
Subject: EDS Gate Latency

Posted by [cujo](#) on Sun, 23 Apr 2006 15:22:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I got me a question that probably has been answered before.

What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?

I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem to kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate, so

I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.

Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug, I can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.

Thanks again!

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Sun, 23 Apr 2006 18:37:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and insert analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also) set at 78 samples

Rod

"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>

>

>

>I got me a question that probably has been answered before.

>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?

>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem to

>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate, so

>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.

>

>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,

I

>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.

>

>Thanks again!

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 23 Apr 2006 19:23:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why wouldn't you set the sampleslide at 2 samples if the latency is 2 samples? 78 ?

John

Rod Lincoln wrote:

> EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and insert
> analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also) set
> at 78 samples
> Rod
> "cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>> What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>> I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem to
>> kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate, so
>> I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>
>> Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
> I
>> can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>
>> Thanks again!
>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [cujo](#) on Sun, 23 Apr 2006 19:39:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks guys,
here is where my memory and documentation gets sloppy.
I know wit UAD plugs you nudge 4 times the 100 button but thta is not the
same as 400 times the 1 button,
So I guess I need to know how many MS a 1 button nudge is. (it is not 1 MS)

Also, John, sample slide only nudges backwards. Would be cool to do it the
other way though

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>Why wouldn't you set the sampleslide at 2 samples if the latency is 2
>samples? 78 ?
>John
>
>Rod Lincoln wrote:
>> EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and
insert
>> analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)
set

>> at 78 samples
>> Rod
>> "cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>> What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>> I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
to
>>> kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
so
>>> I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>
>>> Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>> I
>>> can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>
>>> Thanks again!
>>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 00:02:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sampleslide only works by delaying, so you nudge backwards in Paris 1ms=80
samples then apply sampleslide at 78. That is 2 samples earlier.

Rod

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>Why wouldn't you set the sampleslide at 2 samples if the latency is 2

>samples? 78 ?

>John

>

>Rod Lincoln wrote:

>> EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and
insert

>> analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)
set

>> at 78 samples

>> Rod

>> "cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>> I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.

>>> What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?

>>> I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
to

>>> kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,

so
>>> I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>
>>> Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>> I
>>> can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>
>>> Thanks again!
>>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 00:08:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don't know exactly...it's around 1.5 to 1.8, depending on if your at 44.1 or 48. It's more useful to think of it in terms of samples.

1ms= 80 samples
5ms= 240 samples
10ms= 480 samples
25ms= 1120 samples
50ms= 2240 samples
75ms= 3360 samples
100 = 4480 samples

FWIW I really only us the 1ms or the 100ms, along with sampleslide for all my latency compensation needs anymore. It's very fast...I don't even think about it.

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>
>
>Thanks guys,
>here is where my memory and documentation gets sloppy.
>I know wit UAD plugs you nudge 4 times the 100 button but thta is not the
>same as 400 times the 1 button,
>So I guess I need to know how many MS a 1 button nudge is. (it is not 1 MS)
>
>Also, John, sample slide only nudges backwards. Would be cool to do it the
>other way though
>
>
>John <no@no.com> wrote:
>>Why wouldn't you set the sampleslide at 2 samples if the latency is 2
>>samples? 78 ?
>>John
>>
>>Rod Lincoln wrote:
>>> EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and

>insert
>>> analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)
>set
>>> at 78 samples
>>> Rod
>>> "cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>> What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>>> I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
>to
>>>> kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
>so
>>>> I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>
>>>> Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>>> I
>>>> can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks again!
>>>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [Pauln\[1\]](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 13:43:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these situations?

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>
>EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and
insert
>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also) set
>at 78 samples
>Rod
>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
to
>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate, so
>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.

>>
>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>I
>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>
>>Thanks again!
>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [cujo](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 14:09:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I could hear the difference on my BM15's, I didn't think I would.

"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:

>
>Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these situations?
>
>

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>
>>EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and
>insert
>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also) set
>>at 78 samples

>>Rod

>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.

>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?

>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem

>to

>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,

so

>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.

>>>

>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,

>>I

>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.

>>>

>>>Thanks again!

>>

>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [cujo](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 14:12:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Rod those are the numbers I was looking for!

