when that is a known, as it is now) or nice
>>>> looking
>
>>>> GUIs - just simple power vs. cost.
>>>>
>>>> If I could access the German Keys mag report I would post the results
> as
>>>> it apparently found that OSX isn't exactly as fast or low latency
>>>> friendly as WinXP, even under Bootcamp, but I don't have numbers to
>>>> confirm, and that's 2nd hand info. That's where the rubber meets the
>
>>>> road for me and a lot of other audio-PC users. I don't know too many
>
>>>> people that are in love with Windows (I'm certainly not) - just getting

>
>>>> the most for our money. Until Apple takes interest in that side of
> the
>>>> equation, MS will continue to dominate the market in general. I would
>
>>>> have no problem switching if I truly would get more for my money with
> a
>>>> Mac running my given applications (no, Bootcamping XP isn't a feature
>
>>>> when you pay several hundred *extra* for BIOS locked hardware to have
>
>>>> it).
>>>>
>>>> Just my .02.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Detric
>>>>
>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:46c095f7\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a
>>>>> windows
>
>>>>> machine.
>>>>> There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses, less
>
>>>>> than
>>>>> half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand
>>>>> viruses.
>>>>> The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about
>>>>> viruses,
>>>>> and they won't get a virus.
>>>>>
>>>>> Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is some
>
>>>>> concern.
>>>>> However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door
>
>>>>> anytime
>>>>> they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling money
>
>>>>> to
>>>>> groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it, it's
>
>>>>> big
>>>>> news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to
>>>>> that.

>>>> There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses,
>>>> there's
>>>> no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users
> have
>>>> vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.
>>>>
>>>> Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when
> it
>>>> comes
>>>> to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They
> just
>>>> want it to appear that way.
>>>>
>>>> And yes, that article is old.
>>>>
>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>
>>>>>
>>>>> No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older
>>>>> article.
>>>>> By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates.
> I
>>>>> have
>>>>> yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is
> more
>>>>> of
>>>>> an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just
> that
>>>>> Macs
>>>>> are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>>>>
>>>>> 10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my
>>>>> current
>
>>>>> set
>>>>> of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista
>
>>>>> PC
>>>>> that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and
>
>>>>> I've
>>>>> been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for
>
>>>>> years:
>>>>>
>>>>> PC/Mac:
>>>>> 1 - don't open spam mail
>>>>> 2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do

>>>>> unless
>
>>>>> you
>>>>> are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>>>> 3 - don't click on popup ads
>>>>>
>>>>> I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days.
>>>>> Imho,
>>>>> it's
>>>>> best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a
>>>>> Macintel
>>>>> and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is
>>>>> really
>>>>> faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts
> in
>>>>> a
>>>>> Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like
>
>>>>> some
>>>>> aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes
> to
>>>>> shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and
>>>>> ill-informed.
>>>>> The rest, MS deserves.
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Detric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"
>>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web
>>>>>> site..boom..or
>>>>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our
>
>>>>>> email
>>>>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running
>
>>>>>>> stably,
>>>>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not

>
>>>>>>> even
>>>>>>> all
>>>>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough
> to
>>>>> secure
>>>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what
>
>>>>>>> you're
>>>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you
>>>>>>> should
>>>>> call
>>>>>>> it what it is.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> TCB
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>
>>>>>>>> more
>>>>>>>> than
>>>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
> pro
>>>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops
>>>>>>>>> (small
>>>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
> No
>>>>>>>>> extra
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The verdict:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> JH

>>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a
>>>>>>>>> general
>>>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is
>>>>>>>>> pretty
>>>>> much
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not
>>>>>>>>> offensive
>>>>> or
>>>>>>> taking
>>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of
>
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
>
>>>>>>>>> quite
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but
>>>>>>>>> like
>>>>> to
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

