
Subject: Firewire 800?

Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Wed, 21 Jan 2009 15:54:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Would one of these: <http://www.granitedigital.com/firewire800pcihostadapters.aspx> make data streaming faster on my Mac? The last G4's to boot in OS9 have only Firewire 400 ports. Would 800 work better. Mostly I don't have any complaints but high track counts can slow things down sometimes.

Gantt

Subject: Re: Firewire 800?

Posted by [JeffH](#) on Wed, 21 Jan 2009 21:29:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gantt Kushner wrote:

> Would one of these: <http://www.granitedigital.com/firewire800pcihostadapters.aspx>
> make data streaming faster on my Mac? The last G4's to boot in OS9 have
> only Firewire 400 ports. Would 800 work better. Mostly I don't have any
> complaints but high track counts can slow things down sometimes.

>

> Gantt

Gantt,

Are you talking about streaming to an external drive or something else?

FW800 will pass more data but both the drive and the mac have to be FW800.

Jeff

Subject: Re: Firewire 800?

Posted by [JeffH](#) on Thu, 22 Jan 2009 02:06:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gantt,

Trying to get my head around your question from a practical standpoint and came up with the following (I may have overanalysed. Someone else may need to correct the logic).

The following table shows space needed for sample/bit rates and track counts

Sample Rate 44100 44100 48000 96000

Bits 16 24 24 24

KB/S 88200 132300 144000 288000

MB/M 5.292 7.938 8.64 17.28

Track MB/S

24 2.12 3.18 3.46 6.91

36 3.18 4.76 5.18 10.37

48 4.23 6.35 6.91 13.82

First disclaimer is this is all theoretical. Given that Firewire 400 is 400mb/s or 50MB/sec, 48 tracks at 96K sampling rate and 24 bits would only use about a quarter of the bandwidth to your existing hard drive. Reality is that many things affect the data transfer such as the software, the processor, the pci bus, and the hard drive cache and spin speed, and also your project (that may be reading from and writing to the drive at the same time).

Try a test to find out how the chain minus your DAW software is responding.

- Find a large file on your internal hard drive (preferably at least 500 MB).

- Copy the file to the firewire drive and time the transfer.

- Delete the file on the external drive and repeat three or four times to get an average time.

- Repeat the process going the opposite direction (from the firewire to the internal drive) to check the read times. This won't be entirely accurate but will get you in the ballpark.

- Find the average seconds for writes. Divide the file size (hopefully around 500MB) by the number of seconds to give your approximate write throughput.

- Do the same for reads.

Compare your MB/s numbers you came up with against the track count table. If the number you came up with are dramatically over the ones in the table, going firewire800 may not be the best use of funds. If they are close (double or less), firewire 800 might help.

Probably way more than you were looking for, but hope this helps (and of course hope it's accurate :-)

Jeff

Kushner wrote:

> Hi Jeff,

>

> Yes, I'm talking about an external FW drive. This seems to be a PCI Firewire

> 800 adapter. The question, I guess, is whether, with a Firewire 800 drive,

> my computer would be able to move data faster using this board than it can
> using it's internal Firewire 400.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Gantt
>
> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>
>>Gantt Kushner wrote:
>>
>>>Would one of these:<http://www.granitedigital.com/firewire800pcihostadapters.aspx>
>>>make data streaming faster on my Mac? The last G4's to boot in OS9 have
>>>only Firewire 400 ports. Would 800 work better. Mostly I don't have
>
> any
>
>>>complaints but high track counts can slow things down sometimes.
>>>
>>>Gantt
>>
>>Gantt,
>>
>>Are you talking about streaming to an external drive or something else?
>>FW800 will pass more data but both the drive and the mac have to be FW800.
>>
>>Jeff
>
>

Subject: Re: Firewire 800?

Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Thu, 22 Jan 2009 02:11:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Jeff,

Yes, I'm talking about an external FW drive. This seems to be a PCI Firewire 800 adapter. The question, I guess, is whether, with a Firewire 800 drive, my computer would be able to move data faster using this board than it can using it's internal Firewire 400.