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>Don't know exactly...it's around 1.5 to 1.8, depending on if your at 44.1

>or 48. It's more useful to think of it in terms of samples.

>1ms= 80 samples

>5ms= 240 samples

>10ms= 480 samples

>25ms= 1120 samples

>50ms= 2240 samples

>75ms= 3360 samples

>100 = 4480 samples

>FWIW I really only us the 1ms or the 100ms, along with sampleslide for all

>my latency compensation needs anymore. It's very fast...I don't even think

>about it.

>"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>Thanks guys,

>>here is where my memory and documentation gets sloppy.

>>I know wit UAD plugs you nudge 4 times the 100 button but thta is not the

>>same as 400 times the 1 button,

>>So I guess I need to know how many MS a 1 button nudge is. (it is not 1

>MS)

>>

>>Also, John, sample slide only nudges backwards. Would be cool to do it
the

>>other way though

>>

>>

>>John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>Why wouldn't you set the sampleslide at 2 samples if the latency is 2

>>>samples? 78 ?

>>>John

>>>

>>>Rod Lincoln wrote:

>>>> EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms
and

>>insert

>>>> analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)

>>set

>>>> at 78 samples
>>>> Rod
>>>> "cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>>> What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>>>> I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
>>to
>>>>> kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
>>so
>>>>> I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>>
>>>>> Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>>>> I
>>>>> can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks again!
>>>>
>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [cujo](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 14:15:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

By the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or richness
I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the latency,

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>
>
>I could hear the difference on my BM15's, I didn't think I would.
>
>
>
>"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these situations?
>>
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and
>>insert
>>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)
set

>>>at 78 samples
>>>Rod
>>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
>>to
>>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
>so
>>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>
>>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>>>I
>>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>
>>>>Thanks again!
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:30:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_00D8_01C6679A.DB72DBC0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Cujo,
I think it's the latency.
Tom
"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote in message =
news:444cdd6e\$1@linux...