>
> TCB
>
> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>> That's the point. We have to install anti-spyware, anti virus ware. People
>> should be able to surf without being attacked like they are today.
>>
>> Porn sites or not. A user should not have to go thru such means.
>>
>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>> I'm not saying MS\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's
>> a
>>> fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the
>>> MS\$oft one), install patches, update virus definitions. If it's a production
>>> box (audio or email) then nobody should be using it to web surf anyway,
>> and
>>> if they do it should be using a VM.
>>>
>>> Now then, if someone wants to run cracks the download from the net and
> surf
>>> porn sites, well, not much can be done then, but it's not rocket science
>>> to run a Windows box.
>>>
>>> TCB
>>>
>>> "laMont " <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or
>>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email
>>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>>
>>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
>>>>> all
>>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
>> secure
>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should

>>> call
>>>> it what it is.
>>>>
>>>> TCB
>>>>
>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>
>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>>>> than
>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>> environment.
>>>>> Steve
>>>>>
>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The verdict:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>> purpose

>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>> much
>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
> or
>>>> taking
>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>> politics
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
> to
>>>> know
>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>> crap.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>

Subject: Re: FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 22:59:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't have any special insights into Apple's marketing plan.

FWIW we have three Apple stores around the Denver area. Plus CompUSA, MicroCenter, and I guess Best Buy is getting into Macs. Seems like all the colleges/universities around here offer Macs in their campus bookstores. CompUSA and MicroCenter had Mac specialists last time I was at those stores.

Sounds like C Springs is being somewhat neglected with only one Apple retailer. Better than none at least. You can often get better deals online anyway, like Amazon's rebates for example, or refurb from Apple's online store.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

Dedric Terry wrote:

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46c0b08e@linux...

>>

>> Clearly, if Microsoft could ship a friendly Dedric or a Thad with
>> every copy, MSWindows would be a lot safer, and the overall statistics
>> on infected MSWindows installations wouldn't be so bad.

>>

>

> Lol. They couldn't afford me..... then again... I guess they could. ;-)

>

> It wouldn't hurt if Apple just released OSX....but maybe they are afraid
> of what guys like us would try to do with it. ;-))

>

> Hey - curiosity question - why are there so few retail outlets that
> carry Macs? I know Apple went through the 3rd party
> thing a few years back and wasn't happy with the results - was that a
> deciding factor, or is it just that the Staples and Office Depots of the
> world have deals with Dell, HP, etc? I know CompUSA carries Macs, but
> other than that and the Apple Store (of which there isn't one in Co
> Springs) and online, coverage seems rather limited - almost a "find us
> if you can" approach. Coverage is a big part of a product becoming
> popular, though obviously it can be rather expensive and risky.

>

> Regards,

> Dedric

>

>

>> Whatever it takes...we need people to buy non-OSX machines to keep
>> some competitive pressure going.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>
>> Dedic Terry wrote:
>>> The truth is, we are all paying for the lack of scruples of hackers.
>>> MS and Apple certainly have to, and should
>>> protect the integrity of their OSs, but inevitably, users still pay
>>> for whatever does or doesn't work in that regard (we get almost
>>> weekly security updates from Apple via OSX update manager, so it
>>> isn't a non-issue).
>>>
>>> But, when comparing the best choice for a given user and application,
>>> viruses are hardly a main concern for those of us
>>> that just don't put ourselves in a position to be at risk (just as I
>>> don't buy stereos from guys in white vans in 7-11 parking lots...yep,
>>> seen that one :-).
>>>
>>> Not a virus or spyware in 10 years. Two system drives destroyed by
>>> kernel panics (an OS should **never** crash a drive at the hardware
>>> level), a bad motherboard, and a bad combo drive, all on the same Mac
>>> in 2 years - not a great record for quality parts. There is more to
>>> the story than the single argument about viruses, and that's my main
>>> point. I'm always keeping my options open, and may move to Macs at
>>> some point if it makes me more efficient and/or profitable, but
>>> comparing the performance vs. cost is a big deal when I can build 2
>>> PCs for the cost of 1 Mac, with identical hardware, and get double
>>> the processing capability (or more) by doing so. For what I do,
>>> that's not a trivial point. And, imho, that's the biggest hurdle for
>>> Macs with pro audio PC users, not virus susceptibility (when that is
>>> a known, as it is now) or nice looking GUIs - just simple power vs.
>>> cost.
>>>
>>> If I could access the German Keys mag report I would post the results
>>> as it apparently found that OSX isn't exactly as fast or low latency
>>> friendly as WinXP, even under Bootcamp, but I don't have numbers to
>>> confirm, and that's 2nd hand info. That's where the rubber meets the
>>> road for me and a lot of other audio-PC users. I don't know too many
>>> people that are in love with Windows (I'm certainly not) - just
>>> getting the most for our money. Until Apple takes interest in that
>>> side of the equation, MS will continue to dominate the market in
>>> general. I would have no problem switching if I truly would get more
>>> for my money with a Mac running my given applications (no,
>>> Bootcamping XP isn't a feature when you pay several hundred **extra**
>>> for BIOS locked hardware to have it).
>>>
>>> Just my .02.

