
Subject: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [brandon\[2\]](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 15:33:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0027_01C78CA5.5924E0B0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac for the first time since =
the 80's.

He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was totally solid.

He says it was so incredibly solid that they had tons of media playing
and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. No lag nothing.

What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps now?

Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed to PC?

Brandon

-----=_NextPart_000_0027_01C78CA5.5924E0B0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =

charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac =
for the=20

first time since the 80's.</DIV>

<DIV>He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was =
totally=20

solid.</DIV>

<DIV>He says it was so incredibly solid that they had =
tons of=20

media playing</DIV>

<DIV>and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. =
No lag=20

nothing.</DIV>

<DIV>What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps=20
now?</DIV>

<DIV>Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed to =

PC?</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Brandon</DIV></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0027_01C78CA5.5924E0B0--

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 16:06:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There's no G5 powerbook. I don't think any of the current Macbook Pros come with less than 1GB RAM.

The current Intel core 2 duo Macbook Pros are very fast and work great for audio.

The next version may come out this month with the new support chipset which should allow access to more than 3GB RAM.

The Macbook (non "pro") is about as fast in everything but graphics. A little less screen space and no expansion slot, but it should also be very good for audio.

Can't answer the cubase question, I use Logic Pro.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Brandon wrote:

- > Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac for the first time since
- > the 80's.
- > He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was totally solid.
- > He says it was so incredibly solid that they had tons of media playing
- > and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. No lag nothing.
- > What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps now?
- > Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed to PC?
- >
- > Brandon

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 16:12:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0052_01C78CAA.D04BDC70

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Consensus is that Mac _is_ a PC now. Only real difference is Mac OSX or =
Windows XP/Vista (which includes roll your own boxes) or Linux if =
you are so inclined. Is that the question you're asking, which OS =
basically? If so.. Win platform PC is cheaper on the overall and has =
more options. With options come brand a/brand b (hard and software) =
conflicts. Choose wisely and you'll have trouble free operation on =
either side of that coin IMO. If you go Mac hardware, you can run both =
operating systems.

AA

"Brandon" <a@a.com> wrote in message news:4638b02b@linux...

Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac for the first time =
since the 80's.

He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was totally solid.

He says it was so incredibly solid that they had tons of media playing
and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. No lag nothing.

What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps now?

Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed to PC?

Brandon

-----=_NextPart_000_0052_01C78CAA.D04BDC70

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html"; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Consensus is that Mac _is_ a PC now. =

Only real=20

difference is Mac OSX or Windows XP/Vista (which includes roll your own =
boxes)=20

..... or Linux if you are so inclined. Is that the question you're =
asking, which=20

OS basically? If so.. Win platform PC is cheaper on the overall and has =

more=20
options. With options come brand a/brand b (hard and software) =
conflicts. Choose=20
wisely and you'll have trouble free operation on either side of that =
coin IMO.=20
If you go Mac hardware, you can run both operating systems.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>AA</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"Brandon" <a@a.com> wrote in =
message=20
news:4638b02b@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac =
for the=20
first time since the 80's.</DIV>
<DIV>He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was =
totally=20
solid.</DIV>
<DIV>He says it was so incredibly solid that they =
had tons of=20
media playing</DIV>
<DIV>and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. =
No lag=20
nothing.</DIV>
<DIV>What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps=20
now?</DIV>
<DIV>Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed =
to=20
PC?</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Brandon</DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0052_01C78CAA.D04BDC70--

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!???

Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 17:13:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Brandon,

If it is a Mac Book pro then it will run Cubase about the same as a equivalent PC. You would not be using 512 megs of ram on any computer using Xp or OSX. 1gig is the normal for any laptop now. If the guy is really talking about a g4 laptop then no it will not anything faster than a current PC or Mac Book pro laptop.

