
Subject: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 05:24:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).

I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and argues with it's points. What do you folks think?

Gantt

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 05:47:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I lasted abut 12 minutes...

One reviewer said:

"Like the John Birch society on acid."

Evil stuff.

DC

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).

>

>I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and

>argues with it's points. What do you folks think?

>

>Gantt

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 06:23:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).

>
>I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and
>argues with it's points. What do you folks think?
>
>Gantt

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 06:28:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

John Birch, and the John Birch society, has nothing to do with being anti christian or anti religious. Although I do think somebody is on acid.

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>I lasted abut 12 minutes...
>
>One reviewer said:
>
>"Like the John Birch society on acid."
>
>
>Evil stuff.
>
>DC
>
>
>"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).
>>
>>I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and
>>argues with it's points. What do you folks think?
>>
>>Gantt
>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 12:09:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:47a3fe46\$1@linux...

>
> I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.

I don't know what you believe either but I hope you're not serious

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 12:44:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a463fa\$1@linux...

>
> Well, I can't speak for James, but the 12 minutes or so I saw of that
> film were some of the most devilish, hateful, and dishonest propaganda
> I have ever seen.
>
> Yes, there is a devil, and he makes films (or at least inspires them)
> sometimes.
>
> DC

I'm not trying to start a shit storm here and have not even begun to look into the accuracy of any of the statements made in the "religious" portion of the docu-film but what was it that you found offensive (assuming they got their facts correct)

Don

>
> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:47a3fe46\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.
>>
>>
>>I don't know what you believe either but I hope you're not serious
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 13:37:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, I can't speak for James, but the 12 minutes or so I saw of that film were some of the most devilish, hateful, and dishonest propaganda I have ever seen.

Yes, there is a devil, and he makes films (or at least inspires them) sometimes.

DC

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:47a3fe46\$1@linux...
>>
>> I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.
>
>
>I don't know what you believe either but I hope you're not serious
>
>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 14:09:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>I'm not trying to start a shit storm here and have not even begun to look
>into the accuracy of any of the statements made in the "religious" portion
>of the docu-film but what was it that you found offensive (assuming they
>got
>their facts correct)
>
>Don

The shit storm is that film.

Start here:

<http://www.boingboing.net/2007/08/06/jay-kinney-reviews-z.html>

That film is not about facts. There are no facts, only "facts" those being defined as that which serves the goal of the filmmaker.

DC

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 16:13:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd seen that review/critique long before we watched the movie. It seems to me that Jay Kinney doesn't much like the movie but doesn't totally disagree that some of the issues it raises are worth thinking about. The stuff about religion doesn't worry me. I'm a lapsed Jew who occasionally practices Hindu/Buddhist meditation and I have no problems with Jesus. He has become the most famous and influential rabbi (which simply means "teacher") and guru (loosely translates to "one who gives light" or 'teacher') in the history of our contemporary world. I worry more about what people have done (and continue to do) in Jesus' name. However, I had never heard about the demolition theories of 9/11 or the international banker cabal stuff. If half of it is true it's pretty disturbing. Even if 10% of it is true!

Gantt

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>The shit storm is that film.

>

>Start here:

>

> <http://www.boingboing.net/2007/08/06/jay-kinney-reviews-z.html>

>

>That film is not about facts. There are no facts, only "facts"

>those being defined as that which serves the goal of the filmmaker.

>

>DC

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 16:17:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a46b73\$1@linux...

>

> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>

>>I'm not trying to start a shit storm here and have not even begun to look

>

>>into the accuracy of any of the statements made in the "religious" portion

>

>>of the docu-film but what was it that you found offensive (assuming they
> got
>>their facts correct)
>>
>>Don
>
>
> The shit storm is that film.
>
> Start here:
>
> [http://www.boingboing.net/2007/08/06/jay-kinney-reviews-z.ht ml](http://www.boingboing.net/2007/08/06/jay-kinney-reviews-z.html)
>
> That film is not about facts. There are no facts, only "facts"
> those being defined as that which serves the goal of the filmmaker.
>
> DC

Interesting that the film reviewer really doesn't debunk the facts the film states about the Christian religion

As I said I haven't done any research on this so if I'm totally off base here my apologies.

As for the 911 and Banking stuff...who's to say? One thing's for certain, we'll never know for sure

hehehe

Don

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 17:03:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:47a3f069\$1@linux...

>
> My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie
> (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).
>
> I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and
> argues with it's points. What do you folks think?
>
> Gantt

I'm setting up for a session today but I watched a bit of it and figure that

as long as it doesn't show beheadings and the restoration of the Caiphate, we're just getting half the fear factor.....and how come there's no aliens?? Gotta have aliens and death rays if you're gonna sell popcorn.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 19:50:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>Interesting that the film reviewer really doesn't debunk the facts the film

>states about the Christian religion

>

>As I said I haven't done any research on this so if I'm totally off base

>here my apologies.

>

>As for the 911 and Banking stuff...who's to say? One thing's for certain,

>we'll never know for sure

>

>hehehe

No need to apologize. Questions are good, propaganda is bad.

The Christian religion can be described in many ways. Some would say the Catholic church, others the crusaders, others some great saint or leader. To me, it is composed of those who follow Jesus and are first saved and committed to him, and then through that power and his example, live as he lived, as much as possible.

This is the great and invisible Christian church. It is invisible because it does not have a name, other than Christian, and does not have an office building. It is the saved in Christ.

Not a one of us was in that film, yet it claims to attack "Christianity", It misses badly, and in doing so exposes who is the author of this hate.

Ask all the questions you like, but ask them, not of straw men put forward as archetypes, but of those who follow Christ.

DC

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 20:05:38 GMT

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>I'd seen that review/critique long before we watched the movie. It seems
>to me that Jay Kinney doesn't much like the movie but doesn't totally disagree
>that some of the issues it raises are worth thinking about.

Absolutely. Now if we just had a better source of content...

>The stuff about

>religion doesn't worry me. I'm a lapsed Jew who occasionally practices
Hindu/Buddhist

>meditation and I have no problems with Jesus. He has become the most famous
>and influential rabbi (which simply means "teacher") and guru (loosely translates
>to "one who gives light" or 'teacher') in the history of our contemporary
>world. I worry more about what people have done (and continue to do) in
Jesus'

>name.

You reduce Jesus to a nice teacher, and then worry more about the
actions of his "followers" than you do about the enemy of souls...

Here is who Jesus is: (from the book of John)

In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and
the Word was God. He was with God in the beginning.

Through him all things were made; without him nothing was made
that has been made. In him was life, and that life was the light of men.

The light shines in the darkness, but the darkness has not understood it.

He was in the world, and though the world was made through him, the
world did not recognize him. He came to that which was his own, but
his own did not receive him. Yet to all who received him, to those who believed
in his name, he gave the right to become children of God
children born not of natural descent, nor of human decision or a
husband's will, but born of God.

The Word became flesh and made his dwelling among us.
We have seen his glory, the glory of the One and Only, who
came from the Father, full of grace and truth.

Now, this is either a lie and a cruel joke, or it is true. If it is a lie,
then Jesus is a fraud and a criminal, not a good teacher, and he

deserved what he got. If it is true, then that film was inspired by the enemy of souls.

For yourself, you decide. I know where I come down on this.

>However, I had never heard about the demolition theories of 9/11 or
>the international banker cabal stuff. If half of it is true it's pretty
>disturbing. Even if 10% of it is true!

But how would you know? It's a hall of mirrors and paranoia...

DC

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 20:31:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a4bb67\$1@linux...

>

> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>>Interesting that the film reviewer really doesn't debunk the facts the
>>film

>

>>states about the Christian religion

>>

>>As I said I haven't done any research on this so if I'm totally off base

>

>>here my apologies.

>>

>>As for the 911 and Banking stuff...who's to say? One thing's for certain,

>

>>we'll never know for sure

>>

>>hehehe

>

> No need to apologize. Questions are good, propaganda is bad.

>

> The Christian religion can be described in many ways. Some would
> say the Catholic church, others the crusaders, others some great saint
> or leader. To me, it is composed of those who follow Jesus and are
> first saved and committed to him, and then through that power and
> his example, live as he lived, as much as possible.

>

> This is the great and invisible Christian church. It is invisible because
> it does not have a name, other than Christian, and does not have an
> office building. It is the saved in Christ.

>
> Not a one of us was in that film, yet it claims to attack "Christianity",
> It misses badly, and in doing so exposes who is the author of this hate.
>
> Ask all the questions you like, but ask them, not of straw men put
> forward as archetypes, but of those who follow Christ.
>
> DC

I guess the thing that disturbs me is that some people feel the need to brand anyone who questions the many similarities between the Jesus Christ and other Ancient Deities a heretic, a blasphemer or a proponent of the Devil.

If I'm not mistaken there is a fair amount historical evidence that these similarities do exist and therefore it is fair to ask the question...is Jesus Christ the Son of God or is he just the latest version in a line of Deities that are based in ancient pagan worship.

Don

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 20:31:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>
> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:47a3fe46\$1@linux...

>>
>> I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.

>
>
Don, read the bible. I would recommend an easy to read version based on the King James Bible. This way, you can come to your own conclusions, besides, it's the number one selling book in the world. I would think it would be logical to read the number one selling book in the world to see for yourself what all the buzz is about. If you read it, you will find something in there for you.

James

> I don't know what you believe either but I hope you're not serious
>

>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [jim drago](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 22:18:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well put DC and James.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>news:47a3fe46\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.

>>

>>

>Don, read the bible. I would recommend an easy to read version based on
>the King James Bible. This way, you can come to your own conclusions, besides,
>it's the number one selling book in the world. I would think it would be
>logical to read the number one selling book in the world to see for yourself
>what all the buzz is about. If you read it, you will find something in
there

>for you.