>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:46c095f7\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a
>>>> windows machine.
>>>> There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses,
>>>> less than
>>>> half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand viruses.
>>>> The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about
>>>> viruses,
>>>> and they won't get a virus.
>>>>
>>>> Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is
>>>> some concern.
>>>> However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door
>>>> anytime
>>>> they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling
>>>> money to
>>>> groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it,
>>>> it's big
>>>> news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to that.
>>>> There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses,
>>>> there's
>>>> no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users
>>>> have
>>>> vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.
>>>>
>>>> Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when
>>>> it comes
>>>> to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They
>>>> just
>>>> want it to appear that way.
>>>>
>>>> And yes, that article is old.
>>>>
>>>> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>
>>>>>
>>>>> No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older
>>>>> article.
>>>>> By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates.
>>>>> I have
>>>>> yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is
>>>>> more

>>>> of
>>>>> an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just
>>>>> that
>>>> Macs
>>>>> are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>>>>
>>>>> 10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my
>>>>> current set
>>>>> of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the
>>>>> WinVista PC
>>>>> that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this,
>>>>> and I've
>>>>> been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for
>>>>> years:
>>>>>
>>>>> PC/Mac:
>>>>> 1 - don't open spam mail
>>>>> 2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do
>>>>> unless you
>>>>> are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>>>> 3 - don't click on popup ads
>>>>>
>>>>> I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days.
>>>>> Imho,
>>>>> it's
>>>>> best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a
>>>>> Macintel
>>>>> and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is
>>>>> really
>>>>> faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the
>>>>> parts in
>>>>> a
>>>>> Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like
>>>>> some
>>>>> aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push
>>>>> comes to
>>>>> shove, much of the propoganda against PCs is unfounded and
>>>>> ill-informed.
>>>>> The rest, MS deserves.
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Detric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"
>>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web

>>>>> site..boom..or
>>>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our
>>>>> email
>>>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>>>
>>>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been
>>>>>> running stably,
>>>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's
>>>>>> not even
>>>>>> all
>>>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn
>>>>>> enough to
>>>> secure
>>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but
>>>>>> what you're
>>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you
>>>>>> should
>>>> call
>>>>>> it what it is.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> TCB
>>>>>>
>>>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth
>>>>>>> much more
>>>>>>> than
>>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a
>>>>>>> pro
>>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops
>>>>>>>>> (small
>>>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
>>>>>>>>> No extra
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The verdict:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> JH
>>>>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a
>>>>>>>>>>> general
>>>>>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is
>>>>>>>>>>> pretty
>>>>>>>>> much
>>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>>>>>>>>> or
>>>>>>>>>> taking
>>>>>>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some
>>>>>>>>>>>>> of the
>>>>>>>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I
>>>>>>>>>>>>> know quite
>>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.

I'll try those out tomorrow when I get back into the studio

Cheers

--

Martin Harrington

Lend An Ear Sound

101 Eton Rd Lindfield

NSW 2070

0414 913 247

www.lendaneare-sound.com

"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message

news:46c0625a@linux...