Chris

Brandon wrote:

- > Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac for the first time since
- > the 80's.
- > He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was totally solid.
- > He says it was so incredibly solid that they had tons of media playing
- > and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. No lag nothing.
- > What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps now?
- > Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed to PC?
- >
- > Brandon

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK Pro Audio
(859) 635-5762
www.adkproaudio.com
chrisl@adkproaudio.com

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [xpam_mark](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 21:09:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_001D_01C78CC3.8BC58EC0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Hmmmm, sounds like either of my old Athlon 950's with a half gig of ram; =
PARIS tracking 44 16bit files from one machine to the other as a target =
drive across my home network while playing back 24 from the target, =
running 16 tracks of Acid, 2 tracks in Cool Edit, a stereo file under a =
wmv on win media Player, 4 tracks in Samplitude 6.04 with plugs running =
in PARIS and Samplitude... this was ME in 2002 and all drives were =
forced down from Mode 6 to Mode 3. I didn't weigh the media so I don't =
know if it was tons or not.

W. Mark Wilson

"Brandon" <a@a.com> wrote in message news:4638b02b@linux...
Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac for the first time =

since the 80's.

He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was totally solid.

He says it was so incredibly solid that they had tons of media playing and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. No lag nothing.

What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps now?

Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed to PC?

Brandon

-----=_NextPart_000_001D_01C78CC3.8BC58EC0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =

charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.3059" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Hmmm, sounds like either of my old Athlon 950's =
with a=20

half gig of ram; PARIS tracking 44 16bit files from one machine to the =
other as=20

a target drive across my home network while playing back 24 from =
the=20

target, running 16 tracks of Acid, 2 tracks in Cool Edit, a stereo file =
under a=20

wmv on win media Player, 4 tracks in Samplitude 6.04 with plugs running =
in PARIS=20

and Samplitude... this was ME in 2002 and all drives were forced down =
from Mode=20

6 to Mode 3. I didn't weigh the media so I don't know if it =
was tons=20

or not.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>W. Mark Wilson</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Brandon" <a@a.com> wrote in =
message=20

news:4638b02b@linux...</DIV>

<DIV>Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac =
for the=20

first time since the 80's.</DIV>

<DIV>He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was =
totally=20
solid.</DIV>
<DIV>He says it was so incredibly solid that they =
had tons of=20
media playing</DIV>
<DIV>and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. =
No lag=20
nothing.</DIV>
<DIV>What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps=20
now?</DIV>
<DIV>Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed =
to=20
PC?</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Brandon</DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_001D_01C78CC3.8BC58EC0--

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 21:36:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's gotta be the biggest laptop in the world, Mark. ;^)

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

W. Mark Wilson wrote:

> Hmmmm, sounds like either of my old Athlon 950's with a half gig of ram;
> PARIS tracking 44 16bit files from one machine to the other as a target
> drive across my home network while playing back 24 from the target,
> running 16 tracks of Acid, 2 tracks in Cool Edit, a stereo file under a
> wmv on win media Player, 4 tracks in Samplitude 6.04 with plugs running
> in PARIS and Samplitude... this was ME in 2002 and all drives were
> forced down from Mode 6 to Mode 3. I didn't weigh the media so I don't
> know if it was tons or not.
>
> W. Mark Wilson
>
>
> "Brandon" <a@a.com <mailto:a@a.com>> wrote in message
> news:4638b02b@linux...
> Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac for the first time
> since the 80's.

- > He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was totally solid.
 - > He says it was so incredibly solid that they had tons of media playing
 - > and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. No lag nothing.
 - > What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps now?
 - > Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed to PC?
 - >
 - > Brandon
-

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [xpam_mark](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 01:43:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, it was one of those desktop style laptops.

;-)

WMW

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46390517\$1@linux...

- >
- > That's gotta be the biggest laptop in the world, Mark. ;^)
- >
- > Cheers,
- > -Jamie
- > www.JamieKrutz.com

> W. Mark Wilson wrote:

- >> Hmmmm, sounds like either of my old Athlon 950's with a half gig of ram;
 - >> PARIS tracking 44 16bit files from one machine to the other as a target
 - >> drive across my home network while playing back 24 from the target,
 - >> running 16 tracks of Acid, 2 tracks in Cool Edit, a stereo file under a
 - >> wmv on win media Player, 4 tracks in Samplitude 6.04 with plugs running
 - >> in PARIS and Samplitude... this was ME in 2002 and all drives were forced
 - >> down from Mode 6 to Mode 3. I didn't weigh the media so I don't know if
 - >> it was tons or not.
 - >> W. Mark Wilson
 - >> "Brandon" <a@a.com <mailto:a@a.com>> wrote in message
 - >> news:4638b02b@linux...
 - >> Ok a friend of mine is telling me he tried Mac for the first time
 - >> since the 80's.
 - >> He tried a 2gig 512mb G5 powerbook and it was totally solid.
 - >> He says it was so incredibly solid that they had tons of media
 - >> playing
 - >> and internet running etc.. and not one glitch. No lag nothing.
 - >> What is the consensus on Macs for audio apps now?
 - >> Would Cubase run lightspeed under Mac opposed to PC?
 - >> Brandon
-

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 01:54:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4638b7c7\$1@linux...
> The Macbook (non "pro") is about as fast in everything but graphics. A
> little less screen space and no expansion slot, but it should also be very
> good for audio.

Jamie...the FW bus on the non-pro notebook just plain sucks wind. I would never recommend this for audio...ever.

Been there.....believe me.

Deej

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 03:03:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJ wrote:

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4638b7c7\$1@linux...
>> The Macbook (non "pro") is about as fast in everything but graphics. A
>> little less screen space and no expansion slot, but it should also be very
>> good for audio.
>
>
> Jamie...the FW bus on the non-pro notebook just plain sucks wind. I would
> never recommend this for audio...ever.
>
> Been there.....believe me.
>
> Deej

That's the first I've heard of any firewire problems on the Macbook. What sucks about it? The firewire 400 specs seem to be the same on the non-pro as on the pro.

My wife uses the previous low end 1GHZ G4 laptop for audio recording with a firewire interface. Granted she's not stressing it, just doing VO, but it works fine.

Is anyone here using an Intel Macbook for audio?

The main performance differentiation between the two current Macbook/Pro lines seems to be the motherboard graphics on the non-pro vs. the faster

graphics cards with the pro (and the available screen res). The mb GPU capabilities aren't sufficient for programs that really leverage the GPU, like Apple's Motion and the upcoming Color. BTW, the new version of Motion and the new Color look amazing, looking forward to working with them along with the new Final Cut in a few weeks.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???
Posted by [Ted Gerber](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 03:53:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have never had any FW issues. I thought the issues you had with your friend's box were more of a driver/OS version conflict related to the 002...

At any rate, I know a whack of guys using MacBooks with FW problem free.

T

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>
>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4638b7c7\$1@linux...
>> The Macbook (non "pro") is about as fast in everything but graphics. A

>> little less screen space and no expansion slot, but it should also be very
>> good for audio.

>
>
>Jamie...the FW bus on the non-pro notebook just plain sucks wind. I would

>never recommend this for audio...ever.

>
>Been there.....believe me.

>
>Deej

>
>
>

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 05:01:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Long story...we can talk about it when I get up there. Just talked to Aaron. He's about 45 minutes out of Denver right now. I'll be showing up around 2:30-3:00.

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46395196\$1@linux...

> DJ wrote:

>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message

>> news:4638b7c7\$1@linux...

>>> The Macbook (non "pro") is about as fast in everything but graphics. A

>>> little less screen space and no expansion slot, but it should also be

>>> very good for audio.

>>

>>

>> Jamie...the FW bus on the non-pro notebook just plain sucks wind. I would

>> never recommend this for audio...ever.

>>

>> Been there.....believe me.

>>

>> Deej

>

> That's the first I've heard of any firewire problems on the Macbook.

> What sucks about it? The firewire 400 specs seem to be the same on the

> non-pro as on the pro.

>

> My wife uses the previous low end 1GHZ G4 laptop for audio recording with

> a firewire interface. Granted she's not stressing it, just doing VO, but

> it works fine.

>

> Is anyone here using an Intel Macbook for audio?

>

> The main performance differentiation between the two current Macbook/Pro

> lines seems to be the motherboard graphics on the non-pro vs. the faster

> graphics cards with the pro (and the available screen res). The mb GPU

> capabilities aren't sufficient for programs that really leverage the GPU,

> like Apple's Motion and the upcoming Color. BTW, the new version of Motion

> and the new Color look amazing, looking forward to working with them along

> with the new Final Cut in a few weeks.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKrutz.com