Thanks!

Gantt

Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>Gantt Kushner wrote:

>> Would one of these: <http://www.granitedigital.com/firewire800pcihostadapters.aspx>
>> make data streaming faster on my Mac? The last G4's to boot in OS9 have
>> only Firewire 400 ports. Would 800 work better. Mostly I don't have
any
>> complaints but high track counts can slow things down sometimes.
>>
>> Gantt
>Gantt,
>
>Are you talking about streaming to an external drive or something else?
>FW800 will pass more data but both the drive and the mac have to be FW800.
>
>Jeff

Subject: Re: Firewire 800?

Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Thu, 22 Jan 2009 04:00:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Jeff! Thanks for all your thought and effort! It sounds to me like I
have enough bandwidth w/ FW 400 to do most anything I need. I almost always
record at 44.1K/24 bit. I suppose if it ain't broke there's no need to fix
it!

Thanks!

Gantt

Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>Gantt,

>

>Trying to get my head around your question from a practical standpoint
>and came up with the following (I may have overanalysed. Someone else
>may need to correct the logic).

>

>The following table shows space needed for sample/bit rates and track counts

>

>Sample Rate 44100 44100 48000 96000

>Bits 16 24 24 24

>KB/S 88200 132300 144000 288000

>MB/M 5.292 7.938 8.64 17.28

>

># Track MB/S

>24 2.12 3.18 3.46 6.91

>36 3.18 4.76 5.18 10.37

>48 4.23 6.35 6.91 13.82

>

>First disclaimer is this is all theoretical. Given that Firewire 400 is

>400mb/s or 50MB/sec, 48 tracks at 96K sampling rate and 24 bits would
>only use about a quarter of the bandwidth to your existing hard drive.
>Reality is that many things affect the data transfer such as the
>software, the processor, the pci bus, and the hard drive cache and spin

>speed, and also your project (that may be reading from and writing to
>the drive at the same time).

>

>Try a test to find out how the chain minus your DAW software is
>responding.

>-Find a large file on your internal hard drive (preferably at least 500

>MB).

>-Copy the file to the firewire drive and time the transfer.

>-Delete the file on the external drive and repeat three or four times to

>get an average time.

>-Repeat the process going the opposite direction (from the firewire to
>the internal drive) to check the read times. This won't be entirely
>accurate but will get you in the ballpark.

>-Find the average seconds for writes. Divide the files size

>(hopefully around 500MB) by the number of seconds to give your
>approximate write throughput.

>-Do the same for reads.

>

>Compare your MB/s numbers you came up with against the track count
>table. If the number you came up with are dramatically over the ones in

>the table, going firewire800 may not be the best use of funds. If they

>are close (double or less), firewire 800 might help.

>

>Probably way more than you were looking for, but hope this helps (and of

>course hope it's accurate :-)

>

>Jeff

>

>

>

>

>

>Kushner wrote:

>> Hi Jeff,

>>

>> Yes, I'm talking about an external FW drive. This seems to be a PCI Firewire

>> 800 adapter. The question, I guess, is whether, with a Firewire 800 drive,

>> my computer would be able to move data faster using this board than it
can
>> using it's internal Firewire 400.
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>> Gantt
>>
>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Gantt Kushner wrote:
>>>
>>>>Would one of these:<http://www.granitedigital.com/firewire800pcihostadapters.aspx>
>>>>make data streaming faster on my Mac? The last G4's to boot in OS9
have
>>>>only Firewire 400 ports. Would 800 work better. Mostly I don't have
>>
>> any
>>
>>>>complaints but high track counts can slow things down sometimes.
>>>>
>>>>Gantt
>>>
>>>Gantt,
>>>
>>>Are you talking about streaming to an external drive or something else?

>>>FW800 will pass more data but both the drive and the mac have to be FW800.
>>>
>>>Jeff
>>
>>