>

>

>James

>>I don't know what you believe either but I hope you're not serious

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 22:25:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think I'll stop at this point because we are about to go down the age old
"question of faith" road and one can not argue against faith.

Don

"jim drago" <jamesd@prospect.k12.or.us> wrote in message
news:47a4de22\$1@linux...

>

> Well put DC and James.

>

>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>>>

>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>>news:47a3fe46\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.

>>>

>>>

>>Don, read the bible. I would recommend an easy to read version based on

>>the King James Bible. This way, you can come to your own conclusions,

>>besides,

>>it's the number one selling book in the world. I would think it would be

>>logical to read the number one selling book in the world to see for

>>yourself

>>what all the buzz is about. If you read it, you will find something in

> there

>>for you.

>>

>>

>>James

>>>I don't know what you believe either but I hope you're not serious

>>>

>>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 23:06:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a4bb67\$1@linux...

>>

>> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>>>Interesting that the film reviewer really doesn't debunk the facts the

>>>film

>>

>>>states about the Christian religion
>>>
>>>As I said I haven't done any research on this so if I'm totally off base
>>
>>>here my apologies.
>>>
>>>As for the 911 and Banking stuff...who's to say? One thing's for certain,
>>
>>>we'll never know for sure
>>>
>>>hehehe
>>
>> No need to apologize. Questions are good, propaganda is bad.
>>
>> The Christian religion can be described in many ways. Some would
>> say the Catholic church, others the crusaders, others some great saint
>> or leader. To me, it is composed of those who follow Jesus and are
>> first saved and committed to him, and then through that power and
>> his example, live as he lived, as much as possible.
>>
>> This is the great and invisible Christian church. It is invisible because
>> it does not have a name, other than Christian, and does not have an
>> office building. It is the saved in Christ.
>>
>> Not a one of us was in that film, yet it claims to attack "Christianity",
>> It misses badly, and in doing so exposes who is the author of this hate.
>>
>> Ask all the questions you like, but ask them, not of straw men put
>> forward as archetypes, but of those who follow Christ.
>>
>> DC
>
>
>
>I guess the thing that disturbs me is that some people feel the need to

>brand anyone who questions the many similarities between the Jesus Christ

>and other Ancient Deities a heretic, a blasphemmer or a proponent of the

>Devil.
>

It's vary popular these days to blast christians and Christianity. The term
Christian right wing nut gets thrown around a lot these days. Anti christian's
are trying to blame the world's problems on Christians, it's a form of puracusion.
Christian are being murdered in different places around the world today.
That film is a bunch of lies, to deceive you about the truth. I have suggested

that you read the Bible and come to your own conclusions.

>If I'm not mistaken there is a fair amount historical evidence that these

>similarities do exist and therefore it is fair to ask the question...is

>Jesus Christ the Son of God or is he just the latest version in a line of

>Deities that are based in ancient pagan worship.

>

>

>Don

The answer to your last question is in the Bible, I suggest you read it for yourself.

James

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 23:09:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message [news:47a4bb67\\$1@linux...](#)

>>>

>>> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>>>>Interesting that the film reviewer really doesn't debunk the facts the

>

>>>>film

>>>

>>>>states about the Christian religion

>>>>

>>>>As I said I haven't done any research on this so if I'm totally off base

>>>

>>>>here my apologies.

>>>>

>>>>As for the 911 and Banking stuff...who's to say? One thing's for certain,

>>>

>>>>we'll never know for sure

>>>>

>>>>hehehe

>>>

>>> No need to apologize. Questions are good, propaganda is bad.

>>>

>>> The Christian religion can be described in many ways. Some would

>>> say the Catholic church, others the crusaders, others some great saint
>>> or leader. To me, it is composed of those who follow Jesus and are
>>> first saved and committed to him, and then through that power and
>>> his example, live as he lived, as much as possible.

>>>

>>> This is the great and invisible Christian church. It is invisible because
>>> it does not have a name, other than Christian, and does not have an
>>> office building. It is the saved in Christ.

>>>

>>> Not a one of us was in that film, yet it claims to attack "Christianity",
>>> It misses badly, and in doing so exposes who is the author of this hate.

>>>

>>> Ask all the questions you like, but ask them, not of straw men put
>>> forward as archetypes, but of those who follow Christ.

>>>

>>> DC

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>I guess the thing that disturbs me is that some people feel the need to

>

>>brand anyone who questions the many similarities between the Jesus Christ

>

>>and other Ancient Deities a heretic, a blasphemer or a proponent of the

>

>>Devil.

>>

>

>It's vary popular these days to blast christians and Christianity. The

term

>Christian right wing nut gets thrown around a lot these days. Anti christian's

>are trying to blame the world's problems on Christians, it's a form of persecution.

> Christian are being murdered in different places around the world today.

> That film is a bunch of lies, to deceive you about the truth. I have suggested

>that you read the Bible and come to your own conclusions.

>

>>If I'm not mistaken there is a fair amount historical evidence that these

>

>>similarities do exist and therefore it is fair to ask the question...is

>

>>Jesus Christ the Son of God or is he just the latest version in a line

of

>

>>Deities that are based in ancient pagan worship.

>>

>>

>>Don

>

>The answer to your last question is in the Bible, I suggest you read it
for
>yourself.
>
>James
>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 23:13:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uh oh...please stop me...no I don't want to go there...shit!

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:47a4f049\$1@linux...

>
> Gantt, I believe the Bible. The Bible was written down by man, however
> the
>bible is the word of god, not man. I suggest you also read the number one
>selling book in the world, the Bible, you will get something out of it
>also.

James (and others) I've got to say that in the face of historical evidence I truly admire your faith. The fact that one can draw a direct correlation between Jesus Christ and Deities from thousands of years before Christ (as well as other bible stories) is of no consequence because you see the bible as the Word of God. That is a commendable and wonderful thing, but please do not confuse historical facts with propaganda or being persecuted.

The truth will stand up in the light of scrutiny.

And I am in no way suggesting that just because there are these interesting coincidences between Jesus and other so called Deities that Jesus Christ is not the Son of God. I just think that these coincidences along with the fact that at the time that the Deification of Christ was finally recognized as "the truth" by the Nicene Council the Christian religion was so fractured that it needed a single unifying theory (pun intended) to keep it alive and that makes me wonder about the validity of the Bible as the "Word of God" as opposed to a Council of Men.

Just my \$0.02 CDN

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 23:14:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote in message news:47a4a5e5\$1@linux...

>

> "Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message

> news:47a3f069\$1@linux...

>>

>> My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie

>> (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).

>>

>> I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and

>> argues with it's points. What do you folks think?

>>

>> Gantt

>

> I'm setting up for a session today but I watched a bit of it and figure

> that as long as it doesn't show beheadings and the restoration of the

> Caiphate, we're just getting half the fear factor.....and how come

> there's no aliens?? Gotta have aliens and death rays if you're gonna sell

> popcorn.

Word

;-)

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 23:25:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I knew I'd be sorry for opening this can of works! It's not the first part of the Zeitgeist movie that concerns me but that's probably because I'm not a Christian. I hope you all can forgive me. I know God does. I believe that God loves us all, no matter what we do or what we believe. I believe that the hand of God has been at work in all the great religious scriptures - from the Bhagavad Gita to the Koran to the many versions of the Bible.

However i believe that all those books are the work of men (and maybe some women) and as such reflect their beliefs, opinions and agendas. And I take grave exception to anyone who believes that their Truth is more true than mine.

Anyway I think it's a shame that the Zeitgeist guys thought it best to lead with their section about Christianity. It seems to have almost guaranteed that many Christians would stop watching and never see the second and third parts, which are the ones that most got me wondering about what really happened and what's really going on.

Gantt

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>news:47a3fe46\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.

>>

>>

>Don, read the bible. I would recommend an easy to read version based on

>the King James Bible. This way, you can come to your own conclusions, besides,

>it's the number one selling book in the world. I would think it would be

>logical to read the number one selling book in the world to see for yourself

>what all the buzz is about. If you read it, you will find something in

there

>for you.

>

>

>James

>>I don't know what you believe either but I hope you're not serious

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 23:25:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:47a4edce\$1@linux...

>

> I knew I'd be sorry for opening this can of works! It's not the first

> part

> of the Zeitgeist movie that concerns me but that's probably because I'm

> not

> a Christian. I hope you all can forgive me. I know God does. I believe

> that God loves us all, no matter what we do or what we believe. I believe

> that the hand of God has been at work in all the great religious

> scriptures

> - from the Bhagavad Gita to the Koran to the many versions of the Bible.

> However i believe that all those books are the work of men (and maybe some

> women) and as such reflect their beliefs, opinions and agendas. And I

> take

> grave exception to anyone who believes that their Truth is more true than
> mine.
>
> Anyway I think it's a shame that the Zeitgeist guys thought it best to
> lead
> with their section about Christianity. It seems to have almost guaranteed
> that many Christians would stop watching and never see the second and
> third
> parts, which are the ones that most got me wondering about what really
> happened
> and what's really going on.
>
> Gantt
>

Actually the 911 stuff, the international banking community stuff, the military industrial complex stuff and little green alien stuff has been circulating around for a few years now.

The main difference between those conspiracy theories and the Christian religion is there is history, documented evidence etc etc etc. to back up the "propoganda"

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 23:26:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>I knew I'd be sorry for opening this can of works!

OOPS! "Can of WORMS"!!! Not "Works!".

Sorry.

Gantt

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 23:35:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gantt, I believe the Bible. The Bible was written down by man, however the bible is the word of god, not man. I suggest you also read the number one selling book in the world, the Bible, you will get something out of it also.