By the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or =
richness

I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the latency,

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>
>
>I could hear the difference on my BM15's, I didn't think I would.
>
>
>
>"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these =
situations?
>>
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>EDS Gate=3D2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back =
1ms and
>>insert
>>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one =
also)
set
>>>at 78 samples
>>>Rod
>>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really =
seem
>>to
>>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to =
gate,
>so
>>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>
>>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor =
plug,
>>>>I
>>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>
>>>>Thanks again!
>>>
>>
-----=_NextPart_000_00D8_01C6679A.DB72DBC0
Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Cujo,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I think it's the latency.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"Cujo" &lt;<A=20
  =
href=3D"mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com">chris@nospamapplemanstudio=
..com</A>&gt;=20
  wrote in message <A=20
  =
href=3D"news:444cdd6e$1@linux">news:444cdd6e$1@linux</A>...</DIV><BR><BR>=
<BR>By=20
  the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or=20
  richness<BR>I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the=20
  latency,<BR><BR><BR>"Cujo" &lt;<A=20
  =
href=3D"mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com">chris@nospamapplemanstudio=
..com</A>&gt;=20
  wrote:<BR>&gt;<BR>&gt;<BR>&gt;I could hear the difference on my =
BM15's, I=20
  didn't think I would.<BR>&gt;<BR>&gt;<BR>&gt;<BR>&gt; "Paul" &lt;<A=20
  href=3D"mailto:paul@nospam.com">paul@nospam.com</A>&gt;=20
  wrote:<BR>&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt;&gt;Would 2 samples really make a noticable =
audible=20
  difference in these =
situations?<BR>&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt;&gt; "Rod=20
  Lincoln" &lt;<A=20
  =
href=3D"mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com">rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com</A>&g=
t;=20
  wrote:<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;EDS Gate=3D2 samples with no =
lookahead. To=20
  compensate, nudge back 1ms and<BR>&gt;&gt;insert<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;analog =
x=20
  sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one=20
  also)<BR>set<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;at 78=20
  samples<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt;Rod<BR>&gt;&gt;&gt; "cujo" &lt;<A=20

```

=
href=3D"mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com">chris@nospamapplemanstudio=
..com>=20
=
wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>>>I=20
gots me a question that probably has been answered=20
before.
>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with =
zero=20
lookahead?
>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey =
1980's=20
track, and they really seem
>>to
>>>kill the =
fullness=20
of the toms when inserted, even if set not to=20
gate,
>so
>>>I figure I am going to need to =
nudge ala=20
UAD style.
>>>
>>>Oh, while I am at =
it, anyone=20
know the latency of the waves phasor=20
plug,
>>I
>>>can't recall the name off =
hand,=20
metaflanger perhaps.
>>>
>>>Thanks=20
again!
>>>
>></BLOCKQUOTE ></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_00D8_01C6679A.DB72DBC0--

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 18:59:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_011D_01C667AF.A948B510
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Cujo,
You might consider editing the tom tracks by cutting for a hard gate =
sound
if there aren't too many tom fills to deal with. Might really fit the =
80's bill.
A little reverb (gated?) is usually enough to cover the mess.
Tom
"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:444cfc37@linux...
Cujo,

I think it's the latency.

Tom

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote in message =
news:444cdd6e\$1@linux...

By the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or =
richness

I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the latency,

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>

>

>I could hear the difference on my BM15's, I didn't think I would.

>

>

>

>"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:

>>

>>Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in =
these situations?

>>

>>

>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>EDS Gate=3D2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back =
1ms and

>>insert

>>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one =
also)

set

>>>at 78 samples

>>>Rod

>>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.

>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?

>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they =
really seem

>>to

>>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to =
gate,

>so

>>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.

>>>>
>>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves =
phasor plug,
>>>I
>>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>
>>>>Thanks again!
>>>
>>

-----=_NextPart_000_011D_01C667AF.A948B510

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Cujo,</DIV>

<DIV>You might consider editing the tom =
tracks by=20

cutting for a hard gate sound</DIV>

<DIV>if there aren't too many tom fills to =
deal=20

with. Might really fit the 80's bill.</DIV>

<DIV>A little reverb (gated?) is usually =
enough to cover=20

the mess.</DIV>

<DIV>Tom</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20

href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote =
in message=20

news:444cfc37@linux...</DIV>

<DIV>Cujo,</DIV>

<DIV>I think it's the =
latency.</DIV>

<DIV>Tom</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Cujo" <<A=20

=
[href=3D"mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com"](mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com)>chris@nospamapplemanstudio=
 ..com>=20
 wrote in message <A=20
 =
[href=3D"news:444cdd6e\\$1@linux"](news:444cdd6e$1@linux)>news:444cdd6e\$1@linux...</DIV>

=

By=20
 the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or=20
 richness
I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the=20
 latency,

"Cujo" <<A=20
 =
[href=3D"mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com"](mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com)>chris@nospamapplemanstudio=
 ..com>=20
 wrote:
>
>
>I could hear the difference on my =
 BM15's, I=20
 didn't think I would.
>
>
>
> "Paul" <<A=20
[href=3D"mailto:paul@nospam.com"](mailto:paul@nospam.com)>paul@nospam.com>=20
 wrote:
>>
>>Would 2 samples really make a =
 noticable=20
 audible difference in these=20
 situations?
>>
>>
>> "Rod Lincoln" <<A=20
 =
[href=3D"mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com"](mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com)>rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com&g=
 t;=20
 wrote:
>>>
>>>EDS Gate=3D2 samples with no =
 lookahead.=20
 To compensate, nudge back 1ms =
 and
>>insert
>>>analog x=20
 sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one=20
 also)
set
>>>at 78=20
 samples
>>>Rod
>>> "cujo" <<A=20
 =
[href=3D"mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com"](mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com)>chris@nospamapplemanstudio=
 ..com>=20
 =
 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>=
 ;>>>I=20
 gots me a question that probably has been answered=20
 before.
>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with =
 zero=20
 lookahead?
>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey =
 1980's=20
 track, and they really seem
>>to
>>>>kill =
 the=20
 fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to=20
 gate,
>>so
>>>>I figure I am going to need to =
 nudge ala=20
 UAD style.
>>>>
>>>>Oh, while I am at =

it,=20
anyone know the latency of the waves phasor=20
plug,
>>>
>>>can't recall the name off =
hand,=20
metaflanger perhaps.
>>>
>>>Thanks=20
=
again!
>>>
>>></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML=
>

-----=_NextPart_000_011D_01C667AF.A948B510--

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 21:20:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I notice a difference when I used the eds gates on toms and don't accounty
for the 2 samples. Any tracks dependant on phase coherency will be affected
by even a couple of samples.

Rod

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>

>

>