> Hi Martin,

> Easy test would be to disable the second monitor and reboot in single
> monitor mode. If PT still act that way then it would mean that how ever PT
> deals with graphics info does not play nice with current Nvidia cards or
> current video cards in general.

> Are you using Dot Net Framework at all? Some video card driver functions
> seem to need this to be installed. Usually Dot Net 2.0 would be best.

> Also do you have these hot fixes installed? They do make a performance
> difference on the new Dual Cores.

>

> <http://support.microsoft.com/kb/936357>

>

> <http://support.microsoft.com/kb/896256>

> (good instructions on how to properly configure it are at this link.

> <http://forum.notebookreview.com/showthread.php?t=60416>)

>

> <http://support.microsoft.com/kb/885222/en-us>

>

>

>

> Chris

>

> Martin Harrington wrote:

>> Hi Chris

>> No, the computer is purely the studio one..only audio/ video.

>> The power supply is an up market (ish) one can't remember what wattage it
>> is.

>> Yes I am using the current drivers and am using 2 19 inch lcd's

>> I'm using PT 7.3.1ur4 and whilst it records perfectly, it's quite

>> disconceting when the screen redraw pauses for a fraction of a second

>> every few seconds.

>> Nuendo and Soundbooth fly along fabulously as does Premiere Pro CS3.

>> I'm sure it's aPT thing as I remember seeing the same thing on an Intel

>> Macbook with LE a few months ago

>>

>

> --

> Chris Ludwig
> ADK
> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
> www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>
> (859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: PT M Powered on a Quad PC ..was FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sun, 26 Aug 2007 06:05:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, haven't tried on amd, but it should fly right?

The other anomaly is that on Nuendo, the CPUmeter in the transport controller moves as if a click, and with the monitors upfull, you can actually hear a click. 'What's going on with that?

--

Martin Harrington
Lend An Ear Sound
101 Eton Rd
Lindfield 2070
02 9413 8666

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
news:46be5b20\$1@linux...

> I wonder if this has anything to do with the mystery instructions on the
> core/core 2 intel CPUs... hmm..... have you run that MS/Intel patch?

> Also curious, have you popped open Task Manager in Windows and looked at
> what was going on?

> IRQ sharing?

>

> Could just be lousy coding on PT's part. Have you tried it on an AMD setup
> per chance?

> 256 vid ram oughta be way more than enough.

>

> AA

>

>

> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
> news:46bd48ff\$1@linux...

>> N-Vidia GForce 8500 GT with 256 mg ram

>> Martin Harrington

>> www.lendanear-sound.com

>> 0414 913 247

>>

>> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message

>> news:46bd15ea@linux...

>>> doh.. I mean what's the RAM on the video card.

>>> Sorry, long day.

>>>
>>> AA
>>>
>>>
>>> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>> news:46bd1511\$1@linux...
>>>> Video related? I have to ask, what's the video card in question?
>>>> AA
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
>>>> news:46bcff81\$1@linux...
>>>>>A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use), PT
>>>>>M powered.
>>>>> I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws
>>>>> looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of
>>>>> ram..shouldn't be the case right?
>>>>> Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.
>>>>> Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and
>>>>> an N-Videia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels
>>>>> just the same....
>>>>> Is it PT?
>>>>> The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency
>>>>> whist recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.
>>>>> Any ideas guys?
>>>>>
>>>>> Martin Harrington
>>>>> www.lendanear-sound.com
>>>>> 0414 913 247
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: PT M Powered on a Quad PC ..was FYI: iLife 08', iWork 08' and new iMacs released.

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Mon, 27 Aug 2007 08:36:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

All works perfectly now The meters bounce to their hearts content, the now

line moves with gay abandon, and the time display doesn't miss a beat.
Thanks Chris and the rest of you Parasites.
I still dislike the program though.

--

Martin Harrington
Lend An Ear Sound
02 9413 8666
0414 913 247