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>I knew I'd be sorry for opening this can of works! It's not the first
>part
>of the Zeitgeist movie that concerns me but that's probably because I'm
>not
>a Christian. I hope you all can forgive me. I know God does. I believe
>that God loves us all, no matter what we do or what we believe. I believe
>that the hand of God has been at work in all the great religious scriptures
>- from the Bhagavad Gita to the Koran to the many versions of the Bible.
> However i believe that all those books are the work of men (and maybe some
>>women) and as such reflect their beliefs, opinions and agendas. And I take
>grave exception to anyone who believes that their Truth is more true than
>mine.
>
>Anyway I think it's a shame that the Zeitgeist guys thought it best to lead
>with their section about Christianity. It seems to have almost guaranteed
>that many Christians would stop watching and never see the second and third
>parts, which are the ones that most got me wondering about what really happened
>and what's really going on.

>
>Gantt

>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:47a3fe46\$1@linux...

>>>>
>>>> I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.

>>>

>>>

>>Don, read the bible. I would recommend an easy to read version based on
>>the King James Bible. This way, you can come to your own conclusions,
>>besides,

>>it's the number one selling book in the world. I would think it would
>>be

>>logical to read the number one selling book in the world to see for yourself
>>what all the buzz is about. If you read it, you will find something in

>>there

>>for you.

>>

>>

>>James

>>>I don't know what you believe either but I hope you're not serious

>>>

>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 23:45:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>The answer to your last question is in the Bible,

Now I don't know or care about this film...

....but isn't the above kinda like stating that "You can find out whether
GWB has been a good president by reading his press release"?

Cheers,
Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 01:27:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Faith is like trust, it's not always 100%, but you either have it or you don't.

It's easy to put things together and come to the wrong conclusion, especially if that's somebody's intention. They talk about light and darkness. They talk about the mother of Jesus name starting with an M. You can't explain Christianity with astrology. You could say that women's names back in those days started with an M because M names are a derivative of the name Mother. You could say that the name Daughter is from the word Dawn which means new beginning and there for... bla bla bla. You can twist things around and say this means this, and this means that, because bla bla bla. I suggest you guys do a little research on all of these claims that all of religious figures, all had mothers that were virgins and their name started with the letter M, instead of taking their word for it. I suggest that those that don't believe, read the bible for themselves a few times and decide for themselves.

I'm not vary good at this but I will try to explain the concept of light and darkness. Darkness denies the truth. In total darkness there is no truth. The light is the truth. If you were blind folded and taken in to a room that was void of light, you would not know the truth. If you were sitting at a table, you would not be able to tell me what is on the table,

or how big the room is. You would not know if the room was full of king cobras or not. With light, you can see the truth. Being in darkness is void of the truth. Being in darkness is ignorance of the truth. The truth is the light. To deny the truth is to be in darkness. When people deny the truth, it's because they are in darkness and can't see the truth. Many want to keep you in the dark. many will twist the truth to deceive you and keep you in the dark.

God is the truth and the light. It's easy for people to knock something they don't really know about, especially if they've only heard half the story. You don't have to take my word for it, go seek the truth and read the bible for your self and you decide.

"Kim" <hiddenounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>The answer to your last question is in the Bible,
>
>Now I don't know or care about this film...
>
>...but isn't the above kinda like stating that "You can find out whether
>GWB has been a good president by reading his press release"?
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 01:41:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a51483\$1@linux...
>
> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>
>>If I'm not mistaken there is a fair amount historical evidence that these
>
>>similarities do exist and therefore it is fair to ask the question...is
>
>>Jesus Christ the Son of God or is he just the latest version in a line of
>
>>Deities that are based in ancient pagan worship.
>>
>>
>>Don

>
> the slightest inquiry will dispel such ideas.
>
> start here:
>
> [http://www.amazon.com/dp/0310209307?tag=churchcommuni-20&link_code=as3&creativeASIN=0310209307&creative=3734 89&camp=211189](http://www.amazon.com/dp/0310209307?tag=churchcommuni-20&link_code=as3&creativeASIN=0310209307&creative=3734%2089&camp=211189)
>
> and here
>
> [http://www.amazon.com/Divine-Conspiracy-Rediscovering-Hidden-Life/dp/0060693339/ref=sr_1_5?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1 202000120&sr=1-5](http://www.amazon.com/Divine-Conspiracy-Rediscovering-Hidden-Life/dp/0060693339/ref=sr_1_5?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1202000120&sr=1-5)
>
> best,
>
> DC
>

And I thought having to buy a Bible was bad enough...tell you what Don...if you have these two books and are willing to lend them to me for a month (normally I'd say a week or two but there's some heavy family stuff happening right now) I'll pay the shipping both ways.

Don

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 02:05:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It all depends on what is authoritative to you.

If you assume that there cannot be miracles, that the natural world is all there is, then you study Jesus and the Bible as historical documents, no more important than any other.

If, however, you decide it is impossible for intelligence to self generate (you code guys should know about this) and we are walking repositories of clearly specified intelligence in our genes and minds, then there had to be some input to the system from outside.

Given that fact, and I think it is a fact, we give credence to the histories of Socrates, Aristotle, and Plato, and they existed hundreds of years before Jesus. We study them and appreciate them as historical fact and as philosophical influences, in short, as real people, yet the atheists wish us

to believe that Jesus was a fairy tale. Well hang on, because it is very unlikely that Jesus was a fairy tale, and worse, there is no possible explanation for the lives of self-sacrifice and the gruesome deaths of the apostles and followers of Jesus because of their unwavering convictions, than something abso-fuggin-lutely huge happened in their lives to change them, and the world forever. Jesus, was what happened; the real Jesus, not the metaphor Jesus, not the ghandi-buddha-krishna-MLK-good rabbi Jesus, but Jesus Christ the Word. Notice please, that I have yet to say a word about the changed lives and miracles that support my faith. They too are real, but they are not even needed to show the reasonableness of believing in Jesus.

If you believe there is more to life than the material, then you really, truly must meet Jesus.

If not, then one viewpoint is as good as another. You try them on like suits and sunglasses until you like the fit.

DC

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 02:10:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>If I'm not mistaken there is a fair amount historical evidence that these
>similarities do exist and therefore it is fair to ask the question...is
>Jesus Christ the Son of God or is he just the latest version in a line of
>Deities that are based in ancient pagan worship.
>
>
>Don

the slightest inquiry will dispel such ideas.

start here:

http://www.amazon.com/dp/0310209307?tag=churchcommuni-20&link_code=as3&creativeASIN=0310209307&creative=373489&camp=211189

and here

http://www.amazon.com/Divine-Conspiracy-Rediscovering-Hidden-Life/dp/0060693339/ref=sr_1_5?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1202000120&sr=1-5

best,

DC

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 02:15:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wrote a response but decided not to post it. I'd rather not set bad examples.
;o)

Happy to email it to you if you wish. Let me know.

Cheers,
Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 02:15:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's up to you!

DC

"Kim" <hiddeensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>
>I wrote a response but decided not to post it. I'd rather not set bad examples.
>;o)
>
>Happy to email it to you if you wish. Let me know.
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 02:36:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don,

As per my post to James if you want to continue off list I'm happy to do so, but I don't particularly want to be going to far into this myself while I'm "moderating". ;o)

We must have that beer one of these years. ;o)

Cheers,
Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 02:38:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>It's up to you!
>

Seem to have lost your email, which is odd, as it was in my Hotmail and I didn't think they ever cleared...?

My email is above though if you wish...

Cheers,
Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 02:41:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You could post your response in general.

If you prefer privater that is good for me as well.

DC

what are you doing up at this hour??

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>
>>It's up to you!

>>
>
>Seem to have lost your email, which is odd, as it was in my Hotmail and
|
>didn't think they ever cleared...?
>
>My email is above though if you wish...
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 03:15:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Deal.

Will contact you via email.

DC

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message [news:47a51483\\$1@linux...](news:47a51483$1@linux...)
>>
>> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>If I'm not mistaken there is a fair amount historical evidence that these
>>
>>>similarities do exist and therefore it is fair to ask the question...is
>>
>>>Jesus Christ the Son of God or is he just the latest version in a line
of
>>
>>>Deities that are based in ancient pagan worship.
>>>
>>>
>>>Don
>>
>> the slightest inquiry will dispel such ideas.
>>
>> start here:
>>

>> [http://www.amazon.com/dp/0310209307?tag=churchcommuni-20&link_code=as3&creativeASIN=0310209307&creative=3734 89&camp=211189](http://www.amazon.com/dp/0310209307?tag=churchcommuni-20&link_code=as3&creativeASIN=0310209307&creative=3734%2089&camp=211189)
>>
>> and here
>>
>> [http://www.amazon.com/Divine-Conspiracy-Rediscovering-Hidden-Life/dp/0060693339/ref=sr_1_5?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1 202000120&sr=1-5](http://www.amazon.com/Divine-Conspiracy-Rediscovering-Hidden-Life/dp/0060693339/ref=sr_1_5?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1202000120&sr=1-5)
>>
>> best,
>>
>> DC
>>
>
>
>And I thought having to buy a Bible was bad enough...tell you what Don...if

>you have these two books and are willing to lend them to me for a month

>(normally I'd say a week or two but there's some heavy family stuff
>happening right now) I'll pay the shipping both ways.

>
>Don
>
>
>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic! - My responses on general...

Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 03:16:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>You could post your response in general.

Done. :o)

Cheers,
Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 04:55:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow, I found that kind of hard to stop watching, but I have stuff to do.
I'll have to watch it in sections. I made it to the 30 minute mark, then I

had to get busy.