>By the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or richness

>I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the latency,

>

>

>"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>I could hear the difference on my BM15's, I didn't think I would.

>>

>>

>>

>>"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these situations?

>>>

>>>

>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>EDS Gate=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and

>>>>insert

>>>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)

>set

>>>>at 78 samples

>>>>Rod

>>>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
>>>>to
>>>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
>>>>so
>>>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>>
>>>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>>>>>I
>>>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>>
>>>>>Thanks again!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 23:17:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I believe you could be hearing latency. I'm convinced I can hear that small a detail, though I seem to hate it more on a track I'm processing than on a track I'm performing. IOW, my body seems to compensate for it while playing to it, but my ears hate it when treating pre-recorded tracks.

Swen and I have played extensively with small amounts of nudging, and I swear the tiniest differences are audible under certain circumstances...

Jimmy

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote in message
news:444cdd6e\$1@linux...

>
>
>
> By the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or richness
> I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the latency,
>
>
> "Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
> >
> >

> >I could hear the difference on my BM15's, I didn't think I would.
> >
> >
> >
> >"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
situations?
> >>
> >>
> >>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and
> >>>insert
> >>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)
> set
> >>>at 78 samples
> >>>Rod
> >>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
> >>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
> >>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
> >>to
> >>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
> >so
> >>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
> >>>>
> >>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor
plug,
> >>>I
> >>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
> >>>>
> >>>>Thanks again!
> >>>
> >>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 23:19:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0020_01C667D4.0432BEC0
Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Very good idea. Avoiding soft-FX is our game around here these days. Too =
much trouble for too little payoff, IMO.=20

But hard-editing is a wonderful aesthetic sometimes.

Whoops, gave away one of my soon-to-be-envied secrets.

Jimmy

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:444d1f1d@linux...

Cujo,

You might consider editing the tom tracks by cutting for a hard gate =
sound

if there aren't too many tom fills to deal with. Might really fit the =
80's bill.

A little reverb (gated?) is usually enough to cover the mess.

Tom

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:444cfc37@linux...

Cujo,

I think it's the latency.

Tom

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote in message =
news:444cdd6e\$1@linux...

By the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth =
or richness

I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the latency,

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>

>

>I could hear the difference on my BM15's, I didn't think I would.

>

>

>

>"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:

>>

>>Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in =
these situations?

>>

>>

>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>EDS Gate=3D2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge =
back 1ms and
>>insert
>>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one =
also)
set
>>>at 78 samples
>>>Rod
>>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they =
really seem
>>to
>>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not =
to gate,
>so
>>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>
>>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves =
phasor plug,
>>>I
>>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>
>>>>Thanks again!
>>>
>>

-----=_NextPart_000_0020_01C667D4.0432BEC0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html"; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2600.0" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Very good idea. Avoiding soft-FX is our =
game around=20

here these days. Too much trouble for too little payoff, IMO. =

</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>But hard-editing is a wonderful =

aesthetic=20

sometimes.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Whoops, gave away one of my =

soon-to-be-envied=20

secrets.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Jimmy</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =

BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20

href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote =

in message=20

news:444d1f1d@linux...</DIV>

<DIV>Cujo,</DIV>

<DIV>You might consider editing the tom =

tracks by=20

cutting for a hard gate sound</DIV>

<DIV>if there aren't too many tom fills to =

deal=20

with. </DIV>

<DIV>A little reverb (gated?) is usually =

enough to=20

cover the mess.</DIV>

<DIV>Tom</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =

BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20

href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net> =

wrote in=20

message <A =

href=3D"news:444cfc37@linux">news:444cfc37@linux...</DIV>

<DIV>Cujo,</DIV>

<DIV>I think it's the =

latency.</DIV>

<DIV>Tom</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =

BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Cujo" <<A=20

=

href=3D"mailto:chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com">chris@nospamapplemanstudio=

..com>=20
 wrote in message <A=20
 =
 [the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or=20
 richness
I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the=20
 latency,

"Cujo" <<A=20
 =
 \[\\[wrote:
>
>
>I could hear the difference on my =
 BM15's, I=20
 didn't think I would.
>
>
>
> "Paul" <<A =
 =
 \\\[wrote:
>>
>>Would 2 samples really make a =
 noticable=20
 audible difference in these=20
 situations?
>>
>>
>> "Rod Lincoln" =
 <<A=20
 =
 \\\\[\\\\\[wrote:
>>>
>>>EDS Gate=3D2 samples with no =
 =
 lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms=20
 and
>>insert
>>>analog x sampleslide \\\\\\(or =
 something=20
 like that, voxengo makes one also\\\\\\)
set
>>>at 78=20
 samples
>>>Rod
>>> "cujo" <<A=20
 =
 \\\\\\[\\\\\\\[=
 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>=
>>>>I=20
 gots me a question that probably has been answered=20
 before.
>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates =
 with zero=20
 lookahead?
>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey =
 1980's=20
 track, and they really seem
>>to
>>>>kill =
 the=20
 fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to=20
 gate,
>so
>>>>I figure I am going to need to =
 nudge=20
 ala UAD style.
>>>>
>>>>Oh, while I =\\\\\\\]\\\\\\\(3D\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\]\\\\\\(3D\\\\\\\)\\\\\]\\\\\(3D\\\\\\)\\\\]\\\\(3D\\\\\)\\\]\\\(3D\\\\)\\]\\(3D\\\)\]\(3D\\)](3D\)

am at=20
it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor=20
plug,
>>>I
>>>can't recall the name =
off hand,=20
metaflanger perhaps.
>>>
>>>Thanks =

=
again!
>>
>></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE>=
</BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0020_01C667D4.0432BEC0--

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Mon, 24 Apr 2006 23:43:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0011_01C667BE.304CE230
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Agreed... this is always the best route IMO. A typical session/tune =
with a good player won't have but 10 to 25 Tom hits -- sometimes less -- =
even with a robust kit. Just ask Rod ;-)

Dubya

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:444d1f1d@linux...
Cujo,
You might consider editing the tom tracks by cutting for a hard gate =
sound
if there aren't too many tom fills to deal with. Might really fit the =
80's bill.

A little reverb (gated?) is usually enough to cover the mess.

-----=_NextPart_000_0011_01C667BE.304CE230
Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2873" name=3DGENERATOR>