I will say that so far I already knew about a lot of the parallel "Son of God" myths from both my History of Western Civilisation classes, and, amazingly, my New Testament class. But I've never seen the pagan and astrological parallels presented in such detail. It's fascinating, and I wish I had the time to check out all the sources listed, but alas, there are only so many hours in a life.

I know it upsets believers to no end, and I'm sorry, but yes, there have been other legends of virgin-birthed saviours and their resurrections for thousands of years before the Christian one. And again, I'm sorry, but telling someone to "read the Bible" is not going to answer any questions about the history of it's construction, or the possible earlier outside influences on it's content.

In case you didn't know, the narration behind the little animation about the man in the sky who loves us is from George Carlin at one of his shows in New York. Clearly not a fan of religion. In fact, he may actually be Satan himself.

S

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message news:47a3f069\$1@linux...

>

> My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie

> (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).

>

> I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and

> argues with it's points. What do you folks think?

>

> Gantt

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 05:23:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dude, if my neighbor starts mowing his lawn on sunday mornings again, I will definitely stone him, and do so with impunity. Bible's orders.

S

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message

news:47a54c72\$1@linux...

>

> So, how did humans get "saved" before Jesus? We've been around for 40 or
> 50 or even 60 thousand years now and we have a fair amount of documentable
> history from the last 10 or 15 thousand. Who "saved" Lord Krishna? Who
> saved the Buddha? Did they and all their followers go to Hell because

> Jesus

> hadn't been born yet? I'm asking a serious question here - one that I

> don't

> think your bible can answer. I once asked a born again Christian friend
> what would happen to a Buddhist who had led a perfect Buddhist life - one
> of kindness and service to his (or her) fellow man, a life without fault
> - who had never been exposed to the Word of God as taught by Jesus the
> Christ?

> Would he go to Heaven or Hell? My friend replied that in order to enter
> the Kingdom of Heaven he would have to accept Jesus as his Lord and
> Saviour.

> I don't buy that. God has existed forever. Jesus existed 2000 years ago.

> God has never changed. Only our perceptions have changed. I maintain
> that

> the Perfect Buddhist would have gone where we all go - back to God. Call
> it Heaven if you like. We all go back there. There is no other place to
> go.

>

> I've never read the New Testament. Maybe someday I will. I have, however
> read much of the Torah, which is what we Jews call the Old Testament. I
> don't much agree with the parts that discuss who and how we can own other
> people as slaves or how much I can sell my daughter for or why I should
> stone

> someone to death who does work on the Sabbath. The Torah is allegedly the
> Word Of God too, isn't it? Jesus was a Jew. What do you suppose he
> believed?

> I've read a bit about the history of the Old Testament. It's interesting
> to see how and when the stories were organized into the form we now know
> it. It's also interesting to read the theories about who wrote the
> stories

> and what their social/political reasons might have been. Why would the
> writing

> of the New Testament be significantly different? And why should I accept
> any of these writings as the literal, pure and true Word Of God? You can
> argue faith and I accept that. Can you accept that my faith in God
> doesn't

> need a saviour named Jesus? And that I can accept the fact of Jesus'
> existance

> and the importance of his teachings without needing to believe that he was
> the son of God?

>

> Man! My apologies to everyone here! I started this thread to discuss

> 9-11
> conspiracy theories and international banker cabals! Honest! I'm even
> willing
> to throw a few Alien Invasion theories into the mix!
>
> Peace,
>
> Gantt
>
> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Faith is like trust, it's not always 100%, but you either have it or you
> don't.
>>
>>
>> It's easy to put things together and come to the wrong conclusion,
>> especially
>> if that's somebody's intention. They talk about light and darkness. They
>> talk about the mother of Jesus name starting with an M. You can't explain
>> Christianity with astrology. You could say that women's names back in
>> those
>> days started with an M because M names are a derivative of the name
>> Mother.
>> You could say that the name Daughter is from the word Dawn which means
> new
>> beginning and there for... bla bla bla. You can twist things around and
>> say this means this, and this means that, because bla bla bla. I suggest
>> you guys do a little research on all of these claims that all of religious
>> figures, all had mothers that were virgins and their name started with the
>> letter M, instead of taking their word for it. I suggest that those that
>> don't believe, read the bible for themselves a few times and decide for
> themselves.
>>
>> I'm not very good at this but I will try to explain the concept of light
>> and darkness. Darkness denies the truth. In total darkness there is no
>> truth. The light is the truth. If you were blind folded and taken in to
>> a room that was void of light, you would not know the truth. If you were
>> sitting at a table, you would not be able to tell me what is on the table,
>> or how big the room is. You would not know if the room was full of king
>> cobras or not. With light, you can see the truth. Being in darkness is
>> void of the truth. Being in darkness is ignorance of the truth. The
>> truth
>> is the light. To deny the truth is to be in darkness. When people deny
>> the truth, it's because they are in darkness and can't see the truth.
>> Many
>> want to keep you in the dark. many will twist the truth to deceive you
> and
>> keep you in the dark.

>>
>>God is the truth and the light. It's easy for people to knock something
>>they don't really know about, especially if they've only heard half the
> story.
>> You don't have to take my word for it, go seek the truth and read the
>> bible
>>for your self and you decide.
>>
>>
>>
>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>The answer to your last question is in the Bible,
>>>
>>>Now I don't know or care about this film...
>>>
>>>...but isn't the above kinda like stating that "You can find out whether
>>>GWB has been a good president by reading his press release"?
>>>
>>>Cheers,
>>>Kim.
>>
>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 05:58:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I watched it, and i thought the most interesting thing about the whole christianity (or "anti-") pitch is that they essentially dropped it - they just kinda let it go & failed to connect it with the back two parts of the movie... i don't get what they were trying to say, or why they even needed to include that.

...which leads me to believe it's just a rant... albeit with video.

Neil

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>
>"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:47a4edce\$1@linux...

>>
>> I knew I'd be sorry for opening this can of worms! It's not the first

>> part
>> of the Zeitgeist movie that concerns me but that's probably because I'm

>> not
>> a Christian. I hope you all can forgive me. I know God does. I believe
>> that God loves us all, no matter what we do or what we believe. I believe
>> that the hand of God has been at work in all the great religious
>> scriptures
>> - from the Bhagavad Gita to the Koran to the many versions of the Bible.
>> However i believe that all those books are the work of men (and maybe
>> some
>> women) and as such reflect their beliefs, opinions and agendas. And I

>> take
>> grave exception to anyone who believes that their Truth is more true than
>> mine.
>>
>> Anyway I think it's a shame that the Zeitgeist guys thought it best to

>> lead
>> with their section about Christianity. It seems to have almost guaranteed
>> that many Christians would stop watching and never see the second and

>> third
>> parts, which are the ones that most got me wondering about what really

>> happened
>> and what's really going on.
>>
>> Gantt
>>
>
>
>Actually the 911 stuff, the international banking community stuff, the
>military industrial complex stuff and little green alien stuff has been

>circulating around for a few years now.
>
>The main difference between those conspiracy theories and the Christian

>religion is there is history, documented evidence etc etc etc. to back up

>the "propoganda"
>
>

>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 06:09:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So, how did humans get "saved" before Jesus? We've been around for 40 or 50 or even 60 thousand years now and we have a fair amount of documentable history from the last 10 or 15 thousand. Who "saved" Lord Krishna? Who saved the Buddha? Did they and all their followers go to Hell because Jesus hadn't been born yet? I'm asking a serious question here - one that I don't think your bible can answer. I once asked a born again Christian friend what would happen to a Buddhist who had led a perfect Buddhist life - one of kindness and service to his (or her) fellow man, a life without fault - who had never been exposed to the Word of God as taught by Jesus the Christ? Would he go to Heaven or Hell? My friend replied that in order to enter the Kingdom of Heaven he would have to accept Jesus as his Lord and Saviour. I don't buy that. God has existed forever. Jesus existed 2000 years ago. God has never changed. Only our perceptions have changed. I maintain that the Perfect Buddhist would have gone where we all go - back to God. Call it Heaven if you like. We all go back there. There is no other place to go.

I've never read the New Testament. Maybe someday I will. I have, however read much of the Torah, which is what we Jews call the Old Testament. I don't much agree with the parts that discuss who and how we can own other people as slaves or how much I can sell my daughter for or why I should stone someone to death who does work on the Sabbath. The Torah is allegedly the Word Of God too, isn't it? Jesus was a Jew. What do you suppose he believed? I've read a bit about the history of the Old Testament. It's interesting to see how and when the stories were organized into the form we now know it. It's also interesting to read the theories about who wrote the stories and what their social/political reasons might have been. Why would the writing of the New Testament be significantly different? And why should I accept any of these writings as the literal, pure and true Word Of God? You can argue faith and I accept that. Can you accept that my faith in God doesn't need a saviour named Jesus? And that I can accept the fact of Jesus' existence and the importance of his teachings without needing to believe that he was the son of God?

Man! My apologies to everyone here! I started this thread to discuss 9-11 conspiracy theories and international banker cabals! Honest! I'm even willing to throw a few Alien Invasion theories into the mix!

Peace,

Gantt

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>Faith is like trust, it's not always 100%, but you either have it or you don't.

>

>

>It's easy to put things together and come to the wrong conclusion, especially
>if that's somebody's intention. They talk about light and darkness. They
>talk about the mother of Jesus name starting with an M. You can't explain
>Christianity with astrology. You could say that women's names back in those
>days started with an M because M names are a derivative of the name Mother.
> You could say that the name Daughter is from the word Dawn which means
new

>beginning and there for... bla bla bla. You can twist things around and
>say this means this, and this means that, because bla bla bla. I suggest
>you guys do a little research on all of these claims that all of religious
>figures, all had mothers that were virgins and their name started with the
>letter M, instead of taking their word for it. I suggest that those that
>don't believe, read the bible for themselves a few times and decide for
themselves.

>

>I'm not vary good at this but I will try to explain the concept of light
>and darkness. Darkness denies the truth. In total darkness there is no
>truth. The light is the truth. If you were blind folded and taken in to
>a room that was void of light, you would not know the truth. If you were
>sitting at a table, you would not be able to tell me what is on the table,
>or how big the room is. You would not know if the room was full of king
>cobras or not. With light, you can see the truth. Being in darkness is
>void of the truth. Being in darkness is ignorance of the truth. The truth
>is the light. To deny the truth is to be in darkness. When people deny
>the truth, it's because they are in darkness and can't see the truth. Many
>>want to keep you in the dark. many will twist the truth to deceive you
and

>keep you in the dark.

>

>God is the truth and the light. It's easy for people to knock something
>they don't really know about, especially if they've only heard half the
story.

> You don't have to take my word for it, go seek the truth and read the bible
>for your self and you decide.

>

>

>

>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>>The answer to your last question is in the Bible,
>>
>>Now I don't know or care about this film...
>>
>>...but isn't the above kinda like stating that "You can find out whether
>>GWB has been a good president by reading his press release"?
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Kim.
>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 07:05:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well . . . mustn't we? I mean seriously . . .

S

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a5690b\$1@linux...

>
> Wasn't it you who said:
>
>
> Everybody must get stoned...
>
>
> Sorry...

>
> DC

>
>
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahthonin.com> wrote:

>>Dude, if my neighbor starts mowing his lawn on sunday mornings again, I
> will
>>definitely stone him, and do so with impunity. Bible's orders.

>>
>>S

>>
>>
>>"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:47a54c72\$1@linux...

>>>
>>> So, how did humans get "saved" before Jesus? We've been around for 40

> or
>>> 50 or even 60 thousand years now and we have a fair amount of
>>> documentable
>>> history from the last 10 or 15 thousand. Who "saved" Lord Krishna? Who
>>> saved the Buddha? Did they and all their followers go to Hell because
>
>>> Jesus
>>> hadn't been born yet? I'm asking a serious question here - one that I
>
>>> don't
>>> think your bible can answer. I once asked a born again Christian friend
>>> what would happen to a Buddhist who had led a perfect Buddhist life -
> one
>>> of kindness and service to his (or her) fellow man, a life without fault
>>> - who had never been exposed to the Word of God as taught by Jesus the
>
>>> Christ?
>>> Would he go to Heaven or Hell? My friend replied that in order to enter
>>> the Kingdom of Heaven he would have to accept Jesus as his Lord and
>>> Saviour.
>>> I don't buy that. God has existed forever. Jesus existed 2000 years
> ago.
>>> God has never changed. Only our perceptions have changed. I maintain
>
>>> that
>>> the Perfect Buddhist would have gone where we all go - back to God.
>>> Call
>>> it Heaven if you like. We all go back there. There is no other place
> to
>>> go.
>>>
>>> I've never read the New Testament. Maybe someday I will. I have,
>>> however
>>> read much of the Torah, which is what we Jews call the Old Testament.
> I
>>> don't much agree with the parts that discuss who and how we can own
>>> other
>>> people as slaves or how much I can sell my daughter for or why I should
>
>>> stone
>>> someone to death who does work on the Sabbath. The Torah is allegedly
> the
>>> Word Of God too, isn't it? Jesus was a Jew. What do you suppose he
>>> believed?
>>> I've read a bit about the history of the Old Testament. It's
>>> interesting
>>> to see how and when the stories were organized into the form we now know
>>> it. It's also interesting to read the theories about who wrote the

>>> stories
>>> and what their social/political reasons might have been. Why would the
>
>>> writing
>>> of the New Testament be significantly different? And why should I
>>> accept
>>> any of these writings as the literal, pure and true Word Of God? You
> can
>>> argue faith and I accept that. Can you accept that my faith in God
>>> doesn't
>>> need a saviour named Jesus? And that I can accept the fact of Jesus'
>
>>> existance
>>> and the importance of his teachings without needing to believe that he
> was
>>> the son of God?
>>>
>>> Man! My apologies to everyone here! I started this thread to discuss
>
>>> 9-11
>>> conspiracy theories and international banker cabals! Honest! I'm even
>
>>> willing
>>> to throw a few Alien Invasion theories into the mix!
>>>
>>> Peace,
>>>
>>> Gantt
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Faith is like trust, it's not always 100%, but you either have it or you
>>>> don't.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It's easy to put things together and come to the wrong conclusion,
>>>> especially
>>>> if that's somebody's intention. They talk about light and darkness.
> They
>>>> talk about the mother of Jesus name starting with an M. You can't
>>>> explain
>>>> Christianity with astrology. You could say that women's names back in
>
>>>> those
>>>> days started with an M because M names are a derivative of the name
>>>> Mother.
>>>> You could say that the name Daughter is from the word Dawn which means
>>> new

>>>>beginning and there for... bla bla bla. You can twist things around
> and
>>>>say this means this, and this means that, because bla bla bla. I
>>>>suggest
>>>>you guys do a little research on all of these claims that all of
>>>>religious
>>>>figures, all had mothers that were virgins and their name started with
> the
>>>>letter M, instead of taking their word for it. I suggest that those
>>>>that
>>>>don't believe, read the bible for themselves a few times and decide for
>>> themselves.
>>>>
>>>>I'm not vary good at this but I will try to explain the concept of light
>>>>and darkness. Darkness denies the truth. In total darkness there is
> no
>>>>truth. The light is the truth. If you were blind folded and taken in
> to
>>>>a room that was void of light, you would not know the truth. If you
>>>>were
>>>>sitting at a table, you would not be able to tell me what is on the
>>>>table,
>>>>or how big the room is. You would not know if the room was full of king
>>>>cobras or not. With light, you can see the truth. Being in darkness
> is
>>>>void of the truth. Being in darkness is ignorance of the truth. The
>
>>>>truth
>>>>is the light. To deny the truth is to be in darkness. When people deny
>>>>the truth, it's because they are in darkness and can't see the truth.
>
>>>>Many
>>>>want to keep you in the dark. many will twist the truth to deceive you
>>> and
>>>>keep you in the dark.
>>>>
>>>>God is the truth and the light. It's easy for people to knock something
>>>>they don't really know about, especially if they've only heard half the
>>> story.
>>>> You don't have to take my word for it, go seek the truth and read the
>
>>>> bible
>>>>for your self and you decide.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>

is will they follow it?

>I once asked a born again Christian friend
>what would happen to a Buddhist who had led a perfect Buddhist life - one
>of kindness and service to his (or her) fellow man, a life without fault
>- who had never been exposed to the Word of God as taught by Jesus the
Christ?
> Would he go to Heaven or Hell? My friend replied that in order to enter
>the Kingdom of Heaven he would have to accept Jesus as his Lord and Saviour.
> I don't buy that.

Well your buddhist friend doesn't believe in a personal
God that may be know, nor do they believe in salvation,
so it could not have been a big issue for them.

Personally, I believe that we assent to the presence of God
in our lives, not the name of Jesus, like a magic phrase.

Follow that presence, and you will come to the cross eventually
if that is God's will. No one goes to hell for not saying the
name. Not in my understanding.

>God has existed forever. Jesus existed 2000 years ago.

The Word became flesh and dwelt among us.

> God has never changed. Only our perceptions have changed. I maintain
that
>the Perfect Buddhist would have gone where we all go - back to God. Call
>it Heaven if you like. We all go back there. There is no other place to
>go.
>
>I've never read the New Testament. Maybe someday I will. I have, however
>read much of the Torah, which is what we Jews call the Old Testament.

I am part Jewish. Read the new Testament sometime.

>I don't much agree with the parts that discuss who and how we can own other
>people as slaves or how much I can sell my daughter for or why I should
stone
>someone to death who does work on the Sabbath. The Torah is allegedly the
>Word Of God too, isn't it?

The concepts of God, may be found there, but it was written

by men. I do not believe in word for word inspiration.

>Jesus was a Jew. What do you suppose he believed?

Read the New Testament and see.

> I've read a bit about the history of the Old Testament. It's interesting
>to see how and when the stories were organized into the form we now know
>it. It's also interesting to read the theories about who wrote the stories
>and what their social/political reasons might have been. Why would the
writing
>of the New Testament be significantly different? And why should I accept
>any of these writings as the literal, pure and true Word Of God?

Start with reading it. then, if you have specific issues, let's talk.

>You can
>argue faith and I accept that. Can you accept that my faith in God doesn't
>need a saviour named Jesus?

Already did. It is not my place to consign anyone to hell, but to point them to the truest expression of God's desire for us. Jesus.

>And that I can accept the fact of Jesus' existence
>and the importance of his teachings without needing to believe that he was
>the son of God?

Then you reject his teachings.

>Man! My apologies to everyone here! I started this thread to discuss 9-11
>conspiracy theories and international banker cabals! Honest! I'm even
willing
>to throw a few Alien Invasion theories into the mix!

Well, there is a lot more substance here isn't there?

At least I think so.

best,

DC

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 08:11:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wasn't it you who said:

Everybody must get stoned...

Sorry...

DC

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Dude, if my neighbor starts mowing his lawn on sunday mornings again, I
will
>definitely stone him, and do so with impunity. Bible's orders.

>
>S

>
>

>"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:47a54c72\$1@linux...