```
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>Agreed...&nbsp; this is always the best =
route=20
IMO.&nbsp; A typical session/tune with a good player won't have =
but&nbsp;10=20
to&nbsp;25 Tom hits -- sometimes less -- even with a robust kit.&nbsp; =
Just ask=20
Rod ;-)</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>Dubya</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=ltr=20
style="PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"Tom Bruhl" &lt;A=20
  href="mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net</A>&gt; wrote =
in message=20
  <A href="news:444d1f1d@linux">news:444d1f1d@linux</A>...</DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>Cujo,</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>You might consider editing the tom =
tracks by=20
  cutting for a hard gate sound</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>if there aren't too many tom fills to =
deal=20
  with.&nbsp; Might really fit the 80's bill.</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>A little reverb (gated?) is usually =
enough to=20
  cover the mess.</FONT></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>
```

-----=_NextPart_000_0011_01C667BE.304CE230--

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 2006 01:11:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HA! Usually you would be right, Not the track I'm recording today, however.
2 count'm TWO drum solo's in a single song. Kind of a Jazz fusion track I'm
doing for a guy. Toms a'plenty.

I actually have pretty good luck with the analog X gates.

Rod

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@integrity.com> wrote:

>

>

>Agreed... this is always the best route IMO. A typical session/tune =

>with a good player won't have but 10 to 25 Tom hits -- sometimes less --