>>

>> So, how did humans get "saved" before Jesus? We've been around for 40
or

>> 50 or even 60 thousand years now and we have a fair amount of documentable
>> history from the last 10 or 15 thousand. Who "saved" Lord Krishna? Who
>> saved the Buddha? Did they and all their followers go to Hell because

>> Jesus

>> hadn't been born yet? I'm asking a serious question here - one that I

>> don't

>> think your bible can answer. I once asked a born again Christian friend
>> what would happen to a Buddhist who had led a perfect Buddhist life -
one

>> of kindness and service to his (or her) fellow man, a life without fault
>> - who had never been exposed to the Word of God as taught by Jesus the

>> Christ?

>> Would he go to Heaven or Hell? My friend replied that in order to enter
>> the Kingdom of Heaven he would have to accept Jesus as his Lord and
>> Saviour.
>> I don't buy that. God has existed forever. Jesus existed 2000 years
ago.
>> God has never changed. Only our perceptions have changed. I maintain

>> that
>> the Perfect Buddhist would have gone where we all go - back to God. Call
>> it Heaven if you like. We all go back there. There is no other place
to
>> go.
>>
>> I've never read the New Testament. Maybe someday I will. I have, however
>> read much of the Torah, which is what we Jews call the Old Testament.
I
>> don't much agree with the parts that discuss who and how we can own other
>> people as slaves or how much I can sell my daughter for or why I should

>> stone
>> someone to death who does work on the Sabbath. The Torah is allegedly
the
>> Word Of God too, isn't it? Jesus was a Jew. What do you suppose he
>> believed?
>> I've read a bit about the history of the Old Testament. It's interesting
>> to see how and when the stories were organized into the form we now know
>> it. It's also interesting to read the theories about who wrote the
>> stories
>> and what their social/political reasons might have been. Why would the

>> writing
>> of the New Testament be significantly different? And why should I accept
>> any of these writings as the literal, pure and true Word Of God? You
can
>> argue faith and I accept that. Can you accept that my faith in God
>> doesn't
>> need a saviour named Jesus? And that I can accept the fact of Jesus'

>> existence
>> and the importance of his teachings without needing to believe that he
was
>> the son of God?
>>
>> Man! My apologies to everyone here! I started this thread to discuss

>> 9-11
>> conspiracy theories and international banker cabals! Honest! I'm even

>> willing
>> to throw a few Alien Invasion theories into the mix!
>>
>> Peace,
>>
>> Gantt
>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> Faith is like trust, it's not always 100%, but you either have it or you
>> don't.
>>>
>>>
>>> It's easy to put things together and come to the wrong conclusion,
>>> especially
>>> if that's somebody's intention. They talk about light and darkness.
They
>>> talk about the mother of Jesus name starting with an M. You can't explain
>>> Christianity with astrology. You could say that women's names back in

>>> those
>>> days started with an M because M names are a derivative of the name
>>> Mother.
>>> You could say that the name Daughter is from the word Dawn which means
>> new
>>> beginning and there for... bla bla bla. You can twist things around
and
>>> say this means this, and this means that, because bla bla bla. I suggest
>>> you guys do a little research on all of these claims that all of religious
>>> figures, all had mothers that were virgins and their name started with
the
>>> letter M, instead of taking their word for it. I suggest that those that
>>> don't believe, read the bible for themselves a few times and decide for
>> themselves.
>>>
>>> I'm not very good at this but I will try to explain the concept of light
>>> and darkness. Darkness denies the truth. In total darkness there is
no
>>> truth. The light is the truth. If you were blind folded and taken in
to
>>> a room that was void of light, you would not know the truth. If you were
>>> sitting at a table, you would not be able to tell me what is on the table,
>>> or how big the room is. You would not know if the room was full of king
>>> cobras or not. With light, you can see the truth. Being in darkness
is
>>> void of the truth. Being in darkness is ignorance of the truth. The

>>> truth

>>>is the light. To deny the truth is to be in darkness. When people deny
>>>the truth, it's because they are in darkness and can't see the truth.

>>>Many

>>>want to keep you in the dark. many will twist the truth to deceive you
>> and

>>>keep you in the dark.

>>>

>>>God is the truth and the light. It's easy for people to knock something
>>>they don't really know about, especially if they've only heard half the
>> story.

>>> You don't have to take my word for it, go seek the truth and read the

>>> bible

>>>for your self and you decide.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>>The answer to your last question is in the Bible,

>>>>

>>>>>Now I don't know or care about this film...

>>>>

>>>>>...but isn't the above kinda like stating that "You can find out whether

>>>>>GWB has been a good president by reading his press release"?

>>>>

>>>>>Cheers,

>>>>>Kim.

>>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!

Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 08:32:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Well . . . mustn't we? I mean seriously . . .

Good point. It is 6:17 Sunday evening here... ;o)

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by Erling on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 10:14:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gantt, don't be sorry for the can of worms you have opened;-)
As a born in Christian from my start of life, growing up with the bible in hand in my youth, as the only truth, observing what's happening around me with all kind of humans I've met on my way, I can't say I've seen the light in a religious way after over 60 years of living. For me, there's only three truths: The first, when we were born - the last, when the death is coming - the second, in the middle of the first and last, we, the humans are for the most running confused around trying to find the meaning with the curios life. For me, all religions are cultural, human made after million of years with humans speculations and searches for the good life, where new generations growing up, allways want to speculate their own ways over the good and bad things. Maybe I can cook it down to some small but big things in life to take care of, that seems to work for me:
If you knows how it is to be in love with someone, be sure to love yourself as much as you can love these someones around you. Then you are making good steps to make things good for all around your way.

Just an opinion that I'm living well with:-)

Erling

On 3 Feb 2008 09:25:18 +1000, "Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>I knew I'd be sorry for opening this can of works! It's not the first part
>of the Zeitgeist movie that concerns me but that's probably because I'm not
>a Christian. I hope you all can forgive me. I know God does. I believe
>that God loves us all, no matter what we do or what we believe. I believe
>that the hand of God has been at work in all the great religious scriptures
>- from the Bhagavad Gita to the Koran to the many versions of the Bible.
> However i believe that all those books are the work of men (and maybe some
>>women) and as such reflect their beliefs, opinions and agendas. And I take
>grave exception to anyone who believes that their Truth is more true than
>mine.
>
>Anyway I think it's a shame that the Zeitgeist guys thought it best to lead
>with their section about Christianity. It seems to have almost guaranteed
>that many Christians would stop watching and never see the second and third
>parts, which are the ones that most got me wondering about what really happened
>and what's really going on.
>
>Gantt

>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:47a3fe46\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> I don't know what you believe, but the devil will deceive you.
>>>
>>>
>>Don, read the bible. I would recommend an easy to read version based on
>>the King James Bible. This way, you can come to your own conclusions, besides,
>>it's the number one selling book in the world. I would think it would be
>>logical to read the number one selling book in the world to see for yourself
>>what all the buzz is about. If you read it, you will find something in
>there
>>for you.
>>
>>
>>James
>>>I don't know what you believe either but I hope you're not serious
>>>
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 12:26:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:47a54cba@linux...

<snip>

>
> In case you didn't know, the narration behind the little animation about
> the man in the sky who loves us is from George Carlin at one of his shows
> in New York. Clearly not a fan of religion. In fact, he may actually be
> Satan himself.
>
> S

Not George!

:-)

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 12:39:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The arguments for a 911, Central Banking and Political conspiracies have been quite compelling. The problem is they are based on a little bit of evidence and a whole lot of supposition. On the other hand the similarities between Jesus and other ancient Deities are actually based on historical evidence and that is what makes the Christian religion so vulnerable.

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:47a3f069\$1@linux...

>
> My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie
> (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).
>
> I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and
> argues with it's points. What do you folks think?
>
> Gantt

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 16:15:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:47a5e903@linux...

>
> I'm sorry. I'm starting to sound like a fanatic too. I'll try to stop.
>
> So - who do you guys like for the Superbowl?
>
> gantt
>

lots of popcorn

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 16:53:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aargh! I tried interleaving responses but it gets too complicated after awhile.

Anyway, I find it confusing that your faith makes the New Testament the

Word of God but the Torah is only the words of men, in spite of the faith of millions of Jews throughout history. I get faith. I know of Jews who survived the concentration camps and kept their faith. I do not get faith that precludes the possibility of others having equally valid spiritual paths that will ultimately lead them back to the same place - God. I know orthodox Jews who feel that way too. Sorry - I pass. I don't believe in satan or hell. I believe in Karma. I believe in right and wrong. And I believe we all go back to God. There is no place else to go. And I resent the fact that many Christians find it necessary to try to convince people like me that we are wrong and they are right. Why can't we all be right? Is this "One Truth" dogma written into the New Testament or is it an interpretation?

Gantt

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 17:17:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm sorry. I'm starting to sound like a fanatic too. I'll try to stop.

So - who do you guys like for the Superbowl?

gantt

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>Aargh! I tried interleaving responses but it gets too complicated after awhile.

>

>Anyway, I find it confusing that your faith makes the New Testament the

>Word of God but the Torah is only the words of men, in spite of the faith

>of millions of Jews throughout history. I get faith. I know of Jews who

>survived the concentration camps and kept their faith. I do not get faith

>that precludes the possibility of others having equally valid spiritual paths

>that will ultimately lead them back to the same place - God. I know orthodox

>Jews who feel that way too. Sorry - I pass. I don't believe in satan or

>hell. I believe in Karma. I believe in right and wrong. And I believe

>we all go back to God. There is no place else to go. And I resent the fact

>that many Christians find it necessary to try to convince people like me

>that we are wrong and they are right. Why can't we all be right? Is this

>"One Truth" dogma written into the New Testament or is it an interpretation?

>

>

>Gantt

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [TCB](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 18:42:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Part I = Comparative Religion 110. Yes, most religions nick something from other, usually local and popular religions. The 'big three' these days come from roughly the same place, so will show some similarities. Keep in mind there are 850 million Hindus in the world and a billion plus Chinese who have no interest in virgin birth or third day resurrction.

Part II = Pretty unconvincing. I'll believe conspiracy explanations that make sense, but I don't see the CIA or some other rogue element in the US government creating 9/11, mostly because they have shown insufficient operational art to manage much simpler operations overseas. For me much more interetsting is the publicly available and widely ignored evidence of the US involvement in Pakistan and the jihadis against the Soviets, which led directly to what we now call al-Queda. The CIA calls it 'blowback,' and that's all it was.

<http://www.counterpunch.org/physic11282006.html>

<http://www.counterpunch.org/thermo11282006.html>

<http://www.counterpunch.org/darkfire11282006.html>

Part III = Yes, Woodrow Wilson was a bastard, but someone has to print the money and why back it with gold? Let's back our money with wheat, we have a hell of a lot more of it than we do gold. 'This bill can be converted into one tenth of a bushel of wheat.' Wait, that's what we are doing, we're backing our money with the robustness of our economy, be that wheat, cars, software, movies, or something else. There are serious problems in the economy and credit markets right now, and Wall Street is one (but far from the only) party culpable, but I don't see how the gold standard would fix any of this. Yes, FDR wanted us in WW II, and we know now that he provoked Japanese as much as possible. This is old news. Vietnam was a war we lost, because the other side was smarter, more careful, and more savvy. That is, the won and we lost. Then it all just gets weird.

I'm still looking for good advice about portable mics and recorders.

TCB

P.S. Took a lit crit seminar with a Rockefeller at Yale. Not the sharpest knife in the drawer, just sayin.

P.P.S. I really would like good advice for good remote recording gear.

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).
>
>I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and
>argues with it's points. What do you folks think?
>
>Gantt

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 19:03:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>Aargh! I tried interleaving responses but it gets too complicated after
awhile.
>
>Anyway, I find it confusing that your faith makes the New Testament the
>Word of God but the Torah is only the words of men, in spite of the faith
>of millions of Jews throughout history. I get faith. I know of Jews who
>survived the concentration camps and kept their faith. I do not get faith
>that precludes the possibility of others having equally valid spiritual
paths
>that will ultimately lead them back to the same place - God. I know orthodox
>Jews who feel that way too. Sorry - I pass. I don't believe in satan or
>hell. I believe in Karma. I believe in right and wrong. And I believe
>we all go back to God. There is no place else to go. And I resent the
fact
>that many Christians find it necessary to try to convince people like me
>that we are wrong and they are right. Why can't we all be right? Is this
>"One Truth" dogma written into the New Testament or is it an interpretation?
>
>
>Gantt

Why don't you read it for yourself and find out?

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 19:12:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>I'm still looking for good advice about portable mics and recorders.
>P.P.S. I really would like good advice for good remote recording gear.

Thadster - sounds like one of those porta-recorders with the built-in stereo mics that the other guys pointed out would be the best way to go, but if you want a separate mic(s), what about the Rode NT-4? I understand it's essentially two NT-5's in a convenient X-Y package.

If you want to go upscale, then I'd highly recommend Earthworks, I have a pair of the TC-30's and they really sound natural, clear... in a word, awesome. They don't have anything in a configuration like the NT-4, though.

Neil

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 19:17:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry - I missed your first post. How many tracks do you need? I've read some good reviews about these guys:

<http://aes.harmony-central.com/121AES/Content/Korg/PR/MR-1-M R-1000.html>

Gantt

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>I'm still looking for good advice about portable mics and recorders.

>

>TCB

>

>P.S. Took a lit crit seminar with a Rockefeller at Yale. Not the sharpest
>knife in the drawer, just sayin.

>

>P.P.S. I really would like good advice for good remote recording gear.

>

>"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>>

>>My wife and I just finished watching the Zeitgeist Movie (<http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>).

>>

>>I'm still digesting it. I've already seen a lot online that refutes and
>>argues with it's points. What do you folks think?

>>

>>Gantt

>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 21:23:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:
> Why can't we all be right? Is this
>"One Truth" dogma written into the New Testament or is it an interpretation?

There's always the old "If God made us, and God is perfect, surely he meant it to be like this". Apparently He could come and fix it all if He wanted.

Then again sometimes I think we live on the virtual Earth... a filtration and training ground for lost souls. ;o)

Oh, so many questions, so little time. ;o)

Cheers,
Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 22:40:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Once heard a writer named Annie Lamott say "God loves me exactly the way I am and She loves me too much to let me stay this way"...

Gantt

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>There's always the old "If God made us, and God is perfect, surely he meant
>it to be like this". Apparently He could come and fix it all if He wanted.
>
>Then again sometimes I think we live on the virtual Earth... a filtration
>and training ground for lost souls. ;o)
>
>Oh, so many questions, so little time. ;o)
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 04 Feb 2008 01:06:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>Aargh! I tried interleaving responses but it gets too complicated after awhile.

>

>Anyway, I find it confusing that your faith makes the New Testament the
>Word of God but the Torah is only the words of men, in spite of the faith
>of millions of Jews throughout history.

Whoa! Never did that. I believe in thought inspiration and the words of men, in both the Torah and the NT.

>I get faith. I know of Jews who
>survived the concentration camps and kept their faith. I do not get faith
>that precludes the possibility of others having equally valid spiritual
paths
>that will ultimately lead them back to the same place - God.

Can I agree that there are many paths, but they are not all equally valid? At least in the sense that some reflect reality more than others?

I think the faithful Hindu child goes to heaven, while think the adult Hindu who encounters Jesus and rejects him perhaps does not.

>I know orthodox
>Jews who feel that way too. Sorry - I pass. I don't believe in satan or
>hell.

I have seen Satan at work. I can't deny it.

>I believe in Karma. I believe in right and wrong. And I believe
>we all go back to God. There is no place else to go. And I resent the
fact
>that many Christians find it necessary to try to convince people like me
>that we are wrong and they are right. Why can't we all be right?

What concerns me is the resentment. If you feel you are right, I will take a look, but if I believe myself to be right about this, at least on some fundamental level, while perhaps missing some details, you are angry?

Wow. David Horowitz said: "Look eve Christians get to have

a religion".

Works for me.

>Is this

>"One Truth" dogma written into the New Testament or is it an interpretation?

From John 14:

Jesus saith unto him, I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me. If ye had known me, ye should have known my Father also: and from henceforth ye know him, and have seen him.

I interpret this to mean that God's spirit is at work in all people, but that spirit, if followed leads to the cross.

I neither condemn others to hell, nor accept that all are equally valid.

DC

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 04 Feb 2008 01:08:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Kim" <hidensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>There's always the old "If God made us, and God is perfect, surely he meant >it to be like this". Apparently He could come and fix it all if He wanted.

Ah, but there's that old free-choice thing.

Of course he could fix it, but it would be the end of us. He prefers we make a choice to follow him and a choice for renewal.

You are tilting at a Christianity of your own construction.

DC

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 04 Feb 2008 01:41:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>Ah, but there's that old free-choice thing.

Oh, I know. ;o)

>Of course he could fix it, but it would be the end of us. He prefers
>we make a choice to follow him and a choice for renewal.

Well sure, but that does call into question whether he designed my soul,
or just my physical being. If he designed my actual soul then he did, in
fact, design the way in which I make decisions. If he designed how I make
decisions then once again "free choice" is questionable.

>You are tilting at a Christianity of your own construction.

I'd suggest that I have my own beliefs of what does and does not make sense
and I am tested Christianity against them.

Cheers,
Kim.

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 04 Feb 2008 01:53:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Well sure, but that does call into question whether he designed my soul,
>or just my physical being. If he designed my actual soul then he did, in
>fact, design the way in which I make decisions. If he designed how I make
>decisions then once again "free choice" is questionable.

But we all have fallen souls. If you are going to discuss
Christianity, you must at least represent our views and not
assign us views we do not hold.

God gave man free choice, at least to the extent that we
could choose not to follow him. Like a marriage, that is
the only meaningful love.

If your wife becomes a heroin addict, what would you do to save
her?

Have you seen the movie "What Dreams May Come?"

(You would LOVE it. Go rent it)

For God so loved the world, he sent his only begotten Son..

He paid the price to give us the option of redemption. You do see the need for this don't you?

>>You are tilting at a Christianity of your own construction.

>I'd suggest that I have my own beliefs of what does and does not make sense
>and I am tested Christianity against them.

It is not Christianity. It is a straw man.

DC

ps let's stay on general. We have been nice to each other, and will continue in that vein, but let's give the audio folks a break

take care

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Mon, 04 Feb 2008 21:02:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't have anything much to say about the movie or this discussion, except to make a small correction or clarification: the Budha referred to in the movie is not Gautama Buddha, but The Hindu god of Mercury.

Gautama Buddha (the Buddha we usually think of in the west) was very clear in saying he was not a son of god, nor a god at all, but he was a man who had achieved a spiritual peace and enlightenment for himself and he was trying to help other men and women to achieve their own betterment and peace. Neither was he born to a virgin on Dec 25 nor any of the other claimed similarities in the movie.

In reality he was historically the most influential spiritual leader of recorded history, having had his teachings used by a much larger population for a much longer time period than any other, with the effect of a great dissemination of more peaceful civilization. Also, he did not as was mentioned in this NG, offer hope of a heaven or other place, but of an improved existence on Earth in the next reincarnated life. Also he did not say we have souls, but that we ARE souls or spiritual beings (seemingly a small point but clearly significant in practice or

application).

Buddha was quite a dude.