```

=
>even with a robust kit. Just ask Rod ;-))
>
>Dubya
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
>news:444d1f1d@linux...
> Cujo,
> You might consider editing the tom tracks by cutting for a hard gate =
>sound
> if there aren't too many tom fills to deal with. Might really fit the
=
>80's bill.
> A little reverb (gated?) is usually enough to cover the mess.
>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2873" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

>route=20

>Just ask=20
>Rod ;-))</FONT></DIV>

><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Dubya</FONT></DIV>

><BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20
> href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net</A>> wrote =
>in message=20
> <A href=3D"news:444d1f1d@linux">news:444d1f1d@linux</A>...</DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Cujo,</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>You might consider editing the tom =
>tracks by=20
> cutting for a hard gate sound</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>if there aren't too many tom fills to
=
>deal=20

```

> <DIV>A little reverb (gated?) is usually =
>enough to=20
> cover the mess.</DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>
>
>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [cujo](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 2006 04:17:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually I had gone through the whole mix and edited out the dead space on the toms and decided I couldn;t decide, so I htought a gate would be faster, and I could A/B them more easily also, the gate closes at the same time on each hit, I guess I coule make the edits exactly the same length and fade, h

Also the gate would be nice to have for snare, for this 80's tune.

Byt the way, spent the night doing hundreds of edits on a poorly played track..oh joy.

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>HA! Usually you would be right, Not the track I'm recording today, however.
>2 count'm TWO drum solo's in a single song. Kind of a Jazz fusion track
I'm
>doing for a guy. Toms a'plenty.
>I actually have pretty good luck with the analog X gates.
>Rod

>"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@integrity.com> wrote:

>>
>>
>>Agreed... this is always the best route IMO. A typical session/tune =
>>with a good player won't have but 10 to 25 Tom hits -- sometimes less --
>=
>>even with a robust kit. Just ask Rod ;-)

>>
>>Dubya

>>
>> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
>>news:444d1f1d@linux...

>> Cujo,
>> You might consider editing the tom tracks by cutting for a hard gate
=
>>sound

```

>> if there aren't too many tom fills to deal with. Might really fit the
>=
>>80's bill.
>> A little reverb (gated?) is usually enough to cover the mess.
>>
>><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>><HTML><HEAD>
>><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2873" name=3DGENERATOR>
>><STYLE></STYLE>
>></HEAD>
>><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

>>route=20

>>Just ask=20
>>Rod ;-)</FONT></DIV>

>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Dubya</FONT></DIV>

>><BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>> <DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20
>> href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net</A>> wrote =
>>in message=20
>> <A href=3D"news:444d1f1d@linux">news:444d1f1d@linux</A>...</DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Cujo,</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>You might consider editing the tom =
>>tracks by=20
>> cutting for a hard gate sound</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>if there aren't too many tom fills to
>=
>>deal=20

>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>A little reverb (gated?) is usually
=
>>enough to=20
>> cover the mess.</FONT></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>
>>
>>
>

```

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [cujo](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 2006 04:17:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for the back up!

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>I notice a difference when I used the eds gates on toms and don't accounty
>for the 2 samples. Any tracks dependant on phase coherency will be affected
>by even a couple of samples.

>Rod

>"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>

>>By the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or richness

>>I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the latency,

>>

>>

>>"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>I could hear the difference on my BM15's, I didn't think I would.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these situations?

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms
and

>>>>>insert

>>>>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)

>>set

>>>>>at 78 samples

>>>>>Rod

>>>>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.

>>>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?

>>>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem

>>>>>to

>>>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
>>>so
>>>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>>
>>>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>>>>>I
>>>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>>
>>>>>Thanks again!
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 2006 05:08:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
> situations?
>
Absolutely.. phase :)

On the phasor plug, I think that to be the same thing. You can ballpark it,
but the very nature of it being a phase plug makes it impossible to track
exact timing, as it's being pushed/pulled.

AA

"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote in message news:444cd609\$1@linux...
>
> Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
> situations?
>
>
> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and
> insert
>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also) set
>>at 78 samples
>>Rod
>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?

>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
> to
>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate, so
>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>
>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>>I
>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>
>>>Thanks again!
>>
>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [cujo](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 2006 12:52:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So AA, you guess around 2 samples for the waves too?

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>> Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
>> situations?

>>

>Absolutely.. phase :)

>

>On the phasor plug, I think that to be the same thing. You can ballpark
it,

>but the very nature of it being a phase plug makes it impossible to track

>exact timing, as it's being pushed/pulled.

>

>AA

>

>"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote in message news:444cd609\$1@linux...

>>

>> Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
>> situations?

>>

>>

>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and

>> insert
>>> analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)
set
>>> at 78 samples
>>> Rod
>>> "cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I got me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>> What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>>> I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
>> to
>>>> kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
so
>>>> I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>
>>>> Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>>> I
>>>> can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks again!
>>>
>>
>
>
> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>
>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [cujo](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 2006 13:50:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yeah. I have gotten away from nudging pre recorded tracks and just to it the old way of flipping, (I think it messes with "space") however, I too do not want to mess up a the sound of the natural phase relationship with latency.

On this subject, is anyone nudging bass tracks (DI, amp) part of me says it can make things too phat, and they couldn't do it back then (ok Dleay a signal) but part of me says, if they could have, they would have.

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> I believe you could be hearing latency. I'm convinced I can hear that small

>a detail, though I seem to hate it more on a track I'm processing than on
a
>track I'm performing. IOW, my body seems to compensate for it while playing
>to it, but my ears hate it when treating pre-recorded tracks.
>
>Swen and I have played extensively with small amounts of nudging, and I
>swear the tiniest differences are audible under certain circumstances...
>
>Jimmy
>
>"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote in message
>news:444cdd6e\$1@linux...
>>
>>
>>
>> By the way, it was extremely subtle but I noticed a loss of depth or
>richness
>> I suppose it could be the plug itself, not the latency,
>>
>>
>> "Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >I could hear the difference on my BM15's, I didn't think I would.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
>situations?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms
and
>> >>insert
>> >>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)
>> set
>> >>>at 78 samples
>> >>>Rod
>> >>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>> >>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?

>> >>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
>> >>to
>> >>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
>> >so
>> >>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor
>plug,
>> >>>>I
>> >>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Thanks again!
>> >>>
>> >>
>
>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 2006 15:51:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Depends on the waves plug. Ren eq=0 Rencomp=64. I don't know about others as that's all I really use, except for the linear stuff, which has a latency of about 1 minute ;-)

"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>
>
>So AA, you guess around 2 samples for the waves too?
>
>
>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>> Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
>>> situations?
>>>
>>Absolutely.. phase :)
>>
>>On the phasor plug, I think that to be the same thing. You can ballpark
>it,
>>but the very nature of it being a phase plug makes it impossible to track
>
>>exact timing, as it's being pushed/pulled.
>>
>>AA
>>
>>"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote in message news:444cd609\$1@linux...
>>>

>>> Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
>>> situations?
>>>
>>>
>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>EDS Gate=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms and
>>> insert
>>>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)
>set
>>>>at 78 samples
>>>>Rod
>>>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?
>>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
>>> to
>>>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
>so
>>>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>>
>>>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>>>>I
>>>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>>
>>>>>Thanks again!
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>
>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: EDS Gate Latency
Posted by [cujo](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 2006 16:28:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmm, i thought there was a list out there.
Now I wonder about the waves q4 eq's and ren eq's

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>
>Depends on the waves plug. Ren eq=0 Rencomp=64. I don't know about others
>as that's all I really use, except for the linear stuff, which has a latency
>of about 1 minute ;-)
>"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>So AA, you guess around 2 samples for the waves too?
>>
>>
>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>>> Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
>>>> situations?
>>>>
>>>>Absolutely.. phase :)
>>>>
>>>>On the phasor plug, I think that to be the same thing. You can ballpark
>>>>it,
>>>>but the very nature of it being a phase plug makes it impossible to track
>>>>
>>>>exact timing, as it's being pushed/pulled.
>>>>
>>>>AA
>>>>
>>>>"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote in message news:444cd609\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Would 2 samples really make a noticable audible difference in these
>>>> situations?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>EDS GAt=2 samples with no lookahead. To compensate, nudge back 1ms
>>>>>and
>>>>>insert
>>>>>analog x sampleslide (or something like that, voxengo makes one also)
>>>>>set
>>>>>at 78 samples
>>>>>Rod
>>>>>"cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I gots me a question that probably has been answered before.
>>>>>>What is the latency of the EDS gates with zero lookahead?

```
>>>>>>I want to use them on toms on a vey 1980's track, and they really seem
>>>> to
>>>>>kill the fullness of the toms when inserted, even if set not to gate,
>>so
>>>>>>I figure I am going to need to nudge ala UAD style.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Oh, while I am at it, anyone know the latency of the waves phasor plug,
>>>>>>|
>>>>>>can't recall the name off hand, metaflanger perhaps.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Thanks again!
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>>>http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html
>>>
>>>
>
```