Gantt Kushner wrote:

> So, how did humans get "saved" before Jesus? We've been around for 40 or
> 50 or even 60 thousand years now and we have a fair amount of documentable
> history from the last 10 or 15 thousand. Who "saved" Lord Krishna? Who
> saved the Buddha? Did they and all their followers go to Hell because Jesus
> hadn't been born yet? I'm asking a serious question here - one that I don't
> think your bible can answer. I once asked a born again Christian friend
> what would happen to a Buddhist who had led a perfect Buddhist life - one
> of kindness and service to his (or her) fellow man, a life without fault
> - who had never been exposed to the Word of God as taught by Jesus the Christ?
> Would he go to Heaven or Hell? My friend replied that in order to enter
> the Kingdom of Heaven he would have to accept Jesus as his Lord and Saviour.
> I don't buy that. God has existed forever. Jesus existed 2000 years ago.
> God has never changed. Only our perceptions have changed. I maintain that
> the Perfect Buddhist would have gone where we all go - back to God. Call
> it Heaven if you like. We all go back there. There is no other place to
> go.

>
> I've never read the New Testament. Maybe someday I will. I have, however
> read much of the Torah, which is what we Jews call the Old Testament. I
> don't much agree with the parts that discuss who and how we can own other
> people as slaves or how much I can sell my daughter for or why I should stone
> someone to death who does work on the Sabbath. The Torah is allegedly the
> Word Of God too, isn't it? Jesus was a Jew. What do you suppose he believed?
> I've read a bit about the history of the Old Testament. It's interesting
> to see how and when the stories were organized into the form we now know
> it. It's also interesting to read the theories about who wrote the stories
> and what their social/political reasons might have been. Why would the writing
> of the New Testament be significantly different? And why should I accept
> any of these writings as the literal, pure and true Word Of God? You can
> argue faith and I accept that. Can you accept that my faith in God doesn't
> need a saviour named Jesus? And that I can accept the fact of Jesus' existence
> and the importance of his teachings without needing to believe that he was
> the son of God?

>
> Man! My apologies to everyone here! I started this thread to discuss 9-11
> conspiracy theories and international banker cabals! Honest! I'm even willing
> to throw a few Alien Invasion theories into the mix!

>
> Peace,

>
> Gantt

>
> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Faith is like trust, it's not always 100%, but you either have it or you
> don't.
>>
>> It's easy to put things together and come to the wrong conclusion, especially
>> if that's somebody's intention. They talk about light and darkness. They
>> talk about the mother of Jesus name starting with an M. You can't explain
>> Christianity with astrology. You could say that women's names back in those
>> days started with an M because M names are a derivative of the name Mother.
>> You could say that the name Daughter is from the word Dawn which means
> new
>> beginning and there for... bla bla bla. You can twist things around and
>> say this means this, and this means that, because bla bla bla. I suggest
>> you guys do a little research on all of these claims that all of religious
>> figures, all had mothers that were virgins and their name started with the
>> letter M, instead of taking their word for it. I suggest that those that
>> don't believe, read the bible for themselves a few times and decide for
> themselves.
>> I'm not vary good at this but I will try to explain the concept of light
>> and darkness. Darkness denies the truth. In total darkness there is no
>> truth. The light is the truth. If you were blind folded and taken in to
>> a room that was void of light, you would not know the truth. If you were
>> sitting at a table, you would not be able to tell me what is on the table,
>> or how big the room is. You would not know if the room was full of king
>> cobras or not. With light, you can see the truth. Being in darkness is
>> void of the truth. Being in darkness is ignorance of the truth. The truth
>> is the light. To deny the truth is to be in darkness. When people deny
>> the truth, it's because they are in darkness and can't see the truth. Many
>> want to keep you in the dark. many will twist the truth to deceive you
> and
>> keep you in the dark.
>>
>> God is the truth and the light. It's easy for people to knock something
>> they don't really know about, especially if they've only heard half the
> story.
>> You don't have to take my word for it, go seek the truth and read the bible
>> for your self and you decide.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> The answer to your last question is in the Bible,
>>>> Now I don't know or care about this film...
>>>>
>>>> ...but isn't the above kinda like stating that "You can find out whether
>>>> GWB has been a good president by reading his press release"?
>>>>

>>> Cheers,
>>> Kim.
>

Subject: Re: TOTALLY off topic!
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 05:00:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Bill,

This topic got pretty active on the "General" group.

Gantt

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>I don't have anything much to say about the movie or this discussion,
>except to make a small correction or clarification: the Budha referred
>to in the movie is not Gautama Buddha, but The Hindu god of Mercury.

>

>Gautama Buddha (the Buddha we usually think of in the west) was very
>clear in saying he was not a son of god, nor a god at all, but he was a

>man who had achieved a spiritual peace and enlightenment for himself and

>he was trying to help other men and women to achieve their own
>betterment and peace. Neither was he born to a virgin on Dec 25 nor any

>of the other claimed similarities in the movie.

>

>In reality he was historically the most influential spiritual leader of

>recorded history, having had his teachings used by a much larger
>population for a much longer time period than any other, with the effect

>of a great dissemination of more peaceful civilization. Also, he did not

>as was mentioned in this NG, offer hope of a heaven or other place, but

>of an improved existence on Earth in the next reincarnated life. Also he

>did not say we have souls, but that we ARE souls or spiritual beings

>(seemingly a small point but clearly significant in practice or
>application).

>

>Buddha was quite a dude.

>

>Gantt Kushner wrote:

>> So, how did humans get "saved" before Jesus? We've been around for 40 or
>> 50 or even 60 thousand years now and we have a fair amount of documentable
>> history from the last 10 or 15 thousand. Who "saved" Lord Krishna? Who
>> saved the Buddha? Did they and all their followers go to Hell because
Jesus
>> hadn't been born yet? I'm asking a serious question here - one that I
don't
>> think your bible can answer. I once asked a born again Christian friend
>> what would happen to a Buddhist who had led a perfect Buddhist life -
one
>> of kindness and service to his (or her) fellow man, a life without fault
>> - who had never been exposed to the Word of God as taught by Jesus the
Christ?
>> Would he go to Heaven or Hell? My friend replied that in order to enter
>> the Kingdom of Heaven he would have to accept Jesus as his Lord and Saviour.
>> I don't buy that. God has existed forever. Jesus existed 2000 years
ago.
>> God has never changed. Only our perceptions have changed. I maintain
that
>> the Perfect Buddhist would have gone where we all go - back to God. Call
>> it Heaven if you like. We all go back there. There is no other place
to
>> go.
>>
>> I've never read the New Testament. Maybe someday I will. I have, however
>> read much of the Torah, which is what we Jews call the Old Testament.
I
>> don't much agree with the parts that discuss who and how we can own other
>> people as slaves or how much I can sell my daughter for or why I should
stone
>> someone to death who does work on the Sabbath. The Torah is allegedly
the
>> Word Of God too, isn't it? Jesus was a Jew. What do you suppose he believed?
>> I've read a bit about the history of the Old Testament. It's interesting
>> to see how and when the stories were organized into the form we now know
>> it. It's also interesting to read the theories about who wrote the stories
>> and what their social/political reasons might have been. Why would the
writing
>> of the New Testament be significantly different? And why should I accept
>> any of these writings as the literal, pure and true Word Of God? You
can
>> argue faith and I accept that. Can you accept that my faith in God doesn't
>> need a saviour named Jesus? And that I can accept the fact of Jesus'
existence
>> and the importance of his teachings without needing to believe that he
was
>> the son of God?

>>
>> Man! My apologies to everyone here! I started this thread to discuss
9-11
>> conspiracy theories and international banker cabals! Honest! I'm even
willing
>> to throw a few Alien Invasion theories into the mix!
>>
>> Peace,
>>
>> Gantt
>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> Faith is like trust, it's not always 100%, but you either have it or
you
>> don't.
>>>
>>> It's easy to put things together and come to the wrong conclusion, especially
>>> if that's somebody's intention. They talk about light and darkness.
They
>>> talk about the mother of Jesus name starting with an M. You can't explain
>>> Christianity with astrology. You could say that women's names back in
those
>>> days started with an M because M names are a derivative of the name Mother.
>>> You could say that the name Daughter is from the word Dawn which means
>> new
>>> beginning and there for... bla bla bla. You can twist things around
and
>>> say this means this, and this means that, because bla bla bla. I suggest
>>> you guys do a little research on all of these claims that all of religious
>>> figures, all had mothers that were virgins and their name started with
the
>>> letter M, instead of taking their word for it. I suggest that those
that
>>> don't believe, read the bible for themselves a few times and decide for
>> themselves.
>>> I'm not vary good at this but I will try to explain the concept of light
>>> and darkness. Darkness denies the truth. In total darkness there is
no
>>> truth. The light is the truth. If you were blind folded and taken in
to
>>> a room that was void of light, you would not know the truth. If you
were
>>> sitting at a table, you would not be able to tell me what is on the table,
>>> or how big the room is. You would not know if the room was full of king
>>> cobras or not. With light, you can see the truth. Being in darkness
is
>>> void of the truth. Being in darkness is ignorance of the truth. The
truth

>>> is the light. To deny the truth is to be in darkness. When people deny
>>> the truth, it's because they are in darkness and can't see the truth.
Many
>>> want to keep you in the dark. many will twist the truth to deceive you
>> and
>>> keep you in the dark.
>>>
>>> God is the truth and the light. It's easy for people to knock something
>>> they don't really know about, especially if they've only heard half the
>> story.
>>> You don't have to take my word for it, go seek the truth and read the
bible
>>> for your self and you decide.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> The answer to your last question is in the Bible,
>>>> Now I don't know or care about this film...
>>>>
>>>> ...but isn't the above kinda like stating that "You can find out whether
>>>> GWB has been a good president by reading his press release"?
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Kim.
>>
